

Efforts are required to understand the epidemiology of the *Fusarium* disease by
focusing more precisely on the relationship between environmental variables and the
disease presence. The objectives of the present study were to monitor the occurrence of *Fusarium* species in maize kernels in Northwestern Spain in order to determine the
potential risk of mycotoxin contamination, and to identify environmental traits affecting
the composition of the *Fusarium* species identified.

The environmental mean of *F. verticillioides* presence ranged from 33 to 99 %, supporting the idea that the fumonisin contamination is the main maize-based feed and food safety concern in this area, although emerging mycotoxins such as moniliformin, fusaproliferin and beauvericin should be also taken into account. Under the particular environmental conditions of this region we must point out temperature and humidity in relation to the *Fusarium* spp. occurrence. We determine that warmer temperatures at later stages of kernel development and during kernel drying increase the frequency of *F. verticillioides* in maize kernels; while the presence of *F. subglutinans* is impacted by higher relative humidity at the silking stage and cooler temperatures during the kernel drying period. The management of sowing and harvest dates can be effective in order to modulate the fungal presence and growth.

**Key words**: Fusarium, Zea mays, fumonisin; environment; presence; kernel, silk

Molds belonging to the genus *Fusarium* are widely found infecting maize kernels in 46 temperate regions. The occurrence of *Fusarium* species is a food and feed safety 47 problem because most of them produce mycotoxins (Logrieco et al., 2003,). Symptoms 48 of mycotoxicosis depend on the type of mycotoxin, concentration, length of exposure 49 50 and characteristic of the exposed individual (e.g. age and health), but mycotoxins could especially cause injuries in liver, kidneys, and immune, endocrine and/or nervous 51 systems (Bennett & Klich, 2003). They can be mutagenic and carcinogenic; potential 52 53 carcinogenic risk for some mycotoxins has been rated by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 1993). Therefore, legislation to limit the amount of some 54 55 mycotoxins has been implemented in many parts of the world (FAO, 2004) in order to 56 minimize human health risk. Climatic conditions determine the predominance of a particular species or group 57 of species which cause different types of maize ear rot. In cooler temperate regions, 58 Gibberella ear rot is predominant and is mainly caused by F. graminearum and related 59 species such as F. culmorum, F. cerealis and F. avenaceum (Munkvold, 2003, Logrieco 60 et al., 2002, Bottalico, 1998). In warmer regions, Fusarium ear rot is prevalent and is the 61 result of kernel infection by F. verticillioides and other species of the Gibberella 62 63 fujikuroi complex, such as F. proliferatum and F. subglutinans. All these species are 64 mycotoxigenic and, depending on the particular species, can produce trichothecenes, fumonisins and/or zearalenone, and other mycotoxin comparatively less important such 65 66 as moniliformin, beauvericin, fusaproliferin, fusaric acid or enniatins (Logrieco et al., 2002, Jestoi, 2008). In Spain, maize kernel seemed to be predominantly infected by F. 67 verticillioides and in a lesser extent by F. proliferatum, both known as fumonisin 68 producers (Butron et al., 2006, Jurado et al., 2006, Arino et al., 2007). Significant 69 differences among years and locations for *Fusarium* spp. incidence in maize kernels has 70

71 been reported in many geographical areas (Bottalico, 1998, Goertz et al., 2010, 72 Boutigny et al., 2012, Covarelli et al., 2011). Bakan et al. (2002), analyzing kernel infection by Fusarium ssp., found that F. proliferatum was more abundant in 73 northeastern Spain. Our experimental plots are located in northwestern Spain, where 74 climatic characteristics during kernel filling are very different from northeastern Spain 75 conditions, and those climatic differences could be responsible for differences in the 76 Fusarium species identified in the area (Marin et al. 1996; Butron et al., 2006). 77 Attending to the fumonisin contamination, Sanchis et al. (1995) had already pointed out 78 the potential fumonisin contamination in many Spanish corn-based products containing 79 80 both *Fusarium* species, while a previous papers from our group noted fumonisin 81 contamination of maize flours above the levels established in the European Regulation (Butrón et al., 2006). 82 83 Although yearly and geographical variation in the diversity of *Fusarium* in maize kernels has been noted, we have no information attending the environmental 84 traits affecting biodiversity other than the wetter regions seemed to favor greater 85 Fusarium contamination than the drier regions (Cantalejo et al. 1998). Therefore, the 86 objectives of the present study were: (i) to monitor the occurrence of Fusarium species 87 88 in maize kernels in Northwestern Spain in order to determine the potential risk of 89 contamination by several mycotoxins; and (ii) to identify environmental traits

associated with the variability in the *Fusarium* species composition in the area.

