A New Route of Synthesis of Na-Mica-4 from

² Sodalite.

3 Moisés Naranjo,¹ Miguel A. Castro,² Agustín Cota,³ Esperanza Pavón,^{2,4} M. Carolina

4 Pazos⁵ and María D. Alba^{2,*}

⁵ ¹Consejería de Educación. Junta de Andalucía. 41092 Sevilla. Spain

6 ²Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Sevilla. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones

7 Científicas -Universidad de Sevilla. Avda Américo Vespucio 49. 41092 Sevilla. Spain.

⁸ ³Laboratorio de rayos-X (CITIUS), Avda. Reina Mercedes 4b, 41012-Sevilla. Spain.

9 ⁴Unité de Catalyse et de Chimie du Solide, UCCS, CNRS, UMR8181, Université Lille

10 Nord de France, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq. France.

⁵ Escuela de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia
UPTC, Avda. Central del Norte, Vía Paipa, Tunja, Boyacá, Colombia

13

14 ABSTRACT: Synthesis of Na-Mica-4 has been achieved by a "mix and calcine" 15 method using sodalite and magnesium fluoride as the only precursors. Previous research 16 found sodalite as a key intermediate reaction product in the formation of Na-Mica-4 17 when the NaCl melt method was employed. Similarities in structure, chemical composition and cation distribution in products using the proposed method and the 18 19 NaCl melt method are described and suggest that Na-Mica-4 is a very stable product. 20 The use of sodalite as precursor provokes microporous formation in the final mica. The 21 absence of excess Na leads to a lower particle size and to the presence of less impurity

^{*} Corresponding Author: Tel: +34 954489546. Fax: + 34 954460665. E-mail: alba@icmse.csic.es

- in the calcined product. Different sodalites could be used in the synthesis of different Na-Mica-4 with presumably different physicochemical properties.

Keywords. Brittle micas, sodalite, rheology, swelling 2:1 phyllosilicates, surface, particle size, XRD, MAS NMR.

- **Graphical abstract**



1 1. Introduction

2 Na-Mica-4 is a synthetic high-charge expandable phyllosilicate with no other 3 natural equivalent, as it expands in contact with water while its charge is in the range of 4 that of brittle micas [1,2]. Because of this, much research on its synthesis and cation-5 exchange properties has been developed over the last few years. Na-Mica-4 was first 6 synthesized by Gregorkiewitz and Rausell-Colom [3] in minor quantities as one out of 7 several products from melting augite powder in fluoride salts. Electron microprobe analysis and Infrared spectra suggested the presence of four Na⁺ cations per unit cell. In 8 9 addition, it was observed that the product expanded in the presence of water vapor. Particle sizes were non uniform, ranging from 0.2 µm to 2 mm. The unique 10 11 characteristics of this synthetic silicate fostered interest in attaining bulk synthesis of the 12 product with particle sizes less than 2 µm, in order to make it amenable to cation 13 exchange. For its synthesis, different routes and precursors were tested. These methods 14 sought: i) products free from impurities, and, ii) cheaper silicon sources. Paulus et al. 15 [4] made a big step forward using a sol-gel route with $Mg(NO_3)$, $Al(NO_3)_3$ and TEOS, 16 subsequent mixture with NaF and calcination, obtaining a product with particle sizes in 17 the range 1 µm-5µm, showing selectivity towards retention of radionuclides such as ⁹⁰Sr. However, the synthesis process was rather long and tedious, and repeated washings 18 19 in boric acid and water were necessary to remove different impurities [5,6]. Franklin 20 and Lee [7] developed the so-called "all in one" method, in which all the steps in the 21 sol-gel method were merged together, with no or little effect in purity and particle size. 22 Regarding the second objective, several studies were conducted with the aim of finding 23 more cost-effective aluminosilicate sources, such as fly ashes [8] or kaolinite [1], for 24 future large-scale applications, finding metakaolinite as an optimal precursor with the 25 desired 1:1 Si to Al molar ratio but with the inconvenience of aluminum being in a

