

1	

2	Impact of oxy-fuel combustion gases on mercury retention
3	in activated carbons from a macroalgae waste: effect of
4	water
5	
6	M. A. Lopez-Anton, N. Ferrera-Lorenzo, E. Fuente*, M. Díaz-Somoano,
7	I. Suarez-Ruíz, M. R. Martínez-Tarazona, B. Ruiz
8	Instituto Nacional del Carbón (CSIC), Francisco Pintado Fe, 26, 33011, Oviedo, Spain
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	*Corresponding author: Enrique Fuente Alonso
14	Phone: +34 985 119090
15	Fax: +34 985 297662
16	Email: enriquef@incar.csic.es
17	

18 Abstract

19 The aim of this study is to understand the different sorption behaviours of mercury 20 species on activated carbons in the oxy-fuel combustion of coal and the effect of high 21 quantities of water vapour on the retention process. The work evaluates the interactions 22 between the mercury species and a series of activated carbons prepared from a macroalgae waste (algae meal) from the agar-agar industry in oxy-combustion 23 24 atmospheres, focussing on the role that the high concentration of water in the flue gases 25 plays in mercury retention. Two novel aspects are considered in this work i) the impact 26 of oxy-combustion gases on the retention of mercury by activated carbons and ii) the 27 performance of activated carbons prepared from biomass algae wastes for this 28 application. The results obtained at laboratory scale indicate that the effect of the 29 chemical and textural characteristics of the activated carbons on mercury capture is not 30 as important as that of reactive gases, such as the SOx and water vapour present in the 31 flue gas. Mercury retention was found to be much lower in the oxy-combustion 32 atmosphere than in the O_2+N_2 (12.6% O_2) atmosphere. However, the oxidation of elemental mercury (Hg^0) to form oxidized mercury (Hg^{2+}) amounted to 60%, resulting 33 in an enhancement of mercury retention in the flue gas desulphurization units and a 34 reduction in the amalgamation of Hg^0 in the CO_2 compression unit. This result is of 35 36 considerable importance for the development of technologies based on activated carbon 37 sorbents for mercury control in oxy-combustion processes.

38

39 Keywords: mercury; activated carbons; industrial macroalgae waste; oxy-combustion

40 1. Introduction

41 Oxy-coal combustion is a promising technology in the field of CO₂ capture and 42 sequestration (CCS). The basic principle behind this technology is to burn coal in a 43 mixture of O₂ and recycled gas so that the resulting flue gas is mainly CO₂ and H₂O 44 which simplifies the process of separation of CO₂ from the flue gas for further storage 45 or beneficial use. Although this gas also contains small quantities of O₂, N₂ and minor 46 concentrations of SOx and NOx, the CO₂ can be relatively easily separated before its 47 capture (Buhre et al., 2005; Wall et al., 2013). The new oxy-combustion conditions may 48 lead to certain changes with respect to conventional combustion conditions, which 49 might involve modifications not only in the composition of the reactive gases (Normann 50 et al., 2009; Liémans et al., 2011; Stanger and Wall, 2011; Ting et al., 2013), but also in 51 the fate of the trace elements (Roy and Bhattacharya, 2014). Of the trace elements, 52 mercury is the one of greatest concern because coal combustion is one of the major 53 anthropogenic sources of mercury to the environment (Zhuang et al., 2011b; Roy et al., 54 2013).

55 Mercury is a highly toxic element whose environmental impact is widely recognised, 56 which is why governments and several organizations have introduced measures to bring 57 it under control (USEPA, 2011). In particular, one of the UNEP (United Nations 58 Environment Programme) targets is to protect human health from the release of mercury 59 and its compounds (UNEP, 2013), and a priority in this field, is the mercury released 60 from coal combustion. However, in the case of oxy-combustion, mercury is not only an environmental problem but also a technological one since Hg⁰ can accumulate in the 61 62 CO₂ compression units and damage the aluminium heat exchangers due to 63 amalgamation of mercury with aluminium (Santos, 2010).

64 There are basically two ways to capture mercury during coal combustion processes. One 65 of them is to install purpose-designed systems for mercury capture in power stations, and the other is to make use of already installed systems intended for the capture of 66 67 other contaminants for the co-removal of mercury. A large number of the purpose-68 designed systems for capturing mercury species in gas phase are based on solid 69 sorbents. Of these, activated carbons that are capable of physically or chemically 70 adsorbing this element, are the most common (Sjostrom et al., 2010). The effectiveness 71 of activated carbons, which have been evaluated not only at laboratory and pilot level, 72 but also at industrial scale (Pavlish et al., 2010), depends on particle size, surface area, 73 porosity, etc. (Lopez-Anton et al., 2002), and gas composition, since reactive gases can 74 influence mercury speciation and mercury sorption mechanisms.

