Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections online, via e-mail or by fax.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and email the annotated PDF.
- For fax submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL: http://dx.doi.org/[DOI].

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information go to: <u>http://www.link.springer.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	Prenucleation at the Internet Study	erface Between MgO and Liquid Magnesium: An Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics
Article Sub-Title		
Article CopyRight	The Author(s) (This will be the copyri	ght line in the final PDF)
Journal Name	Metallurgical and Mate	rials Transactions A
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Fan
	Particle	
	Given Name	Ζ.
	Suffix	
	Division	BCAST
	Organization	Brunel University London
	Address	Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, UK
	Phone	+44 1895 266406
	Fax	
	Email	Zhongyun.Fan@brunel.ac.uk
	URL	
	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	Fang
	Particle	
	Given Name	С. М.
	Suffix	
	Division	BCAST
	Organization	Brunel University London
	Address	Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, UK
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
	URL	
	ORCID	
	D	2 December 2019
0.1.1.1	Received	3 December 2018
Schedule	Accented	
A1	Accepted	
Αυδιτάζι	Magnesia (MgO) partic heterogeneous nucleatic configurations on MgO of both scientific and pr atomic arrangements of dynamics (MD) simular {1 1 } terminated Mg(structurally flat {0 0 1} chemical interactions be	The sine vitably exist in liquid Mg and may be used as potential sites for on to achieve effective grain refinement. Understanding of the atomic surfaces and in the liquid Mg adjacent to the liquid Mg/MgO interfaces is therefore ractical interests. We investigate the surface structures of MgO in liquid Mg and the Tliquid Mg adjacent to liquid/substrate interfaces, using an <i>ab initio</i> molecular the technique. We find that an atomically rough terminating Mg layer forms on the O substrate (octahedral MgO) in liquid Mg. The simulations also reveal that on the terminated MgO substrate (cubic MgO) a rough Mg layer forms due to the unique etween the ions on the substrate and the liquid metals. The surface roughness

refinement of Mg-alloys.
impotent for heterogeneous nucleation of α -Mg. The present results may shed new light on grain
together with the large lattice misfits with solid Mg makes both octahedral and cubic MgO substrates

Footnote Information Manuscript submitted 3 December, 2018.

² Prenucleation at the Interface Between MgO ⁴ and Liquid Magnesium: An *Ab Initio* Molecular ⁵ Dynamics Study





Magnesia (MgO) particles inevitably exist in liquid Mg and may be used as potential sites for heterogeneous nucleation to achieve effective grain refinement. Understanding of the atomic configurations on MgO surfaces and in the liquid Mg adjacent to the liquid Mg/MgO interfaces is therefore of both scientific and practical interests. We investigate the surface structures of MgO in liquid Mg and the atomic arrangements of liquid Mg adjacent to liquid/substrate interfaces, using an *ab initio* molecular dynamics (MD) simulation technique. We find that an atomically rough terminating Mg layer forms on the $\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ terminated MgO substrate (octahedral MgO) in liquid Mg. The simulations also reveal that on the structurally flat $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ terminated MgO substrate (cubic MgO) a rough Mg layer forms due to the unique chemical interactions between the ions on the substrate and the liquid metals. The surface roughness together with the large lattice misfits with solid Mg makes both octahedral and cubic MgO substrates impotent for heterogeneous nucleation of α -Mg. The present results may shed new light on grain refinement of Mg-alloys.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-019-05495-4 © The Author(s) 2019

I. INTRODUCTION

27 GRAIN refinement is usually desirable during metal 28 casting since it not only facilitates the casting processes, 29 but also accomplishes a grain refined microstructure 30 with reduced cast defects, which in turn enhances mechanical performance of as-cast components.^[1-4] A 31 32 well-established approach to grain refinement enhances heterogeneous nucleation by addition of grain refiners 33 34 which contain potent solid particles as nucleation sites.^[1,2] A typical example is the grain refinement of 35 Al-free Mg-alloys by addition of Mg-Zr master alloys.^[2,4–10] Zr is iso-structural to Mg with a small 36 37 38 lattice misfit (0.67 pct), and therefore Zr particles should 39 act as potent nucleation sites for α -Mg during solidification according to the epitaxial nucleation model.^[11] 40 Recently, a new concept of grain refinement was 41 42 introduced based on the concept of explosive grain 43 initiation, in which the most effective grain refinement 44 can be achieved by the least potent particles if there exist 45 no other more potent particles of significance in the melt.^[12] This new approach to grain refinement can be 46 47 best demonstrated by grain refinement of Mg-alloys by

C.M. FANG and Z. FAN are with the BCAST, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom. Contact email: Zhongyun.Fan@brunel.ac.uk

Manuscript submitted 3 December, 2018.

METALLURGICAL AND MATERIALS TRANSACTIONS A

the native MgO particles.^[13] Without addition of any 48 grain refiner, high pressure die casting of commercial 49 purity Mg resulted in an average grain size of 6 μ m.^[12] 50 To understand better such experimental results and to 51 obtain new insight into the heterogeneous nucleation 52 process, it is essential to have detailed knowledge about 53 the surface structures of MgO particles in contact with 54 liquid Mg and the atomic arrangement in the liquid 55 adjacent to the liquid Mg/MgO interfaces (denoted as 56 L-Mg/MgO interfaces hereafter). 57

Magnesia (MgO) particles always exist in Mg melts 58 due to the high affinity between O and Mg. MgO has a 59 NaCl-type structure. It is a typical ionic crystal and 60 belongs to the family of MX (M represents a metallic 61 element, X an element of high electronegativity). The 62 ionic MX crystals under ambient conditions have a 63 stable $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ surface termination (denoted as MX $\{0 \ 0 \ 0\}$ 64 1} hereafter), which contains equal numbers of M^n and X^{n-1} ions and therefore are non-polar.^[14] A cleavage along the MX [1 1 1] intation produces two smooth 67 surfaces: one with the surface termination, the other 68 with X surface termination, with both surfaces being 69 polar. Such polar surfaces are unstable under ambient 70 conditions, but can be stabilized by defects, e.g., M or X 71 domains.^[15] However, the situation may become differ-72 ent when an ionic crystal is in a liquid metal environ-73 ment. The free electrons of the liquid metal can 74 eliminate the polar effect and stabilize the polar surfaces, 75 such as in the case of $MgO\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ in liquid Mg. $MgO\{1$ 76 1 1} surfaces have a two dimensional hexagonal lattice, 77

1

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

26

5 6)	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
	PIPS No. : 5495		TYPESET
	MS Code :		DISK

78 the same as that of the close packed Mg{0 0 0 1} plane. 79 However, there exists a large lattice misfit between 80 MgO{1 1 1} and Mg{0 0 0 1} (8.2 pct),^[12,16] rendering 81 MgO particles impotent for heterogeneous nucleation of 82 Mg.

