1 Measurement and Kinetics of Elemental and Atomic Potassium Release

2

from a Burning Biomass Pellet

3							
4	Yingzu Liu ^{1,2} , Zhihua Wang ^{1,*} , Jun Xia ^{2,*} , Luc Vervisch ³ , Kaidi Wan ¹ , Yong He ¹ , Ronald Whiddon ¹ , Hamid Bahai ²						
5		K	lefa Cen ¹				
6							
7	1 State Key Laboratory of C	lean Energy Utilizat	ion, Zhejiang University, 310027, Hangzhou, P.R. China				
8	2 Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering & Institute of Energy Futures,						
9	Brunel University London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, UK						
10	3 CORIA – CNRS, Norma	ndie Université, INS	A de Rouen, 76800 Saint-Etienne-du-Rouvray, France				
11							
12		* Corres	ponding authors:				
13	(1) Zhihua Wang, Tel: +86-571-87953162, Fax: +86-571-8795-1616, Email: <u>wangzh@zju.edu.cn</u> ;						
14	(2) Jun Xia, Tel: +44(0)1895-265-433, Fax: +44(0)1895-256-392, Email: jun.xia@brunel.ac.uk						
15							
16							
17	Colloquium: Solid Fuel Com	bustion					
18							
19	Word counts by method 1:						
20	Main Text:	6132					
21	Equations:	46					
22	References:	454					
23	Nomenclature:	152					
24	Tables:	296					
25	Figures:	1226, including	Fig. 1: 169, Fig. 2: 184, Fig. 3: 223, Fig. 4: 190,				
26			Fig. 5: 133, Fig. 6: 125, Fig. 7: 200.				

- 27
- 28
- 29 Tables:

30	Table 1 Chemical analyses of biomass samples.										
			Pro	Proximate analysis (wt.%)				Ultimate analysis (wt.%)			
			M_{ad}	A_{ad}	V_{ad}	FC_{ad}	C_{daf}	H_{daf}	N_{daf}	S_{daf}	O_{daf}
	Corn Str	aw (CS)	11.6	7.9	64.2	16.3	43.9	4.5	1.8	0.4	49.3
	Poplar		11.6	2.3	66.3	19.8	45.9	4.1	0.7	0.1	49.2
	Ash analysis (wt.%)							Cl_{ad}	K_{ad}		
		SiO_2	Al_2O_3	Fe ₂ O ₃	CaO	MgO	K ₂ O	Na ₂ O		(mg/g)	(mg/g)
	CS	47.52	5.64	1.04	4.51	12.6	7.87	1.97	CS	1.34	13.1
	Poplar	30.97	5.09	2.6	29.32	5.96	6.94	2.9	Poplar	1.04	7.09

Table 2 Potassium release in different burning stages (raw biomass pellet: 50mg)

		Poplar		Corn Straw			
	devol	C&A	total	devol	C&A	total	
Atomic K (µg)	1.02	1.28	2.30	2.68	2.07	4.76	
Elemental K (µg)	140	150	290	360	260	620	
K^A Proportion (%)	0.73	0.85	0.79	0.74	0.80	0.77	
<i>K</i> ^{<i>A</i>} Proportion (%) by CHEMKIN	0.68 at 1892 K						

Table 3 Kinetics parameters of two models for biomass samples.

Kinetics Model	Poplar	Corn Straw
one-step:		
$\int K_{flux}^{\Sigma} = Q_K \times k_V$	<i>Av</i> =4.64	<i>A_V</i> =2.24
$\left\{Q_{K}=K_{ad}\times m\times\left(1-A_{ad}\right)\right\}$	$E_{V}=84.6$	$E_{V}=64.8$
$k_{v} = A_{v} \times \exp(-E_{v} / (R \times T))$		

two-step:

-

$\int K_{flux}^{\Sigma} = Q_{K,V} \times k_V + Q_{K,C\&A} \times k_{C\&A}$	<i>Av</i> =4.64	<i>Av</i> =2.24
$Q_{K,V} = K_{ad} \times m \times (1 - A_{ad}) \times V_{ad}$	$E_V = 84.6$	$E_V = 64.8$
$\left\{Q_{K,C\&A}=K_{ad}-Q_{K,V}\right\}$	A _{C&A} =20.5	$A_{C\&A} = 15.3$
$k_{v} = A_{v} \times \exp(-E_{v} / (R \times T))$	<i>Ec&A</i> =55.4	<i>E_{C&A}=62.8</i>
$\left[k_{C\&A} = A_{C\&A} \times \exp(-E_{C\&A} / (R \times T))\right]$		

Nomenclature							
K^{Σ}	Elemental potassium	-					
$[K^{\Sigma}]$	Elemental potassium concentration	mg/m ³					
K^{Σ}_{flux}	Elemental potassium flux	mg/s					
K^A	Atomic potassium	-					
$[K^A]$	Atomic potassium concentration	mg/m ³					
K^{A}_{flux}	Elemental potassium flux	mg/s					
Q_K	Released total potassium mass	mg					
k	rate constants	s ⁻¹					
A	pre-exponential factor	s ⁻¹					
Ε	activation energy	kJ/mol					
R	gas constant	kJ mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹					
I _{LIBS,K}	Potassium Signal in LIBS	a.u.					
Т	Surface temperature	Κ					
	Subscripts						
V	Devolatilization stage	-					
C&A	Char and Ash stage	-					

