Medically Tailored Meal Delivery for Diabetes Patients with Food Insecurity: a Randomized Cross-over Trial

Seth A. Berkowitz, MD MPH^{1,2,3,4}, Linda M. Delahanty, MS RDN^{2,3}, Jean Terranova, JD⁵, Barbara Steiner, EdM², Melanie P. Ruazol, BA², Roshni Singh, BS², Naysha N. Shahid, BA¹, and Deborah J. Wexler, MD MSc^{2,3}

¹Division of General Internal Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ²Diabetes Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ³Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Division of General Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ⁵Community Servings, INC, Jamaica Plain, MA, USA.

BACKGROUND: Food insecurity, defined as inconsistent food access owing to cost, leads to poor health.

OBJECTIVE: To test whether a medically tailored meal delivery program improved dietary quality in individuals with type 2 diabetes and food insecurity.

DESIGN: Randomized cross-over clinical trial.

PARTICIPANTS: Forty-four adults with diabetes, hemoglobin A1c > 8.0%, and food insecurity (defined as at least one positive item on the two-item "Hunger Vital Sign").

INTERVENTION: In the Community Servings: Food as Medicine for Diabetes cross-over clinical trial (NCT02426138), conducted from June 2015 to July 2017, we randomly assigned the order of "on-meals" (home delivery of 10 meals/week for 12 weeks delivered by Community Servings, a non-profit organization) and "offmeals" (12 weeks usual care and a Choose MyPlate healthy eating brochure) periods.

MAIN MEASURES: The primary outcome was Healthy Eating Index 2010 score (HEI), assessed by three 24-h food recalls in both periods. Higher HEI score (range 0–100; clinically significant difference 5) represents better dietary quality. Secondary outcomes included food insecurity and self-reported hypoglycemia.

KEY RESULTS: Mean "on-meal" HEI score was 71.3 (SD 7.5) while mean "off-meal" HEI score was 39.9 (SD 7.8) (difference 31.4 points, p < 0.0001). Participants experienced improvements in almost all sub-categories of HEI score, with increased consumption of vegetables, fruits, and whole grains and decreased solid fats, alcohol, and added sugar consumption. Participants also reported lower food insecurity (42% "on-meal" vs. 62% "off-meal," p = 0.047), less hypoglycemia (47% "on-meal" vs. 64% "off-meal," p = 0.03), and fewer days where mental health interfered with quality of life (5.65 vs. 9.59 days out of 30, p = 0.03).

CONCLUSIONS: For food-insecure individuals with diabetes, medically tailored meals improved dietary quality and food insecurity and reduced hypoglycemia. Longer-

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-018-4716-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Received April 19, 2018 Revised August 30, 2018 Accepted October 15, 2018 Published online November 12, 2018 term studies should evaluate effects on diabetes control (e.g., hemoglobin A1c) and patient-reported outcomes (e.g., well-being).

KEY WORDS: type 2 diabetes mellitus; food insecurity; dietary quality; hypoglycemia.

J Gen Intern Med 34(3):396–404 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-018-4716-z

O ne in 10 adult Americans—currently 29 million—has diabetes mellitus.¹ Type 2 diabetes, which accounts for 95% of all cases, can be deadly, substantially lowers quality of life, and is responsible for \$245 billion in annual costs.¹ However, the burden of diabetes is not distributed equally. Socioeconomically vulnerable patients suffer disproportionately from diabetes complications and mortality.² A major contributor to this is food insecurity, defined as limited access to nutritious food owing to cost.³ Approximately 20 to 30% (6 to 9 million) of Americans with diabetes report food insecurity each year.^{4, 5} A healthy diet is the cornerstone of diabetes management⁶, but food insecurity incents the consumption of inexpensive, calorie-dense food of little nutritional value.⁷ In particular, worse dietary quality among individuals with diabetes and food insecurity, as assessed by the Healthy Eating Index 2010 (HEI 2010) score, is associated with worse glycemic control and increased diabetes complications.⁸ Further, food insecurity is associated with increased risk of hypoglycemia, a serious adverse event related to diabetes therapy.9-12

Hyperglycemia is particularly responsive to dietary changes,^{13, 14} but previous dietary interventions often emphasize behavior changes that individuals with food insecurity are unlikely to be able to make. Further, clinicians currently have few options for helping patients with food insecurity in routine care, and there is little evidence regarding whether clinical programs for individuals with food insecurity can improve diet.¹⁵

To address these research gaps, we sought to test the feasibility and short-term impact on diet of a program that

delivered medically tailored meals to individuals with type 2 diabetes, food insecurity, and hyperglycemia (hemoglobin A1c > 8.0%) in a randomized cross-over trial. Because our intervention was specifically designed to address food insecurity, as well as supporting dietary changes, we hypothesized that receiving medically tailored meals would improve the Healthy Eating Index 2010 (HEI) dietary quality score and improve food insecurity.

METHODS

Study Design

The Community Servings: Food as Medicine for Diabetes clinical trial used a 24-week randomized cross-over design

with no washout period (eFigure 1). We included adults (age > 18 years) with type 2 diabetes, a hemoglobin A1c > 8.0% in the last year, and who reported food insecurity as assessed by the two-item "hunger vital sign."^{16, 17} Participants also had to live in the delivery service area (which covered most of eastern Massachusetts) and be able to store and prepare meals. Exclusion criteria included participating in another diabetes research intervention, pregnancy, and food allergies that would prevent participating in the meal program. Participants were drawn from local primary care networks in the Boston metropolitan area (Fig. 1). We conducted outreach for participant recruitment and also accepted referrals from primary care providers. Participants were provided reimbursement for transportation expenses and a small incentive for attending study visits. The meal program was provided at no cost to the participant.



Participants were enrolled from June 1, 2015, through January 31, 2017, and were followed for 24 weeks from enrollment.

All data collection was completed by July 31, 2017.

After completing an IRB-approved informed consent process, enrolled participants completed a baseline examination and were then randomly assigned to either immediate receipt of meal delivery for 12 weeks, followed by 12 weeks of follow-up while not receiving the intervention, or delayed receipt of the intervention for 12 weeks, followed by 12 weeks of meal delivery. Randomization was conducted using an electronic random number generator in a variable size block randomized pattern, in a 1:1 ratio between arms. After randomization, intervention assignment was not masked.

This study was approved by the Human Research Committee at Partners Health Care and prospectively registered on ClinicalTrials.gov as NCT02426138.

Intervention

The intervention in the study consisted of home delivery of medically tailored meals by Community Servings, a local nonprofit organization. Meals were prepared under the guidance of a registered dietitian to be suitable not only for the participant's diagnosis of diabetes but also for other medical conditions the participant might have across 17 possible "tracks" (e.g., chronic kidney disease or anticoagulation using warfarin). Each individual could receive meals that followed up to 3 "tracks" (e.g., diabetes and chronic kidney disease and anticoagulation using warfarin), allowing for meals closely tailored to the specific medical needs of the individual. While receiving the intervention, the participant received a onceweekly delivery consisting of 10 refrigerated and/or frozen meals, designed to represent 5 lunches and 5 dinners (approximately half of the participant's weekly food intake). Meals were fully prepared and could be simply heated and consumed. Food was provided only for the study participant. To test the impact of meal delivery, no additional educational intervention was included during the "on-meals" period.

During the control portion of the study, participants received usual care, plus the Choose My Plate healthy eating brochure.

Outcomes

The primary goal of this study was to determine whether receipt of medically tailored meals would lead to changes in dietary pattern that would be expected to improve clinical outcomes in a longer-term study. Therefore, the primary outcome in the study was the difference in Healthy Eating Index 2010 (HEI 2010) total score between the "on-meals" and "off-meals" period. The HEI 2010 score ranges from 0 to 100, with higher scores representing a "better" diet that more closely follows guideline recommendations.¹⁸ Higher HEI 2010 scores have been associated with better hemoglobin A1c trajectories in individuals with diabetes⁸, and with improved cardiovascular morbidity and mortality overall.¹⁹ The total HEI score is calculated by summing the subscores in 13 categories (score range in parentheses): total vegetables (0-5), greens and beans (0-5), total fruit (0-5), whole fruit (0-5), whole grains (0-10), total dairy (0-10), total protein (0-5), seafood and plant protein (0-5), fatty acids (0-10), sodium, refined grains (0-10), and "empty" calories from solid fats, alcohol, and added sugars (0-20).¹⁸ For each category, scoring is such that a higher score represents "better" dietary quality (e.g., more whole grains or fewer calories from sugar). As an example of scale, 0 points for whole fruit represents consuming 0 cups per 1000 kcal per day, and 5 (maximum) points represents ≥ 0.4 cups per 1000 kcal per day.¹⁸ Based on prior literature, we pre-specified a total HEI score change greater than 5 points to be clinically significant.⁸, ¹⁸ We also examined changes in the sub-categories of HEI score as secondary outcomes.

Other secondary outcomes included several patientreported outcomes that we hypothesized would be improved by participating in the meal program. These included food insecurity, self-report of hypoglycemia, health-related quality of life, cost-related medication underuse, diabetes distress, and depressive symptoms (see eTable 1 for descriptions of instruments used for outcome assessment). Given the short time frame of the study and number of participants, we did not expect significant changes in biomarkers or anthropomorphic assessments, but we did collect data on HbA1c, body mass index, blood pressure, and fasting lipids for exploratory purposes. We caution that, for these reasons, this study should not be interpreted as testing hypotheses about changes in biomarkers or anthropomorphic measurements,

Consistent with guidelines regarding assessment of changes in diet in clinical trials, HEI 2010 was assessed by completing three 24-h dietary recalls during each period, and averaging the results within the period, using standard scoring macros.²⁰ During each study period, one 24-h recall was conducted during an in-person study visit, and two were conducted over the telephone, at approximately 4 and 8 weeks into the study period. To make an accurate assessment of diet, telephone visits were unscheduled, and we sought to assess both weekday and weekend eating. In addition to the "on-meals" and "off-meals" 24-h recalls, we also conducted a single 24h recall at the initial study visit.

