

1 Galarza et al.

1 02, January 2015

2 Juan A. Galarza

3 Centre of Excellence in Biological Interactions

4 Dept. of Biological and Environmental Sciences

5 University of Jyväskylä

6 Survontie 9

7 40500, Jyväskylä

8 Finland

9 Tel: +358 45 154 8044

10 e-mail: juan.galarza@jyu.fi

11

12 RH: Genetic landscape of red deer in Andalusia

13 **The genetic landscape of the Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) after 30**
14 **years of big-game hunting in southern Spain.**

15

16 JUAN A. GALARZA, *Centre of Excellence in Biological Interactions, Department of*

17 *Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Finland*

18

19 BEATRIZ SANCHEZ-FERNANDEZ, *Estación Biológica Doñana (CSIC), Av. Américo*

20 *Vespucio, S/N, 41092 Sevilla, Spain*

21

22 PAULINO FANDOS, *Agencia de Medio Ambiente y Agua, Junta de Andalucía*

23 *C/ Johan G. Gutenberg, 1, Isla de la Cartuja 41092 – Sevilla, Spain*

24

25 RAMON SORIGUER, *Estación Biológica Doñana (CSIC), Av. Américo Vespucio, S/N,*

26 *41092 Sevilla, Spain*

27

28

29

30

31

32 ABSTRACT

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

The Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) suffered a striking collapse of its populations during the first half of the 20th century due to excessive hunting. In Andalusia, southern Spain, re-colonization took place from a few relict populations through natural dispersal, and through artificial reintroductions for big-game hunting. It is unclear how the population decline impacted genetic diversity, and what is its current distribution after the re-colonization and intensive hunting practices. Here, we address these questions by analysing nuclear microsatellite variability from 58 red deer populations distributed throughout Andalusia. Our results showed a relatively high genetic variability spatially structured into five clusters, corresponding to the locations of relict populations. This suggests that the red deer's current genetic background has presumably retained much of the genetic variation present in those relict populations. We also found that an important portion (32%) of the populations displays some degree of inbreeding. We suggest that new herds should be established using individuals from the different genetic clusters, and a careful monitoring of the breeder's genetic background to prevent further inbreeding and inadvertent hybridisation. Failure to do so could lead to loss of genetic diversity and the dilution of the genetic identity of the Iberian red deer.

Key Words: Andalusia, *Cervus elaphus*, Microsatellite, Genetic diversity, big-game, Hunting, Red Deer.

58 The red deer (*Cervus elaphus L.*) is one of the most important and widely distributed
59 big-game species in Europe today, with an intensive anthropogenic management of its
60 populations throughout its history and distribution (Milner et al. 2006). In the Iberian
61 peninsula, one of its subspecies; the Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) suffered a
62 severe decline of its populations during the first half of the 20th century due to excessive
63 hunting (De Leyva 2002). Only a few marginal populations remained unaltered in Montes de
64 Toledo, central Spain, and Sierra Morena, Andujar, Despeñaperros, and the Doñana National
65 park in Andalusia, southern Spain (Soriguer et al. 1994, Crespo 2013). After a significant
66 economic growth during the 1960s, and the introduction of a hunting law in 1970, re-
67 colonization began throughout Andalusia through natural dispersal, but also through
68 anthropogenic reintroductions motivated by an emerging big-game hunting economy
69 (Soriguer et al. 1994). Presently, hunting enclosures comprise 75% of the areas dedicated for
70 big-game hunting in Andalusia (Andalucia 2009). Hence, the current distribution of red deer
71 in Andalusia is the product of both, natural and artificial expansion processes experienced
72 during the last three decades.

73

74 It is unclear how the population collapse impacted genetic diversity, and if intensive
75 management has contributed to reduce genetic variation. It has been shown that enclosures,
76 and other anthropogenic activities such as forest clearings, and motorways can be major
77 threats to red deer's genetic diversity (Harris et al. 2002, Hartl et al. 2003, Milner et al.
78 2006). Reductions in genetic diversity can have important consequences such as inter-
79 population divergence, and a reduced potential to cope with environmental changes
80 (Frankham 1995). Therefore, determining the levels of genetic diversity of reintroduced or
81 recovering populations is of great importance in informing conservation-management
82 strategies (Hajji et al. 2008, Cronin et al. 2009). Moreover, identifying the spatial

83 distribution of such genetic diversity allows managers to delineate discrete conservation and
84 management units (Manel et al. 2003). Here, we aim to evaluate the levels of genetic
85 diversity of the Iberian red deer throughout Andalusia, and to identify the current spatial
86 distribution of its genetic diversity.

