

1

2

3

4

5 Taxonomy of the Plethodontid Salamander Genus *Hydromantes* (Caudata:

6 Plethodontidae)

7

8 David B. Wake<sup>1</sup>, Alfredo Salvador<sup>2</sup>, Miguel A. Alonso-Zarazaga<sup>3</sup>

9

10

11 <sup>1</sup>Museum of Vertebrate Zoology and Department of Integrative Biology, University of

12 California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3160

13 e-mail: wakelab@uclink.berkeley.edu

14

15 <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC,

16 José Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain.

17

18 <sup>3</sup>Departamento de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias

19 Naturales, CSIC, José Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain.

20

1           The plethodontid salamanders that occur in Europe are included in the taxon  
2 *Speleomantes* Dubois 1984, which is treated either as a genus (e.g., Lanza, 1999), or as  
3 one of two subgenera of *Hydromantes* Gistel 1848 (e.g., Jackman et al., 1997). In the  
4 latter case, the subgenus *Hydromantes* includes only North American (i.e., Californian)  
5 species. The taxonomic history of *Hydromantes* (*sensu lato*) is complicated. The species  
6 currently assigned to *Hydromantes* and/or *Speleomantes* were placed in various genera  
7 (e.g., *Geotriton*, *Spelerpes*) prior to 1923, when Dunn made a proposal that stabilized  
8 taxonomy (all species placed in *Hydromantes*) for over 60 years, until Lanza and Vanni  
9 (1981) placed the American species (by this time, three in number) in a new genus  
10 *Hydromantoides* on the basis of morphological and genetic data (see also Lanza et al.,  
11 1995). Dubois (1984) showed that Dunn had erred in technical but significant details of  
12 taxonomic procedure in using the name *Hydromantes*, and proposed a new name,  
13 *Speleomantes*, for the European species. His suggestion for the taxonomy of the group  
14 was to recognize a single genus, *Hydromantoides*, with two subgenera, *Hydromantoides*  
15 and *Speleomantes*. Lanza (1986) subsequently raised *Speleomantes* to generic level.

16           The name *Hydromantes* had been widely used, and the demonstration by Dubois  
17 (1984) that it was a substitute name for a taxon whose type species is a member of the  
18 Salamandridae had serious implications. Dubois reduced *Hydromantes* to the synonymy  
19 of *Triturus* Rafinesque, 1815, which of course made it unavailable for plethodontids.  
20 This led Smith and Wake (1993) to offer a possible solution to the International  
21 Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. They suggested that *Salamandra genei*  
22 Temminck & Schlegel, 1838 be designated as the type species of *Hydromantes*, thereby  
23 preserving the name for species of the Plethodontidae. Discussion followed (Dubois,

1 1995; Salvidio, 1995; Smith et al., 1995, 1996), and a modification of the proposal was  
2 offered that would retain *Speleomantes* for European species and restore *Hydromantes* for  
3 American species (Dubois, 1995). This proposal was accepted, and the International  
4 Commission on Zoological Nomenclature issued Opinion 1866 (1997) designating  
5 *Spelerpes platycephalus* Camp, 1916 as the type species of *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848.

6 Although Gistel (1848) had used *Hydromantes* originally as a replacement name  
7 for *Geotriton* (i.e., *Triturus*), twenty years later (Gistel, 1868) he reverted to use of  
8 *Geotriton* and the name *Hydromantes* did not appear. The two species he assigned to  
9 *Geotriton* in 1868 were identified as "*fuscus* Bonap." and "*cinereus?* Merr.". The  
10 intention of Gistel (1868) is clear, because following the entry "G e o t r i t o n Bonap."  
11 the vernacular name is given: "Höhlenmolch", or Cave Salamander, the widely used term  
12 for the biological entity that he referred to *Geotriton fuscus* and that currently is known as  
13 either *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) italicus* or *Speleomantes italicus*.