34

37 Figure captions:

- **Fig. 1** Experimental setup of K-PLIF measurements for biomass pellet combustion: (1) OPO laser, (2) Polarizer, (3)
- Prism, (4) Cylindrical Lens, (5) Spherical Lens, (6) Beam Sampler, (7) PD, (8) ICCD, (9) Burner, (10) Trap, (11)
- 40 Oscilloscope.
- 41 Fig. 2 Effects of polarizer on the fluorescence signal for corn straw pellet for cases: on K spectral line resonance
- 42 measurement with polarizer, off K spectral line resonance measurement with polarizer, ▲ on K spectral line
- 43 resonance measurement without polarizer, ▼ off K spectral line resonance measurement without polarizer. The
- solid line is the signal to background ratio with polarizer and the dash line is signal to background ratio withoutpolarizer.
- 46 Fig. 3 The 2D atomic K distribution and release history measured by PLIF
- 47 Fig. 4 Profile of K^{Σ}_{flux} and statistics of K^{Σ} release in different biomass-burning stages.
- 48 Fig. 5 Variation of pellet surface temperature (T) and K_{flux}^{Σ} with time. ---: Corn Straw surface temperature; ----:
- 49 Poplar surface temperature; dash line: corn straw potassium release flux; solid line: poplar potassium release flux.
- 50 Fig. 6 Kinetic prediction of potassium release compared to LIBS data.
- 51 Fig. 7 Suggested potassium transformation mechanisms during biomass combustion.

53 Abstract

Combining polarizing-filtered planar laser-induced fluorescence (PLIF) with simultaneous laser absorption, 54 quantitative laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) and two-color pyrometry, the potassium release during 55 the combustion of biomass fuels (corn straw and poplar) has been investigated. The temporal release profiles of 56 57 volatile atomic potassium and potassium compounds from a corn straw show a single peak. The woody biomass, poplar, produces a dual-maxima distribution for potassium and potassium compounds. For both biomass samples, 58 the highest concentrations of released atomic potassium and potassium compounds occur in the devolatilization 59 stage. The mass ratios between volatile atomic potassium and potassium compounds in the corn straw and poplar 60 cases are 0.77% and 0.79%, respectively. These values agree well with chemical equilibrium predictions that 0.68% 61 62 of total potassium will be in atomic form. A two-step kinetic model of potassium release has been developed, which gives better predictions during the devolatilization stage than the existing single-step model. Finally, a map of 63 potassium transformation processes during combustion is developed. Starting with inorganic and organic potassium, 64 there are eight proposed transformation pathways including five proposed release pathways that occur during the 65 combustion. The pathways describe the transformation of potassium between the fuel volatile matter, char, and ash. 66 67 Potassium release during the devolatilization stage is due to pyrolysis and evaporation; during the char burnout 68 stage, release is due to char oxidation and decomposition; and during the ash cooking stage, potassium release is caused by reactions between the ash and H₂O in the co-flow. 69

- 70
- 71 Keywords: Potassium, PLIF, Multi-point LIBS, Kinetics, Mechanism
- 72

	Nomenclature	
K^{Σ}	Elemental potassium	-
$[K^{\Sigma}]$	Elemental potassium concentration	mg/m ³
K^{Σ}_{flux}	Elemental potassium flux	mg/s
K^A	Atomic potassium	-
$[K^A]$	Atomic potassium concentration	mg/m ³
K^{A}_{flux}	Elemental potassium flux	mg/s
Q_K	Released total potassium mass	mg
k	rate constants	s ⁻¹
A	pre-exponential factor	s ⁻¹
Ε	activation energy	kJ/mol
R	gas constant	kJ mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
I _{LIBS,K}	Potassium Signal in LIBS	a.u.
Т	Surface temperature	K
Subscr	ipts	
V	Devolatilization stage	-
C&A	Char and Ash stage	-

- -

74

75 **1 Introduction**

Biomass fuels currently supply 10-15% of the world energy demand [1], with increased use in thermal power 76 77 production as a renewable fuel source. However, advanced biomass utilization is hampered by ash formation and 78 deposition problems [2]. Compared to solid fuels like coal, biomass has elevated levels of alkali elements, particularly potassium. Alkali compounds that are released during combustion condense on heat exchange surfaces, 79 causing fouling and corrosion. For instance, the potassium vapor will nucleate with Cl⁻ or CO₃²⁻, forming KCl or 80 K₂CO₃, which is easy to evaporate and condense on heat exchanger surfaces and causes serious corrosion. 81 Understanding the release dynamics and transformation of potassium during biomass combustion is essential for 82 83 better utilization of this renewable fuel resource.

