



**University of
Sunderland**

Sevinc, Mustafa, Hasbal, Nuri Baris, Sakaci, Tamer, Basturk, Taner, Ahbap, Elbis, Ortaboz, Mustafa, Erkan Mazi, Emrah, Pirdogan, Efruz, Ling, Jonathan and Unsal, Abdulkadir (2020) Frequency of depressive symptoms in Syrian refugees and Turkish maintenance hemodialysis patients during COVID-19 pandemic. Plos One. ISSN 1932-6203

Downloaded from: <http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/id/eprint/12875/>

Usage guidelines

Please refer to the usage guidelines at <http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact

sure@sunderland.ac.uk.


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Frequency of depressive symptoms in Syrian refugees and Turkish maintenance hemodialysis patients during COVID-19 pandemic

Mustafa Sevinc¹, Nuri Baris Hasbal², Tamer Sakaci^{1*}, Taner Basturk³, Elbis Ahbap¹, Mustafa Ortaboz¹, Emrah Erkan Mazi¹, Efruz Pirdogan⁴, Jonathan Ling⁵, Abdulkadir Unsal³

1 Nephrology Department, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Education and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey, **2** Nephrology Department, Basaksehir Cam and Sakura City Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey, **3** Nephrology Department, University of Health Sciences, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Education and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey, **4** Psychiatry Department, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Education and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey, **5** Faculty of Mental Health and Wellbeing, University of Sunderland, Sunderland, United Kingdom

* sakacitamer@hotmail.com



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Sevinc M, Hasbal NB, Sakaci T, Basturk T, Ahbap E, Ortaboz M, et al. (2021) Frequency of depressive symptoms in Syrian refugees and Turkish maintenance hemodialysis patients during COVID-19 pandemic. PLoS ONE 16(1): e0244347. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244347>

Editor: Jose A. Muñoz-Moreno, Lluita contra la SIDA Foundation - Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital - Autònoma de Barcelona University, SPAIN

Received: August 19, 2020

Accepted: December 9, 2020

Published: January 4, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244347>

Copyright: © 2021 Sevinc et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Introduction

Pneumonia of unknown cause was detected on 30 December 2019 in China. It was categorized as an outbreak and named as COVID-19 by the World Health Organization. The pandemic affects all people, but patient groups such as hemodialysis (HD) patients have been particularly affected. We do not know if refugees suffered more during the outbreak. In this study, we compared depressive symptom frequency between Syrian refugee HD patients and Turkish ones.

Methods

The study had a single-center, cross-sectional design. Demographic and clinical data were collected retrospectively from patients' files containing details about past medical history, demographic variables and laboratory values. Validated Turkish and Arabic forms of Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) were used to assess depressive symptoms. BDI scores were compared according to nationality, demographic features and clinical data. A BDI score more than 14 was accepted as suspicion of depression.

Results

119 patients were enrolled in the study. After the exclusion of 22 patients, 75 Turkish and 22 Syrian patients were included for further analysis. The median BDI (interquartile range) score for Turkish and Syrian patients were 12 (7–23) and 19.5 (12.7–25.2), respectively ($p = 0.03$). Suspicion of depression was present at 42.7% of Turkish, and 72.7% of Syrian HD patients ($p = 0.013$). Regarding all patients, phosphorus level, Kt/V, and nationality were

Data Availability Statement: We have uploaded our data via Dryad system by doi:[10.5061/dryad.wwpzgmshv](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.wwpzgmshv).

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

significantly different between patients with and without suspicion of depression ($p = 0.023$, 0.039 , 0.013 , respectively).

Conclusion

Syrian patients had higher BDI scores and more depressive symptoms than Turkish patients. Additional national measures for better integration and more mental support to Syrian HD patients are needed.

Introduction

Pneumonia of unknown cause was detected on 30 December 2019 in China. It was categorized as an outbreak and named as COVID-19 by the World Health Organization [1]. The first COVID-19 case was reported on 10 March 2020 in Turkey [2]. People older than 65 years old began their lockdown on 21 March 2020 and people younger than 20 years old started to lockdown on 03 April 2020. Total lockdown has been done a few times lasting for three or four days, especially at weekends.