87

88 **STUDY AREA**

89 Samples were obtained from 1309 adult Iberian red deer shot over three hunting
90 seasons (2003-2006) along different points of Andalusia (Fig. 1). In total, 58 pre-defined
91 populations were analysed from different locations throughout Andalusia with a mean of
92 22.6 samples/population. Sampling effort was focused along the Sierra Morena system
93 (Huelva, Sevilla, Córdoba and Jaen provinces), Doñana National Park and Cazorla Natural
94 Reserve, as well as in the mountains of Cadiz where the density of red deer populations and
95 hunting activity are the highest (Table 1). We also obtained samples from two populations of
96 the province of Granada where the red deer is currently expanding (Granados et al. 2001)

97

98 **METHODS**

99 Two types of tissue were collected: tongue (1270 samples) and antler bone (39
100 samples). Genomic DNA was extracted from tongue tissue through a Hot Sodium and Tris
101 (HotSHOT) protocol (Truett et al. 2000) and from antler bone following a Silica protocol
102 (Milligan 1998). Genotyping was performed at 11 microsatellite loci previously isolated in
103 other ungulates: TGLA94 (Georges et al. 1992), OarFCB193, OarFCB304 (Buchanan and
104 Crawford 1993) CSSM43 (Barendse et al. 1994) , BM302, BM203 (Bishop et al. 1994),
105 RT1, RT13 (Wilson et al. 1997) , NVHRT48, NVHRT73 (Røed and Midthjell 1998), MB25
106 (Vial et al. 2003). These markers were co-amplified using four multiplex polymerase chain
107 reactions (PCR) as described in (Sánchez-Fernández et al. 2008). Fragments were resolved

108 on an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems) and scored using
109 GENEMAPPER v 3.7 software (Applied Biosystems).

110

111 Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg expectations (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium
112 were evaluated according to the level of significance determined by means of 10,000
113 MCMC iterations using GENEPOP software v.3.4 (Raymond and Rousset 1995). Bonferroni
114 corrections were applied for multiple comparisons (Rice 1989). The software
115 MICROCHECKER (van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to infer the most probable cause
116 of departures from HWE (null alleles, large allele dropouts or stutter bands). The level of
117 genetic diversity within each population was characterized by calculating expected
118 heterozygosity (HE) using Arlequin v.2.0 (Schneider et al. 2000) , as well as by inbreeding
119 coefficients (*FIS*) calculated in GENEPOP v.3.4, and allelic richness (RS), which quantifies
120 the number of alleles independently of sample size using FSTAT (Goudet 1995).

121

122 To characterise the spatial distribution of genetic diversity throughout Andalusia we
123 used GENELAND (Guillot et al. 2005). This program makes use of a geographically
124 constrained Bayesian model to estimate the number of populations (*K*) taking into account
125 the spatial position of sampled multilocus genotypes without any prior information on the
126 number of populations and degree of differentiation between them. Geographic coordinates
127 for each population were determined by GPS and digital maps. Individual coordinates were
128 then assigned to each sample by allowing a 5 km. coordinate uncertainty when running the
129 clustering algorithm. The Dirichlet distribution was set as prior for allele frequencies with
130 40,000 MCMC iterations using spatial information only. Then, the algorithm was rerun with
131 an additional 40,000 MCMC iterations, setting the Poisson processes equal to the number of
132 samples. The results were graphically displayed by fitting the map of posterior membership

133 probabilities to a geographic map of Andalusia using the mapping toolbox in MATLAB
134 (Mathworks).

