

1	Influence of amyloglucosidase in bread crust properties
2	
3	1) Short running head: Enzymatic treatment of bread crust
4	
5	2) First name, middle initial, last name of each author:
6	R. ALTAMIRANO-FORTOUL ¹ , I. HERNANDO ² , C.M. ROSELL ^{1*}
7	
8	3) Institutions with full addresses for each author:
9	¹ Institute of Agrochemistry and Food Technology (IATA-CSIC). Avenida Agustin
10	Escardino, 7. Paterna 46980. Valencia. Spain. ² Department of Food Technology,
11	Universitat Politècnica de Valencia, Camino de Vera 14, 46022 Valencia, Spain.
12	
13	4) name, address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address of author
14	responsible for correspondence about the manuscript:
15	Cristina M. Rosell, Avda Agustín Escardino 7, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Tel 34
16	963900022, Fax 34 963636301, E-mail: crosell@iata.csic.es
17	
18	6) the source(s) of support in the form of grants, equipment drugs, or all of these:
19	Financial support of Spanish Ministry of Economy and Sustainability (Project
20	AGL2011-23802) and the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC).
21	

22 Abstract

Enzymes are used in baking as a useful tool for improving the processing behavior or 23 24 properties of baked products. A number of enzymes have been proposed for improving specific volume, imparting softness or extend the shelf life of breads, but scarce studies 25 have been focused on bread crust. The aim of this study was to determine the use of 26 amyloglucosidase for modulating the properties of the bread crust and increase its 27 crispness. Increasing levels of enzyme were applied onto the surface of two different 28 partially bake breads (thin and thick crust bread). Amyloglucosidase treatment affected 29 significantly (P < 0.05) the colour of the crust and decreased the moisture content and 30 water activity of the crusts. Mechanical properties were modified by amyloglucosidase, 31 32 namely increasing levels of enzyme promoted a decrease in the force (Fm) required for crust rupture and an increase in the number of fracture events (N_{wr}) related to crispy 33 products. Crust microstructure analysis confirmed that enzymatic treatment caused 34 changes in the bread crust structure, leading to a disruption of the structure, by 35 removing the starchy layer that covered the granules and increasing the number of 36 37 voids, which agree with the texture fragility.

38

39 Key words: bread crust; amyloglucosidase; colour properties; water activity;

40 puncturing; microstructure.

41 1) INTRODUCTION

42 Bread is considered worldwide a staple food; being one of the most important sources for human nutrition that provides starch and complex carbohydrates, proteins, minerals 43 44 and vitamins (Rosell, 2007; 2011). Current consumption trends show that consumers demand fresh bread all day along and freshness is pointed as an essential attribute 45 (Heenan et al., 2008). Fresh bread usually presents an appealing brownish, crispy crust, 46 47 besides a pleasant aroma and a soft and elastic crumb texture. Nevertheless, those attributes, particularly the crust crispiness, are very rapidly lost. Crust refers to the part 48 of bread near the surface, which is formed during the final baking. Crust has very low 49 50 water content (Wählby & Skjöldebrand, 2002), because of that it is relatively dry, crisp and brittle in the fresh state (Hug-Iten et al., 2003). Water migration from the crumb and 51 52 the atmosphere surroundings to the crust induces a transition from the glassy to the 53 rubbery state of the main crust macromolecules (Gondek et al., 2006; Jakubczyk et al., 2008; Van Nieuwenhuijzen et al., 2008; Castro-Prada et al., 2009; Arimi et al., 2010). 54 55 As consequence, the mechanical properties of the crust associated to crispness changes and crust becomes very soft and leathery (Roudaut et al., 1998), which causes 56 57 consumer's rejection.

58 Texture has been widely used for assessing bread freshness either by determining crumb hardness or crust crispiness, both of those directly related to bread acceptability. Texture 59 of the bread crust is an important parameter used to define the quality of crispy breads 60 and their freshness, in which multiple sensations involving numerous physical 61 parameters, combining molecular, structural and manufacturing process are implicated 62 (Roudaut et al., 2002, Luyten et al., 2004). Crispy bread crust is originated when starch 63 and gluten matrix are in glassy state and it has been associated with low moisture 64 content and water activity (Stokes & Donald, 2000). Different methods have been 65

66 proposed for assessing the mechanical properties of the bread crust, although punching 67 is a common feature in all of them. Recently, Altamirano-Fortoul et al. (2013) defined 68 the optimal punching settings for assessing the crust mechanical properties providing 69 information about the internal cell structure. Their results were also supported by water 70 activity and moisture content determinations, and scanning electron microscopy of the 71 crust section, which confirmed the reliability of the mechanical parameters.

72 Although very much attention has been paid to bread crumb and alternatives to soften it, scarce information has been reported about the crust behavior and how to modulate its 73 mechanical properties. Primo-Martín et al. (2006) reported the effect of different 74 enzymes (endoprotease, transglutaminase, alpha-amylase), sprayed onto the dough 75 surface, as possible strategy for extending crust crispiness. Those authors observed that 76 77 protease activity led to crust with lower water content, stating that protein network has a 78 main role in the crispness perception. In order to control crust moisture diffusion and water uptake to preserve crispy texture, some attempts using hydrocolloids and enzymes 79 have been reported (Altamirano-Fortoul & Rosell, 2010; Rosell et al., 2011). 80 Nevertheless, the possible role of the starch on the mechanical texture of crust is far 81 from understood. 82

Amyloglucosidase is also used in bakery applications, because its hydrolytic activity on starch yields faster fermentations (Sharma & Singh, 2010), improved bread crust colour (Van Oort, 2010), and enhances flavor in crackers (Heiniö et al., 2012). Also, this enzyme is suggested to delay bread staling due to decreasing retrogradation of amylopectin (Würsch & Gumy, 1994). In fact, anti-staling effects of amyloglucosidase in baking are claimed in some patents (Vidal & Guerrety, 1979; Van Eijk, 1991; Van Benschop et al., 2012).

