

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Abington Jefferson Health Papers

Abington Jefferson Health

10-12-2020

Survival Analysis Based on the Duration of CPR After In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

Ahmad Raza

Abington Jefferson Health

Nayab Nadeem

Abington Jefferson Health

Ahmad Arslan

Abington Jefferson Health

Zain Ali Abington Jefferson Health

Qian Zhang

Abington Jefferson Health Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/abingtonfp

Part of the Cardiology Commons, and the Internal Medicine Commons

<u> Legrent & M. Frond Authoricess to this document benefits you</u>

Recommended Citation

Raza, Ahmad; Nadeem, Nayab; Arslan, Ahmad; Ali, Zain; Zhang, Qian; Sadiq, Usama; and Patel, Rajesh, "Survival Analysis Based on the Duration of CPR After In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest" (2020). *Abington Jefferson Health Papers*. Paper 50.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/abingtonfp/50

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Abington Jefferson Health Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors Ahmad Raza, Nayab Nadeem, Ahmad Arslan, Zain Ali, Qian Zhang, Usama Sadiq, and Rajesh Patel

SESSION TITLE: Critical Care Posters

SESSION TYPE: Original Investigation Posters

PRESENTED ON: October 18-21, 2020

PURPOSE: The duration of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) significantly affects long-term survival in patients with in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA). No clear guidelines are available to guide the optimal discontinuation of CPR. In this study, we questioned the long-term clinical benefits of extending CPR beyond twenty minutes for patients with in-hospital cardiac arrest.

METHODS: This study was a retrospective chart review of 169 patients with IHCA recorded between January 1st, 2016, and December 31st, 2018, at a large volume tertiary care community hospital.

RESULTS: 169 patients included in this study suffered cardiac arrest during hospitalization. The mean age for the patients was 70 years (SD = 16.35). 52.6% of subjects were male, and 47.4% were females. Overall, ROSC was achieved in 65% of patients, and the average cumulative survival was 26.6%. Initial rhythm was shockable in 23.1% of cardiac arrest patients. Initial shockable rhythm was associated with improved survival compared to a non-shockable rhythm (41% vs. 22.5%, p = 0.022) 38.4% of patients were resuscitated for more than 20 minutes. Our data indicate that the achievement of ROSC and subsequent survival to discharge was significantly impacted by the duration of cardiac arrest. In patients who had cardiac arrest for less than 20 minutes, 60.9% of patients achieved ROSC, compared to 37.9% who arrested for more than 20 minutes. Survival to hospital discharge was significantly lower for patients who had cardiac arrest for more than 20 minutes, compared to patients who arrested for less than 20 minutes (3.1% vs. 41.3%, p = <0.0001). For patients who had a cardiac arrest for more than 30 minutes, ROSC was achieved in only 14.8% of patients. None of these patients survived to be discharged from the hospital (p = <0.0001). In our study, older age was not related to a shorter duration of CPR (Pearson correlation: 0.030, P = 0.69). Subgroup analysis showed that overall survival was 21.4% in patients greater than 70 years, but none survived when the duration of CRP exceeded twenty minutes.

CONCLUSIONS: From our data, it appears that survival is lower when CPR is unsuccessful in achieving ROSC at twenty minutes, and there is no survival benefit of extending CRP for more than 30 minutes. Older patients receiving longer CPR appear to have worse clinical outcomes.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS: The optimal duration of CPR remains an unclear area, but in a relatively old and selected population, extending CPR beyond twenty minutes may be clinically futile. Large studies with subgroup analysis directed at the duration of CPR and its effect on survival may be needed to further guide in this regard.