

1 **Effects of different sources of fat (calcium soap of palm oil vs.**
2 **extruded linseed) in lactating ewes diet on the fatty acid profile of**
3 **their suckling lambs**

4

5 **Authors:**

6 **P. Gómez-Cortés^a, B. Gallardo^b, A.R. Mantecón^c, M. Juárez^a, M.A. de la Fuente^a, T.**
7 **Manso^{b*}**

8

9 ^a Instituto de Investigación de Ciencias Alimentación (CSIC-UAM), 28049 Madrid (Spain)

10 ^b ETS Ingenierías Agrarias. Universidad de Valladolid. 34004 Palencia (Spain)

11 ^c Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (CSIC-ULE), 24346 Grulleros, León (Spain)

12 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 979 108367; fax: +34 979 108202. E-mail address:

13 tmanso@agro.uva.es (T. Manso).

14

15

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of supplementing lactating ewe
18 diets with extruded linseed on the fatty acid (FA) composition of intramuscular and
19 subcutaneous fat depots of suckling lambs. Twenty-four pregnant Churra ewes were divided
20 into two groups based on the milk production, age, body weight and parity, and assigned to
21 one of two treatments. Each ewe of the control treatment was supplemented with 70 g/day of
22 FAs from a calcium soap of palm oil, while the **other treatment group (Lin)** was supplemented
23 with 128 g/day of extruded linseed. All lambs were reared exclusively on milk and were
24 slaughtered when they reached 11 kg live weight. FA profiles of ewe milk, lamb meat and
25 subcutaneous adipose tissue were determined by GC. Lamb performance was not affected by
26 the treatments. **Muscle** fat and adipose tissue from the Lin treatment showed higher
27 proportions of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). The percentages of α -linolenic acid
28 (C18:3 n-3), docosahexaenoic (C22:6 n-3), vaccenic (*trans*-11 C18:1) and rumenic (*cis*-9,
29 *trans*-11 C18:2) acids **in both fat depots** were higher in Lin than in Control suckling lambs.
30 Furthermore, meat fat from Lin carcasses displayed a lower n-6/n-3 ratio than control
31 samples. Intramuscular depots clearly showed a greater content of PUFA, including *cis*-9,
32 *trans*-11 C18:2, and a lower n-6/n-3 ratio than subcutaneous fat. The results from this study
33 demonstrate that dietary extruded linseed supplementation of lactating ewes enhances the
34 nutritional quality of suckling lamb fat depots such as intramuscular and subcutaneous fat.

35

36 *Keywords:* Suckling lamb; fatty acid; intramuscular; subcutaneous; meat; extruded linseed

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 **1. Introduction**

44 Suckling lamb meat is widely consumed in some geographical areas of the world such as
45 in Mediterranean countries and is an important commodity in the north of Spain. These lambs,
46 reared exclusively on dam milk, are slaughtered at 30-35 days of age and usually at 10-12 kg
47 of body weight. Lamb producers are trying to adapt their product to consumer preferences in
48 order to enhance sales. In recent years there has been a growing interest in healthy food and
49 more specifically in increasing the n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) and conjugated
50 linoleic acid (CLA) content in meat (Raes, De Smet & Demeyer, 2004; Schmid, Collomb,
51 Sieber & Bee, 2006; Wood et al., 2008).

52

53 It is well documented that the n-3 PUFAs have different beneficial effects on neural
54 function, reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, and manifest anti-inflammatory activity
55 and lipid lowering potential (Simopoulos, 2008; Kaur, Cameron-Smith, Garg & Sinclair.,
56 2011). On the other hand, the most important isomer of CLA in ruminants, *cis*-9, *trans*-11
57 C18:2 (rumenic acid, RA), is thought to have anticarcinogenic and antiatherosclerotic
58 properties (Lock, Kraft, Rice & Bauman, 2009). Furthermore, *trans*-11 C18:1 (vaccenic acid,
59 VA), the major *trans* fatty acid (FA) in ruminant fats and the precursor of RA in tissues, may
60 also impart additional health benefits to those associated with this CLA isomer (Field,
61 Blewett, Proctor & Vine, 2009).