The release of potassium during biomass combustion has been studied using various offline and online measurement methods [2]. Since the agricultural biomass tends to have much higher (1% or more) potassium proportion than Woody biomass (near 0.1%), The release characteristic of potassium from woody and agricultural biomass is very different [3, 4]. Numerous non-intrusive, time-resolved optical diagnostics techniques have been
used to study the release characteristic of elemental alkali and alkali compounds, such as laser induced
fragmentation fluorescence (ELIF) [5], collinear photo-fragmentation atomic absorption spectroscopy (CPFAAS)
[6], tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) [7], planar laser-induced fluorescence (PLIF) [8] and
laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) [9, 10].

92 van Eyk et al. coupled quantitative PLIF of atomic sodium in a burning coal pellet with simultaneous 93 temperature measurement and developed a one-step atomic sodium release model [11]. Similarly, Zhang et al. [12] 94 quantitatively measured potassium release in the combustion of a pinewood pellet and obtained a one-step 95 Arrhenius rate law for the volatile potassium release. These accomplishments have added greatly to the scientific 96 understanding of alkali species release during solid-fuel combustion. However, quantitative measurement of 97 potassium release during the start of combustion is noticeably lacking, as is a kinetic model to predict this initial 98 release.

In our previous studies, simultaneous multi-point LIBS and pellet-surface temperature measurements were applied to develop the kinetics of sodium release from a sodium-rich Zhundong coal pellet [13]. Additionally, quantitative PLIF was employed to investigate the atomic sodium release mechanism [14]. In this study, quantitative PLIF and multi-point LIBS are used to determine the volatile potassium flux from a burning biomass pellet and the pellet surface temperature is measured by two-color pyrometry. From these combined measurements, an empirical model for the kinetics of potassium release is deduced. A discussion of likely potassium transformation processes is included.

106

107 2 Experimental setup

108 2.1 Biomass Fuel

109 Corn straw and poplar were used as representative biomass fuel, representing agricultural class and forest class
110 biomass, respectively. The proximate analysis and ultimate analysis, as well as the mass fractions of chlorine and

111	potassium of the two samples, are listed in Table 1. The potassium and chlorine concentrations were measured by
112	ICP-AES (Thermo Scientific, iCAP 6300). For combustion measurements, 50 mg of crushed biomass (< 75 μ m)
113	was pressed into a pellet of 4 mm in diameter. The pellet has an approximately spherical shape and the image of a
114	4-mm pellet can be referred to in our previous work [15]. Each combustion experiment was repeated in triplicate.
115	The standard deviation was calculated from the three measurements and is included in figures when appropriate.
116	For each experiment, the pellet was firstly suspended in the measurement region and then the operated burner was
117	moved right below the pellet. The ignition was considered to begin when the flame was in place under the pellet.
118	

119	Table 1 Chemical analyses of biomass samples.										
			Proximate analysis (wt.%)				Ultimate analysis (wt.%)				
	M Corn Straw (CS) 11 Poplar 11		Mad Aad	A_{ad}	V_{ad}	FC_{ad}	C_{daf}	Hdaf	Ndaf	S_{daf}	O_{daf}
			11.6	7.9	64.2	16.3	43.9	4.5	1.8	0.4	49.3
			11.6	2.3	66.3	19.8	45.9	4.1	0.7	0.1	49.2
				Ash ana	alysis (wt.	%)				Cl_{ad}	K_{ad}
		SiO_2	Al_2O_3	Fe ₂ O ₃	CaO	MgO	K ₂ O	Na ₂ O		(mg/g)	(mg/g)
	CS	47.52	5.64	1.04	4.51	12.6	7.87	1.97	CS	1.34	13.1
	Poplar	30.97	5.09	2.6	29.32	5.96	6.94	2.9	Poplar	1.04	7.09

121 2.2 Pellet-surface temperature measurement

The biomass pellet is suspended on two ceramic rods (1 mm diameter) at a height of 10 mm above a heat flux burner [14]. The burner produces a laminar premixed methane/air flat flame at an equivalence ratio of 0.8 for the flow rates of methane and air at 0.59 SL/min and 7.06 SL/min, respectively. Using the PREMIX model in CHEMKIN with GRI-mech 3.0, the gas temperature at the height of the pellet, 12 mm above the burner, was estimated to be 1892 K for a primary gas composition of 3.9% O₂, 7.6% CO₂, 15.4% H₂O and 72.8% N₂.

127 The surface temperatures of the biomass pellets were measured with two-color pyrometry [13]. The thermal
128 emission from the burning pellet was collected using a bi-optic lens attachment (LAVISION VZ-image doubler)

129 with filters at 633 nm and 647 nm (1 nm bandwidth).

