

An experimental study on crack propagation at rock-concrete interface using digital image correlation technique

Wei Dong^{1,*}, Zhimin Wu², Xiangming Zhou³, Na Wang⁴, Gediminas Kastiukas⁵

¹Associate Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China.

(*Corresponding author). E-mail: dongwei@dlut.edu.cn

²Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: wuzhimin@dlut.edu.cn

³Reader in Civil Engineering Design, Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK & Haitian Visiting Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: xiangming.zhou@brunel.ac.uk

⁴Master student, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: wangna@163.com

⁵PhD student, Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK. E-mail: Gediminas.Kastiukas@brunel.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

The digital image correlation (DIC) technique is employed to investigate the fracture process at rock-concrete interfaces under three-point bending (TPB), and four-point shearing (FPS) of rock-concrete composite beams with various pre-crack positions. According to the displacement fields obtained from experiment, the crack width, and propagation length

24 during the fracture process can be derived, providing information on the evolution of the
25 fracture process zone (FPZ) at the interface. The results indicated that under TPB, the
26 fracture of the rock-concrete interface is mode I dominated fracture although slight sliding
27 displacement was also observed. Under FPS, the mode II component may increase in the
28 case of a small notched crack length-to-depth ratio, resulting in the crack kinking into the
29 rock. It was also observed that the FPZ length at the peak load is far longer for a specimen
30 under FPS than under TPB.

31

32 **Keywords:** Rock-concrete interface; digital image correlation; fracture process zone; crack
33 propagation; fracture mode

34

35 **1. Introduction**

36 For concrete structures built on a rock foundation, e.g. concrete dams, the interface between
37 concrete and rock is usually considered as the weakest structural zone, enabling cracks to
38 initiate and propagate along the interface under the hydrostatic loading. Similar to
39 cement-based materials, a rock-concrete interface exhibits a typical quasi-brittle behaviour,
40 i.e. there is a fracture process zone (FPZ) ahead of the interfacial crack, which features
41 strain softening and strain localization behavior. Both the FPZ length and the crack opening
42 displacement in the FPZ are essential parameters for characterizing the nonlinear behavior
43 of concrete. Considering the small size of the FPZ compared with the large size of structures,
44 some researchers [1, 2] have employed linear elastic fracture mechanics to analyze the
45 fracture behavior of rock-concrete interfaces, in which the FPZ length was ignored. However,

46 based on the linear elastic fracture mechanics, once a crack initiates, it will immediately
47 enter the unstable propagation stage, i.e. the nonlinear response of a structure cannot be
48 reflected without an FPZ. Meanwhile, it is well known that fracture energy plays an important
49 role in the fracture analysis of cementitious materials [3] and is significantly affected by the
50 size of the FPZ [4]. By comparing the linear and nonlinear fracture methods (with/without
51 FPZ), Červenka et al. [5] demonstrated that performing a nonlinear analysis of a
52 cementitious material interface could increase the critical fracture energy by approximately
53 20% compared to a linear analysis. Therefore, with regards to the safety assessment of
54 rock-concrete structures such as concrete dams built on a rock foundation, nonlinear
55 fracture mechanics is more reliable for fracture analysis of a rock-concrete interface in the
56 field.

57 So far, both experimental and numerical methods have been utilized to study FPZ evolution
58 in quasi-brittle materials. Some studies have shown that the FPZ length of concrete
59 decreases rapidly when a crack approaches the top surface of a specimen [6-8]. This is
60 often called the boundary effect and has been successfully explained through the concept of
61 local fracture energy [6, 9, 10]. Based on the experimental results of mode I fracture, it was
62 found that the maximum FPZ length of concrete increases with the increase of the specimen
63 height, and decreases with the increase of the notched crack length-to-depth ratio (a_0/D) [11].
64 Dong et al. arrived to the same conclusion [12] by introducing the initial fracture toughness
65 criterion in the analysis of concrete fracture. It has also been found in this study, that the FPZ
66 length may continue increasing even after the FPZ has fully developed. Meanwhile, taking
67 sandstone as an example, the FPZ evolution under mixed mode fracture was studied

68 through experiment [13]. It should be noted that the aforementioned studies aimed at
69 investigating the FPZ evolution in single materials, such as concrete and sandstone. In the
70 case of a composite material such as a rock-concrete interface, to the best of the authors'
71 knowledge, no study regarding its FPZ evolution has been reported. In the few studies which
72 have been made on crack propagation along a rock-concrete interface [14-16], the main
73 objective was to develop a numerical method to effectively simulate the fracture process at
74 the interface rather than to investigate crack evolution. In those studies, usually the curves of
75 load vs. crack mouth opening and sliding displacements (P-CMOD, P-CMSD) obtained from
76 experiment were compared with the ones from simulation to verify the proposed numerical
77 methods. In fact, for the purpose of an in-depth insight into a fracture mechanism, the
78 verification of a numerical method using the FPZ evolution in various fracture stages is more
79 significant and convincing. Therefore, together with the fracture behavior, it is important to
80 investigate the FPZ evolution at the rock-concrete interface.

