

**Aging & Mental Health** 

ISSN: 1360-7863 (Print) 1364-6915 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/camh20

brought to you by

Taylor & Francis Group

CORE

# Psychological predictors of 'living well' with dementia: findings from the IDEAL study

Ruth A. Lamont, Sharon M. Nelis, Catherine Quinn, Anthony Martyr, Isla Rippon, Michael D. Kopelman, John V. Hindle, Roy W. Jones, Rachael Litherland & Linda Clareon behalf of the IDEAL study team

To cite this article: Ruth A. Lamont, Sharon M. Nelis, Catherine Quinn, Anthony Martyr, Isla Rippon, Michael D. Kopelman, John V. Hindle, Roy W. Jones, Rachael Litherland & Linda Clareon behalf of the IDEAL study team (2019): Psychological predictors of 'living well' with dementia: findings from the IDEAL study, Aging & Mental Health, DOI: 10.1080/13607863.2019.1566811

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2019.1566811

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



0

Published online: 05 Mar 2019.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗗

Article views: 338



View Crossmark data 🗹

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Routledge

Taylor & Francis Group

# Psychological predictors of 'living well' with dementia: findings from the IDEAL study

Ruth A. Lamont<sup>a</sup> (b), Sharon M. Nelis<sup>a</sup> (b), Catherine Quinn<sup>a</sup> (b), Anthony Martyr<sup>a</sup> (b), Isla Rippon<sup>b</sup> (b), Michael D. Kopelman<sup>c</sup> (b), John V. Hindle<sup>a</sup> (b), Roy W. Jones<sup>d</sup> (b), Rachael Litherland<sup>e</sup> and Linda Clare<sup>a</sup> (b) on behalf of the IDEAL study team

<sup>a</sup>REACH: The Centre for Research in Ageing and Cognitive Health, University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, UK; <sup>b</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, Brunel University, London, UK; <sup>c</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK; <sup>d</sup>Research Institute for the Care of Older People, Bath, UK; <sup>e</sup>Innovations in Dementia CIC, Exeter, UK

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Increasingly, research has explored how psychological resources enable adaptation to illness. However, it is unclear whether psychological resources protect against the potential negative effects on living well with a progressive and life-limiting condition such as dementia. This paper examines the association between psychological resources and the ability to 'live well' with dementia.

**Method:** Data from 1547 people with mild-to-moderate dementia in the Improving the experience of Dementia and Enhancing Active Life (IDEAL) cohort were used. Multivariate linear regression was employed to examine the association between self-reported measures of psychological resources (self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem) and indices of capability to 'live well' (quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction).

**Results:** All three measures of psychological resources had positive and independent associations with indices of living well and the effect sizes were similar. Effect sizes reduced when accounting for shared variance between psychological resources, showing some overlap in these constructs. **Conclusion:** Self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem were each associated with capability to 'live well'. Overlap between these three resources is evident and when combined they may provide greater resilience when dealing with the challenges of living with dementia. Interventions for people with dementia could seek to improve levels of these potentially-modifiable psychological resources.

# **Background and objectives**

The Institute of Medicine defines 'living well' with chronic illness and disability as 'the best achievable state of health that encompasses all dimensions of physical, mental and social well-being' (Institute of Medicine, 2012, p.32). Experiences of living well are reflected in subjective reports of quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction, whether self-rated or rated by others (Clare, Nelis, et al., 2014). Although these indices of living well are likely to decline as chronic illness progresses, the experience of dementia should be viewed as an interplay between limitations arising from neurological impairment on the one hand, and the external environment and individual psychological characteristics on the other (Kitwood & Bredin, 1992). Impairment arising from dementia will affect an individual's experience, but external influences such as the availability of formal and informal care will contribute to how an individual copes with increasing impairment. Further, the way individuals think and feel about their situation (positive psychological resources) may also determine how increasing impairment is interpreted and dealt with, and how people respond to available social and financial support (Hobfoll, 2002). In the case of progressive and life-limiting health conditions such as dementia, where disease

**ARTICLE HISTORY** Received 31 July 2018

Accepted 24 December 2018

KEYWORDS

Self-esteem; self-efficacy; optimism; well-being; life satisfaction

outcomes cannot be altered, psychological resources may be particularly important in helping people cope.

Through observation of the physical and verbal expressions of people with dementia, Kitwood and Bredin (1992) derived a list of indicators of well-being. They concluded that these indicators reflect a number of overarching states important for well-being, including self-esteem (a global sense of self-worth), a sense of agency or control in one's life, social confidence (being able to interact with others) and 'hope' reflecting a positive outlook that the future will be 'good'. More recently, Wolverson, Clarke and Moniz-Cook (2016) compiled previous qualitative research that illuminated the existence and nature of positive experiences of those living with dementia. Accounts of positive experience were grouped into three overlapping themes: 'engaging with life in ageing', whereby people with dementia may seek continued engagement, enjoyment and social support; 'engaging with dementia', which as a continuation of engaging with life is about making conscious efforts to live well despite a diagnosis, and using humor and positive thinking to cope with a diagnosis; and 'identity and growth', whereby people maintain a positive identity through positive life review, acknowledging their continued sense of self and meaning that has arisen from having a diagnosis of dementia. This qualitative literature

CONTACT Ruth A. Lamont 🖾 r.lamont@exeter.ac.uk

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

reinforces the idea that people with dementia can have positive lived experiences and display a number of varied positive psychological resources. However, diminishing cognitive functioning and an assumed 'loss of the self' among those with dementia may help to explain the lack of research exploring further the association between psychological resources and ability to live well and how resources can be promoted among people living with dementia.

