DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2018.09.025
This is the author's pre-print version of the paper
FULL PAPER available at the Publisher website:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165783618302662?
via%3Dihub

16 17

18 Testing robustness of CPUE standardization and inclusion of environmental variables with 19 simulated longline catch datasets

20

21 Francesca C. Forrestal^a*, Michael Schirripa^b, C. Phillip Goodyear^{c,}, Haritz Arrizabalaga^d,

Elizabeth A. Babcock^e, Rui Coelho^f, Walter Ingram^g, Matthew Lauretta^b, Mauricio Ortiz^h, Rishi 22 23 Sharmaⁱ, John Walter^b

24

25 26 27 28 29 ^a Cooperative Institute of Marine and Atmospheric Science, 4600 Rickenbacker Cswy, Miami, FL 33149, USA ^b Miami Laboratory, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 75 Virginia Beach Drive,

- Miami, FL 33149-1099, USA
- ^c 1214 North Lakeshore Drive, Niceville, FL 32578, USA
- ^d AZTI Tecnalia, Marine Research Division, Herrera Kaia Portualdea, z/g 20110, Pasaia, Gipuzkoa, Spain
- 30 ^e Rosenstiel School of Marine & Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, 31 FL 33149, USA
- 32 ^f Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera (IPMA I.P.), Avenida 5 de Outubro s/n, Olhão, 8700-305 Portugal
- 33 ^g NOAA Fisheries, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Mississippi Laboratories, 3209 Frederic Street, Pascagoula, 34 MS 39567, USA
- 35 ^h ICCAT SECRETARIAT - Corazón de María, 8. 28002 Madrid, SPAIN
- 36 ¹NOAA Fisheries, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 1201 Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR
- 37 97232
- 38

39 Abstract

40 Environmental variability changes the distribution, migratory patterns, and susceptibility to various fishing gears for highly migratory marine fish. These changes become especially 41 problematic when they affect the indices of abundance (such as those based on catch-per-unit-42 43 effort: CPUE) used to assess the status of fish stocks. The use of simulated CPUE data sets with 44 known values of underlying population trends has been recommended by ICCAT (International 45 Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas) to test the robustness of CPUE 46 standardization methods. A longline CPUE data simulator was developed to meet this objective 47 and simulate fisheries data from a population with distinct habitat preferences. The simulation was 48 used to test several statistical hypotheses regarding best practices for index standardization aimed 49 at accurate estimation of population trends. Effort data from the US pelagic longline fleet was 50 paired with a volume-weighted habitat suitability model for blue marlin (Makaira nigricans) to 51 derive a simulated time series of blue marlin catch and effort from 1986-2015 with four different 52 underlying population trends. The simulated CPUE data were provided to stock assessment 53 scientists to determine if the underlying population abundance trend could accurately be detected 54 with different methods of CPUE standardization that did or did not incorporate environmental 55 data. While the analysts' approach to the data and the modeling structure differed, the underlying population trends were captured, some more successfully than others. In general, the inclusion of 56 57 environmental and habitat variables aided the standardization process. However, differences in 58 approaches highlight the importance of how explanatory variables are categorized and the criteria 59 for including those variables. A set of lessons learned from this study was developed as 60 recommendations for best practices for CPUE standardization.

- 61
- 62 Keywords: Catch/effort, Longline, Statistical models, Simulation, Stock assessment,
- Environmental effects 63
- 64 *Corresponding author: fforrestal@miami.edu

65

66 **1. Introduction**

Indices of abundance derived from fishery-dependent time series of catch per unit effort 67 (CPUE) are often an integral part of the stock assessment process. Thus, there is a need to 68 understand the processes that might lead to biases in the indices. Nominal CPUE values are often 69 70 not proportional to the abundance of the stock being assessed (Campbell, 2015, 2016; Maunder et al., 2006; Maunder and Punt, 2004). Variations in CPUE can be the result of changes in the 71 72 abundance of the fish stock, shifts in movement patterns, environmental and climatic changes as well as changes in fishing strategy over time (Bigelow et al., 1999). Use of CPUE to track 73 74 abundance is based on the assumption that catch (C) is related to the effort (E), the abundance (N)75 and the catchability (*a*):

76

C = qEN

77 The use of the CPUE (C/E) as an index of abundance (N) thus depends on the assumption that catchability is constant or that changes in catchability can be modeled and removed from the index. 78 79 Changes in catchability can be related to any changes to the fishing gear, species targeting and 80 fishing methods. Additionally, the spatial extent of the fish population or the fishery may shift over 81 time, influencing the fraction of the stock that is available to each fleet. Habitat suitability, such as 82 dissolved oxygen concentration and water temperatures in the pelagic environment, can affect fish 83 availability or catchability (e.g., by altering fish behavior). Incorporation of environmental 84 covariates into index standardization might address some of these issues, but this is not routinely 85 done. Best practices for incorporating environmental variables in CPUE standardization have not 86 been defined, which adds uncertainty in choosing standardization methods aimed at minimizing 87 CPUE bias.

88 A species distribution model (SDM) and longline simulator (LLSIM) were developed to test 89 methods of CPUE standardization, amongst other goals. This paper uses simulated longline catch 90 data sets with known values of underlying population trends to test the robustness of CPUE 91 standardization methods. A species distribution model for Atlantic blue marlin (Makaira 92 nigricans) was developed using pop-up satellite archival tag (PSAT) data paired with detailed data 93 describing the physical environment within the model region (Figure 1) to predict fish abundances 94 using habitat suitability modeling (Goodyear et al., 2017; Goodyear, 2016). This approach is 95 commonly used for predicting habitat quality from habitat suitability indices based on ecological 96 niche theory (Hirzel and Lay, 2008). Applications to billfish species include the identification of 97 potential new fishing grounds (Chang et. al., 2012, 2013), and forecasts of the effects of climate 98 change (Robinson et al., 2015). This approach is paired with fishing fleet dynamics, using 99 historical effort distribution and gear configurations of the US pelagic longline fishery. Fleet 100 catchability was defined to be gear-specific, while spatial effort allocation mimicked observed longline fishing locations. The simulated fleet was used to sample the blue marlin populating the 101 102 SDM throughout the year, producing simulated catch per unit effort data based on the interactions between fishing effort and habitat suitability (i.e., fish availability) as well as gear configuration 103 104 (gear efficiency) (Forrestal, et al., in press). The historical effort and gear configurations of the US 105 longline fleet as adapted for use in the longline simulator are extensively discussed in Forrestal et 106 al. (In press). Four distinct population trends were simulated for blue marlin (steady, increasing, 107 decreasing, and fluctuating) to produce simulated catch datasets. These datasets were provided to 108 eight stock assessment scientists with expertise in standardizing CPUE indices who used methods 109 of their choice to standardize the indices. The goals of this work are to determine how well different 110 standardization methods currently in use capture population trends and if the inclusion of 111 environmental and habitat data aids in the standardization process.