83 There have been both experimental and modeling 84 efforts to understand the nucleation of α -Mg on native MgO particles.^[13,16-21] Native MgO particles in Mg 85 86 melts have two distinctive morphologies: octahedron 87 with $\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ surface terminations (denoted as MgO $\{1 \ 1$ 1}) and cubic with the {0 0 1} surface terminations (denoted as MgO{0 0 1}).^[12,13,16-19] Experimental inves-88 89 tigations by high-resolution transmission electron 90 91 microscopy (HRTEM) have confirmed that there exist 92 specific orientation relations (ORs) between the MgO 93 substrates and the solid Mg. This suggests that fcc MgO 94 can act as sites for heterogeneous nucleation of hcp **Author Proof** α -Mg. First-principles approaches were used to explore mainly the wetting and adhesion for different crystal orientations between solid $\alpha\text{-Mg}$ and MgO. $^{[20-22]}$ In order to understand the structural effect on prenucle-ation at atomic level, Men and Fan^[23,24] performed atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on the atomic ordering in a liquid metal adjacent to a smooth 102 substrate of different lattice misfits. Their simulations 103 showed that the structural effect is strong on the 104 in-plane atomic ordering but weak on the atomic 105 layering in the liquid adjacent to the substrate. A 106 smooth substrate surface of a smaller misfit provides 107 better structural templating for heterogeneous nucleation, in agreement with the epitaxial nucleation 108 model.^[11] Recently we investigated the chemical effect 109 of potent substrates on prenucleation and found that a 110 111 chemically affinitive substrate promotes prenucleation in 112 the liquid at the liquid/substrate interface, whereas a chemically repulsive substrate impedes it.^[25] In addition, 113 Jiang et al.^[26] modeled the effect of substrate surface 114 115 roughness on prenucleation using a classic atomistic MD technique. Their modeling revealed that atomic 116 117 level surface roughness impedes significantly prenucleation.^[26] In light of such findings, it would be interesting 118 to investigate the effect of interaction between MgO and 119 120 liquid Mg on the prenucleation at the L-Mg/MgO 121 interface.

122 In this paper, we investigate systematically the prenu-123 cleation phenomenon in L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} and L-Mg/ 124 MgO{0 0 1} systems using a parameter-free *ab initio* 125 molecular dynamics (AIMD) technique. The simulation 126 results suggest that both MgO $\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ and MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ are impotent for heterogeneous nucleation of α -Mg. 127 128 Such information is not only helpful to understand 129 heterogeneous nucleation theory in general, but also 130 facilitates the development of effective approaches to grain refinement of Mg-alloys. 131

132 II. SIMULATION METHODS

133 A. Setting Up Supercells for Simulations

Periodic boundary conditions were employed in the structural optimizations and the *AI*MD simulations. A

136 hexagonal supercell was built based on the relation of a $\approx 3.615 a_{\rm o}$, where $a_{\rm o}$ is the lattice parameter of the fcc 137 MgO.^[27] The substrate is composed of four O layers and 138 three Mg layers (O-terminated) or four O layers and five 139 Mg layers (Mg-terminated). In this way the hexagonal 140 supercell has a = 14.90 Å, and c = 64.62 Å, and con-141 tains 425 Mg and 100 O for the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} 142 systems, with consideration of the thermal expansion of 143 both MgO and Mg at 1000K.^[27,28] The melting temper-ature of Mg is 650°C or 923K at a bient pressure. Similarly, a tetragonal supercell with a = 16.85 Å and 144 145 146 c = 35.05 Å was built for the L-Mg/MgO{0 0 1} 147 148 system. This supercell contains 320 atoms in liquid Mg and 192 atoms in MgO substrate. We employed these 149 large supercells in order to avoid risk of artificial 150 crystallization of the liquid Mg, and to achieve a good 151 balance between the simulations reliability and the 152 153 computational capability.

B. Quantifying Atomic Ordering in the Melt Adjacent 154 to a Substrate 155

To quantitatively describe the atomic ordering of the 156 liquid Mg adjacent to the substrates, two different 157 parameters were used.^[23,29] One is the atomic density 158 profile, $\rho(z)$: 159

$$\rho(z) = \langle N_z(t) \rangle / (L_x L_y \Delta z), \qquad [1]$$

where L_x and L_y are the x and y dimensions of the cell, 161 respectively, and z the dimension perpendicular to the 162 interface, Δz the bin width, and $N_z(t)$ the number of 163 particles between $z - (\Delta z/2)$ and $z + (\Delta z/2)$ at time t. 164 $\langle N_z(t) \rangle$ indicates a time-averaged number of particles. 165 The atomic density profile, $\rho(z)$, describes atomic 166 ordering along the z-direction. 167

Another one is the in-plane order parameter, S(z), 168 which is used to quantify the degree of atomic ordering 169 in each layer and is defined as^[23,29]: 170

$$S(z) = \left[\sum \exp(i Q \cdot r_j)\right]^2 / N_z^2, \qquad [2]$$

where the summation is over all atoms within a given 172 bin of width Δz , Q is the reciprocal lattice vector, and r_j 173 is the Cartesian coordinates of the jth atom in space, N_z 174 the number of atoms in the bin. S(z) quantifies the 175 atomic ordering in a plane parallel to the interface. 176

