Products of Euclidean Metrics, Applied to Proximity Problems among Curves

Ioannis Z. Emiris, Ioannis Psarros

▶ **To cite this version:**

Ioannis Z. Emiris, Ioannis Psarros. Products of Euclidean Metrics, Applied to Proximity Problems among Curves. ACM Transactions on Spatial Algorithms and Systems, ACM, 2020, 6 (4), pp.1-20. 10.1145/3397518 . hal-03045134

HAL Id: hal-03045134
https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03045134

Submitted on 16 Dec 2020

Products of Euclidean metrics, applied to proximity problems among curves

Unified treatment of Discrete Fréchet and Dynamic Time Warping distances

IOANNIS Z. EMIRIS, Department of Informatics & Telecommunications, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, and ATHENA Research & Innovation Center, Maroussi, Greece

IOANNIS PSARROS, Department of Informatics & Telecommunications, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Approximate Nearest Neighbor (ANN) search is a fundamental computational problem, which has benefited from significant progress in the past couple of decades. However, most work has been devoted to pointsets whereas complex shapes have not been sufficiently addressed. Here, we focus on distance functions between discretized curves in Euclidean space: they appear in a wide range of applications, from road segments and molecular backbones to time-series in general dimension. For ℓ_p-products of Euclidean metrics, for any constant p, we propose simple and efficient data structures for ANN, based on randomized projections: these data structures are of independent interest. Furthermore, they serve to solve proximity questions under a notion of distance between discretized curves, which generalizes both discrete Fréchet and Dynamic Time Warping distance functions. These are two very popular and practical approaches to comparing such curves. We offer, for both approaches, the first data structures and query algorithms for ANN with arbitrarily good approximation factor, at the expense of increasing space usage and preprocessing time over existing methods. Query time complexity is comparable or significantly improved by our methods; our algorithm is especially efficient when the length of the curves is bounded. Finally, we focus on discrete Fréchet distance when the ambient space is high dimensional, and derive complexity bounds in terms of doubling dimension as well as an improved approximate near neighbor search.

CCS Concepts: • **Theory of computation** → *Pattern matching*; *Computational geometry*.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Approximate nearest neighbor, polygonal curves, Fréchet distance, dynamic time warping

1 INTRODUCTION

The problem of Nearest Neighbor (NN) search is fundamental in computer science: one has to preprocess a dataset so as to answer proximity queries efficiently, for any given query object. The Approximate Nearest Neighbor (ANN) problem is a relaxation of the above problem where proximity queries are answered approximately: a valid answer realizes a distance to the query which can be larger than the distance between the query and its nearest neighbor, but in a controllable way. ANN has been enjoying a lot of attention, and significant progress has been achieved in the

Authors' addresses: Ioannis Z. Emiris, Department of Informatics & Telecommunications, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, and ATHENA Research & Innovation Center, Maroussi, Panepistimiopolis, Athens, 15784, Greece, emiris@di.uoa.gr; Ioannis Psarros, Department of Informatics & Telecommunications, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, 15784, Athens, Greece, ipsarros@di.uoa.gr.

past couple of decades, both in the theoretical as well as in the practical realm. However, most work has been devoted to vector spaces, and complex objects have not been sufficiently treated in the literature. Here, in order to address one such class of complex objects, we focus on distance functions for polygonal curves, which lie in the Euclidean space. Polygonal curves are essentially point sequences of varying length, and have a wide spectrum of applications, ranging from road segments in low dimensions (e.g. [Werner and Oliver 2018]) to time-series in arbitrary dimension (e.g. [Huang and Kinsner 2002]) and up to protein backbone structures (e.g. [Jiang et al. 2008]). In general, the problem we aim to solve is as follows.

Definition 1.1 (ANN). The input consists of n polygonal curves $V_1, \ldots, V_n$, where each V_i is a sequence $v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{im_i}$ with each $v_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and each $m_i \leq m$ for some pre-specified m. Given distance function $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, and approximation parameter $\epsilon > 0$, preprocess $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ into a data structure such that for any query polygonal curve Q, the data structure reports V_j for which the following holds

$$\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \ d(Q, V_j) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \cdot d(Q, V_i).$$

There are various ways to define dissimilarity or distance between two curves. Two very popular dissimilarity measures are the Discrete Fréchet Distance (DFD) and the Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) distance, which are both widely studied and applied to classification and retrieval problems for various types of data. DFD is a distance metric, unlike DTW which does not satisfy the triangular inequality.

It is common, in distance functions of curves, to involve the notion of a traversal for two curves. Intuitively, a traversal corresponds to a time plan for traversing the two curves simultaneously, starting from the first point of each curve and finishing at the last point of each curve. With time advancing, the traversal advances in at least one of the two curves. Every traversal consists of a sequence of pairs of points, lying in each of the two curves, that have been visited at the same time while traversing. DFD minimizes, over all traversals, the maximum Euclidean distance between two points defining a pair in a traversal. DTW minimizes, over all traversals, the sum of Euclidean distances between points defining a pair in a traversal.

We denote by ℓ_p^d the normed space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_p)$, where for any $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_i |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$. We also use the notation $\tilde{O}(f(d, m, n))$, which hides polylogarithmic factors in $f(d, m, n)$ and polynomial factors in $1/\epsilon$.

1.1 Previous work

The ANN problem has been mainly addressed for datasets consisting of points. Efficient deterministic solutions exist when the dimension is bounded, e.g. [Arya et al. 2011, 2009; Har-Peled et al. 2012] and are based on a notion of Approximate Voronoi Diagrams (AVDs) or tree-based data structures, while for high-dimensional data the state-of-the-art solutions are mainly based either on the concept of Locality Sensitive Hashing (LSH), e.g. [Andoni et al. 2017; Har-Peled et al. 2012], or on random projections, e.g. [Ailon and Chazelle 2009; Anagnostopoulos et al. 2018; Indyk and Naor 2007] extending the celebrated result by Johnson and Lindenstrauss [Johnson and Lindenstrauss 1984]. Another line of work focuses on subsets of general metrics which satisfy some sort of low intrinsic dimension assumption, e.g. [Har-Peled and Mendel 2005]. The *doubling dimension* of a metric space is defined as the logarithm of the minimum number of balls of radius $R/2$ which can cover any ball of radius R in this metric space. We formally define and use this notion in Section 4.1, since it provided the main paradigm today for exploiting low intrinsic dimension.

We have listed only a fraction of the body of work that is available today on pointsets; however, much less is known about distances between curves which, in a sense, are the next more complex type of geometric object.

Let us start with point sequences, which are closely related to curves. For metrics $(M_1, d_1), \ldots, (M_k, d_k)$, we define the ℓ_p-product of $(M_1, d_1), \ldots, (M_k, d_k)$ as the metric with domain $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k$ and distance function

$$d((x_1, \ldots, x_k), (y_1, \ldots, y_k)) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^p(x_i, y_i) \right)^{1/p}.$$

If there exists an ANN data structure with approximation factor c for each $(M_1, d_1), \ldots, (M_k, d_k)$, then one can build a data structure for the ℓ_p-product with approximation factor $O(c \log \log n)$ [Andoni 2009; Indyk 2002].

Let us now focus on curves: several data structures aiming to solve the exact nearest neighbor problem for the (Discrete) Fréchet Distance have been devised, but they are either heuristics or they come with weak performance guarantees [Baldus and Bringmann 2017; Buchin et al. 2017; de Berg et al. 2017; Dütsch and Vahrenhold 2017; Werner and Oliver 2018]. The related range searching problem in two dimensions has been recently studied [Afshani and Driemel 2018]. Notice that when the assumed distance function defines a metric over the domain of curves, solutions for general metrics can be applied (see e.g. [Beygelzimer et al. 2006; Karger and Ruhl 2002]), but further analysis on the performance would be required. In any case, the distance functions studied in this paper do not always define a metric: in particular, DTW does not satisfy the triangular inequality.

All existing *approximate* solutions solve the approximate *near* neighbor problem, which is essentially a decision problem, instead of the optimization ANN problem. In the approximate near neighbor problem, the input consists of a set of n polygonal curves $\mathcal{P}$, an approximation parameter $\epsilon > 0$, and a radius parameter $r > 0$. A data structure for this problem is required to support the following type of query: for any query curve Q, if there exists a curve in $\mathcal{P}$ within distance r, then report any curve within distance $(1 + \epsilon)r$, and if there is no curve within distance $(1 + \epsilon)r$, then report "no". It is known that a data structure for the approximate near neighbor problem can be used as a building block for solving the ANN problem. This procedure has provable guarantees on metrics [Har-Peled et al. 2012], but it is not clear whether it can be extended to non-metric distances such as the DTW.

The first result for DFD by Indyk [Indyk 2002], for any metric $(X, d(\cdot, \cdot))$, achieved an approximation factor of $O((\log m + \log \log n)^{t-1})$, where m is the maximum length of a curve, and $t > 1$ is a trade-off parameter. The solution is based on an efficient data structure for ℓ_∞-products of arbitrary metrics, and achieves space and preprocessing time in $O(m^2|X|)^{t m^{1/t}} \cdot n^{2t}$, and query time in $(m \log n)^{O(t)}$. Table 1 states these bounds for appropriate $t = 1 + o(1)$, hence for a constant approximation factor. It is not clear whether the approach may achieve a $1 + \epsilon$ approximation factor by employing more space.