1 different coordination number [9]. In this case, Al was not in tetrahedral coordinated in 2 the precursor, which can be an important factor for cation distribution in the synthesized 3 product. In addition, the need for removing impurities with boric acid washings 4 remained. To avoid these problems, Park et al. [10] developed a new route, the so-called 5 "NaCl melt method", in which NaCl and MgF₂ were employed instead of NaF as 6 sodium and fluorine sources, obtaining a nearly pure Na-Mica-4, making boric acid 7 washings unnecessary. Particle size was shown to be dependent on the amount of NaCl 8 in the reactant mixture, finding the ratio NaCl/Al₂O₃=4 to be optimal for obtaining 9 average particle sizes around 3 μ m. To achieve ultrafine (<2 μ m) products, ratios as high 10 as 6 were required. Thus, smaller particle size required high NaCl excess, with 11 damaging effects in purity and crystallinity. This fact shows that it would be interesting 12 to look for a synthesis method in which no NaCl excess were necessary.

13 Another important aspect to be considered is the framework cation ordering, as 14 Si-Al distribution strongly affects reactivity of layer silicates [11]. Gregorkiewitz and 15 Rausell-Colom [3] interpreted the lower frequency shifts in the infrared spectrum as 16 indicative of high Al for Si substitution, noting that a different layer stacking mode, 17 necessary to accommodate the high number of interlayer cations in the silicate, could 18 affect Si-Al distribution in a different way to that previously expected [12]. Therefore, it 19 is quite important for the synthesis of Na-Mica-4 with design properties to relate the 20 synthesis procedure with the Si-Al distribution of the as-made product. The appropriate 21 technique is the ²⁹Si MAS NMR which is sensible to the local ordering and, therefore, 22 to the Si-Al distribution in the tetrahedral sheet [13]. However, not many NMR studies 23 have been performed on the Na-Mica-4. Komarneni et al. [9] attributed two peaks 24 separated by 1.9 ppm to one single Si environment, considering this observation an 25 indication of homogeneous Si-Al distribution and, presumably, of better cation

exchange and selectivity properties. As a result of quantitative ²⁷Al NMR study, a 97% 1 of aluminum was estimated to be in tetrahedral coordination. Park et al. [10] found two 2 peaks at -78.2 and -81.7 ppm which were assigned to $Q^{3}(3AI)$ and $Q^{3}(2AI)$ Si 3 contributions. Komarneni et al. [14] found four ²⁹Si peaks (although spectra were not 4 5 showed) and an additional peak when heating over 1000 °C. Alba et al. [15] identified five different ²⁹Si peaks, attributing the "fifth peak" to a violation of Loewestein's rule. 6 7 The use of precursors having Si and Al in the same ratio and coordination as in the 8 target product is then believed to be helpful to attain a homogeneous Si-Al distribution 9 and no or little Al in the octahedral layer.

10 Other characterization techniques, such as Z-potential and BET surface, which 11 could be helpful to understand key properties like cation exchange kinetics and colloids 12 properties, have not been considered so far.

Therefore, the goal of this research was to provide a new synthesis route for Na-Mica-4 using sodalite as aluminum and silicon source. The use of sodalite was based on the fact that this silicate has a Si/Al ratio equal to 1 and both heteroatoms are homogeneous distributed. Moreover, the Al coordination sphere in the starting material is similar to this found in the final product. Finally, the purity, Si-Al distribution, particle size, Z-potential and surface are analyzed and the influence on these properties of the synthesis precursors will be discussed.

20

21 **2. Experimental**

22 2.1. Synthesis method.

A single-step procedure described elsewhere [15], similar to the NaCl melt
method [10], was employed in the synthesis of Na-Mica-4. Starting materials were SiO₂
from Sigma (CAS no. 112945-52-5, 99.8% purity), Al(OH)₃ from Riedel-de Haën (CAS

no. 21645-51-2, 99% purity), MgF₂ from Aldrich (CAS no. 20831-0, 98% purity), and
NaCl from Panreac (CAS no. 131659, 99.5% purity). The reactants were mixed in the
following molar ratio: 4SiO₂: 4Al(OH)₃: 6MgF₂: 8NaCl. The mixture was ground in an
agate mortar and heated in a Pt crucible at 900° C for 15 h. After cooling, the solids
were washed in deionized water and dried at room temperature. This sample will be
named as *Na-Mica-4*.