75 Numerous studies have already been performed on the application of activated carbons 76 for mercury capture in gases from combustion, and to refer to all of them is outside the 77 scope of this paper. However, the knowledge accumulated by these studies constitutes a 78 solid basis to address the emerging problems associated with oxy-combustion that, 79 given the current state of the art, are far from being understood. To achieve a solution to 80 these problems it is first necessary to identify the mechanisms of heterogeneous 81 mercury oxidation on the carbon surface and to determine how the gas composition may 82 modify these mechanisms (Liu and Vidic, 2000; Miller et al., 2000; Granite and Presto, 83 2008). To achieve these goals, the effects of reactive gases need to be considered.

Although the effect of SO₂ on mercury adsorption is of great importance, it has not yet been fully clarified even in conventional combustion plants. Some authors (Uddin et al., 2008; Diamantopoulou et al., 2010; Morris et al., 2012) have concluded that SO₂ favors mercury adsorption, particularly in the presence of O₂, due to the formation of HgSO₄ on the surface. Other studies (Presto and Granite, 2007; Granite and Presto, 2008;

89 Sjostrom et al., 2009; Morris et al., 2010; Zhuang et al., 2011a; His and Chen, 2012), 90 however, suggest that the SO₃ in flue gases leads to the formation of H₂SO₄ on the 91 surface of the activated carbon which leads to pore blockage, inhibiting the adsorption of Hg⁰. The role of water in mercury retention by activated carbons during conventional 92 93 combustion is another variable whose influence on the adsorption mechanism has been 94 explained in various ways. However, in the case oxy-combustion the role of water needs 95 to be fully clarified because in this particular process, water vapor can be expected to be 96 present in significantly higher concentrations. Apparently, the presence of water in the 97 flue gas, even in the range of 5-10%, seriously impedes the removal of mercury 98 (Cudahy and Helsel, 2000; Richards, 2005; Presto and Granite, 2007), probably due to 99 the adsorption of water molecules into the micropore structure of the activated carbon, 100 which reduces the number of the active sites available for mercury (Zheng et al., 2012). However, Li et al. (2002) found that moisture on activated carbon surfaces favours Hg⁰ 101 102 adsorption at room temperature.

103 To stress the importance of flue gas composition for mercury retention on activated 104 carbon is not to imply that the characteristics of the activated carbon itself are not important. Typical activated carbons may capture Hg²⁺, but in general they are not good 105 sorbents for Hg⁰. The retention of Hg⁰ can be significantly enhanced by using activated 106 107 carbons impregnated with sulfur, chlorine, bromine or iodine that chemically interact with Hg⁰ (Assian and Kaghazchi, 2013; Rupp and Wilcox, 2014). The limitation that is 108 109 often attributed to these impregnated materials is the cost of the preparation (Pacyna et 110 al., 2010). This explains why the use of solid wastes as precursors for the preparation of 111 activated carbons has emerged as a competitive way to produce these materials (Klasson 112 et al., 2010). Satisfactory results for mercury retention have been obtained using 113 renewable sources of carbon such as bamboo charcoal, poultry manure, waste tires,

chicken waste, etc (Skodras et al., 2007; Yaji et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2011). Although some of these sorbents have been tested at industrial scale (De et al., 2013), most of the studies have so far been focused on the development of good textural and chemical properties of the activated carbons with very little attention being paid to the effect that the gases in a full coal combustion atmosphere have on mercury retention. In addition, the results may be very different in an oxy-composition atmosphere and in one of air combustion due to their different gas compositions.

121 In view of these considerations this work focuses on the interactions between mercury 122 species and a series of activated carbons prepared from a waste from the agar-agar 123 industry in an oxy-combustion atmosphere, paying special attention to the role that the 124 high concentration of water in the flue gases can play in the retention of mercury.

125 2. Experimental

126 The activated carbons used in this work were prepared from two types of precursor i) a 127 macroalgae waste (algae meal) from the agar-agar industry (AM) and ii) a char (AMP) 128 obtained by pyrolysis of AM at 750°C. The conditions for obtaining both samples have been previously described (Ferrera-Lorenzo et al., 2014a). These precursors (AM and 129 130 AMP) were chemically activated with KOH, in precursor/KOH proportions of 0.5:1 and 131 1:1. The activation temperatures used were 750 and 900°C and the heating rate and N₂ 132 flow rate were 5°C/min and 150 ml/min, respectively (Ferrera-Lorenzo et al., 2013, 133 2014a). The activated carbons obtained from AM and AMP were labelled AMA and 134 AMPA followed by the precursor/KOH proportions and the activation temperatures 135 used (Table 1). These materials were washed with HCl 5M and deionized water, and 136 dried before testing. A commercial sulphur-impregnated activated carbon developed for

Hg⁰ retention (Norit RBHG3), was employed as a reference material (Lopez-Anton et
al., 2002).