The aim of the present research was to determine whether amyloglucosidase could be used to modulate the mechanical properties of bread crust. For that purpose, the effect of different concentrations of amyloglucosidase on the physicochemical, mechanical properties and the crust structure were tested. Enzyme solutions were applied onto the surface of two specialties of partially baked bread and the crust features of the full baked breads were assessed. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the crust section was used to confirm the reliability of the mechanical parameters.

97

~~

98 2) MATERIALS AND METHODS

99 Two different specialties of part-baked frozen breads provided by Forns Valencians S.A. (Valencia, Spain) were used. Those specialties were selected for giving breads with 100 different crust section, thus hereafter they will be mentioned as thin and thick crusts. 101 102 Chemical proximate composition of bread with thin crust was 30.1 g/100g moisture content, 60 g/100g carbohydrates (calculated by difference), 2.74 g/100g fats and 6.41 103 104 g/100g proteins. The composition of the bread with thick crust was 34.3 g/100g 105 moisture content, 59 g/100g carbohydrates (calculated by difference), 0.72 g/100g fats and 5.41 g/100g proteins. 106

107 A food grade commercial amyloglucosidase from *Aspergillus niger* (Amyloglucosidase

108 1100BG, 1100AGU/g) was provided by Novozyme A/S (Bagsvaerd, Denmark).

109

110 Enzymatic treatments

Amyloglucosidase was used to selectively modify the crust starchy components.
Enzymatic solutions were prepared by suspending the commercial enzyme in distilled
water at the levels described in Table 1.

115 Full baking process and storage

116 Part-baked breads were taken from the freezer (-18°C) and were placed at room temperature. Loaves were spread evenly with enzymatic solutions over the top surface 117 before baking. The amount of enzyme solution (2 ml) used per piece of bread was 118 sufficient to cover the whole top surface $(118.3 \pm 1 \text{ cm}^2)$. Dosages were calculated based 119 on previous studies (Altamirano-Fortoul & Rosell, 2010). Control bread was similarly 120 treated but without enzyme. Loaves were thawed at room temperature till the center of 121 the loaf reached 5°C. After thawing, loaves were baked off in a forced convection oven 122 (Eurofours, Gommegnies, France). Baking conditions varied with specialty and were as 123 follows: 180° C for 11 min in the case of bread with thick crust, 180° C during 16 min 124 for the one with thin crust. Both specialties required a preheated oven at 220°C. For 125 each specialty, three sets of samples were performed for each treatment, which were 126 127 baked in separate days.

128 Fresh loaves (0.5 h after baking) were tested for textural characteristics (mechanical129 properties), water activity, moisture content, crust section, crust colour and structure.

130

131 Physicochemical analysis

Moisture content and water activity were determined in the crust and crumb of breads.
Crust and crumb were separated using a razor blade based on white versus brown
colour.

Moisture content was determined following ICC standard method (1994) (ICC 110/1).
Water activities were measured using a water activity unit (Aqua Lab Series 3, Decagon
Devices, Pullman, USA) at 25°C. Crust section analysis was performed by scanning
cross section of bread sample, 10 mm thick, in a flat bed scanner equipped with the
software HP PrecisoScan Pro version 3.1 (HP scanjet 4400C, Hewlett-Packard, USA).

The default settings for brightness (midtones 2.2) and contrast (highlights 240, midtones
2.2, shadows 5) of the scanner software were used for acquiring the images. The crust
section was calculated from the scanned samples at the upper and bottom side using an
image analysis program (UTHSCSA Image Tool software, TX, USA).

Colour parameters of bread crust were measured at three different locations by using a Minolta colorimeter (Chroma Meter CR-400/410, Konica Minolta, Japan) after standardization with a white calibration plate ($L^* = 96.9$, $a^* = -0.04$, $b^* = 1.84$). The colour was recorded using CIE- L^* a^* b^* uniform colour space (CIE-Lab) and D65 illuminant, where L^* indicates lightness, a^* indicates hue on a green (-) to red (+) axis, and b^* indicates hue on a blue (-) to yellow (+) axis. The results were reported in the form of total colour difference using Eq (1).

151
$$\Delta E = \sqrt{(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2}$$
 Eq. (1)

152

153 Where: ΔL^* , Δa^* and Δb^* are the differences between the L^* , a^* and b^* values of the 154 sample and white plate calibration.

155 Crust darkness was determined on as $100-L^*$ ($100-L^*=0$, white and $100-L^*=100$, 156 black) (Sahlström & Brathen, 1997).

157

Three measurements were performed in each bread and three breads from each treatment were used for this determination. Crust samples were freeze dried and kept for further microstructure studies. Preliminary tests were carried out to confirm that freeze drying was not affecting the crust microstructure.

162

164 Puncture tests

Loaves were puncture tested using a texture analyzer with a 5 kg load (TA XTplus, Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK). The analysis consisted in recording the force required to penetrate the bread crust by punching the sample at three different locations: in the middle of the crust area and at 2 cm distance on both sides. Experiments were carried out using two distinct cylindrical probes: 2 mm diameter (punching area=3 mm²) at 0.5 mm/s, and 6 mm diameter (punching area= 28 mm²) at crosshead speed 40 mm/s, following the settings suggested by Altamirano-Fortoul et al. (2013).