More recently, a data structure was devised for the DFD of curves defined by the Euclidean metric [Driemel and Silvestri 2017]. The approximation factor is $O(d^{3/2})$. The space required is $O(2^{4md} n \log n + mn)$ and each query costs $O(2^{4md} m \log n)$. They also provide a trade-off between space/query time, and the approximation factor. For space in $O(n \log n + mn)$, they achieve query time in $O(m \log n)$ and approximation factor in $O(m)$. Our methods can achieve any user-desired approximation factor at the expense of a reasonable increase in the space and time complexities.

Furthermore, it is shown that the result establishing an $O(m)$ approximation [Driemel and Silvestri 2017] extends to DTW, whereas the other extreme of the trade-off has remained open.

Table 1 summarizes space and query time complexities, and approximation factors of the main methods for searching among discrete curves under the two main dissimilarity measures.

1.2 Our contribution

Our first contribution is a simple data structure for the ANN problem in ℓ_p-products of finite subsets of ℓ_2^d, for any constant p. The key ingredient is a random projection from points in ℓ_2 to points in ℓ_p. Although this has proven a relevant approach for ANN of pointsets, it is quite unusual to employ randomized embeddings from ℓ_2 to ℓ_p, $p > 2$, in the context of proximity searching because no better data structures are known for such norms. In particular, the extreme case $p = \infty$ is provably harder than the cases $p \in [1, 2]$ [Andoni et al. 2008]. After the random projection, the algorithm "vectorizes" all point sequences. The original problem is then translated to the ANN problem for points in $\ell_p^{d'}$, for $d' \approx d \cdot m$ to be specified later, and can be solved by simple bucketing methods in space $\tilde{O}\left(d'n \cdot (1/\epsilon)^{d'}\right)$ and query time $\tilde{O}(d' \log n)$, which is very efficient when the product $d \cdot m$ is bounded.

Then, we present a notion of distance between two polygonal curves, which generalizes both DFD and DTW (for a formal definition see Definition 3.2): The ℓ_p-*distance* of two curves minimizes, over all traversals, the ℓ_p norm of the vector of all Euclidean distances between paired points. Hence, DFD corresponds to ℓ_∞-distance of polygonal curves, and DTW corresponds to ℓ_1-distance of polygonal curves.

Our main contribution is an ANN data-structure for the ℓ_p-distance of curves, when $1 \le p < \infty$. This easily extends to ℓ_∞-distance of curves by solving for the ℓ_p-distance, where p is sufficiently large. Our target are methods with approximation factor $1 + \epsilon$. Such approximation factors are obtained for the first time, at the expense of larger space or time complexity than in previous methods. Moreover, a further advantage is that our methods solve ANN directly instead of requiring to reduce it to near neighbor search. We recall that a reduction to the near neighbor problem has provable guarantees on metrics [Har-Peled et al. 2012], however we are not aware of an analogous result for non-metric distances such as the DTW.

Specifically, when $p > 2$, we show that there exists a data structure with space and preprocessing time in

$$\tilde{O}\left(n \cdot \left(\frac{d}{p\epsilon} + 2\right)^{O\left(dm \cdot \alpha_{p,\epsilon}\right)}\right),$$

where $\alpha_{p,\epsilon}$ depends only on p, ϵ, and query time in $\tilde{O}(d \cdot 2^{4m+p} \log n)$.

When specialized to DFD and juxtaposed to [Driemel and Silvestri 2017], the two methods are only comparable when ϵ is a large enough fixed constant. Indeed, the two space and preprocessing time complexity bounds are equivalent, i.e. they are both exponential in d and m, but our query time is linear instead of being exponential in d.

When $p \in [1, 2]$, there exists a data structure with space and preprocessing time in

$$\tilde{O}\left(n \cdot 2^{O\left(dm \cdot \alpha_{p,\epsilon}\right)}\right),$$

where $\alpha_{p,\epsilon}$ depends only on p, ϵ, and query time in $\tilde{O}\left(d \cdot 2^{4m} \log n\right)$. This leads to the first approach that achieves $1 + \epsilon$ approximation for DTW at the expense of space, preprocessing and query time complexities being exponential in m. Hence our method is best suited when the curve size is small.

Lastly, we focus on DFD when the ambient space has high dimension, and we discuss complexity bounds in terms of the doubling dimension, while we also design an improved algorithm for approximate near neighbor search.

Our results for DTW and DFD are summarized in Table 1 and juxtaposed to the existing approaches, which were proposed in [Driemel and Silvestri 2017; Indyk 2002].

	Space	Query	Approx.	Comments
DFD	$O(m^2|X|)^{m^{1-o(1)}} \cdot O(n^{2-o(1)})$	$(m\log n)^{O(1)}$	$O(1)$	any metric, determ. [Indyk 2002]
	$\tilde{O}(2^{4md}n)$	$\tilde{O}(2^{4md}\log n)$	$O(d^{3/2})$	ℓ_2^d, rand. [Driemel and Silvestri 2017]
	$\tilde{O}(dm^2n)\left(\frac{d}{\log m}+2\right)^{O(m^{O(1/\epsilon)}d\log(1/\epsilon))}$	$\tilde{O}(dm^{O(1/\epsilon)}2^{4m}\log n)$	$1+\epsilon$	ℓ_2^d, rand., Thm 3.5
	$\tilde{O}(dmn)\left(\frac{\log(2/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2}+2\right)^{O(m^{O(1/\epsilon)}\log^2(2/\epsilon))}$	$\tilde{O}\left(dm^{O(1/\epsilon)}2^{4m}\log n\right)$	$1+\epsilon$	ℓ_2^d, rand., constant $ddim$, Thm 4.4
	$(nm)^{O(m\epsilon^{-2})}+O(dmn)$	$\tilde{O}\left(d\cdot 2^{4m}\cdot\log n\right)$	$1+\epsilon$	ℓ_2^d, rand., Thm 4.7
DTW	$\tilde{O}(dmn)$	$O(m\log n)$	$O(m)$	ℓ_2^d, rand. [Driemel and Silvestri 2017]
	$\tilde{O}(dm^2n)\cdot 2^{O(m\cdot d\log(1/\epsilon))}$	$\tilde{O}(d\cdot 2^{4m}\log n)$	$1+\epsilon$	ℓ_2^d, rand., Thm 3.6
	$\tilde{O}(d\cdot 2^{4m}n^{1+\rho_u})$	$\tilde{O}\left(d\cdot 2^{4m}n^{\rho_q}\right)$	$1+\epsilon$	ℓ_2^d, rand., Thm 3.7

Table 1. Summary of previous results compared to this paper's: X denotes the domain set of the input metric. All methods are randomized except for the first one (Indyk's). We denote by $ddim$ the doubling dimension of the input space. All previous results have been tuned to optimize the approximation factor. Parameters ρ_u, ρ_q are chosen to satisfy $(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{\rho_q}+\epsilon\sqrt{\rho_u} \geq \sqrt{1+2\epsilon}$.

This paper is a follow-up of [Emiris and Psarros 2018]. It consists of refined and polished versions of the results and the proofs that appear there. Some issues are fixed, e.g. the dependence on m in the complexity bounds for DFD, while new results have been added, namely the entire Section 4.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present a data structure for ANN in ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2, which is of independent interest. In Section 3, we employ this result to address the ℓ_p-distance of curves. In Section 4, we focus on DFD when the ambient space is high dimensional. We conclude with future work.

2 ℓ_p-PRODUCTS OF ℓ_2

In this section, we present a simple data structure for the ANN problem in ℓ_p-products of finite subsets of ℓ_2. Recall that the ℓ_p-product of $X_1, \ldots, X_m$, which are finite subsets of ℓ_2, is a metric space with ground set $X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_m$ and distance function:

$$d((x_1,\ldots,x_m),(y_1,\ldots,y_m)) = \|\|x_1-y_1\|_2, \|x_2-y_2\|_2, \ldots, \|x_m-y_m\|_2\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\|x_i-y_i\|_2^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

The algorithm first randomly embeds points from ℓ_2 to ℓ_p. Then, it is easy to reduce the original problem to an ANN problem in ℓ_p for large vectors corresponding to point sequences.

For a random variable X and some probability distribution $\mathcal{D}$, we use $X \sim \mathcal{D}$ to denote the fact that X follows $\mathcal{D}$, while $N(\mu,\sigma^2)$ denotes the normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ denotes expectation, and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes inner product.

2.1 Concentration Inequalities

In this subsection, we prove concentration inequalities for central absolute moments of the normal distribution. Some of these results may be folklore, and the reasoning is quite similar to the one followed by proofs of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma, e.g. [Matoušek 2008]. Notice also that results concerning random projections from ℓ_2 to $\ell_p, p \in [1,2]$ are folklore, but we are also interested in the case $p > 2$. In addition, the properties which are required for ANN searching are weaker than the ones which are typically investigated.

