Western University Scholarship@Western

Electrical and Computer Engineering Publications

Electrical and Computer Engineering Department

2021

A Systematic Review of Convolutional Neural Network-Based Structural Condition Assessment Techniques

Sandeep Sony Western University

Kyle Dunphy Western University

Ayan Sadhu Western University, asadhu@uwo.ca

Miriam A M Capretz Western University, mcapretz@uwo.ca

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/electricalpub

Part of the Civil and Environmental Engineering Commons, Computer Engineering Commons, and the Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons

Citation of this paper:

Sony, Sandeep; Dunphy, Kyle; Sadhu, Ayan; and Capretz, Miriam A M, "A Systematic Review of Convolutional Neural Network-Based Structural Condition Assessment Techniques" (2021). *Electrical and Computer Engineering Publications*. 184. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/electricalpub/184 1 2

A Systematic Review of Convolutional Neural Network-Based Structural Condition Assessment Techniques

3

Sandeep Sony¹, Kyle Dunphy², Ayan Sadhu³, and Miriam Capretz⁴

⁴ ¹PhD Student, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Western University.

⁵ ²MSc Student, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Western University.

³Corresponding Author: Assistant Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
 Western University, London, Ontario, Canada. Email: asadhu@uwo.ca.

vestern eniversity, London, ondiro, edilada. Eniun. asadna e awo.ed.

⁴Professor, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Western University.

9 Abstract

With recent advances in non-contact sensing technology such as cameras, unmanned aerial and 10 11 ground vehicles, the structural health monitoring (SHM) community has witnessed a prominent growth in deep learning-based condition assessment techniques of structural systems. These deep 12 13 learning methods rely primarily on convolutional neural networks (CNNs). The CNN networks are trained using a large number of datasets for various types of damage and anomaly detection 14 and post-disaster reconnaissance. The trained networks are then utilized to analyze newer data to 15 detect the type and severity of the damage, enhancing the capabilities of non-contact sensors in 16 17 developing autonomous SHM systems. In recent years, a broad range of CNN architectures has been developed by researchers to accommodate the extent of lighting and weather conditions, the 18 19 quality of images, the amount of background and foreground noise, and multiclass damage in the 20 structures. This paper presents a detailed literature review of existing CNN-based techniques in 21 the context of infrastructure monitoring and maintenance. The review is categorized into multiple 22 classes depending on the specific application and development of CNNs applied to data obtained 23 from a wide range of structures. The challenges and limitations of the existing literature are 24 discussed in detail at the end, followed by a brief conclusion on potential future research directions of CNN in structural condition assessment. 25

26 Keywords: Structural health monitoring, artificial intelligence, deep learning, CNN, damage

27 detection, anomaly detection, structural condition assessment.

Sony, S., Dunphy, K., Sadhu, A., & Capretz, M. A systematic review of convolutional neural network-based structural condition assessment techniques. Engineering Structures, 226, 111347.

28	Table 1. List of acronyms.			
29	Acronym	Description		
20	AdaBoost	Adaptive Boosting		
50	AE	Auto Encoder		
31	CNN	Convolutional Neural Network		
32	DBN	Deep Belief Network		
52	DBM	Deep Boltzmann Machine		
33	DL	Deep Learning		
34	FCN	Fully Convolutional Network		
-	kNN	k-nearest Neighbor		
35	ML	Machine Learning		
36	NN	Neural Network		
	ReLU	Rectified Linear Unit		
37	ResNet	Residual Network		
38	R-CNN	Regional Convolutional Neural Network		
20	RNN	Recurrent Neural Networks		
39	ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristic		
40	SHM	Structural Health Monitoring		
<i>A</i> 1	SVM	Support Vector Machine		
	TL	Transfer Learning		
42 VGG		Visual Geometry Group		

1. Introduction

44 Structural health monitoring (SHM) offers emerging and powerful diagnostic tools for damage
45 detection, maintenance, life-cycle cost reduction, and rapid disaster management for structures

(Cawley 2018). Most of these techniques rely on dynamic measurements that require installation 46 of contact sensors such as accelerometers, strain gauges, fiber optic sensors, and ultrasonic wave 47 sensors, which have high installation costs. With the recent development of next-generation 48 sensors (Sony et al. 2019; Dabous and Feroz 2020) such as digital and high-speed cameras, 49 unmanned ground vehicles (UGVs), and mobile sensors, there has been a radical shift to non-50 contact sensing techniques in SHM. They are easier to deploy, less labor-intensive, and more cost-51 effective, enabling more reliable data acquisition from structures with high-resolution temporal 52 53 and spatial information (Lattanzi and Miller 2017; Almasri et al. 2020). However, unlike traditional contact sensors, non-contact sensors yield images and videos that require significant 54 advances in robotics, image processing, computer vision, and deep learning algorithms, where 55 structural engineers still face several challenges. In recent years, the SHM researchers have 56 57 explored artificial intelligence techniques to solve these challenges and successfully achieve novel autonomous and intelligent inspection strategies using the non-contact and robotic devices. This 58 59 research not only accelerates monitoring and maintenance tasks for the infrastructure owners but also allows accurate early-stage defect detection to prevent any catastrophic structural failure in 60 61 the future. Moreover, the research advancement in this area enables improved structural maintenance with minimal human errors, lower costs, and higher accuracy, providing an end-to-62 63 end system to the infrastructure owners. This research has resulted in numerous publications in top-notch structural engineering journals. The main objective of this paper is to provide a 64 65 systematic review of recent convolutional neural network (a subset of deep learning methods)-66 based techniques that have been widely developed in the context of non-contact sensing-based SHM. 67

A non-contact sensor such as a camera, where each pixel is effectively a sensor, can remotely 68 collect a large amount of data from a structure. The challenge is then to interpret these images or 69 70 videos for decision-making in SHM. Since the last decade, the SHM community has seen significant development in various image-processing algorithms that have enhanced the 71 72 capabilities of non-contact sensors to undertake structural condition assessment. For example, Jahanshahi et al. (2009) reviewed various image processing techniques that were explored for the 73 74 detection of missing or deformed members, cracks, and corrosion in various structures. A suite of 75 image-based crack acquisition, processing, and interpretation techniques specifically for asphalt pavement was presented by Zakeri et al. (2017). Along similar lines, Koch et al. (2015) presented 76

a comprehensive summary of various image processing techniques that have been used to identify
damage patterns in concrete bridges, tunnels, pipes, and pavement. Recently, Mohan and Poobal
(2018) reviewed various image processing techniques for detecting cracks in concrete surfaces and
concluded that the direction of the crack was crucial to the ability to detect and quantify the size
of cracks.

82 Overall, existing image processing methods extract features from images using various edges or boundary detection techniques such as the fast Haar transform, Canny filter, Sobel edge detector, 83 84 morphological detectors, template matching, background subtraction, and texture recognition 85 methods. However, these methods often result in ill-posed problems due to disturbances created 86 by environmental conditions such as light, distortion, weather, shade, and occlusion in outdoor civil structures (Lee et al. 2014). The SHM community has recently focused on overcoming these 87 challenges using various computer vision and artificial intelligence (AI) techniques due to their 88 89 reduced sensitivity to external disturbances and feature selection. Salehi and Burgueno (2018) 90 reviewed a suite of various artificial intelligence (AI) methods that have recently been used in 91 structural engineering. The authors showed the recent trend of AI-assisted research towards pattern recognition and machine learning-based automated data-driven methods. The relative merits and 92 drawbacks of various AI methods were discussed in the context of various structural engineering 93 applications. This paper reviews CNN-based deep learning techniques with a specific focus on the 94 implementation of non-contact sensor-based SHM. 95

Although AI is a broad area of research covering various engineering disciplines, machine learning 96 97 (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques are the two most popular branches of AI that have been heavily explored in SHM research. ML algorithms are trained on a wide variety of data, and the 98 accuracy of the algorithms improves with more data. The purpose of training is to optimize the 99 error along the dimensions of the dataset using optimization functions such as a loss function or 100 objective function and to obtain the best prediction results for test data. However, ML algorithms 101 need features that are obtained from different image processing methods and are fed into different 102 classifiers. Depending on the application, a suitable choice of features and classifiers is essential 103 104 to identify anomalies from the images.

Ying *et al.* (2013) reviewed various ML-based SHM algorithms for isolating structural damage to
 steel pipes from environmental factors. Recently, another review paper written by Feng and Feng

(2018) provided an intensive literature review of state-of-the-art computer vision techniques using 107 vision-based displacement sensors that were implemented for SHM. Most of these methods were 108 109 based on template matching algorithms that extracted displacement time-histories from videos and images. The authors discussed various challenges of displacement extraction from videos obtained 110 from 2D and 3D measurements and from artificial or natural targets, as well as their real-time and 111 preprocessing applications. In particular, Gomes et al. (2018) presented a comprehensive review 112 of intelligent computational tools available for damage detection and system identification, with a 113 114 specific emphasis on composite structures. More recently, state-of-the-art vision-based structural condition assessment techniques using computer vision and ML algorithms were reviewed by 115 Spencer et al. (2019). The challenges associated with static and dynamic measurement techniques 116 were discussed, along with future directions of automated and improved decision-making methods 117 118 for SHM. Overall, it can be concluded from the literature that ML methods rely heavily on feature extraction, followed by the application of suitable classifiers. These methods can manage small 119 120 anomaly datasets, but may not be adequate for full-scale civil structures such as buildings, bridges, dams, pipelines, and wind turbines where crack patterns are complex and irregular (Yao et al. 121 122 2014).

Unlike ML, DL-based AI methods automatically extract features and eliminate the need for 123 manual feature extraction. Therefore, DL can differentiate among a large number of classes, and 124 125 this capability has been recently explored for damage evaluation in structures. DL algorithms are based on vast sets of labeled data and require high computational performance and memory 126 requirements. The term "deep" refers to the large number of layers that exist between the raw 127 image input and the final classification output used in a network. Convolutional neural networks 128 (CNNs), which are a popular class of DL methods, have been successfully used since their 129 breakthrough in the 2012 ImageNet challenge due to their ability to extract features automatically. 130 131 This has enabled automatic and optimized feature extraction to become part of the classifier learning process, which, however, does not compromise its optimality or the accuracy of crack 132 133 identification. In particular, Bao et al. (2019) briefly reviewed improved SHM techniques that explored various data science, computer vision, DL, and ML methods. It was concluded that the 134 application of DL, ML, and computer vision techniques made it possible to extract pertinent data 135 136 from noisy measurement databases with damage signatures and to analyze them without requiring any predefined classifiers. Zhao et al. (2015) and Lei et al. (2020) summarized various ML and 137

DL techniques and their applications that are specific to machine health monitoring. It was concluded that DL techniques were the most effective because they are not restricted to specific machine types and involve minimal human intervention. Recently, Ye *et al.* (2019) provided a general survey and overview of various DL techniques in the context of SHM. Considering the intensity of CNN-based literature in the field of infrastructure monitoring, this paper is intended to provide a systematic review of standalone CNN-based literature that is specific to structural condition assessment.

145 The key objectives of this review paper are as follows:

146 1. To review CNN-specific papers that have been recently explored for structural condition 147 assessment, with a specific focus on structural damage and anomaly detection. Similar to 148 the condition monitoring of machines, there has been a significant trend towards using 149 CNN to undertake local damage assessment and anomaly detection in large-scale civil 150 structures. The primary objective of this paper is to conduct a detailed survey of emerging 151 CNN-based SHM papers and to provide a comprehensive review of more than one hundred 152 papers that have been recently published on this topic.

- 153
 2. To compare existing CNN-based solutions and best practices to address the challenges of
 154 infrastructure monitoring and maintenance, which would provide valuable opportunities
 155 and guidance to future engineers and researchers to adopt the most relevant CNN
 156 architecture depending on their applications.
- To provide a perspective on CNN-based methods in the domain of SHM that would
 facilitate valuable feature selection and anomaly detection methodologies in other areas of
 structural engineering and the broader field of civil engineering.
- 4. To provide the key challenges of the current literature and identify the potential future
 research directions of the CNN-based research in structural condition assessment.

162 This paper is structured as follows. A brief overview of various DL methods and CNN techniques 163 is presented first. Next, the details of various CNN-based condition assessment techniques and 164 their recent applications in structural condition assessment are presented. Different hybrid methods 165 based on CNN are then presented, followed by key conclusions and discussions.

- 166
- 167

168 2. Preliminaries of Deep Learning Methods

Non-contact sensing techniques (Sony et al. 2019; Dabous and Feroz 2020) and computer vision 169 (Feng and Feng 2018; Spencer et al. 2019; Dick et al. 2019) have opened up a new era of next-170 171 generation autonomous SHM and inspection of large-scale structures. These sensors result in 172 images and videos, requiring AI techniques to analyze complex input-output relationships of the training data and develop predictive models. The trained predictive models are then used for 173 damage classification, localization, and prediction from the new measurement data of a wide range 174 175 of structures. The objective of this paper is to review CNN-based SHM papers that have been 176 published in the specific context of structural condition assessment. A brief background on DL 177 methods is presented next, followed by a detailed background on CNN techniques.