62

63 In humans, ruminant derived foods represent the major dietary source of CLA, with meat
64 accounting for about 25%. Moreover, the highest CLA content in meat has been found in
65 lamb (Bauman et al., 2006; Schmid et al., 2006). Meat FA composition depends on several
66 factors, with diet being one on the most relevant (Raes et al., 2004; Schmid et al., 2006; Wood
67 et al., 2008). Suckling lambs are considered as being functional monogastric from a digestive
68 point of view, as their reticular groove functionality prevents milk from passing into the
69 rumen, so there is no ruminal biohydrogenation of the milk FAs before intestinal absorption.
70 Therefore, changes in milk FA composition due to supplements in the dam diet, can induce
71 important differences in the FA profile of the meat and fat depots of the suckling lamb (Lanza

72 et al., 2006; Osorio, Zumalacárregui, Figueira & Mateo, 2007; Manso, Bodas, Vieira,
73 Mantecón & Castro, 2011).

74

75 Several strategies have been tested in recent years to improve the FA profile of ewe fat,
76 focused on enhancing the content of VA, RA and C18:3 n-3 (α -linolenic acid, ALA) in
77 derived foods. Fresh pasture has been shown to be an excellent source of ALA to increase this
78 FA in milk (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009a) and subsequently in suckling lamb meat fat (Scerra
79 et al., 2007). When fresh pasture is not available, linseed supplementation (oil or seed) is a
80 reliable alternative feeding strategy to enrich the VA, RA and n-3 PUFA content in milk fat
81 from ewes (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009b; Bodas et al., 2010; Mele et al., 2011). Nevertheless,
82 dietary fat rich in PUFA, like linseed supplements, may significantly alter the ruminal
83 microbial ecosystem (Palmquist & Jenkins, 1980) and may negatively affect milk production
84 (Palmquist, Lock, Shingfield & Bauman, 2005). Vegetable oils have a more depressing effect
85 on ruminal digestion than oilseeds, and processed oilseeds (extruded, rolled, micronized,
86 roasted...) are more effective at increasing milk CLA content than raw seeds but less efficient
87 than free oil (Doreau, Aurousseay & Martin, 2009a; Doreau, Laverroux, Normand, Chesneau
88 & Glasser, 2009b). Extrusion, the most common technique used, has been proposed in order
89 to decrease ruminal degradability and reduce the negative effects of PUFAs on the ruminal
90 environment (Mughetti et al., 2007).

91 The information available on the transfer of healthy FAs from ewes milk to suckling
92 lambs when the dam's diet is supplemented with linseed is limited (Manso et al., 2011;
93 Berthelot, Das, Pottier & Normand et al., 2012). It could be hypothesized that feeding diets
94 enriched with ALA to lactating ewes improve the content of this omega-3 FA as well as their
95 long chain metabolites in young sucking lambs. So, the aim of the present work was to
96 investigate whether the supplementation of Churra ewe diet with extruded linseed would be a
97 suitable strategy for improving the intramuscular and subcutaneous FA composition of their
98 suckling lambs, without detrimentally affecting animal performance. Calcium soap of palm
99 oil was used as a control because it is a saturated fat commonly used in sheep feeding
100 (Doreau, Laverroux, Normand, Chesneau & Glasser, 2012).

101

102 **2. Material and methods**

103 *2.1. Animal and experimental diets*

104 Twenty-four pregnant Churra ewes (mean BW 58.56 ± 1.685 kg) were selected before
105 lambing and fed the same control diet that they received during the experimental period but
106 without added fat. Two days after lambing, each ewe based on their milk production, age, BW
107 and parity in randomization was assigned to one of two experimental diets (12 ewes per
108 treatment).

109 Each ewe was individually fed and a total of 2.1 kg DM of the corresponding experimental
110 diet was supplied twice a day, plus 210 g of barley straw/ewe/day and fresh water *ad libitum*.
111 Each ewe consumed the whole amount of TMR supplied daily.