135

136 **RESULTS**

137

138 Measures of genetic diversity calculated from observed allele frequency distributions
139 are presented in Table 1. The locus CSSM43 was removed from further analysis due to
140 stuttering issues. A small percentage (8.5%) of the tongue tissue samples had to be re-
141 amplified due to technical errors during batch pipetting. The DNA recovered from this
142 tissue, however, was of good quality and quantity, as verified by agarose gel electrophoresis.
143 On the other hand, recovered DNA from the antler bone tissue was of inferior quality and
144 quantity. Therefore, all samples (39) were genotyped twice at all loci to check for
145 consistency in amplification. Discrepancies between scorings of both amplification rounds
146 were observed in two samples, for which, all loci were amplified individually (i.e. not in
147 multiplex) and scored. In the final database, 85 samples (6.4%) were missing data from one
148 locus, and only two samples (0.15%) were missing data from two loci.

149

150 We found no linkage disequilibrium between any locus pair. However, significant
151 deviations from HWE within populations and loci were observed. Out of 580 tests
152 performed, 40 remained significant after Bonferroni correction, 13 of which occurred at
153 locus RT13. Departures from HWE may be caused by several factors such as inbreeding,
154 population sub-structuring (i.e. Wahlund effect) and the presence of null alleles caused by
155 technical issues. Inbreeding or population sub-structuring should be reflected in consistent
156 deviations across most or all loci, whereas null alleles caused by technical causes such as
157 misscoring or poor amplification should result in variable deviations across loci and

158 populations (Purcell et al. 2006). Results from Microchecker software indicated the presence
159 of null alleles occurring at one locus (RT13) across all populations. Therefore, this locus was
160 removed from all subsequent analysis. The rest of loci showed random patterns of deviation
161 across populations and thus were kept in the final marker set. Overall, genetic diversity was
162 relatively high. The mean number of alleles/locus/population ranged from 5.5-9.6, whereas
163 allelic richness ranged from 5.5 to 8.5 effective alleles/locus/population and the expected
164 heterozygosity/population ranged from 0.696 to 0.829 (Table 1). Estimates of F_{IS} ranged
165 from -0.010 to 0.127 (Table 1) with 32% of the populations showing significant values
166 (Table 1).

167

168 The Bayesian clustering algorithm showed a clear mode at $K=5$ along the MCMC
169 chain with the highest mixing occurring around this value (Figs. 1S,2S supporting
170 information). This indicates five different genetic clusters present in the dataset. The
171 populations of Ag, Rb, Cc, Ng, Pd, Tj, Al, Am, Jt, and Ps formed one cluster around the
172 province of Cadiz (Fig. 1). Populations along the Sierra Morena were longitudinally divided
173 into three different clusters. The oriental part of Cordoba province (Co, Gm, Oz), and part of
174 Jaen province (Tm, Sm, Aa, Sd, Fn) comprise a single cluster, including populations from
175 the natural reserves of Cardena-Montoro and Andujar. Interestingly, the population from
176 Huelva (Ae) was also assigned to this cluster. The main cluster in the Sierra Morena
177 included populations from the province of Seville together with the occidental and central
178 parts of Cordoba (Ac, Cq, Cu No, En, Cr, Gt, Cd, Pi, Nb, Pa, Nh, Cs, Pl, Ad, Ct, Lc, Aj, Ab,
179 Ms, Mn Au, Hl, Pt, Ht). Two populations from Granada (Ca, Fr) and one from oriental Jaen
180 (Cz) also clustered within this main cluster. A separate cluster was formed by the
181 populations from Despeñaperros (Sn, Ti, Jn, Ch, Vz) , in the province of Jaen, whereas the
182 population from the Doñana national park (Dn) formed its own cluster (Fig. 1).

183 **DISCUSSION**

184

185 The results from our study indicate that allele diversities and expected
186 heterozygosities are relatively high in Andalusia and within the range of values reported for
187 red deer (Kuehn et al. 2003, Feulner et al. 2004, Hmwe et al. 2006, Zachos et al. 2007,
188 Queiros et al. 2014). However, the high heterozygosity observed in the majority of the
189 populations analysed differed from a previous microsatellite-based study performed in the
190 Extremadura region (Southwest Spain), where most of the Iberian red deer populations
191 analysed revealed a heterozygosity deficit (Martinez et al. 2002). A possible explanation for
192 such a discrepancy may be found in the low number of markers analysed (6) as well as in the
193 reduced number of populations (17) sampled by the previous study. However, our results are
194 concordant with a more recent study carried out in the Extremadura and Andalusia regions
195 (Pérez-González et al. 2012), where the Andalusia populations showed similar
196 heterozygosity levels to those found here.