Within the quantitative literature, high self-efficacy (sometimes termed mastery or control), optimism and selfesteem are repeatedly implicated as important psychological resources for living well among various groups experiencing illness or stressors (e.g. Aspinwall & Taylor, 1992; Cozzarelli, 1993; Hobfoll, 2002; Lee, Cohen, Edgar, Laizner, & Gagnon, 2006; Rini, Dunkel-Schetter, Wadhwa, & Sandman, 1999; Sandler, 2001; Taylor, 1983; Taylor & Brown, 1988; Teoh, Sims, & Milgrom, 2009). However, a meta-analysis of 198 studies examining factors that predict quality of life among people with dementia did not find sufficient studies examining self-efficacy, optimism and selfesteem to permit their inclusion as predictors within the meta-analysis (Martyr et al., 2018). Acknowledging the personhood of people with dementia, the current study examines whether there is a relationship between these psychological resources and living well among people with dementia. These three psychological resources do not necessarily encompass the whole range of positive psychological experience, but instead represent three resources that are commonly known to be beneficial among other groups facing illness or stressors, are understudied among those with dementia and may be amenable to intervention to support living well.

'The conviction that one can successfully execute the behavior required to produce the outcomes' is termed selfefficacy (Bandura, 1977, p193). General self-efficacy is measured in settings where self-efficacy is not being viewed as specific to a given situation, as is the case with 'living well' (Luszczynska, Scholz & Schwarzer, 2005). Bandura (1977) outlined how perceived self-efficacy is a determinant of persistence and pursuit of success, but also of the activities people engage in to start with. Self-efficacy may therefore impact levels of activity engagement and success in activities that can influence the ability to 'live well' among people with dementia. Blascovich and Tomaka (1991; p 115) define self-esteem as 'the sum of evaluations across salient attributes of one's self or personality. It is the overall affective evaluation of one's own worth, value, or importance'. Self-esteem and quality of life are also commonly viewed as related, as the view of one's life will be affected by the view of the self. In fact, self-esteem is often considered as an indicator, or one dimension, of quality of life (see Ettema et al., 2005 for a summary). Finally, optimism is a trait characterized by a disposition to expect positive outcomes in the face of adversity and hardship. Optimism is thought to influence motivation, in that people who express more optimism exert more effort and work harder at social relations, and this may positively impact on living well (Carver & Scheier, 2014).

Psychological resources—self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem—may directly and indirectly impact on living well. Directly, psychological resources may alter subjective appraisals of life circumstances. Indirectly, psychological resources may determine motivation and behaviors, thereby altering aspects of day-to-day living and the potential for 'living well' (Bandura, 1977; Carver & Scheier, 2014; Steptoe, Wright, Kunz-Ebrecht, & Iliffe, 2006). However, there is only minimal research examining the association between self-esteem and self-efficacy and living well outcomes such as quality of life (Dawson, Powers, Krestar, Yarry, & Judge, 2013, Moyle, McAllister, Venturato & Adams, 2007; Young, Ng, & Kwok, 2017), and we found no evidence for optimism. A psychological resource very similar to optimism however—*hope*—has previously been linked to better quality of life (Stoner, Orrell, & Spector, 2018).

When examining the role of psychological resources, there are two further points of interest: the impact dementia itself has on psychological resources and the extent to which positive psychological resources can be distinguished as distinct constructs, as opposed to representing a global construct of 'resilience'. The progressive deterioration that characterizes dementia may impact negatively on self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem, both through personal experiences of reduced abilities and through vicarious experiences such as seeing others with dementia or accessing information on dementia (Bandura, 1977; Sabat, Fath, Moghaddam, & Harré, 1999). Burgener and Berger (2008) found lower levels of self-esteem and sense of personal control among people in the mild-to-moderate disease stages of Alzheimer's disease when compared to people with Parkinson's disease. The authors suggest that this may be due to disease processes in the early to middle stages of Alzheimer's disease affecting different aspects of physical functioning, cognitive and compared to Parkinson's disease. The current study includes those with Alzheimer's disease, but also other sub-types of dementia such as Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies, therefore it is important to take differences in physical and cognitive deterioration into account when examining positive psychological resources among people with dementia.

All three psychological resources represent positive frameworks of thinking, and so they are sometimes brought together as a global construct under the heading of 'resilience' (e.g. Connor & Davidson, 2003; Liu, Wang, Zhou, & Li, 2014). Resilience has been discussed as a positive personality trait characterized by better psychological adjustment in response to accumulating challenge (Liu, Wang, Zhou, & Li, 2014). Psychological resources such as self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem are commonly measured as part of the assessment of resilience, along with other psychological resources, such as viewing change as challenge, hardiness and active coping (Connor & Davidson, 2003; Rutter, 1985). We would expect a positive association between self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem, therefore, but within this study we consider them as theoretically distinct psychological resources and we would expect each to make a unique contribution to living well with dementia.

The current study examines the association between psychological resources and living well among people with dementia. Both the measures of psychological resources and the indicators of living well are more comprehensive than previously used and the study benefits from a large community-based sample of people living with dementia. This allows us to assess the importance of optimism for the first time and more robustly look at self-efficacy and selfesteem. It examines whether higher levels of the three psychological resources are associated with higher scores for life satisfaction, well-being and quality of life, while accounting for differences in cognitive and functional impairments, dementia subtype, gender and age. Building on this, the overlap between these psychological resources is examined, with the expectation that psychological resources will be positively related but remain independent predictors of scores on measures of 'living well'.

# Method

# Design and sample

Data from the first time-point of the Improving the experience of Dementia and Enhancing Active Life (IDEAL) project were analyzed. The IDEAL study is a longitudinal cohort study of people with dementia and carers. Details of the aims and procedures of the study can be found in the published protocol (Clare, Nelis, et al., 2014; Silarova et al., 2018). The 1547 participants with dementia were recruited from 29 research sites across England, Scotland and Wales; in most cases a caregiver also participated, and the sample comprised 1283 informal caregivers, mainly spouses or other family members. Only data from the participants with dementia are analyzed in this paper. Researchers interviewed participants in their own homes, assessing resources, challenges and coping, as well as quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction. To be included in the study, participants had to have a clinical diagnosis of any dementia subtype (World Health Organization, 1992), to be in the mild-to-moderate stages of dementia (Mini-Mental State Examination score of 15 or above; Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975) and to reside within their own home (rather than in residential or nursing homes) at baseline. Participants were excluded if they had a co-morbid terminal illness, inability to speak English, posed a danger to researchers or were unable to provide informed consent. The IDEAL study gained approval from the Wales 5 National Health Service Research Ethics Committee (reference: 13/WA/0405) and the Ethics Committee of the School of Psychology, Bangor University (reference 2014 – 11684), and is registered with UKCRN (registration number 16593).