139 The moisture content of the macroalgae waste was obtained following the UNE 32002 140 norm, on the basis of weight loss at 105°C over a period of 1 hour, and the ash content 141 was determined by calcining the sample in a muffle at 815°C for 1 hour in the presence 142 of oxygen, according to the UNE 32004 norm. The carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen 143 contents of the samples were determined using LECO CHN-2000 equipment. The 144 sulphur content was measured on a LECO S-144-DR equipment. The chlorine 145 concentration was measured by means of an ion selective electrode after combustion in 146 a calorimetric bomb. Textural characterization was performed by measuring the N₂ adsorption at -196 °C on an automatic apparatus (Micromeritics ASAP 2420). 147 148 Isotherms were employed to calculate the specific surface area, S_{BET}, and Total Pore 149 Volume, V_{TOT}, at a relative pressure of 0.95. The micropore and mesopore size 150 distributions were calculated on the basis of the density functional theory (DFT). The 151 narrow microporosity (pore width smaller than 0.7 nm) was estimated from the CO₂ adsorption isotherms at 0°C, taking 1.023 g cm⁻³ as the density of adsorbed CO₂ and 152 153 0.36 as β parameter (the affinity factor that characterizes each adsorbate). The CO₂ 154 isotherms were used in conjunction with the Dubinnin-Raduskevich equation to 155 calculate the micropore volume, W_0 , micropore size (L₀), and energy characteristic (E₀). 156 The water vapour adsorption isotherms were determined at 25°C for water activity (aw) 157 using a scale of 0 to 1. The equilibrium moisture content was expressed in grams per g 158 of dry solid.

The laboratory device used for the retention of mercury is shown in Figure 1. The experimental device consisted of a glass reactor heated by a furnace and fitted with a thermocouple. The sorbent bed was prepared by mixing 30 mg of sample with 500 mg

of sand. Hg⁰ in gas phase obtained by means of a permeation tube was passed through 162 the sorbent bed at a rate of 0.5 L min⁻¹ in a concentration of 100 μ g m⁻³. A synthetic gas 163 mixture consisting of 4% O₂, 1000ppm SO₂, 1000ppm NO, 100 ppm NO₂, 25 ppm HCl, 164 165 12% H₂O, 64% CO₂ and 20% N₂ was employed as the simulated oxy-combustion 166 atmosphere. To evaluate the effect of water vapour and reactive gases a series of 167 experiments were carried out in the same atmosphere without water, O_2+N_2 (12.6% O_2) and N₂ atmospheres. The temperature of the sorbent was 150°C. The gas lines were 168 169 heated to avoid possible condensation. The mercury adsorption curves were obtained using a continuous Hg⁰ emission monitor (VM 3000). A dilution flow rate of 2 L min⁻¹ 170 171 with air was added before the VM 3000. The mercury content after the retention 172 experiments was determined by means of an automatic mercury analyzer (AMA). The 173 duration of the mercury experiments was the time needed for the samples to reach 174 maximum retention capacity. The oxidation of the mercury was evaluated by capturing the Hg²⁺ in an ion exchanger resin (Dowex[®] 1x8), especially designed for the selective 175 extraction of Hg^{2+} species (Fuente-Cuesta et al., 2014). The resin was placed at the exit 176 of the reactor prior to the Hg^0 continuous analyzer (Figure 1). The Hg^{2+} in the resin at 177 178 the end of the retention experiments was determined by AMA. The resin had previously 179 been conditioned with a mixture of HCl:H₂O (1:1) at 90°C for 30 minutes and then filtered and dried. The content of Hg^{2+} condensate in the water and collected during the 180 181 experiments prior to the analyzer was determined by AMA.

182 3. Results and discussion

The chemical analysis data for the precursors and activated carbons from algae wastes used in the present study have been detailled in previous works (Ferrera-Lorenzo et al., 2013, 2014b). The activated carbons obtained from wastes of the agar-agar industry had

low ash (2.2-7.3%) and high carbon (83-91%) contents. The nitrogen content was 186 187 higher than 3% whereas for RBHG3 (0.47%) and other activated carbons reported in the 188 literature (Bermúdez et al., 2010) it is much lower. The important difference is the sulfur 189 content which was 1% in the activated carbons and 6% in the impregnated RBHG3 190 carbon. Chlorine, which can also play an important role in mercury capture, varies in 191 the different samples as a consequence of the efficiency of the washing process after 192 activation. It is 0.02% in AMA0.5:1-750, 0.03% in AMPA1:1-900, 0.09% in 193 AMPA1:1-750 and AMPA0.5:1-900 and 0.22% in AMPA0.5:1-750.