Secondary outcomes were assessed using validated instruments during in-person study visits (see Supplemental Material for instruments and description of measurement protocols). Anthropomorphic assessments followed standardized study procedures and all used the same equipment. Laboratory samples were analyzed in the same central certified laboratory. The hemoglobin A1c assay used was traceable to the DCCT standard.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses followed the intention-to-treat principle. To analyze HEI scores, we used linear mixed models with a

Table 1 Demographic Characteristics of Participa
--

$\frac{\sqrt{9} \text{ or mean (SD)}}{\sqrt{9} \text{ or mean (SD)}}$ $\frac{\sqrt{9} \text{ or mean (SD)}}{72,73}$ 0.69 Age, years Franda, % 65.00 72,73 0.69 Non-Hispanic white 50.00 221,27 Hispanic black 25.00 21,27 Hispanic black 25.00 21,27 Hispanic black 0.00 13,64 CHS dipforma 0.00 13,64 0.35 21,36 0.45 Fils dipforma 25.00 22,73 >3,24 3,24 0.42 His dipforma 0.00 13,64 0.35 0.46 1,000 13,64 0.42 Issumance, % 10,00 13,64 0.42 0.42 0.42 0.42 Datal 10,00 10,00 13,64 0.42 0.43 0.43 0.42 0.43 0.44 0.42 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.44 0.42 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43		Immediate receipt of meals $(n=20)$	Delayed receipt of meals $(n=22)$	<i>p</i> value
Age, years 57.66 (12.25) 59.21 (13.11) 0.69 Race/ethnicity,% 65.00 72.73 0.33 Non-Hispanic white 50.00 27.27 0.33 Non-Hispanic black 25.00 27.27 0.33 Non-Hispanic black 25.00 27.27 0.35 Cher 20.00 13.64 0.00 13.64 Other 25.00 22.73 0.35 Non-Hispanic floor 70.00 13.64 0.42 Proton floorna 75.00 10.44 0.42 Proton floorna 15.00 13.64 0.42 Private 15.00 13.64 0.42 Private 15.00 13.64 0.42 Damal 25.00 22.73 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 45.00 22.73 0.34 Demostion of the USA, % 45.00 22.73 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 55.00 71.41 0.72 Cost settened modication underuse, % 50.00 <td< th=""><th></th><th>% or mean (SD)</th><th>% or mean (SD)</th><th></th></td<>		% or mean (SD)	% or mean (SD)	
Female, % 65.00 72.73 0.74 Non-Hispanic white 50.00 59.09 0.83 Non-Hispanic black 25.00 27.27 Hispanic 20.00 13.64 0.35 Other 5.00 0.00 0.35 Editation, % 0.00 13.64 0.35 Non-Hispanic black 25.00 6.64 0.42 Income as parcent of foderal poverty ^{&} (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Insurance, % 12.00 36.36 0.42 Drivate 15.00 36.36 0.42 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.32 Codequate health iteracy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 50.00 71.43 0.32 Starbalt modention in last 12 morts, %	Age, years	57.66 (12.25)	59.21 (13.11)	0.69
Race'dmixing's 0.00 59.09 27.27 Non-Hispanic black 25.00 27.27 Hispanic 20.00 13.64 Other 5.00 0.00 Existion, % 0.0 25.01 Statistion, % 0.00 27.37 Statistion, % 0.00 63.64 Income as percent of federal poverty ^{&} (median) 108.56 170.38 0.42 Insumance, % 15.00 13.64 0.42 Private 15.00 0.00 0.42 Medicare 10.00 0.00 0.42 Danal 52.00 27.37 0.34 Dana outside the USA, % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Dana outside the USA, % 45.00 27.27 0.32 Obarn outside the USA, % 45.00 7.43 0.72 Oppolycemia in last 3 nombs, % 50.00 7.43 0.72 Oppolycemia in last 30 days, % 80.00 7.43 0.72 Stool inscoure in last 30 days, % 80.00 7.43 0.72 Stool inscoure in last 30 days, % 50.00 54.	Female, %	65.00	72.73	0.74
Non-Hispanic white 50.00 50.09 Non-Hispanic black 25.00 27.27 Hispanic 20.00 13.64 Other 5.00 0.00 Education, %	Race/ethnicity,%			0.83
Non-Hispanic black 25.00 27.27 Hispanic 20.00 13.64 000 Cher 5.00 0.00 15.64 Schuptoma 25.00 22.73 015 Schuptoma 25.00 22.73 016 Income as percent of federal poverty ⁶ (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Income as percent of federal poverty ⁶ (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Income as percent of federal poverty ⁶ (median) 20.00 36.36 0.00 Medicaid 20.00 36.36 0.15 Draut 55.00 71.43 0.33 0.32 Dorn outside the USA, * 80.00 71.43 0.72 100 Floog glocation in last 3 months, * 35.00 54.45 0.19 154.45 0.19 SAAP participation in last 12 months, * 80.00 71.43 0.03 54.55 1.00 Federation underuse, * 40.00 55.01 0.50 0.81 1.00 Self-reported health statas "excellent or very good," * <td< td=""><td>Non-Hispanic white</td><td>50.00</td><td>59.09</td><td></td></td<>	Non-Hispanic white	50.00	59.09	
Hispanic 20.00 13.64 Cher 5.00 0.00 CB diploma 0.00 13.64 HS diploma 25.00 22.73 Sh diploma 75.00 63.64 Income as percent of federal povery ⁶ (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Insuance, % 0.42 0.00 36.36 Private 15.00 13.64 0.00 Medicare 10.00 0.00 36.36 Daul 20.00 36.36 0.32 Dom ouside the USA, % 45.00 22.73 0.41 Dom ouside the USA, % 45.00 27.73 0.32 Dom ouside the USA, % 45.00 27.73 0.32 Dom ouside the USA, % 45.00 27.73 0.32 Cost-related medication underuse, % 50.00 71.43 0.03 Cost-related medication underuse, % 65.00 64.18 1.00 Food and diabetis status "accellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—neath health* 6.73 (11.57) 13.64 (13.43) 0.088	Non-Hispanic black	25.00	27.27	
Other 5.00 0.00 <hs diploma<="" td=""> 0.00 13.64 HS diploma 25.00 22.73 >HS diploma 75.00 63.64 Income as percent of federal poverty⁶ (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Insurance, % 0.16 0.00 0.00 0.00 Private 10.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 80.00 71.43 0.02 Cost-related medication underse, % 80.00 71.43 0.02 Food insecure in last 3 dors, % 80.00 71.43 0.08 Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of IIIC—metral health* 67.51(1.57) 13.64 (13.43) 0.08 Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % 55.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related metrality of IIC—metral health* 67.51(1.57) 13.64 (13.43) 0.08 Self-reported health status "excellent</hs>	Hispanic	20.00	13.64	
Education, % 0.00 13.64 0.35 41S diploma 25.00 22.73 91S diploma 75.00 63.64 Income as percent of federal poverty ⁶ (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Insurance, % 0.42 Private 15.00 3.64 Medicare 10.00 0.00 Medicare 0.00 22.73 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Dorn outside the USA, % 80.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related mediation underuse, % 80.00 71.43 0.72 Sold-sectrated mediation underuse, % 65.00 54.55 1.00 Sold-reported health status "concellent or very good, " % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—mental health* 1.51 (13.09) 10.50 (10.79) 0.44 Datheted distress score* 6.05 (4.52) 81.4 (4.98) 0.71 Bellerbored duality of life—mental health* 6.75 (1.57) 13.64 (13.43) 0.48 Bellerborelate quality o	Other	5.00	0.00	
<hs diploma<="" td=""> 0.00 13.64 HS diploma 25.00 22.73 >HS diploma 75.00 63.64 Income as percent of federal povery^{&} (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Insurance, % 0.42 0.42 Private 15.00 3.64 0.42 Medicard 20.00 36.35 0.34 Dual 55.00 22.73 0.34 Bom outside the USA, % 40.00 22.73 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.72 Hypoglycemia in last 3 months, % 35.00 71.43 0.03 Scod insecure in last 30 ands, % 80.00 71.43 0.16 Cost-related medication underuse, % 65.00 64.18 1.00 SANP participation in last 12 months, % 65.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—mental health* 1.75 (1.39) 1.05 (10.79) 0.48 Health-related quality of life—mental health* 1.75 (1.309) 0.45 (1.433) 0.08 PHQ-8 score* 0.28,65 9.333 1.00 1.00 1.8</hs>	Education, %			0.35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<hs diploma<="" td=""><td>0.00</td><td>13.64</td><td></td></hs>	0.00	13.64	
$\begin{array}{cccc} 5FS diploma & 75.00 & 63.64 & 0.42 \\ Insurance, \% & 0.42 & 0.42 \\ Private & 15.00 & 13.64 & 0.42 \\ Private & 10.00 & 0$	HS diploma	25.00	22.73	
Income is percent of federal povery ^{&} (median) 108.56 170.38 0.16 Insurance, % 15.00 13.64 0.42 Private 10.00 0.00 Medicare 10.00 0.00 Medicard 20.00 36.36 Dual 55.00 50.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Dorn outside the USA, % 40.00 22.73 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 71.43 0.03 Food inscure in last 30 days, % 80.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, % 21.05 54.55 1.00 Self-reported health status "excellent or vary good, % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Self-reported health status "excellent or vary good, % 55.00 79.5 (1.10) 0.44 Diabetes medications underuse, % 10.30 (13.05) 79.5 (10.10) 0.44 Diabetes medication underuse, % 28.57 26.67 0.65 Health-related quality of life—heath health* 6.75 (11.57) 13.64	>HS diploma	75.00	63.64	
Insurance $\frac{4}{6}$, while there is the proof of the isolation of the proof of the isolation of the proof of the isolation isolation is the isolation isolatisolation isolatisolation isolation isolatisolation	Income as percent of federal poverty ^{&} (median)	108 56	170.38	0.16
Trivite 15.00 13.64 Data Medicare 10.00 0.00 Medicare 10.00 36.36 Dual 55.00 32.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40.00 22.73 0.32 Duration of diabets, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.72 Hypoglycemia in last 3 months, % 35.00 71.43 0.03 Food inscence in last 30 days, % 80.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, % 30.00 71.43 0.72 SSAP participation in last 12 months, % 65.00 64.18 1.00 Heath-related quality of life—health status "excellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Heath-related quality of life—health altht* 13.15 (13.09) 10.50 (10.79) 0.48 Heath-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44 Heath-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44	Insurance %	100.20	170.50	0.42
Heath-arrow 1000 0.007 Medicaid 20.00 36.36 Dual 55.00 50.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40.00 22.73 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.72 Pyoglycenia in last 3 months, % 35.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, % 80.00 27.27 1.00 Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, % 21.05 45.45 0.19 SNAP participation in last 12 months, % 65.00 68.18 1.00 Feath-related quality of lifephysical health* 6.75 (11.57) 1.364 (13.43) 0.08 Health-related quality of lifemental health* 6.05 (4.52) 8.14 (4.98) 0.17 History of coronary heart failure, % 22.86 93.33 1.00 History of oppertsion, % 22.85 3.07 (1.39) 0.98 Prit-S score* 4.21 (2.89) 5.40 (3.07) 0.29 Istory of oppresinon,	Private	15.00	13.64	0.12
Number 20.00 36.36 Dual 20.00 36.36 Dual 55.00 50.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40.00 22.73 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.72 Food inscence in last 30 days, % 80.00 71.43 0.03 Food and diabetes medication wapplies trade-offs, % 21.05 45.45 0.19 SNAP participation in last 12 months, % 65.00 68.18 1.00 Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44 Diabetes distress score* 6.05 (4.52) 8.14 (4.98) 0.17 History of Coronary heart disease, % 28.57 26.67 1.00 History of Coronary heart disease, % 42.12 (2.89) 5.40 (3.07) 0.29 Phet-So (2.41) 7.14 40.00 0.08 Health -related qual	Medicare	10.00	0.00	
Dad 2600 36.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 52.00 Adequate health literacy (NVS > 3), % 45.00 27.27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40.00 27.73 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.72 Hypoglycenia in last 3 months, % 35.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, % 80.00 27.27 1.00 Food and diabetes medication:supplies trade-offs, % 21.05 45.45 0.19 SNAP participation in last 1 months, % 65.00 68.18 1.00 Health-related quality of life—physical health* 13.15 (13.09) 10.50 (10.79) 0.48 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44 Diabetes distress score^ 40.45 (24.67) 3.86 (10.93) 0.89 PitO-S score* 605 (4.52) 8.14 (4.98) 0.17 History of congary heart faluer, % 14.29 26.67 1.00 History of congary heart faluer, % 14.29 <t< td=""><td>Medicaid</td><td>20.00</td><td>36.36</td><td></td></t<>	Medicaid	20.00	36.36	