130

131 2.3 Multi-point LIBS

A multi-point LIBS system [15] was used to measure the elemental potassium concentration $[K^{\Sigma}]$ (mg/m³) in 132 the gas phase during the biomass-pellet combustion. We use K^{Σ} to denote the potassium element appearing in all 133 the potassium species of the biomass volatile. The beam from an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) laser 134 (RADIANT HE 355UV) was tuned to the fundamental pump frequency, 1064 nm, and focused to a point 10 mm 135 above the burning biomass pellet creating the LIBS plasma. The repetition rate, pulse duration and average laser 136 power are 10 Hz, 10 ns and 300 mJ/pulse, respectively. The LIBS spectrum is detected with an Ocean Optics USB 137 4000 spectrometer. A digital pulse generator (Stanford Research System, DG535) synchronized the laser pulse to 138 139 the spectrometer gate. The laser focusing lens and signal collection optics were mounted on a power-translating stage to allow measurements at multiple radial positions. LIBS measurements were made at 12, 9, 6, 3, and 0 mm 140 from the initial measurement point 10 mm above the pellet center. Translation occurred between laser pulses; each 141 142 position was measured at 0.5 Hz.

143 The K^{Σ} LIBS signal was calibrated by measuring the spectral intensity (769.9 nm) for KCl-seeded flame of a 144 known concentration [16]. The resulting linear response of LIBS signal to $[K^{\Sigma}]$ is:

 $I_{LIBS,K} = 1927 \times [K^{\Sigma}], R^2 = 0.97$ (1)

145 where $I_{LIBS,K}$ is the LIBS signal intensity and R^2 is the coefficient of determination.

The mass flow rate $K^{\Sigma_{flux}}$ (mg/s) of the potassium element passing through the horizontal plane at 10 mm 146 above the burning biomass pellet was calculated from the integration of the radial $[K^{\Sigma}]$ and the gas flow rate. As 147 both the co-flow velocity, u (predicted by a preliminary numerical simulation of this laminar flow), and $[K^{\Sigma}]$ are 148 functions of the radial position r, $K^{\Sigma_{flux}}$ is also defined as a function of r [15]. Uncertainties in the LIBS 149 150 measurement are produced by (1) non-uniform potassium distribution in the calibration flame; (2) self-absorption 151 by K atoms in the region of the plasma and (3) fluctuations of laser energy. The LIBS calibration experiment 152 provides values for electronic noise and uncertainty due to self-absorption. From the calibration experiment it is 153 found that higher K flux levels are associated with higher errors; the maximum error in the measurement range is 154 $\pm 3.6e-3$ mg/s at the K flux of 0.03 mg/s. Therefore, the uncertainty in the LIBS data will be up to 155 $\pm 3.6e-3/0.03 = \pm 11.5\%$ for different K fluxes. Error propagation is calculated as the square root of the quadratic sum of the maximum calibration error and the measurement standard deviation. The maximal error values of the 156 elemental K flux are (a) Poplar: ±4.1e-4 mg/s (±15.3%); (b) Corn Straw: ±1.8e-3 mg/s (±12.7%). 157

158

2.4 PLIF system and calibration

The PLIF assembly used to measuring the concentration (mg/m^3) of atomic potassium (K^A) is shown in Fig. 1. 159 The OPO laser was tuned to a wavelength of 769.9 nm (2.5 mJ per pulse after the first polarizer), to induce 160 fluorescence from the K^{4} ($4^{2}S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4^{2}P_{1/2}$) transition. The laser beam was formed into a 2-cm high laser sheet with 161 a cylindrical lens and a spherical lens. The bottom of the laser sheet (x = 0 mm) was located at the top of the pellet. 162 An intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD, ISTAR-SCMOS-18U-03) with a quartz objective (Nikon 163 164 PF10545MF-UV) was used to record the fluorescence signal from the measurement region. The ICCD gate width was set to 150 ns to reduce background noise from flame emission. A second polarizer mounted before the camera 165 was cross-oriented to the laser polarization to reject the coherent component of the Mie scattering [17]. 166 167 Photodiodes (PD) were used to measure laser absorption across the measurement region.

168



170 Fig. 1 Experimental setup of K-PLIF measurements for biomass pellet combustion: (1) OPO laser, (2) Polarizer, (3) 171 Prism, (4) Cylindrical Lens, (5) Spherical Lens, (6) Beam Sampler, (7) PD, (8) ICCD, (9) Burner, (10) Trap, (11) Oscilloscope. 172

- 173
- The PLIF signal was calibrated against the laser absorption measurement (the Beer-Lambert law) [7, 8]: 174

$$\ln(I_{out} / I_{in}) = n \times \int_{\omega_c - b_{\omega}/2}^{\omega_c + b_{\omega}/2} \sigma(\omega) d\omega \times x \quad (2)$$