197

198 On the other hand, both Pérez-González et al. (2012) and Martinez et al. (2002),
199 found moderate (23%) and high (88%) inbreeding levels in their respective populations
200 analysed. In the present study, we found that 32% of the populations showed signs of
201 inbreeding. This shows that an important proportion of red deer populations in southern
202 Spain have experienced some degree of inbreeding during the last decade. This is most likely
203 due to the small number of relict populations that remained after the collapse (see below),
204 and the short time since expansion processes begun.

205

206 Overall, genetic diversity was spatially structured. Genetic structuring appears to be a
207 common feature of red deer, as other studies have shown (Polziehn et al. 2000, Kuehn et al.

208 2003, Frantz et al. 2006, Hmwe et al. 2006, Pérez- Espona et al. 2008, Haanes et al. 2010).
209 However, the processes influencing structuring patterns may differ between populations and
210 areas. In our case, after the red deer's severe decline, only one marginal population remained
211 in Montes de Toledo, central Spain, and another four populations in Andalusia; Sierra
212 Morena mountain range, Andujar between Córdoba and Jaén provinces, Despeñaperros in
213 northern Jaén, and the Doñana National in Huelva (Soriguer et al. 1994, Crespo 2013).
214 Accordingly, our results showed that the red deer's genetic diversity is distributed in this
215 geographical manner along Andalusia forming five discrete clusters (Fig. 1). This could
216 indicate that the genetic variability remnant in those regions during the decline is still
217 represented in Andalusia. Further investigations of current and historical samples (i.e. before
218 the collapse) are needed to corroborate this.

219

220 The biggest genetic cluster found in the Sierra Morena system may be the result of
221 both, natural range expansions after the decline, and anthropogenic introductions. For
222 instance, the two populations from Granada (Ca,Fr), and the population of Cazorla (Cz) in
223 Jaen, were established by breeders introduced from Sierra Morena (Granados et al. 2001).
224 Similarly, the majority of the populations from Cadiz (southernmost genetic cluster), were
225 re-established by introducing individuals from Montes de Toledo (Soriguer et al. 1994).

226

227 In the case of the Despeñaperros, the special topography of this area with high
228 vertical cliffs likely prevents incoming gene flow, maintaining the genetic homogeneity of
229 this cluster. In the neighbouring Andujar, the private nature of its hunting areas could have
230 contributed to conserve populations during the decline, and this is now reflected as a
231 separate genetic cluster. Interestingly, the population Ae from Huelva clustered with
232 populations of Andujar. This is most likely due to undocumented reintroductions and

233 warrants further investigation. Finally, decades of governmental protection in the Doñana
234 National Park, with strict surveillance and conservation management, could be the reason of
235 its genetic differentiation from the rest.

236

237 MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

238

239 Despite intensive management and the severe decline of its populations, the red
240 deer's genetic diversity in Andalusia appears to be in good condition overall. Nevertheless,
241 managers are advised to carefully evaluate the genetic background of breeders in order to
242 avoid further inbreeding of Andalusian populations. New herds should preferentially be
243 established using individuals from the different genetic clusters identified here. This
244 approach would help prevent loss of genetic diversity while preserving the genetic identity
245 of the Iberian red deer.

246

247

248

249 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

250 To RNM118 (P.A.I.D.I. Junta de Andalucía) for their support. J. Muñoz, M. Alcaide, S.
251 Roques helped in the laboratory. Kaisa Rikalainen provided valuable comments. We are also
252 grateful to E. Leyva (ATECA) for allowing and organizing access to samples. This work
253 was integrally funded by the Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Junta de Andalucía, via
254 ATECA, and the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas awarded BSF a doctoral
255 grant.