The data were analyzed using the method proposed by Van Hecke et al. (1998). This method is based on the peak analysis of the force-deformation curves. From the forcedeformation curve recorded, the following puncturing parameters were determined:

175 Average puncturing force:
$$Fm(N) = \frac{A}{d}$$
 Eq. (2)

176 Spatial frequency of structural ruptures:
$$N_{wr}(m^{-1}) = \frac{No}{d}$$
 Eq. (3)

177 Average specific force of structural ruptures: $f_{wr}(N) = \sum \frac{\Delta F}{No}$ Eq. (4)

178 Crispness work:
$$W_c(N.m) = \frac{Fm}{N_{wr}}$$
 Eq. (5)

179

180 Where: *No* is the total number of peaks, *d* is the distance of penetration (mm), ΔF is the 181 individual force drops for each peak (N) and *A* is the area under the force-deformation 182 curve.

183 Four breads from each set were used for carrying on the puncture test, obtaining 24184 individual measurements for each experimental point.

185 SEM of bread crust

Scanning electron microscopy was used to examine the crust of bread. Slices of bread were freeze-dried previously to the microscopy analysis. Sample cubes (1 cm³) were fixed with the aid of colloidal silver and then coated with gold (Baltec SCD005) at 10⁻² Pa and an ionisation current of 40 mA. The observation was carried out in a JEOL JSM-5410 (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) scanning electron microscope at 10 kV.

191

192 Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean of sample sets. Statistical analysis of the results was 193 performed using Statgraphics Plus V 7.1 (Statistical Graphics Corporation, UK). In 194 order to assess significant differences among samples, a multiple sample comparison 195 was performed. The analysis of variance was carried out to decompose the variance of 196 197 the data into two components: a between-group component and a within-group component. When the P-value of the F-test was less than 0.05, there was statistically 198 199 significant difference between the means of the 2 groups at the 95.0% confidence level. 200 Multiple range test was used to determine which means were significantly different from each other and Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) procedure was used to 201

discriminate among the means. A multifactor analysis of variance was performed to
determine which factors have a statistically significant effect on mechanical parameters.
Pearson product moment correlations between each pair of variables were also carried
out.

206

3) RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

208 Effect of enzymatic treatments on the physicochemical properties of bread crust

Amyloglucosidase was sprayed onto the surface of frozen partially baked breads and the effect of increasing levels of enzyme on the physical and chemical properties of bread crusts was studied. Two different bread specialties with diverse crust thickness were selected for determining the ability of the enzyme to penetrate through the crust. The upper crust of the sample identified as thick crust had a section of 5.10 mm, which was significantly (P < 0.05) different than that in the bread with thin crust (2.94 mm).

The values obtained for bread crust colour, water activity and moisture content are 215 shown in Table 2. The enzyme concentration had a significant effect on bread crust 216 colour parameters and crust darkness. Comparing breads without enzymatic treatment, 217 the thick crust showed higher L^* (lightness) and lower a^* (redness), with no significant 218 differences on b^* . Those differences could be derived from their different composition 219 and/or processing conditions. In general, regardless the type of crust, the enzymatic 220 221 treatment decreased lightness, and larger effect was observed on the thick crust that underwent a drop in L^* with the presence of the lowest level of amyloglucosidase. 222 223 Regarding a^* , the value slightly increased in the thick bread crust due to the addition of 224 amyloglucosidase, but no trend was observed in the case of thin bread crust. In both samples, the b^* value decreased due to the enzyme activity. 225

Concerning to the total colour difference (ΔE) (Table 2), in general, the enzymatic 226 treatment induced a progressive increase of the values of this parameter when increasing 227 the enzyme concentration, with the exception of the thin crust sample treated with 228 250mg/10ml amyloglucosidase. Enzymatically treated samples were significantly 229 darker than breads without enzymatic treatment, and the darkness augmented with the 230 level of enzyme added. As it was expected, the enzyme level of amyloglucosidase 231 increased the release of glucose from the hydrolysis of amylose and amylopectin, 232 providing additional glucose that accelerates the Maillard reaction. In fact, Sharma & 233

Singh (2010) reported the use of amyloglucosidase to enhance bread crust colour.
Furthermore, colour of bread is an important quality associated with aroma, texture and
appearance features which are decisive for consumers.

237

No differences were detected in the water activity and moisture content of the crumb in 238 the different samples due to enzymatic treatments (results not showed). The enzymatic 239 240 treatment at levels higher than 100mg/10ml promoted a significant (P < 0.05) decrease in the crust water activity of the thick crust bread, and the reduction increased with the 241 level of enzyme. Considering that water activity refers to unbound or free water in a 242 243 system available to support biological and chemical reactions (Potter & Hotchkiss, 1998), it seems that the enzyme consumes molecules of water in the reaction of 244 hydrolysis of 1.4 and 1.6- α linkages of the starch, which reduces the amount of free 245 246 water in the bread crust. In the case of the thin crust bread, water activity showed a decrease when amyloglucosidase was added up to 250mg/10ml, but the trend was 247 248 reversed when higher enzyme concentrations were added. A plausible explanation could 249 be that the enzyme penetrates the thin bread crust at high concentrations reaching the bread crumb, which had significantly higher moisture content (40,9% in thick bread and 250 42.4% in thin bread) than the crust, facilitating the water molecules diffusion from the 251 crumb to the crust and leading an increase of the water activity. 252