175	where <i>n</i> is the analyte number density, b_{ω} is the bandwidth of the angular frequency, and $\sigma(\omega)$ is the
176	frequency-dependent absorption cross-section which was derived in a similar manner to the approach used in [8].
177	The integrated $\sigma(\omega)$ was measured to be 2.01× 10 ⁻¹⁹ m ² . The total concentration of K^A in the measurement region
178	was determined from the background subtracted laser absorption measurement; the quantitative spatial distribution
179	of atomic potassium was then determined by distributing the total $[K^A]$ according to the corrected, normalized PLIF
180	intensity [14]. The calibration process was as follows: (1) laser absorption across the flame was used to calculate
181	the mean atomic potassium number density; (2) off transition signal level was subtracted from the average K
182	measurements to correct background noise; (3) the corrected mean atomic potassium concentration was used to
183	determine the conversion ratio for the mean fluorescence signal; (4) the atomic potassium concentration was
184	calculated based on the conversion ratio and the fluorescence signal in each frame.
185	By combining laser absorption with PLIF, the uncertainty in the $[K^{4}]$ distribution carries contributions from
186	each technique. Scatter and competing absorption/fluorescence are possible error factors causing uncertainty in
187	both techniques, and in flame measurements are often associated with polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) [7]. The
188	atomic K transition wavelength is longer than the excitation wavelength for PAH fluorescence (from ~ 200 to 680
189	nm) [18] and so competing absorbance/fluorescence was not found to be a major source of uncertainty in these
190	measurements. However, scattering is significant in this resonant fluorescence measurement. Using crossed
191	polarizers in the laser and fluorescence measurements paths can filter the highly coherent scattering signal from the
192	incoherent fluorescence signal. The loss in signal intensity is compensated by a factor of 2.5 improvement in the
193	signal to background ratio (Fig. 2). Scatter in the laser absorption measurement is corrected by blank subtraction.
194	The uncertainty of PLIF is primarily calibration uncertainty and ICCD readout noise, as laser energy fluctuation
195	and laser energy distribution have been corrected. Using data blank and off resonance measurements [14], the
196	maximal error values of the atomic K flux are found to be: (a) Poplar: ±6.8e-6 mg/s (±18.3%). (b) Corn Straw:



Fig. 2 Effects of polarizer on the fluorescence signal for corn straw pellet for cases: ■ on K spectral line resonance measurement with polarizer, ● off K spectral line resonance measurement with polarizer, ▲ on K spectral line
 resonance measurement without polarizer, ▼ off K spectral line resonance measurement without polarizer. The solid line is the signal to background ratio with polarizer and the dash line is signal to background ratio without polarizer.

198

205 3 Results and discussion

206 3.1 Atomic Potassium

Fig. 3a presents the $[K^{4}]$ distribution in the region just above the burning corn straw pellet, the average of 10 207 shots taken from t=12-13 s after ignition. The concentration decays axially and radially due to dilution and 208 chemical reactions [19]; the highest-concentration region is slightly remote from the pellet, which was also seen 209 210 during early combustion of a Zhundong coal pellet [14]. This is attributed to thermal decomposition of potassium 211 compounds in the volatile flame above the pellet. The temporal profile of atomic potassium concentrations of the biomass samples is shown in Fig. 3b. The poplar biomass sample shows two maxima in the K^{A} release, a similar 212 213 trend to sodium release in coal pellet combustion [14]. In contrast, the corn straw only has a single maximum. Solid fuel combustion may be considered to proceed in three stages: (I) devolatilization (devol.), (II) char 214 burnout, and (III) ash cooking. The lower carbon content in biomass fuels than in coal produces a shortened char 215 216 burnout stage; therefore (II) and (III) will be considered conjointly in this paper as the char and ash stage (C & A).



Fig. 3 The 2D atomic K distribution and release history measured by PLIF

219

218



228

229 3.2 Potassium Compounds

As stated earlier, the LIBS technique measures species concentrations resulting from all parent compounds of a given element; thus, the elemental potassium concentration $[K^{\Sigma}]$ includes contributions from all

232	potassium-contained species K, KCl, KOH, etc. Integrating the elemental potassium concentration $[K^{\Sigma}]$ across the
233	radial distribution provides the instantaneous mass flow rate or flux, $K^{\Sigma_{flux}}$, of the potassium element. Further
234	integrating K^{Σ}_{flux} in the time domain gives the released mass of the potassium element in a given burning stage
235	(<i>devol.</i> or C&A) as well as the total released mass of K^{Σ} . Fig. 4 shows K^{Σ}_{flux} for corn straw and poplar. The stage (I)
236	and (II) are separated by a blue dotted line. The method for determining the line can be found in Ref [15]. Both
237	types of biomass have the highest K^{Σ} release rate in the <i>devol</i> . stage, owing in part to the relative abundance of
238	volatile matter in biomass. As with [K^{A}] (Fig. 3b), the K^{Σ}_{flux} profiles differ between the two biomass samples; the
239	corn straw sample shows a signal maximum only during the <i>devol</i> . stage while poplar exhibits peaks in both the
240	<i>devol.</i> and C&A stages. The total released mass of K^{Σ} is 0.62 mg for corn straw samples and 0.29 mg for poplar
241	samples, 94.7% and 81.8% K_{ad} (see Table 1), respectively. Dividing released K^{Σ} into specific stages, corn straw
242	releases 58.1% during the <i>devol</i> . stage and 41.9% for the $C \& A$ stage; the K^{Σ} release for poplar is more balanced,
243	with 48.3% occurring in the devol. stage and 51.7% in the C&A stage. The difference in physical and chemical
244	characteristics between the forest and agriculture biomass [21] leads to differing combustion characteristics, such
245	as catalytic action of alkali compounds during combustion.
246	Table 2 presents the summary data for K^4 and K^{Σ} released from the two biomass samples. The CHEMKIN
247	equilibrium model was used to predict the composition of atomic potassium and the other potassium compounds in
248	the flame. The initial temperature and gas compositions were set to those predicted from the heat-flux burner.
249	Moreover, the released chlorine and sulfur were assumed to be HCl and SO ₂ [22]. From the chemical equilibrium
250	prediction, KOH is the most abundant form of potassium, and only ~0.68% of the released potassium is in atomic
251	form. This agrees well with our measured values of 0.77% for corn straw and 0.79% for poplar (Table 2).