112 Samples of diets were taken once a week during the whole experimental period for the
113 determination of chemical composition using the AOAC (2003). The ingredients and
114 chemical composition of the experimental diets are given in Table 1.

115 The newborn lambs (12 per treatment), covered by the protected geographical indication
116 ‘Lechazo de Castilla y León’, were housed with their respective mothers all day long and
117 were fed exclusively by suckling throughout the experimental period. All animal handling
118 practices followed the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council
119 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

120

121 *2.2. Milk sampling and composition*

122 The ewes were milked once a day in a 2 x 24 low-line Casse system milking parlour, with
123 twelve milking units and two milkers. The milking machine (Alfa-Laval Iberia, S.A., Madrid,
124 Spain) was set to provide 180 pulsations per minute in a 50:50 ratio at a vacuum level of 36
125 kPa. Once a week, individual ewe milk production was recorded and samples were taken in
126 milk collection jars. One sub-sample of milk was kept at 4°C until analysed for fat and
127 protein, in accordance with the International Dairy Federation (IDF, 2000), using a
128 MilkoScan-400 analyser (Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). Aliquots from weeks 2 and 4 of
129 the experimental period were stored at -80°C for FA analysis.

130

131 2.3. Slaughter procedure, carcass and meat measurements

132 Lambs were weighed twice a week until they reached the intended body weight (11 kg).
133 At the conclusion of the trial, 2 or 3 suckling lambs from each group were transported to a
134 commercial EU-licensed abattoir on 4 different days and slaughtered ($26,6 \pm 4,60$ days of
135 age). At the abattoir, the live weight of the suckling lambs was recorded, the lambs were
136 slaughtered and carcasses were immediately transferred to a cooler at 4°C. After 24 hours,
137 carcasses were weighed again (cold carcass weight, CCW) and chilling losses were calculated
138 as the difference between hot carcass weight (HCW) and CCW expressed as a proportion of
139 the initial HCW. Dressing percentage was calculated as the ratio of CCW to slaughter live
140 weight. Samples tissues of *m. Longissimus dorsi* (dissected from between the 6th and the 13th
141 rib) and subcutaneous dorsal fat (dissected from the rump) were frozen at -80°C until FA
142 analyses.

143

144 2.4. Fatty acid analysis

145 Milk fat was extracted following the method described by Luna, Juárez & De la Fuente
146 (2005) and intramuscular fat using the method described by Bligh & Dyer (1959).
147 Subcutaneous fat was extracted by fusion of individual samples.

148

149 Milk FA composition (individual samples from week 2 and 4 of the suckling period) and
150 fat depots (intramuscular and subcutaneous) were determined by gas-liquid chromatography.
151 Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were prepared according to ISO-IDF (2002). Analysis of
152 FAME was performed on a gas-liquid chromatograph (Agilent 6890 N Network System) onto
153 a CP-Sil 88 fused silica capillary column (100 m X 0.25 mm, Varian, Middelburg,
154 Netherlands) under similar conditions to those reported by Luna, Bach, Juárez & De la Fuente
155 (2008). Individual FAME quantification was performed using a milk fat with known
156 composition (CRM 164; European Community Bureau of Reference, Brussels, Belgium).
157 Individual FAs were identified by comparison with standards distributed by Nu-Chek
158 (Elysian, MN, USA), while *trans*-11 *cis*-15 C18:2, *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:2, *cis*-9 *trans*-11
159 *cis*-15 C18:3 (Rumelenic acid, CLnA) and *cis*-9 *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:3 were identified

160 using a methodology as described by others (Gómez-Cortés, Tyburczy, Brenna, Juárez & De
161 la Fuente, 2009c).

162

163 Desaturase indices were calculated as follows: 14:1 desaturase index = $C14:1 / (C14:0 +$
164 $C14:1)$, 18:1 desaturase index = $C18:1 / (C18:0 + C18:1)$ and CLA desaturase index = $cis-9,$
165 $trans-11 C18:2 / (cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 + trans-11 C18:1)$.

