

ISSN 2545 – 4439

ISSN 1857 - 923X

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

Institute of Knowledge Management

KNOWLEDGE



Vol. 34. 4.
Scientific Papers

MEDICAL SCIENCES AND HEALTH



KIJ

Vol. 34

No. 4

pp.767 - 1164

Skopje, 2019

KNOWLEDGE – International Journal

Vol.34.4

September, 2019

KNOWLEDGE



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

**SCIENTIFIC PAPERS
VOL. 34.4**

*Promoted in Kavala, Greece
2019*



KNOWLEDGE

International Journal Scientific papers Vol. 34.4

ADVISORY BOARD

Vlado Kambovski PhD, Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Siniša Zarić PhD, Maria Kavdanska PhD, Venelin Terziev PhD, Mirjana Borota – Popovska PhD, Cezar Birzea PhD, Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Veselin Videv PhD, Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Savo Ashtalkoski PhD, Zivota Radosavljević PhD, Laste Spasovski PhD, Mersad Mujevic PhD, Nonka Mateva PhD, Rositsa Chobanova PhD, Predrag Trajković PhD, Dzulijana Tomovska PhD, Nedžad Korajlić PhD, Nebojsa Pavlović PhD, Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Baki Koleci PhD, Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Trajce Dojcinovski PhD, Jana Merdzanova PhD, Zoran Srzentić PhD, Nikolai Sashkov Cankov PhD, Marija Kostic PhD

Print: GRAFOPROM – Bitola

Editor: IKM – Skopje

Editor in chief

Robert Dimitrovski, PhD

KNOWLEDGE - International Journal Scientific Papers Vol. 34.4

ISSN 1857-923X (for e-version)

ISSN 2545 – 4439 (for printed version)

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD

President: Academic, Prof. Vlado Kambovski PhD, Skopje (Macedonia)

Vice presidents:

Prof. Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Institute of Knowledge Management, Skopje (Macedonia)

Prof. Sinisa Zaric, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade (Serbia)

Prof. Venelin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)

Prof. Mersad Mujevic PhD, Public Procurement Administration of Montenegro (Montenegro)

Prof. Tihomir Domazet PhD, President of the Croatian Institute for Finance and Accounting, Zagreb (Croatia)

Members:

- Prof. Aleksandar Korablev PhD, Dean, Faculty for economy and management, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Saint Petersburg (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Azra Adjajlic – Dedovic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Anita Trajkovska PhD, Rochester University (USA)
- Prof. Anka Trajkovska-Petkoska PhD, UKLO, Faculty of technology and technical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Alisabri Sabani PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Ahmad Zakeri PhD, University of Wolverhampton, (United Kingdom)
- Prof. Ana Dzumalievova PhD, South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Aziz Pollozhani PhD, Rector, University Mother Teresa, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Branko Sotirov PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Boshkovic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Branimir Kampl PhD, Institute SANO, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Baki Koleci PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of Law, Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Prof. Bistra Angelovska, Faculty of Medicine, University “Goce Delcev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Cezar Birzea, PhD, National School for Political and Administrative Studies, Bucharest (Romania)
- Prof. Cvetko Andreevski, Dean, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Drago Cvijanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Dusan Ristic, PhD Emeritus, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dimitar Radev, PhD, Rector, University of Telecommunications and Post, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Daniela Todorova PhD, Rector of “Todor Kableshev” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dragan Kokovic PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Marinkovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Daniela Ivanova Popova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dzulijana Tomovska, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Evgenia Penkova-Pantaleeva PhD, UNWE -Sofia (Bulgaria)

-
- Prof. Fadil Millaku, PhD, Rector, University “Hadzi Zeka”, Peja (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Fatos Ukaj, University “Hasan Prishtina”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University “Vasil Levski”, Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Halit Shabani, PhD, University “Hadzi Zeka”, Peja (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Halima Sofradzija, PhD, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Haris Halilovic, Faculty of criminology and security, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Helmut Shramke PhD, former Head of the University of Vienna Reform Group (Austria)
 - Prof. Hristina Georgieva Yancheva, PhD, Rector, Agricultural University, Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Hristo Beloev PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Science, Rector of the University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Hristina Milcheva, Medical college, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Izet Zeqiri, PhD, Academic, SEEU, Tetovo (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Ivan Marchevski, PhD, Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
 - Doc. Igor Stubelj, PhD, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
 - Prof. Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
 - Prof. Ivan Petkov PhD, Rector, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Isa Spahiu PhD, AAB University, Prishtina (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Ivana Jelik PhD, University of Podgorica, Faculty of Law, Podgorica (Montenegro)
 - Prof. Islam Hasani PhD, Kingston University (Bahrein)
 - Prof. Jova Ateljevic PhD, Faculty of Economy, University of Banja Luka, (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Jove Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO , Bitola (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Jonko Kunchev PhD, University „Cernorizec Hrabar“ - Varna (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Jelena Stojanovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
 - Prof Karl Schopf, PhD, Akademie fur wissenschaftliche forchung und studium, Wien (Austria)
 - Prof. Katerina Belichovska, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Krasimir Petkov, PhD, National Sports Academy “Vassil Levski”, Sofia (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Kamal Al-Nakib PhD, College of Business Administration Department, Kingdom University (Bahrain)
 - Prof. Kiril Lisichkov, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Lidija Tozi PhD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Laste Spasovski PhD, Vocational and educational centre, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Larisa Velic, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Zenica, Zenica (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Lujza Grueva, PhD, Faculty of Medical Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Lazar Stosic, PhD, Association for development of science, engineering and education, Vranje (Serbia)
 - Prof. Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Global Vice President of Sun Moon University (Albania)
 - Prof. Lence Mircevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Economics, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Ljupce Kocovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)