C. Simulation Technique and Settings 177

In this study, we employed a pseudo-potential 178 plane-wave approach within the first-principles den-179 sity-functional theory (DFT) code Vienna *ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP).^[30,31] The VASP code uses 180 181 the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method.^[32,33] The 182 exchange and correlation terms are described using the 183 generalized gradient approximation (GGA-PBE).^[34] 184 The atomic electronic configurations in pseudo-poten-185 tials are Mg ([Ne] $3s^23p^0$) and O ([He] $2s^22p^4$). The 186 cut-off energies for the wave functions and for the 187 augmentation functions for structural optimizations 188 were 400.0 and 600.0 eV, respectively. The electronic 189

 Journal : MMTA
 Dispatch : 12-11-2019
 Pages : 10

 PIPS No. : 5495
 □
 LE
 □
 TYPESET

 MS Code :
 □
 CP
 □
 DISK

METALLURGICAL AND MATERIALS TRANSACTIONS A

190 wave functions were sampled on dense grids, e.g., a 191 $24 \times 24 \times 24$ k-mesh (365 k-points) in the irreducible 192 Brillouin zone (BZ) of the conventional face-centered 193 cubic (fcc) cell of MgO using the Monkhorst-Pack 194 approach.^[35] The present method allows variable frac-195 tional occupation numbers. Therefore, it works well for metallic systems. This code has been successfully applied 196 to simulate metallic/insulating transition,^[30,31] as well as 197 solid/liquid interface systems.^[25] The ab initio MD 198 simulation is based on the finite-temperature den-199 200 sity-functional theory of the one-electron states. It is 201 also based on the exact energy minimization and 202 calculation of the exact Hellmann–Feynman forces after 203 each MD step using the preconditioned conjugate 204 techniques, and the Nosé dynamics for generating a canonical NVT ensemble.^[30] For the *AI*MD simulations 205 206 of the large supercells, we employed a cut-off energy of 320 eV for the L-Mg/MgO systems, and only the 207 208 Γ -point in the BZs to balance the demand of compu-209 tations for obtaining reliable results and capability of 210 the computer cluster. Test simulations using different 211 cut-off energies ranging from 200.0 to 400.0 eV have 212 shown that the present settings are reasonable.

213 The liquid Mg of the systems was generated by 214 equilibrating for 3000 steps (1.5 fs per step) at 3000K. 215 The equilibrated liquid Mg at high temperature was then 216 cooled to the designed temperatures. The obtained liquid 217 Mg and the substrates were used to build the simulation 218 systems for equilibrating at the designed temperature for 219 about 6000 to 8000 steps (about 10 ps). It is well known 220 that for complex liquid/solid systems, a meaningful 221 statistical analysis cannot be drawn from a single model, 222 and conclusions based on limited configuration-sampling might be misleading.^[25,30,36,37] In the present study, we 223 224 used several different starting structures, and employed 225 the time-averaged method to sample the system with over 226 a period of time up to 4.5 ps (3000 steps) to obtain 227 meaningful results. All substrate and liquid atoms were 228 fully relaxed during the simulations.

229

III. RESULTS

The first-principles DFT structural optimizations 230 231 were conducted to calculate lattice parameters of both 232 hcp α -Mg and fcc MgO. The calculated lattice param-233 eters for α -Mg are a = 3.192 Å and c = 5.185 Å, which 234 are very close to the experimental values of a = 3.2094 Å, c = 5.2108 Å in the literature.^[28] The 235 calculated lattice parameter for the MgO is 236 a = 4.246 Å, which is again very close to the experimental value of a = 4.212 Å.^[27] Both calculations 237 238 239 reproduced the experimental values well within 1 pct 240 of error, confirming the validity of the current simula-241 tion approaches.

A. Surface Structures of the MgO Substrates in Liquid Mg

The MgO{1 1 1} surface has two potential atomic configurations; one is O-terminated (denoted as MgO{1 246 1 1}_O) and the other one is Mg-terminated (denoted as MgO{1 1 1}_{Mg}). In this work we have simulated atomic 247 arrangements in liquid Mg adjacent to both MgO{1 1 248 1}_O and MgO{1 1 1}_{Mg} substrates. Figure 1 shows the 249 evolutions of atomic arrangements at the L-Mg/MgO{1 250 1 1} interfaces during the *AI*MD simulations at 1000K. 251

252 The Mg atoms in the liquid adjacent to the MgO $\{1 \ 1 \$ 253 1_O substrate approach quickly the surface of MgO{1 1 1}_O substrate (Figures 1(a) through (c)). A stable Mg 254 layer forms on the MgO{1 1 1}_O substrate and became 255 the new terminating layer of the substrate. Similarly, for 256 the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1}_{Mg} system, during the AIMD 257 258 simulations the liquid Mg atoms move to the substrate 259 to reach thermal equilibrium (Figures 1(d) through (f)). It is confirmed that after about 1500 steps (2.25ps), (he 260 261 systems reached thermal equilibrium. The resultant equilibrium atomic configurations at terminating sur-262 263 faces of both substrates are presented in Figure 2. Figure 2(a) shows that some of the Mg atoms at the 264 $MgO{1 1 1}_{Mg}$ surface has moved away and became 265 part of the liquid, leaving a substantial amount of 266 vacancies (marked by the crosses) on the surface layer. 267 The newly formed terminating layer on the MgO{1 1 268 1_O substrate (Figure 2(b)) also contains vacancies 269 270 (marked by the crosses). A close examination of 271 Figures 2(a) and (b) revealed that there is no notable dif-272 ference in atomic configurations at the terminating substrate surfaces with two different starting structures 273 274 (Figures 2(a) and (b)). This suggests that the interaction between the MgO $\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ substrate and the liquid Mg 275 276 leads to the formation a new terminating surface layer which has a hexagonal atomic arrangement of Mg 277 278 atoms and contains certain amount of vacancies, 279 regardless atomic configuration of the starting substrate surface. Therefore, it can be concluded that the MgO{1 2801 1} substrate in contact with liquid Mg is atomically 281 rough due to the existence of vacancies. 282