14 Gistel (1868) listed a number of genera under the general heading "Salamandrini  
15 (Salamanderartige Lurche)". The first genus listed is "LIII A t y l o d e s Gistel  
16 (Ohndrüser)", and its only species is "103 G e n e i", from "Sardinien". The brief  
17 description of *Atylodes*, in German, is accurate for the taxon today known as  
18 *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) genei*, which occurs only on the southwestern part of the  
19 island of Sardinia. The next genus listed is "LIV, *Salamandra*", and from context it is  
20 apparent that Gistel was distinguishing *Atylodes* from *Salamandra*. The vernacular name  
21 he chose for *Atylodes* translates into English as the glandless salamander, and Gistel  
22 explicitly emphasized the lack of parotoid glands ("Keine Parotiden"). *Geotriton* appears  
23 later in the list, as genus number LVIII.

1           Had the work of Gistel (1868) been known to Dubois (1984), we believe that he  
2 would have adopted the name *Atylodes* rather than proposing the new name  
3 *Speleomantes*. Gistel's work has been cited rarely in the herpetological literature and the  
4 name *Atylodes*, mentioned by Neave (1939) in his list of generic and subgeneric taxa,  
5 remained undiscovered until now. Mertens (1936) was the first to mention Gistel's book  
6 when he revalidated the taxon *Podarcis muralis* var. *wagleriana* Gistel, 1868 and  
7 elevated it to species rank in combination with *Lacerta*, *Lacerta wagleriana* (Gistel,  
8 1868). In later years, this taxon and Gistel's book were mentioned by Mertens and  
9 coworkers in two checklists of the European herpetofauna (Mertens & Müller, 1940,  
10 Mertens & Wermuth, 1960), and more recently, Arnold (1973) transferred *Lacerta*  
11 *wagleriana* to *Podarcis wagleriana* and again cited Gistel's book. Mertens (1936)  
12 explicitly lists *Atylodes* among the new taxa in Gistel (1868), as follows: "Caudata. S.  
13 158 *Atylodes*, Typus: *Salamandra genei* SCHLEGEL: - *Hydromantes* GISTEL 1848." THE  
14 latest edition of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (1999) protects  
15 *Speleomantes*, which has been cited extensively since it was first proposed. Our proposal  
16 is that the name *Atylodes* also should be preserved and herein we present our argument.

17           Molecular and morphological studies of *Hydromantes* (*sensu lato*) have shown  
18 that the genus is monophyletic and that it includes three, not two, subclades. Wake  
19 (1966) presented morphological evidence of monophyly for the genus, and those  
20 characters have not been challenged. Especially compelling is the unique hyobranchial  
21 apparatus and tongue (see also Lombard and Wake, 1973, 1986; Lanza et al., 1995;  
22 Jackman et al., 1997). Molecular data also support monophyly (sequences of the

1 mitochondrial gene cytochrome b), as does a combined analysis of morphological and  
2 molecular data (Jackman et al., 1997).

3         Within *Hydromantes* Wake (1966) showed that the European and American  
4 species differed in some osteological features (shape of facial process of maxilla, most  
5 ribs bicipital in American species but unicipital in European species), and there are also  
6 some other morphological and behavioural differences (Lanza and Vanni, 1981; Lanza et  
7 al., 1995). Wake et al. (1978) measured albumin immunological distances between the  
8 two groups and obtained distances of 47 and 48. They suggested on the basis of these  
9 and allozymic data that the European and American lineages had been diverging for  
10 about 28 million years (using a molecular evolutionary clock calibration of 1.7 albumin  
11 immunological distance units equals roughly one million years). Immunological  
12 distances between species within the American group were from 1-9, but distances  
13 between the European species were not measured because antiserum was available only  
14 for the American species *Hydromantes shastae*.

15         Wake et al. (1978) presented a limited study of allozymes (18 loci in 70  
16 specimens, including all American species plus one population each of *H. genei* and *H.*  
17 *italicus*) and reported large genetic distances between the European and American species  
18 (averaging  $D_{\text{Nei}}$  of about 1). Surprisingly, Wake et al. also found a genetic distance of  
19 about 1 between the two European species studied (*Hydromantes genei* and *Hydromantes*  
20 *italicus*).