Data 53:00 50:00 27:27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40:00 27:27 0.34 Born outside the USA, % 40:00 27:27 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years 15:29 (9:36) 14.17 (10:84) 0.72 Hypoglycemia in last 3 months, % 80:00 71.43 0.03 Food inscerie in last 30 days, % 80:00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, % 30:00 27:27 1.00 SNAP participation in last 12 months, % 65:00 64:18 1.00 Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % 55:00 54:55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—health health* 13:15 (13:09) 10:50 (10:79) 0.48 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10:80 (13:05) 7.95 (10:10) 0.44 Diabetes sectication,% 92:86 93:33 1.00 History of congestive heart failure,% 42:10 22:67 26:67 1.00 History of congestive heart failure,% 42:12 29:67 26:67 1.00 </td <td>Dual</td> <td>20.00</td> <td>50.00</td> <td></td>	Dual	20.00	50.00	
Adequate near in increase (in the USA, %) $4,000$ $21,21$ 0.34 Born outside the USA, % $40,00$ $22,73$ 0.32 Duration of diabetes, years $15,29$ (9,36) $14,17$ (10.84) $0,72$ Hypoglycenia in last 3 months, % $35,00$ $71,43$ $0,03$ Food insecure in last 30 days, % $80,00$ $71,43$ $0,72$ Cost-related medication underse, % $30,000$ $27,27$ 1.00 Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, % $21,05$ $45,45$ 0.19 Solf-reported health status "excellent or very good," % $55,00$ $68,18$ 1.00 Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % $55,00$ $68,18$ 1.00 Self-reported upaint of life—mental health* $61,57$ ($11,57$) $13,64$ ($13,43$) 0.08 Health-related quality of life—mental health* $67,57$ ($11,57$) $33,60$ ($10,93$) 0.89 PHO-8 score* $60,5$ ($4,52$) $81,4$ ($4,98$) 0.17 History of coronary heart disease,% $22,57$ $26,67$ 0.65 History of depression,% $7,14$ $40,00$ 0.08 Charlson combribity score,% $4,21$ ($2,89$) $5,40$ ($3,07$) 0.29 Pre-study HE1 total (range 0–5) 2.94 (2.25) $30,71$ ($1.2,90$) 0.98 HE1 2: greens and beans (range 0–5) 2.94 (2.25) $30,71$ (2.20) 0.32 HE1 2: total dairy (range 0–10) $50,24$ (2.25) $30,72$ (2.10) 0.39 HE1 1: total vegetables (range 0–5) 2.48 (2.25) $30,71$ (2.30) </td <td>Dual A dequate health literaty (NUVS > 2) \mathcal{O}_{i}</td> <td>45.00</td> <td>27.27</td> <td>0.24</td>	Dual A dequate health literaty (NUVS > 2) \mathcal{O}_{i}	45.00	27.27	0.24
Both Outside in CUSA, $\%$ 40.00 22.15 0.52 Duration of diabetes, years 15.29 (9.36) 14.17 (10.84) 0.72 Hypoglycemia in last 3 months, $\%$ 35.00 71.43 0.72 Food inscure in last 30 days, $\%$ 80.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, $\%$ 30.00 27.27 1.00 SNAP participation in last 12 months, $\%$ 65.00 68.18 1.00 Self-reported health statu: "excellent or very good," $\%$ 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.48 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44 Diabetes distress score* 6.05 (4.52) 8.14 (4.98) 0.17 History of congestive heart failure, $\%$ 14.29 26.67 1.00 History of congestive heart failure, $\%$ 14.29 26.67 1.00 History of congestive heart failure, $\%$ 14.29 26.67 1.00 History of congestive heart failure, $\%$ 14.29 26.67	Adequate health interacy ($NVS > 3$), %	43.00	27.27	0.34
Duration of diabetes, years15.29 (9.36)14.17 (10.84)0.72Hypoglycemia in last 3 months, %35.0071.430.03Food insecure in last 30 days, %80.0071.430.72Cost-related medication underuse, %30.0027.271.00Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, %21.0545.450.19SNAP participation in last 12 months, %65.0068.181.00Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," %55.0054.551.00Realth-related quality of life—mental health *13.15 (13.09)10.50 (10.79)0.48Health-related quality of life—mental health *6.75 (11.57)13.64 (13.43)0.08Health-related quality of life—mental health *6.05 (4.52)8.14 (4.98)0.17History of bypertension, %92.8693.331.00History of bypertension, %28.5726.670.65History of depression, %7.1440.000.08Charlos comorbidity scorc. %4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Charlos comorbidity scorc. %14.292.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0-5)1.90 (4.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0-5)2.94 (2.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0-5)2.61 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 1: reinder grain (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35H	Born outside the USA, %	40.00	22.73	0.32
Hypogycernia in last 3 months, %55.00/1.430.03Food inscerue in last 30 days, %80.0027.271.00Cost-related medication underuse, %30.0027.271.00SNAP participation in last 12 months, %65.0068.181.00Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," %55.0054.551.00Health-related quality of life—physical health*13.15 (13.09)10.50 (10.79)0.48Health-related quality of life—mental health*6.75 (11.57)13.64 (13.43)0.08Health-related quality of life—health interference*10.80 (13.05)7.95 (10.10)0.44Diabetes distress score^6.05 (4.52)8.14 (4.98)0.17History of coronary heart disease, %22.8693.331.00History of coronary heart disease, %22.86726.670.65History of digression, %7.1440.000.08History of digression, %4.12 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0–15)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.25)0.25HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5)2.48 (2.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 4: stotal foruit (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: total protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.47)3.03 (3.58)0.68HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81	Duration of diabetes, years	15.29 (9.36)	14.17 (10.84)	0.72
Food mexcure in last 30 days, % 80.00 71.43 0.72 Cost-related medication underuse, % 30.00 27.27 1.00 Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, % 21.05 45.45 0.19 SNAP participation in last 12 months, % 65.00 68.18 1.00 Self-reported health stats "excellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—mental health* 6.75 (11.57) 13.64 (13.43) 0.08 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44 Diabetes distress score^ 34.45 (14.67) 33.86 (10.93) 0.89 PHQ-8 score* 6.05 (4.52) 8.14 (4.98) 0.17 History of coronary heart disease, % 28.57 26.67 0.65 History of coronary heart failure, % 14.29 26.67 0.65 History of corpersion, % 7.14 40.00 0.98 HEI 1: total (range 0–100) 57.27 (14.65) 57.16 (13.49) 0.98 HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5) 3.01 (1.88) 3.03 (1.63) 0.98 HEI 3: total protein (range 0–5) 2.16 (2.27) <	Hypoglycemia in last 3 months, %	35.00	71.43	0.03
Cost-related medication underuse, %30.0027.271.00Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, %21.0545.450.19SNAP participation in last 12 months, %65.0068.181.00Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," %55.0054.551.00Health-related quality of life—mental health*6.75 (11.57)13.64 (13.43)0.08Health-related quality of life—mental health*6.75 (11.57)13.64 (13.43)0.08Health-related quality of life—mental health*6.05 (4.52)8.14 (4.98)0.17Dibest sitters score*6.05 (4.52)8.14 (4.98)0.17History of congary heart disease.%28.5726.671.00History of congestive heart failure,%14.2926.670.65History of congestive heart failure,%4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0–5)3.01 (1.88)3.03 (1.63)0.98HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.54HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.54HEI 5: total fruit (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 1: total protein (range 0–5)	Food insecure in last 30 days, %	80.00	71.43	0.72
Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, % 21.05 45.45 0.19 SNAP participation in last 12 months, % 65.00 68.18 1.00 Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—health health* 13.15 (13.09) 10.50 (10.79) 0.48 Health-related quality of life—health interference* 10.80 (13.05) 7.95 (10.10) 0.44 Diabetes distress score^ 34.45 (14.67) 33.86 (10.93) 0.89 PHO-8 score* 6.05 (4.52) 8.14 (4.98) 0.17 History of coronary heart disease,% 28.57 26.67 1.00 History of coronary heart failure,% 4.21 (2.89) 5.40 (3.07) 0.29 Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100) 57.27 (14.65) 57.16 (13.49) 0.98 HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5) 2.16 (2.27) 3.00 (2.35) 0.25 HEI 2: storth adbards frange 0–5) 2.16 (2.27) 3.00 (2.35) 0.25 HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5) 2.61 (2.27) 3.00 (2.35) 0.25 HEI 4: vhole fruit (range 0–5) 2.16 (2.27) 3.00 (2.35) 0.25 HEI 3: total	Cost-related medication underuse, %	30.00	27.27	1.00
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Food and diabetes medication/supplies trade-offs, %	21.05	45.45	0.19
Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," % 55.00 54.55 1.00 Health-related quality of life—hmental health* $13.15(13.09)$ $10.50(10.79)$ 0.48 Health-related quality of life—hmental health* $6.75(11.57)$ $13.64(13.43)$ 0.08 Health-related quality of life—hmental health* $6.75(11.57)$ $13.64(13.43)$ 0.08 Health-related quality of life—hmental health* $6.75(11.57)$ $3.36(10.93)$ 0.89 Diabetes distress score^ $34.45(14.67)$ $33.86(10.93)$ 0.89 PHQ-8 score* $6.05(4.52)$ $8.14(4.98)$ 0.17 History of toppertension.% 28.57 26.67 0.65 History of congestive heart failure.% 14.29 26.67 0.65 Charlson comorbidity score.% $4.21(2.89)$ $5.40(3.07)$ 0.29 Pre-study HEI total (range 0–10) $57.27(14.65)$ $57.16(13.49)$ 0.98 HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5) $1.99(2.42)$ $2.29(2.41)$ 0.70 HEI 3: total futi (range 0–5) $2.16(2.27)$ $3.00(2.35)$ 0.25 HEI 4: whole furti (range 0–5) $2.61(2.27)$ $3.02(3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10) $5.04(3.63)$ $4.78(3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 5: total dairy (range 0–10) $5.04(3.63)$ $4.78(3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5) $2.61(2.46)$ $1.91(2.30)$ 0.54 HEI 7: total dairy (range 0–10) $6.39(3.23)$ $6.56(3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5) $2.61(2.46)$ <td< td=""><td>SNAP participation in last 12 months, %</td><td>65.00</td><td>68.18</td><td>1.00</td></td<>	SNAP participation in last 12 months, %	65.00	68.18	1.00
Health-related quality of life—physical health*13.15 (13.09)10.50 (10.79)0.48Health-related quality of life—health interference*10.80 (13.05)7.95 (10.10)0.44Diabetes distress score*34.45 (14.67)33.86 (10.93)0.89Health-related quality of life—health interference*10.80 (13.05)7.95 (10.10)0.44Diabetes distress score*6.05 (4.52)8.14 (4.98)0.17History of hypertension,%92.8693.331.00History of congestive heart failure,%14.2926.670.65Charlson comorbidity score,%7.1440.000.08Charlson comorbidity score,%4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)57.27 (14.65)57.16 (13.49)0.98HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 2: greens and beams (range 0–5)2.48 (2.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5)2.46 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 11: criend grain (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 11: criend grain (range 0–10)6.39 (3.2	Self-reported health status "excellent or very good," %	55.00	54.55	1.00