Other set of samples were synthesized using sodalite, synthetized following the method described by Acar et al. [16], as Na, Al and Si source. Sodalite, MgF₂ and NaCl were mixed in different molar stoichiometric amount: 2/3:6:4 for sample *Na-Mica-4-S1* and 2/3:6:0 for sample *Na-Mica-4-S2*. Both mixtures were ground in an agate mortar and heated in Pt crucibles at 900° C for 15 h. After cooling, the solids were washed in deionized water and dried at room temperature.

13

14 2.2. Sample characterization.

15 TG/DTA experiments were carried out using a TA (model STD-Q600) 16 instrument, with alumina as reference. The samples were placed into Pt crucibles and 17 maintained at air throughout the heating period. The temperature was increased at a 18 constant rate of 10° C/min.

19 The external specific area (S_{BET}) and microporous surface area (S_{μ}) were 20 determined by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K using a Micromeritics model ASAP 2010 21 and all samples were dried at 100 °C overnight under vacuum before the nitrogen 22 sorption measurement [17]. The BET surface area has been calculated using the 23 complete N₂ adsorption isotherm and applying the following formulae [18]:

$$S_{BET} = \frac{V_m \cdot N \cdot s}{V \cdot a}$$

1 where V_m is in units of volume which are also the units of the molar volume of the 2 adsorbate gas, N is Avogadro's number, s the adsorption cross section of the adsorbed 3 species, V the molar volume of the adsorbate gas, an a the mass of the adsorbent. The 4 correlation coefficient was 0.9996.

The particle size distribution of water suspension was measured using a laser diffraction particle size analyzer (Mastersize 2000 Model Hydro2000SM, Malvern Instrument Ltd., UK). The size-frequency distributions were plotted and $D_{10\%}$, $D_{50\%}$ and $D_{90\%}$, volume number diameters where the indicated percentage of the particles is smaller than that size, were determined. In addition, the size distribution was computed in terms of the polydispersity index (PI) and expressed as [19]:

$$PI = \frac{D_{90\%} - D_{10\%}}{D_{50\%}}$$

11 The zeta potential of all the samples was measured by using a laser Doppler 12 electrophoresis analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern Instrument Ltd., UK). The 13 temperature of the samples was controlled at 25 °C. The dispersions were diluted to 0.1 14 mg/mL with the help of an ultrasonic for 10 minutes prior to the measurements.

15 XRD patterns were obtained with a Bruker D8 instrument using Cu K_{α} radiation 16 at 40 kV and 40 mA. Diffractograms were obtained from 3 to 70° 2 θ at a scanning 17 speed of 1° 2 θ min⁻¹ with a scan step of 0.05° 2 θ .

18 The morphology of the samples was analyzed by SEM (JEOL, Model JSM 19 5400) at 20kV. An EDX system (Oxford Link ISIS) was fitted to the SEM equipment to 20 perform chemical analyses of the samples using a Si/Li detector with Be window.

Single-pulse (SP) MAS NMR experiments were recorded on a Bruker DRX400 spectrometer equipped with a multinuclear probe. Powdered samples were packed in 4 mm zirconia rotors and spun at 10 kHz. The ¹H MAS spectra were obtained using typical $\pi/2$ pulse widths of 4.1 us and a pulse space of 5 s. ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra were acquired at a frequency of 79.49 MHz, using a pulse width of 2.7 μ s ($\pi/2$ pulse length = 7.1 μ s) and delay times in the range 3s - 60 s. ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 104.26 MHz with a pulse width of 0.92 μ s ($\pi/2$ pulse length = 9.25 μ s) and a delay time of 0.5 s. The chemical shift values were reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane for ²⁹Si and ¹H and from a 0.1 M AlCl₃ solution for ²⁷Al.

6

7 **3. Results and Discussion**

8 X-ray diffractograms of the samples (Figure 1) show that bulk synthesis of 9 hydrated 2:1 phyllosilicate has been attained in all cases and minor reflections from 10 neighbourite (MgNaF₃) is observed. Complete suppression of amorphous mica 11 formation has been achieved as reported by others who used kaolinite [1] or fumed 12 silica [8].