81 Digital image correlation (DIC) is an optical technique that is used to visualize the surface
82 displacements of a specimen. Through a comparison of digital images of specimen surfaces
83 before/after deformation, the displacements of the regular grid points on the specimen
84 surface can be obtained, so that the FPZ evolution during fracture process can be derived if
85 combined with a softening constitutive law for crack opening displacement and cohesive
86 force. Due to its convenience, high responsiveness, accuracy and non-destructive nature,
87 the DIC technique has been widely used for investigating a number of processes, including
88 the fracture and fatigue behavior of strengthened reinforced concrete beams [17], the mode I
89 fracture in cementitious materials [11, 18-20], the mixed mode fracture in sandstone [13], the

90 fracture properties at concrete-concrete interfaces [21], and the interfacial debonding
91 properties in concrete [22]. The results of the above research have demonstrated that the
92 DIC technique can be used to carry out the fracture analysis of concrete with reasonable
93 accuracy.

94 In this study, the DIC technique is employed to investigate the fracture properties and
95 characterize the FPZ length under three-point bending (TPB) for the rock-concrete interface.
96 Also, in the case of four-point shearing (FPS), the crack opening and sliding displacements
97 at various stages before the peak loads are obtained using the DIC technique with respect to
98 different mode mixity ratios. Based on the experimental results, the FPZ evolution during
99 crack propagation and the effects of the mode mixity ratio on fracture properties are
100 discussed. It is expected that the experimental results presented here can lead to a better
101 understanding of the fracture properties and failure characteristics of rock-concrete
102 interfaces so that the nonlinear fracture mechanics can be more efficiently employed to
103 crack propagation analysis. Meanwhile, it may be helpful to verify the previously developed
104 numerical method for simulating the fracture process of different material interfaces by
105 providing experimental evidence of the FPZ evolution and crack opening/sliding
106 displacements.

107

108 **2. Experimental Program**

109 ***2.1 Specimen Preparation and Experimental Setup***

110 The two types of specimens tested in this study were 100 × 100 × 500 mm
111 (width×depth×length) beams with a 400 mm span. One specimen featured an interfacial

112 notch at the geometric center of the composite, i.e. both the concrete and rock blocks have
 113 the same length, for the TPB test (See Fig. 1(a)). The other specimen featured an eccentric
 114 interfacial notch, i.e. the concrete and rock blocks have unequal lengths, for FPS test (See
 115 Fig. 1(b)). Here, a_0 is the initial crack length; D , B , and L are the depth, width and length of
 116 the beams, respectively; L_1 , L_2 , and C_1 are the distances from the two loading points and
 117 pre-notch to the geometric center of the rock-concrete composite specimens, respectively.
 118 The specimen number "TPB 30" denotes a TPB beam with $a_0=30$ mm. The specimen
 119 number "FPS10-5-60" denotes an FPS beam with $L_1/L_2=10$, $C_1=5$ mm, and $a_0=60$ mm. To
 120 obtain the various mode mixity ratios, i.e. K_1/K_2 , in the interfacial fracture, the values of a_0 ,
 121 L_1/L_2 , and C_1 vary, which are listed in Table 2. Here, K_1 and K_2 are the stress intensity factors
 122 of the bi-material interface crack. In this paper, SIFs for a rock-concrete interface crack are
 123 calculated by the displacement extrapolation method [23] using the ANSYS finite element
 124 code with the formulas shown as below:

$$125 \quad K_1 = C \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{r}} \left[\delta_y (\cos Q + 2\varepsilon \sin Q) + \delta_x (\sin Q - 2\varepsilon \cos Q) \right] \quad (1)$$

$$126 \quad K_2 = C \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{r}} \left[\delta_y (\cos Q + 2\varepsilon \sin Q) - \delta_x (\sin Q - 2\varepsilon \cos Q) \right] \quad (2)$$

127 where,

$$128 \quad C = \frac{2 \cosh(\varepsilon \pi)}{(\kappa_1 + 1) / \mu_1 + (\kappa_2 + 1) / \mu_2} \quad (3)$$

$$129 \quad Q = \varepsilon \ln r \quad (4)$$

$$130 \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2}}{\frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_1}} \right) \quad (5)$$

131
$$\mu_i = \frac{E_i}{2(1+\nu_i)} \quad (i = 1,2) \quad (6)$$

132
$$\kappa_i = \begin{cases} (3 - \nu_{ui}) / (1 + \nu_{ui}) & \text{(Plane stress)} \\ (3 - 4\nu_{ui}) & \text{(Plane strain)} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

133 E and ν_u are the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively, while $i=1, 2$ representing
 134 concrete and rock respectively.

135 To obtain the natural surface of the rock, TPB test was carried out on rock beams with a
 136 notch. Once a notched rock beam is broken into two halves under bending, each half will
 137 have a natural surface. Mix proportions of the concrete for this study were 1:0.62:1.8:4.2
 138 (cement: water: sand: aggregate) by weight and the maximum aggregate size was 10 mm.

139 To make rock-concrete composite beams, a rock block was placed inside the mould and
 140 concrete was cast against it. After curing in sealed conditions for 2 days, the composite
 141 specimens were de-moulded and moved into a curing room with 23°C and 90% RH for
 142 further curing to 28 days. The measured material properties of concrete, rock, and
 143 rock-concrete interface are listed in Table 2, in which E_t , ν_u , f_c , f_t and G_f denote Young's
 144 modulus, Poisson's ratio, uniaxial compressive strength, uniaxial tensile strength and
 145 fracture energy, respectively.

