



**Association of snus experimentation in late adolescence
with daily cigarette smoking in early adulthood: A
longitudinal study among Finnish men**

Journal:	<i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Araneda, David; University of Helsinki, Department of Public Health Korhonen, Tellervo; Helsingin Yliopisto Laaketieteellinen tiedekunta, Public Health; Helsingin Yliopisto Laaketieteellinen tiedekunta, FIMM Laatikainen, Tiina; National Institute of Health and Welfare, Haukkala, Ari; University of Helsinki, Department of Social Psychology Rose, Richard; Indiana University Kaprio, Jaakko; University of Helsinki, Dept of Public Health
Discipline:	Epidemiology
Problem Areas and Research:	Smoking
Methodology:	Quantitative longitudinal

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title

Association of snus experimentation in late adolescence with daily cigarette smoking in early adulthood: A longitudinal study among Finnish men

Authors

David Araneda¹, Tellervo Korhonen^{1,2}, Tiina Laatikainen^{3,4,5}, Ari Haukkala⁶, Richard J. Rose⁷, Jaakko Kaprio^{1,2}

¹Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Finland; ²Institute of Molecular Medicine FIMM, University of Helsinki, Finland; ³Institute of Public Health and Clinical Nutrition, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland; ⁴National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland; ⁵Joint municipal authority for North Karelia social and health services (Siun sote) , Joensuu, Finland; ⁶Faculty of Social Science, University of Helsinki, Finland; ⁷Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, USA;

Corresponding author

Tellervo Korhonen, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 20, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. Email: tellervo.korhonen@helsinki.fi. Phone number: +358407556881

Keywords

Snus, moist snuff, smokeless tobacco, smoking initiation, daily smoking

ABSTRACT

Aims: Swedish smokeless tobacco (snus) is a lower-risk tobacco product than is cigarette smoking for individuals. However, the public health impact of snus use is less well studied. Critically, uncertain is whether use of snus leads to onset of smoking. This study aimed to investigate prospectively the association between snus experimentation in late adolescence and daily cigarette smoking in early adulthood among Finnish young men.

Methods: Data were obtained from 1090 young men within the population-based FinnTwin12 cohort. At baseline (mean age 17 years), we assessed lifetime use of cigarettes and snus, plus other potential predictors of cigarette smoking. At follow-up (mean age 24 years), participants were categorized according to their current smoking status. The final analyses were conducted among 375 young men who were never smokers at baseline with adequate data on follow-up smoking status and other potential predictors of cigarette smoking.

Results: Age-adjusted logistic regressions showed an increased risk of becoming a daily smoker at follow-up among those participants who had at least tried snus but had never smoked cigarettes at baseline (OR 6.48, 95% CI 2.02-20.7), compared with those who had never used snus. When additionally adjusted for monthly alcohol intoxication, maternal smoking, and peer drug use, the association between snus experimentation and later daily cigarette smoking was attenuated, but remained significant (OR 3.94, 95% CI 1.22-12.7).

Conclusions: Our data provide suggestive support for the proposition that snus experimentation during late adolescence is longitudinally associated with daily cigarette smoking in early adulthood. Although a causal association cannot be inferred with certainty, snus experimentation might constitute an indicator of propensity to proceed to regular snus use and initiation of use of other tobacco or nicotine products.

BACKGROUND

Snus, or Swedish-type moist snuff, is a smokeless tobacco product. Snus is available in loose form and small porous bags, which are placed between the upper lip and gingiva for about one hour, leading to nicotine intake [1]. Nicotine absorption from snus is slow, but reaches higher and more prolonged plasma levels in venous blood than do cigarettes [2]. Snus is low in tobacco-specific nitrosamines, a group of carcinogenic compounds found in tobacco products [3]. Epidemiological data suggest that the adverse health consequences of exclusive snus use are smaller than those of cigarette smoking, and that the incidence of major health outcomes studied so far does not differ between exclusive snus users and non-users of tobacco [4, 5]. However, in many cases the evidence is not conclusive, and the SCENIHR (Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly-Identified Health Risks) continues to regard snus, along with other smokeless tobacco products, as hazardous to health [6].

Due to its potential adverse health effects, snus sales are banned in the European Union with the exception of Sweden, a country with a long history and high prevalence of snus use [7, 8]. Outside the European Union, there is a well-established market for snus in Norway [9], and this product was introduced onto the USA market in 2006, yet with low acceptance [10]. In Finland, the ban on snus sales adopted in 1995 has not prevented individuals from obtaining snus through friends, personal imports, and under-the-counter sources [11]. On the contrary, snus use among Finnish adolescents has increased after the ban [11]. School surveys in Finland show that 27% of Finnish boys have tried snus, while 13% use snus currently and 3% are daily users [12]. According to recent national surveys in the Finnish adult population, current snus use is also common among young men: 4.8% use snus daily and 6.8% occasionally in the age group of 15 to 24 years, while in the group of 25 to 34 years 5.2% use snus daily and 8.1% occasionally [13]. Women report snus use much less frequently [12, 13].