112 **2. Material and methods**

113 2.1 Species distribution model

114 The simulated population model is defined in two steps. The first input is the population 115 abundance in each year and month of the time series (here equal to September 1986 to December 116 2015). The second input is the relative population density per one-degree latitude and longitude 117 and water depth gradient defined by the SDM (Goodyear et al., 2017; Goodyear, 2016) based on the species habitat preferences for each model time-step. The densities were normalized so that the 118 119 sum of the products of the relative density x volume over each latitude, longitude, and depth = 1.0. 120 The SDM provided the average distribution of the entire population by month and year during hours of daylight and nighttime to account for diel vertical redistribution. The method accounts 121 122 for temporal changes in the location and volume of the habitat associated with seasonal and longer-123 term changes in the environment. For example, it directly estimates the vertical density 124 distributions in areas affected by the oxygen minimum zones (Stramma et al., 2012). The SDM 125 uses published blue marlin oxygen tolerance information (Brill, 1994), coupled with temperature 126 utilization and day-night ΔT patterns from PSAT-tagged blue marlin to predict the species 127 distribution from the detailed environmental data (Goodyear et al., 2017; Goodyear, 2016).

Four population trends were used in this study, a constant population of 500,000 individuals, a decreasing population with a 70% reduction over 29 years, an increasing population by 70% over years and a population that fluctuated around 500,000 individuals over the time period (Fig. 2-4). The declining pattern is roughly equivalent to the values estimated in the most recent assessment (Anon, 2012) and the increasing population is its mirror image.

133 2.2 Environmental Data

134 Modeling the spatial distribution of a species requires quantitative data about the physical 135 environmental variables that determine its habitat. Temperature and to a lesser extent dissolved 136 oxygen concentration influence blue marlin habitat use (Block et al., 1992). Environmental data 137 were obtained though the Community Earth System Model (CESM1), which is a global ocean-138 sea-ice model coupled to a biogeochemistry model BEC (Biogeochemical Elemental Cycle) 139 (Danabasoglu et al., 2012; Long et al., 2013). The model covers the global ocean with a latitudinal 140 and longitudinal resolution of 1.0° and 60 vertical layers with the bottom level at 5,500 m. Annual 141 data outputs from CESM were available through 2012. Mean values from the final year were used 142 to parameterize the species distribution model for 2013-2015.

143 2.3 Longline simulation model

144 The core element of the longline simulator is the catch on a single hook of a longline set. 145 The catch is a probabilistic event and is simulated for each hook of each set. The X-Y spatial structure of the simulator is from 35°S to 55°N latitude and 95°W to 20°E longitude, exclusive of 146 major land masses. This area is broken down into 7,067 cells; each cell is 1 degree of latitude by 147 148 1 degree of longitude. Each longitude-latitude cell is also divided into 46 depth strata of unequal 149 size, corresponding to the environmental depth data. Conceptual details are presented in Goodyear 150 et al. (2017) and Forrestal et al. (in press), but fundamentally involve the integration of population 151 size, an essential gear coefficient (k) and a habitat coefficient (w) for each set. The habitat 152 coefficient integrates the hook-depth probabilities at depth for each hook on a simulated set with 153 the species relative density at the latitude and longitude of the set in each of the 46 depth layers 154 apportioned by the proportion of the set that fishes at that depth in hours, separated between 155 daylight and darkness.

156 2.4 Data Analysis

157 The longline simulator outputs a catch by set file with column headings typically observed in 158 pelagic longline fishery logbook data. For this exercise, the variables included with the number of 159 blue marlin caught were: total number of hooks, hook type, bait type, number of light sticks, hooks 160 between floats (HBF), month, year and latitude and longitude (Table 1). Hook type had four levels: circle hooks, J hook, a combination of circle and J hooks and unknown hook type. Bait type used 161 was artificial, live, dead or unknown. The light sticks were binned values corresponding to 162 163 unknown light sticks reported, zero light sticks deployed, 1-500 and 501-1500 light sticks. Hooks 164 between floats numbered between 2 through 6. These variables are referred to as the gear variables and include those that are traditionally used for CPUE standardizations. The sea surface 165 166 temperature (SST) and the dissolved oxygen (DO) at the surface for the location, month and year 167 from 1986-2012 were also supplied from the outputs of the CESM and are referred to as the environmental variables. While the SST and DO were available from the model by depth, only the 168 169 surface data were included to mimic the type of data available for CPUE standardization. All 170 simulated fishing sets were included in the final data set, including those that did not catch blue 171 marlin.

172 Four simulated catch datasets corresponding to the alternative population trends were 173 distributed to eight analysts across several ICCAT contracting or cooperating countries (i.e., 174 CPCs). These analysts have extensive knowledge and experience developing standardized indices of abundance from fisheries-dependant CPUE data. The work was carried out in a blind-study 175 176 approach, the analysts were not aware of the true population trends or the species being simulated in the dataset. The analysts developed their own approach to the data without consultation with the 177 178 authors or the other analysts (Table 2). Some analysts provided more than one standardized index 179 for each population due to their personal preference. The details of each analyst's approach are 180 summarized below. Analysts 1-3 did not have access to population 4 as this dataset was developed 181 later in the study.

182 2.4.1 Analyst 1

Analyst 1 used a delta lognormal approach in R to standardize CPUE Factors were included if they explained at least 5% of the variance. Any two-way interactions that explained at least 5% of the variance were included as random effects, using the *glmer* function in the lme4 library for R (Bates et al., 2015).

The CPUE of blue marlin was calculated as catch per thousand hooks. The potential explanatory variables were year (1986-2015), hooks between floats (either as a number, centered by subtracting the mean or as a factor), area (the 9 ICCAT areas for billfish; ICCAT, 2016, Online Supplementary Fig.1), season (months 1-3, 4-6, 7-9, 10-12), bait type (5 levels), hook type (4 levels) and light sticks (4 levels). Sea surface temperature and DO were not available for all years, so they were only used in alternate runs ending in 2012. Both variables were coded as factors (SST <15,15-20,20-25,25-30, DO <4.5,4.5-5, >5) (Table 3).

The gear variables were not evenly distributed in time and there were many combinations of variables that did not exist. Therefore, some factors were combined or eliminated before running the models. Data from the South Atlantic (ICCAT billfish areas 96 and 97; Online Supplementary Fig. 1) was excluded since there were very few observations, with none in recent years. Hook types 2 and 5 and bait type 1 and 3 were excluded due to low numbers of observations. The final dataset included 96.5% of the total observations for all populations. The trend in CPUE was calculated as
the probability of presence (calculated as the inverse logit of the year effect in the binomial model)
times the mean CPUE when present (calculated by converting the year effect in the model from
normal to lognormal). The Lo et al. (1992) method was used to calculate the standard errors.