-
- Prof. Marusya Lyubcheva PhD, University “Prof. Asen Zlatarov”, Member of the European Parliament, Burgas (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Maja Lubenova Cholakova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Mirjana Borota-Popovska, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Mihail Garevski, PhD, Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Seismology, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Misho Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Mitko Kotovchevski, PhD, Faculty of Philosophy, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Milan Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty of strategic and operational management, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
 - Prof. Marija Topuzovska-Latkovikj, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Marija Knezevic PhD, Academic, Banja Luka, (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Margarita Bogdanova PhD, D.A.Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Mahmut Chelik PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Marija Mandaric PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
 - Prof. Marina Simin PhD, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
 - Prof. Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
 - Prof. Mitre Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Miodrag Smelcerovic PhD, High Technological and Artistic Vocational School, Leskovac (Serbia)
 - Prof. Nadka Kostadinova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Natalija Kirejenko PhD, Faculty For economic and Business, Institute of Entrepreneurial Activity, Minsk (Belarus)
 - Prof. Nenad Taneski PhD, Military Academy “Mihailo Apostolski”, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Nevenka Tatkovic PhD, Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Pula (Croatia)
 - Prof. Nedzad Korajlic PhD, Dean, Faculty of criminal justice and security, University of Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Nikolay Georgiev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Faculty of Music, SEU - Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Nishad M. Navaz PhD, Kingdom University (India)
 - Prof. Oliver Iliev PhD, Faculty of Communication and IT, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
 - Prof. Paul Sergius Koku, PhD, Florida State University, Florida (USA)
 - Prof. Primoz Dolenc, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
 - Prof. Predrag Trajkovic PhD, JMPNT, Vranje (Serbia)
 - Prof. Petar Kolev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Pere Tumbas PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Novi Sad, Subotica (Serbia)

- Prof. Rade Ratkovic PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
- Prof. Rositsa Chobanova PhD, University of Telecommunications and Posts, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Valcovski PhD, Imunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Stefanov PhD, Dean, Faculty of public health, Medical University of Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Sasho Korunoski, Rector, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Sashko Plachkov PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Snezhana Lazarevic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Stojan Ivanov Ivanov PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Snezana Stoilova, PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Stojna Ristevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Suzana Pavlovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Sandra Zivanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Shyqeri Kabashi, College “Biznesi”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Trayan Popkochev PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todor Krystevich, Vice Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todorcka Atanasova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Tatyana Sobolieva PhD, State Higher Education Establishment Vadiym Getman Kiyev National Economic University, Kiyev (Ukraine)
- Prof. Tzako Pantaleev PhD, NBUniversity , Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Violeta Dimova PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Volodymyr Denysyuk, PhD, Dobrov Center for Scientific and Technological Potential and History studies at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Prof. Valentina Staneva PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vasil Zecev PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Venus Del Rosario PhD, Arab Open University (Philippines)
- Prof. Yuri Doroshenko PhD, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management, Belgorod (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Zlatko Pejkov, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Zivota Radosavljevik PhD, Dean, Faculty FORCUP, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Zorka Jugovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

REVIEW PROCEDURE AND REVIEW BOARD

Each paper is reviewed by the editor and, if it is judged suitable for this publication, it is then sent to two referees for double blind peer review.

The editorial review board is consisted of 45 members, full professors in the fields 1) Natural and mathematical sciences, 2) Technical and technological sciences, 3) Medical sciences and Health, 4) Biotechnical sciences, 5) Social sciences, and 6) Humanities from all the Balkan countries and the region.

CONTENTS

EVALUATION OF THE MASTICATORY PRESSURE VALUE IN HYBRID DENTURES OVER IMPLANTS IN MANDIBULA	785
Danilo Krstevski	785
Aneta Mijoska.....	785
Gordana Kovacevska	785
ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE PRESENCE OF LACTOBACILLUS IN SALIVA AND DENTAL CARIES IN CHILDREN WITH PERMANENT DENTITION.....	793
Naskova Sanja	793
Dimova Cena	793
Zlatanovska Katerina.....	793
OBTURATOR TREATMENT- BACTERIAL INFECTION RISK ASSESSMENT.....	799
Ivan Gerdzhikov	799
PROSTHETIC REHABILITATION WITH HYBRID PROSTHESIS ON IMPLANTS IN MANDIBULA	803
Danilo Krstevski.....	803
Aneta Mijoska.....	803
Gordana Kovacevska	803
STUDY OF THE SIZE OF THE CORONOID PROCESS OF MANDIBULE.....	811
Svetlana Jovevska.....	811
Sanja Baldzieva	811
USE OF OZONE IN RESTORATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS	817
Ivona Kovacevska.....	817
Natasa Longurova.....	817
ORAL SURGERY TREATMENT OF RADICULAR CYST	823
Sonja Rogoleva.....	823
Cena Dimova	823
PROSTHODONTIC STATUS AND NEED FOR PROSTHODONTIC REHABILITATION AMONG THE ELDERLY IN STRUMICA.....	829
Katerina Zlatanovska	829
Cena Dimova	829
Sanja Naskova	829
BEYOND PHARMACOTHERAPY - THE ROLE OF PSYCHIATRIC REHABILITATION IN PATIENTS WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA.....	835
Maria Georgieva – Kotetarova	835
Vasil Kotetarov.....	835
DETERMINATION OF HEAVY METALS IN CUSTOMIZED BABY MILK FORMULATIONS	843
Elizabeta Nakova.....	843
Biljana Gjorgjeska	843
THE INFLUENCE OF HYPERPROLACTINEMIA ON THE LEVEL OF ESTRADIOL AND PROGESTERONE IN WOMEN.....	851
Mire Spasov	851
Verica Spasova	851
Hristijan Spasov.....	851
Valjdrina Ajeti	851
PARACETAMOL POISONING – REVIEW.....	859
Olimpiada Atmazhova.....	859
Evgenia Barzashka	859