Additionally, sodalite reflections are still present in Na-Mica-4-S1; the XRD pattern of the precursor, sodalite, has been included in the Figure 1d. When sodalite is employed as precursor in the synthesis of Na-Mica-4, excess Na is no longer needed as proposed by Park et al. [10]. Moreover, the excess of NaCl promoted the presence of the precursor. Therefore, the use of an excess of NaCl would be necessary for the synthesis of the reaction intermediate sodalite, rather than for the synthesis of the Na-Mica-4 [10].

The XRD patterns of all the samples show a unique *001* reflection corresponding to a basal space of 12.1 Å due to hydrated Na⁺ [20]. Thus, the use of sodalite as starting material did not provoke the formation of anhydrous phases as previously observed when fly ash was used [8]. The effect of the synthesis method on the hydration state of the micas has been analyzed by thermal analysis and by ¹H MAS NMR.

The main thermal process observed from the three products corresponds to an endothermic response, at 75.7°-77.8 °C, accompanied by a weight loss at temperatures

8

1 below 150°C, due to the dehydration of the external surface and of the interlayer space 2 of the micas [10]. A similar weight loss has been observed in all the samples, estimated 3 to be 6.41-6.68 % of total weight (Table 1) and it corresponds with a value of ca. 0.78 4 water molecules per interlayer cation, similar to the data reported by Park et al. [10] The hydration state of the interlayer cation was corroborated by ¹H MAS NMR (Figure 2) 5 that showed a wide signal at 4.6 ppm due to the Na^+ hydration water (21). The ¹H MAS 6 7 NMR spectra of the Na-Mica-4 synthesized with NaCl excess (Figure 2a and 2 b) show 8 an additional signal at 1.1 ppm due to terminal SiOH groups at the crystal surface and at 9 crystal defect [13]. Finally, the spectrum of Na-Mica-4-S1 (Figure 2b) showed a signal 10 at 3.7 ppm due to bridging Si(OH)Al hydroxyl groups typical of zeolite framework as 11 can be seen in the spectrum of sodalite (Figure 2d) [13].

12 All the micas exhibited similar long range order and swelling capacity but their 13 particles showed different morphology as being observed by SEM (Figure 3). Whereas 14 Na-Mica-4 (Figure 3a) shows tiny flakes particles, the micas synthesized from sodalite 15 show particles with a hexagonal morphology with well-defined angles of ca. 120° 16 similar to the phlogopite crystal described by Sunagawa and Tomura [22] and the Na-17 Mica-4 synthesized by Gregorkiewitz and Rausell-Colom [3]. Na-Mica-4-S2 particles 18 (Figure 3c) are smaller than Na-Mica-4-S1 one (Figure 3b). It is well known that small 19 particle size favors the kinetic of cation uptake [9] and consequently their potential use 20 as decontaminants. Park et al. [10] observed that, when excess of NaCl was used during 21 the synthesis, larger amounts of NaCl led to smaller crystal size; however, the synthesis 22 from sodalite leads smaller particles size at Al/Na ratio equal 1.

For its decontamination application, properties such as surface area, particle size distribution and Z-potential are important (Table 2) because they will be directly related to its adsorption capacity and reactivity. The particle diameter of the micas occurs as 1 distinct domains showing a bimodal character of this distribution (Figure S1). The main 2 mode of Na-Mica-4 is close to 4 µm and the second is at 42 µm. The relative intensity of the second mode increases when sodalite is used as starting material and it is even 3 4 higher in presence of NaCl excess. $D_{50\%}$ and PI of all dispersions are shown in Table 2. 5 The synthesis from sodalite gives micas with statistically higher size and a narrower 6 size of distribution. However, the different particles sizes do not significantly affect the 7 total surface area but influence the microporosity which increases with the use of 8 sodalite as starting material and NaCl in stoichiometric quantity.

9 The surface charge property can be characterized by zeta potential and the 10 stability of a clay solution can be measured depending of its value. Colloids with high 11 Z-potential (negative or positive) are electrically stabilized while colloids with low Z-12 potential tend to coagulation or flocculated. Z-potential values of micas have not shown 13 relation with the particle size of colloid suspension but are related to the precursor 14 nature (Table 2). For all the micas, the Z-potential is negative as can be expected for 15 clay minerals; however, its value is strongly dependent of the chemical composition and 16 the stoichiometry of the synthesis mixture. The excess of NaCl, when sodalite was used 17 as starting material, tends to cause aggregation, as seen by the higher D_{50%} value, 18 resulting from the interaction between the positive sodium ion and the negative edges of 19 the clay particle. Similar observation was made in montmorillonite which had slightly higher (less negative) values for Z-potential in the presence of Li⁺ or Na⁺ [23,24]. The 20 21 Na-Mica-4 colloid, despite of the NaCl excess, is electrically stabilized; the Z-potential 22 values were higher than ± 10 which indicate that they don't tend to coagulate or 23 flocculate [25].