B. Effects of the Substrate Surfaces on Prenucleation

283

284 Figure 3 shows snapshots of the thermally equilibrated L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} (Figure 3(a)) and L-Mg/ 285 MgO{0 0 1} (Figure 3(b)) interfaces at 1000 K. Figure 3 286 provides us with a direct impression about the atomic 287 288 ordering in the liquid Mg adjacent to the substrates. The 289 liquid Mg atoms in both the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} and the L-MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ systems display rather weak layering. In 290 both cases, there is only a few identifiable atomic layers 291 for the liquid Mg near the substrate. These Mg atoms in 292 such layers show significant mobility and exhibit dom-293 inantly liquid-like behavior. In addition, we noticed that 294 there is a distinct separation between the liquid Mg 295 atoms and the flat $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$. 296

297 The density profile of liquid Mg atoms perpendicular to a substrate surface, $\rho(z)$, provides a quantitative 298 description of the atomic layering phenomenon. [11,23-25,29] We analyzed the density profiles 299 300 based on the time-averaged atomic configurations of the 301 . The simulated systems for over 3 to 6ps using Eq. 302 results are shown in Figure 4 for the density profiles $\rho(z)$ 303 and in Figure 5 for the peak density profiles ρ_{peak} . The 304 density profiles confirmed our first impressions about 305 the layering phenomenon in Figure 3. Only 4 Mg layers 306

5 F 3	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
	PIPS No. : 5495		TYPESET
	MS Code :		DISK



Fig. 1—Snapshots during *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations at 1000K showing the evolution of atomic configurations in the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} systems from different starting configurations. (*a*) through (*c*) the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1}_O system; and (*d*) through (*f*) the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1}_{Mg} system. The golden spheres represent Mg atoms, and the dark blue spheres represent O atoms (Color figure online).



Fig. 2—Snapshots of atomic configurations (about 1ps) in the newly formed terminating Mg layer on the MgO{1 1 1} surfaces simulated at 1000K from different starting surface configurations, (a) the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1}_O system; and (b) the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1}_{Mg} system. The golden spheres represent Mg atoms and the crosses for vacancies (Color figure online).

- 307 can be recognized in the $L-Mg/MgO\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ system 308 (Figure 4(b)) and 3 Mg layers in the $L-Mg/MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$
- 309 system (Figure 4(a)). In both cases, the peak heights of
- 310 liquid Mg layers are rather low as compared with those
- 311 of the substrates and decrease with increasing distance
- 312 from the interface (Figure 5).

The previous atomic molecular dynamics simulations 313 revealed that there are generally six layers in liquid metal 314 adjacent to a smooth metallic substrate.^[23-25] There are 315 only three atomic layers of liquid Al. The lattice misfit between MgO{1 1 1} and Mg{0 0 0 1} is 8.2 pct.^[12,16] 317 However, as shown in the literature, lattice misfit has 318

) ()	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
	PIPS No. : 5495		TYPESET
	MS Code :		DISK



Fig. 3—Snapshots for (a) the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} and (b) the L-Mg/MgO{0 0 1} systems at thermodynamically equilibrated state at 1000K. The golden spheres represent Mg atoms, and the dark blue spheres represent O atoms (Color figure online).



Fig. 4—Atomic density profiles, $\rho(z)$ for (*a*) the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} system; and (*b*) the L-Mg/MgO{0 0 1} system at thermodynamically equilibrated state at 1000K. z = 0 marks the position of terminating surface of the substrate.

little influence on the layering of the liquid atoms adjacent to the substrate.^[23,24] Therefore, we can con-319 320 321 clude that the weakened layering in the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 322 1} system originates from the atomically rough substrate surface,^[26] since the atomically rough surface hinders 323 the templating of substrate for liquid Mg to nucleate, 324 according to the epitaxial nucleation/growth model.^[11] 325 326 Figure 4 also provides information about the inter-327 layer spacing between the substrate surface layer and the 328 1st liquid Mg layer. The interlayer spacing between the 329 1st Mg peak and the peak of the terminating Mg surface



Fig. 5—Peak density, $\rho_{\text{peak}}(z)$ for the liquid layers in the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} and the L-Mg/MgO{0 0 1} systems at thermodynamically equilibrated state at 1000K (Color figure online).

of the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} system is about 2.60 Å, which 330 is close to the interlayer spacing along the Mg[0 0 0 1] 331 orientation (2.61 Å). This indicates that the chemical 332 interaction between the MgO{1 1 1} substrate and the 333 liquid Mg is neutral according to our previous work.^[25] 334 This will be discussed in the next section. 335

At the L-Mg/MgO{ $\{0\ 0\ 1\}$ interface, the structurally 336 flat MgO{ $\{0\ 0\ 1\}$ surface does not promote the atomic 337 layering in the liquid Mg. There are only three recognizable Mg peaks with low peak heights (Figure 4(a)). 339 This is somewhat unexpected. Furthermore, a close look 340 at the first liquid Mg peak of the L-Mg/MgO{ $\{0\ 0\ 1\}$ 341 system reveals that this Mg peak is asymmetrical. 342

{E }	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
	PIPS No. : 5495		TYPESET
	MS Code :	□ CP	



Fig. 6-(a) The density profile of the first liquid Mg layer in the L-Mg/MO{0 0 1} system and its decomposed Gaussian peaks; and (b) The chemical bonding of liquid Mg atoms to the adjacent oxygen and magnesium ions in the MgO{0 0 1} substrate. The golden spheres represent Mg atoms, and the dark blue spheres represent O atoms. The numbers in (b) mark the bond lengths (Color figure online).