194 The specific surface area (S_{BET}) ranged from 813 (AMPA0.5:1-750) to 1982 m^2/g (AMA0.5:1-750), and the total volume (V_{TOT} [p/p⁰=0.95], from 0.364 (AMPA0.5:1-195 750) to 0.914 cm³/g (AMA0.5:1-750) (Ferrera-Lorenzo et al., 2014b). Most of the 196 197 adsorbents were microporous materials with a certain degree of mesoporosity 198 development. The microporosity varied from 29% to 68% in the case of 199 ultramicroporosity (<0.7 nm) and from 20% to 57% in the case of medium-size 200 microporosity (0.7-2 nm). Mesoporosity development (2-50 nm) ranged from 10% to 201 14%. The ultramicroporosity volume was higher in the activated carbons obtained from 202 AMP, whereas medium microporosity and mesoporosity was greater in the activated 203 carbons obtained from AM. RBHG3 has a similar porosity distrubution with a 204 prominent microporous development. The activated carbon which presents the highest micropore volume calculated by CO₂ isotherms is AMPA1:1-900 ($W_0=0.586$ cm³g⁻¹) 205 followed by AMA0.5:1-750 ($W_0 = 0.514 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$), AMPA1:1-750 ($W_0 = 0.449 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$), 206 AMPA0,5:1-900 ($W_0 = 0.410 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$), AMPA0,5:1-750 ($W_0 = 0.332 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$) and RBHG3 207 208 $(W_0=0.266 \text{ cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}).$

209 Special attention has been paid in the present work to the H_2O adsorption at 25°C. 210 Figure 2 shows the water vapour adsorption-desorption isotherms for samples 211 AMPA1:1-750, AMPA0.5:1-900, AMA0.5:1-750 and RBHG3. As can be seen, the 212 water vapour adsorption isotherms are of type V or S-shaped, which is typical of 213 microporous materials. The process of water adsorption is due to both physical 214 adsorption and chemical interaction with the surface groups. The activated carbons 215 obtained from the algae meal and carbonized algae meal exhibit a higher water vapour 216 adsorption than that of the commercial activated carbon (RBHG3). If the slopes of the isotherms in the lower P/P^0 are carefully examined, it can be seen that all the isotherms 217 218 have a convex shape, which suggests the presence of hydrophilic surface groups. At low 219 relative pressure the macroalgae activated carbons present a higher water vapour 220 adsorption than commercial activated cabon (RBHG3) which suggests a greater 221 presence of hydrophilic groups in these materials capable of forming hydrogen bonds 222 with water molecules. The sample activated at 900°C shows a lower adsorption capacity 223 at low pressure, suggesting that at a high activation temperature, the surface groups 224 disappear. As the pressure increases the influence of the textural development becomes 225 more important. The isotherm which presents the highest adsorption capacity at 226 moderate pressures corresponds to the activated carbon from the algae meal 227 (AMA0.5:1-750), whereas RBHG3 displays the lowest adsorption capacity.

Table 2 shows the mercury retention capacities and the percentages of Hg²⁺ resulting 228 229 from heterogeneous (gas-solid interaction) oxidation. The homogeneous (gas-gas 230 interaction) mercury oxidation in the same flue gas composition has been studied 231 previously (Fernández-Miranda et al., 2014). The confidence limit of the results is given 232 as the standard deviation. To determine which characteristics of the activated carbon 233 influence mercury retention all the sorbents were first tested in an atmosphere made up just of O_2 and N_2 . The mercury retention capacities range from 1000-1600 µg g⁻¹ for all 234 the activated carbons in this simple atmosphere. The char AMP shows the lowest 235

mercury retention capacity (20 μ g g⁻¹), which is well below that of the activated 236 samples. AMP has a BET surface area value of 4 m^2/g (Ferrera-Lorenzo et al., 2014b) 237 while the activated samples range from 800 to 2000 m^2/g . Therefore, the development 238 239 of texture is another factor to take into consideration for mercury retention. However, an 240 examination of the different textural characteristics of the activated carbons reveals that 241 there is no clear relationship between surface area, pore distribution and mercury 242 capture. Varying the activation temperature and the proportion of precursor/activating 243 agent leads to materials with different textural characteristics, but no correlation can be 244 established between the surface area, ultramicropore volume, medium-microporous 245 volume, mesoporous volume and mercury retention capacity. Therefore, the textural 246 development is not the only factor affecting mercury retention. In the atmosphere 247 formed by O2 and N2, the differences observed in the mercury adsorption curves (Figure 248 3) can be attributed mainly to variations in the chlorine content remaining in the 249 material after washing. It is worth noting that the activated carbon with the highest 250 chlorine content (AMPA0.5:1-750) shows the highest mercury retention capacity which 251 is similar to that of the commercial carbon impregnated with sulfur. The positive 252 influence of the presence of chlorine in carbon materials for mercury retention is well 253 known. Chlorine species can generate chemisorption sites on carbon surfaces favoring 254 the adsorption of mercury.

It must be emphasized that the activated carbons prepared from algae meal and algae meal carbonized in the atmosphere of O_2+N_2 can reach retentions of the order of the activated carbon impregnated with sulfur RBHG3 (Table 2). In an inert atmosphere (N₂) the retentions are much lower (<400 µg g⁻¹), in agreement with previous studies (Morris et al., 2012; Karatza et al., 2013) which demonstrates that O_2 plays a critical role in mercury sorption on carbon surfaces. In the absence of other oxidizing species the presence of O_2 increases the mercury uptake. It has been suggested that mercury bonding on the carbon surface is associated with oxygenated groups, in particular, with lactone and carbonyl groups (Li et al., 2002, 2003).