#### Materials and methods

91

92 **Field experiments.** Six maize hybrids derived from crosses among inbred lines EP39, CM151, EP42 and EP47 were used to monitor the prevalence of *Fusarium* spp. on 93 maize kernels under natural infection. As corn borer attack has been associated to 94 95 increased kernel infection by fungus (Smith & White, 1988), two inbred lines (EP39) and CM151) were selected as resistant to the Mediterranean corn borer (Sesamia 96 nonagrioides Lef.) attack and the other two (EP42 and EP47) as susceptible (Santiago 97 et al., 2003). Hybrids were evaluated at early (end of April) and late (middle of May) 98 sowings in 2007 and 2008 in three locations in Northwestern Spain and were harvested 99 100 in two dates. Locations were Pontevedra (42° 24' N, 8° 38' W, 50 m above sea level) and Barrantes (42° 30' N, 8° 46' W, 50 above sea level), both placed close to the coast, 101 and Valongo (42° 26' N, 8° 27' W, 500 above sea level), situated in the inlands. 102 103 Therefore, hybrids were evaluated in a total of 24 environments (combination of 2 years-3 locations-2 sowing dates-2 harvest dates). A split-plot design with three 104 replications was used for each trial (year-location-sowing combination); hybrids were 105 assigned to main plots and harvest times to sub-plots. Main plots consisted in two rows 106 107 with 13 two-kernel hills per row, rows being 0.80 m apart from each other and hills 0.21 108 m apart. After thinning the final density was around 60 000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. Within each plot, ears from one row (sub-plot) were harvested at the beginning of October (early 109 harvest) and from the other row one month later (late harvest). Harvested ears were 110 shelled and kernels were dried at 35 °C for one week and maintained at 4 °C and 50 % 111 humidity until analyses were performed. 112 Environmental variables. A meteorological station was installed at each location for 113 recording climatic data every 12 minutes. Next climatic variables were computed based 114 on recorded climatic data: average of daily mean temperature (°C), mean of daily 115

maximum temperatures (°C), mean of daily minimum temperatures (°C), mean of daily relative humidity (%), rainfall (mm), number of days with minimum temperature  $\leq 15$ °C, number of days with maximum temperature ≥ 30 °C, number of days with mean temperature  $\geq 10$  °C and < 15 °C,  $\geq 15$  and < 20 °C,  $\geq 20$  and < 25 °C,  $\geq 25$  and < 30 $^{\circ}$ C, and number of days with rainfall  $\geq 2$  mm. These climatic variables were selected according to previous reports on the influence of climatic factors on mold development in wheat and maize (Marin et al., 2004, de la Campa et al., 2005, Maiorano et al., 2009, Schaafsma & Hooker, 2007). These parameters were calculated for the next periods: the entire maize growing period, from sowing to harvest; the maize vegetative period, from sowing to silking; the maize reproductive period, from silking to harvest; the flowering period, from 15 days before silking to 15 days after silking; critical period 1 (C1), between 10 and 4 days before silking; critical period 2 (C2), between 4 days before silking and 2 days after silking, critical period 3 (C3), between 2 and 8 days after silking; critical period 4 (C4), between 8 and 14 days after silking; milk-dough kernel stage, between 16 and 30 days after silking; dent kernel stage, between 31 and 45 days after silking; kernel developing period, from silking to physiological maturity; kernel drying period, from physiological maturity to harvest.

Other environmental variables included and recorded at harvest were: maize husk coverage, evaluated by a visual scale from 0 (loose husks with visible cob) to 5 (tight husks) (Wiseman & Isenhour, 1992); kernel damage by corn borers on a visual rating from 1 (100% of ear totally damaged by borers) to 9 (no damage); tunnel length, maize stem damage by borers expressed in cm; kernel humidity (%); kernel damage by *Sitotroga cerealella*; percentage of kernels with damaged pericarp; and thickness of pericarp expressed in µm.