#### Measures

#### Measures of psychological resources

The General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES; Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1995) was used (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .88$ ), whereby respondents rated 10 items conveying a strong expression of self-efficacy on a scale from *not at all true* (1) to *completely true* (4). To account for non-linearity in data, total scores were categorized into tertiles of low (range 10–28), moderate (range 29–31) and high (range 32–40) self-efficacy. The GSES has been shown to yield meaningful relationships with indicators of living well among individuals dealing with health stressors, although it may be less useful when related to more specific behaviors or outcomes (Luszczynska, Scholz & Schwarzer, 2005). Respondents also rated their agreement (5-point scale from 0 = strongly

disagree to 4 = strongly agree) with six statements from the Life Orientation Test-Revised (LOT-R; Scheier, Carver, & Bridges, 1994) to measure dispositional optimism. Negatively phrased items were reverse-coded and the sum of items taken to create total scores (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .70). These were again categorized into tertiles of low (range 0-14), moderate (range 15-16) and high (range 17-24) optimism. Lastly, the Rosenberg Self-Esteem (RSE) scale (Rosenberg, 1965) was utilized to measure overall feelings of self-worth or self-acceptance. The RSE is a self-report measure of global self-esteem consisting of 10 statements which participants rate their agreement with on a fourpoint scale ranging (1 = strongly disagree to 4 = stronglyagree). Negatively phrased items were reverse coded to create total scores (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .83) which were then categorized as low (range 0-28), moderate (range 29-30) and high (range 31-40) self-esteem.

The GSES, LOT-R and RSE have not been previously validated for use with people with dementia, yet have shown strong psychometric properties across different age, gender and other social groups (e.g. Glaesmer et al., 2012; Luszczynska et al., 2005; Sinclair, Blais, Gansler, Sandberg, Bistis, & LoCicero 2010). As recorded, each has also shown acceptable Cronbach's alphas within the current study.

# Measures of living well

To assess subjective appraisals of 'living well' three measures were used. The Quality of Life in Alzheimer's Disease (QoL-AD) Scale (Logsdon, Gibbons, McCurry, & Teri, 2000) is made up of 13 questions asking respondents to rate different aspects of their current situation as either poor (1), fair (2), good (3) or excellent (4). Higher total scores therefore indicate better QoL (range 13–52; Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .86). The Satisfaction with Life Scale (SwLS; Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985) includes five items (rated on a 7-point scale from 1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree) about satisfaction with life past and desire to change one's life now. Higher total scores indicate greater life satisfaction (range 5–35; Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .82). Finally, the World Health Organization-Five Well-being Index (WHO-5; World Health Organization, Regional office for Europe, 1998; Bech, 2004) was used to measure well-being. The measure includes five items reflecting on positive mood, feelings of vitality and being interested in things. These items are rated on a 6point scale (from 0 = at no time to 5 = all of the time) to indicate the frequency that the respondent has felt these states. Once converted to percentage scores, a higher score out of 100 indicates greater well-being (Cronbach's  $\alpha$ = .79).

#### Additional information

Age, gender, dementia subtype, functional ability and cognitive functioning were measured. The Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ; Pfeffer, Kurosaki, Harrah, Chance, & Filos, 1982) was used, with the addition of 1-item in line with Martyr et al. (2012), to assess functional ability. Total scores range from 0 to 33 whereby higher scores show worse functional ability. Self-rated and informant-rated FAQ were separately tested within the fully-adjusted multivariate regression and a comparison made.

Conclusions when using the two did not differ and so self-rated FAQ was used to enable the use of the full sample. The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-III (ACE-III; Hsieh, Schubert, Hoon, Mioshi, & Hodges, 2013) was used to assess cognitive functioning; this yields a total score out of 100 and higher scores indicate better cognitive function.

# Analyses

Analyses used IDEAL baseline data version 2.0 and were conducted using Stata 14.2. Multivariate linear regression was used to examine the individual associations between psychological resources and living well measures adjusting for covariates (age group, sex, dementia subtype, FAQ and ACE-III). A full model including all three measures of psychological resources was then tested to examine whether each psychological resource remained an independent predictor of living well while accounting for any positive associations between the three measures of psychological resources. Previous guidance regarding the general population (Kobau, Sniezek, Zack, Lucas, & Burns, 2010; Topp, Østergaard, Sondergaard, & Bech, 2015) and people with dementia (Clare, Woods, et al., 2014) would suggest that effect sizes can be judged as meaningful if QoL-AD and SwLS > 1.5, and WHO-5 > 5.0. Living well measures were further standardized to allow for comparison of effect sizes, examining whether the three psychological resources have a similar size effect on all three measures of living well. For some variables there was a high percentage of missing data (between 1.68% and 12.5% across all variables included) and overall 29.73% of participants had some missing data on the variables to be analysed. Therefore, assuming data was missing at random, 30 imputed data sets were generated using all variables in the modelling (due to missingness > 10%; Jakobsen, Gluud, Wetterslev, & Winkel, 2017; Little & Rubin, 2002). The estimates from the

Table 1. Descriptive information about the participants.

imputed datasets were combined using Rubin's rules (Rubin, 1996).

#### Results

IDEAL study participants were on average 76.37 years old (SD = 8.56) and 56.4% were male. A large proportion of the sample had a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease (55.5%) or mixed dementia (Alzheimer's and vascular dementia; 21.1%). A mean ACE-III score of 69.28 (SD = 13.19) and a mean FAQ score of 9.59 (SD = 7.69) demonstrate marked cognitive and functional impairments (further descriptives in Table 1).