Similar trend was observed when assessing the moisture content of the bread crust. The enzymatic treatment produced a significant (P<0.05) decrease in the moisture content; probably due to the participation of the water molecules in the hydrolysis reaction, which led to drier crusts. Again, in thin crust bread the addition of up to 250mg/10ml amyloglucosidase resulted in the lowest moisture content, which increased at higher enzyme levels. In the thick crust bread, the effect was dependent on the enzyme level,

moisture content showed lower values with higher concentration. Therefore, greater 259 260 enzyme levels were required for diffusing through the crust in breads with thicker crust. Water is the predominant constituent in most foods and it is a direct reactant in 261 hydrolytic processes. Moreover, the change of cross link and entanglements between 262 amylose and amylopectin caused by enzymatic treatment might increase the porosity, 263 which favors the water release during the full baking vielding drier bread crust. 264 According to Esveld et al. (2012), the moisture diffusion in cereal cellular products 265 involves diffusive transport in the gas phase and in the solid phase, and both depend on 266 the morphological details of the structure. Xiong et al. (1991) indicate that the mobility 267 268 of water in solid foods is strongly dependent on the porosity of the structure. Porosity is intuitively related to macroscopic vapor transport rate while sorption rate in the solids 269 270 seems more related to the local microscopic thickness of the solid (Esveld et al., 2012), 271 which could explain the differences observed in the two specialties due to bread crust thickness. 272

A reduction in water activity and moisture content of the bread crust was previously observed by Altamirano-Fortoul & Rosell (2010) and Primo-Martin et al. (2006) when different enzymes were sprayed or added to study their effect in bread crust characteristics.

277

278 Mechanical properties

Altamirano-Fortoul et al. (2013) reported that the use of smaller punch cross section and low speed allow obtaining reliable information about the cellular structure of the bread crust. On the contrary, compression becomes more important with the use of greater punch cross section and high speed. According to the above, two sets of conditions (punch cross section of 3 mm² and 28 mm²) were applied for determining the

mechanical properties of the crust to obtain information about the cellular structure and 284 285 the compression behavior. Table 3 shows the mean values obtained for the mechanical parameters for each level of the factors (crust type, enzyme concentration, punch cross 286 287 section) and the statistical significance of each of the factors. It also shows the standard error of each mean, which is a measure of its sampling variability. Regardless the punch 288 cross section used in the test, the enzymatic treatment produced significant changes on 289 290 all mechanical parameters used to define texture of the crust in the two bread specialties studied (Table 3). The Fm parameter was not significantly affected by the punch cross 291 section. Thick bread crust required greater force (Fm) for breaking crust than thin crust. 292 293 The increase of enzyme level slightly reduced the puncturing force (Fm), and that effect was more evident in thin bread crust, independently of the punch cross section and 294 speed (Figure 1). Thus, the amyloglucosidase was acting on the thin and thick bread 295 296 crust inducing changes at cellular structure level, leading fragile structure. In fact, Luyten et al. (2004) describe that the force depends on the composition and the structure 297 298 of the food.

The reduction observed in the puncturing force due to enzyme action could be related to 299 the decrease in the moisture content and water activity. As mentioned above, water 300 leads to plasticization and softening of the starch-protein matrix and thus alters the 301 mechanical properties, and an increase in water content increases the response to force 302 (Jakubczyk et al., 2008). In the case of bread crust, Primo-Martin et al. (2009) described 303 that at a_w of 0.75 bread crust was fully plasticized, and the transition from glassy state to 304 rubbery state occurs at a_w of 0.68-0.69 leading an increase in the rupturing force 305 (Altamirano-Fortoul & Rosell, 2010). 306

307 Greater punch cross section (28 mm²) and higher speed produced significantly 308 (P<0.001) less structural ruptures in all the samples (Table 3). Therefore, more

information about cellular structure was obtained at lower punch cross section and 309 310 slower speed. Spatial frequency of structural ruptures (N_{wr}) in the thick bread crust showed significantly (P<0.001) higher values than thin bread crust (Table 3). In the case 311 312 of thick bread crust, at both punch cross sections and speeds, the number of structural ruptures increased with the enzyme level up to 250mg/10ml amyloglucosidase, but 313 lower number of structural ruptures was observed at higher enzyme levels (Figure 2). 314 Considering that high number of fracture events is produced by crispy products, the 315 enzymatic treatment resulted in samples crispier than the control crust. Similar positive 316 effect was observed by Altamirano-Fortoul et al. (2013). Newly, these results might be 317 318 related to the decrease in water activity and an increase of the porosity due to the action of the treatment. The decrease in water activity resulted in an increase of the jaggedness 319 of the force-displacement curve. Some authors reported that the increase of moisture 320 321 content or water activity of crispy food promote the loss in jaggedness of forcedisplacement curve and consequently the frequency distribution of number of fracture 322 323 (Van Hecke, 1998; Jakubczyk et al., 2008; Tsukakoshi et al., 2008; Castro-Prada et al., 324 2009; Arimi et al, 2010)

With respect to f_{wr} parameter, a significant (P<0.001) decrease was obtained with 325 enzyme treatment in both samples in comparison to their respective controls (Table 3). 326 Thick bread crust presented significantly higher values in this parameter than the thin 327 bread crust. Again, the effect of punch cross section showed an increase in f_{wr} parameter 328 when using 28 mm² compared with punch cross section of 3 mm². Considering f_{wr} 329 parameter relates the specific force with the structural ruptures, if treated bread crusts 330 required lower force to promote the fracture as well as showed greater number of 331 ruptures, it would be expected that this parameter will be lower than that in the control 332 bread crust. Amyloglucosidase sequentially detaches the glucose units allowing the 333

polysaccharide breakdown, which might have modified the cell wall associated to starch
within the crust matrix. Consequently, the addition of enzyme reduced the mechanical
resistance in the cell walls, leading to lower values of this parameter.