1
2
3 Because of its addictive nature and increasing use among young populations, concern has
4 emerged regarding a potential association between the use of smokeless tobacco products and
5 later cigarette smoking [14]. While some evidence supports this hypothesis [15, 16], others
6 studies do not support it [17, 18]. In the case of snus, longitudinal studies investigating this
7 association among adolescents have found mixed results in diverse cultural settings [19-23].
8 A review on studies investigating the association between use of snus and later cigarette
9 smoking reported that research designs in this field have been often inadequate [24]. The main
10 methodological problems include lack of adjustment for confounders, dissimilar time
11 available for smoking initiation between different groups, use of unrepresentative small
12 samples, and lack of accounting for previous exposure to cigarette smoking at baseline [24].
13 A comprehensive list of potential predictors of smoking initiation among adolescents should
14 include data on parental and peer smoking, use of alcohol and illicit drugs, and family
15 structure [25, 26]. Such potential confounders have not been addressed simultaneously in
16 earlier studies. Thus, interpretation and comparability of these results is limited, and the
17 research question remains unanswered.

18
19 In view of the current worldwide tobacco epidemic and the large consequences of cigarette
20 smoking on human health, there is need for preventive strategies to keep adolescents and
21 young adults from initiating use of cigarettes [27]. Some researchers have suggested that
22 lifting the ban on snus sales in the European Union would have a positive public health
23 impact by discouraging smoking initiation and by helping inveterate smokers to quit
24 cigarettes [28, 29]. On the other hand, making this product more easily available could have
25 negative public health consequences if snus use is shown to increase the likelihood for
26 smoking initiation among individuals who would have otherwise stayed tobacco-free [23].
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

AIM

This study aimed to investigate prospectively the association between snus experimentation in late adolescence and daily cigarette smoking in early adulthood among Finnish young men.

METHODS

Data

Data were obtained from the FinnTwin12 study for which detailed information on the sample and assessment waves has been published earlier [30-32]. Briefly, in FinnTwin12, 5600 Finnish twins born 1983 through 1987 were sequentially enrolled and four waves of data have been collected so far, at mean ages 12, 14, 17 and 24. Response rates were high in the first three waves (87-92%), and slightly lower in the fourth one (80%). We used information from the third and fourth waves, with data collection taking place in 2000-2005 and 2006-2011, respectively. Additional data were obtained from questionnaires filled by the participants' parents when the twins were 12 years old. Maternal and paternal response rates were 85% and 77%, respectively.

The third wave questionnaire at mean age 17, the baseline assessment for our analysis, inquired whether the twins had smoked at least one cigarette at that stage in their lives. Lifetime use of snus was assessed as follows: never, once, two to 50 times, over 50 times, and regular use. Lifetime use of illicit drugs was similarly investigated as follows: never, one to three times, four to nine times, ten to 19 times, and over 20 times. Questions regarding alcohol use inquired about frequency of drinking and of intoxicating, with response options ranging from never to daily. Peer cigarette smoking and lifetime use of illicit drugs were asked as follows: no, one, two to five, and over five peers. In addition to their siblings, family structure options included: mother and father, mother and stepfather, father and stepmother, only mother, only father, others. When the twins were aged 12, their parents were asked in

1
2
3 maternal and paternal questionnaires whether they had smoked over 5 packs (100 cigarettes)
4 during their lifetime, and whether anyone smoked inside their homes at the time of the survey.

5
6
7 At follow-up, young adult twins were asked about their current smoking status, ranging from
8 never to daily cigarette smoking.
9

10 11 12 13 **Variables**

14
15
16 Our aim was to assess the association between snus experimentation and later cigarette
17 smoking among young adult men. In our full sample (n=1090), lifetime snus use at baseline
18 was 34.9% (12.8% had tried once, 16.6% two to fifty times, 3.67% over fifty times and 1.74%
19 used regularly). Among the restricted sample, i.e. never smokers at baseline (n=375), lifetime
20 snus use was 4.8% (3.2% had tried once, 0.8% two to fifty times, 0.3% over fifty times and
21 0.5% used regularly). Because subcategories of snus users among men who had never
22 smoked at baseline contained only a few individuals, we decided to dichotomize snus use as
23 ‘any use’ vs. ‘never use’ in order to increase the statistical power of the group exposed to
24 snus.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37
38 In order to assess both the independent and cumulative effect of potential predictors of
39 cigarette smoking by performing crude and multiple adjusted logistic regression analyses, we
40 also dichotomized other variables, as follows: lifetime ever cigarette smoker of at least one
41 cigarette (yes/no), lifetime ever user of illicit drugs (yes/no), alcohol user (yes/no), monthly
42 alcohol intoxication (yes/no). Peer smoking and ever use of illicit drugs were considered to be
43 present if the twin reported to have at least one peer who was using or had used these
44 substances, respectively. Intact family structure was dichotomized as currently living with
45 both biological parents (yes/no). Parental smoking was defined as never vs. ever smoker for
46 each parent. Smoking inside home when the twins were aged 12 was dichotomized as no-one
47 smoking inside home vs. someone smoking inside home, combining responses from both
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 parents. We also considered type of schooling at age 17, when the twins themselves reported
4
5 their current student status. The responses for this item in our survey were classified into three
6
7 groups: (1) not studying currently, (2) in vocational school, (3) in academically oriented upper
8
9 secondary school. We scored the three responses dichotomously (0=academically oriented
10
11 school, 1= vocational school or not studying).