Health-related quality of life—health interference* $6.75 (11.57)$ $13.64 (13.43)$ 0.08 Health-related quality of life—health interference* $10.80 (13.05)$ $7.95 (10.10)$ 0.44 Diabetes distress score^ $34.45 (14.67)$ $33.86 (10.93)$ 0.89 PHQ-8 score* $6.05 (4.52)$ $8.14 (4.98)$ 0.17 History of hypertension.% 92.86 93.33 1.00 History of coronary heart disease,% 28.57 26.67 0.65 History of coronary heart failure.% 14.29 26.67 0.65 History of corperssion.% 7.14 40.00 0.08 Charlson comorbidity score.% $4.21 (2.89)$ $5.40 (3.07)$ 0.29 Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100) $57.27 (14.65)$ $57.16 (13.49)$ 0.98 HEI 1: total (range 0–5) $3.01 (1.88)$ $3.03 (1.63)$ 0.98 HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5) $2.48 (2.25)$ $3.07 (2.12)$ 0.39 HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–10) $2.92 (2.71)$ $3.29 (3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 5: total drainy (range 0–10) $5.04 (3.63)$ $4.78 (3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty cick (range 0–10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 7: total protein (range 0–10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 <td>Health-related quality of life-physical health*</td> <td>13.15 (13.09)</td> <td>10.50 (10.79)</td> <td>0.48</td>	Health-related quality of life-physical health*	13.15 (13.09)	10.50 (10.79)	0.48
Health-related quality of life—health interference*10.80 (13.05)7.95 (10.10)0.44Diabetes distress score*34.45 (14.67)33.86 (10.93)0.89PHQ-8 score*6.05 (4.52)8.14 (4.98)0.17History of hypertension,%92.8693.331.00History of congestive heart failure,%14.2926.670.65History of congestive heart failure,%14.2926.670.65Charlson comorbidity score,%7.1440.000.08Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)57.27 (14.65)57.16 (13.49)0.98HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: whole fruit (range 0–10)2.92 (2.71)3.29 (3.84)0.72HEI 6: total dairy (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 1: reinde grain (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 19: schood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 19: schood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 19: schood and plant protein (range 0–10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 11: reinder grain (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.55 (5.59)0.87HEI 11: reinder grain (range 0–20)16.14 (4.75)	Health-related quality of life-mental health*	6.75 (11.57)	13.64 (13.43)	0.08
Diabetes distres's score^ $34.45(14.67)$ $33.86(10.93)$ 0.89 PHQ-8 score# $6.05(4.52)$ $8.14(4.98)$ 0.17 History of hypertension,% 92.86 93.33 1.00 History of coronary heart disease,% 28.57 26.67 0.65 History of depression,% 14.29 26.67 0.65 Phyce depression,% 7.14 40.00 0.08 Charlson comorbidity score,% $4.21(2.89)$ $5.40(3.07)$ 0.29 Pre-study HEI total (range $0-100$) $57.27(14.65)$ $57.16(13.49)$ 0.98 HEI 1: total vegetables (range $0-5$) $1.99(2.42)$ $2.29(2.41)$ 0.70 HEI 3: total arge $0-50$ $2.48(2.25)$ $3.07(2.12)$ 0.39 HEI 4: whole fruit (range $0-5$) $2.16(2.27)$ $3.00(2.35)$ 0.25 HEI 5: total arge $0-10$) $2.92(2.71)$ $3.29(3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range $0-5$) $2.61(2.46)$ $1.91(2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 7: total protein (range $0-5$) $2.61(2.46)$ $1.91(2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range $0-5$) $2.61(2.46)$ $1.91(2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range $0-10$) $6.33(4.04)$ $3.37(3.47)$ 0.69 HEI 10: sodium (range $0-10$) $6.32(3.23)$ $6.56(3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range $0-20$) $16.14(4.75)$ $15.59(5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57(1.72)$ $7.82(1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57(1.72)$ $7.82(1.23)$ $0.$	Health-related quality of life-health interference*	10.80 (13.05)	7.95 (10.10)	0.44
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Diabetes distress score^	34.45 (14.67)	33.86 (10.93)	0.89
History of hypertension,%92.8693.331.00History of coronary heart disease,%28.5726.670.65History of corgestive heart failure,%14.2926.670.65History of depression,%7.1440.000.08Charlson comorbidity score,%4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)57.27 (14.65)57.16 (13.49)0.98HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5)2.48 (2.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.54HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 10: sodium (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 11: refined grain (range 0–20)16.14 (4.75)15.59 (5.13)0.72Baseline howdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline howdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL107.42 (50.08)181.73 (3.6.35)0.76Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL117.42 (50.08)181.73 (3.6.35)0.76Baseline howdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL117.82 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline high-density	PHO-8 score [#]	6.05 (4.52)	8.14 (4.98)	0.17
History of coronary heart disease, 28.57 26.67 1.00 History of congestive heart failure,% 14.29 26.67 0.65 History of congestive heart failure,% 14.29 26.67 0.65 Uistory of depression,% 4.21 (2.89) 5.40 (3.07) 0.29 Charlson comorbidity score,% 4.21 (2.89) 5.40 (3.07) 0.29 Pre-study HEI total (range $0-100$) 57.27 (14.65) 57.16 (13.49) 0.98 HEI 1: total vegetables (range $0-5$) 3.01 (1.88) 3.03 (1.63) 0.98 HEI 2: greens and beans (range $0-5$) 1.99 (2.42) 2.29 (2.41) 0.70 HEI 3: total fruit (range $0-5$) 2.16 (2.27) 3.00 (2.35) 0.25 HEI 4: whole fruit (range $0-10$) 2.92 (2.71) 3.29 (3.84) 0.72 HEI 5: wholegrain (range $0-10$) 5.04 (3.63) 4.78 (3.48) 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range $0-5$) 2.61 (2.46) 1.91 (2.30) 0.35 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range $0-5$) 2.61 (2.46) 1.91 (2.30) 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range $0-10$) 6.33 (3.47) 5.83 (3.58) 0.86 HEI 11: refined grain (range $0-10$) 6.33 (3.47) 5.83 (3.59) 0.87 HEI 12: "empty" calories (range $0-20$) 16.14 (4.75) 7.59 (5.13) 0.72 Baseline how-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 101.89 (45.76) 109.18 (29.81) 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 177.42 (50.08) 181.73 (36.35	History of hypertension.%	92.86	93.33	1.00
History of congestive heart failure, %14.2926.670.65History of depression, %7.1440.000.08Charlson comorbidity score, %4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0-100)57.27 (14.65)57.16 (13.49)0.98HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0-5)3.01 (1.88)3.03 (1.63)0.98HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0-5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 3: total fruit (range 0-5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0-5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0-10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.54HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20)16.14 (4.75)15.59 (5.13)0.72Baseline hemoglobin A Ic, %8.57 (1.72)7.82 (1.23)0.12Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL117.42 (50.08)181.73 (36.35)0.76Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL14.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL14.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.60Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98) <td< td=""><td>History of coronary heart disease.%</td><td>28.57</td><td>26.67</td><td>1.00</td></td<>	History of coronary heart disease.%	28.57	26.67	1.00
History of depression,7.1440.000.08Charlson comorbidity score,4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)57.27 (14.65)57.16 (13.49)0.98HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5)3.01 (1.88)3.03 (1.63)0.98HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5)2.48 (2.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10)2.92 (2.71)3.29 (3.84)0.72HEI 6: total dairy (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 10: sodium (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 11: refined grain (range 0–20)16.14 (4.75)15.59 (5.13)0.72Baseline hemoglobin A1c, $\%$ 8.57 (1.72)7.82 (1.23)0.12Baseline tow-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL107.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline ingh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL147.26 (12.93)45.50 (8.57)0.62Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline ingh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)<	History of congestive heart failure %	14.29	26.67	0.65
Charlson comorbidity score, $\%$ 4.21 (2.89)5.40 (3.07)0.29Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)57.27 (14.65)57.16 (13.49)0.98HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5)3.01 (1.88)3.03 (1.63)0.98HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0–5)1.99 (2.42)2.29 (2.41)0.70HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5)2.48 (2.25)3.07 (2.12)0.39HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5)2.16 (2.27)3.00 (2.35)0.25HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10)2.92 (2.71)3.29 (3.84)0.72HEI 6: total dairy (range 0–10)5.04 (3.63)4.78 (3.48)0.81HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)6.33 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 11: refined grain (range 0–20)1.614 (4.75)15.59 (5.13)0.72Baseline hemoglobin A1c, $\%$ 8.57 (1.72)7.82 (1.23)0.12Baseline tow-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline toil cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline tiglycerides, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)	History of depression %	7.14	40.00	0.08
Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100) 57.27 (14.65) 57.16 (13.49) 0.98 HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0–5) 1.99 (2.42) 2.29 (2.41) 0.70 HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5) 1.99 (2.42) 2.29 (2.41) 0.70 HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5) 2.48 (2.25) 3.07 (2.12) 0.39 HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10) 2.92 (2.71) 3.29 (3.84) 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range 0–10) 5.04 (3.63) 4.78 (3.48) 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5) 2.61 (2.46) 1.91 (2.30) 0.35 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5) 2.61 (2.46) 1.91 (2.30) 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10) 6.03 (3.47) 5.83 (3.58) 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0–10) 6.33 (4.04) 3.37 (3.47) 0.69 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0–20) 16.14 (4.75) 15.59 (5.13) 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % 8.57 (1.72) 7.82 (1.23) 0.12 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 107.42 (50.08) 181.73 (36.35) 0.76 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 17.42 (50.08) 181.73 (36.35) 0.76 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57) 0.62 Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57) 0.62 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57) 0.62 Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57)	Charlson comorbidity score %	4 21 (2 89)	5 40 (3 07)	0.29
Inclusive function $(2, 1)^{(1, 2)}$ $(2, 1)^{(1, 2)}$ $(3, 1)^{(1, 2)}$ $(3, 1)^{(1, 2)}$ Itel 1: total vegetables (range 0-5) $3, 01$ (1.88) $3, 03$ (1.63) $0, 98$ HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0-5) $1, 99$ (2.42) 2.29 (2.41) $0, 70$ HEI 3: total fruit (range 0-5) 2.48 (2.25) $3, 07$ (2.12) $0, 39$ HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0-5) 2.16 (2.27) $3, 00$ (2.35) 0.25 HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0-10) 2.92 (2.71) 3.29 (3.84) $0, 72$ HEI 6: total dairy (range 0-10) 5.04 (3.63) $4, 78$ (3.48) 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5) 2.61 (2.46) 1.91 (2.30) 0.35 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5) 2.61 (2.46) 1.91 (2.30) 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) 6.39 (3.23) 6.56 (3.59) 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-10) 6.39 (3.23) 6.56 (3.59) 0.87 HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20) 16.14 (4.75) 15.59 (5.13) 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, $\%$ 8.57 (1.72) 7.82 (1.23) 0.12 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 107.89 (45.76) 109.18 (29.81) 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 177.42 (50.08) 181.73 (36.35) 0.76 Baseline tiglycerides, mg/dL 141.58 (86.25) 135.45 (65.98) 0.80 Baseline tiglycerides, mg/dL 141.58 (86.25) 135.45 (65.98) 0.64 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56 </td <td>Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)</td> <td>57 27 (14 65)</td> <td>57 16 (13 49)</td> <td>0.98</td>	Pre-study HEI total (range 0–100)	57 27 (14 65)	57 16 (13 49)	0.98