Fig. 4 Profile of K^{Σ}_{flux} and statistics of K^{Σ} release in different biomass-burning stages.

254 255

TILL A. D		1:00 1	• • • • •		= 0)
Table 2 Potassium	release in	different burn	ing stages (raw l	biomass pellet:	50 mg

	Poplar			Corn Straw		
	devol	C&A	total	devol	C&A	total
Atomic K (µg)	1.02	1.28	2.30	2.68	2.07	4.76
Elemental K (µg)	140	150	290	360	260	620
K^A Proportion (%)	0.73	0.85	0.79	0.74	0.80	0.77
<i>K^A</i> Proportion (%)	0.68 at 1892 K					
by CHEMKIN						

257

258 3.3 Pellet Surface Temperature

Temporal profiles of the biomass pellet's surface temperature (T) during the combustion are shown in Fig. 5. 259 As with $K^{\Sigma_{flux}}$ (Fig. 4) and $[K^A]$ (Fig. 3b), the data profiles of the two biomass samples are notably different, with a 260 261 single maximum T for corn straw during devol., and two maxima for poplar with one in the devol. stage and the other in the C&A stage. The dual maxima trend is similar to what has been observed from burning a coal pellet [13, 262 14], and is explained thus: (1) in Stage I, the pellet is rapidly heated up by the co-flow causing the release of 263 volatile material, which produces a flame surrounding the pellet. This flame further heats the pellet until the 264 volatile material is depleted; (2) in Stage II, the loss of heat from the volatile flame causes the pellet to cool slightly. 265 The char begins to oxidize, again increasing the pellet temperature and leading to the second thermal peak for 266 267 poplar; (3) in Stage III, the residual incombustible material in the pellet reaches thermal equilibrium with the

- surrounding environment. For poplar, the three stages can be determined as: Stage I, $0 \sim 26$ s; Stage II, $27 \sim 149$ s; and Stage III, > 149 s. For corn straw, only two stages can be observed: Stage I, $0 \sim 22$ s; and Stage III, > 23 s. The lack of a second temperature peak during the corn straw *C&A* stage indicates that char oxidation proceeds in a different manner than that occurring in the poplar or coal combustion. By calculating the temperature derivative in Fig. 5, the rate of pellet heating can be obtained. The maximum
- heating rate for poplar and corn straw pellets is 131 and 189 K/s, respectively. Maxima occur at the beginning of
 combustion due to heating from the gas flow and volatile combustion.





276

Fig. 5 Variation of pellet surface temperature (*T*) and K^{Σ}_{flux} with time. -•-: Corn Straw surface temperature; -•-: Poplar surface temperature; dash line: corn straw potassium release flux; solid line: poplar potassium release flux.

The temporal profiles of T and K^{Σ}_{flux} for corn straw and poplar are shown in Fig. 5. The *devol.* stage temperature peak is higher for corn straw than for poplar, despite poplar having a greater amount of volatile carbon. This with the lack of a T minimum separating the *devol.* from *C*&A stage indicates that, for corn straw, char burnout occurs at an appreciable rate beginning in the *devol.* stage. This difference may be attributed to the structural difference in plant physiology between agricultural and woody type biomass [21], namely the greater lignification of the woody biomass cell walls.

286

287 3.4 Kinetics

Previous studies have related $K^{\Sigma_{flux}}$ to the particle temperature in combustion/gasification using a one-step Arrhenius expression [4, 12, 23]. The associated rate constant (*k*) was calculated from the main combustion stage 290 (for biomass, it is the devol. stage). To improve the kinetic model, it is necessary to include two rate constants [14], 291 one (k_V) calculated from the *devol*. stage and the other $(k_{C\&A})$ from the char and ash stage. For biomass samples, the one-step and two-step models have the same rate constant in the devol. stage. The empirically determined 292 Arrhenius constant and the activation energy for each fuel sample are given in Table 3., where Q_K is the total 293 potassium mass (mg) released during biomass-pellet combustion; m is the pellet mass (mg); A is the 294 295 pre-exponential factor (s⁻¹); E is the activation energy (kJ/mol); R is the gas constant (kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹). By employing the measured surface temperature results for corn straw and poplar, predictions of K^{Σ} release from both biomass 296 297 samples is given in Fig. 6, including both the single and the two-step kinetics. The two-step model shows a clear improvement over the one-step model, providing significantly better predictions of $K^{\Sigma_{flux}}$ in the *devol*. stage. 298

- 299
- 300

Table 3 Kinetics parameters of two models for biomass samples.