256

257 LITERATURE CITED

- 258 Andaluca, J. 2009. Junta de Andalucía.
259 <http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/medioambiente/cazaypesca>.
- 260 Barendse, W., S. M. Armitage, L. M. Kossarek, A. Shalom, B. W. Kirkpatrick, A. M. Ryan,
261 D. Clayton, L. Li, H. L. Neibergs, and N. Zhang. 1994. A genetic linkage map of the
262 bovine genome. *Nature Genetics* 6:227-235.
- 263 Bishop, M. D., S. M. Kappes, J. W. Keele, R. T. Stone, S. L. Sunden, G. A. Hawkins, S. S.
264 Toldo, R. Fries, M. D. Grosz, and J. Yoo. 1994. A genetic linkage map for cattle.
265 *Genetics* 136:619-639.
- 266 Buchanan, F. C., and A. M. Crawford. 1993. Ovine microsatellites at the OARFCB11,
267 OARFCB128, OARFCB193, OARFCB266 and OARFCB304 loci. *Animal Genetics*
268 24:145-145.
- 269 Crespo, J. 2013. La caza mayor en la provincia de Jaén (España) antes de la ley 1/1970.
270 Análisis territorial de un recurso natural. 954 pp. Dissertation [In Spanish].
271 University of Jaén.
- 272 Cronin, M. A., L. A. Renecker, and J. C. Patton. 2009. Genetic variation in domestic and
273 wild elk (*Cervus elaphus*). *Journal of Animal Science* 87:829-834.
- 274 De Leyva, E. 2002. Caza mayor y ganadería extensiva. *Medio Ambiente (monograficos)*
275 41:18-20 [In Spanish].
- 276 Feulner, P. G. D., W. Bielfeldt, F. E. Zachos, J. Bradvarovic, I. Eckert, and G. B. Hartl.
277 2004. Mitochondrial DNA and microsatellite analyses of the genetic status of the
278 presumed subspecies *Cervus elaphus montanus* (Carpathian red deer). *Heredity*
279 93:299-306.
- 280 Frankham, R. 1995. Conservation genetics. *Annual Review of Genetics* 29:305-327.

- 281 Frantz, A. C., J. T. Pourtois, M. Heuertz, L. Schley, M.-C. Flamand, A. Krier, S. Bertouille,
282 F. Chaumont, and T. Burke. 2006. Genetic structure and assignment tests
283 demonstrate illegal translocation of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) into a continuous
284 population. *Molecular Ecology* 15:3191-3203.
- 285 Georges, M., J. Massey, and D. N. A. Polymorphic. 1992. markers in bovidae (World
286 Intellectual Property Org Geneva). Wo. Publi.
- 287 Goudet, J. 1995. FSTAT (Version 1.2): A computer program to calculate F-statistics. *J*
288 *Hered* 86:485 - 486.
- 289 Granados, J. E., M. C. Cabrera, J. García, and P. Fandos. 2001. El ciervo común (*Cervus*
290 *elaphus* LINNEO, 1758) en el parque natural de la Sierra de Baza (Granada).
291 *Galemys* 13:27-37 [In Spanish].
- 292 Guillot, G., A. Estoup, F. Mortier, and J. F. Cosson. 2005. A Spatial Statistical Model for
293 Landscape Genetics. *Genetics* 170:1261-1280.
- 294 Haanes, H., K. H. Røed, Ø. Flagstad, and O. Rosef. 2010. Genetic structure in an expanding
295 cervid population after population reduction. *Conservation Genetics* 11:11-20.
- 296 Hajji, G. M., F. Charfi-Cheikrouha, R. Lorenzini, J. D. Vigne, G. B. Hartl, and F. E. Zachos.
297 2008. Phylogeography and founder effect of the endangered Corsican red deer
298 (*Cervus elaphus corsicanus*). *Biodiversity and Conservation* 17:659-673.
- 299 Harris, R. B., W. A. Wall, and F. W. Allendorf. 2002. Genetic consequences of hunting:
300 what do we know and what should we do? *Wildlife Society Bulletin*:634-643.
- 301 Hartl, G. B., F. Zachos, and K. Nadlinger. 2003. Genetic diversity in European red deer
302 (*Cervus elaphus*, L.): anthropogenic influences on natural populations. *Comptes*
303 *Rendus Biologies* 326:37-42.