HEI 1: bit (hige 0-5)1.99 (2.42)2.99 (2.41)0.70HEI 3: total fruit (range 0-5)2.48 (2.25) $3.07 (2.12)$ 0.39 HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0-5)2.16 (2.27) $3.00 (2.35)$ 0.25 HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0-10)2.92 (2.71) $3.29 (3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range 0-10) $5.04 (3.63)$ $4.78 (3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46) $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.54 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46) $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $107.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.76 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline bigb-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline bigb-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.60 Baseline bigb-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline bigb-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ 1	HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0_5)	3 01 (1 88)	3 03 (1 63)	0.98
Hill 2. greats and octal fruit (range 0-5) $1.95 (2.72)$ $2.25 (2.41)$ 0.70 HEI 3. total fruit (range 0-5) $2.48 (2.25)$ $3.07 (2.12)$ 0.39 HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0-5) $2.16 (2.27)$ $3.00 (2.35)$ 0.25 HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0-10) $2.92 (2.71)$ $3.29 (3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range 0-5) $4.67 (0.93)$ $4.78 (3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.54 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.62 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $79.38 (11.30)$ $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEL 2: greens and beans (range 0, 5)	1.00(2.42)	2.00(1.03)	0.70
HEI 5: bit and (targe 0-5) $2.48 (2.25)$ $3.07 (2.12)$ 0.59 HEI 5: whole fruit (range 0-5) $2.16 (2.27)$ $3.00 (2.35)$ 0.25 HEI 5: whole grain (range 0-10) $2.92 (2.71)$ $3.29 (3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range 0-10) $5.04 (3.63)$ $4.78 (3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.54 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline how-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.62 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.52 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.52 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $135.25 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEL 2: total fruit (range 0, 5)	2.48(2.25)	2.27(2.41) 3.07(2.12)	0.70
HEI 4: whole full (large 0-5) $2.16 (2.27)$ $3.00 (2.35)$ 0.23 HEI 5: whole grain (range 0-10) $2.92 (2.71)$ $3.29 (3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range 0-10) $5.04 (3.63)$ $4.78 (3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5) $4.67 (0.93)$ $4.45 (1.30)$ 0.54 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline how-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $135.25 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg $79.38 (11.30)$ $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEI 3. total fluit (faige $0-3$) HEI 4: whole fruit (range $0, 5$)	2.70(2.23) 2.16(2.27)	3.07(2.12) 3.00(2.35)	0.39
HEI 5. wholegrain (range 0-10) $2.52 (2.71)$ $3.29 (3.84)$ 0.72 HEI 6: total dairy (range 0-10) $5.04 (3.63)$ $4.78 (3.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5) $4.67 (0.93)$ $4.45 (1.30)$ 0.54 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline how-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.76 Baseline sity lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $79.38 (11.30)$ $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEI 4. Whole Hull (lange 0–5)	2.10(2.27) 2.02(2.71)	3.00 (2.53)	0.23
HEI 6: total daily (range 0-10) $5.04 (5.65)$ $4.78 (5.48)$ 0.81 HEI 7: total protein (range 0-5) $4.67 (0.93)$ $4.45 (1.30)$ 0.54 HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5) $2.61 (2.46)$ $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline homoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.76 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $135.25 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline bigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $103.52 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline bighe diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg $79.38 (11.30)$ $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEL 5. WHOlegrain (range 0–10)	2.92(2.71)	5.29 (5.64)	0.72
HEI /: total protein (range 0-5)4.67 (0.95)4.43 (1.30)0.54HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46)1.91 (2.30)0.35HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10)6.03 (3.47)5.83 (3.58)0.86HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10)3.83 (4.04)3.37 (3.47)0.69HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-10)6.39 (3.23)6.56 (3.59)0.87HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20)16.14 (4.75)15.59 (5.13)0.72Baseline homoglobin A1c, %8.57 (1.72)7.82 (1.23)0.12Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg135.25 (26.04)132.16 (14.32)0.64Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70)35.69 (7.41)0.56	HEI 6: total dairy (range 0–10)	5.04(3.03)	4.78 (3.48)	0.81
HEI 8: seatood and plant protein (range 0-5)2.61 (2.46) $1.91 (2.30)$ 0.35 HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $3.83 (4.04)$ $3.37 (3.47)$ 0.69 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.72 Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg $79.38 (11.30)$ $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEL 7: total protein (range 0–5)	4.07 (0.95)	4.45 (1.50)	0.34
HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0-10) $6.03 (3.47)$ $5.83 (3.58)$ 0.86 HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $3.83 (4.04)$ $3.37 (3.47)$ 0.69 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.72 Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL $141.58 (86.25)$ $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg $79.38 (11.30)$ $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEI 8: seafood and plant protein (range 0–5)	2.61 (2.46)	1.91 (2.30)	0.35
HEI 10: sodium (range 0-10) $3.83 (4.04)$ $3.37 (3.47)$ 0.69 HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.76 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $47.26 (12.93)$ $45.50 (8.57)$ 0.62 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $135.25 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)	6.03 (3.47)	5.83 (3.58)	0.86
HEI 11: refined grain (range 0-10) $6.39 (3.23)$ $6.56 (3.59)$ 0.87 HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20) $16.14 (4.75)$ $15.59 (5.13)$ 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, % $8.57 (1.72)$ $7.82 (1.23)$ 0.12 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL $101.89 (45.76)$ $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL $177.42 (50.08)$ $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.76 Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL $47.26 (12.93)$ $45.50 (8.57)$ 0.62 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $135.25 (26.04)$ $132.16 (14.32)$ 0.64 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEI 10: sodium (range 0–10)	3.83 (4.04)	3.37 (3.47)	0.69
HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0–20)16.14 (4.75)15.59 (5.13) 0.72 Baseline hemoglobin A1c, %8.57 (1.72)7.82 (1.23) 0.12 Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76) $109.18 (29.81)$ 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL177.42 (50.08) $181.73 (36.35)$ 0.76 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL47.26 (12.93) $45.50 (8.57)$ 0.62 Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL141.58 (86.25) $135.45 (65.98)$ 0.80 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg79.38 (11.30) $77.52 (11.52)$ 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m² $34.48 (5.70)$ $35.69 (7.41)$ 0.56	HEI 11: refined grain (range 0–10)	6.39 (3.23)	6.56 (3.59)	0.87
Baseline hemoglobin A1c, $\%$ 8.57 (1.72)7.82 (1.23)0.12Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL101.89 (45.76)109.18 (29.81)0.56Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL177.42 (50.08)181.73 (36.35)0.76Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL47.26 (12.93)45.50 (8.57)0.62Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL141.58 (86.25)135.45 (65.98)0.80Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg135.25 (26.04)132.16 (14.32)0.64Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg79.38 (11.30)77.52 (11.52)0.60Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70)35.69 (7.41)0.56	HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0–20)	16.14 (4.75)	15.59 (5.13)	0.72
Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 101.89 (45.76) 109.18 (29.81) 0.56 Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 177.42 (50.08) 181.73 (36.35) 0.76 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57) 0.62 Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL 141.58 (86.25) 135.45 (65.98) 0.80 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 135.25 (26.04) 132.16 (14.32) 0.64 Baseline body mass index, kg/m² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline hemoglobin A1c, %	8.57 (1.72)	7.82 (1.23)	0.12
Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL 177.42 (50.08) 181.73 (36.35) 0.76 Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57) 0.62 Baseline righ/cerides, mg/dL 141.58 (86.25) 135.45 (65.98) 0.80 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 135.25 (26.04) 132.16 (14.32) 0.64 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL	101.89 (45.76)	109.18 (29.81)	0.56
Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL 47.26 (12.93) 45.50 (8.57) 0.62 Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL 141.58 (86.25) 135.45 (65.98) 0.80 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 135.25 (26.04) 132.16 (14.32) 0.64 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 79.38 (11.30) 77.52 (11.52) 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline total cholesterol, mg/dL	177.42 (50.08)	181.73 (36.35)	0.76
Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL 141.58 (86.25) 135.45 (65.98) 0.80 Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 135.25 (26.04) 132.16 (14.32) 0.64 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 79.38 (11.30) 77.52 (11.52) 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL	47.26 (12.93)	45.50 (8.57)	0.62
Baseline systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 135.25 (26.04) 132.16 (14.32) 0.64 Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 79.38 (11.30) 77.52 (11.52) 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline triglycerides, mg/dL	141.58 (86.25)	135.45 (65.98)	0.80
Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 79.38 (11.30) 77.52 (11.52) 0.60 Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline systolic blood pressure. mm Hg	135.25 (26.04)	132.16 (14.32)	0.64
Baseline body mass index, kg/m ² 34.48 (5.70) 35.69 (7.41) 0.56	Baseline diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	79.38 (11.30)	77.52 (11.52)	0.60
	Baseline body mass index, kg/m ²	34.48 (5.70)	35.69 (7.41)	0.56