146 A closed loop servo-controlled testing machine with a compression loading capacity of 250
 147 kN was employed for loading the beam specimens in this study. For each specimen, a clip
 148 gauge was mounted on the bottom of the beam to measure the crack mouth opening
 149 displacement (CMOD). The tests were performed under CMOD control mode with a rate of
 150 0.005mm/s.

151

152 ***2.2 Digital Image Correlation Technique and Determination of Opening/Sliding***
 153 ***Displacements along the FPZ***

154 Digital image correlation is an optical, non-contact measurement technique, which is usually

155 employed to analyze the displacement field on a specimen surface. By comparing images of
156 the specimen before and after deformation, the deformation of a specimen caused by the
157 applied load can be evaluated using the DIC technique. In this study, the camera was placed
158 perpendicular to the rock-concrete specimen side surface 1.5 m away. The speckled pattern
159 was made on the specimen surface using ordinary black spray paint. One digital image per
160 second was recorded using a digital camera with a resolution of 1024×768 pixels during
161 loading. Taking Specimen TPB30 as an example, a computational domain with 62×80 mm²
162 was employed to cover its full ligament length. By picking up one out of each five pixels (1
163 pixel=0.0877 mm in this case), a computational grid of 22143 (121×183) points was selected
164 to conduct the deformation analysis in the X (perpendicular to the crack surface) and the Y
165 (parallel to the crack surface) directions (See Fig. 2). In Fig. 2, Line MN is just above the tip
166 of the pre-notch, and Lines M₁N₁, M₂N₂...M_nN_n (n=182) are parallel to Line MN with an
167 interval of 5 pixels. The opening displacement u along the X direction and sliding
168 displacement v along the Y direction corresponding to various loadings can be derived using
169 the DIC technique, which is elaborated as following:

170 Based on the *P-CMOD* curve of Specimen TPB30 (See Fig. 3) obtained from experiment,
171 Point P8, at the loading level of 5.5% of the post-peak load, is selected as an example to
172 elaborate how to derive the opening/sliding displacements. Fig. 4(a) and (b) illustrate the
173 deformation of line MN along the u and the v directions at Point P8, i.e., the opening and
174 sliding displacements at the tip of a notch. In Fig. 4(a), the opening displacements were
175 significantly increased in the 6-pixel points near the origin, which is caused by crack initiation.
176 Here, the points at the boundary of displacement jump are denoted as Points R and Q. By
177 calculating the distance between Points R and Q, the opening displacement 0.107 mm on
178 line MN is obtained. Correspondingly, the sliding displacement 0.0087 mm is derived based
179 on the experimental results. Then, the opening/sliding displacements on lines M₁N₁,

180 $M_2N_2\dots M_nN_n$ can be derived until both displacements reach zero, i.e. the crack tip is
181 captured. Moreover, according to the obtained opening/sliding displacements in Fig. 4, the
182 Points Q and R, which represent the deformation edges, can be used to define the crack
183 profile on line MN corresponding to the loading Point P8. At that moment, the X-values of the
184 profile on line MN correspond to the opening displacements of Points Q and R, respectively.
185 Accordingly, the Y-values in Fig. 5 correspond to the sliding displacements of Points Q and R,
186 respectively. Then, since the opening/sliding displacements on line MN are obtained at Point
187 8, the crack profile on line MN corresponding to Point 8 can be derived. Accordingly, the
188 crack profile at Point 8 is obtained using the above-mentioned process by deriving the
189 opening/sliding displacements on lines M_1N_1 , $M_2N_2\dots M_nN_n$. In a similar manner, both the
190 opening/sliding displacements and the crack profile can be obtained at any point of the
191 P-CMOD curve. Therefore, the crack propagation and the FPZ evolution during the fracture
192 process of the rock-concrete interface can be recorded using the DIC technique. To
193 demonstrate, Fig. 5 illustrates the crack profile corresponding to Points P_2 , P_4 , and P_9 , and
194 the final failure image of the specimen TPB30.

195

196 **3. Results and Discussions**

197 **3.1 Effects of Crack Length on Interface Mode Fracture Under TPB**

198 Under TPB, due to the materials being asymmetric on both sides of a crack, the
199 rock-concrete interface is a mixed mode fracture rather than a single mode opening fracture.
200 Figs. 6 (a) to (j) illustrate crack evolution in Specimen TPB 30 with respect to points 1 to 10.
201 In each figure, the opening displacement u and sliding displacement v along the crack are
202 shown on both sides of the crack. It can be seen from these figures that, both the opening
203 and sliding displacements increase almost linearly along the crack surface. Compared with
204 the opening displacement, the sliding displacement is obviously smaller. For the purpose of