THE IMPACT OF IONIZED WATER SUPPLEMENTED WITH GLUTATHION AND VITAMIN C DURING ACUTE HYPERTHERMIC EXPOSURE ON THE CONCENTRATION OF TOTAL PROTEINS IN THE BLOOD SERUM AT WHITE LABORATORY RATS	867
Majlinda Ademi.....	867
Icko Gjorgoski	867
Ilbert Ademi.....	867
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CANNABINOIDS FOR THE TREATMENT OF CACHEXIA IN HIV- POSITIVE PATIENTS	873
Tijana Serafimovska	873
Marija Darkovska Serafimovska	873
Milka Zdravkovska.....	873
Trajan Balkanov	873
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ERYTHROCYTE AND PLASMA CONCENTRATION OF MALONDIALDEHYDE IN PATIENTS WITH CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE.....	879
Gordana Kamcheva Mihailova	879
ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF A STUDY OF MOTOR ACTIVITY AND ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING IN ADULTS WITH DIABETES	889
Ruska Paskaleva	889
Galina Mratzkova	889
DETERMINATION OF PARAPROTEIN IN SERUM AND URINE BY ELECTROPHORESIS FOR DIAGNOSING MULTIPLE MYELOMA (MM), EXPERIENCES FROM THE PHF UNIVERSITY CLINIC OF HEMATOLOGY FOR THE PERIOD FROM 2015 TO 2017	895
Bosko Gjorgjievski	895
Dino Karpicarov	895
Biljana Gjorgjeska	895
ESTIMATED CENTRAL OBESITY INDEX – WORTHWHILE SCREENING TEST PROCEDURE OF ABDOMINAL OBESITY	903
Slavica Shubeska Stratrova	903
Danijela Janicevic Ivanovska	903
DEEP WOUND INFECTIONS (MEDIASITIS) AFTER OPEN HEART SURGERY	911
Hristo Stoev	911
Konstantin Dimitrov	911
PREGNANCY INDUCED HYPERTENSION AND NEONATAL GROWTH	915
Elizabeta Zisovska.....	915
Lidija Madzovska	915
Marija Dimitrovska Ivanova.....	915
FEBRILE CONDITION IN 23-YEAR OLD PREGNANT WOMAN	921
Asen Ivanov	921
Todor Gonovski.....	921
Hristo Stoev	921
TRANSIENT TACHYPNEA OF THE NEWBORN	925
Elizabeta Zisovska.....	925
Lidija Madzovska	925
Marija Dimitrovska Ivanova.....	925
ACUTE URINARY RETENTION CAUSED BY HUGE URETHRAL CARUNCLE	931
Ilbert Ademi.....	931
Adnan Vrajnko	931
Majlinda Ademi.....	931

SURGICALLY TREATED SACCULAR LEFT MAIN CORONARY ARTERY ANEURYSM	935
Asen Ivanov	935
Todor Gonovski	935
Hristo Stoev	935
SERUM LEVEL OF MMP-3 IN PATIENTS WITH PSORIATIC ARTRITIS TREATED WITH TNF- α BLOKERS	939
Stanislava Popova	939
Mariela Geneva-Popova	939
Anastas Batalov	939
VATS-METHOD OF CHOICE IN TRAUMATIC HEMOTHORAX	943
Simeon Simeonov	943
PREVENTIVE PROGRAM TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF LIFE OF PEOPLE IN RISK OF OSTEOPOROSIS - SHARED SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE	947
Borislava Chakarova	947
Hristina Milcheva	947
Katya Mollova	947
Anuchka Uzunova	947
Mariyana Petrova	947
Nazife Bekir	947
LIFESTYLE AND POTENTIAL RISK OF OSTEOPOROSIS IN MENOPAUSAL WOMEN	957
Hristina Milcheva	957
Borislava Chakarova	957
Katya Kuchukova	957
Rozalina Yordanova	957
ANATOMICAL FEATURES OF PERFORATIN BRANCHES OF MIDDLE CEREBRAL ARTERY	963
Valvita Reçi	963
Sadi Bexheti	963
COMPLICATIONS IN SEVERE ACUTE CHOLANGITIS	969
Simeon Simeonov	969
NEW PERSPECTIVES IN TUMOR TREATMENT THROUGH USING NANOPARTICLES	973
Daniel Argilashki	973
Nina Koleva	973
Bozhidarka Hadzhieva	973
Anna Mihaylova	973
HOW CHALLENGING AN ELECTIVE SIMPLE CASE PROCEDURE CAN BE?	981
Asen Ivanov	981
Todor Gonovski	981
Hristo Stoev	981
TRANSANAL ENDOSCOPIC MICROSURGERY (TEM) AND NOTES. PROS AND CONS NOTES	987
Simeon Simeonov	987
ENDOPROSTHESIS AFTER FRACTURE OF PROXIMAL HUMERUS IN ELDERLY PATIENTS – 3-YEAR EXPERIENCE	989
Ivaylo Mitkovski	989
NEEDS OF PATIENTS NEEDING PALIATIVE CARE	997
Tsvetka Boycheva	997
Mariya Dimitrova	997
OPPORTUNITIES OF HOLISTIC APPROACH IN REHABILITATION PRACTICE	1003
Petya Kasnakova	1003

SPECIFICATIONS OF DIFFERENT IOP METHODS SPECIFICATIONS	1009
Strahil Gazepov	1009
Alen Georgiev.....	1009
INFLUENCE OF KINESITHERAPY ON PATIENTS WITH DIABETIC POLYNEUROPATHY	1015
Antonija Jakimovska	1015
Danche Vasileva.....	1015
EXAMINATION OF THE EFFECTS OF GUA SHA MASSAGE ON MYOFASCIAL TRIGGER POINTS	1023
Gordana Panova.....	1023
Toshe Krstev.....	1023
Tamara Stratorska.....	1023
INFLUENCE OF KINESITHERAPY ON PATIENTS WITH GONARTHROSIS	1029
Velika Ristova	1029
Danche Vasileva.....	1029
PATIENT AWARENESS OF THE RISK OF ATHEROSCLEROSIS – THE ROLE OF THE NURSE	1037
Ivanka Stambolova	1037
Lilyana Stancheva.....	1037
Stefan Stambolov.....	1037
THE ROLE OF THE MEDICAL NURSE IN THE CARE AND TREATMENT OF PATIENTS IN INTENSIVE CARE	1043
Grofina Ristova.....	1043
Tamara Koceva.....	1043
Gordana Panova.....	1043
WORKING WITH PEOPLE WITH ANXIETY DISORDERS – THE NEED OF TRAINING FOR NURSES	1051
Anna Marinova	1051
Iveta Mihailova.....	1051
Nikolay Yordanov	1051
ALLERGIC RHINITIS.....	1059
Vaska Spaskova.....	1059
HEALTH OF PRESCHOOL CHILDREN- SOME MEDICAL AND SOCIAL ASPECTS	1065
Desislava Bakova	1065
Elina Petkova-Gueorguieva	1065
Stanislav Gueorguiev.....	1065
Vasil Madzharov.....	1065
PROTECTION OF CHILDREN - YOUTH MENTAL HEALTH IN A SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT	1071
Yoana Negrova	1071
STUDY OF STATIC STRENGTH STABILITY OF THE ABDOMINAL, TRUNK AND GLUTEAL MUSCLES OF CHILDREN IN PRE-SCHOOL AGE WITH OVERWEIGHT	1075
Vanya Pavlova.....	1075
Ruska Paskaleva	1075
Violeta Ivanova.....	1075
Katya Peeva	1075
MORINGA OLEIFERA - FOOD AND MEDICINE FOR THE MODERN WORLD - MINI REVIEW	1081
Vasilka Ilieva.....	1081