337 Recently, Altamirano-Fortoul et al. (2013) suggested that only by using low puncturing speed is possible to assess the crispness work, because of that it is only shown the 338 values obtained by puncturing with small punch cross section and low speed (Figure 3). 339 340 Crispness work parameter (W_c) showed a decrease with increasing the enzyme level in both bread specialties. Results obtained showed that with those puncturing settings was 341 possible to detect the effect of enzymatic treatment on the mechanical behaviour of the 342 343 crust. The observed effect could be related to the amyloglucosidase hydrolysis of longchain polysaccharides causing an increase in the number of the pores, and in 344 consequence less crispness work was needed. In fact, some authors reported that pores 345 346 play a main role in the crispness and texture of foods (Goedeken & Tong, 1993; Tsukakoshi et al., 2008). 347

348

349 A multivariate analysis was applied to determine the possible correlation among the physicochemical properties and the parameters that defined the mechanical properties of 350 the crust obtained with the 3 mm² punch cross section. Fm parameter showed a 351 significant positive relationship with crispiness work (W_c) (r=0.9225), moisture content 352 (r = 0.7041), and also significant but very weak correlation with water activity (r = 0.7041)353 0.3145). A significant positive relationship was observed between the spatial frequency 354 of structural ruptures (N_{wr}) and the total colour difference (r=0.6352). In addition, a 355 significant positive relationship was obtained between the crispness work (W_c) and 356 moisture content (r=0.7939). 357

359 Crust Structure

To achieve a better understanding of the enzyme action on the mechanical behaviour, the microstructure of the crust cross-section was analyzed by SEM. The bread crust with lowest and highest enzyme concentrations were selected for microstructure studies with the purpose of observing the effect of the dosage of added enzyme.

364

365 Micrographs of control bread crusts and also those treated with amyloglucosidase 100mg/10ml (A1) and amyloglucosidase 1000mg/10ml (A4) are showed (Figure 5 and 366 6). Bread crusts with and without treatment revealed two different structural zones: a 367 dry crust and sub-crust. Similar structures were observed by Altamirano-Fortoul et al. 368 (2012), who suggested that the sub-crust is of great importance due to it lends rise to 369 chemical transition between the crust and the crumb, as well as this indicates where the 370 371 crust begins and ends. Figure 5a shows the thin bread crust without added enzyme (control), where an uniform structure was presented, and at higher magnification 372 (Figure 6a) it was observed a smooth layer due to gelatinised starch, which covers quite 373 374 well the ungelatinized starch granules around the air cell. However, in untreated thick 375 bread crust (control) a cracking structure with a thicker zone 1 and bigger cells were displayed (Figure 5b), besides starch granules could be clearly envisaged under a 376 377 smooth film showing little distorted structures (Figure 6b). Therefore, the distinct 378 mechanical properties observed in both specialties could be ascribed to their cellular structure. Moreover, it is important to consider that the bread crust properties are 379 380 dependent on the breadmaking process and of many factors including for instance lower water content, extent of heat and mass transfer at the bottom and top surfaces (Vanin & 381 382 Trystram, 2009).

Enzyme treatment modified the bread crust structure in both samples. Thin bread crust 383 384 treated with A1 revealed a more disordered structure with small irregular voids and great cracks (Figure 5c). At higher magnification it seems that the starchy gel, that 385 386 initially covered the structure, was thinner, revealing underneath structures (Figure 6c). In thin bread crust treated with A4, the structure was significantly different with 387 apparent compact structure but with sub-holes within the cells (Figure 5e), which agrees 388 389 with previous observations of Rojas et al (2000) when bread were formulated with alfa amylase. Besides, the network was not continuous and sharper surface was detected 390 (Figure 6e), likely due to the hydrolysis of starchy compounds. Treatment A4 led to 391 392 bread crust with greater spatial frequency of small structural ruptures as result of a nonhomogeneous structure and the numerous sub-holes, which agrees with mechanical 393 results (N_{wr} parameter). These changes were mainly related to greater starch hydrolysis, 394 395 which altered the starch structure, resulting in a more porous structure. Therefore if the microstructure is more porous, it gives brittle behavior (Goedeken & Tong, 1993). 396

397 In the case of thick bread crust treated with A1 an amorphous, disrupted and cracked structure was observed (Figure 5d). Higher magnification allowed detecting some 398 deformed starch structure due to the partly disappearance of the covering layer, and 399 even some remnant intact starch granules (Figure 6d). In samples treated with A4 a 400 layered and fragmented structure was observed (Figure 5f). Likely, this different 401 structure might result from the intense hydrolysis through the crust that reach the 402 crumb, allowing some water molecules to diffuse and in consequence change the 403 structure. This different structure was confirmed at higher magnification (Figure 6f). 404 Moreover, these results agree with those observed in N_{wr} where different trend was 405 observed at higher enzyme activity. Most probably, enzyme level affected the protein-406 starch interactions as well as the interaction between starch chains and water molecules, 407

and in consequence the granule's gelatinization. According to Guerrieri et al. (1997) 408 409 certain proteins (purified gluten, gliadin and high molecular weight glutenin subunits) modified amyloglucosidase activity in model systems. The proteins had an effect on the 410 411 starch hydrolysis, which is related to protein-starch interaction, especially when producing starch gelatinization. In addition, considering that the enzyme treatment 412 reduced the amount of water available in the bread crust, starch gelatinization would be 413 414 rather limited. Altamirano-Fortoul et al. (2012) found that lower amount of water present in the bread crust limited the gelatinization, which yield a more porous network 415 with intact granules and partially gelatinized starch granules. Consequently, those 416 417 effects can be related with the formation of successive structure layers (sandwich-like structures) in the sample treated with A4. The sample composed of long cell walls 418 disrupted more easily when performing the fracture, resulting in lower values in the 419 420 puncturing force parameter as were detected when texture was determined with small puncturing at low punching speed. Stokes & Donald (2000) indicated that when starch 421 422 and gluten matrix are in a glassy state cell walls become more prone to fracture.