203 2.4.2 Analyst 2

204 Analyst 2 used a negative binomial GLMM to standardize the catch in number, with effort 205 taken to be an offset. The models were run consecutively in R using the MASS, nlme and lme4 packages (Pinheiro et al., 2017; Venables and Ripley, 2002). Latitude and longitude were grouped 206 into four areas (SE, NE, SW, NW) and months were grouped into quarters. This analyst used four 207 208 models including a full model that contained year, area, quarter, hook type, bait type and light 209 sticks. This model was repeated with the inclusion of sea surface temperature. This analyst did not 210 use dissolved oxygen as it was highly negatively correlated to sea surface temperature. SST was 211 treated at a continuous variable. The final two models contained year, area and quarter with and 212 without SST. An offset term of the natural log of total hooks was used in the both the simple and 213 full model.

Interaction effects were not used for any of the models. Deviance explained was used as the main model selection criteria along with ANOVA and F tests (at the 0.05 level). The year effects were estimated from the marginal mean in R given all other factors and variables.

217 2.4.3 Analyst 3

218 Generalized linear models were run in R using the packages lsmeans and glmmADMB 219 (Fournier et al., 2012). First, the annual CPUE observations were plotted as histograms to examine 220 distribution shape and determine candidate models for estimating index variance. Goodness-of-fit 221 tests (chi-squared for discrete distributions, and Kilmogorov-Smirnov for continuous distributions) 222 were ran to evaluate the best-fit model to the observed data. The samples were assigned to spatial 223 zones defined by the Southeast Fishery Science Center (Online Supplementary Fig. 2). From there, 224 a delta gamma model was selected that included year, month, area, and all gear variables as factors. 225 Model performance was assessed by model convergence and residual error distribution. The model 226 structure was the same for the model that contained environmental data. Sea surface temperature 227 was treated as a continuous variable, and dissolved oxygen was not used as it was found to be 228 correlated to sea surface temperature (Table 3). The binomial model and the gamma model used 229 all the factors with single term fixed effects. No interaction terms were used, and no observations 230 were discarded. Temporal trends in samples sizes indicated an imbalance or temporal shift in the 231 distribution for several factors, particularly gear, hook type, bait, hooks between floats, and area 232 This diagnostic was used as a principle tool to select factors for inclusion in the fished. 233 standardization model. The final model covariates were selected primarily by examining boxplots 234 of the mean and variance of CPUE observations across model factors to examine which covariates 235 appeared to influence CPUE and varied in sample distribution over time and secondarily, Akaike's 236 Information Criterion (AIC) of nested models.

- 237
- 238 2.4.4 Analyst 4

This analyst was the only one to utilize a Generalized Additive Model (GAM). SAS[®] was used as the statistical oftware (Schlotzhauer and Littell, 1997). The GAM models were used in the delta lognormal framework to develop indices. The models applied to each population were the same and incorporated environmental variables. Smoothing splines were applied to SST, hooks, latitude, longitude, surface DO, light sticks and hooks between floats (HBF). Months, years, bait type and 244 hook type were treated as categorical variables. The success component was modeled using a 245 binomial distribution and the abundance component was modeled using a Poisson distribution.

246 2.4.5 Analyst 5

247 Analyst five used a delta lognormal approach implemented using Generalized Linear Mixed 248 Models (GLMM). Analyses were conducted using the glimmix and mixed procedures from the 249 SAS® statistical computer software (Schlotzhauer and Littell,, 1997). This analyst employed an extensive graphical exploration of the datasets, including a spatio-temporal analysis to define 250 geographical areas and seasonality of the fishery (Online Supplementary Fig. 3). The relationship 251 252 between potential factors and the nominal ln(CPUE) of the positive sets were examined using 253 proportional boxplots. Bivariate plots were used to examine the relationships between the 254 ln(CPUE) and the environmental variables paired with smoothing fits. The selection of the final model was based on AIC, BIC, and a χ^2 test of the difference between the [-2 log likelihood] 255 statistic of a successive model formulations (Littell et al., 1996). Interaction effects were used, and 256 257 they were assumed to be random. The model structure was constant across all four populations 258 (Table 3) and one standardized trend was obtained for each population that contained both the gear 259 and environmental variables (Figure -4). Relative indices for the delta model formulation were 260 calculated as the product of the year effect least square means (LSmeans) from the binomial and 261 the lognormal model components. The LSmeans estimates use a weighted factor of the 262 proportional observed margins in the input data to account for the non-balance characteristics of 263 the data. LSMeans of lognormal positive trips were bias corrected using Lo et al., (1992) 264 algorithms.

265 2.4.6 Analyst 6

Analyst 6 used a Tweedie Generalized Linear Model; analyses were conducted using R and 266 267 the tweedie (Dunn and Smyth, 2005, 2008), Ismeans (Lenth, 2016) and mfp (Ambler and Benner, 268 2015) packages. The Tweedie GLM approach does not split the response variables into success and abundance of CPUE and then apply two separate models as is the case with the delta approach 269

270 used by other analysts (271 Table 4). The only response variable was CPUE measured as number of blue marlin caught per 272 1000 hooks, which is a continuous variable with an added mass of zeros for the cases of sets with 273 zero catches. The categorical variables included in the final model were: year, month, light, hook 274 type, bait type and hooks between floats. The spatial variables latitude and longitude were grouped 275 into categorical areas using regression trees, according to the method developed by Ichinokawa 276 and Brodziak (2010). The environmental variables sea surface temperature and dissolved oxygen were used as continuous variables transformed with fractional polynomials, using the method 277 278 developed by Royston and Altman (1994).

279 Initially, univariate models were applied for each candidate variable. Significance for inclusion
280 were likelihood ratio tests comparing univariate models to the null model. All significant variables
281 (57.1 a) and a significant variables

(5% level) were then used for a multivariate model. In the multivariate model, the final significance of each variable was analyzed using deviance tables, AIC and pseudo R². The final models were

slightly different for each population as the area categorizations and polynomial transformations

284 were specific to each population dataset (

285 Table 4). No interaction effects were used due to computational restraints. The year effects were 286 extracted in the same manner as analyst 3.

287 2.4.7 Analyst 7

288 This analyst used a delta lognormal GLMM approach to standardize the CPUE data. The 289 statistical software employed was R with the glmer function of the lme4 package (Bates et al., 290 2015). None of the models included environmental variables due to computational constraints 291 and the lack of environmental data in the most recent years. Latitude and longitude were grouped 292 into three areas, a northern region (including the Gulf of Mexico), southern and Caribbean 293 region. Successes were modeled using a binomial distribution, and abundances using a Gaussian 294 distribution. Variables were included in the final model if they explained 5% or more of the 295 deviance. The models used to standardize populations 2, 3 and 4 were the same while the model applied to population 1 contained interactions between year and some of the other explanatory 296 297 variables (Table 3). If interactions with year were significant, they were treated as random 298 effects. But in most cases, interactions could not be tested due to lack of computing power. The 299 year effect was extracted by taking the year coefficients in both models and then transforming

- 300 and corrected them according to Lo et al. 1992
- 301

302 2.4.8 Analyst 8

303 Analyst 8 used a delta lognormal GLM approach. The analyses were conducted using SAS proc glimmix for the binomial component and SAS proc mixed for the lognormal component. This 304 305 analyst developed eight models, a different model for each population and models with and without the environmental variables (Table 3). Latitude and longitude were grouped into the US pelagic 306 307 longline logbook areas (Cramer, 1983). The Goodman (1960) exact method for calculating the 308 variance of two independent random variables was used to obtain the variance. Two methods 309 commonly employed to select models were used; the method of Ortiz and Arocha (2004), which 310 uses the percent reduction in explained deviance to select factors that explain greater than a certain 311 percentage and the method of Brown (1992), which uses the percent deviance reduction per degree of freedom. A 5% cut-off was used for all models, which is commonly used for each method. 312 313 Environmental variables were originally entered as categorical and were changed to continuous 314 (SST*SST and surface DO) due to model fitting issues. The yearly index was extracted using the 315

SAS lsmeans statement.