12
13
14
15 The outcome variable was daily smoking at the time of the follow-up (mean age 24 years).
16
17 The initial question to assess smoking status was as follows: “Which of the following best
18
19 describes your present smoking habits?” and the options were as follows: 1) I smoke daily 20
20
21 cigarettes or more; 2) I smoke daily 10-19 cigarettes; 3) I smoke daily 5-9 cigarettes; 4) I
22
23 smoke daily 1-4 cigarettes; 5) I smoke once a week or more often but not daily; 6) I smoke
24
25 less often than once a week; 7) I am trying to quit or have quit smoking; 8) I have tried
26
27 smoking but I do not smoke now; 9) I have never smoked. Responses were scored to
28
29 dichotomize individuals at follow-up based on self-reports of current daily smoking (yes/no),
30
31 the former including daily smokers (options 1-4) and the latter including non-daily smokers,
32
33 quitters and never smokers (options 5-9).

34 35 36 37 38 39 **Statistical analyses**

40
41
42 We used logistic regression analyses to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence
43
44 Intervals (CI) of being a daily smoker at follow-up given any baseline exposure to snus and
45
46 other potential predictors of daily smoking at follow-up. Other potential predictors were
47
48 chosen based on literature and their associations with the outcome were tested in the age-
49
50 adjusted analyses. Those predictors which were significant in these age-adjusted analyses
51
52 were then included in the multiple adjusted model. Thus, the association of snus use with later
53
54 daily cigarette smoking was simultaneously adjusted for those other predictors. We analysed
55
56 the twins as individuals. Because the twins were sampled as clusters, the primary sampling
57
58
59
60

unit being the twin pair, the clustering of correlated observations from twin pairs was controlled for when computing standard errors of the estimates using robust estimators of variance. Similarly, the survey design option was used when estimating p-values in the chi-square test [33]. We also compared characteristics between those participants who answered all relevant questions (i.e. valid analysis sample) and those participants with missing values in them. The Stata 13.1 software version was used.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

From the 5600 twins originally enrolled in this cohort, 4236 (75.6%) responded at age 17. Among them, 3402 (80.3%) provided a response as young adults. Of those who responded to both surveys, 2557 (75%) provided information for all variables included in our analysis. Of them, 1090 (42.6%) were men with age ranging from 17.2 to 18.2 (mean 17.6, SD 0.20) at baseline, and from 20.9 to 27.5 (mean 24.1, SD 1.68) at follow-up. Although response rates in each assessment were high, the decrease in the number of participants reflects dropouts over several surveys. Furthermore, some participants did not answer all questions included in our analyses and were therefore excluded. Compared with those having complete data, the participants with missing information were significantly ($p < .05$) more often ever smokers of at least a single cigarette (74% vs. 68.2%), ever snus users (32.4% vs. 22.3%), ever users of illicit drugs (15.5% vs. 12.1%), and with higher rates of monthly alcohol intoxication (40% vs. 34.1%). In addition, they were more often not living with both biological parents (35.7% vs. 16.4%), and were more likely to have smoking fathers and mothers (69.0% vs. 65.3% and 58.1% vs. 50.2%), who were also smoking inside their homes to a higher extent (11.6% vs. 8.13%). Daily smoking at follow-up was higher among those who provided data on their

1
2
3 smoking status at follow-up but had missing information in other variables assessed,
4
5 compared with those who provided information in all domains (30.7% vs. 23.7%).
6
7

8 Table 1 shows characteristics of 1090 men, including also baseline ever smokers. By the
9
10 mean age of 17 years over 60% had ever at least experimented cigarette smoking whereas
11
12 around 35% had ever used snus. At the mean age of 24 years, about 26% reported being daily
13
14 smokers. At baseline 34.9% (n=380) had used snus at least once (12.8% once, 16.6% 2-50
15
16 times, 3.67% >50 times, 1.74% used regularly).
17
18
19