PARENTS ' INFORMATION NEEDS ABOUT THE RISK AND GRAVITY OF BURNING INJURY	1089
Anushka Dimitrova.....	1089
Maria Dimitrova	1089
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SOMATOTYPOLOGICAL INDICATORS OF CHILDREN INVOLVED IN VARIOUS SPORTS ACTIVITIES	1095
Kaloyana Krumova-Tsoncheva	1095
IMPORTANCE OF EXERCISE FOR PEOPLE WITH DIABETES MELLITUS	1099
Nikola Todorović.....	1099
CINDI PROGRAM IN BULGARIA - RESULTS AGAINST REALITY	1103
Darina Mineva	1103
TELEMEDICINE PRACTICE FOR TOURISM HEALTH PREVENTION AND DEVELOPMENT	1109
Stoyanka Petkova Petkova - Georgieva.....	1109
LABORATORY MEDICINE-PROSPECTS FOR DEVELOPMENT	1117
Denitsa Trancheva	1117
MANAGEMENT OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL WASTE	1121
Cena Dimova	1121
EMPATHIC PROFESSIONAL CARE FOR DYING PATIENTS	1127
Nikola Georgiev	1127
METHODICAL MODEL OF MANAGEMENT AS A TOOL FOR INCREASE OF THE HEALTHCARE QUALITY	1133
Kamelia Bogdanova	1133
DEVELOPMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES IN MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS	1141
Svetlana Radeva	1141
INNOVATION IN CLINICAL PRACTICE - A FACTOR FOR THE FORMATION OF PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCES OF STUDENTS MEDICAL REHABILITATORS.....	1147
Violeta Ivanova.....	1147
Ruska Paskaleva	1147
Vanya Pavlova.....	1147
Katya Peeva	1147
THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF TREATING CHRONIC HEPATITIS C.....	1153
Evgenija Nikolovska.....	1153
Velo Markovski	1153
ANALYSIS OF THE SELF-ASSESSMENT OF HOSPITAL STAFF IN BULGARIA FOR THEIR DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	1159
Desislava Todorova	1159
Rumyana Etova.....	1159
Tsvetelina Mihaylova	1159

**ESTIMATED CENTRAL OBESITY INDEX – WORTHWHILE SCREENING TEST
PROCEDURE OF ABDOMINAL OBESITY**

Slavica Shubeska StratrovaUniversity Clinic of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, Faculty of Medical Sciences,
University “Ss. Cyril and Methodius” Skopje, N. Macedonia, slavass02@yahoo.com**Danijela Janicevic Ivanovska**University Clinic of Clinical Biochemistry, Skopje, Faculty of Medical Sciences, “University Goce
Delchev”, Shtip, N. Macedonia, djanicevic@yahoo.com

Abstract: Central obesity index (COI) is an indicator of central, abdominal obesity, which is the main characteristic of the metabolic syndrome. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometric (DXA) assessment of the body fat distribution was performed through the COI values determined with a scan of the entire body in comparison to estimated COI values (eCOI) on spine and hip performed scans. COI was determined as a ratio of android (A) tissue percent fat (A-Tf%) and gynoid (G)-Tf% ($COI=A/G-Tf\%$) as well as $eCOI=eA/eG-Tf\%$ in 3 groups of women: 1st group of women with Cushing’s syndrome (CS) (n=14), 2nd group of obese (O) women (n=21), 3rd group of non obese healthy women (C) (n=22). The examinees were not different according to their age, which was 44.32 ± 13.83 years in the 1st group, 43.33 ± 12.58 years in the 2nd group and 42.56 ± 14.67 years in the 3rd group, as well as according to their BMI, which was 30.02 ± 5.02 kg/m² in CS, 29.66 ± 4.88 kg/m² in O, but it was in normal range 21.76 ± 1.43 kg/m² in non obese control group of healthy women.

The values of eA, eG and eA/eG were not significantly different compared to the correspondent values A-Tf%, G-Tf% and A/G-Tf% in all examined groups ($p>0.05$). The values of eA and A-Tf% in CS were significantly higher compared to O ($P<0.05$), as well as compared to C and O+C and in O compared to C ($p<0.0001$). COI value (1.05 ± 0.15) and eCOI (1.04 ± 0.1) in CS were significantly higher compared to O ($p<0.006$ and $p<0.008$) and highly significantly higher compared to C, O+C and in O compared to C ($p<0.0001$). Estimated values eA, eG and eA/eG correlated highly significantly positively with the correspondent values A-Tf%, G-Tf% and A/G-Tf% ($p<0.0001$) and COI values correlated highly significantly with eCOI, eA and A-Tf% ($p<0.0001$) and not significantly with G-Tf% and eG ($p>0.05$) in O confirming COI positive association with central, abdominal fat distribution.

Conclusion: DXA indexes COI and eCOI discovered extreme central body fat distribution in CS women, differentiated them significantly and precisely from C and CO, and could be used as diagnostic DXA indexes of extreme central, abdominal obesity in CS and non CS abdominal obese women in DXA body composition and fat distribution assessment. Determination of eCOI is reliable, more practical and faster, with lower radiation and is more acceptable compared to COI, and it can be a routine screening procedure for body composition and body fat distribution assessment, during regular spine and hip scans for osteoporotic risk assessment instead of COI body fat distribution determination with total body composition measured scans which are used in scientific studies and are not necessary to be performed in clinical body fat distribution examinations.