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

**Identification of** *Fusarium* **species.** Fifty kernels from each sub-plot were used for 140 estimating the presence of each *Fusarium* species in maize kernels in 2007 and 2008. 141 Maize kernels were grown on KOMADA medium which is selective for *Fusarium* spp. 142 (Komada, 1975). Monosporic isolates were obtained and were grown on PDA (Potato 143 Dextrose Agar), SNA (Spezieller Nährstoffarmer Agar) and CLA (CarnationLeaf Agar) 144 media for determining specific characteristics of each isolate (Leslie & Summerell, 145 2006). In addition, a molecular identification of the species was also performed: 146 Fungal DNA was directly extracted from mycelia of monosporic cultures grown 147 on plates, using the commercial kit E.Z.N.A.® Fungal DNA Mini (Omega bio-tek). All 148 149 monosporic isolates were tested by PCR. PCR reactions were carried out with primers 150 ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al., 1990) to amplify the ITS region of rDNA, and with primers EF1 and EF2 (O'Donnell et al., 2000) for the elongation factor 1α gene (EF-151 152  $1\alpha$ ). ITS-PCR reactions were carried out in microcentrifuge tubes each containing one PuReTaq<sup>M</sup> Ready-To-Go<sup>TM</sup> PCR Bead (GE Healthcare), 1 μL genomic DNA, 0.3 μL of 153 each primer (10 µM), and sterile water up to a final volume of 25 µL. Elongation factor 154 1α gene PCR-reaction contained 1 μL of genomic DNA, 25 pmol of each primer, 200 155 μL of dNTPs, 1U of Green Taq DNA polymerase (GenScript, USA), 1X standard PCR 156 buffer and sterile water up to a final volume of 25 µL. 157 Both DNA amplification reactions were carried out in a Thermocycler Biometra 158 T3000 (Whatman) under the following conditions: one cycle at 94°C for 5 min; 35 159 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C (for ITS1/ITS4) or 53°C (for EF1/EF2) for 30 s, 72°C for 160 1 min; and a elongation final at 72°C for 10 min. Products from PCR reactions were 161 electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel, then stained with ethidium bromide, and 162 visualized with a UV transilluminator. The size of PCR products was estimated by 163 164 comparison with a 100 bp standard ladder (Marker XIV, Roche Diagnostics). Amplified

products were sequenced with the same primers used for PCR reactions in an ABIPrism 165 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were analyzed with 166 the BLAST alignment program of the NCBI and comparing with those deposited in 167 GenBank [National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCIB), 2012]. The 168 169 molecular identification of a species was accepted when the percentage of sequence identity was above 98%. 170 Statistical analyses. The averaged percentage of presence of each Fusarium species 171 at each of the 24 environments (combination of 2 years-3 locations-2 sowing dates-2 172 harvest dates) was computed as the mean of individual percentages in 18 sub-plots (six 173 174 different maize hybrids replicated three times). Combined analyses of variance (ANOVA) for Fusarium spp. occurrence were computed with the GLM procedure of 175 SAS following a split-plot design (SAS 2007). All sources of variation were considered 176 177 as fixed factors. Comparisons of means among years, locations, sowing dates and harvest dates were made by Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD). In 178 addition, Pearson correlations analyses between Fusarium spp. were calculated. 179 In order to examine the relationships between the environmental variables and 180 the Fusarium species in the kernels a redundancy analyses (RDA) was performed using 181 182 CANOCO (Ter Braak & Smilauer, 1997). Previously, a detrented correspondence analysis (DCA) had been performed to determine if data could fit a linear ordination 183 model as RDA or not, following recommendations by Lepš and Šmilauer (2003). 184 185 Analyses were applied to the averaged percentage of presence of each *Fusarium* species in maize kernels at each environment. RDA computations were performed on centered 186 and standardized data, and run with a forward selection of the environmental variables 187

procedure and the associated Monte Carlo permutation test (499 unrestricted

- permutations) to exclude environmental variables that did not contribute significantly
- (p>0.05) to the variation of the *Fusarium* species.