HEI, Health Eating Index; NVS, newest vital sign; *range is 0–30, lower score is better; ^range is 17–102, lower is better; [#]Patient Health Questionnaire 8, range 0–24, lower is better; [&]based on 2016 federal poverty guideline: https://aspe.hhs.gov/computations-2016-poverty-guidelines. HEI subscales are scored such that a high score always indicates "healthier" consumption. For subscales 1–8, a higher score represents higher consumption. For subscales 9–12, guidelines emphasize that these dietary components should be consumed in moderation; thus, a higher score indicates lower consumption

participant-level random effects term to account for repeated assessments. The primary comparison was between "onmeals" and "off-meals" HEI scores, allowing participants to serve as their own controls. Models were adjusted for prestudy HEI score, and study arm to account for any effect of the order in which the intervention was received. The pre-study HEI was a one-time assessment conducted when participants knew they were attending a study visit for dietary assessment. Therefore, it might not accurately represent their usual diet. For this reason, in our pre-specified analysis plan, we chose to use this only as an adjustment variable, rather than calculating "change from baseline" scores. Rather, we specified that the "on-meal" and "off-meal" scores, which included multiple unscheduled assessments, were the contrast of interest. We conducted sensitivity analyses that tested for an interaction between the order in which the intervention was received and the HEI score in the "on-meals" compared with "off-meals" period. To analyze secondary outcomes, we accounted for repeated measures with generalized estimating equations. A two-sided p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. With these analysis specifications, and assuming retention of at least 40 participants, we calculated that the study had greater than 80% power to detect a difference of 5 points or more in the total HEI score. Study data were collected and managed using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) tools hosted at Partners HealthCare. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Forty-four participants enrolled in the study. Two participants withdrew from the study, one prior to beginning the study, citing time constraints, and one after receiving 1 week of food delivery, citing gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea/vomiting and diarrhea). Forty-one participants were recruited from within the main primary care network, and three were referred in from outside the main network. We completed telephone follow-up for primary outcome assessment in all 42 remaining study participants. In-person follow-up for secondary outcome assessment was completed for 39 of 42 "off-meal" periods and 31 of 42 "on-meal" periods (Fig. 1). The mean age of study participants was 58.5 (SD 12.6) years, 69% were women, and 54% were non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity (Table 1). Thirty-one percent had high school diploma or less education, and the median income level was 140% of the federal poverty level. Mean baseline BMI was 35.1 (SD 6.6) kg/m², and mean baseline HbA1c was 8.2% [66 mmol/mol] (SD 1.5% [16.4 mmol/mol]). The cost of the meal program (meals and delivery) was approximately \$350 per individual per month.

Participants experienced significant improvements in total HEI score while receiving meals (Table 2). Mean "on-meal" total HEI score was 71.3 (SD 7.5) while mean "off-meal" HEI score was 39.9 (SD 7.8), for a difference of 31.4 points (p < 0.0001). Participants experienced improvements in almost all sub-categories of HEI score, most notably for increases in consumption of vegetables, fruits, and whole grains, and decreases in "empty" calories from solid fats, alcohol, and added sugars. We did not observe an interaction between order of receiving the intervention (immediate versus delayed) and total HEI score during the "on-meals" vs. "offmeals" period. The difference in mean HEI score between "on-meals" and "off-meals" periods for those who received the intervention first was 30.5 points, and the difference in mean HEI score between "on-meals" and "off-meals" periods for those who received the intervention after a waiting period was 32.3 points (p for interaction = 0.57).