166

167 2.5. Statistical analysis

168 Average daily gain was estimated by regression of live weight against time, using the REG
169 procedure of SAS (SAS 9.2., SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Data regarding milk yield and
170 composition (FAs included) were analysed by repeated-measures analyses using the MIXED
171 procedure and including the fixed effects of the diet (diet, D), week of sampling (time, T) and
172 their interaction (D × T). The rest of the parameters were statistically analysed by one-way
173 analysis of variance using the general linear model (PROC GLM). The CORR procedure was
174 used to calculate the correlation coefficients of the FAs between milk and fat depots. The
175 statistical significance of differences were defined as *P* values < 0.05 and trends as *P* values <
176 0.10.

177

178 3. Results

179 There were no statistical differences in chemical composition between experimental diets.

180 Average daily milk yield and milk composition of the dams are recorded in Table 2. Milk,
181 fat and protein yields and protein content were not modified (*P* > 0.10) by dietary treatments.
182 However, supplementation with the extruded linseed tended to decreased fat content (*P* <
183 0.10) compared with the Control diet.

184

185 Table 3 shows the FA profile of milk fats from ewes fed Control and Lin diets. There were
186 large differences in milk FA profiles due to the type of fat added to the ewe's diet, whereas
187 the effects of time were limited. Dietary inclusion of extruded linseed increased the

188 percentage of C12:0 ($P < 0.05$), C14:0 ($P < 0.01$) and C18:0 ($P < 0.01$) but reduced the C16:0
189 content ($P < 0.001$) by 25%. Oleic acid was the prevailing mono-unsaturated FA observed in
190 milk fat from both treatments and its content was lower ($P < 0.001$) in ewes fed with the Lin
191 diet. Most of the *trans* C18:1 isomers increased in Lin milk samples, mainly VA (3.5-fold; P
192 < 0.001).

193

194 The percentage of linoleic acid (*cis*-9, *cis*-12 C18:2) was lower ($P < 0.001$) but the
195 proportion of RA and other CLA isomers, i.e. *trans*-11, *cis*-13 C18:2, *trans*-11, *trans*-13
196 C18:2 and *trans*-12, *trans*-14 C18:2, were higher ($P < 0.001$) in the Lin treatment than in
197 Control. RA milk content followed a similar trend to VA, with a 2.4-fold increase when
198 extruded linseed was supplemented, whereas the content of *trans*-10 *cis*-12 isomer was very
199 low with both diets. Among non-conjugated C18:2 isomers, the highest percentage ($P <$
200 0.001) corresponded to the *trans*-11, *cis*-15 in Lin samples.

201

202 The proportion of ALA in milk increased 3-fold with the Lin diet. Moreover, extruded
203 linseed supplementation was accompanied by increases ($P < 0.001$) in CLnA and *cis*-9, *trans*-
204 11, *trans*-15 C18:3, two conjugated isomers of C18:3. The eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5 n-3,
205 EPA), docosapentaenoic acid (C22:5 n-3, DPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6 n-3, DHA)
206 contents were extremely low, as commonly occurs in ruminant milk. However, milk from
207 ewes fed the Lin diet had increased ($P < 0.001$) concentrations of these n-3 FAs but decreased
208 ($P < 0.001$) concentrations of n-6 PUFA (γ -linolenic acid, C20:3, C20:4 and C22:4).
209 Therefore, the n-6/n-3 ratio was the lowest in milk fat from Lin ewes ($P < 0.001$). Finally, as
210 an indirect measurement of desaturase activity, the 18:1 desaturase index was higher ($P <$
211 0.001) in Control than in the Lin group.