In general, the effect of the enzyme on microstructure of bread crust was dependent on enzyme dosage and the type of bread crust (thin or thick). Amyloglucosidase action resulted in a more disrupted structure with partly removal of the gelled film that covered the starch granules. Previous studies showed that enzyme treatment modified the morphology and characteristics of bread crust (Primo-Martin et al., 2006; Altamirano-Fortoul & Rosell, 2010). Therefore, it is of special interest to know the microstructure of the bread crust because it is responsible for the puncturing behavior.

430

431

433 **4)** Conclusion

434 Present study shows that enzymatic treatment of the bread crust decreased the moisture content and water activity, due to an increase in the crust porosity besides the removal 435 436 of water participating in the hydrolysis reaction. Enzyme addition affected the colour crust; in general an increase in the total colour difference was observed when enhancing 437 the enzyme concentration. Regarding mechanical properties, overall results indicate that 438 439 the enzymatic treatment resulted in crust with reduced resistance to puncture and high number of fracture events, indicating crispy products. In addition, crispness work 440 parameter was lower as consequence of the fragility of the crust. The correlation matrix 441 revealed the positive relationship of the moisture content with Fm and W_c when 442 studying the effect of amyloglucosidase on the crust. 443

444 Furthermore, the results of the SEM analysis also confirmed the effect of the enzymatic 445 treatment. Amyloglucosidase hydrolyzed the starchy gel of the crust exposing the starch granules and resulting in a more irregular and uneven structure. This study suggest that 446 447 the enzyme produced an important modification on the starch-protein matrix structure, related to the steady removal of the gelatinized starchy layer that cemented the matrix, 448 which validate the results on the physicochemical and puncturing parameters. The 449 enzyme level required for modulating crust structure was dependent on the crust 450 thickness. 451

452

453 Acknowledgements

454 Authors acknowledge the financial support of Spanish Ministry of Economy and 455 Sustainability (Project AGL2011-23802), the European Regional Development Fund 456 (FEDER), Generalitat Valenciana (Project Prometeo 2012/064) and the Consejo 457 Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC). R. Altamirano-Fortoul would like to

thank her grant to CSIC. The authors also thank Forns Valencians S.A. (Spain) forsupplying commercial frozen partially baked breads.

460

461 **REFERENCES**

- Altamirano-Fortoul R, Hernando I & Rosell CM (2013) Texture of bread crust:
 puncturing settings effect and its relationship to microstructure.
 DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4603.2012.00368.x. Journal of Texture Studies.
- Altamirano-Fortoul R, Le Bail A, Chevallier S & Rosell CM (2012) Effect of the
 amount of steam during baking on bread crust features and water diffusion.
 Journal of Food Engineering, 108, 128-134.
- Altamirano-Fortoul R & Rosell CM (2010) Alternatives for extending crispiness of
 crusty breads. In Proceedings of International Conference on Food Innovation,
 FoodInnova, 25-29 October 2010, Valencia, Spain. ISBN978-84-693-5011-.9.
- Arimi JM, Duggan E, O'sullivan M, Lyng JG & O'riordan ED (2010) Effect of Water
 Activity on the Crispiness of a Biscuit (Crackerbread): Mechanical and Acoustic

473 Evaluation. Food Research International, 43, 1650-1655.

Castro-Prada EM, Primo-Martin C, Meinders MBJ, Hamer RJ & Van Vliet T (2009)
Relationship between Water Activity, Deformation Speed, and Crispness
Characterization. Journal of Texture Studies, 40, 127-156.

Esveld DC, Van Der Sman RGM, Van Dalen G, Van Duynhoven J PM & Meinders M
BJ (2012) Effect of Morphology on Water Sorption in Cellular Solid Foods. Part
I: Pore Scale Network Model. Journal of Food Engineering, 109, 301-310.

480 Goedeken DL & Tong CH (1993) Permeability Measurements of Porous Food
481 Materials. Journal of Food Science, 58, 1329-1331.

- 482 Gondek E, Lewicki PP & Ranachowski Z (2006) Influence of Water Activity on the
 483 Acoustic Properties of Breakfast Cereals. Journal of Texture Studies, 37, 497484 515.
- Guerrieri N, Eynard L, Lavelli V & Cerletti P (1997) Interactions of Protein and Starch
 Studied through Amyloglucosidase Action. Cereal Chemistry, 74, 846-850.
- 487 ICC (1994) Standard methods of the International Association for Cereal Science and
 488 Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- Heenan SP, Dufour JP, Hamid N, Harvey W & Delahunty CM (2008) The Sensory
 Quality of Fresh Bread: Descriptive Attributes and Consumer Perceptions. Food
 Research International, 41, 989-997.
- Heiniö RL, Nordlund E, Poutanen, K & Buchert J (2012). Use of enzymes to elucidate
 the factors contributing to bitterness in rye flavor. Food Research International,
 494 45, 31–38
- Hug-Iten S, Escher F & Conde-Petit B (2003). Staling of bread: role of amylose and
 amylopectin and influence of starch-degrading enzymes. Cereal Chemistry.
 80(6), 654-661.
- Jakubczyk E, Marzec A & Lewicki PP (2008) Relationship between water activity of
 crisp bread and its mechanical properties and structure. Polish Journal of Food
 and Nutrition Sciences, 58 (1), 45-51
- Luyten A, Pluter JJ & Van Vliet T (2004) Crispy/Crunchy Crusts of Cellular Solid
 Foods: A Literature Review with Discussion. Journal of Texture Studies, 35,
 445-492.
- Potter NN & Hotchkiss JH (1998) Food Dehydration and Concentration. In Potter NN
 & Hotchkiss JH (Eds). *Food Science*, 5th ed. Aspen Publishers, New York,
 USA.

