Condensed matter realization of the axial magnetic effect

Maxim N. Chernodub,^{1,2} Alberto Cortijo,³ Adolfo G. Grushin,⁴ Karl Landsteiner,⁵ and María A. H. Vozmediano³

¹CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, Université François-Rabelais Tours, Fédération Denis Poisson,

Parc de Grandmont, F-37200 Tours, France

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Gent, Krijgslaan 281, S9, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

³Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, CSIC, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 3, Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

⁴Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

⁵Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Nicolás Cabrera 13-15, Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

(Received 5 November 2013; revised manuscript received 10 February 2014; published 26 February 2014)

The axial magnetic effect, i.e., the generation of an energy current parallel to an axial magnetic field coupling with opposite signs to left- and right-handed fermions, is a nondissipative transport phenomenon intimately related to the gravitational contribution to the axial anomaly. An axial magnetic field emerges naturally in condensed matter in so-called Weyl semimetals. We present a measurable implementation of the axial magnetic effect. We show that the edge states of a Weyl semimetal at finite temperature possess a temperature dependent angular momentum in the direction of the vector potential intrinsic to the system. Such a realization provides a plausible context for the experimental confirmation of the elusive gravitational anomaly.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.89.081407

PACS number(s): 75.10.Lp, 75.30.Ds

Anomalies have played an important role in the construction of consistent quantum field theory (QFT) and string theory models. Among them, the most intensively investigated case is that of the axial anomaly, which is responsible for the decay of a neutral pion into two photons [1]. Similarly, in a curved space, gravitational anomalies [2] can occur and mixed axialgravitational anomalies give rise to very interesting predictions as to the decay of the pion into two gravitons. While the former phenomenon is by now well established, experimental settings providing evidence of the gravitational anomaly are lacking. More recently anomalies are starting to play an interesting role as being responsible for exotic transport phenomena in QFT in extreme conditions. In the context of the quark-gluon plasma [3] it has become clear in recent years that, at finite temperature and density, quantum anomalies give rise to new nondissipative transport phenomena.

The recognition of the role of topology in the classification of condensed matter systems started a long time ago with the prototypical example set by liquid helium [4]. The low energy excitations of He₃ are described by Dirac fermions, which made the system an interesting analog to study high energy phenomena. In this century, the advent of new materials (graphene, topological insulators and superconductors [5,6], and Weyl semimetals) whose low energy electronic properties are described by Dirac fermions in one, two, or three spatial dimensions has enlarged and widened the analogy between high energy and condensed matter. Simultaneously, new experiments on the quark-gluon plasma and recent developments in holography have opened an unexpected scenario where high energy and condensed matter physics merge. In this Rapid Communication we propose a condensed matter scenario for the experimental realization of the gravitational anomaly.

The most commonly cited example of the new nondissipative transport phenomena occurring in the quark-gluon plasma is the chiral magnetic effect [7], which refers to the generation of an electric current parallel to a magnetic field whenever an imbalance between the number of right- and left-handed fermions is present. Another interesting example is the axial magnetic effect (AME), which is associated with the generation of an energy current parallel to an axial magnetic field, i.e., a magnetic field coupling with opposite signs to the right- and left-handed fermions. As will be described later, the AME conductivity has a contribution proportional to the temperature which is directly related to the gravitational anomaly. In this Rapid Communication we argue that although an axial gauge field is absent in nature at a microscopic and fundamental level, it can easily appear in an effective low energy theory describing Weyl semimetals. We also provide a possible setup to ascertain the temperature dependent component of the AME conductivity, which directly probes the gravitational anomaly.

Weyl semimetals. To set up the notation used throughout this Rapid Communication and for completeness, here we will explain the low energy description of Weyl semimetals [8,9]. As a working definition, Weyl semimetals are materials for which their low energy degrees of freedom are described by (3+1)-dimensional [(3+1)D] Weyl fermions, i.e., twocomponent spinor solutions of the Weyl Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbf{k}} =$ $\pm \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}$. In (3+1) dimensions, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ and \mathbf{k} is the three-dimensional momentum. The \pm signs correspond to the two chiralities (left and right) of a Weyl spinor. In lattice systems Weyl fermions must appear in pairs of opposite chiralities due to the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [10]. They will be, in general, separated in momentum space and also shifted in energies. Since they can only annihilate in pairs, the separation in momentum space endows the nodes with a notion of topological stability [8,9].