#### Univariable analyses

Unadjusted and partially adjusted (for age group, sex, dementia subtype) models are shown in Table 2. The fully adjusted univariable model (accounting for age group, sex, dementia subtype, FAQ and ACE-III) shows that high GSES (compared to low GSES) is associated with an additional 3.70 points on the QoL-AD (95% CI: 3.02, 4.38), 3.60 points on the SwLS (95% CI: 2.87, 4.33), and 13.36 points on the WHO-5 (95% CI: 10.98, 15.75). High RSE (compared to low RSE) is associated with an additional 5.14 points on the QoL-AD (95% CI: 3.84, 5.29), and 16.74 points on the WHO-5 (95% CI: 14.33, 19.15). High LOT-R (compared to low LOT-R) is associated with an additional 4.57 points on the QoL-AD (95% CI: 3.95, 5.19), 4.11 points on the SwLS (95% CI: 3.44, 4.79), and 13.96 points on the WHO-5 (95% CI: 11.75, 16.18).

#### Multivariable analyses

The effect sizes generally reduced in the full model compared to those in the univariable model. The fully adjusted

Table 1. Descriptive information about the	e participants.		
	GSES	RSE	LOT-R
Overall mean (SD)	29.26 (5.49)	29.48 (3.79)	14.97 (3.50)
Low N	542 (35%)	505 (32.6%)	596 (38.5%)
Moderate N	407 (26.3%)	434 (28.1%)	348 (22.5%)
High N	465 (30.1%)	414 (26.8%)	490 (31.7%)
Missing N	133 (8.6%)	194 (12.5%)	113 (7.3%)
	QoL-AD	SwLS	WHO-5
Overall mean (SD)	36.78 (5.92)	26.07 (6.10)	60.94 (20.55)
	N (%)		
Age			
80+	603 (39%)		
75–79	370 (23.9%)		
70–74	260 (16.8%)		
65–69	178 (11.5%)		
<65	136 (8.8%)		
Sex			
Men	872 (56.4%)		
Women	675 (43.6%)		
Dementia subtypes			
Alzheimer's disease (AD)	858 (55.5%)		
Vascular dementia (VaD)	171 (11.1%)		
Mixed AD and VaD	326 (21.1%)		
Frontotemporal dementia	54 (3.5%)		
Parkinson's disease dementia	44 (2.8%)		
Dementia with Lewy bodies	53 (3.4%)		
Unspecified	41 (2.7%)		

Note: GSES, General Self-Efficacy Scale; RSE, Rosenberg Self-Esteem; LOT-R, Life Orientation Test-Revised; QoL-AD, Quality of Life in Alzheimer's disease scale; SwLS, Satisfaction with Life Scale; WHO-5, World Health Organization-Five Well-being Index.

lable 2. The association	on between psychologic	cal resources and measu	ires of living well; multiv,	ariate univariable analy:	ses, B Loet. (95% LI).				
Model		1. QoL-AD			2. SwLS			3. WHO-5	
	GSES	RSE	LOT-R	GSES	RSE	LOT-R	GSES	RSE	LOT-R
a. Unadjusted Low (Ref)	I					I	I	I	I
Moderate	2.88 (2.19, 3.58)	2.89 (2.15, 3.62)	10.40 (7.96, 13.66)	3.77 (3.11, 4.43)	3.34 (2.62, 4.05)	13.76 (11.42, 16.10)	3.06 (2.34, 3.77)	3.12 (2.35, 3.90)	9.58 (7.05, 12.11)
High	4.74 (4.07, 5.41)	4.23 (3.65, 4.96)	15.98 (13.66, 18.30)	6.01 (5.33, 6.68)	5.18 (4.46, 5.89)	19.34 (16.96, 21.72)	5.10 (4.46, 5.75)	4.48 (3.79, 5.17)	15.31 (13.03, 17.59)
b. Adjusted for age									
group, sex and									
dementia subtype									
Low (Ref)									I
Moderate	2.78 (2.10, 3.48)	2.58 (1.85, 3.31)	9.87 (7.45, 12.29)	3.53 (2.88, 4.19)	3.01 (2.31, 4.01)	12.93 (10.61, 15.25)	2.89 (2.19, 3.59)	2.88 (2.13, 3.64)	8.98 (6.49, 11.47)
High	4.46 (3.80, 5.13)	3.77 (3.07, 4.47)	14.94 (12.62, 17.26)	5.69 (5.02, 6.36)	4.72 (4.01, 5.43)	17.96 (15.57, 20.35)	4.89 (4.26, 5.53)	4.19 (3.52, 4.87)	14.52 (12.28, 16.76)
c. Also adjusted for									
FAQ and ACE-III									
Low (Ref)	Ι			Ι	Ι		Ι	Ι	Ι
Moderate	2.30 (1.62, 2.99)	2.47 (1.73, 3.21)	8.86 (6.43, 11.29)	3.10 (2.45, 3.74)	2.88 (2.16, 3.59)	11.84 (9.53, 14.16)	2.70 (2.02, 3.38)	2.85 (2.09, 3.61)	8.73 (6.30, 11.17)
High	3.70 (3.02, 4.38)	3.60 (2.87, 4.33)	13.36 (10.98, 15.75)	5.14 (4.47, 5.81)	4.57 (3.84, 5.29)	16.74 (14.33, 19.15)	4.57 (3.95, 5.19)	4.11 (3.44, 4.79)	13.96 (11.75, 16.18)
Note: Cl, Confidence In	terval; GSES, General 5	Self-Efficacy Scale; RSE, I	Rosenberg Self-Esteem; L	OT-R, Life Orientation	Test-Revised; QoL-AD, (	Quality of Life in Alzheim	ner's disease scale; SwL	S, Satisfaction with Lif	e Scale; WHO-5, World
Health Organization-	<sup>-</sup> ive Well-being Index.								

10

1

AGING & MENTAL HEALTH 🕳 5

multivariable model indicates that high GSES (compared to low GSES) is associated with an additional 2.03 points on the QoL-AD (95% CI: 1.37, 2.70), 2.14 points on the SwLS (95% CI: 1.41, 2.88), and 8.22 points on the WHO-5 (95% CI: 5.84, 10.60). High RSE (compared to low RSE) is associated with an additional 3.37 points on the QoL-AD (95% CI: 2.64, 4.10), 2.91 points on the SwLS (95% CI: 2.12, 3.70), and 11.14 points on the WHO-5 (95% CI: 8.52, 13.76). High LOT-R (compared to low LOT-R) is associated with an additional 2.84 points on the QoL-AD (95% CI: 2.18, 3.50), 2.52 points on the SwLS (95% CI: 1.78, 3.26), and 7.83 points on the WHO-5 (95% CI: 5.47, 10.19; Table 3).