- 507 Primo-Martin C, Van de Pijpekamp A, Van Vliet T, Jongh HHJ, Plijter JJ & Hamer RJ
 508 (2006) The Role of the Gluten Network in the Crispness of Bread Crust. Journal
 509 of Cereal Science, 43, 342-352.
- Primo-Martin C, Sozer N, Hamer RJ & Van Vliet T (2009) Effect of Water Activity on
 Fracture and Acoustic Characteristics of a Crust Model. Journal of Food
 Engineering, 90, 277-284.
- Roudaut G, Dacremont, C & Le Meste M (1998) Influence of water on the crispness of
 cereal-based foods: acoustic, mechanical, and sensory studies. Journal of
 Texture Studies, 29, 199-213.
- Roudaut G, Dacremont C, Pamies BV, Colas B & Le Meste M (2002) Crispness: A
 Critical Review on Sensory and Material Science Approaches. Trends in Food
 Science & Technology, 13, 217-227.
- Rojas JA (2000) Uso combinado de hidrocoloides y alfa-amilasa como mejorantes en
 panificación. Dissertation PhD Thesis. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia
- 521 Rosell CM (2007) Vitamin and mineral fortification of bread. In: Hamaker, B. (Ed),
- *Technology of Functional Cereal Products*. Woodhead Publishing Ltd,
 Cambridge, UK.
- Rosell CM (2011) The science of doughs and bread quality. In V.R. Preedy, R.R.
 Watson & V.B. Patel, (Eds). *Flour and breads and their fortification in health and disease prevention* (pp.3-14). Academic Press, Elsevier, London,
 Burlington, San Diego.
- Rosell CM, Altamirano-Fortoul R & Hernando I (2011) Mechanical properties of bread
 crust by puncture test and the effect of sprayed enzymes. In Proceedings of 6th
 International congress Flour.Bread'11, 8th Croatian Congress of Cereal
 Technologist, 12-14 October 2011, Opatija, Croatia. ISSN 1848-2562.

- Sahlström S & Brathen E (1997) Effects of Enzyme Preparations for Baking, Mixing
 Time and Resting Time on Bread Quality and Bread Staling. Food Chemistry,
 58, 75-80.
- Sharma K & Singh J (2010) Enzymes in Baking Industry. Panesar, P.S.; Marwaha, S.S
 and Chopra, H.K. (Eds), Enzymes in Food Processing, fundamentals and
 Potential Applications, IK International Publishing House Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi,
 India.
- Stokes DJ & Donald AM (2000) In Situ Mechanical Testing of Dry and Hydrated
 Breadcrumb in the Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (Esem).
 Journal of Materials Science, 35, 599-607.
- Tsukakoshi Y, Naito S & Ishida N (2008) Fracture Intermittency During a Puncture
 Test of Cereal Snacks and Its Relation to Porous Structure. Food Research
 International, 41, 909-917.
- 545 Van Benschop CHM, Terdu AG & Hille JDR (2012) Baking Enzyme composition as
 546 SSL replacer. Patent No.US2012164272.
- 547 Van Eijk JH (1991) Retarding the firming of bread crumb during storage. Patent No.
 548 US5023094.
- Van Hecke E, Allaf K & Bouvier JM (1998) Texture and Structure of Crispy-Puffed
 Food Products Part Ii: Mechanical Properties in Puncture. Journal of Texture
 Studies, 29, 617-632.
- Vanin FM, Lucas T & Trystram G (2009) Crust Formation and Its Role During Bread
 Baking. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 20, 333-343.
- Van Nieuwenhuijzen NH, Primo-Martin C, Meinders M B J, Tromp RH, Hamer RJ &
 Van Vliet T (2008) Water Content or Water Activity: What Rules Crispy

- Behavior in Bread Crust?. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 56,6432-6438.
- Van Oort M (2010) Enzymes in bread making. In Whitehurst RJ & Van Oort M (Eds),
 Enzymes in Food Technology, second edition, Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd,
 Iowa, USA.
- Vidal, F.D., Guerrety, A.B. (1979) Antistaling agent for bakery products. Patent No.
 US54160848.
- Wählby U & Skjoldebrand C (2002) Reheating characteristic of crust formed on buns,
 and crust formation. Journal of Food Engineering, 53,177-184.
- Würsch P & Gumy D (1994) Inhibition of amylopectin retrogradation by partial betaamylosis. Carbohydrate Research,256, 129-137.
- Xiong X, Narsimhan G & Okos MR (1991) Effect of composition and pore structure on
 binding energy and effective diffusivity of moisture in porous foods. *Journal of*Food Engineering, 15, 187-208.
- 570
- 571
- 572
- 573

574 FIGURE CAPTIONS

575 **FIGURE 1.** Effect of enzyme treatment on the puncturing force (*Fm*) of thin (closed

- symbols, \bullet , $\mathbf{\nabla}$) and thick (open symbols, $\mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{\nabla}$) bread crust. Legends: 3 mm² punch cross
- section at 0.5 mm/s (\bullet), 28 mm² punch cross section at 40 mm/s ($\mathbf{\nabla}$).
- **FIGURE 2.** Effect of enzyme treatment on the frequency of structural ruptures (N_{wr}) of
- thin (closed symbols, \bullet , \checkmark) and thick (open symbols, o, \bigtriangledown) bread crust. Legends: 3 mm²
- punch cross section at 0.5 mm/s (\bullet), 28 mm² punch cross section at 40 mm/s ($\mathbf{\nabla}$).
- 581 FIGURE 3. Effect of enzyme treatment on the crispness work (W_c) of thin (closed

582 symbols, \bullet) and thick (open symbols, o) crust breads.