Experimentally, magnetically doped Bi₂Se₃ and TlBiSe₂ [11–13] can be a feasible route to realize the Weyl semimetal phase [14]. Several band-structure calculations [15–18] have predicted band touching to occur in Cd₃As₂ and A₃Bi (A = Na, K, Rb) compounds. Very recently there has been remarkable experimental evidence [19–21] of such a 3D Dirac semimetal state in this family of materials, which, under magnetic doping, would potentially host the Weyl semimetal phase. In addition, heterostructures that alternate

between trivial and topological insulators have been realized experimentally [22]. These could be coated with ferromagnetic insulators, as was experimentally demonstrated for a single layer [23], closer in spirit to the early proposal considered in Ref. [9]. This latter case realizes the minimal number of nodes (two), and so the characteristics of the Weyl semimetal phase are taken into account by the following low energy action [24–28]:

$$S = \int d^4k \bar{\psi}_k (\gamma^\mu k_\mu - b_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma_5) \psi_k, \qquad (1)$$

where $k^{\mu} = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ is the momentum four-momentum, b_{μ} is a constant four-vector, and ψ_k is a four-component spinor. The vector b_{μ} has a physical origin; the spatial part **b** breaks time-reversal symmetry and preserves inversion, and can be induced by doping the system with magnetic impurities [9]. The timelike component b_0 , on the other hand, breaks inversion and preserves time reversal, and can originate in a particular spin-orbit coupling term [27]. As a consequence, the energy spectrum for this case results in two Weyl nodes separated by $\Delta \mathbf{k} = 2\mathbf{b}$ and $\Delta E = 2b_0$ in momentum and energy, respectively ($\Delta k^{\mu} = 2b^{\mu}$ in a more compact notation). Here we will take this action as a starting point to describe the low energy physics of Weyl semimetals.

Before doing so, it is important to address the regime of validity for this action. In real Weyl semimetal materials, the two chiralities of the Weyl fermions will mix at higher energies above some characteristic energy scale m^2 , when $b^2 \sim m^2$ with $b^2 = b_0^2 - \mathbf{b}^2$. Above this energy, a different band structure takes over and one cannot model the system with isolated Weyl nodes. In that case a simple extension of (1) can be used to take into account the new energy scale, in particular [26],

$$S = \int d^4k \bar{\psi}_k (\gamma^\mu k_\mu - m - b_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma_5) \psi_k, \qquad (2)$$

which can be directly derived from microscopic models [26,29] and resembles a Lorentz breaking quantum electrodynamics (QED) action [26]. Contrary to the naive expectation, the spectrum of (2) needs not be gapped even when $m \neq 0$, i.e., the gapless and massless attributes are no longer interchangeable. In fact, whenever the condition $-b^2 < m^2$ is satisfied, the spectrum is gapped and the system is an insulator.¹ In the opposite case when $-b^2 > m^2$, the spectrum is gapless and contains two nodes separated both in momentum and energy (see Fig. 1). Therefore, in the latter case, the material realizes the Weyl semimetal phase. The separation between nodes in the latter case is proportional to the four-vector $\Delta k^{\mu} \sim b^{\mu} \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2}{b^2}}$.

Both (1) and (2) lead to interesting predictions, such as the presence of surface states in the form of Fermi arcs [8,9], a Hall response [9], as well as a current response parallel to an external magnetic field [25–28], an analog of the chiral magnetic effect [7], although the realization of the latter is still under active debate [26–32].





FIG. 1. (Color online) Dispersion relation from (2) with $m \neq 0$ and (a) $-b^2 < m^2$, including $b^{\mu} = 0$, (b) $b^i \neq 0$ and $b_0 = 0$ with $-b^2 > m^2$, and (c) $m \neq 0$, $b^i = 0$, and $b_0 \neq 0$. This case always satisfies $-b^2 < m^2$ for any value of b_0 . (d) $b^{\mu} \neq 0$ with $-b^2 > m^2$.