205 quantitative analysis, Fig. 7 presents the relationship of the ratio of v/u vs. the crack ratio a/D .
206 Here, a is the overall crack length, which is the sum of the initial crack length and the crack
207 propagation length. It can be seen from this figure that the ratios of v/u approximately
208 showed a plateau when the crack tip was far from the free surface of the specimen, i.e. a/D
209 is less than 0.6 in this study. Since v and u are caused by a bending moment and shear force,
210 respectively, the ratio of v/u reflects the proportion of Modes II to I components, which has
211 the similar physical meaning to the ratio of K_2/K_1 . According to the result from literature [24],
212 the ratio of K_2/K_1 also kept a plateau when there was no boundary effect at a rock-concrete
213 interface. However, the ratio of v/u decreased rapidly when the crack tip was close to the
214 free surface, i.e. a/D is close to 1, which may be attributed to the free surface effect. In the
215 case of small size specimen in this study, the sliding displacement is 15% less than the
216 opening displacement at the interfacial surface. It should be noted that the value of 15% is
217 based only on the observation of this test, and more tests need to be carried out to get a
218 sound conclusion.

219

220 **3.2 FPZ Evolution at Rock-concrete Interface**

221 According to the fictitious crack model proposed by Hillerborg [24], the tension-softening
222 behaviors of the FPZ in cement-based materials can be described using the normal stress
223 acting on the crack surface (σ) vs. crack opening displacement (w). In the relationship of σ - w ,
224 stress-free crack opening displacement w_0 is a significant parameter, which can determine
225 the end of the FPZ. Taking the bilinear σ - w relationship of concrete [25] as an example, w_0 is
226 set as $3.6G_{\#}/f_t$. Thus, the FPZ length can be determined by the distance from the crack tip to
227 the stress-free crack position. However, in the case of rock-concrete interface, the
228 constitutive relationship of concrete was employed for describing the behavior of the
229 rock-concrete interface as there is very limited reliable knowledge on the constitutive

230 relationship of rock-concrete interface from literature. Recently, aiming to understand the
231 softening behavior of the rock-concrete interface, a bilinear σ - w relationship was determined
232 by Dong et al. [26], and the relationship of $w_0 = 6G_f/f_t$ was proposed according to their
233 research, which is also employed in this study. Based on the experimental results, f_t and G_f
234 of the rock-concrete interface are 1.371 MPa and 19.3 N/m, respectively. Thus, w_0 is equal
235 to 0.0844 mm.

236 When the initial crack tip opening displacement is less than w_0 , no stress-free crack is
237 formed so the FPZ length can be determined by positioning the crack tip. In comparison,
238 when the displacement just reaches w_0 , the FPZ is fully formed. Its length is 57.89 mm in this
239 study, which is approximately corresponding to Point P7 (See Fig. 8). When the crack
240 continuously propagates, the crack opening displacement keeps increasing, and the end of
241 the FPZ will move forward and so will the crack tip. Therefore, according to the crack profile
242 from experiment, the FPZ lengths can be derived, which are 41.44 and 24.69 mm with
243 respect to Points P9 and P10 (See Fig. 8).

244 Fig. 9 illustrates the FPZ evolution during the fracture process in which Δa denotes the
245 crack propagation length. It can be seen that the FPZ length increases as the crack
246 propagates until it has fully developed at Point A, which corresponds to the length of 60.09
247 mm. After that, the FPZ length decreases rapidly, showing the same variation trend as
248 concrete [11]. The ratios are approximately 0.86 and 0.91 for the rock-concrete interface and
249 concrete itself respectively, which are close to each other. After the development of a full
250 FPZ, the effective crack consists of the newly formed stress-free crack, and the FPZ. If the
251 ligament is long enough, the increase of newly formed crack is approximately equal to the
252 increase of stress-free crack, so that the FPZ will keep a plateau. However, in the case of
253 small-size specimens, the crack tip may be close to the specimen boundary when the FPZ
254 fully develops. At that moment, the crack opening will increase sharply, which results in the

255 ending point of the FPZ moving forward rapidly. In this case, the increase of new crack
256 initiation is less than the one of the new stress-free zone, resulting in the decrease of the
257 FPZ length. It has been accepted that the boundary effect causes the decrease of the FPZ
258 length in concrete [3]. Accordingly, the concept of local fracture energy was introduced
259 based on the boundary effect model, and the bi-linear distribution of local fracture energy
260 along the ligament was proposed [10]. Since the FPZ evolution of concrete and
261 rock-concrete interface exhibited similar variation tendency, it may be concluded that the
262 decrease of the FPZ length at the interface is caused by the boundary effect. The local
263 fracture energy will decrease as well when the crack tip is close to the boundary. Certainly, it
264 is worthy to conduct a study on the boundary effect at the rock-concrete interface in order to
265 draw a sound conclusion.

266 **3.3 Variation of FPZ Length in Rock-concrete Composite Specimens under TPB and** 267 **FPS** 268

269 In the case of the FPS series beams, the relationship of opening and sliding displacements
270 on the crack surface is different from that of the TPB series beams discussed previously. It
271 should be noted that the crack propagation at the post-peak load stage was not captured in
272 the experiment due to the sudden break of FPS series specimens at the peak load.
273 Meanwhile, although under FPS, the crack continuously propagates along the interface until
274 reaching the top surface of the specimen for Specimens FPS 10-5-60, 4-15-30 and 4-10-20.
275 For each FPS series specimen, four digital images were derived corresponding to different
276 loads during the loading process from crack initiation to reaching peak load. In each image,
277 the crack surface opening/sliding displacements can be derived through comparing with the
278 reference image before loading. Together with the crack profiles, evolutions of the
279 microcracks at the four selected loading moments are illustrated for each specimen in Figs.