Renal replacement modalities have been affected in different ways during the pandemic. Patients with kidney transplantation, performing home hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis have been advised to isolate themselves at homes. However, center HD patients continued to attend dialysis centers.

Depression is a frequent co-morbidity at HD patients. It can be screened by a few types of self-questionnaires validated in this population such as Beck depression inventory (BDI) [3]. The frequency of depressive symptoms during pandemic on chronic HD patients is not known.

The Syrian civil war started on 11 March 2011. Turkey currently hosts 3.6 million registered Syrian refugees [4]. There are many Syrian center HD patients both in Turkey and in our unit. The number of Syrian refugees under HD therapy was 345 in 2019 [5]. We do not know if there is a difference in depressive symptom frequency between Syrian refugee HD patients and Turkish ones or not. This study aims to compare BDI scores of Turkish and Syrian maintenance HD patients during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

This cross-sectional study (Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT04444557) was approved by the local ethics committee of Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Education and Research Hospital on 12 May 2020 (Ref. number 1514). All data were fully anonymized before access. Participants signed written consent forms both to participate in the study and to have data from their medical records used in research.

All HD patients in the same center were invited to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria were as follows: patients younger than 18 years old, patients undergoing home-HD, history of HD less than three months, inability to complete the questionnaire, nationalities other than Turkish and Syrian, not volunteering to fill the form, history of hospitalization due to any reason during pandemic time starting from 10 March 2020, and history of COVID-19 before questionnaire. BDI forms were filled between 17 April 2020 and 12 May 2020. Past medical records were accessed at the same day patients completed the BDI questionnaire.

Demographic data were collected retrospectively from patients' files. These files contain the demographic details of patients filled at admission to our dialysis center and patients' past and

current laboratory values. Age, sex, nationality, marital status, education level, height, weight, etiology of kidney disease, the date for initiation of center HD, last hemoglobin, albumin, phosphorus, parathyroid hormone, Kt/v, creatinine levels and, Charlson comorbidity index were noted. Marital status was grouped as married and not married including single, divorced, and widow.

BDI consists of 21 questions and every question has four choices ranging from 0 to 3 in which 0 represents the absence of a problem, and 3 represents an extreme problem. Therefore, the total BDI score can be between 0 and 63 points. BDI score of more than 14 was accepted as suspicion of depression in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [6–8]. The frequencies of depressive symptoms were compared between nationalities. The validated Turkish and Arabic forms of BDI version-I were filled by patients themselves [9–11]. If help was required to complete the questionnaire, a native Arabic physician working at our hemodialysis center (SS) and the official Arabic translator of our institution (SA) helped Syrian patients.

BDI score was compared according to age group (<65 years or ≥ 65 years), sex, education level, marital status, body mass index (<25 kg/m², 25–30 kg/m², >30 kg/m²), presence of diabetes mellitus, presence of hypertension, HD vintage (below or above median), hemoglobin level (<10 g/dl, 10–12 g/dl, >12 g/dl), phosphorus level (<3.5 mg/dl, 3.5–5.5 mg/dl, >5.5 mg/dl), albumin level (<3.5 gr/dl or ≥ 3.5 gr/dl), parathyroid hormone level (below or above median), Kt/v (<1.4 or ≥ 1.4), and nationality. BDI score was also divided into cognitive-affective and somatic-performance subscales [12]. These were compared to nationality as well.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with the Scientific Package for Social Science (version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were given as mean \pm standard deviation if they were distributed normally or as median (interquartile range) if they were distributed abnormally. Qualitative variables were given as a percentage. A comparison of normally distributed data was performed by independent samples *t*-test. Abnormally distributed data was compared with the Mann-Whitney *U* test. Categorical variables were compared by the Chi-Square test. Differences were considered statistically significant for *p* values less than 0.05.

Results

One hundred and nineteen patients were enrolled to the study. After the exclusion of 22 patients for a range of reasons, 75 Turkish and 22 Syrian patients were included for further analysis (Fig 1). The mean age of patients was 51.6 ± 15.5 years. The most common cause of ESRD was hypertension (32%). Median HD vintage was 3.7 (1.8–7.4) years (Table 1).