The 2-stability property of standard normal variables, along with standard facts about their absolute moments, imply the following claim.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let G be $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d random variables following $N(0, 1)$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right] = c_p \cdot k \cdot \|v\|_2^p,$$

where $c_p = \dfrac{2^{p/2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ is a constant depending only on $p > 1$.

PROOF. Let $g = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ be a vector of independent random variables which follow $N(0, 1)$ and let v be any vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The 2-stability property of Gaussian random variables implies that $\langle g, v \rangle \sim N(0, \|v\|_2^2)$. Recall the following standard fact for central absolute moments of $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|Z|^p\right] = \sigma^p \cdot \frac{2^{p/2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\langle g_i, v\rangle|^p\right] = k \cdot \|v\|_2^p \cdot \frac{2^{p/2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

□

In the next lemma, we offer a simple upper bound on the moment generating function of $|X|^p$, where $X \sim N(0, 1)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, $p \geq 1$, and $t > 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[exp(-t|X|^p)] \leq exp(-t\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] + t^2\mathbb{E}[|X|^{2p}])$.*

PROOF. We use the easily verified fact that for any $x \leq 1$, $exp(x) \leq 1 + x + x^2$ and the standard inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-t|X|^p}\right] \leq 1 - t \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right] + t^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2p}\right] \leq e^{-t\mathbb{E}[|X|^p]+t^2\mathbb{E}[|X|^{2p}]}.$$

□

In the next lemma, we bound the expectation of a certain variable to a squared power, by a function of the squared expectation of this variable's power. In particular we bound the ratio $\mathbb{E}[|X|^{2p}] / \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]^2 = O\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+1}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{-2}\right)$ by making use of Stirling estimates.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $X \sim N(0, 1)$. Then, there exists constant $C > 0$ such that for any integer $p \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E}[|X|^{2p}] \leq C \cdot 2^p \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]^2$. In addition, there exists constant $C > 0$ such that for any real $p \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E}[|X|^{2p}] \leq C \cdot p^2 \cdot 2^p \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]^2$.*

PROOF. In the following, we denote by $f(p) \approx g(p)$ the fact that $f(p) = \Theta(g(p))$. In addition, $f(p) \gtrsim g(p)$ means that $f(p) = f(p) = \Omega(g(p))$ and $g(p) = O(f(p))$. Notice that if p is a bounded constant then the statement trivially holds, so we focus on bounding the ratio for sufficiently large $p \gg 1$.

First we consider the case of integer p. In what follows, we use the Stirling estimate $n! \approx n^{n+1/2}e^{-n}$ and standard facts about moments of normal variables:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2p}\right] = \frac{2^p \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{2p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \approx (2p-1)!! = \frac{(2p)!}{2^p \cdot p!} \approx \left(\frac{2p}{e}\right)^{2p} \cdot \frac{1}{2^p \cdot \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^p} \approx \frac{2^p p^p}{e^p}.$$

We now analyze

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right]^2 = \left(\frac{2^{p/2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^2 \approx ((p-1)!!)^2,$$

by considering two cases: either p is odd or p is even. If p is odd, then $p-1$ is even, hence

$$((p-1)!!)^2 \approx \left(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 \approx \left(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{p/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^2 \approx \frac{(p-1)^p}{e^p}.$$

If p is even, then

$$((p-1)!!)^2 = \left(\frac{p!}{2^{p/2} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)!}\right)^2 \approx \left(\frac{p^{p+1/2} \cdot e^{-p}}{2^{p/2} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{p/2+1/2} \cdot e^{-p/2}}\right)^2 \approx \frac{p^p}{e^p}.$$

Hence, putting everything together,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2p}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right]^2} \lesssim 2^p \cdot \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \lesssim 2^p,$$

for sufficiently large p.

If p is any real number, we have:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2p}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right]^2} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2\lceil p \rceil}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{\lfloor p \rfloor}\right]^2} \lesssim 2^p \cdot \left(\frac{(p+1)^{p+1}}{(p-2)^{p-1}}\right) \lesssim 2^p \cdot p^2.$$

<div align="right">□</div>

REMARK. *Lemma 2.3 provides two upper bounds on the ratio* $\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2p}\right]/\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right]^2$: *one holds for any real $p \geq 1$ whereas the other is slightly improved for any integer $p \geq 1$. We remark that throughout this paper, we focus on the general case that $p \geq 1$ is real, but slightly better bounds can be obtained if p is an integer.*

Now we pass to a tail inequality, a common tool in establishing the properties of embeddings. The following lemma is the main ingredient of our analysis, since it provides us with a lower-tail inequality for one projected vector. The key observation here is that the random variable $\|Gv\|_p^p$ is essentially a sum of independent Gaussian central absolute moments.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0,1)$ and consider vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $\|v\|_2 = 1$. For appropriate constant $c' > 0$, for $p \geq 1$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$, it holds*

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p^p \leq (1-\delta) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right]\right] \leq e^{-c' \cdot 2^{-p} \cdot p^{-2} \cdot k \cdot \delta^2}.$$

PROOF. As discussed above, in studying the behavior of matrix-vector product Gv it suffices to study some normal variable X. Formally, for $X \sim N(0,1)$ and any $t > 0$,

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p^p \leq (1-\delta) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right]\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-t|X|^p}\right]^k \cdot e^{(t(1-\delta)k \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p])} \leq e^{k(-t \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p] + t^2 \cdot C \cdot 2^p \cdot p^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]^2 + t \cdot (1-\delta) \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p])},$$

where the first inequality holds by Markov's inequality extended to the exponential function and the 2-stability property of standard Gaussian random variables, and the second inequality follows by employing Lemma 2.2 and then Lemma 2.3, where C is the constant appearing in Lemma 2.3. Now, we set $t = \frac{\delta}{2 \cdot C \cdot 2^p \cdot p^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]}$, and the exponent simplifies to one

term proportional to $-\delta^2$, which dominates terms linear in δ. Hence,

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p^p \le (1-\delta)\cdot\mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right]\right] \le e^{-c'\cdot 2^{-p}\cdot p^{-2}\cdot k\cdot\delta^2},$$

for some constant $c' > 0$.   □

Finally, we make use of the following one-sided Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma (see, e.g., [Matoušek 2008]).

THEOREM 2.5. *Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0,1)$ and consider vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for constant $C > 0$,*

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_2 \ge (1+\epsilon)\|v\|_2\sqrt{k}\right] \le e^{-C\cdot k\cdot\epsilon^2},$$

where $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$.

Standard properties of ℓ_p norms imply the loose upper tail inequality below.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $p \ge 2$. Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0,1)$ and consider vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for constant $C > 0$,*

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p \ge (1+\epsilon)\|v\|_2\sqrt{k}\right] \le e^{-C\cdot k\cdot\epsilon^2},$$

where $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$.

PROOF. Since $p \ge 2$, we have that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x\|_p \le \|x\|_2$. Hence, by Theorem 2.5,

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p \ge (1+\epsilon)\|v\|_2\sqrt{k}\right] \le \Pr\left[\|Gv\|_2 \ge (1+\epsilon)\|v\|_2\sqrt{k}\right] \le e^{-C\cdot k\cdot\epsilon^2}.$$

□

Furthermore, a slightly different loose upper tail inequality can be derived when $p \in [1, 2]$.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $p \in [1, 2]$. Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0,1)$ and consider vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for constant $C > 0$,*

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p \ge (3\cdot c_p\cdot k)^{1/p}\|v\|_2\right] \le e^{-C\cdot k},$$

where $c_p = \dfrac{2^{p/2}\cdot\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}$.

PROOF. Let $X \sim N(0,1)$. Then, using $p \le 2$ to get the inequality between integrals, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{|X|^p/3}\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{|x|^p/3-x^2/2}dx \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{x^2/3-x^2/2}dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-x^2/6}dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\cdot\frac{\sqrt{3\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{3}. \quad (1)$$

We now show a lower bound on $c_p = \dfrac{2^{p/2}\cdot\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ for any $p \in [1, 2]$. We first focus on the behavior of the gamma function with input $z \in [1, 3/2]$:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty x^{z-1}e^{-x}dx = \int_0^1 x^{z-1}e^{-x}dx + \int_1^\infty x^{z-1}e^{-x}dx \ge \int_0^1 x^{3/2}e^{-x}dx + \int_1^\infty e^{-x}dx \ge 0.73.$$

Hence, for any $p \in [1, 2]$,

$$c_p = \frac{2^{p/2}\cdot\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \ge \sqrt{2/\pi}\cdot 0.73 \ge 0.58. \quad (2)$$

Now, assume wlog that $\|v\|_2 = 1$. Then,

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p^p \geq 3 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right]\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{|X|^p/3}\right]^k \cdot e^{-k \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]} \leq \frac{3^{k/2}}{e^{k \cdot c_p}} \leq e^{-k(c_p - 0.55)} \leq e^{-k/100},$$

where the first inequality holds by Markov's inequality extended to the (monotonically increasing) exponential function; the second inequality holds by using Inequality (1) for the numerator and Lemma 2.1 to establish the denominator; whereas in the last inequality, we used Inequality (2). □

2.2 Embedding ℓ_2 into ℓ_p

In this subsection, we present our main results concerning ANN for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2. First, we show that a simple random projection maps points from ℓ_2^d to ℓ_p^k, where $k = \tilde{O}(d)$, without contracting norms in an arbitrary fashion, most of the time. In particular, the probability of failure of this scheme decays exponentially with k. For our purposes, there is no need for an almost isometry between norms. Hence, our efforts focus on proving lower tail inequalities which imply that, with good probability, no point lying far away in the original space may correspond to an approximate nearest neighbor in the projected space.