316 2.4.9 Analysis of standardized trends

317 Standardized trends from the eight analysts and the true population trends were normalized to the mean to examine differences among the time series. The normalized, modeled CPUE trends 318 were regressed to the normalized, underlying population trends. Root mean square errors (RMSEs) 319 320 were estimated using residuals between the population trend and the standardized CPUE to 321 quantify the accuracy of each standardization. Further examination of model fits were estimated 322 using the median absolute relative error (Ono et al., 2015, Online Supplementary Table 1). The 323 average RMSE for all analysts within populations for models with and without environmental 324 variables were compared with a t-test or Mann-Whitney U. The mean standardized trends with 325 and without environmental covariates were plotted using ggplot2 and Hmisc packages (Wickham, 326 2009; Harrell, 2017).

327 **3. Results**

328 3.1 Population 1

329 Population 1 led to the lowest average RMSE of the four populations examined for the model 330 types that included only gear variables and those with environmental variables added (Table 5). The models that contained environmental variables had lower RMSE for all the analysts that 331 332 examined both model types. However, there was no difference between the models that used the 333 environmental models and those that did not (two-sample t (12) =1.49, p=0.16, Table 5). Two 334 general patterns emerged from examining the standardized CPUEs in comparison to the population 335 trends: (1) standardized CPUEs that fluctuated around the true population and (2) an 336 overestimation of population size in the start of the time series and an underestimation beginning in 2002. The five models that underestimated the true values after 2002 did not include hook type 337 338 in their final model. The exception to this trend was analyst 5 who did include hook type in the 339 final model structure. This analyst was also the only one to use a GAM approach.

340 The trends obtained by analysts 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8 exhibited a drop in population size in 2002 that 341 did not occur in the true population trend (Figure). Analyst 1 noted that hook type was not used 342 in the final model as it did not explain more than 5% of the deviance observed. Analyst 2 used the 343 environmental data in a model with only year, guarter and area (SE, NE, SW, NW) as factors and 344 a full model with all possible variables (models environment 1 and 2 respectively, Figure). The 345 simpler model with environmental data had the drop observed in 2002. However, adding the 346 environmental data smoothed the trend out even though hook type was not included. Both versions 347 of the complete model (Gear 2 and Environment 2) had a very close agreement to the true 348 population trend time series.

Both time series obtained by analyst 3 fluctuated around the true population trend as did analyst 6's time series. However, the error was lower for analyst 6. This pattern was also observed in three of analyst 2's models although those standardized trends did not fluctuate around the true population. The RMSE for those three models were the lowest across all models and populations.

Analyst 5's standardized time series also fluctuated around the true population. However, 353 354 starting in 2012, the standardized trend greatly overestimated the true population size. This analyst 355 utilized SAS and incorporated the environmental variables into the final model. The environmental 356 data points did not extend past 2012. Analysts that used these variables truncated the standardized 357 CPUE at 2012 to account for the shorter time series. This was either discovered through an initial 358 exploration of the data or, if R was used as the statistical software, the software automatically 359 excluded records with data, in this case, environmental data. However, analyst 5 used SAS which 360 runs with years that contain missing data but uses the average value of the missing variable; this 361 resulted in predictions for these years diverging from the true values. There are estimated values from the model including environmental effects in the 2013 and 2014, but they are highly 362 363 uncertain. This occurred with all models across the four populations for analyst 5. For comparison 364 purposes to other analysts, the model residuals used in the RMSE analysis were from 1986-2012.

365 *3.2 Population 2*

The population 2 dataset contained a declining population trend and all the analysts were able to capture the decline. In general, the standardized CPUE overestimated the true population size in the earliest years of the dataset. However, in the most recent years, the analysts either accurately estimated or underestimated the true population size. As was observed in population 1, the models with the environmental variables had a non-significant lower average RMSE than those models that did not incorporate the environmental covariates (Mann-Whitney U=18.0, $n_1=6$, $n_2=8$, p=0.49, Table 5). However, whether environmental variables reduced RSME varied by analyst. Models including the environmental variables had a higher RMSE for analysts 1 and 3, but not for analysts2 and 8 (Table 5).

Analyst 1 treated hooks between floats as a factor for population 2 as the relationship between
 HBF and CPUE was not as clear for in population 1. Analyst 8's binomial gear model only
 contained year and area.

The time series obtained from analysts 1, 2, 4, 5 and 8 did not match the true population trend in the earliest years (1986-1993), which corresponded to the highest CPUE values (Figure). In later years, the modeled trends converged on the true population trend for analysts 3, 6 and 7.

Analysts 1, 2, 4, and 8 underestimated the true population size in the most recent years. The time

382 series from analyst 5 followed the true population trend before the extreme values began in 2013.

383 3.3 Population 3

Population 3, which had an increasing population size, had the largest discrepancy between modeled values and the true population values as measured by the RMSE (Table 5). As with populations 1 and 2, the environmental models had a lower error than the gear models, but again the difference was not significant (two-sample t (12) =0.87, p=0.40, Table 5).

The model produced by analysts 1, 2, 4 and 7 overestimated the population size in the earliest 388 389 years and underestimated in the later years (Figure). The environment models for analysts 3, 6 390 and 8 all had very similar patterns, closely following the true population trends from 1986 to 2002 391 and then exhibiting a spike of overestimation in 2008 and again in 2012. The gear models for 392 analysts 1, 2, 7 and 8 underestimated the true population size starting in 2004; the inclusion of 393 environmental variables corrected the underestimation in analyst 8's model, but not for analysts 1 394 and 2. An examination of the mean standardized trends shows an overall overestimation of the 395 earliest years population for both the gear and environmental models and an underestimation of 396 both models beginning in 2004. However, the environmental models track closer to the true 397 population trend (Figure).

398 *3.4 Population 4*

There are results from five analysts for population 4 as opposed to eight for the other populations. This is the result of this dataset being distributed to the analysts later in the study. This dataset represents a fluctuating population with two occurrences of population decline and resurgence. For this population, the gear models had a lower mean RMSE than the environment models, although this was not significant (two-sample t (4) =-0.135, p=0.89, Table 5).

Analyst 6 and 7 were able to track the true population's fluctuations quite well (Figure) while analysts 4 and 8 overestimated population size in the first year and then underestimated population size starting in 2005. Analyst 5 was able to capture the initial population trend quite well before a similar underestimation of the population starting in 2005. The two mean model trends were quite similar from 1986 until 1995, with the environmental model tracking closer to the true population trend from 1995 to 2005. After 2005, both models underestimated the true population with very similar observed patterns (Figure).