Keywords: DXA, body fat distribution, central obesity index, Cushing’s syndrome, obese.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity and abdominal, central body fat distribution are known risk factors for cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. Excess abdominal fat is referred to as android obesity and it is an important, independent risk factor for disease. Android obesity in CS and in non CS abdominal obese with the metabolic syndrome, which is predominantly visceral, intra-abdominal, is more predictive of adipose-related comorbidities than gynecoid obesity, which has a relatively peripheral (gluteal) distribution (Shubeska-Stratrova, Dimitrovski, Todorovska, & Stefanovska Balabanova, 2008; WHO, 2016).

Measurements of body composition and body fat distribution have provided a research tool to study the metabolic effects of aging, obesity, and various diseases such as CS (Shubeska-Stratrova, 2010; Hunter & Nagy, 2002; Ulbrich, Nanz, Leinhard, Marcon & Fischer, 2018). Because of that, effective methods for assessing visceral fat are important to investigate its role for the increased health risks in obesity (Snijder et al, 2002). There is an increased interest in the evaluation of various methods for assessment of body composition and fat distribution (Kim, Yoo, Kim, & Lee, 2007; Borga et al, 2018). Shubeska-Stratrova, Dimitrovski, Todorovska, and Stefanovska Balabanova (2008) discovered with DXA that BMI increase in healthy women was associated with a more pronounced abdominal body fat distribution, indicating substantially higher risk for development of metabolic and cardiovascular complications (Shubeska-Stratrova, 2009; Brownbill & Ilich, 2005; Snijder et al, 2002).

Shubeska-Stratrova, Markovik, and Petrovski (2015), showed with DXA scans of the entire body that the ratios of insignificantly different central and peripheral regional parts of the body, precisely differentiated the patients with CS and non CS obese, and confirmed central body fat distribution in CS (Shubeska Stratrova & Todorovska, 2017a; Shubeska-Stratrova, Todorovska, Efremovska, & Gligorovska, 2017b; Jebb,1997). In that study, DXA enabled determination of body fat distribution as well as central obesity index COI, which is an indicator of central, abdominal obesity, and was calculated as a ratio of the android to gynoid tissue percent fat. COI differentiated significantly CS from suspect obese and it could be used as a screening test procedure and diagnostic criterion of extreme central, abdominal obesity in CS and in non CS. To date, the standard spine and hip DXA scans of an estimated android and gynoid tissue percent fat, have not been used to determine their ratio in order to investigate it as a screening diagnostic test procedure of central, abdominal obesity.

The aim of this study was DXA assessment of the body composition and body fat distribution in women with CS with confirmed abdominal obesity in comparison to healthy control women matched for age, menopausal status, and BMI as well as with healthy women with normal BMI in order to determine COI values with a scan of the entire body as well as estimated COI values (eCOI) with a spine and hip scans, and to estimate their relationship in three examined groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This transversal study was organized and realized at the University Clinic of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, University „Ss Cyril and Methodius“, Medical Faculty in Skopje. DXA assessment of the body fat distribution was performed in 3 groups of women: 1st group of Cushing’s syndrome (CS), with confirmed CS with BMI (30.02±5.02 kg/m²) and age of 44.32±13.83 yr. (n=14), 2nd group of obese women (n=21), matched with CS according to their BMI (29.66±4.88 kg/m²) and age (43.33±12.58 yr.) and the 3rd group (n=22) of healthy women with normal BMI (21.76±1.43 kg/m²) and age (42.56±14.67 yr.). CS had not received any treatment at the time of the assessment and had typical signs and symptoms of CS including extreme central obesity. Anthropometric, DXA, hormonal and metabolic parameters confirmed CS diagnosis. O and C had a stable weight for at least several months before being included in the study. All investigated women gave a personal permission to be included in this study and have been treated according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The data of the examined women are shown in table 1.

Table 1. Age, body weight, body height and BMI in CS, O and C

	Age	body weight	body height	BMI
CS	44.32±13.83	76.91±14.86	162.35±6.44	30.02±5.02
O	43.33±12.58	76.15±13.26	160.28±5.51	29.66±4.88
C	42.56±14.67	57.41±6.12	162.31±7.53	21.76±1.43
mean	43.25±13.5	68.83±14.63	161.58±6.55	26.58±5.53
P	NS	0.0001	NS	0.0001
P₁	NS	NS	NS	NS
P₂	NS	0.0001	NS	0.0001
P₃	NS	0.0001	NS	0.0001

CS – Cushing’s syndrome women O – Obese women C – Control healthy non obese women

P – significance of the difference between the groups CS, O, C

P₁ – significance of the difference between the groups CS and O

P₂ – significance of the difference between the groups CS and C

P₃ – significance of the difference between the groups O and C

Body height was measured by a wall stadiometer in barefoot subjects with a head in horizontal Frankfurt plane to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body weight was measured by a digital scale while wearing light clothing and it was estimated for each subject in kilograms (kg). BMI was calculated with the following formula: weight (kg)/height (m²).

DXA assessment in this study was performed with DXA System Lunar DPX-NT, which uses enCore Windows-XP Professional OS computer. For body composition measurements the entire body of each subject was scanned. During DXA scan, the subjects were in a supine position while the x-ray scanner performed a series of transverse scans, measured at 1-cm intervals from the top of the head to the bottom of the toes. The DXA machine was calibrated daily in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines to ensure adequate quality control. The system enabled simultaneous assessment of total and regional body composition and fat distribution. Central obesity index (COI) values were determined during body composition assessment in total body scans as a ratio of android (A)

tissue percent (%) fat (A-Tf%) and gynoid (G) Tf% (G-Tf%), COI= A/G-Tf%. Using the scan tissue data from standard spine and hip scans an estimate of the Android/Gynoid Tf% was performed and eA-Tf% and eG-Tf% values of the lumbar vertebral spine (L₂-L₄) and proximal femur were also determined and eCOI=eA/eG-Tf% was calculated. Correlation and the difference between eCOI values in the spine and hip scans and COI values in total body scans were compared among the three examined groups of women.

Statistical analyses were performed using statistical software program SPSS for Windows, version 19.0. Variables were presented as means ± standard deviations (SD). P values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Differences among the groups were evaluated by performing an analysis of variance (ANOVA) for normally distributed parameters. Correlation coefficients were determined by Pearson's product moment.