The mean age for Turkish patients was 52.1 ± 16.1 years and 49.9 ± 13.2 years for Syrian patients ($p = 0.568$) (Table 1). Female patients were 52.0% of the Turkish cohort and 18.2% of the Syrian cohort ($p = 0.005$). The education level was similar between the two nationalities ($p = 0.06$). Marriage rate was 49.3% in Turkish and 81.8% in Syrian patients ($p = 0.007$).

The median BDI score for Turkish patients was 12 (7–23). It was 19.5 (12.7–25.2) for Syrian patients ($p = 0.03$) (Fig 2). The median somatic-performance subscale scores for Turkish and Syrian patients were 2 (1–5) and 3.5 (2–6.2), respectively ($p = 0.02$). Affective-cognitive subscale median score for Turkish patients was 9 (6–18) whereas it was 14 (10–18) for Syrian patients ($p = 0.05$).

Depressive symptoms were present in 49.5% of the total cohort. Patients with and without depressive symptoms were compared on multiple variables (Table 2). Phosphorus level and Kt/V were found different between two groups ($p = 0.023$, 0.039 , respectively). Depressive symptoms were present at 42.7% of Turkish, and 72.7% of Syrian HD patients ($p = 0.013$).




Fig 1. Details of the patient cohort. After enrollment of all center hemodialysis patients (n = 119), 22 patients were excluded due to following reasons: unwilling to participate (n = 4), younger than 18 years old (n = 3), inability to cooperate (n = 6), COVID-19 history (n = 5), hemodialysis history less than 3 months (n = 2), other nationalities (n = 2). Seventy-five Turkish, 22 Syrian patients' data were analyzed further.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244347.g001>

Discussion

This study investigated BDI scores between Turkish and Syrian HD patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Syrian patients had higher BDI scores than Turkish patients.

After Turkey has started to accept refugees from Syria, free healthcare facilities including hemodialysis and kidney transplantation were established [13]. For HD, Syrian and Turkish patients have equal conditions including HD schedules (three times a week, four hours), and transport facilities. Drugs are supplied free of charge to Syrian patients, like Turkish ones. The groups were similar in many respects, with laboratory features hemoglobin, phosphorus, albumin, parathyroid hormone, Kt/V in both groups not differing significantly between the Syrian and Turkish patients. In our cohort, most of the Syrian patients (81.8%) were male, although 54.0% of all Syrian refugees [14] and 51.6% of Syrian hemodialysis patients [5] in Turkey were male. There could be a male predominance by chance in our dialysis center.

Screening frequency of depressive symptoms by the BDI score without a clinical interview is an established strategy in ESRD patients [3, 7, 8]. BDI-I questionnaire was used in this study because of some advantages. It was a practical, easy, reliable and valid self-report system that has been used since 1961 [11, 15]. It could be completed by patients themselves which would minimize close and long contacts with patients during the pandemic and prevent potential COVID-19 transmission. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, it is the only self-report screening measure that has been validated in both Turkish and Arabic languages. Besides these advantages, BDI-I form has some limitations. It has been focused more on cognitive and

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, laboratory values of all patients, Turkish, and Syrian subgroups.