411 **4. Discussion**

The aim of this study was to examine some of the methods employed by ICCAT CPC scientists who are routinely tasked with creating indices of abundance for the fisheries they participate in and to determine if these methods were able to reliably capture the underlying population trend in the provided datasets. The results of this work highlight the wide range of standardization approaches taken as a result of each ICCAT member country conducting their own analysis. The 417 strengths of the ICCAT approach is that it is an inclusive process that subjects the analysis to 418 review from other national scientists and allows those that are most knowledgeable about the 419 fisheries to conduct the analyses. However, the weakness of this approach is the use of various 420 methodologies can lead to conflicting CPUE trends that may or may not be reflective of the true 421 biomass. Other tuna regional fishery management organization (tRFMO; e.g., WCPFC - Western 422 and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission) differ from the approach of having each CPC scientist 423 produce standardized CPUE trends and instead utilize the tRFMO Secretariat or the services of 424 other advice bodies, such as SPC (Pacific Community). This leads to consistent standardization 425 techniques applied over different datasets and over time. However, weaknesses of this approach 426 are that it tends to exclude member countries' scientists, and the analysts conducting the analysis may not have the same level of understanding of the fisheries as member country scientists. An 427 428 effective compromise between these differing approaches may involve having the national 429 scientists conduct their own analyses, but with generally consistent and agreed upon methods of 430 standardization.

431 While the analysts' approach to the data and the modeling structure differed, most models were 432 able to capture the underlying population trends well. However, differences in performance highlight the importance of how spatial dimensions are defined, how categorical variables are 433 434 grouped, how continuous variables are modeled and, importantly, the criteria for model selection. 435 The analysts used different area combinations for the spatial structure of their models, some 436 grouping latitude and longitude according to the ICCAT areas for billfish, and others using the raw 1x1° latitude and longitude values. Analyst 6 utilized a regression tree approach, which led to 437 438 different area groupings for each population. Analyst 2 used the spatial domain of the observations 439 to define four areas of equal quadrants based on the magnitude of effort. The variables included 440 in the final model also differed between analysts. Hook type was excluded from the models 441 developed by several of the analysts. Nominal catch rates for population 1 were higher, prior to the switch from J-hooks to circle hooks in 2004 and then were systematically lower than the true 442 443 population CPUE. Models that failed to include hook type often failed to re-create the true 444 population trend. Analyst 8 conducted model selection independently for each population, noting 445 that models did not converge when hook type was included.

446 The addition of environmental variables improved the accuracy of estimates of the population size across all populations with a few exceptions, such as when SAS filled in missing data with 447 448 mean environmental values for analyst 5. The inclusion of these variables in the cases of analyst 1 449 for population 2 and all the populations for analyst 3 resulted in a higher RMSE values and these 450 models did not follow the true population values as well as the models that did not contain the 451 environmental variables. Environmental variables are thought to be good predictors of density of 452 a species in the vicinity of the set and/or hook. Environmental variables that determine suitability 453 of adjacent habitat should improve estimation of CPUE by accounting for differential availability 454 of a species in the vicinity of the set and/or hook. However, given the linear nature of GLM models, suitable transformation of the data (continuous explanatory variables) may be necessary, such as 455 456 polynomials (e.g., SST*SST^2) to mimic species' habitat preference curves. Also, the values of 457 environmental variables at the surface may not be highly correlated with the values at depth that 458 influence species' distributions. Future studies should take advantage of the CESM data outputs at 459 the actual depths where blue marlin and the hooks are located.

While the use of environmental variables increased accuracy, their inclusion also increased the annual CVs compared to the models without the environmental variables (e.g. see CVs for analyst one, Online Supplementary Table 2), likely due to the added requirement of estimating a relatively 463 imprecise relationship between catch rates and SST or DO. In theory, a strong relationship between 464 a species density and environmentally-mediated habitat suitability may exist and is a fundamental 465 part of the species distribution model (Goodyear et al., 2017). However, within the statistical models estimated in this exercise, this relationship is estimated from noisy CPUE data which may 466 lead to relatively imprecise parameter estimates in the models and higher CVs as compared to not 467 468 including SST or DO. Additionally, if there is insufficient contrast in the data to estimate the 469 coefficients related to the environmental predictor variables, the estimates may be very imprecise, 470 and possibly biased. This could be the case with fishery-dependent data where fishers may only 471 fish in good temperature windows so the necessary contrast to estimate a CPUE-SST relationship 472 is missing. Further improvements in the concept of habitat modeling such as occupancy modeling or use of ancillary information from tagging or tracking in the form of Bayesian priors may provide 473 474 improvements in both the accuracy and precision of CPUE-based abundance indices when 475 including environmental data.

476 The inclusion of the environmental variables caused a problem for the SAS-based analyses. 477 Incomplete SST and DO values for the last two years caused the models of analyst 5 to diverge 478 substantially from the true values. Most analysts did not, or their software packages could not, 479 estimate the year effects for the years with the missing environmental variables. The SAS models 480 converged, but estimates for the last two years were incorrect. This situation highlights the problem 481 that missing data creates for CPUE standardization. Environmental data such as SST, DO, etc. are 482 likely to be missing, due to either not being recorded, or, if assigned based on satellite 483 oceanography, missing due to cloud cover. Hence missing data are commonplace and the model results can depend upon how the missing data are treated. It is therefore critical to examine a priori 484 485 whether missing data exists and to decide how it is going to be treated rather than allowing software 486 to use default settings.

487 The poor performance of some models implies that standard model selection criteria such as 488 those based on either a 1 or 5% reduction in deviance per degree of freedom can often fail to select key factors, in this case, hooks between floats or hook type, that affected catchability. Hook type 489 490 had a substantial impact on CPUE in the true populations. Hook type in the fishery changed as a 491 result of regulations from J-hooks to circle hooks in 2004. This shift in hook type resulted in a 492 substantial decrease in the nominal CPUE relative to the true populations and was manifest in all 493 of the four populations. Unfortunately, the knife-edge change in hook type meant that the years 494 pre- and post-2004 and hook type did not overlap, causing hook type not to be selected using 495 deviance explained. This result illustrates model selection methods based only on reduction in 496 deviance may be prone to error regarding factor exclusion and that analysts should err on the side 497 of keeping factors in the models. This is particularly the case if *a priori* exploratory analyses or 498 knowledge of the fishery indicate that the variable could affect CPUE, which is surely the case 499 with hook type or hooks between floats. Ortiz and Arocha (2004) found that variables that 500 explained more than 5% of total deviance were generally significant according to likelihood ratio tests, which supports the use of 5% deviance explained in model selection. However, this selection 501 502 method supports models with fewer variables than the AIC and BIC, which frequently include 503 variables that are not significant in the best models. It should be noted that model selection criteria 504 such as AIC and BIC supported including hook type. These methods of model selection have a 505 better theoretical basis than *ad hoc* methods such as deviance explained, so more frequent use of 506 them is warranted (Gelman et al., 2014). Our results indicate that these more complex models were 507 better at predicting the overall trend, supporting the use of information criteria rather than deviance 508 explained in CPUE standardization. While including many variables in a model may result in 509 decreased model performance such as failed convergence, requiring selection of a subset of 510 variables, most fishery-dependent CPUE standardization data sets have very high sample sizes 511 relative to the number of model factors so over-parameterization is rarely a concern.