#### Results

191

Nine different *Fusarium* species were isolated from maize kernel samples (Table 1). 192 Five species were found in all locations: F. verticillioides, complex F. subglutinans 193 sensu lato, F. proliferatum, F. poae and F. oxysporum. The prevalent species in the 24 194 195 environments was F. verticillioides; the environmental average of F. verticillioides presence ranged from 33 to 99 %. The second most abundant was the complex, F. 196 subglutinans sensu lato, which was present in all environments at percentages varying 197 from 1 to 27 %. The species identified and also included in this complex were F. 198 begoniae and F. sterilihyphosum. The remaining Fusarium species (F. proliferatum, F. 199 200 poae, F. oxysporum, F. cerealis, F. equiseti, F. solani, and F. culmorum) were present 201 sporadically across environments and never surpassed a kernel presence of 4 % (data not shown). 202 203 There were no differences between years, locations, sowing dates or harvest dates for the diverse Fusarium species identified with the exception of F. verticillioides. 204 F. verticillioides presence was higher in coastal locations (Pontevedra and Barrantes) 205 compared to the inland location (Valongo). In addition, early sowing (86.19 % early 206 207 sowing vs. 74.55 % late sowing) and late harvest (73.52 % early harvests vs. 80.94 % 208 late harvests) showed the highest occurrence. No significant differences between years 209 were observed for *F. verticillioides* presence. There was simple positive correlation among abundances for F. oxysporum and 210 F. solani  $(r = 0.67, P \le 0.001)$ , F. cerealis and F. poae  $(r = 0.56, P \le 0.01)$ , as well as 211 F. equiseti and F. culmorum (r = 0.77,  $P \le 0.001$ ), F. equiseti and F. subglutinans sensu 212 lato  $(r = 0.59, P \le 0.01)$ , and F. culmorum and F. subglutinans sensu lato  $(r = 0.70, P \le 0.01)$ 213 0.001). It is important to note that these correlations are based on very low percentages 214 215 of presence for those species.

The redundancy analysis was performed using significant non-categorical environmental factors as explicative variables. The results of the Monte Carlo permutation tests revealed the statistical significance ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of the effects of three environmental variables on Fusarium species composition: number of days with mean temperature ≥ 15 and < 20 °C during drying kernel period, averaged relative humidity at C3 (between 2 and 8 days after silking), and number of days with minimum temperature ≤ 15 °C at dent kernel stage (Table 2). The first two axes of the redundancy analysis using these three environmental variables as explicative variables explained the 71.2 % of the variability for *Fusarium* species ocurrence (Figure 1), the 75.0 % of the variability for F. verticillioides and 49.0 % of the variability for F. subglutinans sensu *lato* presence (Table 3). Days with mean temperature  $\geq 15$  and  $\leq 20$  °C at drying kernel period and days with minimum temperature ≤ 15 °C at dent kernel stage had an important contribution to the gradient for the first axis which explained the 75 % of variability for F. verticillioides (Table 3). The averaged relative humidity during C3 period (between 2 and 8 days after silking) and days with mean temperature  $\geq 15$  and  $\leq$ 20 °C at drying kernel period had an important effect on the second axis. Both the axes explained 49 % of variability for F. subglutinans sensu lato and between 6 and 21% of variability for F. poae, F. proliferatum, F. oxysporum, F. cerealis, F. equiseti, F. solani and F. colmorum (Table 3). Increased days with mean temperature 15 °C  $\leq$  and  $\leq$  20 °C at drying kernel period and fewer days with minimum temperature ≤ 15 °C at dent kernel stage favored the occurrence of F. verticillioides in maize kernels (Figure 1); while the presence of F. subglutinans augmented with increased relative humidity at C3 period and fewer days with mean temperature 15 °C ≤ and< 20 °C during kernel drying (Figure 1).

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

### Discussion

240

All species isolated from maize kernel samples were previously found in maize 241 grown in Europe (Dorn et al., 2009, Goertz et al., 2010, Logrieco et al., 2002). These 242 Fusarium species are, in general, mycotoxigenic, and produce fumonisins, 243 244 trichothecenes, zearalenone, moniliformin, beauvericin, enniatins and fusaric acid (Leslie & Summerell, 2006, Logrieco et al., 2003, Jestoi, 2008). The results confirmed 245 that F. verticillioides is the prevalent species in Northwestern Spain (Munoz et al., 246 1990, Butron et al., 2006). 247 F. verticillioides is the most frequently isolated species from maize pink ear rot 248 249 which is commonly observed from southern to central European areas; while the 250 predominant species causing maize red ear rot is F. graminearum which is increasingly distributed from central to northern European regions (Logrieco et al., 2002). In warm 251 252 southern European areas, F. verticillioides is associated with F. proliferatum, while 253 displacement toward Central Europe increases the presence of F. subglutinans in detriment of F. proliferatum. In this study, F. proliferatum was scarce and F. 254 graminearum was not present, while F. verticillioides was highly predominant and F. 255 256 subglutinans sensu lato was the most abundant group in agreement with the trend 257 observed in surveys performed in the last ten years in maize growing areas around the world where F. verticillioides associated with F. subglutinans are becoming the 258 dominant species (Bottalico, 1998). Non-detected presence of F. graminearum could be 259 260 consequence of early establishment of F. subglutinans that may act as a biological control mechanism against invasion by F. graminearum (Cooney et al., 2001) and/or the 261 possible competence between F. verticilliodes and F. graminearum (Marin et al., 2004, 262 Reid et al., 1999). Environmental conditions at Northwestern Spain, mild temperatures 263 along the year and moderate risk of ear damage by corn borers, can be related to the 264