RESULTS

DXA assessment of the body fat distribution was performed through the COI (A/G-Tfat%) values determined as a ratio of android to gynoid tissue percent fat with a scan of the entire body as well as estimated COI values eCOI (eA/eG-Tfat%) determined with spine and hip performed scans in 3 groups of examined women. The data are shown in table 2.

Table 2. Significance of the difference between A, G and A/G estimated values and the correspondent Tfat% values determined with total body DXA scans in all examined groups

	eA	A-Tfat%	eG	G-Tfat%	eA/eG	A/G-Tfat%	N	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
CS	52.86±5.69	53.26±6.89	50.89±3.31	51.11±4.09	1.04±0.097	1.05±0.15	14	0.871	0.876	0.891
O	48.33±6.72	47.61±6.42	51.07±3.85	52.64±3.78	0.945±0.103	0.91±0.12	21	0.723	0.19	0.263
C	30.99±7.01	30.55±8.67	42.35±5.31	45.53±5.6	0.725±0.097	0.67±0.15	22	0.857	0.061	0.141
O+C	39.46±11.09	38.88±11.47	46.61±6.37	49±5.95	0.83±0.15	0.78±0.18	43	0.814	0.076	0.176

P1 - significance of the difference in CS, O, C and O+C between eA and their A-Tfat% value

P2 - significance of the difference in CS, O, C and O+C between eG and G-Tfat% value

P3 - significance of the difference in CS, O, C and O+C between eA/eG and their A/G-Tfat% value

CS – Cushing's syndrome women; O – Obese women; C – Control healthy non obese women

O+C – obese women + control non obese healthy women

The values of eA, eG and eA/eG were not significantly different compared to the correspondent values A-Tfat%, G-Tfat% and A/G-Tfat% in all examined groups (p>0.05). Estimated values eA, eG and eA/eG correlated highly significantly positively with the correspondent values A-Tfat%, G-Tfat% and A/G-Tfat% (p<0.0001).

Table 3. Significance of the difference between A, G and A/G estimated values and the correspondent Tfat% values determined with total body DXA scans between the examined groups

	eA	A-Tfat%	eG	G-Tfat%	eA/eG (eCOI)	A/G-Tfat% (COI)
CS	52.86±5.69	53.26±6.89	50.89±3.31	51.11±4.09	1.04±0.097	1.05±0.15
O	48.33±6.72	47.61±6.42	51.07±3.85	52.64±3.78	0.9451±0.1026	0.91±0.12
C	30.99±7.01	30.55±8.67	42.35±5.31	45.53±5.6	0.7246±0.09734	0.67±0.15
O+C	39.46±11.09	38.88±11.47	46.61±6.37	49±5.95	0.83±0.15	0.78±0.18
P ₁	0.05	0.033	0.902	0.342	0.008	0.006
P ₂	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
P ₃	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
P ₄	0.0001	0.0001	0.002	0.148	0.0001	0.0001

Significance of the difference between eA, eG, eA/eG and the correspondent values A-Tfat%, G-Tfat% and A/G-Tfat%: P₁ – in CS and O. P₂ – in CS and C. P₃ – in O and C. P₄ – in CS and O+C.

CS – Cushing's syndrome women; O – Obese women; C – Control healthy women; O+C – obese women + control healthy women; COI – central obesity index; eCOI - estimated central obesity index

The values of eA and A-Tfat% in CS were significantly higher compared to O (P₁<0.05), as well as CS compared to C and O+C and in O compared to C (P_{2,3,4}<0.0001). The values of eG and G-Tfat% were not significantly higher in CS compared to O (P₁>0.05), but they were significantly higher compared to C and in O compared to C

($P_{2,3}<0.0001$). A/G-Tfat% (COI) value 1.05 ± 0.15 and eA/eG (eCOI) value 1.04 ± 0.1 in CS were significantly higher compared to O ($P_1<0.006$ and $P_1<0.008$) and highly significantly higher compared to C, O+C and in O compared to C ($P_{2,3,4}<0.0001$). COI correlated highly significantly with eCOI, eA and A-Tfat% ($p<0.0001$), but not significantly with G-Tfat% and eG ($P>0.05$) in O, confirming COI positive association with central, abdominal Tfat%, and abdominal fat distribution.

DISCUSSION

Obesity is a medical condition in which excess body fat has accumulated to an extent that it may have a negative effect on health (WHO, 2016). Obese subjects have higher percentage of FM from the total body mass compared to non obese (Snijder et al, 2002). In addition to general obesity, the distribution of body fat is independently associated with the metabolic syndrome. There is a growing evidence that intra-abdominal adipose tissue, rather than total body fat, is a risk factor for metabolic conditions associated with obesity (Neeland, et al. 2015; Shubeska-Stratrova, & Janicevic Ivanovska, 2019). For this reason the evaluation of intra-abdominal adipose tissue is clinically important.

Cushing's syndrome is associated with weight gain and extreme central, visceral, abdominal obesity. Increased central fat mass is characteristic of active CS. In Jebb's study (Jebb, 1997) Cushing disease patients had higher visceral versus total adipose tissue ratios, suggesting that glucocorticoids play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of central obesity (Schafroth, Godang, Ueland, Berg, & Bollerslev, 2000). The first study concerning the measurements of body composition in CS using DXA and CT was published by Wajchenberg et al (1995). Patients with CS had no increase in total body fat or the trunk region, but had a higher intra-abdominal fat area compared to obese subjects (Lonn, Kvist, Ernest, & Sjoström, 1994). Patients with CS had less than a twofold increase in subcutaneous fat and greater than a fivefold increase in intra-abdominal fat compared with values in healthy subjects. These findings suggest that fat in different body compartments responds differently to disease processes and that DXA can be used to measure these changes (Mayo-Smith et al, 1989; Rebuffe-Scrive, Krotkiewski, Elfersson & Bjorntorp, 1988). Burt, Gibney, and Ho (2006) concluded that fat mass was higher and lean body mass was lower in CS. Garrapa, Pantanetti, Arnaldi, Mantero, and Faloi (2001) evaluated body composition and fat distribution measured by DXA in women with CS compared with healthy control (C) women matched for age, menopausal status, and BMI and discovered that trunk fat mass percentage was significantly higher in CS compared to C and leg fat mass was not significantly different between the groups. DXA is used to quantify abdominal fat mass (Lear, Birmingham, Chockalingam & Humphries, 2006; McCormack, Meendering, Specker, & Binkley, 2016; Choi, Seo, Lee, & Chung, 2015). DXA method determines absolute (kg) and relative (%) total, bone, lean and fat body mass and separately their regional values on arms, legs, head and trunk (Brownbill & Ilich, 2005; Lear, Birmingham, Chockalingam & Humphries, 2006). DXA enables precise, accurate body composition and body fat distribution assessment and determines central obesity index (COI) values. DXA is considered to be a gold standard for assessment of bone health and body composition, because of its reliability, precision, and the fact that it is based on a three-compartment model (Hunter & Nagy, 2002; Salamone, Fuerst, & Visser, 2000). It's a very reliable method and its results are extremely repeatable; in addition, the method is safe and presents little burden to the subject. Published studies have shown a good correlation between central abdominal fat by DXA and visceral fat by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging (Neeland, Grundy, Li, Adams-Huet, & Vega, 2016).