Parameter	All patients (n = 97)	Turkish (n = 75)	Syrian (n = 22)	p
Age, years, mean ± SD	51.6±15.5	52.1±16.1	49.9±13.2	0.568
Female patients (%)	44.3	52	18.2	0.005
Education level (%)				0.060
Illiterate	6.0	4.8	9.5	
Literate	15.7	16.1	14.3	
Primary school	49.4	53.2	38.1	
Secondary school	13.3	14.5	9.5	
High school	12	11.3	14.3	
University	3.6	-	14.3	
Marital status				0.007
Married (%)	56.7	49.3	81.8	
BMI, kg/m ² , median (IR)	21.8 (19.2–25.0)	21.9 (19.3–25.3)	21.1 (19.1–24.2)	0.435
ESRD etiology (%)				0.905
Diabetes	18.6	20	13.6	
Hypertension	32	32	31.8	
Glomerulonephritis	7.2	6.7	9.1	
Polycystic kidney disease	7.2	8	4.5	
Unknown	14.4	12	22.7	
Miscellaneous	20.6	21.3	18.2	
Diabetes mellitus (%)	21.6	24	13.6	0.299
Hypertension (%)	47.4	48	45.5	0.833
Hemodialysis vintage, years, median (IR)	3.7 (1.8–7.4)	4.0 (1.9–10.4)	2.7 (1.6–5.3)	0.112
Hemoglobin, (g/dl), mean ± SD	10.7±1.6	10.6±1.6	10.8±1.7	0.680
Phosphorus, (mg/dl), mean ± SD	5.5±1.5	5.3±1.5	6.0±1.5	0.085
Albumin, g/dl, median (IR)	3.7 (3.5–3.9)	3.7 (3.5–3.9)	3.8 (3.5–4.1)	0.152
Parathyroid hormone, (ng/L) median (IR)	537.5 (343.7–786.7)	548 (346.7–796.5)	484.5 (309.7–750.0)	0.420
Kt/V, mean ± SD	1.7±0.2	1.7±0.2	1.6±0.2	0.056
Creatinine, mg/dl, median (IR)	9.1 (6.8–10.4)	9.0 (6.5–10.2)	9.4 (7.4–10.9)	0.180
Charlson comorbidity index, median (IR)	3 (2–5)	4 (2–5)	3 (2–4)	0.171

BMI: body mass index

ESRD: end-stage renal disease

IR: interquartile range

SD: standard deviation

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244347.t001>

affective symptoms than somatic functions. Furthermore, it could be biased by organic symptoms. It was updated as BDI-II form at 1996 in response to American Psychiatric Association's publication of DSM-IV criteria for major depressive disorder [16]. Despite this updated version, BDI-I is still widely used in different patient populations [17].

Patients with and without depressive symptoms had similar demographic and laboratory features except for phosphorus level, Kt/V, and nationality. Some similar studies found no association between depressive symptoms and phosphorus level or Kt/V although the number of participants was less than our cohort and the definition of suspicion of depression was different from each other in all studies [18–21]. The difference between our study and previous studies may be due to these reasons. Furthermore, there are many additional probable reasons including adherence to diet and prescribed drugs, quality of hemodialysis, etc. These factors, unfortunately, were not in the scope of the trial design.




Fig 2. Distribution of BDI scores for nationalities. Every circle represents a Turkish, a triangle represents a Syrian patient. The median BDI score was 12 (7–23) for Turkish patients, 19.5 (12.7–25.2) for Syrian patients ($p = 0.03$).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244347.g002>

Frequency of depressive symptoms in HD population is reported between 0 and 100% depending on the study and assessment tool [6]. Although it is unknown during the COVID-19 pandemic in the HD population, depressive symptom frequency was found between 18.7–35.4% in population-based studies [22–26]. Mazza et al have demonstrated that the risk of depressive symptoms was increased 22% more if medical problems were positive [27] which might be translated as depressive symptoms were expected more in HD population.

Mental health studies with the Syrian refugee population in Turkey out of the pandemic time were found depressive symptom frequency as 36.1%, 37.4%, and 70.5% [28–30]. There is a sensitivity for the protection of both physical and mental health of refugees or immigrants during the COVID-19 pandemic [31–34] although no published studies could be found.

Yilmaz et al have shown that Syrian maintenance HD patients were less compliant with their HD schedules [35]. Their per capita income was lower than Turkish patients, although their employment rate was higher. The number of Syrian household members was higher than Turkish patients but hot water accessibility was lower for the Syrian group. Similar BDI scores might be expected between Turkish and Syrian patients if the conditions were the same for both groups. However, providing equal physical and financial conditions only at peridialysis environment seemed to be insufficient for equal score expectation because we found that total BDI score, somatic-performance subscale score and affective-cognitive subscale score were all higher in Syrian patients than Turkish ones. As a result, it seems that we need to provide many other facilities to talk about equality between the two groups. These might be steps to improve language barrier between adult Syrian patients and Turkish service providers at all facilities including hospitals and other institutions. This could be with two methods: enabling Syrians to learn Turkish and maintaining more translation support at every institution or integrating native Syrian employees to these institutions like hospitals. Language support would help integration with the Turkish population. Most importantly, they should have been accepted and behaved like as others in Turkey, not as refugees. Integrating 4 million people into an economically hard stressed country in the midst of a pandemic is not easy but it seems as a necessity.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first HD study comparing Turkish patients' and Syrian refugees' depressive symptoms. The main limitation of this study is the absence of control BDI scores of this cohort out of the pandemic period. Even though it will not change the