We now prove specific bounds concerning the contraction of distances of the embedded points. Our proof builds upon the inequalities developed in Subsection 2.1.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0, 1)$. Then,*

- *if $2 < p < \infty$ then,*

$$\Pr\left[\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|Gv\|_p \leq \frac{(c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}{1 + \epsilon} \cdot \|v\|_2\right] \leq O\left(\frac{k^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}}{p\epsilon} + 2\right)^d \cdot e^{-c' \cdot 2^{-p} \cdot k \cdot (\epsilon/(2+p\epsilon))^2},$$

- *if $p \in [1, 2]$ then,*

$$\Pr\left[\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|Gv\|_p \leq \frac{(c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}{1 + \epsilon} \cdot \|v\|_2\right] \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^d \cdot e^{-c' \cdot k \cdot (p\epsilon/(2+p\epsilon))^2},$$

where $c' > 1$ is a constant, $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1, we have that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|Gv\|_p^p\right] = c_p \cdot k \cdot \|v\|_2^p$, where $c_p = \pi^{-1/2}2^{p/2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)$. We now employ Lemma 2.4 to derive the second inequality below, for $\delta = \frac{\epsilon p}{2 + p\epsilon}$:

$$\Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p^p \leq \frac{c_p \cdot k}{(1 + \epsilon)^p} \cdot \|v\|_2^p\right] \leq \Pr\left[\|Gv\|_p^p \leq \frac{c_p \cdot k}{1 + p\epsilon/2} \cdot \|v\|_2^p\right] \leq e^{-c' \cdot 2^{-p} \cdot p^{-2} \cdot k \cdot (p\epsilon/(2+p\epsilon))^2},$$

where the first inequality derives from a power expansion, $c' > 0$ is a universal constant, and we have used $1 - \delta = 1/(1 + p\epsilon/2)$.

In order to bound the probability of contraction among all distances, we argue that it suffices to use the strong bound on distance contraction, which is derived in Lemma 2.4, and the weak bound on distance expansion from Corollary 2.6 or Lemma 2.7, for a δ-dense set $N \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, for δ to be specified later. First, a simple volumetric argument [Har-Peled et al. 2012] shows that there exists $N \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that, for all vectors $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, there exists a vector $y \in N$ $\|x - y\|_2 \leq \delta$, and $|N| = O(1/\delta)^d$.

The rest of the proof distinguishes the two cases in the statement.

The first case is $p > 2$. From now on, suppose that for any $u \in N$, $\|Gu\|_p > (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}/(1+\epsilon)$ and $\|Gu\|_p < 2\sqrt{k}$, which are both achieved with probability at least

$$1 - \sum_{u \in N} \left(\Pr\left[\|Gu\|_p \leq (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}/(1+\epsilon) \right] + \Pr\left[\|Gu\|_p \geq 2\sqrt{k} \right] \right) \geq 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^d \cdot e^{-c' \cdot 2^{-p} \cdot p^{-2} \cdot k \cdot (p\epsilon/(2+p\epsilon))^2}, \quad (3)$$

for a constant $c' > 0$, by taking a union bound over all vectors in N, and employing the aforementioned probability bound for the event $\|Gu\|_p \leq (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}/(1+\epsilon)$ and Corollary 2.6 for the event $\|Gu\|_p \geq 2\sqrt{k}$.

Let x be an arbitrary vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|x\|_2 = 1$. Then, there exists $u \in N$ such that $\|x - u\|_2 \leq \delta$. By the triangular inequality we obtain the following:

$$\|Gx\|_p \leq \|Gu\|_p + \|G(x-u)\|_p = \|Gu\|_p + \|x - u\|_2 \left\| G \frac{(x-u)}{\|x-u\|_2} \right\|_p \leq \|Gu\|_p + \delta \left\| G \frac{(x-u)}{\|x-u\|_2} \right\|_p. \quad (4)$$

Let $M = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \|Gx\|_p$. The existence of M is implied by the fact that $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is compact and $x \mapsto \|x\|_p$, $x \mapsto Gx$ are continuous functions. Then, by substituting M into Inequality (4), one obtains

$$M \leq \|Gu\|_p + \delta M \implies M \leq \frac{\|Gu\|_p}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{k}}{1-\delta},$$

where the last inequality holds conditioned on the event analyzed in Equation (3).

Let us apply again the triangular inequality, namely $\|Gu\|_p \leq \|G(u-x)\|_p + \|Gx\|_p = |-1| \cdot \|G(x-u)\|_p + \|Gx\|_p$, which implies

$$\|Gx\|_p \geq \|Gu\|_p - \|G(x-u)\|_p \geq \frac{(c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}{1+\epsilon} - \frac{2\delta\sqrt{k}}{1-\delta} \geq \frac{1-\epsilon/2}{1+\epsilon} \cdot (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p},$$

for $\delta \leq \frac{\epsilon \cdot (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}{2\sqrt{k} + \epsilon \cdot (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}$. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{\delta} = O\left(\frac{k^{1/2-1/p}}{p\epsilon} \right) + 1,$$

and by substituting this bound into Equation (3), we obtain the desired lower bound on the probability of success.

The second case is $p \in [1, 2]$. Now, it is possible to apply a better bound on the distance expansion, namely Lemma 2.7. Let us assume that, for any $u \in N$, it holds $\|Gu\|_p > (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}/(1+\epsilon)$ and $\|Gu\|_p < (3 \cdot c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}$, which are both achieved with probability at least

$$1 - \sum_{u \in N} \left(\Pr\left[\|Gu\|_p \leq (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}/(1+\epsilon) \right] + \Pr\left[\|Gu\|_p \geq (3 \cdot c_p \cdot k)^{1/p} \right] \right) \geq 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^d \cdot e^{-c' \cdot k \cdot (p\epsilon/(2+p\epsilon))^2}, \quad (5)$$

for a constant $c' > 0$, by taking again a union bound over all vectors in N. Once again, we use Inequality (4) to obtain:

$$M \leq \frac{\|Gu\|_p}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{(3 \cdot c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}{1-\delta} \implies \|Gx\|_p \geq \|Gu\|_p - \|Gx - Gu\|_p \geq (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} - \frac{3^{1/p} \cdot \delta}{1-\delta} \right) \implies$$

$$\implies \|Gx\|_p \geq (c_p \cdot k)^{1/p} \cdot \frac{1-\epsilon/2}{1+\epsilon},$$

for $\delta = \epsilon/10 \leq \epsilon/(6(1+\epsilon)+\epsilon)$. By setting $1/\delta = O(1/\epsilon)$ into Equation (5), we obtain the desired bound on the probability of success.   □

Theorem 2.8 implies that the ANN problem for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2 translates to the ANN problem for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_p. The latter easily translates to the ANN problem in $\ell_p^{d'}$. One can then solve the approximate *near* neighbor decision

problem in $\ell_p^{d'}$, by approximating $\ell_p^{d'}$ balls of radius 1 with a regular grid of side length $\epsilon/(d')^{1/p}$. Each approximate ball is essentially a set of $O(1/\epsilon)^{d'}$ cells [Har-Peled et al. 2012]: each cell either contains an index to their respective approximate near neighbor or it is empty. Now, storing non-empty cells in a hashtable suffices for queries: each query is either mapped to an existing bucket in the hashtable, which contains an acceptable answer, or it does not belong to any existing bucket, which implies that all data points are approximately far. Building polylogarithmically-many approximate near neighbor data structures for various radii leads to an efficient solution for the ANN problem [Har-Peled et al. 2012].

THEOREM 2.9. *There exists a data structure which solves the ANN problem for point sequences in ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2, and satisfies the following bounds on performance:*

- *If $p \in [1, 2]$, then space usage and preprocessing time is in*

$$\tilde{O}(dmn) \times \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{O(m \cdot d \cdot \alpha_{p,\epsilon})},$$

  query time is in $\tilde{O}(dm \log n)$, and $\alpha_{p,\epsilon} = \log(1/\epsilon) \cdot (2 + p\epsilon)^2 \cdot (p\epsilon)^{-2}$.
- *If $2 < p < \infty$, then space usage and preprocessing time is in*

$$\tilde{O}(dmn) \times \left(\frac{d}{p\epsilon} + 2\right)^{O(m \cdot d \cdot \alpha_{p,\epsilon})},$$

  query time is in $\tilde{O}\left(dm \cdot 2^p \log n\right)$, and $\alpha_{p,\epsilon} = 2^p \cdot \log(1/\epsilon) \cdot (2 + p\epsilon)^2 \cdot \epsilon^{-2}$.