The exact magnitude of *m* will depend on the particular realization of the Weyl semimetal phase. A way to estimate its magnitude is by realizing the Weyl semimetal phase by closing the gap of a topological insulator simply by adding magnetic impurities which break time-reversal symmetry [14]. In the process, the single particle gap of the topological insulator *m* closes while interpolating from a situation with $-b^2 < m^2$ to the Weyl semimetal phase with $-b^2 > m^2$. In this simple picture the value of *m* is as large as the gap of the original topological insulator, which for Bi₂Se₃ is close to ~0.3 eV [33–35]. This gives an upper bound for *m*, although in general one can expect it to be smaller.

We now address the question of how the low energy description (1) generates a finite AME in a Weyl semimetal. The axial magnetic effect describes the generation of an *energy* current parallel to an axial magnetic field \mathbf{B}_5 (i.e., a magnetic field coupling with opposite signs to the left and right fermions) in a system of massless Dirac fermions in (3+1) dimensions at finite temperature and chemical potential,

$$T^{0i} = J^i_{\epsilon} = \sigma_{\text{AME}} B^i_5. \tag{3}$$

A Landau level picture of this effect can be obtained by adapting the derivation of the chiral magnetic effect in terms of the Landau levels that was done in Ref. [36]. Figure 2 shows a schematic view of the effect. The spectrum of massless Dirac fermions in an axial magnetic field is organized into Landau levels. In the lowest Landau level the spins and momenta are aligned according to chirality. The chiral magnetic field acts with a relative sign on the right- and left-handed fields $\Psi_{R;L}$. The particle quanta of the right-handed field have their spin aligned with the magnetic field, whereas the antiparticle quanta of Ψ_R have their spin antialigned. For the quanta of the left-handed field these relations are reversed, as is the sign of the magnetic field. Therefore, in the absence of any imbalance of either charge or chirality, all quanta have their momenta aligned in the background of an axial magnetic field and create an energy flux in the direction of the chiral magnetic field. The energy flow is higher the more quanta are on the shell, i.e., the higher the temperature. The higher Landau levels are

¹Note the important point that when b^{μ} is purely timelike, the spectrum is gapped for any value of b_0 . This rules out the possibility of the chiral magnetic effect for a purely timelike b^{μ} [26].



FIG. 2. (Color online) The lowest Landau level picture of the axial magnetic effect. Here, L and R represent the two different chiralities, and \pm indicates the charge of the particle (antiparticle) with momentum **p**. The vector **s** shows the direction of spin for each type of particle.

degenerate in spin and therefore their overall momenta average out to zero.

From Refs. [37,38] it follows that for a single (massless) Dirac fermion, i.e., one pair of Weyl cones, the axial magnetic conductivity is

$$\sigma_{\rm AME} = \frac{\mu^2 + \mu_5^2}{4\pi^2} + \frac{T^2}{12},\tag{4}$$

where T, μ , and μ_5 are the temperature, chemical potential, and axial chemical potential, respectively. Of particular interest is the fact that this conductivity has a purely temperature dependent contribution, i.e., even at zero density and in the absence of a chiral imbalance, the AME is not zero. The coefficient of the T^2 term can be inferred from purely hydrodynamic arguments [39–41], and has been computed recently in lattice simulations of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [42,43]. This temperature dependence is a direct consequence of the presence of the (mixed) axial-gravitational anomaly [37,41]

$$\partial_{\mu}J_{5}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{384\pi^{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} R^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu\nu} R^{\beta}_{\alpha\rho\lambda}, \qquad (5)$$

where J_5^{μ} is the axial current and $R^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu\nu}$ is curvature tensor.