Kinetics Model	Poplar	Corn Straw
one-step:		
$\begin{cases} K_{flux}^{\Sigma} = Q_K \times k_V \\ Q_K = K_{ad} \times m \times (1 - A_{ad}) \\ k_V = A_V \times \exp(-E_V / (R \times T)) \end{cases}$	<i>Av</i> =4.64 <i>Ev</i> =84.6	<i>Av</i> =2.24 <i>Ev</i> =64.8
two-step:		
$\begin{cases} K_{flux}^{\Sigma} = Q_{K,V} \times k_{V} + Q_{K,C\&A} \times k_{C\&A} \\ Q_{K,V} = K_{ad} \times m \times (1 - A_{ad}) \times V_{ad} \\ Q_{K,C\&A} = K_{ad} - Q_{K,V} \\ k_{V} = A_{V} \times \exp(-E_{V} / (R \times T)) \\ k_{C\&A} = A_{C\&A} \times \exp(-E_{C\&A} / (R \times T)) \end{cases}$	$A_V=4.64$ $E_V=84.6$ $A_{C\&A}=20.5$ $E_{C\&A}=55.4$	$A_V=2.24$ $E_V=64.8$ $A_{C\&A}=15.3$ $E_{C\&A}=62.8$



302

301

Fig. 6 Kinetic prediction of potassium release compared to LIBS data.

305 *3.5 Transformation processes*

The processes by which alkali metals are transformed and released during biomass combustion have been discussed in [24]. A diagram of transformation processes is shown in Fig. 7, developed from [12, 23] and modified to include the catalytic oxidation reduction cycle of char-K. Potassium is initially classified as inorganic-K, which is found in the water fraction and mineral compounds and organic-K, which is char-K and potassium bound to hydrocarbons not bound in the char. During combustion 5 pathways for release and 8 pathways for transfer between fuel components are suggested.

312 During the *devol*. stage, some organic-K is released into the gas phase by thermal decomposition (Pathway 1, P1) with the remainder being retained in the fuel (P2), e.g. carboxyl groups start to decompose in devoaltilization 313 -COOK \rightarrow CO₂ + K. Inorganic-K is released into the gas phase through evaporation and thermal decomposition 314 (P3), e.g. the decomposition of potassium carbonate $K_2CO_3 \rightarrow 2K + CO_2 + 0.5O_2$, and some inorganic-K will react 315 316 with organic structures in the biomass and be transformed to char-bound potassium (P4) [25], e.g. substitution of hydrogen by potassium in carboxyl groups -COOH + $K \rightarrow$ -COOK + H. Additionally, inorganic-K can react with 317 318 silicate, transferring potassium to the ash fraction (P5) [26], e.g. $2KCl + Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 + H_2 O \rightarrow K_2O \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2$ + 2HCl. 319

During the char burnout stage, potassium is found either bound to the char or as mineral compounds in the ash. Thermal decomposition of the char leads to potassium release by increasing the vapor pressure of potassium compounds (P6), e.g. decomposition of char-K -CK \rightarrow K. Reaction with silicates will transfer potassium into the ash (P5) [25]. Oxidation processes in the char instigate multiple potassium conversion cycles: potassium release (P7a), transfer to ash of potassium oxides (P7b) and catalytic oxidation and reduction processes through cycling of -CK and -COK [11], -CK + 0.5O2 \rightarrow -COK and -COK + C \rightarrow -CK + CO.

Once the ash cooking stage is reached, all organically bound and water-soluble potassium has been released or transformed; but, potassium in the ash, e.g., K₂O, can react with the water vapor in the co-flow and generate KOH into the gas phase (P8) [14], which will lead to further potassium release.



330

331 332

Fig. 7 Suggested potassium transformation mechanisms during biomass combustion.

333 4 Conclusions

The release and distribution of atomic and elemental potassium from two biomass samples (corn straw and 334 poplar) have been measured throughout the duration of the biomass pellet combustion. Atomic potassium 335 336 measurements were made using polarity filtered PLIF with simultaneous laser absorbance calibration; the 337 elemental potassium concentration was measured using a calibrated multi-point LIBS apparatus. From both measurements the temporal profiles showed a single peak for corn straw (agricultural class) and a dual-peak 338 339 distribution for poplar (forest class). In the devolatilization stage, the atomic and volatile potassium release is the strongest for the two biomass samples. The CHEMKIN predictions and experimental data agree well with each 340 other. KOH is the major potassium compound in the gas phase at high temperature. 341

The kinetics of atomic and elemental potassium release for the two biomass samples has been correlated to measured pellet-surface temperature by a two-step model, which considers the contribution of both devolatilization and char burnout and produces more accurate predictions of potassium release than the existing one-step kinetics. The pathways of K transformation and release during biomass combustion was devised. In the initial biomass