20 21 Snus use and other predictors of daily cigarette smoking

22
23 Among all baseline snus ever users 43.7% (n=166) were daily smokers at follow-up, whereas
24
25 among never snus users only 16.1% (n=114). However, among the baseline never smokers
26
27 with non-missing data on relevant variables (n=375), altogether 6.67% (n=25), out of which
28
29 27.8% (n=5) of snus users and 5.60% (n=20) of never snus users, became daily smokers at
30
31 follow-up (table 2).
32
33
34

35
36 Age adjusted logistic regression analyses were performed for potential predictors of daily
37
38 cigarette smoking at follow-up among men who were never smokers at baseline. Those
39
40 participants who had at least tried snus but had never smoked a cigarette at baseline had about
41
42 six-fold likelihood (OR 6.48, 95% CI 2.02-20.7) for daily cigarette smoking, compared with
43
44 those who reported no snus use at baseline. Other significant predictors of daily cigarette
45
46 smoking included monthly alcohol intoxication, peer use of illicit drugs and maternal
47
48 smoking (table 2).
49
50
51

52 Table 3 shows the results of two multiple logistic regression analyses, adjusted for age and for
53
54 other predictors of daily cigarette smoking that were significant in the age adjusted analyses
55
56 among those who were never smokers at baseline. The final adjusted model included all four
57
58 variables (lifetime snus use, monthly alcohol intoxication, maternal smoking and peer use of
59
60

1
2
3 illicit drugs) in addition to age. The association between snus experimentation and later daily
4
5 cigarette smoking was attenuated, but remained significant (OR 3.94, 95% CI 1.22-12.7).
6
7 Further, we conducted a sensitivity analysis where we excluded those who reported to be
8
9 'quitters' at follow-up (n=9). In the adjusted model the association of snus experimentation
10
11 with daily smoking remained similar (OR=3.88, 95%CI 1.21, 12.5).
12
13
14
15
16

17 DISCUSSION

18
19 Snus experimentation during late adolescence predicted becoming a daily smoker in early
20
21 adulthood among men who were never smokers at baseline. The six-fold odds ratio was
22
23 attenuated to four-fold likelihood but remained significant after including other significant
24
25 predictors of daily cigarette smoking, such as alcohol intoxication and maternal smoking. The
26
27 rapid transition from non-existent smoking to daily cigarette smoking in just a few years
28
29 suggests a quick development of nicotine dependence [34], which could be partially explained
30
31 by the use of smokeless tobacco products, such as snus [35].
32
33
34
35

36
37 Prior longitudinal studies on this subject have produced conflicting results. Among Swedish
38
39 adolescents, exclusive snus use predicted tobacco use but did not predict cigarette smoking
40
41 [19]. Similar results were found among Norwegian adolescents: exclusive snus use in early
42
43 adolescence was associated with dual use in late adolescence but not with exclusive smoking
44
45 [20]. In Finland, a study among 2816 students followed from age 13 to 16 showed that snus
46
47 experimentation among male adolescents predicted later weekly smoking [21]. As found in
48
49 our study, snus experimentation at baseline was rare among Finnish girls [21]. A recent study
50
51 among 1696 young adults in the USA followed from 2010 to 2012 found an increased risk for
52
53 current smoking at follow-up among non-smokers at baseline who had tried snus [22].
54
55 Nevertheless, the definition of non-smoker at baseline was restricted to 30 days before the
56
57 survey and therefore did not account for previous exposure to cigarette smoking [22]. Another
58
59
60

1
2
3 longitudinal study among adolescents and young adults in the USA who had never smoked
4 cigarettes at baseline concluded that snus experimentation predicted cigarette initiation,
5 current cigarette smoking and smoking intensity [23]. Most of these prior studies lacked
6 adjustment for well-known predictors of smoking initiation, such as parental smoking, family
7 structure and alcohol use.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 Late adolescence may not be the most sensitive period to study factors predicting smoking
16 initiation, since a high percentage of the population has been exposed to cigarette smoking by
17 this age [36]. However, our results confirm the existence of a group of individuals in this
18 stage who remain susceptible to start smoking and therefore remain as an important target for
19 preventive interventions [37]. Although peer smoking has been pointed out as one of the
20 strongest predictors of regular cigarette smoking among adolescents [38], our results suggest
21 that peer smoking during late adolescence no longer is predictive of smoking initiation in
22 early adulthood, probably because those individuals who are more susceptible to social
23 influences have already experimented with cigarettes by this age. Interestingly, type of school
24 track (academic, vocational, not attending school) reported at age 17 was not a significant
25 predictor of later daily smoking in our data set, which was restricted to never smokers at
26 baseline. On the other hand, and consistent with previous studies [39], maternal smoking
27 remained as a significant predictor of daily cigarette smoking in our sample, an effect that can
28 be explained by both environmental and genetic factors [40]. Monthly alcohol intoxication
29 also predicted daily cigarette smoking. This was expected, as smoking and alcohol abuse tend
30 to converge in a cluster of unhealthy behaviours [41].
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 In addition to the potential association between snus use and cigarette smoking initiation, dual
54 use of snus and cigarettes has emerged as a matter of concern in Finland as snus has become
55 more popular in this country, especially among young males [42]. As use of smokeless
56 tobacco products is not affected by anti-smoking regulations, such as smoking bans in public
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 places and restaurants, individuals with high nicotine dependence can switch from one
4 product to another depending on the circumstances, maintaining their addiction [43]. In social
5 terms, dual users have been described as a high risk group compared with exclusive snus
6 users, exclusive smokers, and non-users of tobacco, showing larger social disadvantage and
7 unhealthy lifestyles in adulthood [44], as well as a less favourable profile of risk vs. protective
8 factors in adolescence [45].
9