212

213 Lamb performance is shown in Table 4. No differences in suckling lamb carcass yield can
214 be attributed to extruded linseed supplementation ($P > 0.05$), though a trend to increase
215 average daily gain were observed. The FA patterns of suckling lamb meat were similar to
216 those of milk from suckled dams (Tables 5 and 6). C16:0 and *cis*-9 C18:1 were the most

217 abundant FAs in intramuscular and subcutaneous fats. For both depots Control lambs
218 registered the greatest concentrations of C16:0 ($P < 0.05$). Concerning *cis*-9 C18:1, a
219 significant decrease ($P < 0.01$) and a trend to diminish ($P < 0.10$) were observed in
220 intramuscular and subcutaneous fats respectively, in carcasses from Lin suckling lambs. Lin
221 lambs had the greatest levels of VA, RA, ALA, and DHA in both fat depots ($P < 0.05$).
222 However, Lin supplementation resulted in a lower n-6/n-3 ratio than in the control diet, ($P <$
223 0.001) this change being lower in intramuscular (2.42 vs. 5.44) than in subcutaneous (3.01 vs.
224 8.32) depots.

225

226 Overall, intramuscular fat was richer in PUFAs than subcutaneous fat, made up of mainly
227 C20:4 n-6 and linoleic acid as well as other n-6 series FAs (Tables 5 and 6). **It is remarkable**
228 **that linoleic acid and its n-6 PUFA metabolites in intramuscular fat were not statistically**
229 **different between control and Lin diets (Table 5).** Furthermore, Lin intramuscular fat
230 displayed the highest concentrations of ALA, RA, EPA, DPA and DHA. 14:1 and CLA
231 desaturase indices were higher in intramuscular than in subcutaneous fat with only one
232 significant reduction ($P < 0.05$) detected for the CLA desaturase index in intramuscular fat of
233 the Lin treatment (Table 5 and 6).

234

235 **Large significant correlations were observed between VA and RA in milk ($r = 0.97$, $P <$**
236 **0.001) and intramuscular fat ($r = 0.97$, $P < 0.001$) while its correlation was less prominent in**
237 **subcutaneous fat ($r = 0.73$, $P < 0.01$). Significant correlations were also detected in milk fat**
238 **between ALA vs. EPA ($r = 0.78$, $P < 0.001$), EPA vs. DPA ($r = 0.76$, $P < 0.001$) and DPA vs.**
239 **DHA ($r = 0.81$, $P < 0.001$). On the other hand, significant correlations were found between**
240 **linoleic, C20:3 n-6, C20:4 n-6 and C22:4 n-6 in intramuscular ($r = 0.63$, $P < 0.01$; $r = 0.79$, P**
241 **< 0.001 and $r = 0.71$, $P < 0.01$) and subcutaneous fat ($r = 0.64$, $P < 0.01$; $r = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$**
242 **and $r = 0.65$, $P < 0.01$).**

243

244 **Positive correlations were observed between milk and intramuscular fat for oleic acid ($r =$**
245 **0.87, $P < 0.001$), *trans*-10 C18:1 ($r = 0.90$, $P < 0.001$), VA ($r = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$), RA ($r = 0.81$,**

246 P < 0.001), ALA (r = 0.93, P < 0.001) and ClnA (r = 0.67, P < 0.01). Following a similar
247 behaviour, significant correlations were also detected between milk and subcutaneous fat for
248 oleic acid (r = 0.84, P < 0.001), *trans*-10 C18:1 (r = 0.94, P < 0.001), VA (r = 0.84, P <
249 0.001), RA (r = 0.74, P < 0.01), ALA (r = 0.82, P < 0.001) and ClnA (r = 0.68, P < 0.01).
250 However, no significant correlations (P > 0.05) were observed between *trans*-10 C18:1 and
251 *trans*-10 *cis*-12 C18:2 in intramuscular and subcutaneous fat depots.

252

253 4. Discussion

254 4.1. Milk yield and composition

255 Milk, fat and protein yields, as well as protein percentages were not influenced by the
256 addition of extruded linseed. A similar trend was reported with linseed oil in sheep (Bodas et
257 al., 2010, Manso et al., 2011). In contrast, Gómez-Cortés et al. (2009b) in ewe milk and
258 Hurtaud et al. (2010) in cow milk observed an increase in milk production and milk fat yield
259 when rations were supplemented with extruded linseed. However these increases could be
260 attributed to the greater dry matter intake of ration or to the extra energy supply of the
261 supplemented rations compared with the unsupplemented ones. In addition, Hurtaud et al.
262 (2010) suggested that the changes in milk fat yield with linseed supplementation appear to be
263 fairly random and relatively uncontrollable. As the diets assayed in the present experiment
264 were iso-energetic and iso-nitrogenous, and the amount of feed offered to the animals was the
265 same, no changes in milk yield and milk composition should be expected.