Shubeska-Stratrova, Dimitrovski, Todorovska, and Stefanovska Balabanova (2008) evaluated the differences of the body composition and fat distribution as measured by DXA in women with CS with confirmed extreme abdominal, visceral obesity in comparison with healthy control women (CO) matched for age, menopausal status, and BMI. That study discovered that total and regional fat mass, tissue mass, lean body mass (LBM) values did not differentiate CS and O significantly and concluded that determination of the relationships of their regional values had to be done. Shubeska-Stratrova, Markovik, and Petrovski (2015) showed that the ratios of the not significantly different central (abdominal) and peripheral regional parts of the body, significantly and precisely differentiated the patients with CS and non CS obese, and confirmed extreme central body fat distribution in CS (Hunter & Nagy, 2002). DXA indexes of central, abdominal obesity A/G, trunk/total, legs/trunk and android/legs TM and FM ratios discovered extreme central body fat distribution in CS, differentiated them significantly from healthy women with normal BMI and obese with the same BMI as CS, and were discovered as DXA indexes, indicators of abdominal, central obesity that should be used as DXA indexes of extreme central, abdominal obesity in CS and in non CS obese women (Shubeska-Stratrova, & Todorovska, 2017a; Shubeska-Stratrova, Todorovska, Efremovska, & Gligorovska, 2017b). COI was discovered as a useful method for assessing body fat distribution which is in a positive relation with abdominal (central) obesity, and the metabolic syndrome. It was concluded that CS patients were discovered as gold standard of extreme central, visceral, abdominal body fat distribution (Schafroth, Godang,

Ueland, Berg, & Bollerslev, 2000), and that these DXA indexes of extreme central, abdominal body fat distribution in CS could also be used as a gold standard for abdominal obesity in non CS.

In this study DXA assessment of the body fat distribution was performed through the COI values determined with a scan of the entire body as a ratio of android to gynoid tissue percent fat as well as estimated COI values eCOI determined with spine and hip performed scans in 3 groups of examined women CS, O and C. The values of eA, eG and eA/eG were not significantly different compared to the correspondent values A-Tfat%, G-Tfat% and A/G-Tfat% in all examined groups ($p > 0.05$), and correlated highly significantly positively with them ($p < 0.0001$), which confirmed their reliability. The values of eA and A-Tfat% in CS were significantly higher compared to O ($P_1 < 0.05$), as well as CS compared to C and O+C and in O compared to C ($P_{2,3,4} < 0.0001$). The values of eG and G-Tfat% were not significantly higher in CS compared to O ($P_1 > 0.05$), but they were significantly higher compared to C and CO+C and in O compared to C ($P_{2,3} < 0.0001$). A/G-Tfat% (COI) value 1.05 ± 0.15 and eA/eG (eCOI) value 1.04 ± 0.1 in CS were significantly higher compared to O ($P_1 < 0.006$ and $P_1 < 0.008$) and highly significantly higher compared to C, O+C and in O compared to C ($P_{2,3,4} < 0.0001$). COI correlated highly significantly with eCOI, eA and A-Tfat% ($p < 0.0001$), but not significantly with G-Tfat% and eG ($P > 0.05$) in O, confirming COI positive association with central, abdominal Tf%, and abdominal fat distribution. Estimated values eA, eG and eA/eG correlated highly significantly positively with the correspondent values A-Tfat%, G-Tfat% and A/G-Tfat% ($p < 0.0001$).

COI is an indicator of central, abdominal obesity, which is the main characteristic of the metabolic syndrome. This study established that eCOI measurements were reliable and comparable to measured COI values. Determination of eCOI was more practical, faster, with lower radiation and is more acceptable; moreover spine and hip bone mineral content were determined at the same time. Body composition measurements are used in scientific studies and are not necessary to be done in body fat distribution examination. It indicated the need of eCOI values determination during regular spine and hip DXA measurements for body fat distribution assessment instead of body composition examination for COI body fat distribution determination, which should not be performed. High significance of the COI and eCOI correlation with android tissue percent fat and its estimated value was detected in comparison with the correspondent gynoid values, confirming COI positive association with central, abdominal fat and tissue mass, and abdominal fat distribution. COI and eCOI DXA indexes of central body fat distribution in CS have to be diagnostic screening test procedure of extreme central, visceral, abdominal fat distribution in different types of obesity (non CS).

CONCLUSION

DXA indexes COI and eCOI discovered extreme central body fat distribution in CS women, differentiated them significantly and precisely from C and CO, and could be used as diagnostic DXA indexes of extreme central, abdominal obesity in CS and non CS abdominal obese women in DXA body composition and fat distribution assessment. Determination of eCOI values can be a routine screening test procedure for body composition and body fat distribution assessment, during regular spine and hip scans for osteoporotic risk assessment.