512 Residual patterns emerging from the model fits to population 2 (the decreasing population) 513 were a possible indication of high collinearity between the year effect and at least one other 514 estimated parameter. Direct knowledge of the fishery and proper a priori examination of the raw 515 data was critical in realizing the true population trend was correlated with hooks between floats in 516 post hoc analysis. As the true population declined, the average depth of hooks increased. Strong 517 collinearity between the year effect and other parameters can lead to confounding in parameter 518 estimates and thus an inability of the model to distinguish between the correlated trends and thus 519 produce an accurate estimate of the true population trend. However, this association could not have 520 been detected without knowledge of the true population trend. Thus, collinearity between factors 521 and the year effect needs to be inferred rather than detected by a means dependent on knowledge 522 of the true population trend.

523 Three analysts modeled the population with several year×factor interaction terms, which cause 524 problems for interpretation of strict year effects (Maunder and Punt, 2004). Certain non-year 525 interactions, such as month×area or area×season could be manifestations of the migratory behavior 526 of blue marlin. The month factor signifies something different in a northern region than in a 527 southern region, which is straightforward to explain. In contrast, interactions with year are harder 528 to explain, and represent a potential confounding of the abundance signal with another model 529 factor, such as gear changes or environment.

530 A common approach when year×factor interactions are significant is to model them as random 531 effects as was done by several analysts. Unfortunately, modeling year×factor interactions as 532 random effects can lead to several problems. First, random year×factor interactions can affect the parameter estimates for other variables. Second, it is important to plot year×factor parameter 533 534 estimates and their standard errors to determine if they are actually random and not showing trends with respect to either year or the other variable in the interaction. Given the potential for serial 535 536 depletion (Walters, 2003) or range shifts in populations due to climatic factors and the high 537 probability of models finding spurious year×factor interactions, plots of the interaction terms 538 provide critical information about patterns in these interactions. Truly random interactions would 539 look random or would fail to reject a test of randomness. Significant interactions could exist as a 540 single outlier year, which might not merit modeling or substantially trended interactions with year 541 which require additional considerations as to why the population signal differs with different 542 values of another factor. While several analysts used interaction terms, the interactions did not 543 consistently improve the accuracy of the estimated trends. Future studies employing a factorial 544 design to specifically compare different model types will further explore the use of interaction 545 terms.

546 Several of the results point to problems in current CPUE standardization approaches. The 547 different performance of standardization methods, and the different performance with different 548 methods for defining geographical areas raise some concerns about the ability of models to 549 estimate population trends. Using an adaptive area partitioning method, Analyst 6 estimated 550 different spatial partitionings for each population, even though each population had the same 551 model factors operating and the same spatial structure. This indicates a possible dependence 552 between the population trend and the estimation of the model parameters other than the year effect 553 which is intended to capture the trend. It may be possible to diagnose adverse correlation between 554 year and other factors by examining variance inflation factors (VIFs) or by examining the 555 covariance between 'year' and other model coefficients. High VIF or high covariance with year 556 indicate that the model cannot separate the abundance trend from a trend in other model factors.

557 **5. Conclusions**

576

558 This study with simulated longline datasets sought to determine if standardization methods 559 used by the ICCAT CPCs scientists can routinely capture underlying population trends from 560 fishery-dependant CPUE data and to derive a set of 'best practices'. Overall, despite the diversity 561 of distributional assumptions, model selection methods, software and treatments of variables, most 562 models were able to capture the underlying population trends. The inclusive stock assessment practice utilized by ICCAT allows the scientists most familiar with the specific, regional fleet to 563 564 develop standardized CPUE time series that are then used as proxies for relative abundance trends 565 in the stock assessment models. The downside to this practice is the wide variation in methodology, which may contribute to conflicting trends for the same species, and may be an artefact of 566 567 standardization methodology rather than a true difference in signal between datasets. Thus, it is 568 important that standardization methods be reviewed carefully before indices are used in 569 assessment, and that multiple methods be applied to the same datasets to identify whether 570 estimated trends differ with standardization methodology.

571 This exercise highlights that there are several problems with some of the *status quo* 572 approaches that warrant further exploration: unknown correlations between model factors and the 573 year effect that can confound estimation of the population signal, the usefulness of standard model 574 selection criterion to choose the correct models, and the dangers posed by missing data depending 575 upon how a modeling platform deals with it.

As a result of this work, we have developed a set of lessons learned:

- 577 1) Priority of variable inclusion or exclusion should be based on a first principles knowledge 578 of the fishery and the historical management measures that have taken place. If known 579 changes in the fishery have occurred (e.g., changes in legal retained size, geographic 580 distribution of fish and/fishery, changes in gear type) then these variables should be given the highest consideration for inclusion, whether or not model diagnostics support their 581 inclusion. Alternatively, in cases where such variables cannot be accommodated in the 582 statistical models due to technical issues, the CPUE series may have to be split and modeled 583 584 as several independent time series to reflect those unaccounted changes in catchability.
- A priori evaluation of model balance across factor combinations over time and plots of
 CPUE time series by model factors are absolutely critical to determining which model
 factors are important or missing. This procedure would have captured the knife-edge switch
 in hook types in 2004 and the missing environmental data.
- 589 3) Evaluation of multiple-collinearity of model variables with the year factor is essential.
 590 Strong collinearity with the year effect results in a GLM not being able to distinguish
 591 between inter-annual changes in abundance and those in the correlated variable.
- 4) Embrace divergence of the nominal CPUE from the standardized model estimate. Often,
 the observation is made that the standardized trend diverges from the nominal as a
 shortcoming against the model selected. The lack of divergence between nominal and
 standardized trends is often used as a *post hoc* diagnostic of model performance. In the
 examples within this study, the only way to have obtained the correct estimate of the true
 population was to depart substantially from the nominal trend.

598 Acknowledgements

599 C. P. Goodyear's contribution to this research was supported by The Billfish Foundation. F. C. 600 Forrestal's and E. Babcock's contribution was supported by the NOAA Southeast Fisheries 601 Science Center via the University of Miami Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric 602 Science. R. Coelho research is supported by an *Investigador*-FCT contract (Ref: IF/00253/2014) 603 from FCT, the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology. The authors wish to thank 604 Sang-ki Lee and Yanyun Liu of NOAA Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory for gathering and compiling the oceanographic data. We also wish to thank Guillermo Diaz and 605 606 Allison Shideler for gathering and compiling the US longline effort data. Declarations of interest: 607 none.