10
11 Rather than a gateway effect between snus use and cigarette smoking initiation our results
12 suggest that, in line with another Finnish study [46], snus experimentation may be part of a
13 cluster of risky behaviours that might predispose individuals to take up daily smoking even at
14 ages where smoking initiation is less common. Furthermore, we would like to acknowledge
15 the evidence provided by a meta-analysis, where the use of e-cigarettes in adolescence
16 predicted cigarette smoking in adulthood [47] and by a recent study, where any use of e-
17 cigarettes, hookah, non-cigarette combustible tobacco, or smokeless tobacco was
18 independently associated with later cigarette smoking [48].
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **Strengths and limitations**

38
39 Our longitudinal study, performed in a large and representative sample of Finnish adolescents
40 followed-up until young adulthood has the advantage of minimizing recall bias by
41 establishing a clear temporal relationship between order of use of products and other
42 variables. Our final model includes adjustment for a comprehensive set of potential
43 confounders, which enriches the robustness of our conclusions. Because participants who
44 were excluded from the analyses due to missing information were more often lifetime snus
45 users at baseline, and daily smokers at follow-up, we could be underestimating the strength of
46 this association because of these selective exclusions.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Regarding the main limitations of our study, the large confidence intervals found are
4 explained by the low exposure to some of the explanatory variables among never smokers, as
5 some of the cells contained only a few individuals. In the case of snus experimentation, never
6 smokers who transitioned to daily smoking at follow-up had tried snus once or twice at
7 baseline, which limits the explanatory power of this variable regarding potential further
8 implications of snus experimentation. Thus, because of those wide confidence intervals, our
9 results should be interpreted with caution. The association between snus experimentation and
10 cigarette smoking was attenuated when adding covariates in the model, with the possibility of
11 residual confounding via other psychosocial variables, such as school performance and
12 attitudes towards cigarette smoking, not adjusted for in our study [49].

13
14 We acknowledge that logistic regression analysis is not the most optimal method for this kind
15 of study because it does not account for the time at when the event (i.e. initiation of daily
16 smoking) occurs. Unfortunately, our data do not include the date of the event and therefore we
17 chose to apply logistic regression. We also acknowledge that our outcome was relatively rare,
18 i.e. there were only 25 daily smoking men at follow up among the baseline never smokers,
19 and hence we have relatively wide confidence intervals for our estimates.

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 **CONCLUSIONS**

46
47 Our results provide suggestive support for the proposition that snus experimentation during
48 late adolescence is longitudinally associated with daily cigarette smoking in early adulthood,
49 even when other predictors of cigarette smoking are accounted for. It seems unlikely that a
50 single-time use of snus could explain by itself a habit that emerges years after the exposure to
51 this product; thus, a causal association between early snus use and later cigarette use cannot
52 be inferred with certainty. However, snus experimentation might constitute an indicator of
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 propensity to proceed to regular snus use and to initiate use of other tobacco or nicotine
4
5 containing products.
6
7

8 **CONTRIBUTORS**

9
10
11 DA, TK, TL, and JK conceptualized the research questions. DA conducted all analyses,
12
13 prepared tables and drafted the manuscript. DA, TK, TL, AH, RJR, and JK contributed to the
14
15 interpretation of findings, identification of the implications and critically revised the
16
17 manuscript.
18
19

20 **FUNDING**

21
22
23 Data collection for the FinnTwin12 cohort was supported by the National Institute of Alcohol
24
25 Abuse and Alcoholism (grants AA-12502, AA-00145, and AA-09203 to Richard J. Rose) and
26
27 the Academy of Finland (grants 205585, 118555, 141054 and 264146 to Jaakko Kaprio). The
28
29 writing of this article was funded by the Juho Vainio Foundation, Finland (to David Araneda).
30
31 Jaakko Kaprio was supported by the Academy of Finland (grants 265240 & 263278).
32
33
34
35