280 10 to 12. The FPZ in the three specimens was not fully formed at the peak load since no
281 stress-free cracks are formed at that moment. Meanwhile, there is a significant difference in
282 the FPZ length of the TPB and the FPS series specimens at the peak load. The FPZ length
283 is 7.89 mm for the Specimen TPB 30 while, for FPS specimens, the lengths are 36.84, 44.66
284 and 61.75 mm for FPS 10-5-60, 4-15-30 and 4-10-20, respectively. A natural rock surface
285 obtained by fracturing a prismatic rock specimen by TPB was used for preparing the
286 rock-concrete composite samples investigated in this study. Since there is no aggregate
287 bridging mechanism at the rock-concrete interface, in the case of TPB, the rough surface
288 only increases the contact area between rock and concrete, which improves the cohesive
289 tension effect of the interface on a limited scale. However, in the case of FPS, the rough
290 surface not only increases the contact area between the two materials but also increases the
291 shear cohesive effect due to the interlocking from the naturally rough interface. Therefore,
292 due to the existence of mode II component under FPS, the peak load significantly increases
293 compared with under TPB. According to the experimental results, the peak load is 2.23 kN
294 for Specimen TPB30. With respect to Specimens FPS 10-5-60, FPS 4-15-30 and FPS
295 4-10-20, the peak load are 18.84, 26.93 and 41.25 kN, respectively (See Table 1). It can be
296 seen that with regards to the same size specimens (TPB30 and FPS 4-15-30), the peak load
297 under FPS (Specimen FPS 4-15-30) is more than 10 times greater than the one under TPB
298 (Specimen TPB30). From a qualitative estimation, the fracture energy at the peak load under
299 FPS is far more than under TPB. From the viewpoint of the energy balance, the longer FPZ
300 is needed, which can provide more tension and shear cohesive effects, to dissipate the
301 fracture energy caused by the high peak load under FPS. This is why the FPZ length is

302 higher under FPS than under TPB as observed in this study. A longer FPZ provides a higher
303 cohesive effect and increased cracking resistance. Therefore, if the linear elastic fracture
304 mechanics is employed to predict the peak load of the interface, the underestimation of peak
305 load on the fracture analysis of mixed mode dominant is more significant than the one of
306 mode I dominant. Further, it is not appropriate to use linear elastic fracture mechanics to
307 analyze mixed-mode fracture.

308 309 **3.4 Variation of the Fracture Mode in Beams under FPS**

310 According to the experimental setup shown in Fig. 1(b), the initial mode mixity ratio, K_2/K_1 ,
311 before crack initiation can be derived as 0.595, 0.649 and 2.855 for specimens FPS 10-5-60,
312 4-15-30 and 4-10-20, respectively. Because no crack propagation occurs at that moment,
313 linear elastic interfacial fracture mechanics can be employed to calculate the stress intensity
314 factors (SIFs) at the tip of the pre-notch.

315 In the case of the FPS test of beams made of a single material, the crack will form and
316 propagate perpendicular to the principle tensile stress. Therefore, even though a large ratio
317 of K_{II}/K_I exists before crack initiation, the ratio will rapidly decrease after the crack is formed
318 and the fracture mode will be dominated by Mode I [27]. The phenomenon can be explained
319 by the fact that the crack usually propagates along the trajectory of the least cracking
320 resistance. However, the scenario is different in the case of the rock-concrete interface. The
321 crack propagation trajectory depends on the competition between the driving force and
322 resistance with respect to the interface and the rock. It has been verified experimentally [28],
323 that the crack can kink into rock even the interface is weaker than the rock (in this study, the
324 initial fracture toughness' are $1.0 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ for rock vs. $0.2 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ for the interface). It

325 should be noted that, in the case of a crack kinking into the rock, the crack propagates
326 perpendicular to the principal tensile stress. Therefore, it is similar to the mixed mode
327 fracture of a single material in which the fracture mode will be dominated by Mode I as the
328 crack propagates. In contrast, the fracture mode is still I-II mixed if the crack propagates
329 along the interface. In this case, it is possible for the crack to kink into the rock after some
330 propagation along the interface.

331 The relationship of v/u vs. a/D at Points P_1 to P_4 for each specimen is shown in Fig. 13. It is
332 interesting to notice that the ratio of v/u remains almost constant for specimen FPS 4-10-20.
333 It should also be noted that v and u can appropriately reflect the proportions of Modes II and
334 I components, respectively, in the mixed mode fracture because they are caused by bending
335 moment and shear force, respectively. From this point of view, the proportion of the mode II
336 component in specimen FPS 4-10-20 does not decrease as the crack propagates as it does
337 in concrete. Rather it keeps stable before the peak load is reached. Similarly, in the case of
338 Specimen FPS 4-15-30, the ratio of v/u even slightly increases as the crack propagates
339 before the peak load is reached. However, when a_0/D increases to 0.6, i.e. Specimen FPS
340 10-5-60, the scenario is different with the condition of $a_0/D=0.2$ and 0.3. At the early stage of
341 crack propagation, i.e. Point P_1 of Specimen FPS 10-5-60, the ratio of v/u is 0.7. When the
342 crack propagates from P_2 to P_4 , the ratio decreases to around 0.2 and remains stable. It is
343 worth pointing out that, the ratios of a_0/D corresponding to Points P_2 to P_4 exceed 0.9 at that
344 moment, i.e. the specimen is almost broken even before the peak load is reached. It can be
345 seen that the ratio of v/u changes as the crack propagates under a certain stress condition,
346 e.g. Specimen FPS 10-5-60. Meanwhile, since the ratio of v/u reflects the proportion of