Author Proo The 1st Mg peak adjacent to the MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ 344 substrate surface is enlarged and analyzed, and the 345 results are presented in Figure 6. Apparently, this 346 broadened and asymmetrical Mg peak contains a peak 347 and a shoulder. Accordingly, we deconvolute it into two 348 peaks: a peak at the position of 2.4 A and a shoulder 349 centered at 3.2 Å with respect to the MgO terminating layer (Figure 6(a)). Our analysis showed that the high 350 351 peak at 2.4 Å is composed mainly of Mg atoms close to 352 the O ions of the MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate surface, whereas 353 the shoulder at 3.2 Å consists of Mg atoms close to the 354 Mg ions of the substrate surface (Figure 6b). It is 355 noticed that the bond length between the Mg ion at the 356 substrate surface and the Mg atom in the liquid is 2.9 Å. 357 being 0.8 Å longer than that between the oxygen ion and 358 the Mg atom in the liquid (Figure 6(b)). This corre-359 sponds well to the layer spacing difference between the 360 peak and the shoulder (0.8 Å) in Figure 6(a). This 361 indicates that the chemistry of the $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate 362 surface causes the separation of Mg atoms in the 1st 363 liquid Mg layer. Consequently, the 1st Mg layer is 364 atomically rough, which strongly reduces its capability 365 to template atomic ordering in the subsequent layers.

366 As suggested by the epitaxial nucleation model, heterogeneous nucleation occurs via a layer by layer 367 growth mechanism.^[11] Therefore, the atomic ordering in 368 369 an atomic layer at the liquid/substrate interface is vital 370 to understand the nucleation potency of a substrate. 371 Figure 7 displays the atomic arrangements of the 372 terminating substrate layer and the first two liquid Mg 373 layers adjacent to the substrates. Figure 8 shows the 374 quantified in-plane order parameters of the terminating 375 substrate layers and the liquid Mg layers from atomic configurations integrated over 3 to 6ps, according to 376 377 Eq. [2].

We first address the in-plane order parameters of the terminating layers of the substrates. As shown in Figure 7, the terminating layer of the structurally flat MgO{0 0 1} substrate shows high degree of in-plane ordering, whereas there are vacancies in the terminating 382 layer of MgO{1 1 1} substrate. In spite of these 383 difference, the in-plane ordering parameter of the 384 terminating Mg layer in L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} system is 385 0.59, which is even slightly higher than that of the 386 structurally flat MgO{0 0 1} surface S(z) = 0.57) 387 (Figure 8). 388

Figure 7 also shows highly delocalized characteristics 389 of the Mg atoms even in the first Mg layer on both 390 $MgO{1 1 1}$ and $MgO{0 0 1}$ substrates. This indicates 391 392 that these Mg atoms exhibit mainly liquid-like behavior in the first layer. Correspondingly, the values of the 393 in-plane order parameter, S(z) which was defined in 394 Eq. ⁽²⁾ are [m] ll, being 0.01 for the 1st Mg layer on the MgO{1 1 J_{3} substrate, and 0.08 for the first Mg layer on 395 396 the MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate (Figure 8). Consequently, S(z)397 is effectively zero for the subsequently Mg layers in both 398 399 cases.

400 To sum up, AIMD simulations showed that both atomically rough MgO{1 1 1} substrate and the struc-401 turally flat $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate induce only weak 402 403 atomic layering and little in-plane ordering in the liquid Mg adjacent to the L-Mg/MgO interface. This suggests 404 405 that those MgO substrates have poor capability to template atomic ordering in the liquid Mg and are 406 therefore impotent for heterogeneous nucleation of solid 407 Mg during solidification. 408

C. Chemical Interaction Between Substrates and Liquid 409 Mg 410

In order to obtain further insight into the atomic 411 ordering in the liquid Mg adjacent to the substrate 412 surface, we performed accurate electronic structure 413 calculation and obtained electron density distributions 414 for selected atomic configurations at the L-Mg/MgO 415 interfaces. The iso-surfaces of electron density distributions ($\rho_0(r) = 0.017 \text{ e/}\text{Å}^3$) of investigated interfaces 417 are presented in Figure 9. Acomovn in Figure 9, the 418

METALLURGICAL AND MATERIALS TRANSACTIONS A

	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
S	PIPS No. : 5495		TYPESET
	MS Code :		DISK



Fig. 7—Time-averaged atomic positions in the terminating surface layer and the first two liquid layers (*a*) for the L-Mg/MgO{1 1} system; and (*b*) for the L-Mg/MgO{0 1} system. The golden spheres represent Mg atoms, and the dark blue spheres represent O atoms (Color figure online).



Fig. 8—In-plane order parameter, S(z) of the liquid Mg atoms as a function of the atomic layers away from the L-Mg/MgO interfaces. The n(layer) = 0 represents the substrate surface (Color figure online).

419 electron density distributions of the MgO substrates 420 show dominantly spherical-shaped electron clouds in the 421 substrate regions. These spherical clouds belong to the 422 oxygen ions. Meanwhile, there is little electron around 423 the Mg ions/atoms in the MgO substrates. These results 424 correspond well with the ionic nature of MgO. In 425 addition, the liquid Mg regions are also composed of 426 Mg ions and electron clouds, being consistent with the 427 free electron nature of condensed Mg.

Charge transfer provides further information about 428 the interfacial chemistry.^[25,38] Bader provided a unique 429 way to divide the boundaries of an ion/atom in a solid 430 via the zero flux surfaces of the electron density 431 distributions of a solid.^[38] This model was implanted in the code VASP.^[39] Figure 10 shows the net charges at 432 433 the atomic sites for the L-Mg/MgO systems. As shown 434 in Figure 10, all O ions in MgO substrates have the same 435 net charge (-1.3 e) and Mg ions in the substrates are 436 positively charged with a loss of 1.3 e/Mg. This agrees 437 438 with the large electronegativity difference between Mg (1.31 in Pauling scale) and O (3.44). Meanwhile, this 439 440 charge transfer (1.3 e) is smaller than the pure ionic 441 model (2.0 e), suggesting that although MgO is an ionic compound, it exhibits some covalent nature. 442

Figure 10 shows that the terminating Mg ions at the 443 MgO{1 1 1} substrate surface are less charged (+ 0.6 e)444 Mg on average) as compared with those in the bulk 445 446 substrate (+ 1.3 e/Mg). The Mg atoms in the first liquid layer on the MgO{1 1 1} substrate are electronically 447 448 neutral. Therefore, the interaction between the substrate Mg surface and liquid Mg is dominated by metallic 449 nature. This is consistent with the fact that the interlayer 450 spacing between the terminating Mg layer and the 1st 451 liquid Mg layer is 2.60 Å, close to that between Mg 452 453 layers (2.61 Å) (Figure 4(b)).