DL algorithms have an adaptable nature similar to the human brain. These algorithms become 178 179 more accurate as more training data are provided to them. DL models can simultaneously learn 180 representation and decision rules from the data, like the biological organisms by which they are inspired. DL methods have multiple layers of non-linear transformations. For example, a raw 181 image dataset that is fed through any DL architecture passes through several layers. Each layer, 182 starting with the input layer, improves the identification of the dataset with subsequent layers, and 183 184 eventually produces a classification or identification at the output layer (Lee et al. 2018). The most prominent aspect of DL is that these layers are not designed by engineers, but rather are learned 185 from the data using a general-purpose learning procedure (LeCun et al. 2015). The advantage of 186 DL is that it requires minimal user intervention, which has attracted various interdisciplinary 187 researchers to use it for a wide range of applications such as object detection, classification, and 188 189 segmentation.

In the context of SHM, DL can be used for damage detection in three ways: (a) classification, i.e., labeling an image as damaged or undamaged, (b) localization, i.e., locating the regions where damage exists using bounding boxes and identifying their coordinates, (c) segmentation, i.e., segmenting the pixels of an image into damaged and undamaged pixels (e.g., labeling of all pixels). In the last few years, several methods have been developed, including, but not limited to, the audio signal, time-series, video, and natural language datasets. DL methods (Goodfellow et al. 2016) have several variants such as Auto Encoders (AEs), Deep Belief Networks (DBNs), Deep Boltzmann Machines (DBMs), Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), and Convolutional NeuralNetworks (CNNs).

199 The AE algorithm is used to learn data coding in an unsupervised manner to create a representation 200 for a dataset by dimensionality reduction, ignoring the noise in the dataset (Vincent *et al.* 2008). DBN is a probabilistic generative model composed of multiple layers of stochastic and latent 201 202 variables. If the number of units in the highest layer is small, DBN performs nonlinear dimensionality reduction and can learn short binary codes that enable very fast retrieval of 203 204 datasets (Hinton et al. 2006). DBM is a type of binary pairwise Markov random field with multiple 205 layers of hidden random variables. Similarly to DBN, DBM can learn a complex and abstract internal representation of the input dataset using a limited amount of labeled data (Salakhutdinov 206 and Hinton 2009). RNNs are designed and tested for sequential data, typically for application in 207 208 dynamic systems such as time-series or speech and language. RNNs are the deepest of all neural 209 networks and can generate memories of arbitrary sequences of input patterns (Funahashi and 210 Nakamura 1993). However, CNNs require less statistical and probabilistic expertise to run and to 211 infer the dataset and results, which makes them a preferred choice for researchers in the SHM 212 community. The next section presents a detailed background on CNN, followed by a systematic literature review of non-contact sensor-based SHM using CNN. 213

3. Background on Convolutional Neural Networks

CNN is the most popular variant of the DL network. The underlying architecture of CNN is 215 216 comprised of three layers: (a) convolutional (feature extraction), (b) pooling (dimensionality reduction), and (c) fully-connected layer. The convolutional layer contains a finite number of 217 218 filters (defined by the kernel or filter size) that convolves with the input data and identify a large 219 number of relevant features from the input image. The pooling layer reduces the dimensions of the 220 resulting features using a down-sampling operation, thereby minimizing the overall computational effort of the network. Depending on the data and the desired accuracy, the system is deepened by 221 222 repeating the convolution-pooling sequences multiple times. In this way, more high dimensional features are extracted from the input data followed by one or several fully-connected layers that 223 224 are used for classification. Various C++/Python-based frameworks and platforms (Pouyanfar et al. 2018), including TensorFlow, PyTorch, Caffe, Theano, and Keras, are currently available to 225 226 execute these tasks.

Combined with advances in GPUs and parallel computing, CNNs are a key technology underlying 227 new developments in automated driving and facial recognition. CNNs are trained using a 228 229 backpropagation algorithm, which combines the chain rule with the principles of dynamic 230 programming. In a traditional neural network (NN), the full connections between the layers lead to time-intensive computations and overfitting of parameters (Abiodun et al. 2018). Unlike NN, a 231 232 CNN convolves by using particular layers and avoids general multiplications, thereby keeping computations faster. CNN passes the input images through many deep layers (Gu et al. 2017; Yao 233 et al. 2019) such as convolutional, pooling, and activation layers for feature extraction and 234 performs classification using fully connected layers with a non-linear classifier (e.g., a Softmax 235 classifier). CNN attempts to extract features by alternating and stacking convolutional kernels and 236 237 pooling tasks. It tries to find features that best describe the input images with a varying number of 238 deep layers. A rectified linear unit (ReLU) is often used as a non-linear activation function to introduce non-linearity in one or more of these layers on CNN. Auxiliary layers such as dropout 239 240 layers are also used to prevent overfitting on CNN.

Convolutional layers take an input image and convolve it with a filter or kernel, where the size of the kernel matrix is much smaller than the size of the input matrix. The matrix multiplication of convolutional layers reduces the number of weights, which reduces the variance of the model. Convolutions generate invariant local features; at a lower level, filters can be used to detect edges in the image, whereas at a higher level, they can detect more complex shapes and objects that are critical for classifying an image. A convolutional layer is a set of image filters with learnable weights and plays an important role in CNN as a feature extractor.

On the other hand, pooling layers reduce the size of the layer while reducing the number of neurons 248 in networks and extracting the most significant features with fixed-length over sliding windows of 249 the raw input data. The reduction in the number of neurons is carried out by sliding a fixed window 250 251 across a layer and choosing one value that effectively represents all the units captured by the window. Max-pooling and average-pooling are two common implementations of pooling. In max-252 pooling, the representative value becomes the largest of all units in the window, whereas, in 253 254 average-pooling, the representative value becomes the average of all units in the window. A max-255 pooling layer is mostly used to down-sample the filtered weights from the convolutional layer, 256 reducing computational costs and the probability of overfitting.

A fully connected layer has the shape of a flattened vector and plays an active role as a connector 257 between the two-dimensional convolutional layer and the one-dimensional Softmax layer. The 258 259 Softmax layer takes features from the fully connected layer, calculates the probabilities of each class using a normalized exponential function, and outputs the class with the highest probability 260 as the classification result. By passing the images through various layers, a large number of 261 parameters at various layers are optimally tuned and can extract salient features from the training 262 images. In general, the training process varies from a few hours to a couple of days, depending on 263 the network and hardware configurations, the training images, and the learning rate. 264

265 Both ordinary NNs and CNNs are feedforward neural networks and are generally trained using backpropagation. The primary difference between NNs and CNNs is the difference in the layers 266 they use to classify images. Figure 1 shows the schematics of a typical NN and CNN architecture. 267 The NN uses hidden layers (denoted as h), whereas CNN uses convolutional (denoted as c) and 268 269 pooling layers (denoted as *p*) along with input and output layers. The number of layers depends on 270 the architecture, the data, and the performance required from the model. One of the most critical 271 issues with NNs is overfitting. Large neural nets trained on relatively small datasets can over-fit the training data. Unlike NNs, CNNs are not prone to overfitting due to a reduction in weights and 272 273 the number of neurons caused by the convolutional layer and pooling layer, respectively. The 274 difference between NN and CNN can be understood using an example of an image. Consider an 275 image of W * H * 3 (over three channels, red, blue, and green), where W and H denote the width and height of the image matrix, respectively. An ordinary NN will take the image as the input, pass 276 it through fully connected layers and non-linearities, and finally output a vector of probabilities 277 for each class. The fully connected layer is so named because each of the input neurons n_i is 278 connected to each output neuron n_0 . If the number of input neurons is assumed to equal to the 279 number of output neurons, the resulting number of weights becomes considerably large $(n_i * n_o)$. 280 281 In the framework of image classification, it is computationally expensive to train such a network, and it also gives rise to high variance. CNNs are a neural network with a different architecture that 282 significantly reduces the number of weights and, thereby, the variance of the model. 283



Figure 1. Schematic of (a) a typical NN and (b) a typical CNN with convolutional and pooling
layers.

288 **3.1 CNN Architectures**

LeNet (LeCun et al. 1998) was originally developed to classify low-resolution images such as 289 handwritten alphanumeric characters. AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al. 2012), a popular ImageNet CNN 290 291 model, was developed by researchers from the University of Toronto and used convolutional filters of varying sizes, where the first layer had 11*11 convolution filters. The authors were the first to 292 293 use rectified linear units (ReLU). Several layers of convolution and max-pooling were used with around 60 million weights, and the model was trained on 2 GPUs. The Visual Geometry Group, 294 295 VGGNet (Simonyan and Zisserman 2014), was developed by researchers from Oxford University and only used 3*3 convolutional filters. Conv-Conv-pool layers were stacked together, 296 297 followed by fully connected layers at the end. This research showed how the depth of CNN influences the accuracy of image reconstruction. 298

GoogleNet (Szegedy et al. 2014) was a deeper network, containing 22 layers with more 299 computational efficiency, and did not have any fully connected layers. There were around 5 million 300 parameters in the model. The network was composed of stacked sub-networks called inception 301 modules. It had a naïve inception module that ran convolutional layers in parallel and concatenated 302 the filters together. Moreover, it had a dimensionality reduction inception module that performed 303 1*1 convolutions, thereby achieving dimensionality reduction. The reduction lowered the 304 305 computational cost and made the network computationally efficient by stacking multiple inception modules together. ResNet (He et al. 2015) was deeper than GoogleNet with 152 layers, where each 306 layer in the residual block was implemented as a 3*3 convolution. 307

The development of newer CNN architectures evidenced a trend towards using more and more layers (i.e., a deeper architecture). Using these architectures for structural damage classification is valid only if a large amount of damage data is available. Moreover, the issue of overfitting may arise, and the outcome of high-performing CNNs will not generalize the results for civil engineering applications.

4. Review of CNN-Based SHM Literature

Primarily originated for object recognition, 2D CNN algorithms were mostly explored for 2D 314 315 images in various SHM applications to detect defects and anomalies autonomously. Moreover, for vibration-based SHM, the researchers attempted to reshape the vibration signal into images by 316 transforming the signal in frequency and time-frequency (TF) domain and used the resulting TF 317 318 maps as the images in 2D CNN. However, the images involve significant complexity in choosing 319 a large number of labeled data and layers and are not suitable for real-time SHM applications using mobile or handheld devices. To alleviate this problem, 1D CNN was recently introduced such that 320 321 a time-history of vibration signal can be directly fed into CNN, which requires simple array 322 operations, thereby demanding a shallow architecture with a fewer number of hidden layers (Kiranyaz et al. 2019). 323

Figure 2 shows a flowchart of the state-of-the-art CNN-based SHM literature that leads to 324 significant advancement in this topic in the last few years. The schematic presents the two stages: 325 data acquisition and condition assessment stage. The data acquisition stage is central to understand 326 327 which type of data is apt for a particular structure. The data preparation precedes the data acquisition stage, depending on the classification or prediction task required from a specific 328 application. Specific CNN architecture is selected next, followed by their further improvement 329 using hyperparameter tuning. Once this step is accomplished, various infrastructure monitoring 330 tasks are achieved in the last stage, demonstrating the novel contributions of the state-of-the-art 331 CNN-based SHM techniques. A detailed systematic review of CNN-based SHM is organized by 332 333 classifying the current literature into multiple classes, as illustrated below.

334





336



337 **4.1 Bridge health monitoring**

The bridge infrastructure is critical for transportation and requires continuous monitoring. The critical components of any bridge that are prone to damage are used to acquire data in the form of an acceleration time-history, images, or continuous video streams. Deep learning methods such as CNN, FCN, or R-CNN are used to identify, classify, and quantify the damage. Guo *et al.* (2014) explored a sparse coding-based CNN algorithm with wireless sensors for efficient bridge SHM.

Sparse coding was used as an unsupervised layer for unlabelled data to learn high-level features 343 344 from acceleration data. Various levels of damage cases were considered for a three-span bridge that was instrumented using wireless sensors. The proposed method was compared with other 345 methods such as logistic regression and decision trees, and the proposed method was shown to 346 outperform other methods with an accuracy of 98%. Gulgec et al. (2017) proposed a methodology 347 for structural damage identification using CNN. Numerous undamaged and single-damaged 348 samples of a steel gusset plate connection created in ABAQUS with varying uniformly distributed 349 loads were developed to train, validate, and test the algorithm. Moreover, 50 network 350 351 configurations with various hyper-parameters were tested over several epochs to determine the optimal CNN parameters. 352

353 A multiscale CNN was developed by Narazaki et al. (2017) to extract damage to various bridge 354 components from image-based data. Post-processing methodologies such as super-pixel averaging 355 and conditional random field optimization were implemented to enhance the accuracy of the 356 multiscale CNN. The proposed CNN network was developed from a *ResNet* made up of 22 layers 357 that computed the Softmax probabilities corresponding to ten scene components. The pixel-wise accuracy was calculated to be only 78.94% for this methodology, suggesting a strong dependence 358 359 on the quality of super-pixel segmentation with regards to the boundary segmentation of components. An ensemble framework combining a couple of sparse coding algorithms and a CNN 360 361 was proposed by Fallahian et al. (2018) for structural damage assessment under varying temperature effects. Features extracted from the frequency response function of the measured data 362 363 were fed into a CNN and a couple of sparse coding algorithms to develop the classifier. Stochastic gradient descent was used in CNN to assign weights, and a Softmax function as an activation 364 function. The proposed method was validated using a numerical truss bridge and a full-scale 365 bridge. However, there are various types of bridges, and for continuous and autonomous 366 367 monitoring, the identification of various bridge types is critical along with that of multiple damage 368 types.

