



Published in final edited form as:

Occup Environ Med. 2020 July ; 77(7): 431–432. doi:10.1136/oemed-2019-106391.

Commentary on oemed-2019-106186.R1; West M et al, Risk of heat related illness among Wildland Firefighters: job tasks and core body temperature change. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2020.

Curtis W. Noonan^{1,2}, Erin O. Semmens^{1,2}

¹Center for Population Health Research, University of Montana, Missoula, MT USA.

²School of Public and Community Health Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT USA.

The 2019 bushfire catastrophe in Australia is only the most recent example of the increasing duration and intensity of wildfire events. In the past decade the United States experienced 67,000 wildfires annually with an average 7 million acres burned. Over 34,000 US wildland firefighters (WLFFs) are engaged in arduous, high risk duty, yet several features of this occupation limit our ability to understand the associated short-term and long-term health risks. For example, wildfire suppression activities are spread over multiple state and federal agencies, and most WLFFs are seasonally employed and assigned to multiple locations within a season. The mobile nature of firefighting, oftentimes in difficult terrain, further complicates the potential for observational occupational health studies in this population. In this edition of OEM, West *et al.*¹ overcame these challenges with one of the largest to date field studies of WLFF physiological measures with directly observed work activity assessment.

Among the many potential health risks for this population is heat related illness (HRI). WLFFs may be at risk for HRI because they are engaged in long periods of arduous activity in hot environments with heavy fire-protective clothing. Occurrence of HRI has not been directly assessed in WLFFs, but several studies have assessed WLFF core body temperature (T_c) in both real-world and laboratory settings with mixed results. Some studies have shown minor increases in T_c over shift duration or in simulated WLFF activity under high temperature conditions (e.g., Raines *et al.*²; Larsen *et al.*³). Other studies have not shown T_c increases by duration of fire (e.g., Rodriguez *et al.*⁴). The latter findings may suggest that WLFFs have self-modulated to control T_c by adjusting work intensity to fitness level or through behavioral adaptations such as hydration practice or use of base layer clothing with wicking properties. Nevertheless, panel studies have demonstrated that WLFFs experience mild to moderate hyperthermic conditions (T_c > 38°C) during a small percentage of work shift time (≈ 18%).⁵

Correspondence to Dr. Curtis Noonan, Center for Population Health Research, School of Public and Community Health Sciences, 32 Campus Drive, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812 USA; curtis.noonan@umontana.edu.

Contributors. Both authors contributed to the conception, writing, revision and finalization of the commentary.

Competing interests. CWN and EOS have collaborations with some of the authors on US Forest Service projects.

West *et al.*¹ adds a new dimension to these prior studies as they assessed one-minute interval Tc with respect to changing job tasks during the wildfire work shift. WLFF activities change frequently during work shifts. For example, the investigators identified 55 job tasks, and the 298 person-days of observation translated to 3,996 person-job tasks. Most prior studies evaluating physiological measures in WLFFs have used cruder, surrogate indications of activity such as crew type, direct versus indirect fire attack, or work shift duration, measures which are heterogeneous with respect to activity intensity. Indeed, 52.6% of person-task observations in the West *et al.*¹ study were characterized as sedentary or light physical activity. Better understanding physiological change and, ultimately, health risk by specific job task will be essential for informing related worker protection measures.

With these directly observed minute by minute specifications of job task, the investigators were able to show modest, monotonic increases in Tc for ascending categories of job-task activity. For example, Tc was 0.38°C higher during high activity job tasks compared to sedentary activity job tasks. Importantly, these analyses adjusted for several factors, including time-varying factors such as meteorological conditions and time spent in each job task category during the prior 60 minutes. From a health risk perspective we then ask how this modest Tc increase translates to HRI risk for WLFFs. It is possible that HRI risk among WLFFs is limited to specific, time-limited job tasks that correspond to high physical activity.

Among this worker population more than one quarter of all activity time is spent in these high physical activity job tasks. However, we must consider the HRI risk in the context of how workers switch across job tasks throughout the work shift. The authors argue that multiple tasks in the high intensity category would shift a WLFF toward moderate hyperthermia. Based on the predicted Tc changes by job task category and duration illustrated in West *et al.*¹ (Figure 1), a shift to moderate hyperthermia of +1.5°C from baseline 37°C would require that a WLFF was engaged in high intensity activity for approximately 360 minutes. Such a scenario appears to be inconsistent with the observed person-task frequencies. It is possible, and in some cases likely, that WLFFs engage in several low frequency, high physical activity job tasks, but it is also likely that these job tasks are interspersed with sedentary or light physical activity job tasks that allow a WLFF to return to normal Tc. As the authors note, the high physical activity of ingress or egress hiking may be the one exception as this task can occur over long, uninterrupted periods and accounts for about 19% of all job task time in this population. Indeed, Tc excursions to moderate hyperthermia conditions have been observed during periods of extensive hiking during firefighting.⁶ A better understanding of HRI risks specific to job tasks suggests the potential for designing administrative monitoring and controls on time spent in these high physical activity tasks.

The primary consideration for WLFF health is, and should remain, focused on minimizing the risk of acute injury and fatality inherent in this dangerous occupation. As we learn more about the specific and time-varying activities of these workers we will be better able to evaluate other intermediate and long-term health risks. For example, orienting work shift by job task will inform more precise WLFF job exposure matrices for particulate matter and other inhalation hazards. Wildfires will continue to have an increasing impact on our

societies, prompting the need for continued research on the health risks and protective strategies for WLFFs.

Funding.

CWN and EOS are supported by National Institute of Occupational Sciences and Health (1R21OH011385) and the National Institutes of Health (1P20GM130418).

REFERENCES

1. West M, Costello S, Sol JA, Domitrovich JW. Risk of heat related illness among Wildland Firefighters: job tasks and core body temperature change. *Occ Environ Med*. 2020.
2. Raines J, Snow R, Nichols D, Aisbett B. Fluid intake, hydration, work physiology of wildfire fighters working in the heat over consecutive days. *Ann Occup Hyg*. 2015;59(5):554–565. doi:10.1093/annhyg/meu113. [PubMed: 25667157]
3. Larsen B, Snow R, Aisbett B. Effect of heat on firefighters' work performance and physiology. *J Therm Biol*. 2015;53:1–8. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.07.008. [PubMed: 26590449]
4. Rodriguez-Marroyo JA, Lopez-Satue J, Pernia R, et al. Physiological work demands of Spanish wildland firefighters during wildfire suppression. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2012;85(2):221–228. doi:10.1007/s00420-011-0661-4. [PubMed: 21656120]
5. Cuddy JS, Sol JA, Hailes WS, Ruby BC. Work patterns dictate energy demands and thermal strain during wildland firefighting. *Wilderness Environ Med*. 2015;26(2):221–226. doi:10.1016/j.wem.2014.12.010. [PubMed: 25772825]
6. Sol JA, Ruby BC, Gaskill SE, Dumke CL, Domitrovich JW. Metabolic demand of hiking in wildland firefighting. *Wilderness Environ Med*. 2018;29(3):304–314. doi:10.1016/j.wem.2018.03.006. [PubMed: 29887347]