Table 2. Demographic and laboratory characteristics of patients with and without depressive symptoms.

	BDI score ≤ 14	BDI score > 14	p
Age, years, mean \pm SD	50.5 \pm 15.8	52.7 \pm 15.1	0.484
Female patients (%)	40.8	47.9	0.482
Education level (%)			0.154
Illiterate	6.8	5.1	
Literate	13.6	17.9	
Primary school	52.3	46.2	
Secondary school	9.1	17.9	
High school	18.2	5.1	
University	-	7.7	
Marital status	53.1	60.4	0.465
Married (%)			
BMI, kg/m ² , median (IR)	21.6 (19.0–26.1)	21.8 (19.4–24.6)	0.907
Diabetes mellitus (%)	18.4	25.0	0.428
Hypertension (%)	49.0	45.8	0.561
Hemodialysis vintage, years, median (IR)	3.6 (1.9–7.2)	3.9 (1.7–9.8)	0.900
Hemoglobin, (g/dl), mean \pm SD	10.8 \pm 1.6	10.5 \pm 1.6	0.500
Phosphorus, (mg/dl), mean \pm SD	5.9 \pm 1.5	5.1 \pm 1.5	0.023
Albumin, g/dl, median (IR)	3.8 (3.6–4.0)	3.7 (3.5–3.9)	0.394
Parathyroid hormone, (ng/L) median (IR)	518 (368–799)	546 (312–766)	0.629
Kt/V, mean \pm standard deviation	1.7 \pm 0.2	1.6 \pm 0.2	0.039
Creatinine, mg/dl, median (IR)	9.3 (7.1–10.5)	8.7 (6.5–10.2)	0.194
Charlson co morbidity index, median (IR)	3 (2–5)	4 (2.2–5)	0.120
Nationality			0.013
Turkish	57.3	42.7	
Syrian	27.3	72.7	

BDI: Beck Depression Inventory

BMI: body mass index

ESRD: end-stage renal disease

IR: interquartile range

SD: standard deviation

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244347.t002>

main result that the Syrian patients have had more depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic, we cannot infer how COVID-19 affected the results exactly. Control BDI scoring was not performed because the number of cases continues to be high enough to ignore the effects of pandemic even though many precautions were reversed recently. Moreover, the exact time for the exact reversal of pandemic is not known.

Besides measures taken until now, additional national measures for better integration and more mental support for Syrian HD patients are needed.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank to Dr Alp Ikizler for his help during proofreading.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Mustafa Sevinc, Nuri Baris Hasbal, Tamer Sakaci, Elbis Ahbap, Emrah Erkan Mazi, Efruz Pirdogan.

Data curation: Mustafa Sevinc, Nuri Baris Hasbal, Tamer Sakaci, Elbis Ahbap, Mustafa Ortabo, Emrah Erkan Mazi, Efruz Pirdogan.

Formal analysis: Nuri Baris Hasbal, Tamer Sakaci, Emrah Erkan Mazi, Efruz Pirdogan.

Investigation: Tamer Sakaci, Elbis Ahbap, Efruz Pirdogan.

Methodology: Mustafa Sevinc, Nuri Baris Hasbal, Tamer Sakaci, Efruz Pirdogan.

Project administration: Tamer Sakaci.

Software: Nuri Baris Hasbal, Tamer Sakaci.

Supervision: Tamer Sakaci, Taner Basturk, Elbis Ahbap, Jonathan Ling, Abdulkadir Unsal.