269 Zhao *et al.* (2018) explored CNN for maintenance and inspection of bridges. For bridge 270 classification, an *AlexNet*-based CNN was trained first with more than 3800 images of various 271 bridges. For recognition of bridge components, a *ZF-Net*-based faster R-CNN was trained with 272 600 bridge images. To detect cracks, a *GoogleNet*-based CNN was trained with 60000 cracked 273 and un-cracked images. Accuracies of 96.6% for bridge classification, 90.45% for bridge

component classification, and 99.36% for crack detection during testing were achieved. An image-374 based approach was proposed by Liang (2018) for holistic post-disaster inspection of reinforced 375 376 concrete bridges using a DL encompassing system level, a component level, and local damage 377 detection. Algorithmically, the network was made up of a VGG-16 TL-based NN with Bayesian optimization for classification, a faster R-CNN for component detection, and a fully deep CNN for 378 379 semantic damage segmentation. In a similar order, Kim et al. (2018) explored the application of regions with CNN (R-CNN)-based TL to identify cracks in a concrete bridge that were monitored 380 using a UAV. Data containing 50000 images of 32×32 pixels from ImageNet and Cifar-10 were 381 used to train and classify the data. Max pooling and ReLU layers were used along with the 382 convolutional layer in a sliding window-based CNN. The total length and thickness of cracks were 383 384 also computed using a planar marker and automatically visualized on the inspection map.

Bao et al. (2019) presented computer-vision and DL-based structural anomaly detection to achieve 385 386 automated SHM. Stacked AE and greedy layer-wise training techniques were used to train the DL 387 networks. The acceleration data from a long-span bridge were first converted into images that were 388 then transformed into grayscale image vectors for training a DNN considering six different 389 anomalies such as missing, minor, outlier, square, drift, and trend data points. Recently, Xu et al. 390 (2019) proposed fusion CNN for multilevel and multiscale damage identification in steel box girders without any prior assumptions of crack geometry. The proposed CNN architecture 391 392 consisted of several layers of convolution, batch normalization, ReLU, max pooling, and Softmax, and was implemented using MatConvNet. Each image containing one or more cracks, handwriting, 393 394 and background noise was acquired using a consumer-grade camera that was used for training and validation. The authors showed that fusion CNN worked better than general CNN, with an 395 accuracy of 96.38%. However, its performance was limited to a specific object distance and the 396 focal length of the camera. 397

Recently, Ni *et al.* (2019) proposed a 1D CNN-based technique in combination with autoencoder data compression for anomaly detection in a long-span suspension bridge. An accuracy of 97.53% was achieved with a compression ratio of 0.1. Similarly, Azmi and Pekcan (2019) proposed a CNN-TL-based SHM technique for damage identification in highly compressed data. A four-story numerical quarter-scale IASC-ASCE SHM model was used for numerical verification, and the proposed model was also validated on experimental studies using the IASC-ASCE SHM benchmark building and the Qatar University Grandstand Simulator. A mean accuracy of 90-100% was achieved using the proposed model. 1D CNN was also used in a further study by Zhang *et al.*(2019) to detect changes in stiffness and mass. Three structural assemblages, a T-shaped steel
beam, a short steel girder bridge, and a long steel girder bridge, were used, and accuracies of
99.79%, 99.36%, and 97.23% were achieved.

409 **4.2 Pavement condition monitoring**

Pavements are highly susceptible to damage due to high traffic and extreme weather conditions. 410 The dataset usually consists of images acquired from a dashboard camera or a UAV. Cha et al. 411 412 (2017) introduced a vision-based methodology for detecting cracks in concrete structures using CNN. Using nearly 40,000 images of damaged and undamaged concrete generated from various 413 structures, CNN was tested and validated with more than 97% accuracy. Zhang et al. (2017) 414 415 proposed a pixel-level CNN to detect cracks on 3D pavement surfaces. The proposed CNN, 416 "CrackNet", was made up of two fully connected layers, one convolutional layer, one 1 * 1 convolution layer, and one output layer. This network was more efficient than traditional CNNs 417 418 because of the absence of pooling layers that downsized the output of previous layers. An 419 automated crack-length detection algorithm was proposed for pavement by Tong et al. (2017) 420 using a deep CNN. A database of 8000 images of cracked and non-cracked pavement was generated for training, 500 of which were randomly selected to act as the test database. In addition, 421 422 the images were converted to a grey-scale *.bmp* format so that k-means clustering analysis could be used to extract the length and shape of each pavement crack accurately. A five-layer-deep CNN 423 achieved an accuracy of 94.35% with a mean squared error of 0.2377 cm for crack lengths between 424 425 0 and 8 cm. In addition, it was concluded that image resolution and lighting conditions had minimal influence on the accuracy of the proposed crack detection method. 426

Another pavement crack detection approach was investigated by Gopalakrishnan et al. (2017, 427 2018) using TL-based deep CNN. By implementing a truncated VGG-16 deep CNN pre-trained 428 on the ImageNet database, image vectors were extracted to train various classifiers to compare 429 430 their performance for crack detection. Fan et al. (2018) proposed CNN to detect pavement cracks from images acquired by an iPhone from pavements in Beijing, China. Millions of monochromatic 431 432 and RGB image patches were used. It was demonstrated that the proposed methodology had a precision of approximately 92%, which was better than traditional ML techniques such as local 433 434 thresholding, CrackForest, Canny, minimal path selection, and free-form anisotropy. Similarly,

Maeda et al. (2018a,b) investigated the capabilities of CNN networks to detect road surface 435 436 damage from smartphone images. A pavement image dataset of 9,053 images captured using a 437 dashboard-mounted smartphone was annotated using 15,435 bounding boxes to distinguish various damage classes. By analyzing this dataset using two object detection methods, Single-Shot 438 Multibox Detector (SSD) using Inception V2 and SSD using MobileNet, the robustness of these 439 440 algorithms was investigated. Although the recall value of longitudinal construction joints and rutting, bumps, potholes, and separation was relatively low due to the small size of the training 441 dataset, SSD MobileNet detected all damage classes with greater than 75% accuracy. 442

443 Fan et al. (2019) developed a novel FCN with an adaptive thresholding technique for image-based detection of road cracks. Initially, the FCN classified the images as either positive or negative 444 445 based on the presence of cracks. The positive images were segmented, and an adaptive threshold technique that minimized the within-cluster sum of squares was used to localize the defects. The 446 447 study used 40,000 RGB images from training, validation, and testing. The proposed methodology 448 exhibited a precision of 99.92% and 98.70% for classification and pixel-level determination of 449 pavement cracks. In another study, Zhang et al. (2018) proposed a novel algorithm to classify 450 sealed and unsealed cracks in asphalt pavement using a TL-based deep CNN. The proposed 451 methodology consisted of three components: (a) the images were initially enhanced to eliminate imbalance from illumination, (b) the images were classified as cracks, sealed cracks, or 452 453 background images by means of a TL-based DCNN, and (c) fast block-wise segmentation and tensor voting curve detection were used to locate and extract those pixels that were considered 454 455 cracked or sealed. It was concluded that the proposed method showed superior performance in both the classification and detection of sealed and unsealed pavement cracks. 456

Another DL algorithm was developed through TL for automated crack detection on concrete surfaces (Kim and Cho (2018)). Initially, a database of 50,000 images was created using the commercial scraper, "*ScrapeBox*", and various data augmentation techniques. By means of TL, a modified network for multiple object detection, "*AlexNet*", was used to train the proposed CNN classifier to identify uncracked pavement, cracks, and single or multiple edges or joints. By defining "crack-like" classes such as edges and joints, the number of false positives was significantly reduced.

464

465 **4.3 Inspection of underground structures**

466 Underground structures such as sewer pipes and tunnels are inaccessible for inspection. The 467 underground structures are monitored using videos in combination with deep learning techniques. Stentoumis et al. (2016) presented CNN-based vision techniques to reconstruct 3D cracks with the 468 aid of a stereo matching and optimization scheme using data acquired from a tunnel by a DSLR 469 camera. A multilevel perceptron CNN was used as a classifier. The proposed method was also 470 compared with various ML techniques such as kNN and SVM. The proposed CNN was shown to 471 outperform other methods, with an accuracy of 88.6%. Similarly, Cheng and Wang (2018) 472 evaluated sewer pipe defects through images acquired from closed-circuit television using faster 473 region-based CNN (faster R-CNN). The R-CNN architecture works based on a region proposal 474 475 network that can generate region proposals with different aspect ratios and scales to differentiate foreground and background noise to localize an anomaly compared to the undamaged section of a 476 477 region of 3000 images. Doulamis et al. (2018) proposed a combined CNN and fuzzy spectral clustering approach for real-time crack detection in tunnels. An autonomous robotic system 478 479 consisting of a robotic vehicle and a robot arm was used to capture imagery along the tunnel. To analyze complex concrete tunnel images, CNN was first used to capture specific regions of 480 481 damage, followed by fuzzy clustering to exploit the spatial and orientation coherence of the cracks. 482 It was concluded that the accuracy of crack prediction was relatively low due to limited visibility 483 in the tunnel.

The capabilities of region-based FCN were explored by Xue and Li (2018) for shield tunnel lining 484 defects. The proposed FCN consisted of a backbone convolutional layer and a pooling layer along 485 486 with a Softmax layer and bounding box regression. A dataset containing a total of 4139 images of 3000×3724 pixels each were acquired using a movable tunnel inspection system consisting of 487 488 several CCD cameras and LEDs as a source of light. The proposed method outperformed *AlexNet* and GoogleNet and achieved an accuracy of 96% while performing both object detection and 489 490 image classification. Recently, Feng et al. (2019) developed a TL based on the Inception-v3 DL 491 algorithm to perform multiple damage type classification for hydro-junction infrastructure. The 492 existing structure of the Inception-v3 algorithm was modified so that the final layer had five fully 493 connected neurons to increase the accuracy of labeling each damage type. In another study (Kang 494 et al. 2020), a basic pursuit-based background filtering algorithm was proposed to improve the

visibility of underground objects (e.g., cavities, manholes, and pipes), followed by DCNN using
three-dimensional ground-penetrating radar data from urban roads in Korea.

497 **4.4 Building condition assessment**

Tall buildings and historical structures pose a challenge for manual inspection and require an 498 accessible way for autonomous monitoring. Chaiyasarn et al. (2018) proposed an integrated 499 algorithm combining CNN with classification models such as SVM and random forest for crack 500 detection in historic structures. The data consisted of images from masonry structures containing 501 502 cracks that were acquired using a digital camera and an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). It was shown that CNN with SVM outperformed conventional CNN based on the Softmax classifier. 503 Similarly, Yuem et al. (2018) used CNN for image classification after post-event (e.g., earthquake, 504 505 hurricane, tornado, or others) building reconnaissance. The dataset of 90000 colored structural 506 images was used to train the network for scene classification and object detection. All the images were manually labeled using in-house annotation software before the CNN training phase. 507

To classify various common types of building damage, Perez et al. (2019) explored the possibility 508 509 of detecting common building defects caused by dampness, such as mold, deterioration, and 510 staining through images using CNN. The proposed model was trained using the VGG-16 (ResNet-50) CNN classifier, and class activation mapping was used for object localization. The CNN 511 architecture contained five blocks of convolutional layers with max-pooling for feature extraction. 512 The proposed methodology achieved an overall accuracy of 87.50% and classified multiclass 513 514 defects using a small dataset. Recently, Jiang and Zhang (2019) used a wall-climbing unmanned aerial system (UAS) to acquire real-time video. The video data were then converted to 1330 crack 515 images, and a CNN was trained. The images were transferred to an Android platform through a 516 wireless data link. An accuracy of 94.48% was achieved using the proposed model. 517

518 **4.5 Multi-class structural monitoring**

519 Structures experience multiple types of damage, and identifying all of them at once is a faster 520 approach to repair and maintenance. A vision-based multiscale pixel-wise deep CNN network was 521 proposed by Hoskere *et al.* (2017) to detect six types of structural damage. The proposed 522 methodology consisted of two parallel steps: (a) a damage classifier to separate each pixel into 523 predefined classes and (b) a damage segmenter that distinguished damaged pixels from undamaged

ones. By implementing 1695 images of over 250 structures, the authors concluded that ResNet23 524 and VGG-19 were the most accurate segmenter and classifier, with accuracies of 88.8% and 71.4%, 525 526 respectively. Moreover, by combining the segmenter and classifier networks using Softmax 527 thresholds, the accuracy across all classes was increased from 71.4% to 86.7%. Lin and Nie (2017) used a CNN with batch normalization to extract and localize structural damage in a simply 528 529 supported Euler-Bernoulli beam. Numerical simulations were conducted with various damage locations and conditions to generate a dataset of 6,885 measurements. The proposed methodology 530 531 was compared with a wavelet packet transform approach for both noiseless and noisy single- and multi-damage scenarios. Overall, CNN resulted in superior performance over the wavelet packet 532 transform for single and multiple structural damage sites. 533

