



## **EVOLUTIONARY CHANGE IN TESTES TISSUE COMPOSITION AMONG EXPERIMENTAL POPULATIONS OF HOUSE MICE**



**SCHOLARONE**<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

### **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

- 
- 
- **EVOLUTIONARY CHANGE IN TESTES TISSUE**
- **COMPOSITION AMONG EXPERIMENTAL**

# **POPULATIONS OF HOUSE MICE**

- 
- 7 **Renée C. Firman<sup>1,2</sup>, Francisco Garcia-Gonzalez<sup>1,3</sup>, Evan Thyer<sup>1</sup>,**
- **Samantha Wheeler** $^1$ **, Zayaputeri Yamin** $^1$ **, Michael Yuan** $^1$  **and**
- **Leigh W. Simmons**<sup>1</sup>
- 
- 11 <sup>1</sup> Centre for Evolutionary Biology, School of Animal Biology (M092),
- *University of Western Australia, Nedlands 6009, Australia*
- <sup>2</sup> *E-mail: renee.firman@uwa.edu.au*
- <sup>3</sup> *Estacion Biologica de Doñana-CSIC, 41092, Sevilla, España*
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- **Running head:** Evolutionary change in the testes in mice
- 

**Page 2 of 27**





Unfortunately, the underlying critical assumption that testes size accurately reflects sperm production rate has largely been overlooked (discussed by Schärer et al. 2004). Certainly, variation in testis size alone may not necessarily reflect differences in sperm production rate, and evidence has emerged to support the idea that sperm competition selects to maximise testicular efficiency beyond changes in testes size (reviewed in Ramm and Schärer 2014).

The testis consists of spermatogenic tissue, which includes germ cells and somatic cells (called Sertoli cells in mammals), and non-spermatogenic tissue with somatic cells only (e.g. blood vessels and Leydig cells). In higher vertebrates the germ cells are located within the long and convoluted seminiferous tubules (Gier and Marion 1970; Roosen-Runge 1977; Wistuba et al. 2007). During the process of spermatogenesis the germ cells develop from spermatogonia into spermatocytes, then to spermatids, and finally spermatozoa (Roosen-Runge 1977; Wistuba et al. 2007). Spermatozoa are released from the nourishing Sertoli cells to enter the lumen of the seminiferous tubule before being passed into the efferent ducts and transported to the epididymis for maturation. The interstitial tissue that surrounds the seminiferous tubules supplies blood to the testis and produces endocrine signals via the Leydig cells (Wistuba et al. 2007).

It has long been known that seasonal variation in the amount of sperm-producing tissue versus non sperm-producing tissue occurs in many different taxa (e.g. Mayhew and Wright 1970; Goldberg and Parker 1975; Hochereau-de Reviers and Lincoln 1978; Fuentes et al. 1991). Since Harcourt *et al.* (1981) first indicated that sperm competition has the potential to select for increased sperm production rates via alternations in the spatial organization of the testes, a number of comparative studies have explored the association between the proportion of sperm-producing



## **EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS**

House mice are actively polygamous in nature, with both males and females

copulating with multiple mates (Dean et al. 2006; Firman and Simmons 2008).

However, laboratory strains of house mice are typically maintained under an enforced

monogamous mating regime. The Uwa:MMD colony of wild-derived mice was

established in 1997 at the Animal Resources Centre (ARC) (Murdoch, Western

**Page 6 of 27**





organisation of the testes. Due to time and resource restrictions, we were limited to

169 using a randomly selected sample of three monogamous ( $n = 10$  males/line) and three

170 polygamous ( $n = 10$  males/line) selection lines.

Variation in the social environment can induce plasticity in sperm production in house mice (Ramm and Stockley 2009; Firman et al. 2013). Consequently, the

animals used in this experiment were reared under identical conditions. Thus, after being weaned from their mother at three weeks of age, each male was housed in an individual cage until they reached sexual maturity (12 weeks of age). To ensure that males acquired the appropriate olfactory cues during their sexual development they were placed within close proximity to cages housing females. All males were virgins at the time of sacrifice.