Next we show the generation of a net angular momentum carried by the surface states (the Fermi arcs) of a Weyl semimetal due to the AME. The simplest action capturing the features of a neutral ($\mu = \mu_5 = 0$) Weyl semimetal is (1),

$$S = \int d^4k \bar{\psi}_k (\gamma^\mu k_\mu - b_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma_5) \psi_k, \qquad (6)$$

where b_{μ} acts as a chiral gauge potential. For a Weyl semimetal b_i is constant in the bulk and goes to zero sharply at the edge so there will be a strong effective axial magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_5 = \nabla \times \mathbf{b}$ induced there. This in turn implies that through the AME, T^{0i} can generate a finite angular momentum for the states at the boundary. Consider a cylinder of Weyl semimetal of height *L* and basal radius *a* with the simplest configuration $\mathbf{b} = \hat{z}b_z\Theta(a - |r|)$. The axial magnetic field will point in the azimuthal direction and be proportional to $B_{\theta} \sim b_z \delta(|r| - a)$. The corresponding energy current (3) will induce an angular momentum $L_k = \int_V \varepsilon_{ijk} x_i T_{0j}$ along the axis of the cylinder

(of volume \mathcal{V}):

$$L_z = \int_{\mathcal{V}} \varepsilon_{zr\theta} r T_{0\theta} = 2\pi \sigma_{\text{AME}} a^2 L b_z.$$
(7)

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 89, 081407(R) (2014)

Plugging in expression (4) for σ_{AME} it follows that, at zero density and in the absence of a chiral imbalance, the states at the edge of the cylinder possess an angular momentum of magnitude

$$L_z = \frac{N_f}{6} T^2 b_z \mathcal{V},\tag{8}$$

where N_f is the number of pairs of Weyl cones, and b_z is the effective axial potential proportional to the separation of the Weyl cones in momentum space [9].

Notice that, although we have assumed a constant b_z to simplify the formulas, any spatial variation of b_i in the bulk would give rise to an effective axial magnetic field and to an energy current supported in the bulk. This is an important difference from previous models [24,44] where the current is intrinsically an edge current. In a physical realization of the type discussed in Ref. [9], the axial field originates in the magnetization of the induced dopants and can be easily chosen to be inhomogeneous.

A direct observation of the rotation is hindered by the fact that only the edge states carry the angular momentum and the dissipationless rotation will not drag the ions of the lattice with it. As explained below, the distinctive characteristic that might allow its detection is the explicit T^2 coefficient coming from the axial magnetic effect.

To be specific, we will focus on the physical realization of a Weyl semimetal proposed in Refs. [9,25] discussed above. It has two Weyl modes, although our proposal is extensible to other possible realizations of this phase with a larger number of Weyl nodes. In Ref. [26] it was shown that the low energy action of the model in real space is the action (2), which reduces to (1).

Consider the cylinder in isolation and suspended as sketched in Fig. 3. If the system, initially at a given temperature T_i , is heated to $T_f = T_i + \Delta T$, the angular momentum due to the AME will increase. Since the total angular momentum is conserved, the cylinder has to rotate in the opposite direction



FIG. 3. (Color online) Sketch of the proposed setup to measure the rotation described in the text. A Weyl semimetal will rotate under heating or cooling through the axial magnetic effect.

to compensate. The change in angular velocity is given by the change in angular momentum $\Delta L_z = I \Delta \omega_z$ through

$$\Delta\omega_z = -\frac{N_f b_z}{3\rho a^2} (2T\Delta T + \Delta T^2), \qquad (9)$$

where we used that the moment of inertia of the cylinder of mass M is $I = \frac{1}{2}Ma^2$.

The magnitude of **b** is determined by the expectation value of the magnetization of the induced dopants and can be estimated to be of order 0.01-0.1 eV. Restoring the appropriate constants \hbar and $v_F \sim 10^{-3}c$ and for conservative values of the magnitudes a = 1 mm, T = 10 K, $\rho = 10$ g/cm³, we get an estimate of the angular velocity of $\omega \sim 10^{-13} - 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Although small, this rotation can in principle be detected by standard optical devices [45] or torque experiments. The angular velocity can increase considerably by increasing the temperature interval, but this is bound by the magnetic structure of the Weyl semimetal. Insulating ferromagnets such as the rare earth oxide EuO have Curie temperatures of 60-70 K, however, a recent a recent publication [46] showed that antiferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic materials can also be used to obtain the Weyl semimetal that would allow one to increase the values up to room temperature. It is also to be noticed that the Fermi velocity will play the role of the speed of light in the conversion factors. A lower value of v_F greatly enlarges the angular velocity. This is expected since the present effect is of thermal origin. Thus, for small values of v_F , it is easier to thermally populate states with a higher momentum p. Since p determines the energy current and thus the angular momentum, it is reasonable to expect that the effect gets enhanced as v_F becomes smaller simply because it costs less energy to populate states with higher *p*.