608 References

- Ambler, G., Benner, A., 2015. mfp: Multivariable Fractional Polynomials. R package version
 1.5.2. Available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mfp
- Anon., 2012. Report of the 2011 Blue Marlin stock assessment and white marlin data preparatory
 meeting. Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, ICCAT 68(4), 1273-1386. www.iccat.int.
- Bates, D., Machler, M. Boker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
 lme4. J. Stat. Soft. 67, 1-48.
- Bigelow, K.A., Boggs, C.H., He, X., 1999. Environmental effects on swordfish and blue shark
 catch rates in the US North Pacific longline fishery. Fish. Oceanogr. 8, 178–198.
- Block, B. A., Booth, D. T., Carey, F. G., 1992. Depth and temperature of the blue marlin, *Makaira nigricans*, observed by acoustic telemetry. Mar. Biol. 114, 175-183.
- Brill, R.W., 1994. A review of temperature and oxygen tolerance studies of tunas pertinent to
 fisheries oceanography, movement models and stock assessments. Fish. Oceanogr. 3, 204–
 216.
- Brown, D., 1992. A Graphical Analysis of Deviance. J Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. Stat. 41(1),
 55-62.
- 624 Campbell, R.A., 2015. Constructing stock abundance indices from catch and effort data: Some nuts and bolts. Fish. Res. 161, 109-130.
- 626 Campbell, R.A., 2016. A new spatial framework incorporating uncertain stock and fleet dynamics
 627 for estimating fish abundance. Fish Fish. 17, 56-77.
- Chang, Y. J., Sun, C. L., Chen, Y., Yeh, S. Z., DiNardo, G., 2012. Habitat suitability analysis and
 identification of potential fishing grounds for swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, in the South Atlantic
 Ocean. International J. Remote Sens. 33, 7523-7541.
- 631 Chang, Y. J., Sun, C. L., Chen, Y., Yeh, S. Z., DiNardo, G., Su, N.-J., 2013. Modelling the impacts
 632 of environmental variation on the habitat suitability of swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, in the
 633 equatorial Atlantic Ocean. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 70, 1000-1012.
- Danabasoglu, G., Bates, S.C., Briegleb, B.P., Jayne, S.R., Jochum, M., Large, W.G., Peacock, S.,
 Yeager, S.G., 2012. The CCSM4 ocean component. J. Clim. 25, 1361–1389.
- 636 Dunn, P.K., Smyth, G.K., 2005. Series evaluation of Tweedie exponential dispersion models. Stat.
 637 Comp. 15(4): 267-280.
- Dunn, P. K., Smyth, G. K., 2008. Evaluation of Tweedie exponential dispersion models using
 Fourier inversion. Stat. Comput. 18(1), 73-86.
- 640 Cramer, J., 1993. Large Pelagic Logbook Newsletter. NOAA technical memorandum NMFS 641 SEFSC 322. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/8716,
- 642 Fournier, D.A., Skaug, H.J., Ancheta, J., Ianelli, J., Magnusson, A., Maunder, M., Nielsen, A.,
- 643 Sibert, J., 2012. AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of
- highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. Optim. Methods Softw., 27, 233-249.

- 645 Forrestal, F.C., Goodyear, C.P., and Schirripa, M.J., (in press). Applications of the longline 646 simulator (LLSIM) using US pelagic longline logbook data and Atlantic blue marlin. Fish. 647 Res.
- 648 Gelman, A., Hwang, J., Vehtari, A., 2014. Understanding predictive information criteria for 649 Bayesian models. Stat. Comput. 24, 997-1016.
- 650 Goodman, L. A., 1960. On the exact variance of products. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 55(292), 708-713.
- Goodyear, C.P., 2016. Modeling the time-varying density distribution of highly migratory species: 651 652 Atlantic blue marlin as an example. Fish. Res. 183, 469-481.
- Goodyear, C.P., Schirripa. M., Forrestal, F., 2017. Longline data simulation: a paradigm for 653 654 improving CPUE standardization. Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT 74(2), 379-390. www.iccat.int.
- 655 Harrell Jr, F., E., 2017. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. R package version 4.0-3. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=Hmisc 656
- 657 Hirzel, A. H., Lay, G. L., 2008. Habitat suitability modelling and niche theory. J. Appl. Ecol. 45, 658 1372–138.
- 659 ICCAT. 2016. ICCAT Manual. International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna. 660 In:ICCAT Publications [on-line]. http://www.iccat.int/en/ICCATManual.asp.
- 661 Ichinokawa, M., Brodziak, J., 2010. Using adaptive area stratification to standardize catch rates 662 with application to North Pacific swordfish (Xiphias gladius). Fish. Res. 106, 249-260.
- 663 Lenth, R.V., 2016. Least-Squares Means: The R Package Ismeans. J. Stat. Softw., 69(1), 1-33.
- 664 Littell, R. C., Milliken, G., Stroup, W.W., 1996. SAS system for mixed models, SAS Institute, Inc., 665 Carv. NC.
- Lo, N.C.H., Jacobson, L.D., Squire, J. L., 1992. Indexes of relative abundance from fish spotter 666 667 data based on delta-lognormal models. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 49, 2515-2526.
- 668 Long, M.C., Lindsay, K., Peacock, S., Moore, J.K., Doney, S.C., 2013. Twentieth-century 669
- oceanic carbon uptake and storage in CESM1 (BGC). J. Clim. 26, 6775-6800.
- 670 Maunder M.N., Punt A.E., 2004. Standardizing catch and effort data: a review of recent approaches. Fish. Res. 70, 141-159. 671
- 672 Maunder M.N., Sibert J.R., Fonteneau A., Hampton J., Kleiber P., Harley S.J., 2006. Interpreting 673 catch per unit effort data to assess the status of individual stocks and communities. ICES J. 674 Mar. Sci. 63, 1373-1385.
- 675 Ono, K., Punt, A.E., Hilborn, R., 2015. Think outside the grids: An objective approach to define spatial strata for catch and effort analysis. Fish. Res. 170, 89-101. 676
- 677 Ortiz, M., Arocha, F., 2004. Alternative error distribution models for standardization of catch rates 678 of non-target species from a pelagic longline fishery: billfish species in the Venezuelan tuna 679 longline fishery. Fish. Res. 70, 275-297.
- 680 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., R Core Team, 2017. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear 681 Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-131. https://CRAN.R-682 project.org/package=nlme.
- Robinson, L. M., Hobday, A. J., Possingham, H. P., Richardson, A. J., 2015. Trailing edges 683 684 projected to move faster than leading edges for large pelagic fish habitats under climate change. 685 Deep Sea Res. Part 2 Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 113, 225-234.
- Royston, P., Altman, D.G., 1994. Regression using fractional polynomials of continuous 686 687 covariates: parsimonious parametric modelling. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. Stat. 43(3), 429-688 467.
- 689 Schlotzhauer, S., Littell, R., 1997. SAS System for Elementary Statistical Analysis, Second 690 Edition. SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, NC.