We assume $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The probability of success is $\epsilon/2$ and can be amplified to $1 - \delta$, by building $\Omega(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon)$ independent copies of the data-structure.

PROOF. For any vector v, $(v)_i$ denotes its ith element. For any two vectors v, u, $v \oplus u$ denotes the concatenation of the two vectors, and for vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_m$, $\bigoplus_i^m v_i$ is equivalent to $v_1 \oplus v_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus v_m$. Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0, 1)$. Matrix G provides the random projection from points in ℓ_2 to points in ℓ_p. Let $\delta_{p,\epsilon} = p\epsilon/(2 + p\epsilon)$. We first consider the case $p > 2$. We employ Theorem 2.8 and we map point sequences in ℓ_2^d to point sequences in ℓ_p^k, for

$$k = \Theta\left(\frac{d \cdot 2^p \cdot p^2 \cdot \log \frac{d}{p\epsilon}}{\delta_{p,\epsilon}^2}\right).$$

Then, we treat points as vectors in the projected space and we concatenate them: for each sequence of m points in $\mathbb{R}^k$, we obtain a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{km}$. We now argue that building a data structure for the ANN problem in ℓ_p^{km} suffices, because the ℓ_p-product-of-ℓ_p distance between two point sequences is equal to the ℓ_p distance of the two vectors produced by concatenating the two sequences. To see that, consider the distance between two sequences of m points in $\mathbb{R}^k$, denoted by $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_m$:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i - y_i\|_p^p\right)^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^d |(x_i)_j - (y_i)_j|^p\right)^{1/p}\right)^p\right)^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^d |(x_i)_j - (y_i)_j|^p\right)^{1/p} = \left(\left\|\bigoplus_{i=1}^m x_i - \bigoplus_{i=1}^m y_i\right\|_p^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Now we analyze the probability that no false positives or false negatives occur by the random projection. Fix a query point sequence $Q = q_1, \ldots, q_m \in \left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^m$ and consider its nearest neighbor $U_* = u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^m$. By a union

bound, the probability of failure for the embedding is at most

$$\Pr\left[\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|Gv\|_p \le \frac{(c_p \cdot k)^{1/p}}{1+\epsilon} \cdot \|v\|_2\right] + \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|Gu_i - Gq_i\|_p^p \le (1+\epsilon)^p \cdot c_p \cdot k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|u_i - q_i\|_2^p\right].$$

By Theorem 2.8, the first probability is $\le \epsilon/10$. Hence, we now bound the second probability. Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|Gu_i - Gq_i\|_p^p\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left[\|G(u_i - q_i)\|_p^p\right] = c_p \cdot k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|u_i - q_i\|_2^p,$$

where the last equality holds by Lemma 2.1. By Markov's inequality, we obtain,

$$Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|Gu_i - Gq_i\|_p^p \le (1+\epsilon)^p \cdot c_p \cdot k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|u_i - q_i\|_2^p\right] \le (1+\epsilon)^{-p}.$$

Hence, the total probability of failure is $\frac{1+\epsilon/10}{(1+\epsilon)^p} \le \frac{1+\epsilon/10}{1+\epsilon}$, and hence the probability of success is at least $1 - \frac{1+\epsilon/10}{1+\epsilon} \ge \epsilon/2$. In the projected space and after concatenation, in order to solve the ℓ_p^{km} instance, we build AVDs [Har-Peled et al. 2012]. The total space usage, and the preprocessing time is

$$\tilde{O}(dmn) \times O(1/\epsilon)^{km} = \tilde{O}(dmn) \times \left(\frac{d}{p\epsilon} + 2\right)^{O(m \cdot d \cdot 2^p \cdot p^2 \cdot \log(1/\epsilon)/\delta_{p,\epsilon}^2)}.$$

The query time is $O((km) \log n) = \tilde{O}(dm2^p \log n)$. The probability of success can be amplified by repetition. By building $\Theta\left(\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon}\right)$ data structures as above, the probability of failure becomes δ.

The same reasoning is valid in the case $p \in [1, 2]$, but it suffices to set

$$k = \Theta\left(\frac{d \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}{\delta_{p,\epsilon}^2}\right).$$

$\square$

When $p \in [1, 2]$, we can also utilize "high-dimensional" solutions for ℓ_p and obtain data structures with complexities polynomial in $d \cdot m$. These data structures are particularly interesting when the complexity of the point sequences dm is considerably higher than $\log n$, since in that case the data structure of Theorem 2.9 requires a prohibitively large amount of storage. Combining Theorem 2.8 with the data structure of [Andoni et al. 2017], we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2.10. *There exists a data structure which solves the ANN problem for point sequences in ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2, $p \in [1, 2]$, and satisfies the following bounds on performance: space usage and preprocessing time is in $\tilde{O}(n^{1+\rho_u} + dnm)$, and the query time is in $\tilde{O}(n^{\rho_q} + dm)$, where ρ_q, ρ_u satisfy:*

$$(1+\epsilon)^p \sqrt{\rho_q} + ((1+\epsilon)^p - 1)\sqrt{\rho_u} \ge \sqrt{2(1+\epsilon)^p - 1}.$$

We assume $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The probability of success is $\epsilon/10$ and can be amplified to $1 - \delta$, by building $\Omega(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon)$ independent copies of the data-structure.

PROOF. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.9. We employ Theorem 2.8 and by Markov's inequality, we obtain:

$$Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|Gv_i - Gu_i\|_p^p \le (1+\epsilon)^p \cdot c_p \cdot k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|v_i - u_i\|_2^p\right] \le (1+\epsilon)^{-p}.$$

Then, by concatenating vectors, we map point sequences to points in ℓ_p^{km}, where $k = \tilde{O}(d)$. For the mapped points in ℓ_p^{km}, we build the LSH-based data structure from [Andoni et al. 2017] which succeeds with high probability $1 - o(1)$. By independence, both the random projection and the LSH-based structure succeed with probability $(\epsilon/2) \times (1 - o(1)) \geq \epsilon/10$. Finally we need an additional space of $O(dnm)$ to store and read the input. □

3 POLYGONAL CURVES

In this section, we show that one can solve the ANN problem for a certain class of distance functions defined on polygonal curves. Since this class is related to ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2, we invoke results of Section 2, and we show an efficient data structure for the case of "short" curves, i.e. when m is relatively small compared to the other complexity parameters.

First, we need to introduce a formal definition of the traversal of two curves.

Definition 3.1. Given polygonal curves $V = v_1, \ldots, v_{m_1}, U = u_1, \ldots, u_{m_2}$, a traversal $T = [(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_t, j_t)]$ is a sequence of pairs of indices referring to a pairing of vertices from the two curves such that:

(1) $i_1, j_1 = 1, i_t = m_1, j_t = m_2$.
(2) $\forall (i_k, j_k) \in T : i_{k+1} - i_k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j_{k+1} - j_k \in \{0, 1\}$.
(3) $\forall (i_k, j_k) \in T : (i_{k+1} - i_k) + (j_{k+1} - j_k) \geq 1$.

Let us define a class of distance functions for polygonal curves. In this definition, it is implied that we use the Euclidean distance to measure distance between any two points. However, the definition could be easily generalized to arbitrary metrics.

Definition 3.2 (ℓ_p-distance of polygonal curves). Given polygonal curves $V = v_1, \ldots, v_{m_1}, U = u_1, \ldots, u_{m_2}$, we define the ℓ_p-distance between V and U as the following function:

$$d_p(V, U) = \min_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \left(\sum_{(i,j) \in T} \|v_i - u_j\|_2^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the set of all possible traversals for V and U.

The above class of distances for curves includes some widely known distance functions. For instance, $d_\infty(V, U)$ coincides with the DFD of V and U (defined for the Euclidean distance). Moreover $d_1(V, U)$ coincides with DTW for curves V, U.

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that there exists a randomized data structure for the ANN problem in ℓ_p products of ℓ_2, with space in $S(n)$, preprocessing time $T(n)$ and query time $Q(n)$, with probability of failure less than 2^{-4m-1}. Then, there exists a data structure for the ANN problem for the ℓ_p-distance of polygonal curves, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with space in $(4e)^{m+1} \cdot S(n)$, preprocessing time $(4e)^{m+1} \cdot T(n)$ and query time $(4e)^{m+1} \cdot Q(n)$, where m denotes the maximum length of a polygonal curve, and the probability of failure is less than $1/2$.*

PROOF. We denote by X the input dataset. Given polygonal curves $V = v_1, \ldots, v_{m_1}, Q = q_1, \ldots, q_{m_2}$, and traversal $T = [(1, 1), (i_2, j_2), (i_3, j_3), \ldots, (m_1, m_2)]$, one can define sequences of $l := |T|$ points $V_T = v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_l}, Q_T = q_{i_1}, \ldots, q_{i_l}$, where we allow for consecutive duplicates, such that $\forall k \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, v_{i_k} is the k-th point in V_T and q_{j_k} is the k-th point in Q_T, if and only if $(i_k, j_k) \in T$.