species distribution. Corn borer damage is associated with increased infection by *F. subglutinans* and *F. verticillioides* in detriment of infection by *F. graminearum* (Lew et al., 1991). In addition, more extreme temperatures would favor *F. graminearum* (colder) or *F. proliferatum* (warmer) presence (Logrieco et al., 2002).

F. verticillioides is a fumonisin producer, and F. subglutinans produces a range of mycotoxins including moniliformin, fusaproliferin, beauvericin and fumonisin (Jestoi, 2008). The fumonisin producing capacity of the F. verticillioides isolates in the area has been noted (Cao, 2013). In addition, previous studies show the risk of fumonisin occurrence in maize kernels in Northwestern Spain (Butrón et al. 2006; Cao et al, 2013). The higher presence of F. verticillioides showed up by the results, obtained in a wide range of environments in natural conditions, support the idea that the fumonisin contamination is the main maize-based feed and food safety concern in this area, although emerging mycotoxins such as moniliformin, fusaproliferin and beauvericin should be also taken into account.

The influence of the geographical location on the variability of *F. verticillioides* is important as long as climatic conditions vary across locations (Boutigny et al., 2012). *F. verticillioides* presence was higher in coastal locations compared to the inland location as expected because the coastal climate is more temperate. Variation due to years was not significant; in southern European areas minor differences among years for *Fusarium* variability have been reported (Covarelli et al., 2011, Dorn et al., 2009), while important shift from one year to another for *Fusarium* spp. composition have been found in northern European regions (Goertz et al., 2010, Dorn et al., 2009). About the sowing and harvest dates, we corroborate the role of agronomic practices in order to regulate the occurrence of *F. verticillioides* (Blandino et al, 2009), although slight effects in the *Fusarium* presence has been noted in this particular study, probably with

no effect in the subsequent fumonisin contamination. The positive correlation among abundances for *F. subglutinans sensu lato*, *F. equiseti* and *F. culmorum*, as well as between *F. cerealis* and *F. poae*, corroborate that these species are adapted to similar environmental conditions, those encountered in central and northern European areas (Logrieco et al., 2002).

Efforts are required to understand the epidemiology of the Fusarium disease by focusing more precisely on the relationship between environmental variables and the disease-cycle. Temperature must be considered as an environmental factor that influences spore production under field conditions, in addition to humidity (Indira and Muthusubramanian 2004). In the same way, the mycotoxin contamination is affected by climatic factors such as temperature and relative humidity available for pre and / or post-harvest (Paterson & Lima, 2010). Attending to F. verticillioides, the two main abiotic factors associated with the its life cycle are temperature and water activity (Marin et al., 2004; Samapundo et al., 2005), they were considered the main factors in modeling fungal development and fumonisin synthesis (Maiorano et al. 2009, De la Campa et al., 2005). Likewise, under the particular environmental conditions of Northwestern Spain we pointed out temperature and humidity in relation to the Fusarium spp. occurrence. We conclude that warmer temperatures at later stages of kernel development and kernel drying period favored the presence of F. verticillioides in maize kernels; while the presence of F. subglutinans sensu lato augmented with increased relative humidity at the stage of exposed fresh silks and cooler temperatures at the kernel drying period. These results agree with the idea that F. subglutinans is favored by cooler temperature and more humid conditions (Logrieco et al., 2002, Goertz et al., 2010, Boutigny et al., 2012) compared to F. proliferatum and F. verticillioides.