36 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

37
38
39 Tellervo Korhonen and Jaakko Kaprio have consulted for Pfizer on nicotine dependence
40
41 between 2011 and 2016. Other authors declare no competing interests.
42
43
44

45 **ETHICS APPROVAL**

46
47
48 Ethical permission for the FinnTwinT12 study was obtained from the University of Helsinki,
49
50 the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital district ethical committee, and the Institutional Review
51
52 Board of Indiana University.
53
54

55 **DATA SHARING STATEMENT**

1
2
3 Twins are easily identifiable and therefore data is not shared, but researchers are invited to
4
5 contact the authors regarding additional analyses.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

REFERENCES

- 1 Digard H, Errington G, Richter A, et al. Patterns and behaviors of snus consumption in Sweden. *Nicotine Tobacco Res* 2009;11:1175-81.
- 2 Digard H, Proctor C, Kulasekaran A, et al. Determination of Nicotine Absorption from Multiple Tobacco Products and Nicotine Gum, *Nicotine Tob Res* 2013;15:255-61.
- 3 Osterdahl BG, Jansson C, Paccou A. Decreased levels of tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines in moist snuff on the Swedish market. *Journal of Agricultural & Food Chemistry* 2004;52:5085-8.
- 4 Lee PN. Summary of the epidemiological evidence relating snus to health, *Regulatory Toxicology & Pharmacology* 2011;59:197-214.
- 5 Lee PN. Epidemiological evidence relating snus to health--an updated review based on recent publications, *Harm Reduct J* 2013;10:36,7517-10-36.
- 6 SCENIHR (Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly-Identified Health Risks). Scientific opinion on the Health Effects of Smokeless Tobacco Products, 6 February 2008. http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/04_scenihhr/docs/scenihhr_o_013.pdf.
- 7 European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Directive 2001/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2001 on the Approximation of the Laws, Regulations and Administrative Provisions of the Member States Concerning the Manufacture, Presentation and sale of Tobacco. *Official Journal of the European Communities* 2001:26-34. L 194.
- 8 Nilsson R. A qualitative and quantitative risk assessment of snuff dipping, *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1998;28:1-16.
- 9 Lund KE, McNeill A. Patterns of dual use of snus and cigarettes in a mature snus market. *Nicotine Tobacco Res* 2013;15:678-84.
- 10 Biener L, Roman AM, Mc Inerney SA, et al. Snus use and rejection in the USA. *Tob Control* Published Online First: 25 Feb 2014. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051342.
- 11 Huhtala HS, Rainio SU, Rimpela AH. Adolescent snus use in Finland in 1981-2003: trend, total sales ban and acquisition. *Tob Control* 2006;15:392-7.
- 12 National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland. Global Youth Tobacco Survey 2012: Results from the Finnish National Report. https://www.thl.fi/documents/920246/0/GYTS+2012_FINLAND_EN.pdf/85e705c4-31ca-4aae-ab6b-018c1d50797f.
- 13 Anni Helldán SH. Health Behaviour and Health among the Finnish Adult Population, Spring 2014. http://www.julkari.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/126023/URN_ISBN_978-952-302-447-2.pdf?sequence=1.