Finally, the framework cation distribution has been analyzed by ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al MAS NMR (Figure 4 and 5). The ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectrum of the precursor, sodalite,

(Figure 4d) shows a unique signal at -85 ppm due to $Q^4(4Al)$ Si environment (26); 1 however, the ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra of all the micas (Figure 4a-c) are characterized by 2 a set of signal between -70 and -90 ppm, compatible with the existence of several single 3 4 $Q^{3}(nAl)$ environments as expected for 2:1 layered aluminosilicates [27]. The four distinct Si signals at lower frequencies are assigned to Q³(nAl) Si environments and the 5 fifth signal at -75 ppm to Q³(3Al) with Al-O-Al linkages [15]. ²⁹Si spectra of the three 6 7 products are basically identical in shape and peak positions, the differences found only 8 in slight changes in relative areas of peaks (Figure 4, right). It is noticeable that the Si-9 Al distribution is not significantly affected by the precursor but is influenced by the 10 excess of NaCl which favours the peak at ca. -75 ppm and diminishes the intensity of 11 the peaks of the $Q^3(nAl)$ (n ≤ 1) Si environment.

²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra (Figure 5) show a main peak at ca. 65 ppm due to the 12 majority of Al is in tetrahedral coordination [13], micas having higher quadrupole 13 14 interactions than sodalite as inferred by the lower frequencies asymmetry of the peak. A 15 sodalite contribution is clearly present in Na-Mica-S1 (Figure 5b), in accordance with 16 the presence of this phase in the XRD data. Additionally, in all the micas, a small peak 17 at ca. 0 ppm is observed and has been interpreted as aluminium in the octahedral layer 18 [15]. The relative intensity of this peak diminishes when sodalite is used as precursor as 19 it can be expected when aluminium in the source exhibits similar coordination than the 20 final product.

21

22 **4. Conclusions**

Synthesis of Na-Mica-4 from sodalite, an intermediate product in the synthesis
of that mica when the NaCl-melt method is employed, has been carried out successfully
with and without excess of NaCl. This result can be useful when considering tailor-

made synthesis methods in which very specific compositions and/or physicochemical properties of the layer silicate are required. When sodalite is used as starting material, the NaCl excess inhibits the total conversion of sodalite to mica. Therefore, the use of an excess of NaCl is only necessary for the synthesis of the reaction intermediate sodalite, rather than for the synthesis of the Na-Mica-4.

6 The chemical composition of the starting mixture does not affect the mica 7 crystallinity although the morphology and the particle size depend on the silicon and 8 aluminum source and the Al/Na ratio. Both factors are also responsible of its colloidal 9 behavior.

Finally, the use of sodalite as starting material provokes the microporous
formation in the final mica which is enhanced in absence of NaCl excess.

12

13 Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from FEDER funds and DGICYT Projects no. CTQ2010-14874. We thanks to the Instituto Ciencia de los Materiales de Sevilla (CSIC-University of Seville) for the instrumental facility for measuring SEM/EDX, N₂ isotherm and MAS-NMR and to the CITIUS, University of Seville, for the instrumental facility for measuring XRD, Z-potential and particle size distribution.

20 **References**

- [2] S. Komarneni, W.J. Paulus, R. Roy, Proc. Int. Conf. Ion Exchange: Tokyo, 1991.
- [3] M. Gregorkiewitz, J.A. Rausell-Colom, Amer. Miner. 72 (1987) 515-527.
- [4] W.J. Paulus, S. Komarneni, R. Roy, Letters to Nature 357 (1992) 571-573

^[1] T. Kodama, S. Komarneni, J. Mater. Chem., 9 (1999) 2475-2480.