- 304 Hmwe, S. S., F. E. Zachos, J. B. Sale, H. R. Rose, and G. B. Hartl. 2006. Genetic variability
305 and differentiation in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) from Scotland and England. Journal
306 of Zoology 270:479-487.
- 307 Kuehn, R., W. Schroeder, F. Pirchner, and O. Rottmann. 2003. Genetic diversity, gene flow
308 and drift in Bavarian red deer populations (*Cervus elaphus*). Conservation Genetics
309 4:157-166.
- 310 Manel, S., M. K. Schwartz, G. Luikart, and P. Taberlet. 2003. Landscape genetics:
311 combining landscape ecology and population genetics. Trends in Ecology &
312 Evolution 18:189-197.
- 313 Martínez, J. G., J. Carranza, J. L. Fernández-García, and C. B. Sánchez-Prieto. 2002.
314 Genetic variation of red deer populations under hunting exploitation in southwestern
315 Spain. The Journal of wildlife management:1273-1282.
- 316 Milligan, B. G. 1998. Total DNA isolation. Pages 50-52 in A. Hoelzel, editor. Molecular
317 Genetic Analysis of Populations: A Practical Approach. IRL Press, Oxford, UK.
- 318 Milner, J. M., C. Bonenfant, A. Mysterud, J. M. Gaillard, S. Csanyi, and N. C. Stenseth.
319 2006. Temporal and spatial development of red deer harvesting in Europe: biological
320 and cultural factors. Journal of Applied Ecology 43:721-734.
- 321 Pérez-González, J., A. C. Frantz, J. Torres-Porras, L. Castillo, and J. Carranza. 2012.
322 Population structure, habitat features and genetic structure of managed red deer
323 populations. European Journal of Wildlife Research 58:933-943.
- 324 Pérez- Espona, S., F. J. Pérez- Barbería, J. E. McLeod, C. D. Jiggins, I. J. Gordon, and J. M.
325 Pemberton. 2008. Landscape features affect gene flow of Scottish Highland red deer
326 (*Cervus elaphus*). Molecular Ecology 17:981-996.

- 327 Polziehn, R. O., J. Hamr, F. F. Mallory, and C. Strobeck. 2000. Microsatellite analysis of
328 North American wapiti (*Cervus elaphus*) populations. *Molecular Ecology* 9:1561-
329 1576.
- 330 Purcell, J., R. Cowen, C. Hughes, and D. Williams. 2006. Weak genetic structure indicates
331 strong dispersal limits: a tale of two coral reef fish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*
332 *B: Biological Sciences* 273:1483-1490.
- 333 Queiros, J., J. Vicente, M. Boadella, C. Gortázar, and P. C. Alves. 2014. The impact of
334 management practices and past demographic history on the genetic diversity of red
335 deer (*Cervus elaphus*): an assessment of population and individual fitness. *Biological*
336 *Journal of the Linnean Society* 111:209-223.
- 337 Raymond, M., and F. Rousset. 1995. GENEPOP (Version 3.4) population genetics software
338 for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86:248-249.
- 339 Rice, W. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223 - 225.
- 340 Røed, K. H., and L. Midthjell. 1998. Microsatellites in reindeer, *Rangifer tarandus*, and their
341 use in other cervids. *Molecular Ecology* 7:1773-1776.
- 342 Sánchez-Fernández, B., R. Soriguer, and C. Rico. 2008. Cross-species tests of 45
343 microsatellite loci isolated from different species of ungulates in the Iberian red deer
344 (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) to generate a multiplex panel. *Molecular Ecology*
345 *Resources* 8:1378-1381.
- 346 Schneider, S., D. Roessli, and L. Excoffier. 2000. Arlequin Ver. 2.0: A software for
347 population genetics data analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of
348 Geneva, Switzerland.
- 349 Soriguer, R. C., P. Fandos, E. Bernaldez, and J. R. Delibes. 1994. El ciervo en Andalucía.
350 Junta de Andalucía, Spain. [In Spanish].

- 351 Truett, G. E., P. Heeger, R. L. Mynatt, A. A. Truett, J. A. Walker, and M. L. Warman. 2000.
352 Preparation of PCR-quality mouse genomic DNA with hot sodium hydroxide and
353 Tris (HotSHOT). *BioTechniques* 29:52-54.
- 354 van Oosterhout, C., W. F. Hutchinson, P. M. Wills, and P. Shipley. 2004. MICRO-
355 CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in
356 microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4:535-538.
- 357 Vial, L., C. Maudet, and G. Luikart. 2003. Thirty- four polymorphic microsatellites for
358 European roe deer. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 3:523-527.
- 359 Wilson, G. A., C. Strobeck, L. Wu, and J. W. Coffin. 1997. Characterization of
360 microsatellite loci in caribou *Rangifer tarandus*, and their use in other artiodactyla.
361 *Molecular Ecology* 6:697–699.
- 362 Zachos, F., C. Althoff, Y. v. Steynitz, I. Eckert, and G. Hartl. 2007. Genetic analysis of an
363 isolated red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) population showing signs of inbreeding
364 depression. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 53:61-67.