REFERENCES

- Borga, M., West, J., Bell, J.D., Harvey, N.C., Romu, T., Heymsfield, S.B., & Leinhard, O.D. (2018). Advanced body composition assessment: from body mass index to body composition profiling. *The Journal of Investigative Medicine*, 66(5), 1-9.
- Brownbill, R.A., & Ilich, J.Z. (2005). Measuring body composition in overweight individuals by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry. *BMC Medical Imaging*, 5, 1.
- Burt, M.G., Gibney, J., & Ho, K.K. (2006). Characterization of the metabolic phenotypes of Cushing's syndrome and growth hormone deficiency: a study of body composition and energy metabolism. *Clinical Endocrinology*, 64(4), 436-443.
- Choi, Y.J., Seo, Y.K., Lee, E.J., & Chung, Y.S. (2015). Quantification of visceral fat using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and its reliability according to the amount of visceral fat in Korean adults. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, 18(2), 192-7.
- Garrapa, G.G., Pantanetti, P., Arnaldi, G., Mantero, F., & Faloi, E. (2001). Body composition and metabolic features in women with adrenal incidentaloma or Cushing's Syndrome. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 86(11), 5301-5306.
- Hunter, H.L., & Nagy, T.R. (2002). Body composition in a seasonal model of obesity: longitudinal measures and validation of DXA. *Obesity Research*, 10(11), 1180-1187.
- Jebb, S.A. (1997). Measurement of soft tissue composition by dual X-ray absorptiometry. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 77(2), 151-163.

-
- Kim, J.S., Yoo, S.M., Kim, K.N., & Lee, S.Y. (2007). Comparison of DXA and CT for truncal obesity in adult women related to metabolic complications. *Journal of the Korean Academy of Family Medicine*, 28(9), 675-681.
- Lear, S.A., Birmingham, C.L., Chockalingam, A. & Humphries, K.H. (2006). Study design of the multicultural community health assessment trial (M-CHAT): a comparison of body fat distribution in four distinct populations. *Ethnicity & Disease*, 16(1), 96-100.
- Lonn, L., Kvist, H., Ernest, I., & Sjostrom L. (1994). Change in body composition and adipose tissue distribution after treatment of women with Cushing's syndrome. *Metabolism*, 43(12), 1517-1522.
- Mayo-Smith, W., Hayes, C.W., Biller, B.M., Klibanski, A., Rosenthal, H., & Rosenthal, D.I. (1989). Body fat distribution measured with CT: correlations in healthy subjects, patients with anorexia nervosa and patients with Cushing syndrome. *Radiology*, 170(2), 515-518.
- McCormack, L., Meendering, J., Specker, B., & Binkley, T. (2016). Associations between sedentary time, physical activity, and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry measures of total body, android, and gynoid fat mass in children. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, 19(3), 368-74.
- Neeland, I.J., Turer, A.T., Ayers, C.R., Berry, J.D., Rohatgi, A., & Das, S.R. (2015). Body fat distribution and incident cardiovascular disease in obese adults. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 65, 2150–2151.
- Neeland, I.J., Grundy, S.M., Li, X., Adams-Huet, B., Vega, G.L. (2016). Comparison of visceral fat mass measurements by dual-x-ray absorptiometry and magnetic resonance imaging in a multiethnic cohort: the Dallas Heart Study. *Nutrition & Diabetes*, 6(7), e221.
- Rebuffe-Scrive, M., Krotkiewski, M., Elfersson, J., Bjorntorp, P. (1988). Muscle and adipose tissue morphology in Cushing's syndrome. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 67(6), 1122-1128.
- Salamone, L.M., Fuerst, T., Visser, M. (2000). Measurement of fat mass using DEXA: a validation study in elderly adults. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 89(1), 345-52.
- Schafroth, U., Godang, K., Ueland, T., Berg, J.P., & Bollerslev J. (2000). Leptin levels in relation to body composition and insulin concentration in patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome compared to controls matched for body mass index. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, 23(6), 349-355.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S., Dimitrovski, C., Todorovska, L., & Stefanovska Balabanova G. (2008) Evaluation of the body composition in female Cushings. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Serbia*. Novi Sad, 43, 440-447.
- Shubeska-Startrova, S. (2009). Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry assessment of the body composition in obese women. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Serbia*. (Novi Sad), 44, 455-461.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S. (2010) Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry assessment of the body composition and body fat distribution in pre- and postmenopausal women. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Serbia*, Novi Sad, 45, 199-206.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S. (2011). Densitometric to anthropometric indexes of visceral obesity relations. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Serbia*, (Novi Sad), 46, 49-58.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S., Markovik Temelkova, S., & Petrovski, G. (2015). Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) assessment of body composition and body fat distribution in Cushing's women. *Macedonian Medical Review*, 69(2), 86-93.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S., & Todorovska, L. (2017a). Android/legs and legs/trunk indexes determined with DX in Cushing's and non Cushing's obese women. *Archives of Public Health*, 9(2), 18-25.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S., Todorovska, L., Efremovska, Lj., & Gligorovska, J.P. (2017b). Evaluation of central obesity in Cushing's and non Cushing's women with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. *Physioacta*, 11(2), 7-14.
- Shubeska-Stratrova, S., & Janicevic Ivanovska, D. (2019). Body fat distribution and lipid profile changes after weight loss – a case report. *KNOWLEDGE - International Journal*, 31(4), 1071-1076.
- Snijder, M.B., Visser, M., Dekker, J.M., Seidell, J.C., Fuerst, T., Tylavsky, F., Cauley, J., Lang, T., Nevitt, M., & Harris, T.B. (2002). The prediction of visceral fat by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in the elderly: a comparison with computed tomography and anthropometry. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 26(7), 984-93.
- Wajchenberg, B.L., Bosco, A., Marone, M.M., Levin, S., Rocha, M., Lerario, A.C., Nery, M., Goldman, J., & Liberman, B. (1995). Estimation of body fat and lean tissue distribution by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry and abdominal body fat evaluation by computed tomography in Cushing's disease. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 80(9), 2791-2794.

- Ulbrich, E.J., Nanz, D., Leinhard, O.D., Marcon, M., & Fischer, M.A. (2018). Whole-body adipose tissue and lean muscle volumes and their distribution across gender and age: MR- derived normative values in normal-weight Swiss population. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 79(1), 449-458.
- WHO. (2016). "Obesity and overweight Fact sheet N°311".