Interestingly, liquid Mg atoms adjacent to the structurally flat MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate lose some electrons 455 (Figure 10(b)). This means that charge transfer occurs 456 from the liquid Mg to the substrate. This result justifies 457 the interpretation of the splitting of the liquid Mg 458

(H)	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
	PIPS No. : 5495		□ TYPESET
	MS Code :		



Fig. 9—The iso-surfaces of electron densities at the interfaces between liquid Mg and (a) MgO{1 1 1} substrate and (b) MgO{0 0 1} substrate. The yellow color responds to the iso-surfaces ($\rho_0 = 0.017$ e/ Å³). The blues regions have electron density higher than ρ_0 , whereas the red regions are the cross sections around cores of atoms/ions (Color figure online).



Fig. 10—The charges in the atomic/ionic spheres across the L-Mg/Mg interface with the MgO{1 1 1} substrate and the MgO{0 0 1} substrate. The distance z = 0 corresponds to the center of the substrate surface. The orange squares represent the charges at Mg sites and dark blue spheres at O sites (Color figure online).

459 adjacent to the flat, non-polar MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ surface. 460 Therefore, though MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ is structurally flat, 461 non-polar, and stable at ambient conditions, the chem-462 ical interaction between the substrate ions and the liquid 463 Mg atoms induces a rough Mg layer, which is similar to 464 effect of an atomically rough substrate surface.

465

Author Proo

IV. DISCUSSION

466 A. Nucleation Potency of MgO in Liquid Mg

In heterogeneous nucleation theory, nucleation 467 potency represents the intrinsic capability of a substrate to nucleate a solid phase from the melt.^[6] The nucleation 468 469 potency of a substrate can be quantified by the degree of 470 471 prenucleation that represents the capability of a sub-472 strate for templating atomic ordering in the liquid 473 adjacent to the substrate. Prenucleation can be further 474 quantified by atomic layering normal to the substrate/ 475 liquid interface and in-plane atomic ordering parallel to the substrate/liquid interface. The recent studies of 476 prenucleation have identified the following three factors 477 that affect nucleation potency of a substrate^[23–26]: 478

- Structural factor: The lattice misfit between a 479 smooth substrate and a solid has a strong influence 480 on the atomic in-plane ordering but weak on the 481 atomic layering. A substrate surface of a smaller 482 lattice misfit provides better structural templating 483 for heterogeneous nucleation.^[23,24]
- Chemical effect: Chemical interaction between the 485 substrate and the liquid also influences structural 486 templating for heterogeneous nucleation. In general, 487 a chemically affinitive substrate promotes prenucle-488 ation, whereas a chemical repulsive substrate has 489 lower potency for heterogeneous nucleation.^[25] 490
- Surface roughness: The recent classic molecular 491 dynamics simulation^[26] showed that atomically 492 rough surface impedes strongly prenucleation by 493 reducing both atomic layering and in-plane atomic 494 ordering in the liquid adjacent to the substrate. 495

Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
PIPS No. : 5495		TYPESET
MS Code :		DISK

496 In light of such understanding of prenucleation, we analyze the nucleation potency of MgO in the L-Mg/ 497 MgO system. The previous study^[12,16] showed that 498 MgO{1 1 1} has 8.2 pct lattice misfit with solid Mg, 499 500 suggesting that $MgO\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ is a poor substrate for 501 prenucleation. The present study has shown that 502 regardless the nature of starting surface termination, 503 $MgO{1 1 1}$ in liquid Mg always has a Mg layer as its 504 new terminating surface that contain significant amount 505 of vacancies (Figure 2), rendering MgO{1 1 1} atomi-506 cally rough. Atomic roughness of a surface can be 507 quantified by the arithmetical mean deviation (R_a) :

$$R_a = \left(\sum |\Delta z(j)/d_0|\right) N_z \times 100 \,\mathrm{pct}$$
 [3]

509 where $\Delta z(i)$ is the deviation of the *i*th atom from the 510 atomic plane along the direction perpendicular to the 511 substrate surface, d_0 is the interlayer spacing of Mg{0 0 0 512 1}, and N_z is the total number of atoms in the layer. 513 When an atom is located in a crystal plane, $\Delta z(i)/$ $d_0 = 0$, when a lattice site is unoccupied (equivalent to 514 515 an atom is located in the next plane), $\Delta z(i)/d_0 = 1.0$. 516 Our calculation shows that there are 8.0 pct vacancies at 517 the terminating Mg layer of the $MgO\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ substrate 518 (Figure 2). This corresponds to $R_a = 8.0$ pct. There-519 fore, the large lattice misfit and the large surface 520 roughness make the MgO{1 1 1} extremely poor for 521 structural templating, which in turn results in the poor 522 atomic layering (Figure 3) and in-plane atomic ordering 523 (Figure 8).

524 The formation of vacancies in the terminating Mg 525 layer in the L-Mg/MgO{1 1 1} system needs further 526 discussion. On one hand, the vacancies at the terminat-527 ing Mg layer can be at least partially attributed to the 528 charge balance between the atoms in the terminating Mg 529 layer and the liquid Mg adjacent to it. Previous studies 530 in the literature suggested that the polar $MgO\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ surface can be stabilized at ambient conditions with only 531 half of the surface Mg sites being occupied.^[14,15] The 532 533 present study revealed that the terminating Mg ions at 534 the MgO $\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ substrate surface are less charged 535 (about + 0.6 e/Mg on average) as compared with those 536 in the bulk substrate (+ 1.3 e/Mg) (Figure 10). On the 537 other hand, the misfit between the MgO{1 1 1} and 538 α -Mg is large (8.2 pct). Therefore, the formation of 539 vacancies in the terminating Mg layer can be treated as a 540 mechanism to accommodate lattice misfit. In this sense, 541 the 8.2 pct lattice misfit and the 8 pct vacancies in the 542 L-Mg/MgO system may not cause any surprise. How-543 ever, the relative contributions from accommodation of 544 lattice misfit (structural effect) and charge transfer 545 (chemical effect) warrants further investigations.