264 The behaviour of mercury on a selection of the activated carbons where the gas 265 composition simulates that of coal oxy-combustion is completely different (Table 2). It 266 can be seen that, on the one hand, the mercury retention capacity is much lower in the reactive atmospheres than in O_2 and N_2 and that, between 20 and 60% of Hg^{2+} results 267 268 from heterogeneous oxidation in the oxy-combustion atmosphere. Figure 4 shows the 269 mercury adsorption curves for a series of representative samples of activated carbons 270 from algae meal in a simulated oxy-combustion flue gas (Figure 4a) and in the same atmosphere when it is dry (Figure 4b). The mercury analyzer, which only detects Hg⁰, 271 recorded that the mercury signal did not reach the baseline $(22\pm3 \mu g m^{-3})$. This could be 272 273 because mercury was retained in the activated carbon and/or because of mercury 274 oxidation.

275 A high concentration of water vapour in an oxy-fuel atmosphere has a considerable 276 impact on the process and on all gas cleaning systems, the behaviour of mercury being 277 no an exception. Mercury capture on solid sorbents may decrease as a consequence of 278 the presence of water vapour in the atmosphere (Cudahy and Helsel, 2000; Richards, 279 2005; Presto and Granite, 2007; Zheng et al., 2012). In the particular case of the 280 sorbents evaluated in this work, it can be seen (Table 2) that retention increased in the 281 dry flue gas. This could have been a consequence of the high water vapour adsorption of 282 the materials obtained from the algae waste, as can be seen from Figure 2. If the 283 activated carbons from the algae waste are compared, those with the highest water 284 adsorption capacity present the lowest mercury retention capacity in the oxy-285 combustion atmosphere (Figure 2, Table 2). The same conclusion can be drawn from

286 the results with the activated carbon RBHG3 which present the lowest water adsorption 287 capacity (Figure 2) and have the highest mercury retention capacity in the atmosphere 288 with water (Table 2). However, retention improves in the dry oxy-combustion 289 atmosphere for all activated carbons, where the retentions are of the same order, and 290 comparable to that of the reference activated carbon RBHG3. Previous results obtained 291 by the authors in similar conditions (Fernández-Miranda et al., 2014) demonstrated that 292 the presence of water vapor in the flue gas results in the formation of sulfuric acid 293 according to reactions I and II, reaction I being favored in the presence of CO₂. This 294 might lead to lower mercury retentions in the presence of water (Table 2), since the high 295 concentration of H₂SO₄ could result in almost complete pore blockage. It should be 296 noted that the formation of sulphuric acid is a consequence of reaction in the gas stream 297 and is not influenced by the sulfur content in the activated carbons.

298
$$SO_2(g) + 1/2O_2(g) \to SO_3(g)$$
 (I)

299
$$SO_3(aq,g) + H_2O(l,g) \rightarrow H_2SO_4(aq)$$
 (II)

300 In contrast, other authors (Morris et al., 2012) have observed that the formation of H_2SO_4 on the carbon surface favors mercury retention through reaction III.

302
$$\operatorname{Hg}(g) + 2\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(\operatorname{aq}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hg}\operatorname{SO}_4 + \operatorname{SO}_2(g) + 2\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}(\operatorname{l},g)$$
 (III)

Mechanism (III) assumes that after being physically adsorbed onto the surface, Hg^0 will be oxidized to Hg^{2+} . The Hg^{2+} will then become bound to Lewis base surface sites either in carbon-oxygen or carbon-sulfur functional groups. In the present study and in both the activated carbons from the algae waste and the activated carbon impregnated with sulfur, the mercury was oxidized. However, Hg^{2+} was not retained in any significant amount by the activated carbons, this mercury remaining in the gas phase or being 309 condensed in the water. Therefore, the factors that play a role against the retention of 310 mercury by activated carbons in oxy-combustion processes can be summed up as 311 follows:

- i) The formation of a large amount of H_2SO_4 due to the high concentration of water vapour produced in oxy-combustion (up to 30%), which would block the mercury adsorption sites on the carbon surface.
- ii) The slow kinetics of mercury adsorption from HgSO₄ due to the short contact
 time between the activated carbons and gas, which is less than 1 second (similar to the
 contact times in the injection of activated carbon in coal-fired power plants).
- 318 It should also be noted that even in a dry atmosphere, the mercury retention capacities 319 of the activated carbons decrease in the presence of reactive gases compared to retention 320 in an O₂+N₂ atmosphere. It is well known that NOx can promote mercury oxidation 321 (Miller et al., 2000; Niksa et al., 2001; Fuente-Cuesta et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Pérez et 322 al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013), but it can also favor SO₂ sulfation through reaction IV 323 below. This reaction has been observed by the authors of the present study in previous 324 experiments carried out under the same experimental conditions (Fernández-Miranda et al., 2014). The formation of SO₃ would then compete with the mercury for the same 325 326 binding sites.