365

366

367 **Figure Captions**

368 Figure 1. Study area in Andalusia showing 58 Iberian red deer sampling sites. Different
369 colours represent the different genetic clusters observed based on multi-locus Bayesian
370 inference.

371

372 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

373 Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the
374 publisher's website.

375

376 Table 1. Iberian red deer genetic variables. Population code, sample size, type of system,
 377 mean number of alleles (A), allelic richness (R_S), Expected heterozygosity (H_E), Inbreeding
 378 coefficient (F_{IS}). Asterisk represents $P < 0.005$ after Bonferroni correction
 379

Population	Ind Genotyped	A	R_S	H_E	F_{IS}
Aa	20	7.44	6.850	0.783	0.048
Ab	25	6.88	6.140	0.767	0.000
Ac	20	8.22	7.480	0.820	0.009
Ad	20	6.11	5.768	0.773	0.000
Ae	22	7.22	6.612	0.765	0.067
Ag	24	7.66	5.967	0.735	0.052
Aj	16	6.33	6.113	0.716	0.051
Al	32	8.22	6.785	0.758	0.036
Am	25	7.00	6.202	0.732	-0.043*
Au	15	7.00	6.864	0.788	0.065
Ay	26	6.77	6.054	0.769	0.002
Br	23	8.77	8.160	0.799	-0.041
Ca	15	6.33	6.226	0.743	0.024
Cc	25	8.33	7.040	0.776	-0.011
Cd	25	8.44	7.369	0.801	0.114*
Ch	20	7.77	7.021	0.734	0.071
Co	23	7.55	6.656	0.779	0.014
Cq	23	8.88	6.986	0.798	0.035
Cr	24	8.33	7.348	0.814	0.110*
Cs	20	9.66	8.576	0.826	0.086*
Cu	16	8.55	8.198	0.829	0.099*
Cz	18	7.44	6.917	0.771	0.089*
Dn	52	6.55	5.873	0.745	0.038
En	20	8.00	7.314	0.808	0.028
Fn	27	8.55	7.132	0.788	0.004
Fr	15	5.55	5.532	0.766	0.033
Ft	20	7.66	6.510	0.751	0.109
Gm	29	8.22	6.901	0.770	0.069
Gt	25	7.33	6.453	0.771	0.054
Hl	18	8.22	7.524	0.798	0.051*
Ht	18	6.66	6.169	0.696	-0.100
Jn	32	9.11	7.424	0.787	0.046
Jt	25	8.11	6.783	0.736	0.127*
Lc	30	7.33	6.383	0.764	0.040
Mn	15	7.77	7.664	0.801	-0.016
Ms	25	7.44	6.711	0.781	0.030
Nb	25	8.66	6.949	0.793	0.078
Ng	23	7.88	6.948	0.769	0.093*
Nh	25	7.22	6.490	0.784	0.105*
No	25	8.77	7.509	0.811	0.090*

Ns	21	7.88	7.293	0.807	0.028*
Oz	20	7.77	7.086	0.788	0.042
Pa	23	7.22	6.459	0.766	0.066
Pd	25	7.77	6.682	0.760	0.011
Pi	17	7.11	6.815	0.800	0.001
Pl	19	7.00	6.518	0.798	0.088*
Ps	16	6.33	6.128	0.737	0.076
Pt	25	8.00	6.967	0.785	-0.010*
Rb	25	7.11	6.174	0.735	-0.039
Re	21	7.00	6.480	0.765	0.120*
Sd	20	7.66	7.047	0.798	0.022*
Sm	21	7.33	6.469	0.745	0.049
Sn	21	9.55	8.513	0.804	0.087*
St	25	7.22	6.414	0.767	0.007
Ti	25	8.22	7.021	0.745	0.044
Tj	24	7.66	6.717	0.758	0.121*
Tm	16	6.77	6.555	0.776	0.023
Vz	19	7.00	6.473	0.752	0.049*