546 In addition, the L-Mg/MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ system represents 547 another interesting case for heterogeneous nucleation. Structurally, the MgO{0 0 1} substrate also has a large lattice misfit with α -Mg,^[12,16] hindering it for heteroge-548 549 550 neous nucleation. Chemically, MgO{0 0 1} surface is non-polar under ambient conditions. However, the 551 552 situation is rather different when $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate is in contact with liquid Mg. The present study has 553 554 revealed that the chemical interaction between the

 $MgO{0 0 1}$ substrate and the liquid Mg results in the 555 formation of a rough 1st layer of Mg atoms in the liquid 556 (Figure 6), which significantly reduces the potency for 557 558 structural templating of further liquid layers (Figures 4, 559 5, 7 and 8). As a structurally flat substrate, one would 560 expect pronounced layering since atomic layer is independent of lattice misfits.^[23,24] Chemistry analysis 561 showed the chemical interaction exists between the 562 substrate surface and liquid Mg. The liquid Mg atoms 563 adjacent to the oxygen ions are positioned closer to the 564 565 substrate due to the attractive interaction between 566 oxygen ions in the substrate surface and the Mg atoms 567 in the liquid Mg; whereas liquid Mg atoms adjacent to the Mg ions are positioned further away from the 568 substrate surface because of the repulsive interaction 569 570 between the Mg ions (Figure 6). Consequently, the 1st layer of liquid Mg atoms becomes rough and less 571 572 effective for templating atomic ordering in the further 573 layers. Therefore, it can be concluded that the struc-574 turally flat $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate is also impotent for nucleation of solid Mg. 575

B. Implications to Grain Refinement of Mg-Alloys

576

The ab initio molecular dynamics simulations demon-577 578 strated that both MgO{1 1 1} and MgO{0 0 1} substrate surfaces are atomically or chemically rough and impor-579 tant for heterogeneous nucleation. However, they may 580 581 be used for effective grain refinement when no other more potent particles exist in the liquid. In spite of the 582 583 fact that heterogeneous nucleation as an atomic level activity may occur on all available nucleant particles at a 584 given nucleation undercooling,^[12] not all the nucleus can 585 lead to formation of grains in the solidified microstruc-586 ture. This means that effectiveness of grain refinement 587 588 depends on the interplay between heterogeneous nucleation governed by the epitaxial nucleation undercool-589 ing^[11] and grain initiation governed by the free growth 590 criterion.^[40] When nucleation undercooling is smaller 591 than the free growth undercooling, grain initiation will 592 593 be progressive, starting with the largest particle(s) and followed by the progressively smaller ones. Meanwhile, 594 when nucleation undercooling is larger than the free 595 growth undercooling required by many nucleant parti-596 cles, a large number of nucleant particles can initiate 597 598 grains at the same time immediately after nucleation, resulting in potentially much more significant grain 599 refinement. The former is called progressive grain initiation, and the later explosive grain initiation.^[12] Recent research work^[12,16] suggests that MgO{1 1 1} 600 601

602 and $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ exist in Mg-alloy melt with a small 603 particle size, narrow size distribution, and an extremely 604 large number density (10^{17} m^{-3}) . HRTEM work^[12,16,18,19] has confirmed that both MgO{1 1 1} density $(10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}).$ 605 606 and MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ can act as sites for heterogeneous 607 nucleation of α -Mg. More importantly, it is confirmed 608 that appropriately dispersed native MgO particles can 609 lead to micron level grain size by high pressure die casting of commercial purity Mg,^[12] confirming that 610 611 MgO can be very effective for grain refinement of 612 Mg-alloys under appropriate conditions. This means 613 that once fully dispersed the native MgO particles can 614

)f	Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
	PIPS No. : 5495		□ TYPESET
	MS Code :		DISK

615 effectively grain refine Mg-alloys without the need for 616 any grain refiner addition. The impotency of both 617 MgO{1 1 1} and MgO{0 0 1} particles in Mg-alloy melt 618 from this study sheds new lights on heterogeneous 619 nucleation, grain initiation, and grain refinement of 620 Mg-alloys.

621

650

V. CONCLUSIONS

622 Using a parameter-free *ab initio* molecular dynamics 623 simulation technique, we investigated the atomic configurations and chemistry of MgO{0 0 1} and MgO{1 1 624 625 1} surfaces in liquid Mg. We showed that an atomically rough terminating Mg layer forms on the MgO $\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ 626 substrate in liquid Mg. The simulations also revealed 627 628 that on the structurally flat $MgO\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ substrate Proof 9 induces a rough Mg layer due to chemical interactions between the ions at the substrate surface and liquid Mg, 1 being similar to the atomically rough $MgO\{1 \ 1 \ 1\}$ **Author** 2 3 substrate. The surface roughness together with the large lattice misfit with solid Mg makes both MgO{1 1 1} and 4 MgO{0 0 1} substrate ineffective for inducing atomic ordering in the liquid adjacent to the liquid/substrate interface. It is therefore concluded that both MgO{1 1 636 637 1} and MgO $\{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ are impotent for heterogeneous 638 nucleation of α -Mg. The present results shed new light 639 on grain refinement of Mg-alloys. The native MgO particles are widely available in Mg-alloy melts and may 640 641 be used for effective grain refinement of Mg-alloys through explosive grain initiation without the need of 642 grain refiner addition. 643 644

645 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. H. Men (BCAST, Brunel University
London) for the beneficent discussions. Financial support from EPSRC (UK) under grant number EP/
N007638/1 is gratefully acknowledged.

OPEN ACCESS

651 This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International 652 License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), 653 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and 654 655 reproduction in any medium, provided you give 656 appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the 657 source, provide a link to the Creative Commons 658 license, and indicate if changes were made.

- 659 **REFERENCES**
- 660 1. A.L. Greer: J. Chem. Phys., 2016, vol. 145, p. 211704.
- 661 2. M.A. Easton: Solid State Mater. Sci., 2016, vol. 20, pp. 13–24.