Validation: Tamer Sakaci, Mustafa Ortabo.

Visualization: Tamer Sakaci.

Writing – original draft: Mustafa Sevinc.

Writing – review & editing: Mustafa Sevinc, Nuri Baris Hasbal, Tamer Sakaci, Taner Basturk, Jonathan Ling, Abdulkadir Unsal.

References

1. Rolling updates on coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [cited 22.06.2020]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen>.
2. Türkiye'deki Günlük COVID-19 Vaka Sayıları [cited 22.06.2020]. Available from: <https://covid19bilgi.saglik.gov.tr/tr/haberler/turkiye-deki-gunluk-covid-19-vaka-sayilari.html>.
3. King-Wing Ma T, Kam-Tao Li P (2016) Depression in dialysis patients. *Nephrology (Carlton)*; 21(8):639–46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nep.12742> PMID: 26860073
4. Refugees and Asylum Seekers in Turkey 2020 [cited 21.06.2020]. Available from: <https://www.unhcr.org/tr/en/refugees-and-asylum-seekers-in-turkey>.
5. Gursu M, Arici M, Ates K, Kazancioglu R, Yavas PG, Ozturk M, et al. (2019) Hemodialysis Experience of a Large Group of Syrian Refugees in Turkey: All Patients Deserve Effective Treatment. *Kidney Blood Press Res*; 44(1):43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000498832> PMID: 30808850
6. Cohen SD, Norris L, Acquaviva K, Peterson RA, Kimmel PL (2007) Screening, diagnosis, and treatment of depression in patients with end-stage renal disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*; 2(6):1332–42. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.03951106> PMID: 17942763
7. Hedayati SS, Bosworth HB, Kuchibhatla M, Kimmel PL, Szczech LA (2006) The predictive value of self-report scales compared with physician diagnosis of depression in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int*; 69(9):1662–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5000308> PMID: 16598203
8. Bautovich A, Katz I, Loo CK, Harvey SB (2018) Beck Depression Inventory as a screening tool for depression in chronic haemodialysis patients. *Australas Psychiatry*; 26(3):281–4. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856218758582> PMID: 29457471
9. Hisli N (1989) Beck depresyon envanterinin universite ogrencileri icin gecertiligi, guvenilirliigi. (A reliability and validity study of Beck Depression Inventory in a university student sample). *J Psychol*; 7:3–13.
10. Abdel-Khalek AM (1998) Internal consistency of an Arabic Adaptation of the Beck Depression Inventory in four Arab countries. *Psychol Rep*; 82(1):264–6. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1998.82.1.264> PMID: 9520563
11. BECK AT, WARD CH, MENDELSON M, MOCK J, ERBAUGH J (1961) An Inventory for Measuring Depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*; 4(6):561–71. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1961.01710120031004> PMID: 13688369
12. Dozois KS, David J. A., Ahnberg Jamie L. (1998) A Psychometric Evaluation of the Beck Depression Inventory. *Psychological Assessment*; 10(2):83–9.
13. Sevinc M, Hasbal NB, Ozcelik G, Akinci N, Basturk T, Koc Y, et al. (2019) Kidney Transplantation Outcomes in Temporarily Protected Syrian Patients With End-Stage Renal Failure in Turkey. *Transplant Proc*; 51(7):2279–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2019.01.194> PMID: 31358455
14. TEMPORARY PROTECTION [cited 27.06.2020]. Available from: <https://en.goc.gov.tr/temporary-protection27>.

15. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG (1988) Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review*; 8(1):77–100.
16. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. BDI-II, Beck depression inventory: manual 1996.
17. Pop-Jordanova N (2017) BDI in the Assessment of Depression in Different Medical Conditions. *PRI-LOZI*; 38(1):103–11. <https://doi.org/10.1515/prilozi-2017-0014> PMID: 28593888
18. Bilgic A, Akgul A, Sezer S, Arat Z, Ozdemir FN, Haberal M (2007) Nutritional status and depression, sleep disorder, and quality of life in hemodialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr*; 17(6):381–8. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2007.08.008> PMID: 17971310
19. Koo JR, Yoon JW, Kim SG, Lee YK, Oh KH, Kim GH, et al. (2003) Association of depression with malnutrition in chronic hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*; 41(5):1037–42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386\(03\)00201-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386(03)00201-4) PMID: 12722038
20. Kalender B, Ozdemir AC, Koroglu G (2006) Association of depression with markers of nutrition and inflammation in chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease. *Nephron Clin Pract*; 102(3–4): c115–21. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000089669> PMID: 16282695
21. Ibrahim S, El Salamony O (2008) Depression, quality of life and malnutrition-inflammation scores in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Nephrol*; 28(5):784–91. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000131101> PMID: 18463431
22. Durankus F, Aksu E (2020) Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and depressive symptoms in pregnant women: a preliminary study. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2020.1763946> PMID: 32419558
23. Choi EPH, Hui BPH, Wan EYF (2020) Depression and Anxiety in Hong Kong during COVID-19. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*; 17(10).
24. Huang Y, Zhao N (2020) Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res*; 288:112954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112954> PMID: 32325383
25. Gonzalez-Sanguino C, Ausin B, Castellanos MA, Saiz J, Lopez-Gomez A, Ugidos C, et al. (2020) Mental health consequences during the initial stage of the 2020 Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Spain. *Brain Behav Immun*; 87:172–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.040> PMID: 32405150
26. Ozdin S, Bayrak Ozdin S (2020) Levels and predictors of anxiety, depression and health anxiety during COVID-19 pandemic in Turkish society: The importance of gender. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*:20764020927051. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764020927051> PMID: 32380879
27. Mazza C, Ricci E, Biondi S, Colasanti M, Ferracuti S, Napoli C, et al. (2020) A Nationwide Survey of Psychological Distress among Italian People during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*; 17(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093165> PMID: 32370116
28. Fuhr DC, Acarturk C, McGrath M, Ilkkursun Z, Sondorp E, Sijbrandij M, et al. (2019) Treatment gap and mental health service use among Syrian refugees in Sultanbeyli, Istanbul: a cross-sectional survey. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*; 29:e70. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796019000660> PMID: 31727205
29. Tekeli-Yesil S, Isik E, Unal Y, Aljomaa Almosa F, Konsuk Unlu H, Aker AT (2018) Determinants of Mental Disorders in Syrian Refugees in Turkey Versus Internally Displaced Persons in Syria. *Am J Public Health*; 108(7):938–45. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304405> PMID: 29771613
30. Acarturk C, Cetinkaya M, Senay I, Gulen B, Aker T, Hinton D (2018) Prevalence and Predictors of Post-traumatic Stress and Depression Symptoms Among Syrian Refugees in a Refugee Camp. *J Nerv Ment Dis*; 206(1):40–5. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0000000000000693> PMID: 28632513
31. Bhopal RS (2020) COVID-19: Immense necessity and challenges in meeting the needs of minorities, especially asylum seekers and undocumented migrants. *Public Health*; 182:161–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.04.010> PMID: 32325326
32. Brandenberger J, Baauw A, Kruse A, Ritz N (2020) The global COVID-19 response must include refugees and migrants. *Swiss Med Wkly*; 150:w20263. <https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2020.20263> PMID: 32343357
33. Junior JG, de Sales JP, Moreira MM, Pinheiro WR, Lima CKT, Neto MLR (2020) A crisis within the crisis: The mental health situation of refugees in the world during the 2019 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak. *Psychiatry Res*; 288:113000. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113000> PMID: 32353696
34. UN Refugee Agency steps up COVID-19 preparedness, prevention and response measures [cited 28.06.2020]. Available from: <https://www.unhcr.org/uk/news/press/2020/3/5e677f634/un-refugee-agency-steps-covid-19-preparedness-prevention-response-measures.html>.
35. Murvet Yilmaz NA, Canan Dogan, Filiz Turan, Emine Yilmaz, Yesim Vardar, Emine Balci, et al. (2019) Comparison of the Socio-Economic Situation and Living Conditions of Syrian and Underprivileged Turkish Patients Receiving Hemodialysis. *Turkish Journal of nephrology*; 28(4):269–74.