- 1
2
3 14 Melikian AA, Hoffmann D. Smokeless tobacco: a gateway to smoking or a way away
4 from smoking, *Biomarkers* 2009;14 Suppl 1:85-9.
5
6
7 15 Haddock CK, Weg MV, DeBon M, et al. Evidence that smokeless tobacco use is a
8 gateway for smoking initiation in young adult males, *Prev Med* 2001;32:262-7.
9
10
11 16 Severson HH, Forrester KK, Biglan A. Use of smokeless tobacco is a risk factor for
12 cigarette smoking, *Nicotine Tob Res* 2007;9:1331-7.
13
14 17 O'Connor RJ, Flaherty BP, Quinio Edwards B, et al. Regular smokeless tobacco use is not
15 a reliable predictor of smoking onset when psychosocial predictors are included in the model,
16 *Nicotine Tob Res* 2003;5:535-43.
17
18 18 Kozlowski LT, O'Connor RJ, Edwards BQ, et al. Most smokeless tobacco use is not a
19 causal gateway to cigarettes: using order of product use to evaluate causation in a national US
20 sample, *Addiction* 2003;98:1077-85.
21
22
23 19 Galanti MR, Rosendahl I, Wickholm S. The development of tobacco use in adolescence
24 among "snus starters" and "cigarette starters": an analysis of the Swedish "BROMS" cohort.
25 *Nicotine Tobacco Res* 2008;10:315-23.
26
27
28 20 Grotvedt L, Forsen L, Stavem K, et al. Patterns of snus and cigarette use: a study of
29 Norwegian men followed from age 16 to 19, *Tob Control* 2013;22:382-8.
30
31 21 Haukkala A, Vartiainen E, de Vries H. Progression of oral snuff use among Finnish 13-16-
32 year-old students and its relation to smoking behaviour, *Addiction* 2006;101:581-9.
33
34
35 22 Taylor N, Choi K, Forster J. Snus use and smoking behaviors: preliminary findings from a
36 prospective cohort study among US Midwest young adults, *Am J Public Health*
37 2015;105:683-5.
38
39
40 23 Soneji S, Sargent JD, Tanski SE, et al. Associations between initial water pipe tobacco
41 smoking and snus use and subsequent cigarette smoking: results from a longitudinal study of
42 US adolescents and young adults, *JAMA Pediatr* 2015;169:129-36.
43
44
45 24 Lee PN. Appropriate and inappropriate methods for investigating the "gateway"
46 hypothesis, with a review of the evidence linking prior snus use to later cigarette smoking,
47 *Harm Reduct J* 2015;12:8,015-0040-7.
48
49
50 25 van den Bree MB, Whitmer MD, Pickworth WB. Predictors of smoking development in a
51 population-based sample of adolescents: a prospective study, *J Adolesc Health* 2004;35:172-
52 81.
53
54
55 26 O'Loughlin J, Karp I, Koulis T, et al. Determinants of first puff and daily cigarette
56 smoking in adolescents, *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;170:585-97.
57
58
59 27 Ossip DJ. The global tobacco epidemic: public health crisis and public health opportunity,
60 *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2013;25:4S-6S.

- 1
2
3 28 Bates C, Fagerstrom K, Jarvis M, et al. European Union policy on smokeless tobacco: a
4 statement in favour of evidence based regulation for public health, *Tob Control* 2003;12:360-
5 7.
6
7
8 29 Fagerstrom KO, Schildt EB. Should the European Union lift the ban on snus? Evidence
9 from the Swedish experience, *Addiction* 2003;98:1191-5.
10
11 30 Kaprio J, Pulkkinen L, Rose RJ. Genetic and environmental factors in health-related
12 behaviors: studies on Finnish twins and twin families, *Twin Res* 2002;5:366-71.
13
14 31 Kaprio J. The Finnish Twin Cohort Study: an update, *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2013;16:157-
15 62.
16
17 32 Kaprio J. Twin studies in Finland 2006, *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2006;9:772-7.
18
19 33 Williams RL. A note on robust variance estimation for cluster-correlated data, *Biometrics*
20 2000;56:645-6.
21
22 34 Dierker L, Swendsen J, Rose J, et al. Transitions to regular smoking and nicotine
23 dependence in the Adolescent National Comorbidity Survey (NCS-A), *Ann Behav Med*
24 2012;43:394-401.
25
26 35 Post A, Gilljam H, Rosendahl I, et al. Symptoms of nicotine dependence in a cohort of
27 Swedish youths: a comparison between smokers, smokeless tobacco users and dual tobacco
28 users, *Addiction* 2010;105:740-6.
29
30 36 Okoli C, Greaves L, Fagyas V. Sex differences in smoking initiation among children and
31 adolescents, *Public Health* 2013;127:3-10.
32
33 37 O'Loughlin JL, Dugas EN, O'Loughlin EK, et al. Incidence and determinants of cigarette
34 smoking initiation in young adults, *J Adolesc Health* 2014;54:26,32.e4.
35
36 38 Lloyd-Richardson EE, Papandonatos G, Kazura A, et al. Differentiating stages of smoking
37 intensity among adolescents: stage-specific psychological and social influences, *J Consult*
38 *Clin Psychol* 2002;70:998-1009.
39
40 39 Stanton CA, Papandonatos G, Lloyd-Richardson EE, et al. How do Mothers, Fathers, and
41 Friends Influence Stages of Adolescent Smoking? *Adolesc Fam Health* 2009;4:95-111.
42
43 40 Korhonen T, Latvala A, Dick DM, et al. Genetic and environmental influences underlying
44 externalizing behaviors, cigarette smoking and illicit drug use across adolescence, *Behav*
45 *Genet* 2012;42:614-25.
46
47 41 Wiefferink CH, Peters L, Hoekstra F, et al. Clustering of health-related behaviors and their
48 determinants: possible consequences for school health interventions, *Prev Sci* 2006;7:127-49.
49
50 42 Hamari AK, Toljamo TI, Kinnula VL, et al. Dual use of cigarettes and Swedish snuff
51 (snus) among young adults in Northern Finland. *Eur J Public Health* 2013;23:768-71.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 43 Miller MB, Lechner WV, Meier E, et al. Dual tobacco use among college students:
4 contexts of use, self-perceptions, and attitudes toward quitting, *Subst Use Misuse*
5 2014;49:700-7.
6