534 Atha and Jahanshahi (2018) evaluated corrosion detection using three proposed CNN architectures, VGG-15, Corrosion5, and Corrosion7. A comparison is presented with the other two 535 536 state-of-the-art CNN architectures, VGG-16, and ZF-Net. An approach containing non-537 overlapping sliding windows was used to isolate the corroded region within each image. The 538 authors investigated the performance of the proposed architecture under various sizes of sliding 539 windows and color spaces. Using two specific properties of CNN (parameter sharing and local connectivity), Khodabandehlou et al. (2018) proposed a CNN method that used a reduced number 540 of parameters, hence requiring limited training data for SHM. Behrouzi and Pantoza (2018) used 541 a DL algorithm to identify damage patterns from tagged images of roadways and railways after 542 large seismic events. The authors claimed that the proposed method correctly identified 92% of 543 544 the roadway images, where 80% of railways were affected by the earthquake. Cha and Kang (2018) carried out damage identification by means of CNN using ultrasonic beacons by geo-tagging a 545 video stream obtained from a UAV. A deep CNN with a sliding window was used as a DL 546 architecture, with ReLU as an activation function and a Softmax function as a classifier. 547

Similarly, Patterson *et al.* (2018) used DL techniques for seismic damage image classification and developed a user-friendly graphic user interface wrapper where *AlexNet* and *ResNet* were used in the pre-trained DL model. Pan *et al.* (2018) evaluated the efficacy of DBN using multiple restricted Boltzmann machines for structural condition assessment to enable timely decision-making for maintenance. A 1D CNN was proposed by Abdeljaber *et al.* (2018) for structural damage detection on an SHM benchmark dataset. Although CNNs are primarily used for 2D signals such as images and videos, the authors used the *tanh* activation function to learn from 1D raw acceleration data

and proposed an enhanced adaptive CNN to identify global structural damage in structures. Images 555 acquired using smartphones and UAVs are viable and inexpensive options for acquiring damaged 556 557 data from structures. Li and Zhao (2018) evaluated CNN for crack detection on a real concrete surface using cropped images taken from a smartphone. A CNN with binary outputs of the cracked 558 or uncracked concrete surface was used to train GoogleNet. A total of 60000 images with 256 by 559 560 256 pixels each were used to classify cracked concrete surfaces with an accuracy of 99.39%. An application called *Crack Detector* was developed and installed in a smartphone to detect cracks in 561 real-time. 562

563 Dorafshan et al. (2018a) explored the feasibility of using small off-the-shelf UAVs for inspection of concrete decks and buildings using CNNs. The proposed algorithm was first used to train the 564 model using images acquired from a laboratory-scale bridge deck with a low-resolution camera 565 and achieved an accuracy of 94.7%. The proposed CNN was then used to investigate a building 566 567 by means of transfer learning (TL) using AlexNet with an accuracy of 97.1%. Moreover, Cha et al. 568 (2018) proposed an improved visual inspection method using a faster region-based CNN. The 569 proposed method provided robust detection of multi-surface damage types such as concrete cracks, 570 medium and high corrosion of steel, bolt corrosion, and steel delamination using a variable bounding box and was shown to be more efficient than the authors' previous work (Cha et al. 571 2017). Moreover, this technique showed promising results for the autonomous detection of 572 573 structural defects from quasi-real-time video data. On the other hand, Dorafshan et al. (2018b) 574 provided an excellent database for autonomous detection of cracks ranging from 0.06 to 25 mm using CNN on a concrete surface. Spatial- and frequency-domain edge detection methodologies 575 576 were compared by the same authors (Dorafshan et al. 2018c) using DCNN to detect cracks in concrete structures. It was concluded that AlexNet could detect smaller cracks (86%) more 577 accurately than Laplacian-of-Gaussian (LoG). Moreover, the authors proposed a hybrid 578 579 methodology that implemented a CNN to categorize images based on the presence of damage, 580 after which those damaged images were further refined at the pixel level by the LoG edge detection 581 technique.

Hoskere *et al.* (2018) explored FCN with residual network architecture for automated postearthquake image classification. The FCN was capable of semantic segmentation and classification and was combined with a 3D mesh model of the structure for damage representation in building components. The dataset used to train the FCN included 1000 images of 288 by 288 pixels each

and was acquired from post-disaster reconnaissance surveys using a UAV. An accuracy of 91.1% 586 was achieved for damage type identification along with information of structural and non-587 588 structural components. Moreover, Rui et al. (2019) developed a two-stage CNN to detect and 589 classify defects in narrow overlap welds. Time-series signals from eddy current testing of defective welds were initially converted to 2D diagrams using a continuous wavelet transform. Before the 590 591 initial data transformation, the 2D diagrams were entered into a two-step CNN network that (a) identified the presence of defects using binary classification and (b) upon detecting defects, further 592 593 classified them into five defect types. Although both single-step and two-step CNNs had similar 594 accuracy of approximately 97%, the faster computational time of the two-step method made it more efficient. 595

596 Recently, Deng et al. (2019) implemented a faster R-CNN to detect handwritten scripts and cracks 597 in concrete surfaces. A modified 21-layer ZF-Net consisting of three neurons to classify 598 background, cracks, and handwriting was trained using a 20% subset of the authors' generated 599 database of nearly 5000 sub-images. By investigating the influence of handwriting scripts on crack 600 detection, it was concluded that including handwriting scripts as a unique background class 601 significantly increased the accuracy of classifying cracks in concrete surfaces. Furthermore, comparing the proposed methodology with the DL algorithm, 'You Only Look Once' (YoLo) v2, 602 showed superior performance, with significantly reduced percentages of false positives detected. 603 604 Dung and Duc Anh (2019) proposed an FCN for segmented vision-based detection and density evaluation of surface cracks in concrete structures. TL was applied as the FCN encoder was based 605 on the VGG-16 CNN model because this model showed superior performance to ResNet and 606 607 Inception. Upon training and validation using 500 images, the FCN was shown to have a max F1 score and average precision of approximately 90%. 608

609 Li et al. (2019) proposed an FCN to detect four concrete damage classes: cracks, spalling, efflorescence, and holes, from an established smartphone-based image database. The development 610 of the FCN algorithm was based on TL of weights and biases provided by DenseNet-121 for feature 611 extraction. The algorithm was trained and validated using 2200 images. Compared to SegNet, the 612 proposed methodology offered better performance in detecting various types of concrete damage. 613 In another recent study, the authors (Mei and Gul 2020) used a depth-first search algorithm as a 614 615 preprocessing tool to eliminate isolated pixels, followed by multilevel feature fusion and crack 616 detection using images obtained from a smartphone.

4.6 Inspection of other large-scale structures

618 Large-scale structures are challenging to monitor, and image-based monitoring techniques provide a powerful tool for effective structural monitoring. CNN was implemented to detect surface defects 619 in rails from photometric stereo images acquired in a dark-field setup by Soukup and Huber-Mork 620 621 (2014). The setup of various light sources at different oblique angles in the dark-field identified the location of cavities through a scattering of applied light. Comparing traditional model-based 622 approaches to the trained CNN, the authors found a significant reduction in a detection error. 623 Furthermore, regularization methods such as training data augmentation and unsupervised layer-624 wise pre-training were shown to reduce the probability of overfitting due to the size of the available 625 image dataset. Abdeljaber et al. (2017) proposed a nonparametric 1D CNN to extract structural 626 627 damage from the time-histories of vibration-based responses. In this method, the acceleration at each sensor location was first divided into several frames, each containing a finite number of 628 samples, and then each frame was normalized and fed into a CNN. The probability of damage was 629 then computed to quantify the severity of damage and isolate the damage location. The proposed 630 631 methodology showed efficient processing of the measured data compared to existing ML techniques, which required significant pre- and post-processing and feature extraction. A 632 633 laboratory stadium developed in the Qatar University Grandstand Simulator was used to validate the accuracy of the proposed method. 634

Pan et al. (2018) evaluated the efficacy of DBN using multiple restricted Boltzmann machines for 635 structural health assessment to enable timely decision-making for maintenance. Lin et al. (2018) 636 compared CNN with SVM for damage assessment in a three-story laboratory model and concluded 637 that DL methods had less noise sensitivity than shallow learning methods. Chen and Jahanshahi 638 (2018) proposed a CNN method with a naïve Bayes data fusion scheme to detect tiny cracks on 639 640 metallic surfaces from video data for nuclear inspection applications. This methodology was distinct from previous CNNs because it collected image data from multiple video frames to 641 improve crack localization while using a naïve Bayes decision process to reduce false negatives. 642 Through testing and training of approximately 300,000 images extracted from video frames, it was 643 concluded that this methodology achieved an accuracy of 98.3%, showing significant 644 645 improvement compared to state-of-the-art ML algorithms.

Recently, Dick et al. (2019) investigated the use of DL algorithms to inspect critical electric utility 646 infrastructure. Through TL on CNN, images of utility infrastructure from vehicular-mounted 647 cameras were classified into five categories: highways, pine trees, fields, trucks, and power 648 infrastructures. This technique provided automatic detection of vegetation, which was considered 649 a major hazard to power infrastructure. Hoskere et al. (2019) proposed deep Bayesian NNs for 650 damage localization in gates of navigation locks. In this proposed research, Monte Carlo dropout 651 was used to increase the accuracy of the trained network and determine the sensitivity of measured 652 653 strain to damage. Three CNN models were recently tested by Xu et al. (2019) to identify cracks in 654 wind turbine blades. In another study (Zhang et al. 2020), the authors implemented a faster regionbased CNN to detect bolt loosening under different operating conditions such as measurement 655 angle, lighting condition, and vibration condition. 656

5. Improved CNN methods in SHM

Depending on the complexity of damage and its location in large-scale structures, the SHM community recently implemented several advanced CNN architectures to train these complex models. Some of these newer architectures include fully convolutional networks (FCNs) and transfer learning (TL).

5.1 Fully Convolutional Networks (FCNs)

663 Yang et al. (2018) proposed a novel FCN for pixel-level crack detection. This method consisted of both down-sampling using a VGG16 network and up-sampling techniques, creating a robust 664 model that could analyze multiscale images. Future improvements to increase performance for the 665 detection of thin cracks, intersections, and border cracks were suggested to increase the accuracy 666 of proposed networks to that of existing state-of-the-art DL algorithms. Hoskere et al. (2018) 667 explored FCN with residual network architecture for automated post-earthquake image 668 669 classification. The FCN was capable of semantic segmentation and classification and was combined with a 3D mesh model of the structure for damage representation in building 670 components. The dataset used for training the FCN included 1000 images of 288 by 288 pixels 671 672 each and was acquired from post-disaster reconnaissance surveys using a UAV.

The capabilities of region-based FCN were explored by Xue and Li (2018) for shield tunnel lining
defects. The proposed FCN consisted of a backbone convolutional layer, a pooling layer, a *Softmax*

layer, and bounding box regression. A dataset of 4139 images of 3000×3724 pixels each were 675 676 acquired using a movable tunnel inspection system consisting of several CCD cameras and LEDs 677 as a source of light. The proposed method outperformed *AlexNet* and *GoogleNet* and achieved an accuracy of 96% while performing both object detection and image classification. Dung and Duc 678 Anh (2019) proposed an FCN for segmented vision-based detection and density evaluation of 679 680 surface cracks in concrete structures. Fan et al. (2019) developed a novel FCN with an adaptive thresholding technique for image-based detection of road cracks. Initially, the FCN classified the 681 images as either positive or negative based on the presence of cracks. These positive images were 682 then segmented, and an adaptive threshold technique that minimized the within-cluster sum of 683 squares was used to localize the defects. 684

685 Li et al. (2019) proposed an FCN to detect four concrete damage classes: cracks, spalling, efflorescence, and holes, from an established smartphone-based image database. The development 686 687 of the FCN algorithm was based on TL of weights and biases provided by DenseNet-121 for feature 688 extraction. The algorithm was trained and validated using 2200 images. Compared to SegNet, the 689 proposed methodology offered better performance in detecting various types of concrete damage. 690 An FCN was developed by Rubio et al. (2019) to detect delamination and rebar exposure in reinforced concrete bridges. The authors considered a multi-labeled approach for the dataset in 691 which different regions of the images were considered ground truth, uncertain, or penalized 692 693 depending on the agreement of the various annotators that classified them. This methodology had a mean accuracy of 89.7% and 78.4% for delamination and rebar exposure, meaning that this 694 695 model could be used as a step towards automating bridge inspection.

696 **5.2 CNN with Transfer Learning**

697 Feng et al. (2017) proposed an active learning algorithm for automatic detection and classification 698 of cracks, deposits, and water leakage from concrete structures without requiring time-consuming labelling. The classification and detection of these defects were performed by a deep residual 699 700 network (ResNet). Using the active learning network, the classifiers were continuously retrained with new annotated images, achieving a significant reduction in manual human-based image 701 702 annotation and labeling. Using a positive-sampling technique, the authors obtained an accuracy of 87.5% for 235,200 image patches. Another pavement crack detection approach was investigated 703 704 by Gopalakrishnan et al. (2017, 2018) using TL-based deep CNN. By implementing a truncated

VGG-16 deep CNN pre-trained on the ImageNet database, image vectors were extracted to train 705 various classifiers to compare their performance for crack detection. Kim et al. (2018) explored 706 707 the application of regions with CNN (R-CNN)-based TL to identify cracks in a concrete bridge 708 that was monitored using a UAV. Data containing 50000 images of 32×32 pixels each from ImageNet and Cifar-10 was used to train on the data, followed by classification. Max pooling and 709 ReLU layers were used along with a convolutional layer in the sliding window-based CNN. The 710 total length and thickness of cracks were also computed using a planar marker and were 711 712 automatically visualized on an inspection map.