Participants reported large reductions in food insecurity, with 42% reporting food insecurity during "on-meal" vs. 62% during "off-meal" period, p = 0.047. Participants also reported reductions in hypoglycemia, with 47% reporting hypoglycemia during "on-meal" vs. 64% during "off-meal" period, p = 0.03, along with improvements in the mental health component of health-related quality of life (Table 3). Exploratory outcomes, which, as expected, did not show significant changes during the short study period, are presented in Table 4.

One patient reported gastrointestinal symptoms during the "on-meals" period. No other study-related adverse events were reported.

Variable	On-meals Mean (SD)	Off-meals Mean (SD)	<i>p</i> value	
HEI total (range 0–100)	71.30 (7.49)	39.89 (7.80)	< 0.0001	
HEI 1: total vegetables (range 0-5)	4.45 (0.63	2.51 (1.01)	< 0.0001	
HEI 2: greens and beans (range 0-5)	3.83 (1.32)	0.79 (1.09)	< 0.0001	
HEI 3: total fruit (range 0–5)	3.53 (1.26)	1.32 (1.12)	< 0.0001	
HEI 4: whole fruit (range 0–5)	3.88 (1.27)	1.13 (1.11)	< 0.0001	
HEI 5: wholegrain (range 0–10)	5.22 (2.58)	1.74 (1.60)	< 0.0001	
HEI 6: total dairy (range 0–10)	5.39 (2.37)	4.75 (1.92)	0.17	
HEI 7: total protein (range 0–5)	4.85 (0.52)	3.77 (1.03)	< 0.0001	
HEI 8: seafood and plant protein	3.47 (1.22)	1.39 (1.19)	< 0.0001	
(range 0-5)				
HEI 9: fatty acids (range 0–10)	7.80 (1.88)	4.63 (2.15)	< 0.0001	
HEI 10: sodium (range 0–10)	1.56 (1.41)	3.17 (1.92)	0.0002	
HEI 11: refined grain (range 0–10)	9.07 (1.68)	5.78 (2.39)	< 0.0001	
HEI 12: "empty" calories (range 0-20)	18.25 (2.19)	8.89 (3.52)	< 0.0001	

 Table 2 Differences in Healthy Eating Index (HEI) Total Score and Subscores at the End of the "On-Meals" and "Off-Meals" Period with

 Participants Serving as Their Own Controls per the Randomized Cross-over Design

HEI, Health Eating Index. HEI subscales are scored such that a high score always indicates "healthier" consumption. For subscales 1–8, a higher score represents higher consumption. For subscales 9–12, guidelines emphasize that these dietary components should be consumed in moderation; thus, a higher score indicates lower consumption

Table 3	Participant-Reported	Outcomes
---------	----------------------	----------

	On-Meals	Off-Meals	<i>p</i> value
	$\overline{N=31}$	N=39	
Percent reporting food insecurity	41.94	61.54	0.047
Percent reporting hypoglycemia in prior 3 months	46.67	63.89	0.03
Percent reporting health status "excellent or very good"	54.84	48.72	0.50
Health-related quality of life—physical health*	13.87	12.05	0.50
Health-related quality of life-mental health*	5.65	9.59	0.03
Health-related quality of life—health interference*	8.19	7.87	0.89
Diabetes distress^	30.94	30.82	0.96
Percent reporting cost-related medication underuse	22.58	28.21	0.52
Percent reporting food-medication trade-offs in prior 3 months	29.03	23.08	0.12
PHQ-8 score ^{&}	6.16	6.13	0.96

*Range is 0–30, lower score is better; ^range is 17–102, lower is better; [&]PHQ-8, Patient Health Questionnaire 8, range 0–24, lower is better

DISCUSSION

In this randomized cross-over clinical trial, home delivery of medically tailored meals to food-insecure individuals with diabetes substantially improved dietary quality, improved food insecurity, and reduced hypoglycemia. Medically tailored meals were also acceptable to participants. To put the change in dietary quality in context, nationally representative data from the USA indicates that the mean HEI for adults is 49.6, with an important gap between those with low socioeconomic status (mean 44.9) and high socioeconomic status (mean 53.1).²¹ In this study, the "off-meal" HEI score was lower than this mean, while the "onmeal" was higher, illustrating the magnitude of improvement seen during the intervention. Prior studies have shown that sustained differences in dietary quality of a similar magnitude to that seen here, albeit using a different index of dietary quality, have been associated with 41% reduction in all-cause mortality in men with type 2 diabetes.²²

While it seems logical that a direct feeding program would improve dietary quality, this had not been demonstrated previously in the context of type 2 diabetes. Because direct feeding programs inherently reduce food choice and may suffer from issues of cultural acceptability or palatability, it was possible that the program would not be accepted by the participants. Further, since the intervention provided only 50% of meals, consumption of less healthy food outside the program could have undermined any change in dietary quality. The results of this study show, however, that the intervention was not affected by these concerns. Prior, non-randomized, pre/post studies of medically tailored meals²³ and food pantry-based interventions²⁴ have also suggested benefit of the direct food provision, but the use of random assignment in this study strengthens the evidence base to support a causal effect between the medically tailored meal program and improved dietary quality.

This study is consistent with and expands our knowledge regarding food insecurity interventions in individuals with diabetes. Food insecurity is a problem of both food access and food utilization. The United Nation's Food and Agriculture Organization considers food security to include both economic food access (the ability to afford food appropriate to one's needs) and food utilization (the ability to use the food available considering cultural acceptability, time and equipment needed to prepare it, knowledge, and taste preferences).²⁵ The most common intervention strategies in the USA are income-transfer programs (like the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program [SNAP]), which provide economic resources to improve food access. Prior work has suggested that SNAP may be associated with reduced health care expenditures among American adults.^{26–28} The intervention studied is of a different type—a food provision program tailored to the

	· ·				
	On-meals		Off-meals		<i>p</i> value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Hemoglobin A1c, %	7.99	1.86	8.15	1.72	0.57
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL	97.54	35.67	101.43	43.11	0.93
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	182.63	47.30	178.69	43.82	0.67
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL	45.81	11.23	46.36	10.20	0.59
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	133.04	17.60	136.00	18.77	0.39
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	76.86	8.65	78.16	10.11	0.29
Baseline body mass index, kg/m ²	34.24	6.76	34.79	6.97	0.93
Triglycerides, mg/dL	142.00*	n/a	127.50*	n/a	0.16**

Table 4 Exploratory Biometric Outcomes

*Values presented are median owing to highly non-normal distribution; **p value from non-parametric signed rank test [for paired non-normally distributed data]

These were exploratory outcomes, and the trial was not designed or powered to test hypotheses regarding changes in these outcomes

medical needs of participants. Food provision programs can improve both food access and food utilization by overcoming further barriers that income-transfer programs may not address, such as insufficient time or knowledge to prepare healthy meals²⁹ or choosing to purchase foods less appropriate to manage diabetes, particularly if healthier foods are less palatable and putative future health benefits are not as salient when in difficult financial circumstances.³⁰ The medically tailored meal program helped make healthy, prepared foods readily available. Prior studies have observed that food insecurity is associated with worse mental health.³¹ This study found that the mental health component of health-related quality of life improved when "on-meals," although we caution that this was a secondary study outcome.

This study included socioeconomically vulnerable and racially/ethnically diverse individuals who have historically been underrepresented in studies of diabetes interventions and in clinical research in general, in part due to significant barriers to participation, some of which were evident in this trial. In particular, detailed and rigorous in-person assessments were essential in order to maximize internal validity, but asking participants who often face significant time constraints and transportation barriers to come in for study visits likely limited the number who were able to participate. Since the intervention concept was unproven, we believe an "efficacy"-type design which emphasized internal validity, potentially at the expense of generalizability, was appropriate as a first step. Going forward, we believe that future work should emphasize a pragmatic or "effectiveness" approach in order to enhance the external validity of future findings. Notably, the intervention itself (home delivery of meals), as separate from the procedures necessary to study it in this instance, helps overcome many barriers to participation.

As would be expected in a sample of this study's size, there was some residual imbalance of covariates between the immediate and delayed intervention groups, as seen in Table 1. However, because of the cross-over design of the trial, the comparison is performed within individuals at different times (that is, when they are receiving and not receiving meals), rather than between the immediate and delayed intervention groups. Therefore, these differences do not affect the interpretation of the results.

Results from this study should be interpreted in light of several limitations. This was a short-term study designed to test whether a medically tailored meal program could change dietary quality in a way that would be expected to lead to clinical improvements in a larger-scale study. While adequate for this purpose, results should not be extrapolated to longer time frames or larger groups at this time. Additionally, while follow-up for the primary outcome was adequate, there was loss to in-person follow-up for some secondary outcomes, which may have reduced power, or, if loss to follow-up was differential, affected the results. To help mitigate this, however, all analyses were conducted using the intention-to-treat principle to preserve randomization. Neither participants nor study staff were masked to intervention assignment. Owing to high respondent burden, we did not directly track adherence to meals. The proportion of women included in the study was higher than that of the primary care network from which participants were recruited. These limitations are balanced by several strengths. These include the enrollment of a racial/ ethnically diverse and socioeconomically vulnerable sample who are often left out of studies of diabetes interventions.³² Follow-up for the primary outcome was excellent, and the randomized design and detailed in-person assessments enhance the internal validity of the findings. Overall, the study population was representative of the primary care population from which it was drawn.³³ Finally, the cross-over design provided additional control for unmeasured, time-invariant factors, as participants were being compared with themselves in different time periods.