21         Extensive electrophoretic investigations of the European species by Lanza et al.  
22 (1995) and Nascetti et al. (1996) using 33 loci in nearly 500 specimens reported genetic  
23 distances between European and American species so great as to be essentially

1 unmeasureable. The three species from eastern Sardinia (*H. flavus*, *H. imperialis*, *H.*  
2 *supramontis*) are more closely related to the species on the European mainland (*H.*  
3 *ambrosii*, *H. italicus*, *H. strinatii*) than they are to *H. genei*, which is limited in  
4 distribution to the southwestern part of the island. Genetic distances between *H. genei*  
5 and the other European species are greater than 1.47 (Wake et al., 1978, reported a  
6 distance of 1.135 with their limited sample). Nascetti et al. (1996) also reported  
7 substantial geographic differentiation within the limited range of *H. genei* ( $D_{Nei}$  as great  
8 as 0.25), raising the possibility that more than a single species should be recognized and  
9 suggesting that the taxon is relatively old.

10 Nardi (1991) showed that morphologically differentiated sex chromosomes of the  
11 XX/XY type occur in the continental species, as well as in the eastern Sardinian species.  
12 However, sex chromosomal dimorphism is absent in *H. genei*. The American species of  
13 *Hydromantes* also lack morphologically differentiated sex chromosomes, and this is  
14 generally thought to be an ancestral feature in the Plethodontidae (Sessions and Kezer,  
15 1991). The 14th pair of chromosomes of the American species is metacentric (presumed  
16 to be the ancestral state) whereas that of *H. genei* and the other European species is  
17 subtelocentric. Nardi (1991) also reported differences between *H. genei* and the other  
18 European species with respect to the distribution of centromeric satellites on  
19 chromosomes, and furthermore found that *H. genei* differed from the others in having  
20 more pericentric heterochromatin and more restriction sites for a particular marker in  
21 ribosomal genes. In a later study, Nardi et al. (1999) report a number of differences  
22 between *H. shastae*, *H. genei*, and the other European species with respect to repetitive  
23 DNA. The results, too technical to be easily summarized here, suggest that *H. genei*

1 retains some ancestral elements, and it consistently differs from other European species  
2 as well as from *H. shastae*.

3 Lanza and Leo (2001) reported that one population of *Hydromantes imperialis*  
4 gives birth to living young, but the data, although based on independent observations by  
5 two observers, were scanty. Early reports of live-bearing in continental species are  
6 suspect, and although reproductive habits of the different species of European  
7 plethodontids are not fully known, the American species are all oviparous, as is *H. genei*,  
8 and clutches of eggs are known for some but not all of the remaining European species  
9 (Lanza, 1999). Thus, all of the provocative accounts of live-bearing are for mainland or  
10 eastern Sardinian species (Lanza, 1999; Lanza and Leo, 2001).

11 Studies of sequences of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome b (Jackman et al.,  
12 1997) showed that the European and American species group were well differentiated  
13 from each other, with each forming a clade. A basal polytomy in the European clade left  
14 relationships between *H. italicus*, *H. genei*, and two species from eastern Sardinia  
15 unresolved (however *H. supramontis* and *H. flavus* were close relatives with high  
16 support). The Kimura 2-parameter distance between *H. genei* and the other European  
17 species was the highest recorded in the European clade (13.7-15%). More extensive  
18 studies of DNA sequence evolution in *Hydromantes* are in progress.

19 Larson et al. (2003) summarized available data for *Hydromantes* and suggested an  
20 approximate early Eocene divergence of the American and European lineages and an  
21 Oligocene divergence of the two European lineages from each other. In turn, they  
22 suggest an Early Miocene divergence of the eastern Sardinian and mainland lineages.  
23 The weight of all available evidence (as hypothesized by Lanza et al., 1995, and

1 critically evaluated by Delfino et al., 2004) is that the European and American lineages  
2 separated very long ago, possibly associated with the separation of the continental masses  
3 that gave rise to North America and Europe around 50 ma.