713 In another recent study, Gao and Mosalam (2018) developed a Structural ImageNet to detect various types of post-disaster damage using a modified TL-based VGG-16 network. The 714 robustness of detecting four pre-defined features: (1) component type, (2) spalling condition, (3) 715 716 damage level, and (4) damage type was investigated using feature extraction and fine-tuning of the 717 TL technique. Parametric studies were conducted to determine the optimal image size to reduce computational complexity while retaining valuable information. Moreover, complexities in the 718 719 four-class damage-level features resulted in decreased accuracy (68%) and increased overfitting 720 (23%), suggesting that this model may be a baseline for future research into *Structural ImageNet*. 721 Zhang et al. (2018) proposed a novel algorithm to classify sealed and unsealed cracks in asphalt pavement using a TL-based deep CNN. The proposed methodology consisted of three components: 722 723 (a) the images were initially enhanced to eliminate imbalance with illumination, (b) images were 724 classified as unsealed cracks, sealed cracks, or background images by means of a TL-based DCNN, 725 and (c) fast block-wise segmentation and tensor voting curve detection were used to locate and 726 extract those pixels that were considered cracked or sealed. It was concluded that the proposed 727 method showed superior performance for both the classification and detection of sealed and unsealed pavement cracks compared to other image processing methods. Another DL algorithm 728 was developed through TL for the automated detection of cracks on a concrete surface (Kim and 729 Cho 2018). Initially, a database of 50,000 images was created using the commercial scraper, 730 "ScrapeBox", and various data augmentation techniques. By means of TL, a modified network for 731 multiple object detection, "AlexNet", was used to train the proposed CNN classifier to identify 732 non-cracks, cracks, and single or multiple edges or joints. By defining "crack-like" classes such as 733 734 edges and joints, the number of false positives was significantly reduced.

Recently, Feng et al. (2019) developed a TL based on the Inception-v3 DL algorithm to detect 735 multiple damage classifications for hydro-junction infrastructure. The existing structure of the 736 737 Inception-v3 algorithm was modified so that the final layer had five fully connected neurons to increase the accuracy of labeling each damage type. Kim and Sim (2019) addressed the automation 738 of operational modal analysis by developing a faster R-CNN for automated extraction of peaks 739 740 from frequency-domain image data. Faster R-CNNs such as the VGGNet and ZF-Net implemented in this study used region proposal networks (RPNs) to generate rectangular object regions through 741 the shared convolutional features of fast R-CNN networks. The network was trained using 15,596 742 peaks extracted from a multiple-degree-of-freedom numerical model. Upon comparison with time 743 domain-based methods for peak extraction, it was found that the proposed method had superior 744 performance to F1 scores and computational time. 745

746 **6. Comprehensive Summary of the Reviewed Literature**

As shown in Sections 4-5, structural condition assessment involves major tasks such as system 747 748 identification, damage identification, crack, and anomaly detection. The accuracy of these tasks strongly depends on sensor placement and presence of sensor faults, fluctuations in environmental 749 750 and operational conditions, the suitability of appropriate features and feature extraction methods such as time-, frequency-, time-frequency methods (Qarib and Adeli 2016; Sadhu et al. 2019; 751 752 Barbosh et al. 2020; Kankanamge et al. 2020), image processing (Mohan and Poobal 2018) and other ML techniques (Sun et al. 2020). Therefore, the conventional ML-based SHM strategies 753 strongly rely on expert knowledge to design the most appropriate features for a given data of 754 755 critical infrastructure. Unlike the traditional approaches, CNN undertakes similar tasks without 756 requiring any feature selection stage. It relies on a large database of training data and builds a deep network with a suite of network and training parameters, implicitly performing both feature 757 758 extraction and pattern classification. At one end, 1D CNN (Kiranyaz et al. 2020) uses structured information such as vibration or time-series data to perform global damage detection. On the other 759 760 hand, 2D CNN has been explored to analyze unstructured data such as actual images or derived 761 TF images (e.g., spectrograms or scalograms) of time-series to undertake local damage 762 identification. Overall, CNN has achieved significant popularity in the SHM literature due to its 763 requirement of having minimum knowledge of the best-suited features of a dataset. Table 2 finally

- provides a summary of the literature reviewed in Sections 4 and 5 with a systematic presentation
- of the specific application and data used for structural condition assessment.
- 766
- **Table 2**: Summary of CNN-based structural condition assessment literature.

Reference	Application	CNN architecture	Specifics of data	
Bridge health monitoring				
Merits:				
1. A wide variety of data types includes sequential/time-series and visual-based images and videos,				

- 1. A wide variety of data types includes sequential/time-series and visual-based images and videos, where both 1D and 2D CNNs have been equally effective.
- 2. The application of CNNs enables the identification of both global and local structural damage.

- 1. The sparse coding algorithm is often needed as a preprocessor for feature extraction in combination with CNNs to overcome the challenge of data labeling.
- 2. Vision-based data collection of independent bridge components is a challenging task; CNNs are used to train the classification based on scene segmentation and bridge component identification from a large-scale image.

Guo <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Global condition assessment	Inclusion of sparse coding in CNN	Acceleration time-histories
Gulgec <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Anomaly detection in steel gusset plate	CNN	Simulated strain measurements
Narazaki <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Global and component- level damage assessment	Multiscale CNN developed from a <i>ResNet</i>	Images of scene components
Fallalian <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Global condition assessment	Integration of coupled sparse coding in DNN	Simulated and experimental acceleration data
Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Component-level damage assessment	AlexNet, ZF-Net, and GoogleNet	Cracked and un-cracked images

Liang (2018)	Global and component- level damage assessment	<i>VGG16</i> , R-CNN, and fully deep CNN through semantic segmentation with Bayesian optimization	Cracked and un-cracked images of reinforced concrete bridges
Kim <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Component-level damage assessment	R-CNN-based TL (<i>ImageNet</i> and <i>Cifar10</i>)	Images from UAV
Bao <i>et al</i> . (2019)	Anomaly detection	DNN-stacked AE and greedy layer- wise training techniques	Acceleration data
Xu et al. (2019)	Damage assessment in steel box girders	FCNN implemented with <i>MatConvNet</i>	Images acquired from a consumer-grade camera
Rubio et al. (2019)	Component-level damage assessment	FCNs	Images
Ni et al. (2019)	Anomaly detection with data compression	1D CNN	Acceleration data
Azimi and Pekcan (2019)	Damage identification	CNN with TL	Acceleration data
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Damage identification with changes in stiffness and mass	1D CNN	Acceleration data
Pavement condition monitoring			

Merits:

- 1. The image datasets can be acquired under varying environmental conditions. The data acquired is suitable for multiclass problems (e.g., identification of cracks, their sizes, and locations).
- 2. The crack length identification is carried out efficiently by increasing the subsampling between the convolution layers and creating a deep CNN.

- 1. In the presence of noise and complicated cracks, the CNNs are supplemented with additional preprocessing such as bilateral filtering and adaptive thresholding.
- 2. The datasets often result in imbalance measurements.
- 3. In case of similar crack identification, such as open crack and sealed crack under noise is tackled using a special treatment such as TL and tensor voting-based crack detection.

Cha <i>et al</i> . (2017)	Concrete surface	CNN with sliding window technique	Images from DSLR camera
Zhang <i>et al</i> . (2017)	Automated pavement crack detection	<i>CrackNet</i> in the absence of pooling layer	3D asphalt images
Tong <i>et al</i> . (2017)	Crack length detection	Deep CNN	Cracked and un-cracked RGB images
Gopalakrishnan <i>et al.</i> (2017,2018)	Pavement defects	<i>VGG16</i> , DCNN	Images acquired using UAV
Fan <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Crack size estimation	CNN	Monochromatic and RGB images from iPhone
Maeda <i>et al</i> . (2018a,b)	Anomaly detection on the road surface	CNN integrated with two object detection methods	Images acquired from a dashboard-mounted smartphone in a vehicle
Fan <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Road inspection	FCN with adaptive threshold technique	RGB images

Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Asphalt pavement	TL-based deep CNN	Images
Kim and Cho (2018)	Crack inspection in an onsite environment	TL integrated with AlexNet	Images and videos acquired from UAVs

Inspection of underground structures

Merits:

- 1. Underground structures such as sewer and water pipes, tunnels, and heavy infrastructures such as hydropower dams are difficult to inspect due to their depth, and thickness using the traditional vibration-based SHM methods.
- 2. For extremely large, inaccessible structures such as hydro structures, UAVs with real-time kinematic global positioning system can be used for data collection and defect identification.
- 3. In the presence of sequential data such as radar data, CNNs perform better with de-noised signals.

- 1. Data acquisition from structures such as tunnels and sewer pipe require different approaches. For example, images from tunnels can be acquired using DSLR cameras and robotic vehicles; however, for sewer pipe, images are obtained from pre-installed closed-circuit cameras.
- 2. CNNs are also required to be combined with unsupervised clustering to refine the detected crack regions from noisy images exploiting spatial and orientation coherency in the presence of inadequate lighting conditions.
- 3. If the dataset is small, TL is applied for the enhancement of CNN damage classification performance.

Stentoumis et al.	Highway and railway	CNN connected with	Images from DSLR
(2016)	tunnels	multilevel	camera
		perceptron to build a	
		3D crack model	
Cheng and Wang (2018)	Sewer pipe defects	Faster region-based CNN	Images acquired from closed-circuit television
Doulamis <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Tunnel inspection	CNN combined with fuzzy spectral clustering	Images obtained from a robotic vehicle

Xue and Li (2018)	Tunnel lining	Region-based FCN with <i>Softmax</i> layer and bounding box regression	Images from CCD camera
Feng et al. (2019)	Hydro infrastructure	<i>Inception-V3</i> and TL	Images from a high- definition camera
Kang <i>et al</i> . (2020)	Underground cavity detection	CNN with a basic pursuit-based background algorithm	3D ground penetration radar data

Building condition assessment

Merits:

- 1. Buildings are tall spatial structures that require condition assessment on internal and external components. The evaluation of external components, e.g., assessment of post-disaster nonstructural damages, is now possible with vision-based CNN methods. The datasets can be easily acquired using an inexpensive digital handheld camera, smartphones, and UAVs.
- 2. In many studies, apart from the crack or defect detection, the Class Activation Mapping layer is added to CNNs for object identification. The object localization is highly beneficial for the identification of damage in structural and nonstructural components.

- 1. CNNs are often reinforced with an additional 3D image stitching technique to analyze the structure in the 3D coordinate system.
- 2. The training database is often not enough; CNNs are required to pre-trained on benchmark models such as *VGG16* or *CrackNet*.

Chaiyasarn <i>et al</i> .	Global condition	CNN with SVM and	Images from digital
(2018)	assessment in historical	random forest	camera and UAV
	masonry structures		

Yuem <i>et al</i> . (2018)	Post-disaster building reconnaissance	CNN with in-house automation software to label images	Scene classification and object detection for damage classification
Perez <i>et al</i> . (2019)	Surface-level defects caused by mold, stain, and deterioration	<i>VGG16</i> and class activation mapping	Images acquired using a mobile phone and hand- held camera along with copyrighted images from Internet
Jiang and Zhang	Crack detection	CNN	Unmanned aerial system to acquire video and images

Multi-class structural monitoring

Merits:

- 1. Offer autonomous monitoring systems and eliminate manual inspections that are timeconsuming, labor-intensive, subjective, and often unsafe.
- 2. Allow rapid decision making for post-disaster damage assessment.
- 3. The proposed techniques are mostly insensitive to the measurement noise.

- 1. Need further improvement to develop more robust multi-type damage classification techniques.
- 2. Significantly more layers would be required to distinguish between different types of complexities in structures, damage conditions, and background effects.
- 3. Few of these methods are heavily dependent on the results of the FE model as the real condition data are scarce.
- 4. Proper labeling of multiclass damages is always a challenge.