This study has important implications for future work. The promising results in this short-term study justify longer-term studies to elucidate the impact of medically tailored meal programs on measures of diabetes control, along with clinical outcomes such as reductions in hypoglycemia and use of healthcare services. The findings also figure into larger national discussions regarding the best way for clinical care organizations to address social determinants of health. In the Accountable Health Communities model from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, food insecurity is a priority area for screening, but the optimal interventional strategy for those who screen positive remains unclear.³⁴ Currently, medically tailored meals are a covered service under the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program and under recent changes to the Medicare Advantage program.³⁵ They are not covered in larger federal programs such as traditional Medicare or Medicaid fee-for-service programs. Should the value of medically tailored meals be demonstrated³⁶, medically tailored meals might be included as a covered benefit under particular circumstances where the program has been shown to be of benefit.

Medically tailored meal programs show promise for improving dietary quality and reducing food insecurity and hypoglycemia in individuals with diabetes. We believe longerterm studies are warranted. These should study the effects of medically tailored meal programs on diabetes control and well-being among individuals with diabetes and food insecurity. If these studies are successful, medically tailored meal programs may be an important way to improve health for vulnerable individuals with diabetes.

Acknowledgments:

The authors thank the participants of the study and the Diabetes Research Center at Massachusetts General Hospital for serving as the study site.

Author Information: SAB and DJW conceived of the study and drafted the manuscript. JT and LMD conceived of the study and revised the manuscript critically for intellectual content. BS, MR, RS, and NS assisted with collection and interpretation of the data and revised the manuscript critically for intellectual content. All authors give approval of the manuscript version to be submitted.

Corresponding Author: Seth A. Berkowitz, MD MPH; Division of General Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Medicine University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA (e-mail: seth_berkowitz@med.unc.edu).

Funding Information Funding for this study was provided by the Blue Cross Blue Shield Foundation of Massachusetts, BNY Mellon, the Diabetes Research Center and Division of General Internal Medicine at Massachusetts General Hospital, and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K23DK109200. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Compliance with Ethical Standards:

Conflict of Interest: Jean Terranova is an employee of Community Servings. All other authors declare they have nothing to disclose.

Prior Presentation: An interim version of these findings was reported at the 2017 Society for General Internal Medicine annual meeting, in Washington, DC.

Guarantor: Seth A. Berkowitz had full access to all of the data in the study and takes full responsibility for the work as a whole, including the study design, access to data, the integrity of the data, the accuracy of the data analysis, and the decision to submit and publish the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes Fact Sheets. https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/library/factsheets.html. Published December 26, 2017. Accessed 17 Sep 2018.
- Association AD. 1. Improving Care and Promoting Health in Populations: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(Supplement 1):S7-S12. doi:https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-S001
- Bickel G, Nord M, Price C, Hamilton W, Cook J. Guide to Measuring Household Food Security, Revised 2000. March 2000. https://fns-prod. azureedge.net/sites/default/files/FSGuide.pdf. Accessed 17 Sep 2018.
- Berkowitz SA, Baggett TP, Wexler DJ, Huskey KW, Wee CC. Food insecurity and metabolic control among U.S. adults with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2013;36(10):3093–3099. doi:https://doi.org/10.2337/ dc13-0570
- Seligman HK, Laraia BA, Kushel MB. Food insecurity is associated with chronic disease among low-income NHANES participants. J Nutr. 2010;140(2):304–310. doi:https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.109.112573
- American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic Targets. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(Supplement 1):S48-S56. doi:https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-S009
- Seligman HK, Schillinger D. Hunger and socioeconomic disparities in chronic disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(1):6–9. doi:https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMp1000072
- Berkowitz SA, Gao X, Tucker KL. Food-insecure dietary patterns are associated with poor longitudinal glycemic control in diabetes: results from the Boston Puerto Rican Health study. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(9):2587–2592. doi:https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0753
- Basu S, Berkowitz SA, Seligman H. The Monthly Cycle of Hypoglycemia: an Observational Claims-based Study of Emergency Room Visits, Hospital Admissions, and Costs in a Commercially Insured Population. Med Care. 2017;55(7):639–645. doi:https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR. 000000000000728
- Seligman HK, Davis TC, Schillinger D, Wolf MS. Food insecurity is associated with hypoglycemia and poor diabetes self-management in a low-income sample with diabetes. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2010;21(4):1227–1233. doi:https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2010.0921
- Seligman HK, Jacobs EA, Lopez A, Sarkar U, Tschann J, Fernandez A. Food insecurity and hypoglycemia among safety net patients with diabetes. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171(13):1204–1206. doi:https://doi. org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.287

- Seligman HK, Bolger AF, Guzman D, López A, Bibbins-Domingo K. Exhaustion of food budgets at month's end and hospital admissions for hypoglycemia. Health Aff Proj Hope. 2014;33(1):116–123. doi:https://doi. org/10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0096
- Coppell KJ, Kataoka M, Williams SM, Chisholm AW, Vorgers SM, Mann JI. Nutritional intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes who are hyperglycaemic despite optimised drug treatment–Lifestyle Over and Above Drugs in Diabetes (LOADD) study: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2010;341:c3337.
- Look AHEAD Research Group, Wing RR. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(17):1566–1575. doi:https://doi.org/10.1001/ archinternmed.2010.334
- Gottlieb LM, Wing H, Adler NE. A Systematic Review of Interventions on Patients' Social and Economic Needs. Am J Prev Med. July 2017. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.05.011
- Hager ER, Quigg AM, Black MM, et al. Development and validity of a 2item screen to identify families at risk for food insecurity. Pediatrics. 2010;126(1):e26–32. doi:https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-3146
- Gundersen C, Engelhard EE, Crumbaugh AS, Seligman HK. Brief assessment of food insecurity accurately identifies high-risk US adults. Public Health Nutr. 2017;20(8):1367–1371. doi:https://doi.org/10. 1017/S1368980017000180
- Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion. Healthy Eating Index (HEI). https://www.cnpp.usda.gov/healthyeatingindex. Accessed 17 Sep 2018.
- Schwingshackl L, Bogensberger B, Hoffmann G. Diet Quality as Assessed by the Healthy Eating Index, Alternate Healthy Eating Index, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension Score, and Health Outcomes: an Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2018;118(1):74–100.e11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jand.2017.08.024
- HEI Scores for Examining the Effect of an Intervention. https://epi. grants.cancer.gov/hei/hei-scores-examining-effect-of-intervention.html. Accessed 17 Sep 2018.
- Wang DD, Leung CW, Li Y, et al. Trends in Dietary Quality Among Adults in the United States, 1999 Through 2010. JAMA Intern Med. 2014;174(10):1587–1595. doi:https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed. 2014.3422
- Patel YR, Gadiraju TV, Gaziano JM, Djoussé L. Adherence to healthy lifestyle factors and risk of death in men with diabetes mellitus: the Physicians' Health Study. Clin Nutr Edinb Scotl. November 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2016.11.003
- Palar K, Napoles T, Hufstedler LL, et al. Comprehensive and Medically Appropriate Food Support Is Associated with Improved HIV and Diabetes Health. J Urban Health Bull N Y Acad Med. 2017;94(1):87–99. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-016-0129-7
- Seligman HK, Lyles C, Marshall MB, et al. A Pilot Food Bank Intervention Featuring Diabetes-Appropriate Food Improved Glycemic Control Among Clients In Three States. Health Aff Proj Hope. 2015;34(11):1956–1963. doi:https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.0641
- United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization. An Introduction to the Basic Concepts of Food Security. 2008. http://www.fao.org/docrep/ 013/al936e/al936e00.pdf. Accessed 17 Sep 2018.
- Berkowitz SA, Seligman HK, Rigdon J, Meigs JB, Basu S. Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Participation and Health Care Expenditures Among Low-Income Adults. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(11):1642–1649. doi:https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed. 2017.4841
- Szanton SL, Samuel LJ, Cahill R, et al. Food assistance is associated with decreased nursing home admissions for Maryland's dually eligible older adults. BMC Geriatr. 2017;17(1):162. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12877-017-0553-x
- Samuel LJ, Szanton SL, Cahill R, et al. Does the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Affect Hospital Utilization Among Older Adults? The Case of Maryland Popul Health Manag. July 2017. https:// doi.org/10.1089/pop.2017.0055
- Monsivais P, Aggarwal A, Drewnowski A. Time spent on home food preparation and indicators of healthy eating. Am J Prev Med. 2014;47(6):796–802. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2014.07. 033
- Epstein LH, Jankowiak N, Lin H, Paluch R, Koffarnus MN, Bickel WK. No food for thought: moderating effects of delay discounting and future time perspective on the relation between income and food insecurity. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014;100(3):884–890. doi:https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn. 113.079772

- Silverman J, Krieger J, Kiefer M, Hebert P, Robinson J, Nelson K. The Relationship Between Food Insecurity and Depression, Diabetes Distress and Medication Adherence Among Low-Income Patients with Poorly-Controlled Diabetes. J Gen Intern Med. 2015;30(10):1476–1480. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3351-1
- Spong CY, Bianchi DW. Improving Public Health Requires Inclusion of Underrepresented Populations in Research. JAMA. 2018;319(4):337. doi:https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.19138
- 33. Berkowitz SA, Meigs JB, DeWalt D, et al. Material need insecurities, control of diabetes mellitus, and use of health care resources: results of the Measuring Economic Insecurity in Diabetes study. JAMA Intern Med.

2015;175(2):257–265. doi:https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed. 2014.6888

- Alley DE, Asomugha CN, Conway PH, Sanghavi DM. Accountable Health Communities-Addressing Social Needs through Medicare and Medicaid. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(1):8–11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMp1512532
- Willink A, DuGoff EH. Integrating Medical and Nonmedical Services The Promise and Pitfalls of the CHRONIC Care Act. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(23):2153–2155. doi:https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1803292
- Berkowitz SA, Terranova J, Hill C, et al. Meal Delivery Programs Reduce The Use Of Costly Health Care In Dually Eligible Medicare And