266 4.2. Milk fatty acid composition

267 The inclusion of extruded linseed in the diet produced significant increases in most of the
268 C18 FA contents, at the expense of a decrease in C16:0 concentration (Table 3). This decrease
269 had to be attributed to the low amount of palmitic acid in extruded linseed compared to its
270 high content in calcium soap of palm oil (Table 1). Furthermore, because C16:0 is partially
271 derived (about 50%) from *de novo* synthesis in the mammary gland, this decrement could
272 also, in part, be due to the effect of long-chain FAs, which can alter the lipogenic gene
273 networks in mammary epithelial cells. In fact, dietary PUFA are bio-hydrogenated in the
274 rumen to form *trans*-FAs, some of which are recognised as potent inhibitors of lipogenesis in
275 the udder (Kadegowda, Bionaz, Piperova, Erdman, & Loor, 2009).

276 The 3-fold increase with the Lin diet in ALA levels as well as the contents of CLnA, *trans*-
277 11, *cis*-15 C18:2, *cis*-15 C18:1, *cis*-9, *trans*-11, *trans*-15 C18:3, *trans*-11, *trans*-15 C18:2 and
278 *trans*-15 C18:1 corresponded to molecules which escaped completed biohydrogenation of that
279 n-3 PUFA in the dam rumen (Destailats, Trottier, Gálvez & Angers, 2005; Gómez-Cortés et
280 al., 2009c; Mele et al., 2011). The observed similar effect of extruded linseed supplementation
281 on milk VA is also consistent with the biohydrogenation pathways for ALA in the rumen,
282 which is first isomerized to CLnA and then sequentially reduced to VA.

283 **RA concentration in milk fat** increased 2.4 fold with extruded linseed supplementation.
284 The extent of this increase, using extruded linseed, was lower than that observed in ewes by
285 Manso et al. (2011) when free linseed oil was used, but higher than that observed in ewes by
286 Zhang, Mustafa & Zhao (2006) using whole raw linseed. The strong correlation between VA
287 and RA confirms the substrate:product relationship for Δ^9 -desaturase. RA in ewe milk fat is
288 not only formed by direct isomerization of linoleic acid in the rumen, but mainly originates
289 from endogenous synthesis from VA via Δ^9 -desaturase in the mammary gland (Bichi et al.,
290 2012). The physical form of the linseed supplement could also contribute to an increase in RA
291 levels because the process of extrusion (physical breakdown and heat-processing of linseed)
292 may help to enhance the availability of ALA to rumen microbiota.

293 According to previous research done with ewes milk (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009b; Bodas
294 et al., 2010) the levels of *trans*-10, *cis*-12 and *trans*-9, *cis*-11 C18:2 were negligible when a
295 ewe's diet was supplemented with high amounts of ALA. However, significant increases ($P <$
296 0.05) in other CLA isomers (*trans*-11 *cis*-13, *trans*-12 *trans*-14, *trans*-11 *trans*-13) observed
297 in the Lin diet are in agreement with previously reported studies of ewes fed on pasture
298 (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009a) or with diets rich in extruded linseed (Gómez-Cortés et al.,
299 2009b; Mele et al., 2011).

300 The increased EPA, DPA and DHA levels observed in Lin treated milk can be attributed to
301 ALA molecules that avoided rumen biohydrogenation and are transferred to the mammary
302 gland. ALA can be metabolized by desaturation and elongation enzymes to form a series of
303 highly unsaturated n-3 long-chain **metabolites**, the major products of this pathway being EPA,
304 DPA and DHA (Simopoulos, 2008; Kaur et al., 2011). The significant correlations between
305 ALA vs. EPA, EPA vs. DPA and DPA vs. DHA support this statement. The noticeable

306 decrease of the n-6/n-3 ratio in milk fat when ewes were supplemented with extruded linseed
307 would be positive from a nutritional point of view (Simopoulos, 2008).