When standardized, the multivariable model shows that high GSES (compared to low GSES) is associated with an additional .34 points on the QoL-AD (95% Cl: .23, .46), .35 points on the SwLS (95% Cl: .23, .47), and .40 points on the WHO-5 (95% Cl: .28, .52). Similarly, optimism showed little variation based on the living well measure used. High LOT-R (compared to low LOT-R) is associated with an additional .48 points on the QoL-AD (95% Cl: .37, .59), .41 points on the SwLS (95% Cl: .29, .53), and .38 points on the WHO-5 (95% Cl: (.27, .50). Showing greater variation, high RSE (compared to low RSE) is associated with an additional .57 points on the QoL-AD (95% Cl: .45, .69), .41 points on the SwLS (95% Cl: .29, .53), and .54 points on the WHO-5 (95% Cl: .41, .67; Table 4).

# **Discussion and implications**

This study provides further evidence for the importance of positive psychological resources for living well with dementia. The study benefitted from use of a large community-based sample from across Great Britain, representing people with different dementia diagnoses and varying levels of functional and cognitive ability in the mild-to-moderate dementia range. Further, the study builds upon limited research to provide a greater understanding of the relationship between key psychological resources—self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem—and capability to live well with dementia, as indexed by quality of life, life satisfaction and well-being.

Self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem all predicted self-rated capability to live well for people with dementia, in line with research among other participant groups (e.g. Taylor, 1983; Teoh et al., 2009). After adjusting for age group, sex, dementia subtype, and functional and cognitive ability, differences in scores on the living well measures for those low and high in each psychological resource could still be considered clinically meaningful (Clare, Woods, et al., 2014; Kobau et al., 2010; Topp et al., 2015). This was also the case after accounting for shared variance between psychological resources. Comparing standardized scores for measures of living well reveals very little difference in the effect that each psychological resource has on the three separate measures of living well. A consistent positive association between psychological resources and measures of living well underlines the continued importance of psychological resources among those with mild-tomoderate dementia.

There was a notable reduction in the association between individual psychological resources and living well scores when shared variance between psychological

		QoL-AD			SwLS			WHO-5	
Model	GSES	RSE	LOT-R	GSES	RSE	LOT-R	GSES	RSE	LOT-R
1. Unadjusted									
Moderate					(90 C UV 1) 21 C	(VICI VV Z) 020	(0) ( 22 ) (0) ( (0) ( 22 ) (0) (	(90 C CV L) 01 C	
High	2.67 (2.10, 3.34)	1.00 (1.14, 2.30) 2.49 (1.77, 3.20)	9.56 (7.21, 11.91)	3.86 (3.12, 4.60)	2.13 (1.40, 2.00) 3.24 (2.45, 4.03)	9.79 (10.16, 15.42)	2.82 (2.14, 3.50)	2.19 (1.42, 2.90) 2.49 (1.74, 3.24)	7.50 (5.09, 9.90)
2. Adjusted for age									
group, sex and									
dementia subtype									
Low (Ref)	Ι								I
Moderate	1.70 (1.04, 2.36)	1.66 (.94, 2.37)	6.37 (4.04, 8.70)	2.33 (1.68, 2.99)	1.92 (1.19, 2.64)	9.20 (6.87, 11.54)	1.96 (1.29, 2.62)	2.10 (1.35, 2.85)	5.63 (3.23, 8.02)
High	2.55 (1.90, 3.21)	2.17 (1.46, 2.88)	9.13 (6.79, 11.48)	3.65 (2.91, 4.38)	2.95 (2.16, 3.73)	11.76 (9.14, 14.38)	2.79 (2.13, 3.46)	2.46 (1.72, 3.20)	7.45 (5.08, 9.82)
3. Also adjusted for FAQ and ACE-III									
Low (Ref)	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	I
Moderate	1.38 (.72, 2.03)	1.64 (.92, 2.36)	5.80 (3.47, 8.13)	2.08 (1.43, 2.73)	1.87 (1.14, 2.59)	8.55 (6.23, 10.88)	1.96 (1.29, 2.61)	2.15 (1.40, 2.91)	5.90 (3.53, 8.27)
High	2.03 (1.37, 2.70)	2.14 (1.41, 2.88)	8.22 (5.84, 10.60)	3.37 (2.64, 4.10)	2.91 (2.12, 3.70)	11.14 (8.52, 13.76)	2.84 (2.18, 3.50)	2.52 (1.78, 3.26)	7.83 (5.47, 10.19)
Note: Cl, Confidence In	terval; GSES, General 5	Self-Efficacy Scale; RSE,	Rosenberg Self-Esteem;	LOT-R, Life Orientation	Test-Revised; QoL-AD, (	Quality of Life in Alzheir	ner's disease scale; Swl	-S, Satisfaction with Life	: Scale; WHO-5, World
Health Organization-F	ive Well-being Index.								

resources was taken into account. This shared variance between psychological resources demonstrates that someone high in optimism will also be more likely to be high in self-esteem and self-efficacy, consistent with the idea that some people are overall more 'resilient' (e.g. Connor & Davidson, 2003; Liu, Wang, Zhou, & Li, 2014). Despite this commonality between psychological resources, each individual resource represents a distinct construct that independently predicts scores on measures of living well. This is valuable when considering how positive psychological resources might be used to benefit people with dementia.

# Future research and practice

These findings provide insight into possible ways of improving support for people living with dementia. In the absence of being able to remove the physical and cognitive impairments resulting from dementia, interventions might aim to bolster positive psychological resources and improve adaptation to adversity (e.g. Hindle et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2016; Kinney & Rentz, 2005). The concepts of self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem are much more clearly defined and amenable to intervention than global concepts like resilience. However, given the overlap shown between self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem, it is likely that interventions targeting each of these three psychological resources will to some extent be mutually reinforcing.