347 Modes II to I components, it has the similar physical meaning to the ratio of K_2/K_1 . Therefore,
348 it can be concluded that the ratio of K_2/K_1 will change as the crack propagates. Then, the
349 initial mode mixity ratio, K_2/K_1 , cannot reflect the proportion of variation in the Modes II and I
350 components during crack propagation. Instead, the ratio of a/D has a significant effect on the
351 fracture mode. In line with this, with the increase of Mode II component during a fracture
352 process, the crack may divert into the rock after propagating a certain distance along the
353 interface. Fig. 14 shows the failure mode of Specimen FPS 6-5-40, in which the crack
354 propagated along the interface for about 25 mm, then diverted into the rock block. Moreover,
355 the fracture mode will be dominated by Mode I when the ratio of a/D exceeds 0.9, i.e. the
356 final fracture of the rock-concrete composite specimen is almost caused by bending.
357 Therefore, in general, it is not reasonable to employ the initial mode mixity ratio to predict the
358 crack trajectory, because the variation of K_2/K_1 is affected by the ratio of a/D as well.
359 Particularly, in the case of a concrete dam with a crack along the interface between concrete
360 and rock foundation, the mode mixity ratio with respect to crack initiation cannot be used to
361 determine whether the crack will propagate along the interface or not. The ligament of the
362 dam is long enough so that the crack may divert into the rock foundation and change the
363 failure mode of the dam.

364

365 **4. Conclusions**

366 In this paper, the DIC technique is employed to investigate crack propagation at the
367 rock-concrete interface under TPB and FPS. By deriving the opening/sliding displacement
368 field of the crack surface, the FPZ evolution during a fracture process is discussed.
369 Meanwhile, based on the variation of opening/sliding displacements under FPS, the fracture

370 mode during crack propagation is analyzed. According to the experimental study, the
371 following conclusions can be drawn:

- 372 1. For the TPB series specimens, the interface FPZ length increases as a crack propagates
373 until the full FPZ has developed, exhibiting the same variation trend as concrete. For the
374 small size specimens in this study, the ratios of a/D corresponding to the total FPZ are
375 0.86 and 0.91 with respect to the rock-concrete interface and concrete itself, which
376 showed the similar boundary effects.
- 377 2. There is a very short FPZ (7.89 mm) at the peak load under TPB, while the FPZ reaches
378 36.84, 44.66 and 61.75 mm long under FPS with $a_0/D=0.6$, 0.3 and 0.2, respectively.
379 Therefore, the short FPZ length results in the less nonlinear fracture characteristic of
380 rock-concrete interface with Mode I dominant fracture, while the nonlinear fracture
381 characteristic is more significant for mixed mode fracture of the rock-concrete interface.
- 382 3. The fracture mode varies as the crack propagates in the following manner: for the TPB
383 series specimens, the ratio of u/v at the tip of the notch of the interface remains at a
384 plateau until the crack tip is close to the specimen boundary. For the FPS series
385 specimens with a small a_0/D (i.e. $a_0/D \leq 0.4$), the Mode II component may increase as the
386 crack propagates, resulting in the crack diverting into the rock. Finally for the FPS series
387 specimens with large a_0/D (i.e. $a_0/D \geq 0.6$), the fracture mode rapidly falls into Mode I until
388 the beam is broken into two halves.

389

390 **Acknowledgement**

391 The financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grants
392 of NSFC 51478084, NSFC 51421064 and NSFC 51109026, and partial financial support
393 from the UK Royal Academy of Engineering through the Distinguished Visiting Fellow
394 Scheme under the grant DVF1617_5_21 is gratefully acknowledged.

396 **References**

- 397 [1] Sujatha V, Kishen JMC. Energy release rate due to friction at bimaterial interface in dams.
398 J Eng Mech. 2003;129:793-800.
- 399 [2] Yang S, Song LI, Li ZHE, Huang S. Experimental investigation on fracture toughness of
400 interface crack for rock/concrete. Int J Mod Phys B. 2008;22:6141-8.
- 401 [3] Wittmann FH, Hu XZ. Fracture process zone in cementitious materials. Int J Fracture.
402 1991;51:3-18.
- 403 [4] Hu XZ. An asymptotic approach to size effect on fracture toughness and fracture energy
404 of composites. Eng Fract Mech. 2002;69:555-64.
- 405 [5] Červenka J, Chandra Kishen JM, Saouma VE. Mixed mode fracture of cementitious
406 bimaterial interfaces: Part II: numerical simulation. Eng Fract Mech. 1998;60:95-107.
- 407 [6] Otsuka K, Date H. Fracture process zone in concrete tension specimen. Eng Fract Mech.
408 2000;65:111-31.
- 409 [7] Cotterell B, Mai Y-W. Crack growth resistance curve and size effect in the fracture of
410 cement paste. J Mar Sci. 1987;22:2734-8.
- 411 [8] Hu XZ, Wittmann F. Size effect on toughness induced by crack close to free surface. Eng
412 Fract Mech. 2000;65:209-21.
- 413 [9] Hu XZ, Wittmann FH. An analytical method to determine the bridging stress transferred
414 within the fracture process zone: II, Application to mortar. Cem Concr Res. 1992;22:559-70.
- 415 [10] Duan K, Hu XZ, Wittmann FH. Boundary effect on concrete fracture and non-constant
416 fracture energy distribution. Eng Fract Mech. 2003;70:2257-68.