327
$$\operatorname{NO}_2(g) + \operatorname{SO}_2(g) \to \operatorname{NO}(g) + \operatorname{SO}_3(g)$$
 (IV)

328

329 4. Conclusions

330 The results of this study confirm the essential role of O₂ in mercury capture by activated 331 carbons. The activated carbons obtained from biomass algae waste showed a mercury 332 retention capacity of the same order as the activated carbon impregnated with sulphur in 333 an atmosphere free of reactive gases. The presence of reactive gases, mainly SO_2/SO_3 . and vapor water enhanced mercury oxidation. The Hg²⁺ produced was retained to a 334 335 limited extent in the carbons. These results need to be taken into consideration when developing technologies for mercury control in oxy-combustion processes, where the 336 337 presence of a large amount of water vapor may result against to mercury adsorption. 338 The results also make it clear that such minor differences in the textural properties of 339 activated carbons as those reported in this work are eclipsed by the chemical properties 340 (Cl) of the materials and by the composition of the flue gas.

341 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Research Program under the project CTM2011–22921 and the Program FEDER of the Principado de Asturias 2007–2013 under the Project PC10-40.

345 **References**

Asasian, N., Kaghazchi, T., 2013. Optimization of activated carbon sulfurization to
reach adsorbent with the highest capacity for mercury adsorption. Separ. Sci.
Technol. 48, 2059-2072.

Bermúdez, J.M., Fidalgo, B., Arenillas, A., Menéndez, J.A., 2010. Dry reforming of
coke oven gases over activated carbon to produce syngas for methanol synthesis.
Fuel 89, 2897-2902.

- Buhre, B.J.P., Elliott, L.K., Sheng, C.D., Gupta, R.P., Wall, T.F., 2005. Oxy-fuel
 combustion technology for coal-fired power generation. Prog. Energ. Combust. 31,
 283-307.
- Cudahy, J.J., Helsel, R.W., 2000. Removal of products of incomplete combustion with
 carbon. Waste Manage. 20, 339-345.
- De, M., Azargohar, R., Dalai, A.K., Shewchuk, S.R., 2013. Mercury removal by biochar based modified activated carbons. Fuel 103, 570-578.
- 359 Diamantopoulou, I., Skodras, G., Sakellaropoulos, G.P., 2010. Sorption of mercury by
- activated carbon in the presence of flue gas components. Fuel Process. Technol. 91,158-163.
- Fernández-Miranda, N., López-Antón, M.A., Díaz-Somoano, M., Martínez-Tarazona,
 M.R., 2014. Effect of oxy-combustion flue gas on mercury oxidation. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 48, 7164-7170.
- Ferrera-Lorenzo, N., Fuente, E., Bermúdez, J.M., Suárez-Ruiz, I., Ruiz, B., 2013.
 Conventional and microwave pyrolysis of a macroalgae waste from the Agar-Agar
 industry. Prospects for bio-fuel production. Bioresource Technol. 151, 199-206.
- 368 Ferrera-Lorenzo, N., Fuente, E., Suárez-Ruiz, I., Gil, R., Ruiz, B., 2014a. Pyrolysis
- 369 characteristics of a macroalgae solid waste generated by the industrial production of
- 370 Agar-Agar. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 105, 209-216.
- Ferrera-Lorenzo, N., Fuente, E., Suárez-Ruiz, I., Ruiz, B., 2014b. KOH activated carbon
 from conventional and microwave heating system of a macroalgae waste from the
 Agar–Agar industry. Fuel Process. Technol. 121, 25-31.

- 374 Fuente-Cuesta, A., López-Antón, M.A., Díaz-Somoano, M., Martínez-Tarazona, M.R.,
- 375 2012. Retention of mercury by low-cost sorbents: Influence of flue gas composition
- and fly ash occurrence. Chem. Eng. J. 213, 16-21.
- 377 Fuente-Cuesta, A., Diaz-Somoano, M., Lopez-Anton, M.A., Martinez-Tarazona, M.R.,
- 378 2014. Oxidised mercury determination from combustion gases using an ionic379 exchanger. Fuel 122, 218-222.
- Granite, E.J., Presto, A.A., 2008. Comment on the role of SO2 for elemental mercury
 removal from coal combustion flue gas by activated carbon. Energy Fuel. 22, 3557382 3558.
- 383 His, H-C., Chen, C-T., 2012. Influences of acidic/oxidizing gases on elemental mercury
 384 adsorption equilibrium and kinetics of sulfur-impregnated activated carbon. Fuel 98,
 385 229-235.
- 386 Karatza, D., Lancia, A., Prisciandaro, M., Musmarra, D., Mazziotti di Celso, G., 2013.
- 387 Influence of oxygen on adsorption of elemental mercury vapors onto activated388 carbon. Fuel 111, 485-491.
- Klasson, K.T., Lima, I.M., Boihem Jr, L.L., Wartelle, L.H., 2010. Feasibility of mercury
 removal from simulated flue gas by activated chars made from poultry manures. J.
 Environ. Manage. 91, 2466-2470.
- Li, Y.H., Lee, C.W., Gullett, B.K., 2002. The effect of activated carbon surface
 moisture on low temperature mercury adsorption. Carbon 40, 65-72.
- Li, Y.H., Lee, C.W., Gullett, B.K., 2003. Importance of activated carbon's oxygen
 surface functional groups on elemental mercury adsorption. Fuel 82, 451-457.