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

# 314 Acknowledgements

315	This research was supported by the National Plan for Research and Development of
316	Spain (AGL2009-12770), the Autonomous Government of Galicia
317	(PGIDIT06TAL40301PR) and the Deputación de Pontevedra. A. Cao acknowledges
318	funding from the JAE Program of the Spanish Council of Research. R. Santiago
319	acknowledges postdoctoral contract "Isidro Parga Pondal" supported by the
320	Autonomous Government of Galicia and the European Social Fund.

## 321 Literature cited

- Arino A, Juan T, Estopanan G, Gonzalez-Cabo JF, 2007. Natural occurrence of
- 323 Fusarium species, fumonisin production by toxigenic strains, and concentrations of
- fumonisins B-1 and B-2 in conventional and organic maize grown in Spain. *Journal*
- *of Food Protection* **70**, 151-6.
- Bakan B, Melcion D, Richard-Molard D, Cahagnier B, 2002. Fungal growth and
- 327 Fusarium mycotoxin content in isogenic traditional maize and genetically modified
- maize grown in France and Spain. J. Agric. Food Chem. **50**, 728–731.
- Bennett JW, Klich M, 2003. Mycotoxins. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 16, 497-.
- 330 Blandino M, Reyneri A, Vanara F, Tamietti G, Pietri A, 2009. Influence of agricultural
- practices on Fusarium infection, fumonisin and deoxynivalenol contamination of
- maize kernels. World Mycotoxin Journal 2, 409-418.
- 333 Bottalico A, 1998. Fusarium diseases of cereals: Species complex and related
- mycotoxin profiles in Europe. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **80**, 85-103.
- Boutigny AL, Beukes I, Small I, et al., 2012. Quantitative detection of Fusarium
- pathogens and their mycotoxins in South African maize. *Plant Pathology* **61**, 522-31.
- Butrón A, Santiago R, Mansilla P, Pintos-Varela C, Ordas A, Ana Malvar R, 2006.
- 338 Maize (Zea mays L.) genetic factors for preventing fumonisin contamination. J Agric
- 339 *Food Chem* **54**, 6113-7.
- 340 Cao A. Prevención de la contaminación con fumonisinas en el maíz. PhD dissertation,
- Vigo University, Pontevedra, Spain, 2013.
- Cao A, Santiago R, Ramos AJ, Marín S, Reid LM, Butrón A, 2013. Environmental
- factors related to fungal infection and fumonisin accumulation during the
- development and drying of white maize kernels. *International Journal of Food*
- 345 *Microbiology* **164**, 15-22.

- Cantalejo MJ, Carrasco JM, Hernández E, 1998. Incidence and distribution of *Fusarium*
- species associated with feeds and seeds from Spain. Rev. Iberoam. Micol. 15, 36–39.
- Cooney JM, Lauren DR, Di Menna ME, 2001. Impact of competitive fungi on
- trichothecene production by Fusarium graminearum. Journal of Agricultural and
- 350 *Food Chemistry* **49**, 522-6.
- 351 Covarelli L, Beccari G, Salvi S, 2011. Infection by mycotoxigenic fungal species and
- mycotoxin contamination of maize grain in Umbria, central Italy. Food and
- 353 *Chemical Toxicology* **49**, 2365-9.
- De La Campa R, Hooker DC, Miller JD, Schaafsma AW, Hammond BG, 2005.
- Modeling effects of environment, insect damage, and Bt genotypes on fumonisin
- accumulation in maize in Argentina and the Philippines. *Mycopathologia* **159**, 539-
- 357 52.
- Dorn B, Forrer HR, Schurch S, Vogelgsang S, 2009. Fusarium species complex on
- maize in Switzerland: occurrence, prevalence, impact and mycotoxins in commercial
- 360 hybrids under natural infection. European Journal of Plant Pathology 125, 51-61.
- Fao, 2004. worlwide regulation for mycotoxins in food and feed in 2003. FAO Food
- *and Nutrition Papers*, 180.
- Goertz A, Zuehlke S, Spiteller M, et al., 2010. Fusarium species and mycotoxin profiles
- on commercial maize hybrids in Germany. European Journal of Plant Pathology
- **128**, 101-11.
- Iarc, 1993. 56 Monograph on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. In.
- Lyon: International Agency for Research of Cancer.
- 368 Indira S, Muthusubramanian V, 2004. Influence of weather parameters on spore
- production in major mold pathogens of sorghum in relation to mold severity in the
- field. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* **32**, 75–79.