One traversal of V, Q is uniquely defined by the following parameters: its length , the set of indices $\{k \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \mid i_{k+1} - i_k = 0$ and $j_{k+1} - j_k = 1\}$ for which only Q is progressing and the set of indices $\{k \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \mid i_{k+1} - i_k =$

1 and $j_{k+1} - j_k = 1$} for which both Q and V are progressing. We build one ANN data structure, for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2, for each possible such set of parameters. Each data structure contains at most $|X|$ point sequences which correspond to curves that are compatible to the corresponding set of parameters. We denote by $m = \max(m_1, m_2)$. The total number of data structures is upper bounded by

$$\sum_{l=m}^{2m} \sum_{t=0}^{m} \binom{l}{t} \cdot \binom{l-t}{m-t} \leq \sum_{l=m}^{2m} \sum_{t=0}^{m} \binom{l}{t} \cdot \binom{l}{m-t} = \sum_{l=m}^{2m} \binom{2l}{m} \leq \sum_{l=m}^{4m} \binom{l}{m} = \binom{4m+1}{m+1} \leq (4e)^{m+1}.$$

For any query curve Q, we create all possible point sequences (all possible Q_Ts) and we perform one query per ANN data structure. We report the best answer. The probability that the building of one of the $\leq (4e)^{m+1}$ data structures is not successful is less than $1/2$ due to a union bound.   □

We now investigate applications of the above results to the ANN problem, for certain popular distance functions for curves. We remark that in the following complexity bounds, we make use of an overestimation of the bound provided by Theorem 3.3. In particular we use the term 2^{4m} instead of $(4e)^m$, for brevity.

Discrete Fréchet Distance. DFD is naturally included in the distance class of Definition 3.2 for $p = \infty$. However, Theorem 3.3 is valid only when p is bounded. To overcome this issue, p is set to a suitable large value.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $V = v_1, \ldots, v_{m_1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $U = u_1, \ldots, u_{m_2} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be two polygonal curves. Then for any traversal T of V and U:*

$$(1+\epsilon)^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \max_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\| \leq \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

for $p = \log(|T|) / \log(1 + \epsilon)$.

PROOF. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{|T|}$, Hölder's inequality implies that $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_p \leq (|T|)^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty$. Hence, for $p \geq \log(|T|) / \log(1 + \epsilon)$,

$$(1+\epsilon)^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq |T|^{-1/p} \cdot \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \max_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\| \leq \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in T} \|v_i - u_j\|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

□

THEOREM 3.5. *There exists a data structure for the ANN problem for the DFD of curves, with space and preprocessing time in*

$$\tilde{O}(dm^2 n) \times \left(\frac{d}{\log m} + 2 \right)^{O(m^{O(1/\epsilon)} \cdot d \cdot \log(1/\epsilon))},$$

and query time $\tilde{O}(dm^{O(1/\epsilon)} \cdot 2^{4m} \log n)$, where m denotes the maximum length of a polygonal curve, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The data structure succeeds with probability $1/2$, which can be amplified by repetition.

PROOF. We combine Theorem 3.3 with Theorem 2.9 for

$$p = \frac{\log(2m)}{\log(1+\epsilon)} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log(2m),$$

where the inequality holds because $1 + \epsilon \geq e^{\epsilon/2}$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. Notice that in order to employ the data structure of Theorem 2.9 into Theorem 3.3 we need to amplify the probability of success to $1 - 2^{-4m-1}$. Hence we need $O(m/\epsilon)$

independent constructions which lead to a data structure for the ANN problem for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_p with a total of space and preprocessing time in

$$\tilde{O}(dm^2 n) \times \left(\frac{d}{p\epsilon} + 2 \right)^{O(m \cdot d \cdot \alpha_{p,\epsilon})},$$

and query time in $\tilde{O}(dm^2 2^p \log n)$, where

$$\alpha_{p,\epsilon} = 2^p \cdot \log(1/\epsilon) \cdot (2 + p\epsilon)^2 \cdot \epsilon^{-2} = 2^p \cdot p^2 \cdot \log(1/\epsilon) \cdot (2 + p\epsilon)^2 \cdot (p\epsilon)^{-2} = 2^{O(p)} \log(1/\epsilon).$$

By Theorem 3.3, it suffices to build $(4e)^{m+1} = O(2^{4m})$ such data structures.

Solving the problem for the $d_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ distance (instead of the $d_\infty(\cdot, \cdot)$ distance) introduces an approximation factor to the already approximate solution of Theorem 2.9. By Lemma 3.4, since $p \geq \log |T|/\log(1 + \epsilon)$ for any traversal T, this approximation factor is $(1 + \epsilon)$, and hence we get an overall approximation factor of $(1 + \epsilon)^2$ which can be reduced to $1 + \epsilon$ by rescaling $\epsilon \leftarrow \epsilon/4$.

□

Dynamic Time Warping. DTW corresponds to the ℓ_1-distance of polygonal curves in Definition 3.2. Let us now combine Theorem 3.3 with each of the Theorems 2.9 and 2.10.

THEOREM 3.6. *There exists a data structure for the ANN problem for DTW of curves, with space and preprocessing time*

$$\tilde{O}(dm^2 n) \times \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \right)^{O(m \cdot d \cdot \epsilon^{-2})},$$

and query time $\tilde{O}(d \cdot 2^{4m} \log n)$, where m denotes the maximum length of a polygonal curve, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The data structure succeeds with probability $1/2$, which can be amplified by repetition.

PROOF. We first amplify the probability of success for the data structure of Theorem 2.9 to $1 - 2^{-4m-1}$. Hence, the data structure for the ANN problem for ℓ_1-products of ℓ_1 needs space and preprocessing time in

$$\tilde{O}(dm^2 n) \times 2^{O(m \cdot d \cdot \alpha_{p,\epsilon})},$$

and each query time costs $\tilde{O}(dm^2 \log n)$, where $\alpha_{p,\epsilon} = \log(1/\epsilon) \cdot (2 + \epsilon)^2 \cdot (\epsilon)^{-2}$. Theorem 3.3 concludes the proof. □

THEOREM 3.7. *There exists a data structure for the ANN problem for DTW of curves, with space and preprocessing time $\tilde{O}(d \cdot 2^{4m} n^{1+\rho_u})$, and the query time is in $\tilde{O}(d \cdot 2^{4m} n^{\rho_q})$, where ρ_q, ρ_u satisfy:*

$$(1 + \epsilon)\sqrt{\rho_q} + \epsilon\sqrt{\rho_u} \geq \sqrt{1 + 2\epsilon}.$$

We assume $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The data structure succeeds with probability $1/2$, which can be amplified by repetition.

PROOF. First, amplify the probability of success for the data structure of Theorem 2.10 to $1 - 2^{-4m-1}$, by building independently $\tilde{O}(m)$ such data structures. We substitute the resulting data structure into Theorem 3.3. The resulting space usage and preprocessing time is in

$$\tilde{O}\left((4e)^{m+1} \cdot m(n^{1+\rho_u} + dnm) \right) = \tilde{O}\left(d \cdot 2^{4m} n^{1+\rho_u} \right),$$

and the query time is in

$$\tilde{O}\left((4e)^{m+1} \cdot m(n^{\rho_q} + dm) \right) = \tilde{O}\left(d \cdot 2^{4m} n^{\rho_q} \right).$$

□

4 DISCRETE FRÉCHET DISTANCE IN HIGH DIMENSIONS

In this section, we focus on the Discrete Fréchet distance, when the dimension of the ambient space is high, i.e. $d = \omega(\log n)$. We combine known results on random projections in order to establish a scheme which is sensitive to the intrinsic dimensionality of the vertex-points, and an improved result for the approximate near neighbor problem.

4.1 Low doubling dimension

For any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we define $d(x, X) = \inf_{y \in X} \|x - y\|_2$. We also need the following definition of the doubling constant of some arbitrary metric space.

Definition 4.1. Consider any metric space with ground set X and let $B(p, r)$ be the metric ball centered at $p \in X$ with radius r. The *doubling constant* of X, denoted by λ_X, is the smallest integer λ_X such that for any $p \in X$ and $r > 0$, the ball $B(p, r)$ (in X) can be covered by at most λ_X balls of radius $r/2$ centered at points in X.

The notion of doubling dimension of a metric space is also relevant. The *doubling dimension* is equal to the logarithm of the doubling constant.