Psychosocial interventions that increase self-efficacy and self-esteem among people with dementia have been evidenced (e.g. Hindle et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2016; Kinney & Rentz, 2005), while interventions that increase optimism have only been explored among other patient groups (Meevissen, Peters, & Alberts, 2011). Bandura (1977) states that performance accomplishments, vicarious experiences, verbal persuasion and emotional arousal are sources of efficacy expectations. Interventions could therefore aim to alter these sources of self-efficacy. Additionally, while some interventions may seek to increase self-efficacy, optimism and/or self-esteem as a primary outcome, it would also be possible and perhaps more feasible to promote these factors within established interventions. For example, enabling and supporting participation in preferred activities pitched at the right level and providing a supportive environment may help to bolster all three psychological resources. Psychological resources can also be promoted within care settings by avoiding care models that promote dependence and instead focusing on supporting and enabling individuals to complete tasks that are still within their capabilities (Kitwood, 1997). Adjustments that enable continued participation in society, for example through improved public spaces, changes to the workplace and supported living, can also help to maintain psychological resources. Furthermore, there is a growing presence from people living with dementia who share their stories with others through different mediums. These individuals provide a balanced reflection on both their positive and negative experiences that may help others to reflect more optimistically (but also realistically) on their diagnosis (e.g. Mitchell, 2018; Oliver, 2016).

. .

	-	QoL-AD			SwLS			WHO-5	
Model	GSES	RSE	LOT-R	GSES	RSE	LOT-R	GSES	RSE	LOT-R
1. Unadjusted									
Low (Ref)	Ι		Ι		Ι	Ι	Ι		Ι
Moderate	.29 (.17, .40)	.30 (.19, .42)	.32 (.20, .43)	.42 (.31, .53)	.35 (.23, .47)	.48 (.36, .59)	.33 (.22, .45)	.36 (.23, .48)	.28 (.16, .40)
High	.45 (.34, .56)	.41 (.29, .52)	.46 (.35, .58)	.65 (.53, .78)	.53 (.40, .66)	.62 (.49, .75)	.47 (.36, .59)	.41 (.28, .53)	.36 (.25, .48)
2. Adjusted for age									
group, sex and									
dementia subtype									
Low (Ref)	Ι		Ι		Ι	Ι	Ι		Ι
Moderate	.29 (.18, .40)	.27 (.15, .39)	.31 (.20, .42)	.39 (.28, .50)	.31 (.20, .43)	.45 (.33, .56)	.33 (.22, .44)	.34 (.22, .47)	.27 (.16, .39)
High	.43 (.32, .54)	.36 (.24, .47)	.44 (.33, .56)	.61 (.49, .74)	.48 (.35, .61)	.57 (.44, .70)	.47 (.36, .58)	.40 (.28, .52)	.36 (.25, .48)
3. Also adjusted for									
FAQ and ACE-III									
Low (Ref)									I
Moderate	.23 (.12, .34)	.27 (.15, .39)	.28 (.17, .40)	.35 (.24, .46)	.35 (.23, .48)	.42 (.30, .53)	.33 (.22, .44)	.35 (.23, .48)	.29 (.17, .40)
High	.34 (.23, .46)	.35 (.23, .47)	.40 (.28, .52)	.57 (.45, .69)	.41 (.29, .53)	.54 (.41, .67)	.48 (.37, .59)	.41 (.29, .53)	.38 (.27, .50)
<i>Note:</i> Cl, Confidence In Health Organization-F	terval; GSES, General ive Well-being Index.	Self-Efficacy Scale; RSE, I	Rosenberg Self-Esteem;	LOT-R, Life Orientation	Test-Revised; QoL-AD, C	Quality of Life in Alzhei	imer's disease scale; Swl	LS, Satisfaction with Life	: Scale; WHO-5, Worl

н. - I - I

# Limitations

10

The current analyses address the role of psychological resources among people living with dementia using crosssectional data, and this limits the ability to make causal inferences. However, the IDEAL study is a longitudinal study therefore when data are available it will be possible to explore how these factors change over time. Further, despite the notably larger and clinically diverse (in terms of dementia subtype, cognitive and functional ability) sample than used in previous comparable research (e.g. Kitwood & Bredin, 1992; Moyle et al., 2007; Young et al., 2017), the current sample limited its intake to people with mild-to-moderate dementia at baseline. Findings should be more cautiously applied to those with severe dementia. However, Kitwood and Bredin (1992) advocate through their observational research that positive psychological resources are important throughout the course of dementia. Psychological resources should not be assumed to lack relevance among people with lower levels of cognitive functioning; instead the research of Kitwood and Bredin could be built upon by using a larger sample size and overcoming the practical difficulties of measuring complex psychological constructs through proxy and/or informant ratings. The measures of self-efficacy, optimism and selfesteem chosen for use in the current study, although showing strong validity across different social groups (e.g. Glaesmer et al., 2012; Luszczynska et al., 2005; Sinclair, Blais, Gansler, Sandberg, Bistis, & LoCicero 2010), have not been previously validated for use with people with dementia. The acceptable scale reliability of each within the current study however indicates their usefulness.

Using psychosocial interventions to increase self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem among people living with dementia also poses some challenging questions. First, due to the cross-sectional nature of our analyses, it is not possible to say with certainty that the psychological resources of participants have changed over time and that they have the propensity to change in the future. Optimism in particular is discussed as a 'trait', 'disposition' or a dimension of 'personality' which may be largely stable over time, raising the question of whether interventions can create meaningful long-term change in optimism (Carver & Scheier, 2014). Longitudinal data could provide greater insight into this. However, evidence from previous intervention work supports the idea that they are amenable to change (e.g. Hindle et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2016; Kinney & Rentz, 2005). Further, interventions should not ignore the progressive nature of dementia and should aim to help individuals find a helpful (rather than unrealistic) level of self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem within a situation that is often not conducive to this experience (Taylor & Brown, 1988; Thompson, Sobolew-Shubin, Galbraith, Schwankovsky, & Cruzen, 1993). The development of relevant interventions would further test the malleability of these psychological resources, and whether and how they are best amenable to intervention among people with dementia.

# Conclusion

This paper provides empirical evidence of a positive association between self-efficacy, optimism and self-esteem, and capability to 'live well' among a large cohort of people with dementia. Whether in new or established interventions, these principles could be integrated and independent action, self-worth and positive thinking strengthened among people with dementia.