7
8 44 Engstrom K, Magnusson C, Galanti MR. Socio-demographic, lifestyle and health
9 characteristics among snus users and dual tobacco users in Stockholm County, Sweden. *BMC*
10 *Public Health* 2010;10:619.
11

12
13 45 Larsen E, Rise J, Lund KE. Risk and protective factors of adolescent exclusive snus users
14 compared to non-users of tobacco, exclusive smokers and dual users of snus and cigarettes.
15 *Addict Behav* 2013;38:2288-94.
16

17
18 46 Tseveenjav B, Pesonen P, Virtanen JI. Use of snus, its association with smoking and
19 alcohol consumption, and related attitudes among adolescents: the Finnish National School
20 Health Promotion Study, *Tob Induc Dis* 2015;13:34,015-0058-3. eCollection 2015.
21

22
23 47 Soneji S, Barrington-Trimis JL, Wills TA, ym. Association Between Initial Use of e-
24 Cigarettes and Subsequent Cigarette Smoking Among Adolescents and Young Adults: A
25 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA pediatrics* 2017;171(8):788-97
26

27
28 48 Watkins SL, Glantz SA, Chaffee BW. Association of Noncigarette Tobacco Product Use
29 With Future Cigarette Smoking Among Youth in the Population Assessment of Tobacco and
30 Health (PATH) Study, 2013-2015. *JAMA pediatrics* 2018
31

32
33 49 Flay BR, Hu FB, Richardson J. Psychosocial predictors of different stages of cigarette
34 smoking among high school students, *Prev Med* 1998;27:A9-18.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Characteristics of the full sample (n=1090 men)

Variable	N (%)
Baseline variables (mean age 17.6)	
Lifetime cigarette experimentation	715 (65.6)
Lifetime snus use	380 (34.9)
Lifetime use of illicit drugs	113 (10.4)
Alcohol drinking	936 (85.9)
Monthly alcohol intoxication	400 (36.7)
Not living with both biological parents	184 (16.9)
Vocational school or not studying	433 (39.7)
Peer cigarette smoking	964 (88.4)
Peer lifetime use of illicit drugs	582 (53.4)
Parental variables	
Maternal lifetime ever smoking (over 100 cigarettes)	554 (50.8)
Paternal lifetime ever smoking (over 100 cigarettes)	718 (65.9)
Someone smoking inside home	96 (8.81)
Follow-up variable (mean age 24.1 years)	
Current daily smoking	280 (25.7)

Table 2. Logistic regression analyses^a on potential predictors of daily cigarette smoking at follow-up among **men who were never smokers at baseline (**n=375**)^b**

Potential predictors of daily smoking	Daily smoker at follow-up and predictor positive	Daily smoker at follow-up and predictor negative	OR	95% CI
Lifetime snus use	27.8% (n=5/18)	5.60% (n=20/357)	6.48	2.03-20.7
Lifetime use of illicit drugs	0	6.67% (n=25/375)	-	-
Alcohol drinking	8.27% (n=22/266)	2.75% (n=3/109)	3.20	0.99-10.4
Monthly alcohol intoxication	20.5% (n=8/39)	5.06% (n=17/336)	4.86	1.87-12.6
Not living with both biological parents	10.0% (n=5/50)	6.15% (n=20/325)	1.70	0.60-4.84
Vocational school or not studying	7.48% (8/107)	6.37% (n=17/267)	1.19	0.48-2.96
Peer cigarette smoking	7.77% (n=22/283)	3.26% (n=3/92)	2.52	0.54-11.7
Peer use of illicit drugs	11.2% (n=13/116)	4.63% (n=12/259)	2.60	1.08-6.24
Maternal lifetime smoking	11.3% (n=19/168)	2.90% (n=6/207)	4.32	1.63-11.5
Paternal lifetime smoking	6.85% (n=15/219)	6.41% (n=10/156)	1.08	0.44-2.67
Someone smoking inside home	9.52% (n=2/21)	6.50% (n=23/354)	1.53	0.32-7.20

OR = odds ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval

^a Adjusted for age, with reference groups consisting of individuals without exposure to the predictor in question.

^b Among those with non-missing values for all variables

Table 3. Multiple adjusted logistic regression model^a on predictors of daily cigarette smoking among men, who were never smokers at baseline (n=375)

Predictor	OR	95% CI
Lifetime snus use	3.94	1.22-12.7
Monthly alcohol intoxication	3.34	1.28-8.70
Maternal lifetime smoking	3.59	1.28-10.0
Peer use of illicit drugs	1.78	0.70-4.50

OR = odds ratio; CI = 95% confidence interval.

^a Adjusted simultaneously for each other plus age, with reference groups consisting of individuals without exposure to the predictor in question.