- Liémans, I., Alban, B., Tranier, J.P., Thomas, D., 2011. SOx and NOx absorption based
 removal into acidic conditions for the flue gas treatment in oxy-fuel combustion.
 Energy Procedia 4, 2847-2854.
- Liu, W., Vidic, R.D., 2000. Impact of flue gas conditions on mercury uptake by
 sulphur-impregnated activated carbon. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34, 154-159.
- 401 López-Antón, M.A., Tascón, J.M.D., Martínez-Tarazona, M.R., 2002. Retention of
- 402 mercury in activated carbons in coal combustion and gasification flue gases. Fuel
 403 Process. Technol. 77-78, 353-358.
- 404 Miller, S.J., Dunham, G.E., Olson, E.S., Brown, T.D., 2000. Flue gas effects on a
- 405 carbon-based mercury sorbent. Fuel Process. Technol. 65-66, 343-363.
- 406 Morris, E.A., Morita, K., Jia, C.Q., 2010. Understanding the effects of sulfur on
 407 mercury capture from coal-fired utility flue gases. J. Sulfur Chem. 31, 457-475.
- 408 Morris, E.A., Kirk, D.W., Jia, C.Q., 2012. Roles of sulfuric acid in elemental mercury
- 409 removal by activated carbon and sulfur-impregnated activated carbon. Environ. Sci.
- 410 Technol. 46, 7905-7912.
- 411 Niksa, S., Helble, J.J., Fujiwara, N., 2001. Kinetic modelling of homogeneous mercury
- 412 oxidation: the importance of NO and H2O in predicting oxidation in coal-derived
- 413 systems. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 3701-3706.
- 414 Normann, F., Andersoon, K., Leckner, B., Johnsson, F., 2009. Emission control of
 415 nitrogen oxides in the oxy-fuel process. Prog. Energ. Combust. 35, 385-397.
- 416 Pacyna, J., Sundseth, K., Pacyna, E.G., Jozewicz, W., Munthe, J., Belhaj, M., Astrom,
 417 S., 2010. An assessment of costs and benefits associated with mercury emission

- 418 reductions from major anthropogenic sources. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 60, 302-419 315.
- 420 Pavlish, J.H., Hamre, L.L., Zhuang, Y., 2010. Mercury control technologies for coal

421 combustion and gasification systems, Fuel 89, 838-847.

- 422 Presto, A.A., Granite, E.J., 2007. Impact of sulfur oxides on mercury capture by
 423 activated carbon. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 6579-6584.
- 424 Richards, J., 2005. Capabilities and limitations of available control technologies for
 425 mercury emissions for cement Kilns. PCA R&D serial no. 2748a.
- 426 Rodríguez-Pérez, J., López-Antón, M.A., Díaz-Somoano, M., García, R., Martínez-
- 427 Tarazona, M.R., 2013. Regenerable sorbents for mercury capture in simulated coal
 428 combustion flue gas. J. Hazar. Mater. 260, 869-877.
- Roy, B., Choo, W.L., Bhattacharya, S., 2013. Prediction of distribution of trace
 elements under oxy-fuel combustion condition using Victorian brown coals. Fuel
 114, 135-142.
- 432 Roy, B., Bhattacharya, S., 2014. Oxy-fuel fluidized bed combustion using Victorian
 433 brown coal: An experimental investigation. Fuel Process. Technol. 117, 23-29.
- Rupp, E.C., Wilcox, J., 2014. Mercury chemistry of bromated activated carbonsPacked-bed breakthrough experiments. Fuel 117, 351-353.
- 436 Santos, S., 2010. Challenges in understanding the fate of mercury during oxyfuel
 437 combustion. In: MEC7 Workshop, June 16-18, 2010, DLCS, Strathclyde University,
 438 Glasgow, Scotland.

439	Sjostrom, S., Dillon, M., Donnelly, B., Bustard, J., Filippelli, G., Glesmann, R.,
440	Orscheln, T., Wahlert, S., Chang, R., O'Palko, A., 2009. Influence of SO3 on
441	mercury removal with activated carbon: Full-scale results. Fuel Process. Technol. 90,
442	1419-1423.

- Sjostrom, S., Durham, M., Bustard, C.J., Martin, C., 2010. Activated carbon injection
 for mercury control: Overview. Fuel 89, 1320-1322.
- Skodras, G., Diamantopoulou, I., Zabaniotou, A., Stavropoulos, G., Sakellaropoulos,
 G.P., 2007. Enhanced mercury adsorption in activated carbons from biomass
 materials and waste tires. Fuel Process. Technol. 88, 749-758.
- 448 Stanger, R., Wall, T., 2011. Sulphur impacts during pulverized coal combustion in oxy-
- fuel technology for carbon capture and storage. Prog. Energ. Combust. 37, 69-88.
- 450 Tan, Z., Qiu, J., Zeng, H., Liu, H., Xiang, J., 2011. Removal of elemental mercury by

451 bamboo charcoal impregnated with H₂O₂. Fuel 90, 1471-1475.