# Acknowledgements

Thank you to Dr Yu-Tzu Wu for her statistical support throughout. We are also grateful to all those that participated in the study, our local researchers, the ALWAYs group and the project advisory group.

# **Conflicts of interest**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### Funding

The IDEAL study is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (UK) and the National Institute for Health Research (UK) through grant ES/L001853/1 'Improving the experience of dementia and enhancing active life: living well with dementia' (Investigators: L. Clare, I. R. Jones, C. Victor, J. V. Hindle, R. W. Jones, M. Knapp, M. Kopelman, A. Martyr, R. G. Morris, S. M. Nelis, J. Pickett, C. Quinn, J. Rusted, N. Savitch, J. Thom, R. Whitaker). The support of the ESRC and NIHR is gratefully acknowledged.

#### **Author contributions**

RAL is responsible for the data analysis and interpretation, and drafting the article. SMN, CQ, AM, MDK, JVH, RWJ and LC were involved in the original conception and design of the IDEAL study. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the article, and approved the version to be published.

# ORCID

 Ruth A. Lamont
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3158-5836

 Sharon M. Nelis
 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9055-3837

 Catherine Quinn
 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9553-853X

 Anthony Martyr
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1702-8902

 Isla Rippon
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9743-2592

 Michael D. Kopelman
 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0526-3160

 John V. Hindle
 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6979-6607

 Roy W. Jones
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7953-5985

 Linda Clare
 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3989-5318

#### **Data availability**

The IDEAL data will be deposited with the UK Data Archive upon completion of the study in March 2020. Details on how the data can be accessed after this date will be made available on the project website www.idealproject.org.uk.

# References

- Aspinwall, L. G., & Taylor, S. E. (1992). Modeling cognitive adaptation: A longitudinal investigation of the impact of individual differences and coping on college adjustment and performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63,989–1003.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioural change. *Psychological Review*, 84,191–215.
- Bech, P. (2004). Measuring the dimension of psychological general well-being by the WHO-5. *Quality of Life Newsletter*, 32,15–16. Retrieved from http://www.pro-newsletter.com/images/PDF/qoIn32. pdf

- Blascovich, J., & Tomaka, J. (1991). Measures of self-esteem. In J. P. Robinson & P. R.Shaver (Eds.), *Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes* (pp.115–160). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Burgener, S. C., & Berger, B. (2008). Measuring perceived stigma in persons with progressive neurological disease: Alzheimer's Dementia and Parkinson's Disease. *Dementia*, 7,31–53.
- Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (2014). Dispositional optimism. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18,293–299.
- Clare, L., Nelis, S. M., Quinn, C., Martyr, A., Henderson, C., Hindle, J. V., ... Morris, R. G. (2014). Improving the experience of dementia and enhancing active life-living well with dementia: Study protocol for the IDEAL study. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 12,164.
- Clare, L., Woods, R. T., Nelis, S. M., Martyr, A., Marková, I. S., Roth, I., ... Morris, R. G. (2014). Trajectories of quality of life in early-stage dementia: Individual variations and predictors of change. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 29,616–623.
- Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC). Depression and Anxiety, 18,76–82.
- Cozzarelli, C. (1993). Personality and self-efficacy as predictors of coping with abortion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65,124–126.
- Dawson, N. T., Powers, S. M., Krestar, M., Yarry, S. J., & Judge, K. S. (2013). Predictors of self-reported psychosocial outcomes in individuals with dementia. *The Gerontologist*, 53,748–759.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. Journal of Personality Assessment, 49(1),71–75.
- Ettema, T. P., Dröes, R. M., de Lange, J., Ooms, M. E., Mellenbergh, G. J., & Ribbe, M. W. (2005). The concept of quality of life in dementia in the different stages of the disease. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 17,353–370.
- Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 12,189–198.
- Glaesmer, H., Rief, W., Martin, A., Mewes, R., Brähler, E., Zenger, M., & Hinz, A. (2012). Psychometric properties and population-based norms of the Life Orientation Test Revised (LOT-R). *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 17,432–445.
- Hindle, J. V., Watermeyer, T. J., Roberts, J., Brand, A., Hoare, Z., Martyr, A., & Clare, L. (2018). Goal-orientated cognitive rehabilitation for dementias associated with Parkinson's disease – A pilot randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 33,718–728.
- Hobfoll, S. E. (2002). Social and psychological resources and adaptation. *Review of General Psychology*, 6,307–324.
- Hsieh, S., Schubert, S., Hoon, C., Mioshi, E., & Hodges, J. R. (2013). Validation of the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination III in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 36,242–250.
- Institute of Medicine. (2012). Living well with chronic illness: A call for public health action. Washington, DC: National Academics Press.
- Jakobsen, J. C., Gluud, C., Wetterslev, J., & Winkel, P. (2017). When and how should multiple imputation be used for handling missing data in randomised clinical trials – A practical guide with flowcharts. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 17,162.
- Kobau, R., Sniezek, J., Zack, M. M., Lucas, R. E., & Burns, A. (2010). Wellbeing assessment: An evaluation of well-being scales for public health and population estimates of well-being among US adults. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being, 2*,272–297.
- Kinney, J. M., & Rentz, C. A. (2005). Observed well-being among individuals with dementia: Memories in the Making<sup>®</sup>, an art program, versus other structured activity. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*<sup>®</sup>, 20,220–227.
- Kitwood, T., & Bredin, K. (1992). Towards a theory of dementia care: Personhood and well-being. *Ageing and Society*, *12*,269–287.
- Kitwood, T. M. (1997). Dementia reconsidered: The person comes first. Buckingham, UK: Open University press.
- Lee, V., Cohen, S. R., Edgar, L., Laizner, A. M., & Gagnon, A. J. (2006). Meaning-making intervention during breast or colorectal cancer treatment improves self-esteem, optimism, and self-efficacy. *Social Science and Medicine*, 62,3133–3145.
- Little, R. J. A., & Rubin, D. B. (2002). *Statistical analysis with missing data*. London, UK: Wiley.