Hoskere <i>et al</i> . (2017)	Post-earthquake multiclass structural inspection	Multiscale pixel- wise deep CNN	Various images of concrete and steel surfaces
Lin and Nie (2017)	Numerical simulation using a simply supported beam	CNN	Time-series data

Atha and Jahashahi (2018)	Corrosion detection on a metallic surface	VGG15, Corrosion5, and Corrosion7 with non-overlapping sliding windows	Colour images
Khodabandehlou <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2018)	Vibration-based condition assessment	2D CNN	Acceleration time-histories
Behrouzi and Pantoza (2018)	Post-earthquake inspection	DL network	Tagged images of roadways and railways
Kang and Cha (2018)	Structural inspection where using GPS is not feasible	Deep CNN with sliding window	Geo-tagging of a video stream from a UAV
Patterson <i>et al</i> . (2018)	Seismic damage classification	AlexNet and RestNet	GUI wrapper
Abdeljaber <i>et al.</i> (2018)	SHM benchmark data	1-D adaptive CNN with (hyperbolic tangent) <i>tanh</i> activation function	Acceleration data
Li and Zhao (2018)	Concrete surface	GoogleNet (an app, Crack Detector, was developed)	Cropped images are taken from a smartphone
Dorafshan <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Component-level damage assessment in bridges and buildings	TL and <i>AlexNet</i> DCNN	Imaged from off-the-shelf UAV
Cha et al. (2018)	Multi-surface damages	Faster-R-CNN	Quasi-real-time video data

Dorafshan <i>et al.</i> (2018b, 2018c)	Concrete surface	CNN with LoG edge detection	Benchmark database with cracks ranging from 0.06 to 25 mm
Yang <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Pixel-level crack detection	FCN via VGG16	Multiscale images
Hoskere et al. (2018)	Post-earthquake inspection	FCN	Reconnaissance survey from a UAV
Rui <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Defective welds	Wavelet-assisted CNN with binary classification	Time-series data of eddy current
Deng et al. (2019)	Concrete surface	Faster R-CNN, ZF- Net, and YoLo v2	Images with handwritten scripts and cracks
Dung and Duc Anh (2019)	Surface cracks in concrete structures	VGG16	Images and video of crack data
Li et al. (2019)	Multiple concrete damage types	DenseNet-121-based FCN	Smartphone-based images
Mei and Gul (2020)	Pixel-level crack detection	DNN with depth- first search-based preprocessing	Smartphone-based images

Inspection of other large-scale structures

Merits:

1. Many algorithms showed robustness in different environmental conditions.

- 1. Noise interference could contaminate the data in large-scale structures; deeper neural networks could be used to solve this issue.
- 2. A large number of training data is needed to achieve data convergence and prevent overfitting.

Soukoup and Huber- Mork (2014)	Metal surface of rails	Unsupervised layer- wise pre-training.	Photometric stereo images
Abdeljaber <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Laboratory study	One-dimensional CNN	Acceleration time-histories
Feng <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Less time-consuming labelling operation	<i>ResNet</i> with active learning	Image dataset
Pan <i>et al</i> . (2018)	Experimental study	Deep Bayesian NN using multiple restricted Boltzmann machines	Acceleration data
Lin <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Laboratory studies	Comparison of CNN with SVM and other shallow learning methods	Acceleration data
Chen and Jahanshahi (2018)	Nuclear power plant	CNN with a naïve Bayes data fusion	Video data
Dick <i>et al</i> . (2019)	Electrical utility infrastructure	TL and CNN	Images from a vehicle- mounted camera
Hoskere et al. (2019)	Navigation infrastructure	Deep Bayesian NN	Finite element model- based simulated data and measured strain data
Xu et al. (2019)	Wind turbine blade	Three CNN models	Images from UAVs
Kim and Sim (2019)	Operational modal analysis	VGGNet and ZF-Net	Frequency peaks from simulated data.

Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Detection of bolt	Region-based CNN	Webcam data
	loosening using		
	experimental study		

767

768 7. Challenges for CNN Implementation in Structural Condition Assessment

769 With increasing computational capabilities in the era of big data, high-performance computing, 770 parallel processing, and cloud computing, CNN techniques have witnessed significant developments in remote and autonomous SHM of critical civil infrastructure. 2D CNN has brought 771 772 a radical shift in SHM using non-contact sensors and robotic devices. Whereas, 1D CNN, which is free of major matrix operations, has resulted in efficient classification and clustering of 773 774 vibration-based SHM data, enabling its capabilities in low power real-time applications (e.g., smartphone or handheld device). The CNN techniques offer new advantages and opportunities that 775 are systematically reviewed in this paper based on the ongoing research published in top-notch 776 journals and conference papers. At one end, the state-of-the-art research offers remote and 777 autonomous SHM systems for cost-effective and accurate structural inspection. On the other hand, 778 779 it allows feature-free early-stage warning or post-disaster reconnaissance for the infrastructure 780 owners and stakeholders, enhancing an end-to-end SHM system. However, the existing CNNbased literature presents several challenges that must be addressed in the upcoming years before 781 782 this approach can be positioned as a generalized strategy for monitoring and maintenance of a wide 783 range of infrastructure. The identified real-world challenges are illustrated below:

i) Data imbalance issue in large-scale infrastructure: CNN implicitly adopts a deep network 784 785 depending on the complexity of the data. Unlike systems in other engineering domains, civil infrastructure is large in size and composed of decades of design life. Due to such size and life-786 787 span, structural condition data obtained from limited sparse measurements have a wide variety of damage states (Sun et al. 2020), causing data imbalance issue in SHM. Although the researchers 788 have proposed various data augmentation techniques to alleviate the over-fitting caused by the 789 data imbalance, it remains a significant challenge to the SHM community (Gopalakrishnan et al. 790 791 2017, 2018; Liang 2018; Kim et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018), unlike in other engineering domain.

Moreover, acquiring a large number of images with a wide variety of historical damage events
forms another hindrance to developing a training database, which limits the applicability of CNN
in structural condition assessment.

795 ii) Data variety and lack of expandability in SHM: SHM data has a wide variety depending on the type of infrastructure and sensors, quality of the database and background noise, level of 796 797 damage and sensor locations, presence of outlier and bias, environmental and operating conditions. Therefore, the existing literature of data-driven condition assessment approaches has primarily 798 799 focussed on finding the most appropriate CNN architecture (Yuem et al. 2018) required for 800 specific data of interest. For example, it may not be necessary that the training data of a steel and 801 concrete bridge of the same length subjected to similar operational and environmental loads will have identical CNN architecture. The scalability and expandability of CNN architecture across 802 various infrastructure is still a challenge. 803

iii) Cost of implementation to the infrastructure owners: Depending on the complexity in the
data and existing conditions of a critical infrastructure, a deep and complex network is often needed
to train a large database of SHM data. Such implementation of network demands high-performance
workstations, cloud computing, parallel processing, graphic processing units and massive storage.
Therefore, CNN is associated with high operating costs to analyze big data of infrastructure
monitoring and maintenance for the decision-makers.

iv) Amplification of error in the network due to poorly measured data: False positives are 810 often triggered due to varying image background caused by environmental effects (e.g., shadow, 811 812 texture, light, rain, fog, and other adverse weather conditions), changes in color (e.g., material 813 deterioration), and the presence of unwanted objects (e.g., debris, people, and vehicles). These noisy training data may lead to inaccurate damage detection in public infrastructures such as 814 815 bridges, pavements, potholes, and pipelines (Azimi and Pekcan 2019; Kang et al. 2020). In particular, the impact of weather and lighting conditions, background noise, and the distance of 816 817 the camera from the structures have still not been investigated in the context of multiclass crack detection. 818

The false positives may be removed using the traditional image processing or time-series based anomaly detection techniques during the data preparation stage. Having a well-processed data will enable CNN to produce higher accuracy and precision-recall value. The SHM community has advanced in the use of DL algorithms; however, data preparation and the amount of data usage
without increasing the complexity of the network architecture is an open area of research.
Moreover, the optimal network architecture and the configurations of input images and categories
are still topics of active research in SHM.

826 v) Multiclass damage detection as a black box operation: There is often a lack of robustness in 827 detecting multiple damage types (e.g., identification of cracks due to fatigue, delamination, voids, spalling, corrosion, etc.), requiring CNN architecture to be significantly deep to classify various 828 829 components (Khodabandehlou et al. 2019). Any data-driven CNN network involves a scientific 830 selection of the structure of layers as well as an optimal number of layers (Sandler et al. 2019; Tan and Le 2019) to achieve the best accuracy without resulting in overfitting, which still forms a black 831 box to the majority of the structural engineers and infrastructure owners. Apart from the system 832 architecture, the black-box nature of neural networks or CNN per se appears due to the traditional 833 834 interpretability of the results. The matrices used for most of the networks are the accuracy and 835 ROC curves, however, in a situation like structural damage detection and localization, only 836 accuracy as a measure of performance of the CNN model may lead to catastrophic failures. Considering "false-negative rate" along with accuracy will improve the damage diagnosis model 837 and also remove any situation where the CNN model ignores the possibility of damage. Moreover, 838 improved visualization techniques of layer-wise classification results will eliminate the black-box 839 840 nature of CNN for complex SHM applications.

841

842 8. Future Research Directions

i) Next-generation infrastructure monitoring and maintenance using big data: Smart and autonomous monitoring systems of future urban cities will result in internet-of-things (IoT)enhanced big data for large-scale structures. This data will include either time-series measurements obtained from long-term embedded sensors within the structures or a large number of images obtained from sophisticated vision measurement systems such as drones and robots (Spencer *et al.* 2019). Such big data will enable a large and wide range of databases for CNN methods for robust structural condition assessment, and eliminate data imbalance issue.

ii) Real-time CNN implementation for remote and autonomous SHM systems: 1D CNN 850 (Kiranyaz et al. 2020) has shown capabilities of utilizing a shallow architecture for structured 851 852 SHM data such as time-series (e.g., vibration measurement). This results in less computationally intensive tasks on CNN, which can be implemented in mobile or handheld devices that are low 853 cost and low powered in nature. Future application of 1D CNN will enable real-time indirect SHM 854 855 for bridges using smart-phones installed in passing vehicles. There is a need to develop efficient strategies to accelerate the training and validation process and reduce the cost of deployment of 856 CNN algorithms in SHM. 857

858 iii) Transfer learning-enabled efficient CNN using SHM data across various infrastructure:

Improved CNN integrated with TL and Active Learning (Bull et al. 2018, 2019), and population-859 based SHM technique (Worden et al. 2015) may offer attractive solutions where statistically 860 similar datasets of identical structure can be leveraged to replace the requirement for large training 861 862 datasets from existing structures. CNN methods trained in one domain may be transferred into 863 other domains, especially when the previous domain lacks training data. TL is a new development 864 that uses knowledge from a source domain to target a domain that might be related but different, making existing pre-trained models more useful in the context of limited available datasets and 865 relaxing the prerequisite for larger training datasets. The primary use of TL in CNN would be to 866 use the parameters in a well-trained model in the source domain and to assist in generating limited 867 training datasets in the target domain. The application of TL has a promising future while using 868 the well-established benchmarks models for training the model and feature extraction, and 869 870 improving the fully-connected classification layer for damage diagnosis.

iv) Field implementation: At present, there exist very few civil engineering image databases that 871 have representative images of the damage to train the CNN architectures. Many images are 872 obtained in a laboratory setting. Very few studies quantify the influence of measurement noise 873 (wind, light, and angle) or mechanical vibrations from UAVs on the ability to capture damage 874 using CNNs accurately. More controlled field measurements and shared case studies will allow 875 SHM researchers to check the robustness and efficacy of the new algorithms. It is also expected 876 877 that the SHM community will see a significant revolution of large databases in the near future that 878 will allow the researchers to validate the new algorithms for a broad range of images.

v) Improved visualization of big SHM data: Building information modeling and mixed reality
such as virtual reality and augmented reality has huge potential to allow structural engineers to
manage and visualize long-term SHM data (Napolitano *et al.* 2018; Boddupalli *et al.* 2019, Singh
and Sadhu 2020). These visualization tools integrated with the data storage capabilities of cloud
computing, high-performance computing, and parallel processing will allow systematic
interpretation of long-term SHM data.

vi) Multidisciplinary research in SHM: Although CNN and its architectures stem from 885 886 Computer Science and Data Analytics, domain expertise in structural engineering and SHM is still 887 of paramount importance to select appropriate features and classes specific to any SHM applications. On the other hand, the selection of a suitable number of hidden layers (i.e., depth of 888 the network), structure of the network, and various hyper-parameters such as the number of epochs, 889 890 batch size, and iterations vary with the data and should be carefully selected by the AI experts. 891 Therefore, multidisciplinary research amongst the researchers from structural engineering, 892 computer science, and big data analytics will be essential to achieve optimal performance.

vii) The potential use of video data in SHM: The majority of current approaches are limited to
static images and do not apply to video data. Future research should be directed to acquiring highdefinition videos and processing them as a sequential dataset of static images using RNNs.

Finally, figure 3 shows a summary of potential future research directions that will enhance the deployment of CNN in many SHM applications in upcoming years. Three critical components include balanced and real-time data collection and its visualization, development of laboratory and field measurements, and use of various forms of data type, such as time-series data and video data.

- 900
- 901
- 902



Figure 3. A schematic of the potential future research directions of CNN-based SHM research.