THEOREM 4.2. [Indyk and Naor 2007, Thm 4.1] *Let G be a $k \times d$ matrix with i.i.d. random variables following $N(0, 1)$ and let matrix $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} G$. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, there exists $k = O\left(\frac{\log(2/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \log(1/\delta) \cdot \log \lambda_X\right)$ such that, for every $x_0 \in X$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,*

(1) $d(Ax_0, A(X \setminus \{x_0\})) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \, d(x_0, X \setminus \{x_0\})$,
(2) every $x \in X$ with $\|x_0 - x\|_2 > (1 + 2\epsilon) \, d(x_0, X \setminus \{x_0\})$ satisfies

$$\|Ax_0 - Ax\|_2 > (1 + \epsilon) \, d(x_0, X \setminus \{x_0\}),$$

where for any set X, we let $A(X) = \{Ax \mid x \in X\}$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let λ_X be the doubling constant of the input dataset, i.e. the doubling constant of the set of all points appearing in the data point sequences. Let m be the (maximum) length of the point sequences. There exists a data structure which solves the ANN problem for point sequences in ℓ_∞-products of ℓ_2, and satisfies the following bounds on performance: space usage and preprocessing time in*

$$\tilde{O}\left(dmn\right) \times \left(\frac{\log \lambda_X \cdot \log(2/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2} + 2\right)^{O(m^{O(1/\epsilon)} \cdot \log^2(2/\epsilon) \cdot \log \lambda_X)},$$

query time in $\tilde{O}\left(dm^{O(1/\epsilon)} \cdot \log \lambda_X \cdot \log n\right)$, where $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. For any query point sequence, the preprocessing algorithm succeeds with constant probability.

PROOF. First, we employ Theorem 4.2 for $\delta = 1/(2m)$. Then, by a union bound over the m points in the query point sequence, we have that with probability $1/2$, the approximate nearest neighbor under the ℓ_∞-product of ℓ_2 metric, is approximately preserved (as guaranteed by Theorem 4.3). Now, we are able to invoke Theorem 2.9 for large enough $p = \frac{\log m}{1 + \epsilon} \leq 2\epsilon^{-1} \log m$, and $d = O\left(\frac{\log(2/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \log m \cdot \log \lambda_X\right)$. This large value of p guarantees that the multiplicative approximation factor which is introduced when solving an ℓ_p-product instance instead of an ℓ_∞-product instance is $1 + \epsilon$, which is a consequence of Hölder's inequality (as in the proof of Lemma 3.4). Since we invoke a $1 + \epsilon$ approximate solution for the ℓ_p-product of ℓ_2 instance, we have an overall approximation of $(1 + \epsilon)^2$ which can be reduced to $1 + \epsilon$ by rescaling $\epsilon \leftarrow \epsilon/4$. □

Theorem 4.3 has immediate implications for the ANN problem under the DFD.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let λ_X be the doubling constant of the input dataset, i.e. the doubling constant of the set of all points-vertices of the polygonal curves. There exists a data structure for the ANN problem for the DFD of curves, with space and preprocessing time in*

$$\tilde{O}(dmn) \times \left(\frac{\log \lambda_X \cdot \log(2/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2} + 2 \right)^{O(m^{O(1/\epsilon)} \cdot \log^2(2/\epsilon) \cdot \log \lambda_X)},$$

and query time in $\tilde{O}\left(dm^{O(1/\epsilon)} 2^{4m} \cdot \log \lambda_X \cdot \log n \right)$, where m denotes the maximum length of a polygonal curve, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The data structure succeeds with probability $1/2$, which can be amplified by repetition.

PROOF. We combine Theorem 3.3 with Theorem 4.3. □

4.2 Approximate near neighbors in high dimensions

All results so far concern the ANN problem. In this subsection, we focus on the simplified task of deciding the approximate near neighbor problem with witness. Given a dataset $\mathcal{P}$ of curves, a radius parameter $r > 0$ and an error parameter $\epsilon > 0$, the goal is to build a data structure which supports the following type of query. For a query curve Q:

- if there exists a curve in $\mathcal{P}$ at distance $\leq r$ from Q, then return a curve in $\mathcal{P}$ at distance $\leq (1 + \epsilon)r$,
- if all curves in $\mathcal{P}$ are at distance $> (1 + \epsilon)r$ from Q, then return "no".

In short, the data structure returns either a point at distance $\leq (1 + \epsilon)r$ from Q, or "no". In the intermediate case that the nearest curve lies at some distance in $(r, (1 + \epsilon)r]$, the data structure returns any answer.

Given a set of n points, if the dimension is $\omega(\log n)$, then one can apply the Johnson-Lindestrauss lemma to reduce the dimension to $O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2} \right)$, while probabilistically preserving the Euclidean norms up to $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ factors. The main observation in this subsection is that, since we focus on the approximate near neighbor problem, we may use as target space the Hamming space of dimension $O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2} \right)$ and, thus, significantly simplify the task of searching in the projection space. The reason for which it is possible to employ a Hamming target space is that we only need to ensure the following condition: points with distance below a given threshold must remain near after the projection, while points with distance beyond the threshold must remain far apart.

The main ingredient is a randomized mapping from ℓ_1^d to $\{0, 1\}^{O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2} \right)}$. This mapping also appears in [Alman et al. 2016], and it resembles ideas which appear in [Kushilevitz et al. 2000], and [Avarikioti et al. 2016]. We include the proof for completeness.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set of n points. There exists a distribution over mappings $f : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{O(\epsilon^{-2} \log n)}$, such that for any $p, q \in X$,*

$$\|p - q\|_1 \leq r \implies \|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 \leq r',$$
$$\|p - q\|_1 \geq (1 + \epsilon)r \implies \|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 > r',$$

where r' is a constant depending on the target dimension. The randomized embedding succeeds with high probability.

PROOF. We denote by F the Locality Sensitive Hashing family of [Andoni and Indyk 2006], which is $(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, 1 - \frac{c}{c+\alpha}, 1, c)$-sensitive: if $\|x - y\|_1 \leq 1$ then $\Pr_{h \in F}[h(x) = h(y)] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$, and if $\|x - y\|_1 \geq c$ then $\Pr_{h \in F}[h(x) = h(y)] \leq 1 - \frac{c}{\alpha+c}$. We build the amplified family of functions $G_k = \{g(x) = (h_1(x), \ldots, h_k(x)) : i = 1, \ldots, k, \ h_i \in F\}$. Setting

$\alpha = k = \log n$, we have:

$$p_1 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^k = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\log n} \geq \left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\log n - 1}\right)\right)^{\log n} \geq \frac{1}{e^{1+o(1)}},$$

$$p_2 = \left(1 - \frac{c}{\alpha + c}\right)^k = \left(1 - \frac{c}{\log n + c}\right)^{\log n}.$$

Hence,

$$p_2 \geq \exp(-c) \geq \frac{1}{e \cdot (2c - 1)},$$

and

$$p_2 \leq \exp\left(-\frac{c}{1 + \frac{c}{\log n}}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{c}{1 + o(1)}\right) \leq \exp\left(-c + o(1)\right) \leq \frac{e^{o(1)}}{ec}.$$

We first sample $g_1 \in G_k$. We denote by $g_1(P)$ the image of P under g_1, which is a set of nonempty buckets. Now each nonempty bucket $x \in g_1(P)$ is mapped to $\{0, 1\}$: with probability $1/2$, set $f_1(x) = 0$, otherwise set $f_1(x) = 1$.

This is repeated d' times, and eventually for $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we compute the function

$$f(p) = (f_1(g_1(p)), \ldots, f_{d'}(g_{d'}(p))),$$

where $f : P \to \{0, 1\}^{d'}$. Now, observe that

$$\|p - q\|_2 \leq r \implies \mathbb{E}[\|f_i(g_i(p)) - f_i(h_i(q))\|_1] \leq 0.5(1 - p_1), \ i = 1, \ldots, d' \implies \mathbb{E}[\|f(p) - f(q)\|_1] \leq 0.5 \cdot d' \cdot (1 - p_1),$$

$$\|p - q\|_2 \geq cr \implies \mathbb{E}[\|f_i(g_i(p)) - f_i(g_i(q))\|_1] \geq 0.5(1 - p_2), \ i = 1, \ldots, d' \implies \mathbb{E}[\|f(p) - f(q)\|_1] \geq 0.5 \cdot d' \cdot (1 - p_2).$$

Finally, the lemma holds by standard Chernoff bounds, and by making use of the bounds on p_1, p_2. □

THEOREM 4.6. *There exists a data structure which solves the approximate near neighbor problem for point sequences in ℓ_∞-products of ℓ_2, and satisfies the following bounds on performance: space usage and preprocessing time in $O(dnm) + (nm)^{O(m\epsilon^{-2})}$, query time in $\tilde{O}(m \log n)$. For any query point sequence, the preprocessing algorithm succeeds with constant probability.*

PROOF. First, we randomly project points from ℓ_2^d to $\ell_1^{\tilde{O}(d)}$, while approximately preserving all distances up to factors $1 \pm \epsilon$ (see e.g. [Matoušek 2008]). Then, we employ Lemma 4.5 to sample a mapping $f(\cdot)$ which allows us to map points to $\{0, 1\}^k$, $k = O(\epsilon^{-2} \log(nm))$. Data point sequences are stored in a hash table (assuming perfect hashing). Any point sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ is associated to a tuple of strings $(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_m))$ (or equivalently a string of length km), which serves as a key. Now, for each data point sequence $p_1, \ldots, p_m$, we store pointers to all buckets with keys $(t_1, \ldots, t_m) \in \left(\{0, 1\}^k\right)^m$, such that $\max_i \|f(p_i) - t_i\|_1 \leq r'$, where r' is defined in Lemma 4.5. For a query sequence $q_1, \ldots, q_m$, we compute $(f(q_1), \ldots, f(q_m))$ and we probe the hashtable to return a pointer to a near neighbor (if any). Hence, we need a total of $O(dmn + n2^{km}) = O(dmn) + (nm)^{O(m\epsilon^{-2})}$ of storage. The query time is $O(dm + km) = \tilde{O}(dm \log n)$. □