- Jestoi M, 2008. Emerging Fusarium-mycotoxins fusaproliferin, beauvericin, enniatins,
- and moniliformin A review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 48, 21-
- 373 49.
- Jurado M, Vázquez C, Callejas C, González-Jaén MT, 2006. Occurrence and variability
- of mycotoxigenic *Fusarium* species associated to wheat and maize in the South West
- of Spain. Mycotoxin Research 22, 87-91.
- 377 Komada H, 1975. Development of a selective medium for quantitative isolation of
- Fusarium oxysporum from natural soil. Review of Plant Protection Research 8, 114-
- 379 125.
- Lepš J, Šmilauer P, 2003. Multivariate analysis of ecological data using CANOCO.
- 381 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Leslie JF, Summerell BA, 2006. *The Fusarium laboratory manual*. Ames.
- Lew H, Adler A, Edinger W, 1991. Moniliformin and the European corn borer
- 384 (Ostrinia nubilalis). Mycotoxin Research 7A, 71-6.
- Logrieco A, Bottalico A, Mule G, Moretti A, Perrone G, 2003. Epidemiology of
- toxigenic fungi and their associated mycotoxins for some Mediterranean crops.
- 387 European Journal of Plant Pathology **109**, 645-67.
- Logrieco A, Mule G, Moretti A, Bottalico A, 2002. Toxigenic Fusarium species and
- mycotoxins associated with maize ear rot in Europe. European Journal of Plant
- 390 *Pathology* **108**, 597-609.
- 391 Maiorano A, Reyneri A, Magni A, Ramponi C, 2009. A decision tool for evaluating the
- agronomic risk of exposure to fumonisins of different maize crop management
- systems in Italy. *Agricultural Systems* **102**, 17-23.

- 394 Marín S, Sanchís V, Teixido A., Saenz R, Ramos AJ, Viñas I, Magan N, 1996. Water
- and temperature relations and microconidial germination of Fusarium moniliforme
- and Fusarium proliferatum from maize. Can. J. Microbiol. 42,1045-1050.
- 397 Marin S, Magan N, Ramos AJ, Sanchis V, 2004. Fumonisin-producing strains of
- Fusarium: A review of their ecophysiology. *Journal of Food Protection* **67**, 1792-
- 399 805.
- 400 Munkvold GP, 2003. Epidemiology of Fusarium diseases and their mycotoxins in
- maize ears. European Journal of Plant Pathology 109, 705-13.
- 402 Munoz L, Cardelle M, Pereiro M, Riguera R, 1990. Occurrence of corn mycotoxins in
- Galicia (Nortwest Spain). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 38, 1004-6.
- 404 O'donnell K, Kistler HC, Tacke BK, Casper HH, 2000. Gene genealogies reveal global
- 405 phylogeographic structure and reproductive isolation among lineages of *Fusarium*
- 406 graminearum, the fungus causing wheat scab. Proceedings of the National Academy
- of Sciences of the United States of America 97, 7905-10.
- 408 Paterson RRM, Lima N, 2010. How will climate change affect mycotoxins in food?
- *Food Research International* **43**, 1902–1914.
- 410 Reid LM, Nicol RW, Ouellet T, et al., 1999. Interaction of Fusarium graminearum and
- 411 F. moniliforme in maize ears: Disease progress, fungal biomass, and mycotoxin
- accumulation. *Phytopathology* **89**, 1028-37.
- Samapundo S, Devliehgere F, De Meulenaer B, Debevere J, 2005. Effect of water
- activity and temperature on growth and the relationship between fumonisin
- production and the radial growth of *Fusarium verticillioides* and *Fusarium*
- 416 proliferatum on corn. Journal of Food Protection, **68**, 1054-1059.