- M. Esmaily, J.E. Svensson, S. Fajardo, N. Birbilis, G.S. Frankel, 662
 S. Virtanen, R. Arrabal, S. Thomas, and G. Johansson: *ProgressMater. Sci.*, 2017, vol. 89, pp. 92–93
- Y.H. Ali, D. Qiu, B. Jiang, F.S. Pan, and M.Z. Zhang: J. Alloys Compounds, 2015, vol. 619, pp. 639–51.
- D.H. StJohn, M. Qian, M.A. Easton, P. Cao, and Z. Hildebrand: *Metall. Mater. Trans. A*, 2005, vol. 36A, pp. 1669–79.
- M. Qian, D.H. StJohn, and M.T. Frost: Scripta Mater., 2002, 669 vol. 46, pp. 649–54.
 A. Ramirez, M. Qian, B. Davis, T. Wilks, and D.H. StJohn. 671
- 7. A. Ramirez, M. Qian, B. Davis, T. Wilks, and D.H. StJohn: 671 Scripta Mater., 2008, vol. 59, pp. 19–22. 672
- M. Sun, M.A. Easton, D.H. Stlohn, G.H. Wu, T.B. Abbott, and
 W.J. Ding: Adv. Eng. Mater., 2013, vol. 15, pp. 373–78.
 B. Nagasivamuni, G. Wang, D.H. StJohn, and M.S. Dargusch: J.
- 9. B. Nagasivamuni, G. Wang, D.H. StJohn, and M.S. Dargusch: J. Crystal Growth, 2019, vol. 512, pp. 20–32.

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694 695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706 707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714 715

716

717

718

719

720

721

 $\dot{7}\ddot{2}\ddot{2}$ 723

724

725 726 727

728

ź29

730

731

- W.C. Yang, L. Lin, J. Zhang, S.X. Ji, and Z. Fan: *Mater. Lett.*, 2015, vol. 160, pp. 263–67.
- 11. Z. Fan: Metall. Mater. Trans. A, 2013, vol. 44A, pp. 1409-18.
- 12. Z. Fan, F. Gao and B. Jiang: submitted to science, 2018.
- 13. H. Men, B. Jiang, and Z. Fan: Acta Mater., 2010, vol. 58, pp. 6526–34.
- 14. P.W. Tasker: *Philos. Mag. A*, 1979, vol. 39, pp. 119–36. 15. C.M. Fang, M.A. Van Huis, D. Vanmaekelbergh, and
- H.W. Zandbergen: ACS Nano, 2010, vol. 4, pp. 211–18.
 16. G.S. Peng, Y. Wang, and Z. Fan: Metall. Mater. Trans. A, 2018, vol. 49A, pp. 2182–92.
- 17. Z. Fan, Y. Wang, M. Xia, and S. Arumuganathar: *Acta Mater.*, 2009, vol. 57, pp. 4891–4901.
- Y. Wang, Z. Fan, X. Zhou, and G.E. Thompson: *Philos. Mag. Lett.*, 2011, vol. 91, pp. 516–29.
- Y. Wang, G.S. Peng and Z. Fan: *Magnesium Technology* 2017, eds. K.S. Solank, *et al.* The Minerals, Metals & Materials Series, 2017, pp. 99–106.
- E.T. Dong, P. Shen, L.X. Shi, D. Zhang, and Q.C. Jiang: J. Mater. Sci., 2013, vol. 48, pp. 6008–17.
- 21. W.W. Xu, A.P. Horsfield, D. Wearing, and P.D. Lee: J. Alloys Compounds, 2016, vol. 68, pp. 1233-40.
- 22. H.-Q. Song, M. Zhao, and J.G. Li: *Modern Phys. Lett. B*, 2016, vol. 30, p. 165052.
- 23. H. Men and Z. Fan: Comp. Mater. Sci., 2014, vol. 85, pp. 1-7.
- 24. H. Men and Z. Fan: *Metall. Mater. Trans. A*, 2018, vol. 49A, pp. 2766–77.
- 25. C.M. Fang, H. Men, and Z. Fan: *Metall. Mater. Trans. A*, 2018, vol. 49A, pp. 6231–42.
- 26. B. Jiang, H. Men, and Z. Fan: Comp. Mater. Sci., 2018, vol. 153, pp. 73–81.
- 27. R.R. Reeber, K. Goessel, and K. Wang: *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 1995, vol. 7, pp. 1039–47.
- 28. J.W. Arblaster: Selected values of the crystallographic properties of the elements, ASM International, Materials Park, Ohio, 2018.
- 29. A. Hashibon, J. Adler, M.W. Finnis, and W.D. Kaplan: *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2002, vol. 24, pp. 443–52.
- 30. G. Kresse and J. Hafner: Phys. Rev. B, 1994, vol. 49, pp. 14251-69.
- G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller: *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, vol. 6, pp. 15–50.
- 32. P.E. Blöchl: Phys. Rev. B, 1994, vol. 50, pp. 17953-79.
- G. Kresse and J. Joubert: *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, vol. 59, pp. 1758–75.
 J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof: *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, vol. 77, pp. 3865–68.
- 35. H.J. Monkhorst and J.D. Pack: *Phys. Rev. B*, 1976, vol. 13, pp. 5188–92.
- 36. G.A. de Wijs and G. Kresse: *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, vol. 57, pp. 8223-34.
- 37. C.M. Fang, R.S. Koster, W.-F. Li, and M.A. van Huis: *RSC Adv.*, 2014, vol. 4, pp. 7885–99.
- 38. R.F.W. Bader: J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, vol. 102, pp. 7314-23.
- W. Tang, E. Sanville, and G. Henkelman: J. Phys., 2009, vol. 21, p. 084204.
- 40. A.L. Greer, A.M. Bunn, A. Tronche, P.V. Evans, and D.J. Bristow: Acta Mater., 2000, vol. 48, pp. 2823–35.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Journal : MMTA	Dispatch : 12-11-2019	Pages : 10
PIPS No. : 5495		
MS Code :		□ DISK

Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Kindly update complete page range for References [1, 39, 22]. And also update complete details for Ref. [12].	\bigcirc