- [5] T. Kodama, T. Higuchi, T. Shimizu, K. Shimizu, S. Komarneni, W. Hofbauer, H. Schneider, J. Mater. Chem. 11 (2001) 2072-2077.
- [6] T. Kodama, K. Hasegawa, K. Shimizu, S. Komarneni, Sep. Sci. Technol. 38 (2003) 679-694.
- [7] K.R. Franklin, E. Lee, J. Mat. Chem. 6 (1996) 109-115.
- [8] M. Park, D.H. Lee, C.L. Choi, W.T. Lim, S.K. Lee, N.H. Heo, S. Komarneni, J. Choi J. Porous. Mater. 9 (2002) 291-298.
- [9] P. Komarneni, R. Pidugi, J.E. Amonette J. Mater. Chem 8 (1998) 205-208.
- [10] M. Park, D. H. Lee, C. L. Choi, S.S. Kim, K.S. Kim, J. Chem. Mater. 14 (2002) 2582-2589.
- [11] M.D. Alba, A.I. Becerro, M.A. Castro, A.C. Perdigon, Am. Miner. 86 (2001) 124-131.
- [12] E.W. Radolovich, Amer. Miner. 48 (1963) 76-99.
- [13] G. Engelhardt, D. Michel, High-Resolution Solid-State NMR of Silicates and Zeolites. John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987.
- [14] S. Komarneni, R. Ravella, M. Park, J. Mater. Chem. 15 (2005) 4241-4245.
- [15] M.D.Alba, M.A.Castro, M. Naranjo, E. Pavón, Chem. Mater. 18 (2006) 2867-2869.
- [16] A. Acar, H.Yücel, A. Culfaz, Chem. Eng. Comm. 190 (2003) 861-882.
- [17] K.S.W. Sing, Pure & Appl. Chem. 54 (1982) 2201-2218.
- [18] S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 60 (1938) 309-319
- [19] J.J. Torrado, L. Illum, S.S. Davis, Int. J. Pharm. 51 (1989) 85-93.
- [20] M.D. Alba, A.I. Becerro, M.A. Castro, A.C. Perdigon, Am. Miner. 86 (2001) 115-123.

- [21] M.D. Alba, M.A. Castro, A.I. Becerro, A.C. Perdigón, J.Phys. Chem. 107 (2003) 3996-4001.
- [22] I. Sunagawa, S. Tomura, Amer. Miner. 61 (1976) 939-943.
- [23] A. Kaya, Y. Yukselen, Can. Geotech. J. 42 (2005) 1280-1289.
- [24] F. Rao, F.J. Ramirez-Acosta, R.J. Sanchez-Leija, S. Song, A. Lopez-Valdivieso, Appl. Clay Sci. 51 (2011) 38-42.
- [25] R. Greenwood, K. Kendall, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 19 (1999) 479-488.
- [26] B.L. Sherriff, H.D. Grundy, J.S. Hartman, European J. Mineralogy 3 (1991) 751-768.
- [27] J. Sanz, J.M. Serratosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4790-4793.

Table 1.

DTA and TG data.

	DTA	TG (25°-300°C)		
	T (° C)	%	molec H ₂ O/cation	
Na-Mica-4	76.0	6.68	0.79	
Na-Mica-4-S1	75.7	6.41	0.76	
Na-Mica-4-S2	77.8	6.62	0.78	

Table 2.

Cumulated particle size at 50% distribution, polydispersity index, surface areas (BET and microporous) and zeta potential.

	D _{50%}	PI	S _{BET}	S_{μ}	Z-potential
	(µm)		(m^2/g)	(m ² /g)	(mV)
Na-Mica-4	16.1	5.4	6.2	0.6	-50.9
Na-Mica-4-S1	35.0	4.3	5.7	1.6	-14.1
Na-Mica-4-S2	24.8	4.7	5.2	2.7	-31.6

FIGURE CAPTION

Fig. 1. XRD of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite. s= sodalite (PDF 00-002-0052), and, f= MgNaF₃ (PDF 04-015-3231).

Fig. 2. ¹H MAS NMR spectra of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite.

Fig. 3. SEM micrography of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, and, c) Na-Mica-4-S2.

Fig. 4. ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra (left) of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite and the percentage of the Q³(nAl) Si environments (right).

Fig. 5. ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite.

Figure 1





Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Fig. S1. Particle Size distribution of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, and, c) Na-Mica-4-S2.