- 417 Sanchís V, Abadías M, Oncins L, Sala N, Viñas I, Canela R, 1995. Fumonisins B1 and
- B2 and toxigenic Fusarium strains in feeds from the Spanish market. *Int. J. Food*
- 419 *Microbiol.* **27**, 37-44.
- 420 Santiago R, Souto XC, Sotelo J, Butron A, Malvar RA, 2003. Relationship between
- maize stem structural characteristics and resistance to pink stem borer (Lepidoptera:
- Noctuidae) attack. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **96**, 1563-70.
- 423 Schaafsma AW, Hooker DC, 2007. Climatic models to predict occurrence of Fusarium
- toxins in wheat and maize. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **119**, 116-25.
- Smith DR, White DG, 1988. Diseases of corn. In: Dudley GFSaJW, ed. Corn and corn
- 426 *improvement*. Madison: Agronomy, 687-766. (18.)
- 427 Ter Braak CJF, Smilauer P, 1997. CANOCO Versión 4.55. Wageningen: Biometrics
- 428 Plant Research International.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of
- fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky
- JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic
- 432 Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 315-22.
- Wiseman BR, Isenhour DJ, 1992. Relationship of planting dates and corn-earworm
- developmental parameters and injury to selected maize entries. *Maydica* **37**, 149-56.

Table 1. Averaged percentages of kernels with presence of *Fusarium* spp. isolates in
 2007 and 2008 at three locations in Northwestern Spain. The numbers of positive
 samples are within parenthesis.

Fusarium spp.	2007	2008
F. verticillioides	75.75 (196)	78.69 (197)
F. subglutinans sensu lato	4.64 (45)	10.34 (85)
F. poae	1.01 (20)	0.07(2)
F. proliferatum	0.78 (4)	0.05 (1)
F. oxysporum	0.07(2)	0.96 (11)
F. cerealis	0.15 (1)	0.05 (2)
F. equiseti	0.00	0.17 (4)
F. solani	0.00	0.05 (2)
F. culmorum	0.00	0.10(2)
Total % of positive kernels	82.40	90.49
Total % of negative kernels	17.60	9.51

**Table 2.** Statistics of the environmental variables retained after the Monte Carlo permutation test and included in the RDA for *Fusarium* species composition in maize kernels cultivated in 24 environments (two years, three locations, two sowing dates and two harvest dates) in Northwestern Spain.

Variables <sup>1</sup>	$\overline{F}$	p	Cumulative variance
Tm15-20S	15,87	0,002	0,42
HumC3	12,14	0,002	0,63
Tmin15D	5,65	0,016	0,71

<sup>1</sup>Tm15-25S: number of days with mean temperature ≥ 15 °C and < 20 °C at the kernel drying period; HumC3: relative humidity at the critical period C3 (between 2 and 8 days after maize silking); Tmin15D: number of days with minimum temperature ≤ 15 °C at the maize kernel dent stage.

**Table 3.** Accumulated variability for each *Fusarium* species abundance at 24 environments (two years, three locations, two sowing dates and two harvest dates) in Northwestern Spain explained by three selected significant variables (days with mean temperature  $\geq 15$  °C and < 20 °C at the kernel drying period, relative humidity at the critical period C3 (between 2 and 8 days after maize silking), and days with minimum temperature  $\leq 15$  °C at the maize kernel dent stage).

Variability explained	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3	Axis 4
F. verticillioides	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.99
F. subglutinans sensu lato	0.01	0.49	0.49	0.65
F. poae	0.01	0.15	0.32	0.32
F. proliferatum	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09
F. oxysporum	0.05	0.14	0.16	0.16
F. cerealis	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.19
F. equiseti	0.01	0.10	0.12	0.28
F. solani	0.02	0.18	0.19	0.19
F. culmorum	0.08	0.21	0.21	0.31

- 452 **Figure 1.** Redundancy analysis (RDA) of variability for *Fusarium* species<sup>1</sup> presence
- restricted to the variability explained by three environmental variables<sup>2</sup>.
- <sup>1</sup>Each Fusarium species was designated using the initial of the genera (F) and the initial
- letters of the Latin specific name: Fver stands for F. verticillioides, Fsub\_sl for F.
- 456 subglutinans sensu lato, Fpro for F. proliferatum, Fcul for F. culmorum, Fequ for F.
- 457 equiseti, Fpoa for F. poae, Foxy for F. oxysporum, Fsol for F. solani, and Fcer for F.
- 458 cerealis.
- $^{2}$ Tm15-20S = Mean temperature  $\geq$  15 °C and  $\leq$  20 °C at the kernel drying period;
- HumC3 = relative humidity at the critical period 3 (between 2 and 8 days after maize
- silking); and Tmin15D = number of days with minimum temperature  $\leq$  15 °C at the
- 462 maize kernel dent stage.