- Liu, Y., Wang, Z., Zhou, C., & Li, T. (2014). Affect and self-esteem as mediators between trait resilience and psychological adjustment. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 66,92–97.
- Logsdon, R. G., Gibbons, L. E., McCurry, S. M., & Teri, L. (2000). Quality of life in Alzheimer's disease: Patient and caregiver reports. In S. M. Albert & R. G. Logsdon (Eds.), Assessing quality of life in dementia (pp.17–30). New York, NY: Springer.
- Luszczynska, A., Scholz, U., & Schwarzer, R. (2005). The general self-efficacy scale: Multicultural validation studies. *The Journal of Psychology*, 139,439–457.
- Martyr, A., Clare, L., Nelis, S. M., Marková, I. S., Roth, I., Woods, R. T., ... Morris, R. G. (2012). Verbal fluency and awareness of functional deficits in early-stage dementia. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 26,501–519.
- Martyr, A., Nelis, S. M., Quinn, C., Wu, Y.-T., Lamont, R. A., Henderson, C., ... Clare, L. (2018). Living well with dementia: A systematic review and correlational meta-analysis of factors associated with quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction in people with dementia. *Psychological Medicine*, 48,2130–2139.
- Meevissen, Y. M., Peters, M. L., & Alberts, H. J. (2011). Become more optimistic by imagining a best possible self: Effects of a two week intervention. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 42,371–378.
- Mitchell, W. (2018). Somebody I used to know. London, UK: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Moyle, W., Mcallister, M., Venturato, L., & Adams, T. (2007). Quality of life and dementia: The voice of the person with dementia. *Dementia*, 6,175–191.
- Oliver, K. (2016). Walk the walk, talk the talk. London, UK: Foget-Mr-Knots.
- Pfeffer, R. I., Kurosaki, T. T., Harrah, C. H., Chance, J. M., & Filos, S. (1982). Measurement of functional activities in older adults in the community. *Journal of Gerontology*, *37*,323–329.
- Quinn, C., Toms, G., Jones, C., Brand, A., Edwards, R. T., Sanders, F., & Clare, L. (2016). A pilot randomized controlled trial of a self-management group intervention for people with early-stage dementia (the SMART study). *International Psychogeriatrics*, 28,787–800.
- Rosenberg, M. (1965). *Society and the adolescent self-image*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Rini, C. K., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Wadhwa, P. D., & Sandman, C. A. (1999). Psychological adaptation and birth outcomes: The role of personal resources, stress, and sociocultural context in pregnancy. *Health Psychology*, 18,333–345.
- Rubin, D., B. (1996). Multiple imputation after 18+ years (with discussion). *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *91*,473–489.
- Rutter, M. (1985). Resilience in the face of adversity. Protective factors and resistance to psychiatric disorder. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 147,598–611.
- Sabat, S. R., Fath, H., Moghaddam, F. M., & Harré, R. (1999). The maintenance of self-esteem: Lessons from the culture of Alzheimer's sufferers. *Culture and Psychology*, 5(1),5–31.
- Sandler, I. (2001). Quality and ecology of adversity as common mechanisms of risk and resilience. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 29(1),19–61.
- Scheier, M. F., Carver, C. S., & Bridges, M. W. (1994). Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-

esteem): A reevaluation of the Life Orientation Test. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67,1063–1078.

- Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1995). Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale. In J.Weinman, S.Wright, & M.Johnston (Eds.), *Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs* (pp.35–37). Windsor, UK: nferNelson.
- Silarova, B., Nelis, S. M., Ashworth, R. M., Ballard, C., Bieńkiewicz, M., Henderson, C., ... Clare, L. (2018). Protocol for the IDEAL-2 longitudinal study: following the experiences of people with dementia and their primary carers to understand what contributes to living well with dementia and enhances active life. *BMC Public Health*, 18,1214. doi:10.1186/s12889-018-6129-7
- Sinclair, S. J., Blais, M. A., Gansler, D. A., Sandberg, E., Bistis, K., & LoCicero, A. (2010). Psychometric properties of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale: Overall and across demographic groups living within the United States. *Evaluation & the Health Professions*, 33(1),56–80.
- Steptoe, A., Wright, C., Kunz-Ebrecht, S. R., & Iliffe, S. (2006). Dispositional optimism and health behaviour in community-dwelling older people: Associations with healthy ageing. *British Journal* of *Health Psychology*, 11(1),71–84.
- Stoner, C. R., Orrell, M., & Spector, A. (2018). The Positive Psychology Outcome Measure (PPOM) for people with dementia: Psychometric properties and factor structure. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 76,182–187.
- Taylor, S. E. (1983). Adjustment to threatening events: A theory of cognitive adaptation. *American Psychologist*, 38,1161–1173.
- Taylor, S. E., & Brown, J. D. (1988). Illusion and well-being: A social psychological perspective on mental health. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103,193–210.
- Teoh, V., Sims, J., & Milgrom, J. (2009). Psychosocial predictors of quality of life in a sample of community-dwelling stroke survivors: A longitudinal study. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, 16,157–166.
- Thompson, S. C., Sobolew-Shubin, A., Galbraith, M. E., Schwankovsky, L., & Cruzen, D. (1993). Maintaining perceptions of control: Finding perceived control in low-control circumstances. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64,293–304.
- Topp, C. W., Østergaard, S. D., S⊘ndergaard, S., & Bech, P. (2015). The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: A systematic review of the literature. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *84*,167–176.
- Wolverson, E. L., Clarke, C., & Moniz-Cook, E. D. (2016). Living positively with dementia: A systematic review and synthesis of the qualitative literature. *Aging and Mental Health*, 20,676–699.
- World Health Organization. (1992). International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision (ICD-10). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization, Regional office for Europe. (1998). Wellbeing measures in primary health care/The DepCare project: Report on a WHO meeting. E60246. Retrieved from http://www.euro.who. int/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0016/130750/E60246.pdf
- Young, D. K. W., Ng, P. Y. N., & Kwok, T. (2017). Predictors of the health-related quality of life of Chinese people with major neurocognitive disorders and their caregivers: The roles of self-esteem and caregiver's burden. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, 17,2319–2328.