905

903

906 9. Conclusions

907 Civil Structures are composed of several material types, and often, therefore, subject to a wide
908 range of damage categories. Such diversity applies to not only the majority of civil structures, but
909 also railway infrastructure, pipelines, power generation plants, transmissions lines, and towers.
910 Moreover, there is a prevalence among these structures to be highly susceptible to damages due to
911 natural disasters and life-span fatigue due to ageing or normal operational conditions. Also, post-

disaster inspections are often time-consuming, unsafe, and labour-intensive, making it difficult for 912 human beings to accomplish these tasks efficiently. This paper systematically reviews the recent 913 development of CNN-based SHM research that has been directed to solve these challenges. The 914 state-of-the-art CNN-based architectures and newer SHM technologies have allowed the 915 infrastructure owners to accurately and autonomously detect and localize multiple damage types 916 in various structures using next-generation sensors such as cameras, drones and robots. In 917 conclusion, future research will focus on developing the real-time implementation of CNN 918 919 algorithms, open-source databases for civil structures, generalized application of CNN techniques using TL, and reducing classification imbalances that occur in large-scale infrastructure. 920

921 Acknowledgments

- 922 The authors would like to thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC)
- of Canada to provide financial support to conduct this research through NSERC Discovery Grant
- and Western University's NSERC Accelerator Grant of the corresponding author.

925 **References**

Abdeljaber, O., Avci, O., Kiranyaz, S., Gabbouj, M. and Inman, D. J. (2017), "Real-time
vibration-based structural damage detection using one-dimensional convolutional neural
networks", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 388, 157 – 170.

Abdeljaber, O., Avci, O., Kiranyaz, M. S., Boashash, B., Sodano, H., & Inman, D. J. (2018).
"1-D CNNs for structural damage detection: Verification on a structural health monitoring
benchmark data". *Neuro-computing*, 275, 1308–1317.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2017.09.069

Abiodun, O. I., Jantan, A., Omolara, A. E., Dada, K. V., Mohamed, N. A., and Arshad, H.
(2018), "State-of-the-art in artificial neural network applications: A survey", *Heliyon*, 4, e00938.

- Almasri, N., Sadhu, A., and Ray Chaudhury, S. (2020). "Towards compressed sensing of
 structural monitoring data using discrete cosine transform.", *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 34(1), 04019041.
- Aria, A., Droguett, E. L., Azarm, S. & Modarres, M. (2019). "Estimating damage size and
 remaining useful life in degraded size and remaining useful life in degraded structures using deep
 learning-based multi-source data fusion". *Structural Health Monitoring*, https://doi.org/10.1177
 /1475921719890616.

Atha, D. J., & Jahanshahi, M. R. (2018). Evaluation of deep learning approaches based on
convolutional neural networks for corrosion detection. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 17(5), 1110–
1128. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921717737051</u>.

- Azimi, M., and Pekcan, G. (2019). "Structural health monitoring using extremely compressed
 data through deep learning". *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 1-18.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12528.
- Bao, Y., Chen, Z., Wei, S., Xu, Y., Tang, Z. and Li, H. (2019), "The state of the art of data science and engineering in structural health monitoring", *Engineering*, 5(2), 234-242.
- Bao, Y., Tang, Z., Li, H., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Computer vision and deep learning-based data
 anomaly detection method for structural health monitoring. Structural Health Monitoring, 18(2),
 401–421. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921718757405.
- Barbosh, M., Singh, P., and Sadhu, A. (2020), "Empirical mode decomposition and its variants: a review with applications in structural health monitoring", *Smart Materials and Structures*, 29(9).
- Behrouzi, A., & Pantoja, M. (2018). "Software Toolset To Enable Image Classification of
 Earthquake Damage To Above-Ground Infrastructure". *16th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Thessaloniki, 18-21 June 2018, 1–10.
- Boddupalli, C., Sadhu, A., Azar, E. and Pattyson, S. (2019). "Improved visualization of
 infrastructure monitoring data using building information modeling". *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 15(9), 1247 1263.
- Bull, L., Worden, K., Manson, G., and Dervilis, N. (2018), "Active learning for semisupervised structural health monitoring", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 437, 373-388.
- Bull, L. A., Rogers, T. J., Wickramarachchi, C., Cross, E. J., Worden, K. and Dervilis, N.
 (2019), "Probabilistic active learning: An online framework for structural health monitoring", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 134, 1 20.
- Cawley, P., (2018), "Structural health monitoring: closing the gap between research and industrial deployment", *Structural Health Monitoring*, 17(5), 1225 – 1244.
- Cha, Y. J., Choi, W. and Buyukozturk, O. (2017), "Deep learning-based crack damage
 detection using convolutional neural networks", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 32, 361 378.
- Cha, Y. J., Choi, W., Suh, G. and Mahmoudkhani, S. (2018), "Autonomous structural visual
 inspection using region-based deep learning for detecting multiple damage types", *Computer- Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 33, 731 747.
- Chaiyasarn, K., Sharma, M., Ali, L., Khan, W., & Poovarodom, N. (2018). Crack detection in
 historical structures based on Convolutional Neural Network. International Journal of GEOMATE,
 15(51), 240–251. https://doi.org/10.21660/2018.51.35376.
- 977 Chen, F. C. and Jahanshahi, M. R. (2018), "NB-CNN: Deep learning-based crack detection
 978 using convolutional neural network and naïve Bayes data fusion", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial*979 *Electronics*, 65 (5), 4392 4400.
- Cheng, J. C. P., & Wang, M. (2018). Automated detection of sewer pipe defects in closedcircuit television images using deep learning techniques. Automation in Construction, 95, 155–
 171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.08.006
- Dabous, S., and Feroz, S. (2020), "Condition monitoring of bridges with noncontact testing
 technologies", *Automation in Construction*, 116, 103224.

- Deng, J., Lu, Y. and Lee, V. C.-S. (2019), "Concrete crack detection with handwriting script
 interferences using faster region-based convolutional neural network", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 1 17.
- Dick, K., Russell, L., Dosso, Y. S. and Kwamena, F. (2019), "Deep learning for critical infrastructure resilience", *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 25(2).
- Dorafshan, S., Thomas, R. J., Coopmans, C., & Maguire, M. (2018a). Deep Learning Neural
 Networks for sUAS-Assisted Structural Inspections: Feasibility and Application. 2018
 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems, ICUAS 2018, (June), 874–882.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICUAS.2018.8453409.
- Dorafshan, S., Thomas, R. J. and Maguire, M. (2018b), "SDNET2018: An annotated image
 dataset for non-contact concrete crack detection using deep convolutional neural networks", *Data in Brief*, 21, 1664 1668.
- Dorafshan, S., Thomas, R. J. and Maguire, M. (2018c), "Comparison of deep convolutional
 neural networks and edge detectors for image-based crack detection in concrete", *Construction and Building Materials*, 186, 1061 1045.
- Doulamis, A., Doulamis, N., Protopapadakis, E., & Voulodimos, A. (2018). Combined
 convolutional neural networks and fuzzy spectral clustering for real-time crack detection in
 tunnels. Proceedings International Conference on Image Processing, ICIP, 4153–4157.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIP.2018.8451758
- Dung, C. V., and Duc Anh, L. (2019), "Autonomous concrete crack detection using deep fully
 convolutional neural network", *Automation in Construction*, 99, 52 58.
- Fallahian, M., Khoshnoudian, F., & Meruane, V. (2018). Ensemble classification method for
 structural damage assessment under varying temperatures. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 17(4),
 747–762. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921717717311
- Fan, Z., Wu, Y., Lu, J. and Li, W. (2018), "Automatic pavement crack detection based on
 structural prediction with the convolutional neural network", *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02208*.
- Fan, R., Bocus, M. J., Zhu, Y., Jiao, J., Wang, L., Ma, F., Cheng, S., and Liu, M. (2019), "Road
 crack detection using deep convolutional neural network and adaptive thresholding", *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1904.08582.*
- Feng, C., Liu M.-Y., Kao C.-C. and Lee, T.-Y. (2017), "Deep active learning for civil infrastructure defect detection and classification", *Computing in Civil Engineering 2017: Information Modeling and Data Analytics*, 298 306.
- Feng, C., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Li, Y., Wang, H. and Yan, F. (2019), "Structural damage
 detection using deep convolutional neural network and transfer learning", *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 23(10), 4493 4502.
- 1020
- Feng, D., & Feng, M. Q. (2018). Computer vision for SHM of civil infrastructure: From
 dynamic response measurement to damage detection A review. Engineering Structures, 156,
 1023 105–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2017.11.018</u>
- Funahashi, K-I, and Nakamura, Y. (1993). Approximation of dynamical systems by a
 continuous-time recurrent neural network, *Neural Network*, 6(6), 801-806.

Gao, Y. and Mosalam, K. M. (2018), "Deep transfer learning for image-based structural
damage recognition", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 33, 748 – 768.

1028 Gomes, G. F., Mendéz, Y. A. D., da Silva Lopes Alexandrino, P., da Cunha, S. S., & Ancelotti, A. C. (2018). The use of intelligent computational tools for damage detection and identification 1029 with review. Composite 1030 an emphasis on composites А Structures. _ 1031 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.05.002.

Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y. and Courville, A. (2016), "Deep Learning", *Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning Series*, MIT Press.

Gopalakrishnan, K., Khaitan, S. K., Choudhary, A. and Agrawal, A. (2017), "Deep
convolutional neural networks with transfer learning for computer vision-based data-driven
pavement distress detection", *Construction and Building Materials*, 157, 322 – 330.

1037 Gopalakrishnan, K., Gholami, H., & Agrawal, A. (2018). Crack Damage Detection in 1038 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Images of Civil Infrastructure Using Pre-Trained Deep Learning Model. Journal 1039 International for **Traffic** and **Transport** Engineering, 1 - 14. 8(1). 1040 https://doi.org/10.7708/ijtte.2018.8(1).01.

1041 Gu et al. (2017), "Recent advances in convolutional neural networks", *Pattern Recognition*.

Gulgec, N. S., Takac, M. and Pakzad, S. N. (2017), "Structural damage detection using
convolutional neural networks", *Conference Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Mechanics Series*, 331 – 337.

Guo, J., Xie, X., Bie, R. and Sun, L. (2014), "Structural health monitoring by using a sparse
coding-based deep learning algorithm with wireless sensor networks", *Pers Ubiquit Comput*, 18,
1977 – 1987.

Hinton, G. E., Osindero, S., and Teh, Y.-W. (2006). A fast learning algorithm for deep belief
nets. Neural Computation, 18(7), 1527–1554.

Hoskere, V., Narazaki, Y., Hoang, T. A., and Spencer Jr., B. F. (2017), "Vision-based
 structural inspection using multi-scale deep convolutional neural networks", 3rd Huixian
 International Forum on Earthquake Engineering for Young Researchers, August 2017.

Hoskere, V., Narazaki, Y., Hoang, T. A., & Spencer, B. F. (2018). "Towards Automated PostEarthquake Inspections with Deep Learning-based Condition-Aware Models". The 7th World
Conference on Structural Control and Monitoring, 7WCSCM, July 22-25, 2018, Qingdao, China.
Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.09195.

Hoskere, V., Eick, B., Spencer, B. F., Smith, M. D., & Foltz, S. D. (2019). "Deep Bayesian
neural networks for damage quantification in miter gates of navigation locks", *Structural Health Monitoring*, 1-30.

He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S. & Sun, J. (2015). Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition,
arxiv:1512.03385.

Jahanshahi, M. R., Kelly, J. S., Masri, S. F. and Sukhatme, G. S. (2009), "A survey and
evaluation of promising approaches for automatic image-based defect detection of bridge
structures", *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 5(6), 255-486.

Jiang, S., and Zhang, J. (2019), "Real-time crack assessment using deep neural networks with
 the wall-climbing unmanned aerial system", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12519.

Kankanamge, Y., Hu, Y. and Shao, X. (2020), "Application of wavelet transform in structural
health monitoring." *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, 19, 515-532.

Kang, D. and Cha, Y.-J. (2018). Damage detection with an autonomous UAV using deep
learning. In Sensors and Smart Structures Technologies for Civil, Mechanical, and Aerospace
Systems 2018 (Vol. 1059804, p. 4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2295961</u>.

Kang, M-S., Kim, N., Lee, J. J, and An, Y-K. (2020). Deep learning-based automated
 underground cavity detection using three-dimensional ground penetration radar. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 2018, 19(1), 173-185.

1076 Khodabandehlou, H., Pekcan, G., and Fadali, M. S. (2018), "Vibration-based structural
1077 condition assessment using convolution neural networks", *Structural Control and Health*1078 *Monitoring*, 26, e2308.

1079 Kim, B. and Cho, S. (2018), "Automated vision-based detection of cracks on concrete surface 1080 using a deep-learning technique", *Sensors*, 18(10), 1-18.

1081 Kim, I. H., Jeon, H., Baek, S. C., Hong, W. H., & Jung, H. J. (2018). Application of crack
1082 identification techniques for an aging concrete bridge inspection using an unmanned aerial vehicle.
1083 Sensors (Switzerland), 18(6), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18061881

1084 Kim, H. and Sim, S.-H. (2019), "Automated peak picking using region-based convolutional
1085 neural network for operational modal analysis", *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 1 –
1086 16.

Kiranyaz, S., Avci, O., Abdeljaber, O., Ince, T., Gabbouj, M., and Inman, D. J. (2019), "1D
convolutional neural networks and applications – a survey", *Mechanical Systems and Signal processing*.

1090 Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2017). ImageNet classification with deep 1091 convolutional neural networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 60(6), 84–90.