- 417 [11] Wu Z, Rong H, Zheng J, Xu F, Dong W. An experimental investigation on the FPZ
418 properties in concrete using digital image correlation technique. *Eng Fract Mech.*
419 2011;78:2978-90.
- 420 [12] Dong W, Zhou X, Wu Z. On fracture process zone and crack extension resistance of
421 concrete based on initial fracture toughness. *Constr Build Mater.* 2013;49:352-63.
- 422 [13] Lin Q, Yuan H, Biolzi L, Labuz JF. Opening and mixed mode fracture processes in a
423 quasi-brittle material via digital imaging. *Eng Fract Mech.* 2014;131:176-93.
- 424 [14] Alberto A, Valente S. Asymptotic fields at the tip of a cohesive frictional crack growing at
425 the bi-material interface between a dam and the foundation rock. *Eng Fract Mech.*
426 2013;108:152-61.
- 427 [15] Barpi F, Valente S. The cohesive frictional crack model applied to the analysis of the
428 dam-foundation joint. *Eng Fract Mech.* 2010;77:2182-91.
- 429 [16] Zhong H, Ooi ET, Song C, Ding T, Lin G, Li H. Experimental and numerical study of the
430 dependency of interface fracture in concrete–rock specimens on mode mixity. *Eng Fract*
431 *Mech.* 2014;124-125:287-309.
- 432 [17] Mahal M, Blanksvärd T, Täljsten B, Sas G. Using digital image correlation to evaluate
433 fatigue behavior of strengthened reinforced concrete beams. *Eng Struct.* 2015;105:277-88.
- 434 [18] Alam SY, Saliba J, Loukili A. Fracture examination in concrete through combined digital
435 image correlation and acoustic emission techniques. *Constr Build Mater.* 2014;69:232-42.
- 436 [19] Enfedaque A, Gálvez JC, Suárez F. Analysis of fracture tests of glass fibre reinforced
437 cement (GRC) using digital image correlation. *Constr Build Mater.* 2015;75:472-87.
- 438 [20] Trivedi N, Singh RK, Chattopadhyay J. Investigation on fracture parameters of concrete

439 through optical crack profile and size effect studies. Eng Fract Mech. 2015;147:119-39.

440 [21] Shah SG, Kishen JMC. Fracture properties of concrete-concrete interfaces using digital
441 image correlation. Exp Mech. 2011;51:303-13.

442 [22] Corr D, Accardi M, Graham-Brady L, Shah S. Digital image correlation analysis of
443 interfacial debonding properties and fracture behavior in concrete. Eng Fract Mech.
444 2007;74:109-21.

445 [23] Nagashima T, Omoto Y, Tani S. Stress intensity factor analysis of interface cracks using
446 X-FEM. Int J Numer Meth Eng. 2003;56:1151-73.

447 [24] Hillerborg A, Modéer M, Petersson PE. Analysis of crack formation and crack growth in
448 concrete by means of fracture mechanics and finite elements. Cem Concr Res.
449 1976;6:773-81.

450 [25] Petersson PE. Crack growth and development of fracture zones in plain concrete and
451 similar materials. Division of Building Materials, Lund Institute of Technology, Report
452 TVBM-1006, Sweden, 1981.

453 [26] Dong W, Wu Z, Zhou X. Fracture mechanisms of rock-concrete interface: experimental
454 and numerical. J Eng Mech, ASCE. 2016;142:04016040.

455 [27] Wu Z, Rong H, Zheng J, Dong W. Numerical method for mixed mode I-II crack
456 propagation in concrete. J Eng Mech, ASCE. 2013;139:1530-8.

457 [28] Slowik V, Chandra Kishen JM, Saouma VE. Mixed mode fracture of cementitious
458 bimaterial interfaces; Part I: Experimental results. Eng Fract Mech. 1998;60:83-94.