4 Lanza (1983), Nardi (1991), Lanza et al. (1995), Nascetti et al. (1996) and Delfino  
5 et al. (2004) all discuss historical biogeography of the European species. Progenitors of  
6 *H. genei* are thought to have separated from a continental ancestral stock (fossil  
7 *Hydromantes* are known from the Middle Miocene of Slovakia, Venczel and Sanchíz,  
8 2004) during the late Oligocene (27-30 Myr) when a Sardinian-Corsican microplate was  
9 detached from the main European plate. Lanza (1983; see also Lanza et al., 1995)  
10 hypothesized that the microplate that became Sardinia may have formed from two parts,  
11 which migrated separately with ancestors of *H. genei* occupying a small "Iglesiente  
12 block", a fossil island that definitively joined the larger block for form the present island  
13 of Sardinia in the Pliocene. Ancestors of the present-day species of eastern Sardinian are  
14 thought to have migrated from the mainland to the larger of the two parts of the  
15 microplate during the latest Miocene (Messinian, about 6 Myr), when desiccation  
16 restored a land connection to the continent. Although Nascetti et al. (1996) also  
17 considered a more recent alternative, the new molecular data (Jackman et al., 1997, not  
18 cited by Nascetti et al.) and the analysis of Larson et al. (2003) are more compatible with  
19 the scenario of Lanza et al. (1995).

20 All available data agree that *H. genei* is widely divergent from the other European  
21 species in proteins, mitochondrial DNA, sex chromosomes, and perhaps even in  
22 reproduction, and to a minor extent in morphology. Accordingly, because we think that  
23 the phylogenetic information is most readily reflected taxonomically through the use of



1 subgenera (see arguments in Jackman et al., 1997, and Parra-Olea et al., 2004), we  
2 propose the following taxonomy:

3

4 Genus *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848

5 Subgenus *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848

6 Included species: *Hydromantes (Hydromantes)*

7 *platycephalus* (Camp, 1916); *Hydromantes (Hydromantes)*

8 *brunus* Gorman, 1954; *Hydromantes (Hydromantes)*

9 *shastae* Gorman and Camp, 1953

10 Subgenus *Atylodes* Gistel, 1868

11 Included species: *Hydromantes (Atylodes) genei*

12 (Temminck and Schlegel, 1838).

13 Subgenus *Speleomantes* Dubois, 1984

14 Included species: *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) italicus*

15 Dunn, 1923; *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) ambrosii* Lanza,

16 1955; *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) flavus* Stefani, 1969;

17 *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) supramontis* Lanza, Nascetti

18 et Bullini, 1986; *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) imperialis*

19 Stefani, 1969; *Hydromantes (Speleomantes) strinatii*

20 Aellen, 1958.

21

22 Because this is also a phylogenetic classification it is readily convertible to

23 alternative classification systems, such as the phylocode. In a phylogenetic classification

1 the generic level clade would be *Hydromantes*. If *Atylodes* and *Speleomantes* are sister  
2 taxa, as all available morphological and biochemical evidence suggests, the name of the  
3 subordinate clade would be *Atylodes*, which has priority over *Speleomantes*.

4  
5 **Acknowledgements** Gistel (1868) is a rare book, and after many enquiries, one of us (M.  
6 A. A.-Z.) was able to locate one copy at the Senckenberg Museum (Frankfurt am Main,  
7 Germany) library. We thank Dr. Damir Kovac (Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt am  
8 Main, Germany) for making available to us a xerox copy of this book. We thank  
9 Matthias Stöck for linguistic and bibliographic assistance and Hobart M. Smith for  
10 discussion. We appreciate the constructive review of the manuscript by Massimo Delfino  
11 and Benedetto Lanza.

#### 12 13 14 **References**

- 15 Arnold, E. N. (1973): Relationships of the palaeartic lizards assigned to the genera  
16 *Lacerta*, *Algyroides* and *Psammodromus* (Reptilia: Lacertidae). Bull. Br. Mus.  
17 Nat. Hist. (Zool.) **25**: 291-366.
- 18 Delfino, M., Razzetti, E., Salvidio, S. In Press. European plethodontids: paleontological  
19 data and biogeographical considerations. Atti. Mus. Civ. Storia Naturale Genova.
- 20 Dunn, E. R. (1923): Mutanda herpetologica. Proceedings of the New England  
21 Zoological Club **VIII**: 39-40.
- 22 Dubois, A. (1984): Miscellanea Nomenclatorica Batrachologica (IV). Alytes **3**:103-  
23 110.