## **TESTES HISTOLOGY AND IMAGE ANALYSIS**

Males were sacrificed via lethal injection and stored at -20°C. Prior to dissection, the bodies were defrosted and weighed. A single testis from each male was chosen at random and fixed in 10% buffered formal saline. Each testis was dehydrated through a series of graded ethanol baths, chloroform baths, and paraffin, and then embedded in paraffin wax. The specimens were sectioned through the midline, and centre sections of the testis were mounted on slides. The slides were stained with Gill's haematoxylin and Eosin and viewed under a BX50 (Olympus) light microscope (×10 objective). Five different images per testis were captured using an Olympus DP72 camera attached to the microscope. Testis tissue covered the entire area in each image (Fig. 2). Each image was visualized using the image analysis software ImageJ. We quantified the proportion of sperm-producing tissue within each image. Thus, we measured and recorded the area of each seminiferous tubule (including the tubule lumen) within each image (see Fig. S1 in the online supplementary material). We then calculated the proportion of sperm-producing tissue per image: sum[seminiferous

- 
- tubule area]/total image area. The 300 images were distributed randomly among four
- different investigators, and each investigator was blind to the treatment from which



- 218 tissue were equal among the replicate lines ( $n = 6$ ,  $W = 0.778$ ,  $P = 0.570$ ). Thus, we
- looked for an effect of selection history among males from the different experimental
- populations.

A nested ANOVA revealed significant variation in the proportion of total tubule area among males from the polygamous and monogamous selection lines (Table 1).



### *Discussion*

Testes size evolution via postcopulatory sexual selection is well evidenced

(Gomendio et al. 1998; Simmons 2001; Birkhead et al. 2009). Both inter- and intra-

species comparisons have shown that sperm competition typically favours an increase

in the amount of spermatogenetic tissue, and thus larger testes (e.g. Gage 1994;

Hosken 1997; Byrne et al. 2002; Firman and Simmons 2008; Dzminiski et al. 2009).

However, in addition to gross testes size, the relative proportion of sperm-producing

tissue within the testes could also be an important factor determining spermatogenic

investment (Ramm and Schärer 2014). Certainly, the capacity of the mammalian

testes to produce sperm varies considerably across taxa; among six rodent species the

proportion of sperm-producing tissue within the testes has been shown to range from

33% to 90% (Russell et al. 1990). Within *Mus*, the level of sperm competition (as

estimated by relative testes size) correlates with both the number of sperm produced

(Montoto et al. 2011) and the proportion of seminiferous tubules within the testes

(Montoto et al. 2012). Similar evolutionary associations between the intensity of



**Page 12 of 27**

expected to experience greater fitness consequences from reproducing with relatives compared with individuals from the polygamous lines. Within-line experimental matings performed after 16 generations of selection revealed that full-sibling parental pairs and unrelated parental pairs had equivalent fitness (Firman *et al.* 2011). Further, the resulting 'inbred' polygamous and 'inbred' monogamous male offspring had, on average, comparable testes sizes and sperm numbers (Firman *et al.* 2011). Thus, although we are unable to eliminate conclusively that sperm competition purged deleterious alleles that influenced testes phenotype and suppressed testes function, available data suggests that this was not the case. Regardless, we have provided compelling evidence that sperm competition selects for enhanced levels of sperm-producing tissue, either by selecting against males with high mutation loads, or by acting on standing genetic variation. Certainly, these two mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and are likely to co-occur in both experimental and natural populations.

A series of previous investigations performed using these lineages of house mice had revealed that males from populations evolving via sperm competition produced more sperm compared with males from populations evolving under monogamy, but that changes in sperm production were not associated with changes in testes size (Firman and Simmons 2010; Firman et al. 2011). Moreover, the increase in sperm production resulted in enhanced success in sperm competition (Firman and 293 Simmons 2011). We do not have testes size data from males from the  $24<sup>th</sup>$  generation, however testes size had not diverged after eight (Firman and Simmons 2010), 16 (Firman et al. 2011) or 18 generations of selection. Thus, it is likely the increase in sperm production among males from the polygamous lines is attributable to an increase in the proportion of sperm-producing tissue, which was documented here.









- Brown, C.R., and M. B. Brown. 2003. Testis size increases with colony size in cliff swallows. Behav. Ecol. 14: 569–575.
- 
- Byrne, P. G., J. D. Roberts and L. W. Simmons. 2002. Sperm competition selects for

increased testes mass in Australian frogs. J. Evol. Biol. 15:347–355.

- Lee, C. 1976. Agonistic behavior, sexual attraction, and olfaction in mice. Pp. 161–
- 180 *in* R. Doty, ed. Mammalian Olfaction, Reproductive Processes and Behaviour.
- Academic Press, London.
- 
- Clermont, Y. 1972. Kinetics of spermatogenesis in mammals: seminiferous

epithelium cycle and spermatogonial renewal. Physiol. Rev. 52:198–236.

- Dean, M. D., K. G. Ardlie, and M. W. Nachman. 2006. The frequency of multiple
- paternity suggests that sperm competition is common in house mice (*Mus*
- *domesticus*). Mol. Ecol. 15:4141–4151.

- delBarco-Trillo, J., and M. H. Ferkin. 2004. Male mammals respond to a risk of
- sperm competition conveyed by odours of conspecific males. Nature 431:446–449.