The spontaneous generation of angular momentum and an edge current are typical phenomena in parity-violating physics, as occurs, for instance, in the *A* phase of helium-3 [4] (see also Ref. [47]). Our model adds great versatility to these cases since in the Weyl semimetal case one can construct a lattice model with a spatially dependent b_i vector in real space, without any need to invoke the distance between the Fermi points [9]. The space variation of the axial field is linked to the distribution of the magnetic impurities and can be easily manipulated to design an experiment.

A similar energy current with temperature scaling T^2 as the one described in this work was obtained in Ref. [44] in a two-dimensional model, but this is intrinsically formulated

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 89, 081407(R) (2014)

as an edge current while ours is a bulk effect. Although for simplicity we have chosen an example where the effective axial magnetic field only exists at the edge of the sample, in our case any spatial variation of b_i will give rise to an energy current with support in the region where the axial magnetic field is nonzero. On a more formal level, it is worth noticing that the existence of an energy current in Ref. [44] is traced back to the presence of a two-dimensional pure gravitational anomaly whereas in our work the current is due to the fourdimensional mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly, which is the deeper reason why the axial magnetic effect is essentially a bulk phenomenon.

The anomaly related responses discussed in this work are very hard to measure in the context of the quark-gluon plasma. Although there are indirect indications of the observation of the chiral magnetic effect, for instance, in the ALICE detector of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [48], at the moment there are no proposals for experiments that can directly observe the axial magnetic effect [49]. This is due to the absence of axial magnetic fields in the high energy experiments. In this sense it is interesting to note that these are quite common in the effective low energy models of condensed matter systems. An axial magnetic field arises from lattice deformations in graphene in (2+1) dimensions, from which a mixed gravitational-deformation anomaly effect has been recently proposed [50].

A nonzero angular momentum density has been described recently in a three-dimensional conformal field theory [51] within a holographic model. A dimensional reduction of the system proposed here will probably give the same result, providing a backup for the somewhat obscure holographic ideas.

To conclude, we have shown that the AME gives rise to rotation in Weyl semimetals upon heating or cooling due to an intrinsic axial magnetic field present in these systems. This effect is determined by the thermal component of the AME, a direct consequence of the elusive gravitational anomaly, impossible to probe in high energy contexts.

We thank F. Guinea for discussions and Jens H. Bardarson for a critical reading of the manuscript. Special thanks are given to G. Volovik for calling our attention to previous works on the subject. This research was supported by following grants: FIS2011-23713, PIB2010BZ-00512, FPA2012-32828, CPAN (CSD2007-00042), HEP-HACOS S2009/ESP-1473, SEV-2012-0249, and ANR-10-JCJC-0408 HYPERMAG. A.C. acknowledges the JAE-doc European-Spanish program.

- [1] B. L. Ioffe, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 21, 6249 (2006).
- [2] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 234, 269 (1984).
- [3] H. Satz, Nucl. Phys. A 862, 4 (2011).
- [4] G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet* (Clarendon, Oxford, UK, 2003).
- [5] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [6] X. Qi and S. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).
- [7] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. J. Warringa, Phys. Rev. D 78, 074033 (2008).
- [8] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).
- [9] A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 127205 (2011).
- [10] H. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B 185, 20 (1981).