- 452 Ting, T., Stanger, R., Wall, T., 2013. Laboratory investigation of high pressure NO
 453 oxidation to NO2 and capture with liquid and gaseous water under oxy-fuel CO2
 454 compression conditions. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Con. 18, 15-22.
- Uddin, Md.A., Yamaha, T., Ochiati, R., Sasaoka, E., Wu, S., 2008. Role of SO2 for
 elemental mercury removal from coal combustion flue gas by activated carbon.
 Energy Fuel. 22, 2284-2289.
- 458 UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) 2013. Mercury-Time to Act 2013.
 459 ISBN: 978-92-807-3310-5, pp44

460 USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) 2011. National Emission
461 Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Coal- and Oil-fired Electric Utility
462 Steam Generating Units and Standards of Performance for Fossil-Fuel-Fired Electric
463 Utility, Industrial-Commercial-Institutional, and Small Industrial-Commercial464 Institutional Steam Generating Units;
465 http://www.epa.gov/airguality/powerplanttoxics/pdfs /proposal.pdf;

- Wall, T., Stanger, R., Liu, Y., 2013. Gas cleaning challenges for coal-fired oxy-fuel
 technology with carbon capture and storage. Fuel 108, 85-90.
- Wu, H., Liu, H., Wang, Q., Luo, G., Yao, H., Qiu, J., 2013. Experimental study of
 homogeneous mercury oxidation under O2/CO2 atmosphere. Proceedings of the
 Combustion Institute, 34, 2847-2857.
- 471 Yaji, H., Baosheng, J., Zhaoping, Z., Wenqi, Z., Rui, X., 2008. Characteristic and
 472 mercury adsorption of activated carbon produced by CO2 of chicken waste. J.
 473 Environ. Sci. 20, 291-296.
- Zheng, Y., Jensen, A.D., Windelin, C., Jensen, F., 2012. Review of technologies for
 mercury removal from flue gas from cement production processes. Prog. Energ.
 Combust. 38, 599-629.
- Zhuang, Y., Martin, C., Pavlish, J., Botha, F., 2011a. Cobenefit of SO3 reduction on
 mercury capture with activated carbon in coal flue gas. Fuel 90, 2998-3006.
- Zhuang, Y., Pavlish, J.H., Lentz, N.B., Hamre, L.L., 2011b. Mercury measurements and
 control in a CO2-enriched flue gas. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Con. 55, s136-s142.

483	Figure captions
484	Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental device for mercury retention
485	Figure 2. Water vapour adsorption isotherms (at 25°C) of the materials
486	Figure 3. Mercury adsorption curves corresponding to the carbon samples in an O_2+N_2
487	atmosphere
488	Figure 4. Mercury adsorption curves corresponding to the activated carbons in a) oxy-
489	combustion and b) oxy-combustion water vapour-free atmospheres
490	
491	
492	
493	
494	

498	Table 1 Activated carbons obtained in the experimental conditions used
499	

Sample	Activation agent/precursor	Activation temperature (°C)	N ₂ flow rate (ml/min)
AMA0.5:1-750	0.5:1	750	150
AMPA0.5:1-750	0.5:1	750	150
AMPA0.5:1-900	0.5:1	900	150
AMPA1:1-750	1:1	750	150
AMPA1:1-900	1:1	900	150

502 Nomenclature: AMA: Activated carbon from algae meal (AM); AMPA: Activated carbon from algae meal carbonized (AMP)

Table 2. Mercury retention capacities and heterogeneous oxidation percentages of the activated carbons in O2+N2, oxy-combustion and oxy-combustion water vapour-free atmospheres.

Sample	ple O ₂ +N ₂ Oxy-comb.		-comb.	Oxy-comb/free H ₂ O		
	Hg ret.	Hg ²⁺ (g) _{out}	Hg ret.	Hg ²⁺ (g) _{out}	Hg ret.	Hg ²⁺ (g) _{out}
	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)
AMP	20±3	0				
AMA0.5:1-750	1221±300	0	3.2±0.5	28	200±15	55
AMPA0.5:1-750	1607±100	0				
AMPA0.5:1-900	1240±200	1	6.5±1.1	22	150±13	54
AMPA1:1-750	1428±200	0	12±2	32	250±18	60
AMPA1:1-900	1037±200	0				
RBHG3	1649±200	1	127±10	20	250±15	55

510 Oxy-comb.: simulated oxy-combustion flue gas; Hg ret.: mercury retention capacity; Hg²⁺(g)_{out}: oxidized

mercury by heterogeneous oxidation



515 Figure 1







520 Figure 2



526 Figure 3







532 Figure 4