- differentiation revealed by ultraviolet visualization of urinary marking patterns*.*
- Science 182:939–941.
- 
- Dufau, M. 1996. The lutenizing hormone receptor. Pp. 333–350 *in* A. H. Payne, M. P.
- Hardy, and L. D. Russell, eds. The Leydig Cell. Cache River Press, Illinois.
- 
- Dzminiski, M. A., J. D. Roberts, M. Beveridge, and L. W. Simmons. 2009. Among
- population covariation between sperm competition and ejaculate expenditure in frogs.
- Behav. Ecol. 21:322–328.
- 
- Fuentes, L. B., N. Caravaca, L. E. Pelzer, L. A. Scardapane, R. S. Piezzi, and J. A.
- Guzman. 1991. Seasonal variations in the testes and epididymis of vizcacha
- (*Lagostomus maximus maximus*). Biol. Reprod. 45:493–497.
- 
- Firman, R. C. 2014. Female social preference for males that have evolved via
- monogamy: evidence of a trade-off between pre- and post-copulatory sexually
- selected traits? Biol. Lett. 10:20140659.
- 
- Firman, R. C., and L. W. Simmons. 2008. The frequency of multiple paternity
- predicts variation in testes size among island populations of house mice. J. Evol. Biol.
- 21:1524–1533.
- 
- Firman R.C., and L. W. Simmons. 2010. Experimental evolution of sperm quality via
- postcopulatory sexual selection in house mice. Evolution 64:1245–1256.



- Firman, R. C., and L. W. Simmons. 2011. Experimental evolution of sperm
- competitiveness in a mammal. BMC Evol. Biol. 11:19.
- 
- Firman, R. C., L. Y. Cheam, and L. W. Simmons. 2011. Sperm competition does not
- influence sperm hook morphology in selection lines of house mice. J. Evol. Biol.
- 24:856–862.

- Firman, R. C., I. Klemme, and L. W. Simmons. 2013. Strategic adjustments in sperm
- production within and between two island populations of house mice. Evolution

67:3061–3070.

Gage, M. J. G. 1994. Associations between body size, mating pattern, testis size and

sperm lengths across butterflies. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 258:247–254.

Gage, M. J. G., and E. H. Morrow. 2003. Experimental evidence of the evolution of

numerous, tiny sperm via sperm competition. Curr. Biol. 13:754–757.

- Gier, H. T., and G. B. Marion. 1970. Development of the mammalian testis. Pp. 1–45
- *in* A. D. Johnson, W. R. Gomes, and N. L. Vandemark, eds. The testis. Academic
- Press, New York.

- Goldberg, S. R., and W. S. Parker. 1975. Seasonal testicular histology of the colubrid
- snakes, *Masticophis taeniatus* and *Pituophis melanoleucus*. Herp. 31:317–322.





- and numbers of spermatozoa mixed from two males on proportions of offspring. J.
- Reprod. Fertil. 39:251–258.
- 
- Mayhew, W. W., and S. J. Wright. 1970. Seasonal changes in testicular histology of
- three species of the lizard genus *Uma*. J. Morph. 130:163–185.

- McLearn, K. R., and J. T. Manning. 1985. Mate choice, monogamy and mutational
- load. J. Theor. Biol. 116:369–376.

- Montoto, L. G., M. Concepción, M. Tourmente, J. Martin-Coello, C. Crespo, J. J.
- Luque-Larena, M. Gomendio, and E. R. S. Roldan. 2011. Sperm competition, sperm
- numbers and sperm quality in muroid rodents. PLoS One 6:e18173.

- Montoto, L. G., L. Arregui, N. M. Sanchez, M. Gomendio, and E. R. S. Roldan. 2012.
- Postnatal testicular development in mouse species with different levels of sperm
- competition. Reproduction 143: 333–346.
- 
- Parapanov, R., S. Nussle, J. Hausser, and P. Vogel. 2008. Relationships of basal
- metabolic rate, relative testis size and cycle length of spermatogenesis in shrews
- (Mammalia, Soricidae). Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 20:431–439.













- **Table 1.** ANOVA comparing the proportion of sperm-producing tissue in the
- testes of males that have evolved under either a polygamous or monogamous
- selection regime.
- 



- 
- 
- 
- 

**Figure 1.** The mean proportion of seminiferous tubules in the testes of house

mice from monogamous (3) and polygamous (3) selection lines (as measured

from images of histological preparations).

- **Figure 2.** Example images displaying the difference in the density of
- seminiferous tubules in the testes of house mice from monogamous (1-3) and
- polygamous (4-6) selection lines.





Figure 2.