Koch, C., Georgieva, K., Kasireddy, V., Akinci, B., & Fieguth, P. (2015). A review on
computer vision-based defect detection and condition assessment of concrete and asphalt civil
infrastructure. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 29(2), 196–210.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2015.01.008

Lattanzi, D. and Miller, G. (2017), "Review of robotic infrastructure inspection systems",
 Journal of Infrastructure Systems, 23(3), 04017004.

1098 Lecun, Y., Bengio, Y., and Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436–444.

Lecun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., and Haffner, P. (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 86(11), 2278–2324.

Lei, Y., Yang, B., Jiang, X., Jia, F., Li, N., and Nandi, A. K. (2020). "Application of machine
learning to machine fault diagnosis: A review and roadmap". *Mechanical Systems and Signal processing*, 138, 106587.

- Lee, S., Ha, J., Zokhiroba, M., Moon, H., & Lee, J. (2018). "Background information of deep
 learning for structural engineering. *Arch Computat Methods Eng*, 25, 121-129.
- Lee, Y. S., Vakakis, A. F., Mcfarland, D. M., & Bergman, L. A. (2014). Crack detection and
 characterization techniques-An overview. Structural Control and Health Monitoring, 21(June),
 742–760. https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.
- Li, S. and Zhao, X. (2018), "Convolutional neural networks-based crack detection for real concrete surface", Proceedings of the SPIE.
- Li, S., Zhao, X. and Zhou G. (2019), "Automatic pixel-level multiple damage detection of
 concrete structure using fully convolutional network", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 34, 616 634.
- Liang, X. (2018), 'Image-based post-disaster inspection of reinforced concrete bridge systems
 using deep learning with Bayesian optimization", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 34, 415 430.
- Lin, Y.-Z., Nie, Z.-H. and Ma, H.-W. (2017), "Structural damage detection with automatic
 feature-extraction through deep learning", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*,
 32, 1025 1046.
- Lin, Z., Pan, H., Gui, G., & Yan, C. (2018). Data-driven structural diagnosis and conditional 1120 assessment: from shallow to deep learning. In Sensors and Smart Structures Technologies for 1121 1122 Civil, Mechanical, and Aerospace **Systems** 2018 (Vol. 1059814, p. 38). https://doi.org/10.1117/12.229696 1123
- Maeda, H., Sekimoto, Y., Seto, T., Kashiyama, T. and Omata, H. (2018a), "Road damage
 detection using deep neural networks with images capture through a smartphone", *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.09454*.
- Maeda, H., Sekimoto, Y., Seto, T., Kashiyama, T., & Omata, H. (2018b). Road Damage
 Detection and Classification Using Deep Neural Networks with Smartphone Images. ComputerAided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 33(12), 1127–1141.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12387
- Makantasis, K., Protopapadakis, E., Doulamis, A., Doulamis, N., & Loupos, C. (2015). Deep
 Convolutional Neural Networks for efficient vision-based tunnel inspection. In Proceedings 2015
 IEEE 11th International Conference on Intelligent Computer Communication and Processing,
 ICCP 2015 (pp. 335–342). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCP.2015.7312681.
- Mei, Q. and Gul, M. (2020), "Multi-level feature fusion in densely connected deep-learning
 architecture and depth-first search for crack segmentation on images collected with smartphones", *Structural Health Monitoring*, https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921719896813
- 1138 Mohan, A. and Poobal, S. (2018), "Crack detection using image processing: A critical review 1139 and analysis", *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 57, 787-798.
- Napolitano, R., Blyth, A., and Glisic, B. (2018), "Virtual environments for visualizing
 structural health monitoring sensor networks, data, and metadata.", *Sensors*, 18(1).

- 1142 Narazaki, Y., Hoskere, V., Hoang, T. A. and Spencer Jr., B. F. (2017), "Automated vision1143 based bridge component extraction using multiscale convolutional neural networks", 3rd Huixian
 1144 International Forum on Earthquake Engineering for Young Researchers, August 2017.
- Ni, F., Zhang, J., and Noori, M. N. (2019). "Deep learning for data anomaly detection and data
 compression of a long-span suspension bridge", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12528
- Pan, H., Gui, G., Lin, Z., & Yan, C. (2018). Deep BBN Learning for Health Assessment toward
 Decision-Making on Structures under Uncertainties. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 22(3),
 928–940. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-018-1301-2.
- Pathirage, C. S. N., Li, J., Li, L., Hao, H., Liu, W., & Ni, P. (2018). Structural damage
 identification based on auto-encoder neural networks and deep learning. Engineering Structures,
 172, 13–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.05.109.
- Pathirage, C. S. N., Li, J., Li, L., Hao, H., Liu, W., & Wang, R. (2019). Development and
 application of deep learning-based sparse autoencoder framework for structural damage
 identification. Structural Health Monitoring, 18(1), 103–122.
 https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921718800363.
- Patterson, B., Leone, G., Pantoja, M., & Behrouzi, A. (2018). Deep Learning for Automated
 Image Classification of Seismic Damage To Built Infrastructure. *In 11th U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering* (Vol. 93407).
- Perez, H., Tah, J. H. M., & Mosavi, A. (2019). Deep Learning for Detecting Building Defects
 Using Convolutional Neural Networks. Sensors, 19(August), 1–23.
 https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints201908.0068.v1.
- Pouyanfar, S., Sadiq, S., Yan, Y., Tian, H., Tao, Y., Reyes, M. P., Shyu, M., Chen, S.C.,
 Iyenger, S. (2018). "A survey on deep learning: algorithms, techniques, and applications". ACM *Computing Survey*, 51(5).
- 1167 Qarib, H. and Adeli, H. (2016). "A comparative study of signal processing methods for 1168 structural health monitoring". *Journal of Vibroengineering*, 18(4), 2186-2204.
- Rubio, J. J., Kashiwa, T., Laiteerapong, T., Deng, W., Nagai, K., Escalera, S., Nakayama, K.,
 Matuso, Y. and Prendinger, H. (2019), "Multi-class structural damage segmentation using fully
 convolutional networks", *Computers in Industry*, 112, 1 10.
- 1172 Rui, M., Yuntian, G., Liang, G., Zihang, J. and Jie, Z. (2019), "Online defect recognition of 1173 narrow overlap weld based on two-stage recognition model combining continuous wavelet 1174 transform and convolutional neural network", *Computers in Industry*, 112, 1 – 11.
- Sadhu, A., Narasimhan, S., and Antoni, J. (2019), "A review of output-only structural mode
 identification literature employing blind source separation", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 94, 415 431.
- Sandler, M., Howard, M., Zhu, M., Zhmoginov, A., and Chen, L. (2019). "MobileNetV2:
 Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks", *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 4510 4520.
- Salakhutdinov, R. and Hinton, G. E. (2009). Deep Boltzmann machines, *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS)*, 5, 445-448.

1183Salehi, H., & Burgueño, R. (2018). "Emerging artificial intelligence methods in structural1184engineering".EngineeringStructures,171(May),170–189.1185https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.05.084.

Silva, M., Santos, A., Santos, R., Figueiredo, E., Sales, C. & Costa, J. C. (2019). Deep principal
 component analysis: An enhanced approach for structural damage identification. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 18(5), 1444-1463.

- Simonyan, K. & Zisserman, A. (2014). Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale
 Image Recognition. *CoRR*, abs/1409.1556.
- 1191 Singh, P. and Sadhu, A. (2020), "System identification-enhanced visulaization tool for 1192 infrastructure monitoring and maintenance", *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 6, 76.
- Sony, S., Laventure, S. and Sadhu, A. (2019), "A literature review of next-generation smart
 sensing technology in structural health monitoring", *Structural Control & Health Monitoring*, 26,
 e2321.
- Soukup, D. and Huber-Mork, R. (2014), "Convolutional neural networks for steel surface
 defect detection from photometric stereo images", *International Symposium on Visual Computing* (ISVC 2014): Advances in Visual Computing, 668 677.
- Spencer, B. F., Hoskere, V., & Narazaki, Y. (2019). Advances in Computer Vision-Based Civil
 Infrastructure Inspection and Monitoring. *Engineering*, 5(2), 199–222.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2018.11.030
- Stentoumis, C., Protopapadakis, E., Doulamis, A., & Doulamis, N. (2016). A holistic approach
 for inspection of civil infrastructures based on computer vision techniques. In International
 Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences ISPRS
 Archives (Vol. 41, pp. 131–138). https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XLI-B5-131-2016.
- Sun, L., Shang, Z., Xia, Y., Bhowmick, S., Nagarajaiah, S. (2020). "Review of bridge structural
 health monitoring aided by big data and artificial intelligence: from condition assessment to
 damage detection.", *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 146(5), 04020073.
- Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Vanhoucke, V.
 & Rabinovich, A. (2014). Going Deeper with Convolutions, arxiv:1409.4842.
- Tan, M., and Le, Q. V. (2019). "EfficientNet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural network", *ICML*.
- Teng, S., Chen, G., Gong, P., Liu, G. and Cui, F. (2019), "Structural damage detection using convolutional neural network combining strain energy and dynamic response", *Mechanica*.
- Tong, Z., Gao, J., Han, Z. and Wang, Z. (2017), "Recognition of asphalt pavement crack length
 using deep convolutional neural networks", *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, 19(6), 1334 –
 1349.
- Vincent, P., Larochelle, H., Bengio, Y., and Manzagol, P.-A. (2008). Extracting and
 composing robust features with denoising autoencoders. *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Machine Learning* ICML 08.
- Worden, K., Cross, E. J., Dervilis, N., Papatheou, E., Antoniadou, I., (2015). Structural health
 monitoring: from structures to systems-of-systems. *IFAC-PapersOnline*, 48(21), 1-17.

1223 Xu, D., Wen, C., & Liu, J. (2019). Wind turbine blade surface inspection based on deep 1224 learning and UAV-taken images. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, 11, 053305.

Xu, Y., Bao, Y., Chen, J., Zuo, W., & Li, H. (2019). Surface fatigue crack identification in
steel box girder of bridges by a deep fusion convolutional neural network based on consumergrade camera images. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 18(3), 653–674.
https://doi.org/10.1177/1475921718764873

Xue, Y., & Li, Y. (2018). A Fast Detection Method via Region-Based Fully Convolutional
 Neural Networks for Shield Tunnel Lining Defects. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 33(8), 638–654. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12367

- Yang, X., Li, H., Yu, Y., Luo, X., Huang, T. and Yang, X. (2018), "Automated pixel-level
 crack detection and measurement using fully convolutional network", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 33, 1090 1109.
- Yao, G., Tao, L., and Zhong, Jiandin (2019), "A review of convolutional-neural-network-based
 action recognition", *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 118, 14-22.
- Yao, Y., Tung, S., and Glisic, B. (2014), "Crack detection and characterization techniques –
 An Overview", *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 21, 1387-1413.
- Ye, X. W., T. Jin, and C. B. Yun (2019). "A review on deep learning-based structural health monitoring of civil infrastructures." *Smart Structures and Systems*, 24(5), 567-585.
- Yeum, C. M., Dyke, S. J., & Ramirez, J. (2018). Visual data classification in post-event
 building reconnaissance. *Engineering Structures*, 155, 16–24.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2017.10.057
- Ying, Y., Garrett Jr., J. H., Oppenheim, I. J., Soibelman, L., Harley, J. B., Shi, J. and Jin, Y.
 (2013), "Toward data-driven structural health monitoring: application of machine learning and
 signal processing to damage detection", *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 27(6), 667680.
- Zakeri, H., Nejad, F. M. and Fahimifar, A. (2017), "Image-based techniques for crack
 detection, classification and quantification in asphalt pavement: a review", Archives of *Computational Methods in Engineering*, 24(4), 935-977.
- Zhang, A., Wang, K. C. P., Li, B., Yang, E., Dai, X., Peng, Y., Fei, Y., Liu, Y., Li, J. Q. and
 Chen, C. (2017), "Automated pixel-level pavement crack detection on 3D asphalt surfaces using
 a deep-learning network", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 32, 805 819.
- Zhang, K., Cheng, H. D., and Zhang, B. (2018), "Unified approach to pavement crack and
 sealed crack detection using pre-classification based on transfer learning", *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 32(2).
- Zhang, Y., Miyamori, Y., Mikami, S., and Saito, T. (2019). "Vibration-based structural state
 identification by a 1-dimensional convolutional neural network", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 34(9), 822-839.
- Zhang, Y., Sun, X., Loh, K. J., Su, W., Xue, Z. and Zhao, X. (2020). "Autonomous bolt
 loosening detection using deep learning", *Structural Health Monitoring*, 19(1), 105-122.

- Zhao, R., Yan, R., Chen, Z., Mao, K., Wang, P. and Gao, R. X. (2015), "Deep learning and its
 applications to machine health monitoring: a survey", *Journal of Latex Class Files*, 14(8), 1-14.
- 1264 Zhao, X., & Li, S. (2018). Convolutional neural networks-based crack detection for real 1265 concrete surface (p. 143). https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2296536.

Zhao, X., Li, S., Su, H., Zhou, L. & Loh, K. J. (2018). "Image-based comprehensive
maintenance and inspection method for bridges using deep learning", SMASIS2018- 8268.
Proceedings of the ASME 2018 Conference on Smart Materials, Adaptive Structures and
Intelligent Systems SMASIS2018 September 10-12, 2018, San Antonio, TX, USA, 1–7.