- 691 Stramma, L., Prince, E.D., Schmidtko, S., Luo, J., Hoolihan, J.P., Vesbeck, M., Wallace,
 692 D.W.R., Brandt, P., Kortzinger A., 2012. Expansion of oxygen minimum zones may
 693 reduce available habitat for tropical pelagic fishes. Nat. Clim. Change, 2(1), 33-37.
- 694 Venables, V. N., Ripley, B. D., 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S. 4th Edition. Springer.
- Walters, C., 2003. Folly and fantasy in the analysis of spatial catch rate data. Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
 Sci. 60(12), 1433-1436.
- 697 Wickham, H., 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- 698
- 699

700

701 Figure captions

- 702
- 703 **Figure 1.** Locations of simulated fishing sets for all years (1986-2015).

Figure 2. Standardized trends for population 1 for all analysts. Environment lines signify that one or two environemntal terms were incldued in the final model. Gear models contain only variables are associated with gear type and that factors or variables that are traditionally contained in CPUE standardization models. Population is the true population trend.

- 708 **Figure 3**. As for Figure 2, except for population 2.
- **Figure 4.** As for Figure 2, except for population 3.
- Figure 5. As for Figure 2, except for population 4. Note results are only shown for five of the analysts.
- 712 Figure 6. Mean standardized trends for all analysts. Shading surrounding lines is the standardized
- rror around the mean.

714

715 Tables

716

717 Table 1. Available variables to the analysts, if they were categorical or continous and the levels or

718 range included. Latitude and longitude in one $^{\circ}$ cells, HBF=hooks between floats, SST ($^{\circ}$ C) = sea 719 surface temperature, DO (mg/L) = surface dissolved oxygen.

Variable	Туре	Range
Year	Categorical	1986-2015
Month	Categorical	1-12
Lat.	Continuous	-30°S-53°N
Long.	Continuous	-95°W-15°E
HBF	Categorical	2-6
Hook	Categorical	1-4
Bait	Categorical	1-4
Lights	Categorical	0-3
SST	Continuous	2-31
DO	Continuous	4-8

720

Table 2. Model format for each analyst. The method used to select the variables within the final model structure are listed under "Criteria" (AIC=Akaike information criterion; BIC=Bayesian information criterion; LRT=Likelihood ratio test). The column "Environment" denotes if environmental variables were included in the final model, if "Both", then the analyst conducted two standardizations, one with the environmental variable and one without.

726

Analyst	Model	Program	Criteria	Environment
One	Delta Lognormal GLMM	R	5% deviance explained	Both
Two	Negative Binomial GLM	R	5% deviance explained	Both
Three	Delta Gamma GLM	R	First principles, AIC	Both
Four	Delta Lognormal GAM	SAS	None	Yes
Five	Delta Lognormal GLMM	SAS	AIC, BIC, χ^2	Yes
Six	Tweedie GLM	R	LRT, AIC, pseudo R ²	Yes
Seven	Delta Lognormal GLM	R	5% deviance explained	No
Eight	Delta Lognormal GLM	SAS	5% deviance explained/df	Yes

727

Table 3. Final model selection for analysts using the delta modeling approach. If analysts used the same final model for each population, only one model is listed for that analyst. Fixed effects are shown in plain text and random effects in bold. HBF is hooks between floats, DO is dissolved oxygen, and SST is sea surface temperature. See text for details on how each analyst defined each variable.

Analy st	Populati ons	Presence	Abundance			
One	All	year+HBF+area+season+ year×area+area×season	year+HBF+area			
One	All	year+HBF+area+season+SST	year+HBF+area			
Three	All	year+HBF+area+month+hook+bait+light	year+HBF+area+month+hook+bait+light			
Three	All	year+HBF+area+month+hook+bait+light+SST	year+HBF+area+month+hook+bait+light+SST			
Four	All	SST+hooks+lat+lon+DO+light+HBF+month+year+	SST+hooks+lat+lon+DO+light+HBF+month+year+bait+			
	7 111	bait+hook	hook			
Five	All	year+area+season+HBF+hook+light+bait+STT+D		veartareatceason_HRF_hook_light_hait_SST_DO_vearyareatvearyseason_v		
		vearxseason+vearxHRF+vearxhait+vearxlight+s	earxHBF+vearxhait			
		eason×hook				
Seven	1	year+area+HBF+ year×month+year×area	year+month+area+ year×month			
Seven	2-4	year+area+hook+HBF	year+month+area			
Eight	1	year+month+bait+HBF+area	year+light+hook+HBF+area			
Eight	1	year+month+bait+HBF+area+DO+SST ²	year+light+hook+HBF+area			
Eight	2	year+area	year+light+hook+HBF+area			
Eight	2	year+month+bait+HBF+area+DO	year+month+area			
Eight	3	year+month+area	year+light+hook+HBF+area			
Eight	3	year+month+light+hook+bait+area+DO+SST ²	year+month+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+DO+SST ²			
Eight	4	year+month+HBF+area+bait	year+light+hook+HBF+area			
Eight	4	year+mont+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+DO+SST ²	year+month+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+DO+SST ²			

Analyst	Population	Final Model
Two (1)	All	year+quarter+area+offset(ln(hooks))
Two (1)	All	year+season+area+SST+offset(ln(hooks))
Two (2)	All	year+season+area+gear+light+HBF+hook+bait+offset(ln(hooks))
Two (2)	All	year+season+area+gear+light+HBF+hook+bait+SST+offset(ln(hooks))
Six	1	year+month+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+SST ³ +SST ³ *log(SST)+log(DO)+DO ^{0.5}
Six	2	year+month+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+SST ³ +SST ³ *log(SST)+DO ³ +DO ³ *log(DO)
Six	3	year+month+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+SST ³ +SST ³ *log(SST)+DO ³ +DO ³ *log(DO)
Six	4	year+month+light+hook+bait+HBF+area+SST ³ +SST ³ *log(SST)+DO ⁻² +DO ⁻² *log(DO)

Table 4. Final model selection for analysts using negative binomial (Two) and Tweedie approaches (Six). All variables were fixed effects. See text for how each analyst defined each variable.

	Population 1		Population 2		Population 3		Population 4	
	Gear	Enviro.	Gear	Enviro.	Gear	Enviro.	Gear	Enviro.
Analyst 1	0.288	0.252	0.193	0.271	0.327	0.274		
Analyst 2 (1)	0.157	0.016	0.339	0.304	0.422	0.417		
Analyst 2 (2)	0.016	0.016	0.349	0.304	0.420	0.417		
Analyst 3	0.083	0.101	0.101	0.129	0.105	0.146		
Analyst 4		0.238		0.169		0.272		0.229
Analyst 5		0.284		0.204		0.499		0.323
Analyst 6		0.086		0.104		0.122		0.102
Analyst 7	0.235		0.110		0.333		0.195	
Analyst 8	0.277	0.255	0.345	0.132	0.281	0.121	0.266	0.461
Mean	0.176	0.156	0.240	0.202	0.315	0.284	0.231	0.279
SE	0.045	0.040	0.049	0.029	0.048	0.052	0.036	0.076

Table 5. Root mean square errors for model fits to the true population trends.