THEOREM 4.7. *There exists a data structure for the approximate near neighbor problem under the DFD of curves, with space and preprocessing time in $O(dn) + (nm)^{O(m\epsilon^{-2})}$, and query time in $\tilde{O}\left(d \cdot 2^{4m} \cdot \log n\right)$, where m denotes the maximum length of a polygonal curve, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. The data structure succeeds with probability $1/2$, which can be amplified by repetition.*

PROOF. We use the same construction as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Instead of using an ANN data structure as our main building block, we use the data structure of Theorem 4.6. We build $O(2^{4m})$ such data structures, one for each

traversal, and we probe each one of them for an approximate near neighbor in the ℓ_∞-products of ℓ_2 metric. Notice that we only need to store our original dataset once and refer to it with pointers. If one of the data structures returns a data curve, then we stop searching and report that curve. If none of them returns a data curve, then we report "no". □

5 CONCLUSION

Thanks to the simplicity of the approach, we expect it to lead to software of practical interest so we are working on a C++ implementation. We may apply it to real scenarios with data from road segments or time series.

The key ingredient of our approach is a randomized embedding from ℓ_2 to ℓ_p which is the first step to the ANN solution for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_2. The embedding is essentially a Gaussian projection and it exploits the 2-stability property of normal variables, along with standard properties of their tails. We expect that a similar result can be achieved for ℓ_p-products of ℓ_q, where $q \in [1, 2)$. One related result for ANN [Bartal and Gottlieb 2016], offers a dimension reduction for $\ell_q, q \in [1, 2)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

P. Afshani and A. Driemel. 2018. On the complexity of range searching among curves. In *Proc. 28th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2018, New Orleans*. SIAM, 898–917. https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611975031.58

N. Ailon and B. Chazelle. 2009. The Fast Johnson–Lindenstrauss Transform and Approximate Nearest Neighbors. *SIAM J. Comput.* 39, 1 (2009), 302–322. https://doi.org/10.1137/060673096

J. Alman, T. M. Chan, and R. R. Williams. 2016. Polynomial representations of threshold functions and algorithmic applications. In *IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), New Brunswick, NJ, USA*. 467–476. https://doi.org/10.1109/FOCS.2016.57

E. Anagnostopoulos, I. Z. Emiris, and I. Psarros. 2018. Randomized embeddings with slack and high-dimensional approximate nearest neighbor. *ACM Trans. Algorithms* 14, 2 (2018), 18:1–18:21. https://doi.org/10.1145/3178540

A. Andoni. 2009. *NN search: the old, the new, and the impossible.* Ph.D. Dissertation. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/55090

A. Andoni, D. Croitoru, and M. Patrascu. 2008. Hardness of Nearest Neighbor under L-infinity. In *49th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2008, Philadelphia, USA, October 25-28, 2008*. 424–433. https://doi.org/10.1109/FOCS.2008.89

A. Andoni and P. Indyk. 2006. Efficient algorithms for substring near neighbor problem. In *Proc. ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), Miami, Florida*. 1203–1212. http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1109557.1109690

A. Andoni, T. Laarhoven, I. Razenshteyn, and E. Waingarten. 2017. Optimal hashing-based time-space trade-offs for Approximate near neighbors. In *Proc. ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*. Also as arxiv.org/abs/1608.03580.

S. Arya, G. D. da Fonseca, and D. M. Mount. 2011. Approximate Polytope Membership Queries. In *Proc. 43rd Annual ACM Symp. Theory of Computing (STOC'11)*. 579–586. https://doi.org/10.1145/1993636.1993713

S. Arya, T. Malamatos, and D. M. Mount. 2009. Space-time tradeoffs for Approximate nearest neighbor searching. *J. ACM* 57, 1 (2009), 1:1–1:54. https://doi.org/10.1145/1613676.1613677

G. Avarikioti, I. Z. Emiris, I. Psarros, and G. Samaras. 2016. Practical linear-space Approximate Near Neighbors in high dimension. *CoRR* abs/1612.07405 (2016). arXiv:1612.07405 http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.07405

J. Baldus and K. Bringmann. 2017. A Fast Implementation of Near Neighbors Queries for FréChet Distance (GIS Cup). In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (SIGSPATIAL'17)*. Article 99, 99:1–99:4 pages.

Y. Bartal and L. -A. Gottlieb. 2016. Dimension Reduction Techniques for ℓ_p, (1<p<2), with Applications. In *32nd International Symposium on Computational Geometry, SoCG 2016, June 14-18, 2016, Boston, MA, USA*. 16:1–16:15. https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.SoCG.2016.16

A. Beygelzimer, S. Kakade, and J. Langford. 2006. Cover trees for nearest neighbor. In *Proc. 23rd Intern. Conf. Machine Learning (ICML '06)*. 97–104. https://doi.org/10.1145/1143844.1143857

K. Buchin, Y. Diez, T. van Diggelen, and W. Meulemans. 2017. Efficient trajectory queries under the Fréchet distance (GIS Cup). In *Proc. 25th Intern. Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (SIGSPATIAL)*. 101:1–101:4.

M. de Berg, J. Gudmundsson, and A.D. Mehrabi. 2017. A Dynamic Data Structure for Approximate Proximity Queries in Trajectory Data. In *Proc. 25th ACM SIGSPATIAL Intern. Conf. Advances Geographic Information Systems (SIGSPATIAL '17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 48, 48:1–48:4 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3139958.3140023

A. Driemel and F. Silvestri. 2017. Locality-Sensitive Hashing of Curves. In *Proc. 33rd Intern. Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 37:1–37:16.

F. Dütsch and J. Vahrenhold. 2017. A Filter-and-Refinement- Algorithm for Range Queries Based on the Fréchet Distance (GIS Cup). In *Proc. 25th Int. Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (SIGSPATIAL)*. 100:1–100:4.

I. Z. Emiris and I. Psarros. 2018. Products of Euclidean metrics and applications to proximity auestions among curves. In *34th International Symposium on Computational Geometry, SoCG 2018, Budapest, Hungary*. 37:1–37:13. https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.SoCG.2018.37

S. Har-Peled, P. Indyk, and R. Motwani. 2012. Approximate Nearest Neighbor: Towards removing the curse of dimensionality. *Theory of Computing* 8, 1 (2012), 321–350. https://doi.org/10.4086/toc.2012.v008a014

S. Har-Peled and M. Mendel. 2005. Fast construction of nets in low dimensional metrics, and their applications. In *Proc. Symp. Computational Geometry (SoCG 2005)*. 150–158. https://doi.org/10.1145/1064092.1064117

B. Huang and W. Kinsner. 2002. ECG frame classification using Dynamic Time Warping. In *IEEE CCECE2002. Canadian Conf. Electrical & Computer Engin. Conf. Proceedings (No. 02CH37373)*, Vol. 2. 1105–1110. https://doi.org/10.1109/CCECE.2002.1013101

P. Indyk. 2002. Approximate Nearest Neighbor Algorithms for Frechet Distance via Product Metrics. In *Proc. 18th Annual Symp. on Computational Geometry (SoCG '02)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 102–106. https://doi.org/10.1145/513400.513414

P. Indyk and A. Naor. 2007. Nearest-neighbor-preserving Embeddings. *ACM Trans. Algorithms* 3, 3 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1145/1273340.1273347

M. Jiang, Y. Xu, and B. Zhu. 2008. Protein Structure-Structure Alignment with Discrete Fréchet distance. *J. Bioinformatics and Computational Biology* 06, 01 (2008), 51–64. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219720008003278 arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219720008003278

W. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss. 1984. Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space. In *Proc. Conf. Modern analysis and probability (New Haven, Conn., 1982) (Contemporary Mathematics)*, Vol. 26. American Mathematical Society, 189–206.

D.R. Karger and M. Ruhl. 2002. Finding Nearest Neighbors in Growth-restricted Metrics. In *Proc. 34th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '02)*. ACM, New York, USA, 741–750. https://doi.org/10.1145/509907.510013

E. Kushilevitz, R. Ostrovsky, and Y. Rabani. 2000. Efficient Search for Approximate Nearest Neighbor in High Dimensional Spaces. *SIAM J. Comput.* 30, 2 (2000), 457–474. https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539798347177

J. Matoušek. 2008. On Variants of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma. *Random Struct. Algorithms* 33, 2 (Sept. 2008), 142–156. https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.v33:2

M. Werner and D. Oliver. 2018. ACM SIGSPATIAL GIS Cup 2017: Range Queries Under Fréchet Distance. *SIGSPATIAL Special* 10, 1 (June 2018), 24–27. https://doi.org/10.1145/3231541.3231549