- [11] Y. L. Chen, J.-H. Chu, J. G. Analytis, Z. K. Liu, K. Igarashi, H.-H. Kuo, X. L. Qi, S. K. Mo, R. G. Moore, D. H. Lu *et al.*, Science **329**, 659 (2010).
- [12] L. A. Wray, S. Xu, Y. Xia, D. Hsieh, A. V. Fedorov, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, A. Bansil, H. Lin, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Phys. 7, 32 (2011).
- [13] C.-Z. Chang, J. Zhang, M. Liu, Z. Zhang, X. Feng, K. Li, L.-L. Wang, X. Chen, X. Dai, Z. Fang *et al.*, Adv. Mater. **25**, 1065 (2013).
- [14] C.-X. Liu, P. Ye, and X.-L. Qi, Phys. Rev. B 87, 235306 (2013).
- [15] S. M. Young, S. Zaheer, J. C. Y. Teo, C. L. Kane, E. J. Mele, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 140405 (2012).
- [16] Z. Wang, Y. Sun, X.-Q. Chen, C. Franchini, G. Xu, H. Weng, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. B 85, 195320 (2012).
- [17] Z. Wang, H. Weng, Q. Wu, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. B 88, 125427 (2013).
- [18] J. A. Steinberg, S. M. Young, S. Zaheer, C. L. Kane, E. J. Mele, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 036403 (2014).
- [19] S. Borisenko, Q. Gibson, D. Evtushinsky, V. Zabolotnyy, B. Buechner, and R. J. Cava, arXiv:1309.7978.
- [20] M. Neupane, S. Xu, R. Sankar, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, C. Liu, I. Belopolski, T.-R. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, H. Lin *et al.*, arXiv:1309.7892.
- [21] Z. K. Liu, B. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Z. J. Wang, H. M. Weng, D. Prabhakaran, S.-K. Mo, Z. X. Shen, Z. Fang, X. Dai *et al.*, Science 343, 864 (2014).
- [22] K. Nakayama, K. Eto, Y. Tanaka, T. Sato, S. Souma, T. Takahashi, K. Segawa, and Y. Ando, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 236804 (2012).
- [23] P. Wei, F. Katmis, B. A. Assaf, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, D. Heiman, and J. S. Moodera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 186807 (2013).
- [24] F. Klinkhamer and G. Volovik, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20, 2795 (2005).
- [25] A. A. Zyuzin, S. Wu, and A. A. Burkov, Phys. Rev. B 85, 165110 (2012).

- [26] A. G. Grushin, Phys. Rev. D 86, 045001 (2012).
- [27] A. A. Zyuzin and A. A. Burkov, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115133 (2012).

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 89, 081407(R) (2014)

- [28] P. Goswami and S. Tewari, Phys. Rev. B 88, 245107 (2013).
- [29] M. M. Vazifeh and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 027201 (2013).
- [30] Y. Chen, S. Wu, and A. A. Burkov, Phys. Rev. B 88, 125105 (2013).
- [31] G. Basar, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. Yee, Phys. Rev. B 89, 035142 (2014).
- [32] K. Landsteiner, Phys. Rev. B 89, 075124 (2014).
- [33] H. Zhang et al., Nat. Phys. 5, 438 (2009).
- [34] Y. Xia et al., Nat. Phys. 5, 398 (2009).
- [35] D. Hsieh et al., Nature (London) 460, 1101 (2009).
- [36] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. J. Warringa, Nucl. Phys. Rev. A 836, 311 (2010).
- [37] K. Landsteiner, E. Megías, and F. Peña-Benítez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 021601 (2011).
- [38] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 22, 3080 (1980).
- [39] D. T. Son and P. Surówka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 191601 (2009).
- [40] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2011) 011.
- [41] K. Jensen, R. Loganayagam, and A. Yarom, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2013) 088.
- [42] V. Braguta, M. N. Chernodub, K. Landsteiner, M. I. Polikarpov, and M. V. Ulybyshev, Phys. Rev. D 88, 071501 (2013).
- [43] P. V. Buividovich, arXiv:1309.4966.
- [44] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).
- [45] P. Shi and E. Stijns, Appl. Opt. 27, 4342 (2013).
- [46] L. Oroszlany and A. Cortijo, Phys. Rev. B 86, 195427 (2012).
- [47] J. A. Sauls, Phys. Rev. B 84, 214509 (2011).
- [48] B. Abelev (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **720**, 52 (2013).
- [49] D. E. Kharzeev and D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 062301 (2011).
- [50] A. Vaezi, N. Abedpour, R. Asgari, A. Cortijo, and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Phys. Rev. B 88, 125406 (2013).
- [51] H. Liu, H. Ooguri, B. Stoica, and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 211601 (2013).