346	sample, potassium may be divided into organic and inorganic groups. During the combustion, potassium may be
347	released or transferred between extant components of the fuel, i.e., char or ash. In addition, potassium associated
348	with the char component is involved in catalysis of char oxidation through an oxidation/reduction cycle. The K
349	release in the devolatilization stage can be due to pyrolysis and evaporation; in the char burnout stage, it is due to
350	char oxidation and decomposition; in the ash cooking stage, it is caused by the reaction between the ash and $\rm H_2O$ in
351	the co-flow.
352	
353	Acknowledgements
354	
	This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51776185) and the Program
355	This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51776185) and the Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to University (B08026). Financial support from the Royal Society and the
355 356	This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51776185) and the Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to University (B08026). Financial support from the Royal Society and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) of the UK is also gratefully acknowledged.

358 **References**

- 359 [1] S.E. Hosseini, M.A. Wahid, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev., 40 (2014) 621-632.
- 360 [2] Y. Niu, H. Tan, S.e. Hui, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci., 52 (2016) 1-61.
- 361 [3] P.E. Mason, L.I. Darvell, J.M. Jones, A. Williams, Fuel, 182 (2016) 110-117.
- 362 [4] P.E. Mason, J.M. Jones, L.I. Darvell, A. Williams, Proc. Combust. Inst., 36 (2017) 2207-2215.
- 363 [5] C. Erbel, M. Mayerhofer, P. Monkhouse, M. Gaderer, H. Spliethoff, Proc. Combust. Inst., 34 (2013) 2331-2338.
- 364 [6] T. Sorvajärvi, N. DeMartini, J. Rossi, J. Toivonen, Applied spectroscopy, 68 (2014) 179-184.
- 365 [7] W. Weng, Q. Gao, Z. Wang, R. Whiddon, Y. He, Z. Li, M. Aldén, K. Cen, Energy Fuels, 31 (2017) 2831-2837.
- 366 [8] P. Van Eyk, P. Ashman, Z. Alwahabi, G. Nathan, Combustion and Flame, 155 (2008) 529-537.
- 367 [9] L.-J. Hsu, Z.T. Alwahabi, G.J. Nathan, Y. Li, Z. Li, M. Aldén, Applied spectroscopy, 65 (2011) 684-691.
- 368 [10] Y. Yuan, S. Li, Q. Yao, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, 35 (2015) 2339-2346.
- 369 [11] P.J. Van Eyk, P.J. Ashman, G.J. Nathan, Combust. Flame, 158 (2011) 2512-2523.
- 370 [12] Z.-h. Zhang, Q. Song, Z.T. Alwahabi, Q. Yao, G.J. Nathan, Combustion and Flame, 162 (2015) 496-505.
- 371 [13] Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. He, R. Whiddon, K. Wan, J. Xia, J. Liu, Energy & Fuels, 30 (2016) 8977-8984.
- 372 [14] Z. Wang, Y. Liu, R. Whiddon, K. Wan, Y. He, J. Xia, K. Cen, Combustion and Flame, 176 (2017) 429-438.
- 373 [15] Y. Liu, Y. He, Z. Wang, K. Wan, J. Xia, J. Liu, K. Cen, Combustion and Flame, 189 (2018) 77-86.
- 374 [16] Y. He, J. Zhu, B. Li, Z. Wang, Z. Li, M. Aldén, K. Cen, Energy & Fuels, 27 (2013) 1123-1130.
- 375 [17] T. Dzubay, B. Jarrett, J. Jaklevic, Nuclear Instruments and Methods, 115 (1974) 297-299.
- 376 [18] S. Bejaoui, X. Mercier, P. Desgroux, E. Therssen, Combustion and Flame, 161 (2014) 2479-2491.
- 377 [19] P.J. van Eyk, P.J. Ashman, Z.T. Alwahabi, G.J. Nathan, Combust. Flame, 158 (2011) 1181-1192.
- 378 [20] L.L. Baxter, R.E. Mitchell, T.H. Fletcher, Combust. Flame, 108 (1997) 494-502.
- 379 [21] O. Senneca, Fuel Process. Technol., 88 (2007) 87-97.
- 380 [22] M. Bläsing, M. Müller, Energy Fuels, 26 (2012) 6311-6315.

- 381 [23] H. Fatehi, Y. He, Z. Wang, Z. Li, X.-S. Bai, M. Aldén, K. Cen, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, 35 (2015) 382 2389-2396.
- 383 [24] J.M. Johansen, J.G. Jakobsen, F.J. Frandsen, P. Glarborg, Energy Fuels, 25 (2011) 4961-4971.
- [25] S.C. Van Lith, V. Alonso-Ramírez, P.A. Jensen, F.J. Frandsen, P. Glarborg, Energy & Fuels, 20 (2006) 964-978. 384
- 385 [26] J.N. Knudsen, P.A. Jensen, K. Dam-Johansen, Energy Fuels, 18 (2004) 1385-1399.