- 1 Dubois, A. (1995): Comments on the proposed conservation of *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848  
2 (Amphibia, Caudata) by the designation of *Salamandra genei* Temminck &  
3 Schlegel, 1838 as the type species. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature **52**: 340-  
4 342.
- 5 Gistel, J. (1848): *Naturgeschichte des Thierreiches für höhere Schulen.* xv, 216, (4) pp.,  
6 32 pls. Stuttgart, Hoffman.
- 7 Gistel, J. (1868): *Blicke in das Leben der Natur und des Menschen.* 274 pp. Leipzig,  
8 Verlag Gb. Wartig.
- 9 International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. (1997): Opinion 1866.  
10 *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848 (Amphibia, Caudata): *Spelerpes platycephalus* Camp,  
11 1916 designated as the type species. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature **54**:  
12 72-74.
- 13 International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. (1999): International Code of  
14 Zoological Nomenclature. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature,  
15 XXIX + 306 pp.
- 16 Jackman, T. R., Applebaum, G., Wake, D. B. (1997): Phylogenetic relationships of  
17 bolitoglossine salamanders: a demonstration of the effects of combining  
18 morphological and molecular data sets. Molecular Biology and Evolution **14**:  
19 883-891.
- 20 Lanza, B. (1983): Ipotesi sulle origini del popolamento erpetologico della Sardegna.  
21 Lavori Soc. Ital. Biogeogr. (new series) **8**: 723-744.
- 22 Lanza, B. (1986): I Rettili e gli Anfibi. In: L'ambiente naturale in Sardegna (Elementi di  
23 base per la conoscenza e la gestione del territorio, In: L'ambiente naturale in

- 1 Sardegna, p. 289-321 + 549-550, Camarda, I., Falchi, S., Nudda, G. Eds., Sassari,  
2 Carlo Delfino Editore.
- 3 Lanza, B. (1999): Plethodontidae - Lungenlose Salamander. In: Handbuch der Reptilien  
4 und Amphibien Europas, Band 4/1, Schwanzlurche (Urodela), I, p. 77-204,  
5 Wiesbelshein, ALUA Verlag.
- 6 Lanza, B., Leo, P. (2001): Prima osservazione sicura di riproduzione vivipara nel genere  
7 *Speleomantes* (Amphibia: Caudata: Plethodontidae). *Pianura* **13**: 317-319.
- 8 Lanza, B., Vanni, S. (1981): On the biogeography of plethodontid salamanders  
9 (Amphibia Caudata) with a description of a new genus. *Monitore Zool. Ital.*  
10 (N.S.) **15**: 117-121.
- 11 Lanza, B., Caputo, V., Nascetti, G., Bullini, L. (1995): Morphologic and genetic studies  
12 of the European plethodontid salamanders: taxonomic inferences (genus  
13 *Hydromantes*). *Monografie XVI*, Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino.
- 14 Larson, A., Weisrock, D. W., Kozak, K. H. (2003): Phylogenetic systematics of  
15 salamander (Amphibia:Urodela), a review. In: Sever, D., Ed., p. 31-108,  
16 *Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of the Urodela*, Enfield, New Hampshire,  
17 USA, Science Publishers, Inc.
- 18 Lombard, R. E., Wake, D. B. (1977): Tongue evolution in the lungless salamanders,  
19 family Plethodontidae, II. Function and evolutionary diversity. *J. Morphol.* **153**:  
20 39-79.
- 21 Lombard, R. E., Wake, D. B. (1986): Tongue evolution in the lungless salamanders,  
22 family Plethodontidae, IV. Phylogeny of plethodontid salamanders and the  
23 evolution of feeding dynamics. *Syst. Zool.* **35**: 532-551.