459

460

461

462 **Appendix I Table**

463

464 Table 1. Specimen Geometries and Experimental Results

Name of specimens	$L \times D \times B$ (mm ³)	a_0 (mm)	C_1 (mm)	$L_1: L_2$	P_{max} (kN)	K_2/K_1
TPB30		30	-	-	2.23	-
FPS 4-10-20		20	10	4	41.25	0.595
FPS 4-15-30	500×100×100	30	15	4	26.93	0.649
FPS 10-5-60		60	5	10	18.84	2.855
FPS 6-5-40		40	5	6	32.97	3.740

465

466 Table 2. Materials Properties of Concrete, Rock and Interface

Materials	Density (kg/m ³)	E_t (GPa)	ν	f_c (MPa)	f_t (MPa)	G_f (N/m)
Concrete	2400	30.26	0.24	36.1	2.88	87
Rock	2668	64.39	0.20	119.2	8.65	119.7
Interface	—	—	—	—	1.37	19.3

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480 **Captions of figures**

481 **Fig. 1.** Experimental setup: (a) Three-point bending test; and (b) Four-point shearing test

482 **Fig. 2.** Computational domains of Specimen TPB 30

483 **Fig. 3.** *P-CMOD* curve of Specimen TPB 30

484 **Fig. 4.** Displacement along Line MN on Specimen TPB 30: (a) Crack tip opening

485 displacement of Point P8; and (b) Crack tip sliding displacement of Point P8

486 **Fig. 5.** Crack profiles of Specimen TPB 30 and final failure mode

487 **Fig. 6.** Evolution of the microcrack of Specimen TPB 30: (a) $P_1=78\%P_{max}$ (pre-peak); (b)

488 $P_2=P_{max}$; (c) $P_3=83.03\%P_{max}$ (post-peak); (d) $P_4=49.3\%P_{max}$ (post-peak); (e) $P_5=32\%P_{max}$

489 (post-peak); (f) $P_6=15.5\%P_{max}$ (post-peak); $P_7=11\%P_{max}$ (post-peak); (h) $P_8=5.5\%P_{max}$

490 (post-peak); and (i) $P_9=3.18\%P_{max}$ (post-peak)

491 **Fig. 7.** Relationship of v/u vs. a/D in three-point bending beam

492 **Fig. 8.** FPZ evolution after the initiation of a full FPZ

493 **Fig. 9.** FPZ evolution in Specimen TPB 30

494 **Fig. 10.** Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen FPS 10-5-60: (a) $P_1=63.1\%P_{max}$; (b)

495 $P_2=76.5\%P_{max}$; (c) $P_3=82.6\%P_{max}$; and (d) $P_4=98.3\%P_{max}$

496 **Fig. 11.** Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen 4-15-30: (a) $P_1=65.1\%P_{max}$; (b)

497 $P_2=84.8\%P_{max}$; (c) $P_3=95.9\%P_{max}$; and (d) $P_4=97\%P_{max}$


498 **Fig. 12.** Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen FPS 4-10-20: (a) $P_1=74.8\%P_{max}$; (b)

499 $P_2=90.9\%P_{max}$; (c) $P_3=96.3\%P_{max}$; and (d) $P_4=98.2\%P_{max}$

500 **Fig. 13.** Relationship of v/u vs. a/D for FPS beams


501 **Fig. 14.** Failure mode of Specimen FPS 6-5-40

502
503



(a) Three-point bending test

504
505
506
507
508



(b) Four-point shearing test

Fig. 1. Experimental setup

509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518




Fig. 2. Computational domains of Specimen TPB 30

519
520
521






Fig. 3. *P*-*CMOD* curve of Specimen TPB 30





522

523 (a) Crack tip opening displacement of Point P8 (b) Crack tip sliding displacement of Point P8

524

Fig. 4. Displacement along Line MN on Specimen TPB 30





525

526

527

(a) Crack profiles at Points P_2 , P_4 and P_9 (b) Failure mode
Fig. 5. Crack profiles of Specimen TPB 30 and final failure mode




528

529

(a) $P_1=15.5\% P_{max}$ (pre-peak)


(b) $P_2=P_{max}$




530

531

(c) $P_3=83.03\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)




(d) $P_4=49.3\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)




532

533

(e) $P_5=32\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)




(f) $P_6=15.5\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)




534

535

(g) $P_7=11\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)




(h) $P_8=5.5\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)



536

537


(i) $P_9=3.18\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)



(j) $P_{10}=2.2\%P_{\max}$ (post-peak)


538
539

Fig. 6. Evolution of microcrack of Specimen TPB 30




540
541

Fig. 7. Relationship of v/u vs. a/D in three-point bending beams



542
543


Fig. 8. FPZ evolution after the initiation of a full FPZ



544
545
546


Fig. 9. FPZ evolution in Specimen TPB 30

547




(a) $P_1=63.1\%P_{max}$

548




(b) $P_2=76.5\%P_{max}$

549



(c) $P_3=82.6\%P_{max}$

550




(d) $P_4=P_{max}$

551


Fig. 10. Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen FPS 10-5-60

552




(a) $P_1=65.1\%P_{max}$

553




(b) $P_2=84.8\%P_{max}$

554



(c) $P_3=95.9\%P_{max}$


555




(d) $P_4=P_{max}$

556


Fig. 11. Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen 4-15-30




(a) $P_1=74.79\%P_{max}$



(b) $P_2=90.9\%P_{max}$



(c) $P_3=96.25\%P_{max}$



(d) $P_4=P_{max}$

Fig. 12. Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen FPS 4-10-20




Fig. 13. Relationship of v/u vs. a/D for FPS beams




Fig. 14. Failure mode of Specimen FPS 6-5-40