

University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress

Relationships between Pasture Management Inputs and Pasture Consumption Rates in Non-Irrigateddairy Systems in South-Eastern Australia

J. Tharmaraj University of Melbourne, Australia

D. F. Chapman University of Melbourne, Australia

J. Hill University of Melbourne, Australia

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/9-1/12

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Relationships between pasture management inputs and pasture consumption rates in non-irrigated dairy systems in south-eastern Australia

J.Tharmaraj* D.F.Chapman, J.Hill

School of A griculture and Food Systems ,University of Melbourne ,Victoria 3010 A ustralia J.L. JACOBS ,Department of Primary Industries ,78 Henna St., Warrnambool ,Victoria ,3280 ,A ustralia * Corresponding author E-mail : jthar@ unimelb .edu .au

Key words : dairy systems ,stocking rate ,perennial ryegrass ,tall fescue ,pasture consumption rate

Introduction Perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.), the mainstay of non-irrigated dairy pasture-base in south-east Australia is relatively easy to be established and managed but generally does not produce well in winter and summer .Integrating other pasture species and forage crops into the ryegrass-base may improve the seasonality and annual forage production .This paper compares the seasonal patterns of pasture consumption from a well-managed perennial ryegrass-based dairy system ,with a complementary forage-based system comprising perennial ryegrass, tall fescue (*Festuca arundinaceae* Schreb.), annual/Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multi florum*) and a double cropping rotation.

Materials & methods Two 36-cow farmlets ,representing a ryegrass-only system (ryegrass max ,RM) and a system combining pastures and crops (complementary forages ,CF) were established at Terang ,in southwest Victoria (38°14′S ,142°54′E ,long-term mean annual rainfall 740 mm) in June 2005. RM (16 ha) and CF (10.5 ha pasture and 2 ha crop) were stocked respectively at 2 25 and 2 9 Holstein Friesian dairy cows/ha. The pasture type compositions (based on age and species) of the two systems in 2006/07 are shown in Table 1 .Data from the 2006/07 lactation on pasture consumption rates for individual paddocks were used to analyse the effects of differences in system management and pasture types (principally stocking rate and N fertiliser use) on annual and seasonal patterns of pasture consumption from different pasture types .

Results and discussion The total amount of pasture consumed (kg DM/ha) from CF (7150) was significantly (P \leq 0.05) greater than RM (6110). There were no significant differences in the total pasture consumed between the three pasture types of the RM system or between the six pasture types of the CF system (Table 1). Overall ,pasture consumption in CF was 1.3 t DM/ha greater than RM during winter but similar to RM in spring and summer. Consumption from ryegrass pastures>1 year old was higher in CF than RM. The apparent nitrogen fertilizer response efficiency (kg DM consumed / kg N applied) was 29 for CF and 15 for RM.

| | Pasture type | | | | | | sed |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------|------|------|-------------|------|----------------------|
| | Perennial ryegrass | | | Over | Tall fescue | | |
| Pasture age (years) | > 4 | 2 | 1 | sown | 7 | 1 | |
| Ryegrass max farmlet | | | | | | | |
| Total area (ha) | 11 .42 | 3.16 | 1.86 | | | | |
| kg N/ha | 159 | 134 | 82 | | | | |
| Total consumed (kg DM /ha) | 6318 | 6468 | 5568 | | | | 595 .6 ^{NS} |
| Complementary forage farmlet* | | | | | | | |
| Total area (ha) | 0.64 | 3.18 | 1 26 | 2.35 | 2.19 | 1 24 | |
| kg N/ha | 165 | 205 | 115 | 142 | 205 | 175 | |
| Total consumed (kg DM/ha) | 7767 | 8717 | 5757 | 6739 | 7842 | 6052 | 1372^{NS} |

Table 1 Total annual pasture consumption from different pasture types in two farmlets systems. Oversown = perennial $r_{yegrass}$ oversown with annual/Italian $r_{yegrass}$.

* Estimated based on 10.5 ha of pasture area (excluding the 2 ha of cropping area)

Conclusion The results indicate that by managing grazing and nitrogen fertilizer in tune with seasonal conditions and integrating different pasture types ,total and seasonal pasture consumption rates can be improved to support higher stocking rates and perhectare production.

Grasslands/Rangelands Production Systems Livestock Production Systems