- 583 FIGURE 4. Scanning electron micrographs of crust cross section. Magnification of
- 584 50x. Images correspond to cross section of breads with thin (a, c, e) and thick (b, d, f)
- crusts. Micrographs of control crust (a, b), crust treated with amyloglucosidase
 100mg/10ml (c,d) and amyloglucosidase 1000mg/10ml (e, f).
- FIGURE 6. Scanning electron micrographs of crust cross section at high (1500x)
 magnification. Images correspond to cross section of breads with thin (a, c, e) and thick
- 589 (b, d, f) crusts. Micrographs of control crust (a, b), crust treated with amyloglucosidase

590 100mg/10ml (c, d) and amyloglucosidase 1000mg/10ml (e, f).

- Table 1. Enzyme concentrations applied onto the bread surface (2 ml were applied per
- 593 loaf).
- 594

Treatment	Code	Description	Dosage 595	
Control	С	Distilled water	0mg/10 ml	
AMG	A1	Amyloglucosidase	100mg/10ml	
	A2	Amyloglucosidase	250mg/10ml	
	A3	Amyloglucosidase	500mg/10ml	
	A4	Amyloglucosidase	1000mg/10ml	

Bread crust	Enzyme concentration (mg/10ml)	Aw crust	Moisture content (%)	L*	a*	<i>b</i> *	ΔE	Darkness crust
Thin	0	0.516 ±0.02 c	9.67 ±0.10 g	54.26 ±0.53 e	14.87 ±0.40 e	37.42 ±0.56 c	0 ±0 a	45.74 ±0.53 b
	100	0.498 ±0.01 bc	6.22 ±0.12 d	55.12 ±1.77 e	14.09 ±0.90 de	36.49 ±1.03 c	2.65 ±0.58 b	44.88 ±0.77 b
	250	0.481 ± 0.01 b	5.43 ±0.32 c	48.44 ±0.40 c	12.72 ±0.01 ab	20.53 ±0.68 a	18.10 ±1.15 e	51.56 ±0.04 d
	500	$0.552 \pm 0.03 \text{ d}$	6.73 ±0.08 d	49.22 ±0.70 cd	13.96 ±0.23 d	27.29 ±0.55 b	11.44 ±0.68 c	50.78 ±0.70 cd
	1000	0.505 ± 0.01 c	8.02 ±0.10 f	44.86 ±0.59 a	13.70 ±0.69 cd	27.04 ± 0.48 b	14.06 ±0.86 d	55.14 ±0.59 f
Thick	0	$0.540 \pm 0.00 \text{ d}$	11.46 ±0.22 h	60.82 ±0.24 f	11.85 ±0.45 a	35.21 ±0.46 c	0 ±0 a	39.18 ±0.25 a
	100	$0.540 \pm 0.04 \text{ d}$	6.10 ±0.05 d	49.98 ±0.21 d	12.42 ±0.21 ab	20.82 ±0.75 a	18.06 ±1.01 e	50.02 ±0.21 c
	250	0.507 ±0.01 c	5.27 ±0.15 b	48.51 ±0.55 c	12.99 ±0.83 bc	20.97 ±0.87 a	18.86 ±0.83 ef	51.49 ±0.56 d
	500	0.459 ±0.01 a	5.30 ±0.03 bc	46.74 ±0.08 b	12.92 ±0.53 bc	21.80 ±0.36 a	19.50 ±0.84 f	53.26 ±0.26 e
	1000	0.452 ±0.01 a	4.93 ±0.02 a	45.85 ±0.12 ab	12.40 ±0.74 ab	23.39 ±0.79 a	19.18 ±0.78 f	54.15 ±0.13 ef

Table 2. Effect of amyloglucosidase on the physicochemical properties of thin and thick l

598 Means and standard deviations sharing the same letter within a column were not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Factor	F <i>m</i> (N)		N_{w}	$N_{wr}(\mathbf{m}^{-1})$		$f_{wr}(N)$	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	
GRAND MEAN	7.53		1.57		12.04		
Bread crust	***		***		***		
Thick	9.82	± 0.71	2.36	± 0.16	13.59	± 0.42	
Thin	5.24	± 0.71	0.79	± 0.16	10.50	± 0.42	
Enzyme concentration (mg/10ml)	***		***		***		
0	11.94	± 1.13	0.71	± 0.26	20.31	± 0.67	
100	6.78	± 1.13	1.94	± 0.26	11.46	± 0.67	
250	6.30	± 1.13	2.17	± 0.26	9.96	± 0.67	
500	6.51	± 1.13	1.20	± 0.26	9.81	± 0.67	
1000	6.10	± 1.13	1.85	± 0.26	8.68	± 0.67	
Punch cross section (mm ²)			***		***		
3	6.57	± 0.71	2.76	± 0.16	6.22	± 0.42	
28	8.48	± 0.71	0.39	± 0.16	17.87	± 0.42	

Table 3. Effect of enzyme level and punch cross section on puncturing parameters in

600 two different bread specialties.

601 Means values + standard error (SE). The standard error of each mean is a measure of its

602 sampling variability.

603 * Significant at P < 0.05; ** significant at P < 0.01; *** significant at P < 0.001.







Figure 3.













637 Figure 5