- 1 Mertens, R. (1936). Eine übersehene "Herpetologia Europaea". *Seckenbergiana* **18 (1-2):**  
2 75-78.
- 3 Mertens, R., Müller, L. (1940): Die Amphibien und Reptilien Europas. Zweite Liste, nach  
4 dem Stand von 1. Januar 1940. *Abh. Senckenb. Naturf. Ges.* **451:** 1-56.
- 5 Mertens, R., Wermuth, H. (1960): Die Amphibien und Reptilien Europas (Dritte Liste,  
6 nach dem stand von 1. Januar 1960). Frankfurt am Main, Waldemar Kramer.
- 7 Nardi, I. (1991): Cytogenetics of the European plethodontid salamanders, Genus  
8 *Hydromantes*. In: *Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution*, p. 131-153. Green, D.,  
9 Sessions, S. K. Eds., San Diego, Academic Press.
- 10 Nardi, I., Batistoni, R, Marracci, S., Lanza, B. (1999): Repetitive DNA components of the  
11 large *Hydromantes* genome: phylogenetic and molecular aspects. *Herpetologica*  
12 **55:** 131-139.
- 13 Nascetti, G., Cimmaruta, R., Lanza, B., Bullini, L. (1996): Molecular taxonomy of  
14 European plethodontid salamanders (Genus *Hydromantes*). *Journal of*  
15 *Herpetology* **30:** 161-183.
- 16 Neave, S. A. (1939): *Nomenclator Zoologicus*. A list of the names of genera and  
17 subgenera in Zoology from the tenth edition of Linnaeus 1758 to the end of 1935.  
18 Vol. 1 (A-C). XIV + 957 pp. London, The Zoological Society of London.
- 19 Parra-Olea, G., García-París, M., Wake, D. B. (2004): Molecular diversification of  
20 salamanders of the tropical American genus *Bolitoglossa* (Caudata:  
21 Plethodontidae) and its evolutionary and biogeographic implications. *Biological*  
22 *Journal of the Linnean Society* **81:** 325-346.

- 1 Salvidio, S. (1995): Comments on the proposed conservation of *Hydromantes* Gistel,  
2 1848 (Amphibia, Caudata) by the designation of *Salamandra genei* Temminck &  
3 Schlegel, 1838 as the type species. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* **52**: 339-  
4 340.
- 5 Sessions, S.K., Kezer, J. (1991): Evolutionary cytogenetics of bolitoglossine  
6 salamanders (Family Plethodontidae). In: *Amphibian Cytogenetics and*  
7 *Evolution*, p. 89-130, Green, D., Sessions, S. K. Eds., San Diego, Academic Press.
- 8 Smith, H. M., Wake, D. B. (1993): Case 2868. *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848 (Amphibia,  
9 Caudata): proposed designation of *Salamandra genei* Temminck & Schlegel,  
10 1838 as the type species. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* **50**: 219-223.
- 11 Smith, H. M., Wake, D. B., Jennings, M. R. (1995): Comment on the proposed  
12 conservation of *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848 (Amphibia, Caudata) by the  
13 designation of *Salamandra genei* Temminck & Schlegel, 1838 as the type species.  
14 *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* **52**: 267-269.
- 15 Smith, H. M., Wake, D. B., Jennings, M. R. (1996): Comments on the proposed  
16 conservation of *Hydromantes* Gistel, 1848 (Amphibia, Cuadaa) by the designation  
17 of *Salamandra genei* Temminck & Schlegel, 1838 as the type species. *Bulletin of*  
18 *Zoological Nomenclature* **53**: 48.
- 19 Venczel, M., Sanchíz, B. (2004): A fossil plethodontid salamander from the Middle  
20 Miocene of Slovakia (Caudata, Plethodontidae). Submitted for publication.
- 21 Wake, D. B. (1966): Comparative osteology and evolution of the lungless salamanders,  
22 family Plethodontidae. *Memoirs, Southern California Academy of Sciences*, **4**:  
23 1-111.

1 Wake, D. B., Maxson, L. R., Wurst, G. Z. (1978): Genetic differentiation, albumin  
2 evolution, and their biogeographic implications in plethodontid salamanders of  
3 California and southern Europe. *Evolution* **32**: 529-539.  
4