308

309 *4.3. Suckling lamb performance*

310 No changes in lamb performance were observed as a result of adding extruded linseed to
311 their dam's diet (Table 4). Awawdeh, Obeidat & Kridli (2009) and Manso et al. (2011) have
312 reported that changes in suckling lamb performance are mainly related to differences in milk
313 yield, milk fat and protein levels. Because the lambs were fed exclusively on maternal milk, a
314 lack of difference in milk yield and composition would explain the lack of effect on lamb
315 performance.

316

317 *4.4. Intramuscular and subcutaneous fatty acid composition*

318 Regardless of fat deposit, the milk FA profile of the suckled dams exerted significant
319 effects on the meat FA content. This relationship has already been described in suckling
320 lambs (Borys, Borys & Pajak, 2005; Scerra et al., 2007; Osorio et al., 2007; Manso et al.,
321 2011). In these young pre-ruminants, the rumen is not functional yet, so there is no ruminal
322 biohydrogenation of the milk FAs before they are absorbed by the intestine. Therefore,
323 changes in dam milk FA composition due to diet can induce significant differences in the FA
324 profile of meat and fat depots of their suckling lambs. Suckling lambs preferentially
325 incorporate essential FAs (linoleic and ALA) into muscle rather than storing them in adipose
326 tissue because of their important metabolic roles. Additionally, intramuscular fat was more
327 abundant in CLA and had a lower n-6/n-3 ratio therefore exhibiting a better FA profile from a
328 nutritional point of view. The major presence of PUFAs in intramuscular fat had previously
329 been observed for suckling lambs (Cañeque et al., 2005; Osorio et al., 2007; Manso et al.,
330 2011) and is based on a higher phospholipid proportion of these depots (Juárez et al., 2010).

331 The difference in palmitic acid content between suckling lamb carcasses from the Control
332 and Lin groups is explained by the different levels of this FA in milk consumed by lambs.
333 Furthermore, it has also been estimated that during the suckling lambs' first weeks of life,
334 FAs absorbed from the intestine contribute to the majority of the total deposited FAs while *de*

335 *novo* synthesized FAs will supply less than 20% (Osorio et al., 2007). The higher levels of
336 oleic acid in intramuscular fat and the trend to increase in subcutaneous adipose tissue
337 observed in control diet could be attributed to milk intake as the 18:1 desaturase indexes in
338 intramuscular and subcutaneous fats were not significantly modified (tables 5 and 6).

339 The fact that RA is less abundant in subcutaneous depot can be explained by the greater
340 CLA desaturase activity in intramuscular tissue in Control and Lin samples (Tables 5 and 6).
341 Besides, the higher correlation coefficient between RA and VA in intramuscular than in
342 subcutaneous fat would also support greater RA endogenous synthesis in intramuscular tissue.
343 These results coincide with those of Palmquist et al. (2004) who reported a higher
344 endogenous synthesis of RA from VA in muscle than in lamb adipose tissue. In contrast to
345 RA, intestinal absorption of the *trans*-10 *cis*-12 C18:2 is the only pathway involved in the
346 presence of this CLA isomer in ruminant products, as animal tissues do not possess the
347 desaturase enzyme capable of inserting a C12-double bond into the *trans*-10 C18:1 molecule.

348 The presence of ALA and CLnA in muscle and adipose tissues in suckling lambs depends
349 on the milk ALA content which, in turn, is related to the dietary composition of their dams.
350 The strong correlation between CLnA and ALA in both depots again emphasizes the
351 considerable influence that dam milk composition has on the FA profile of suckling lambs.
352 Furthermore, other C18:2 and C18:3 PUFA, such as *trans*-11 *cis*-15 C18:2, *trans*-11 *trans*-15
353 C18:2, and *cis*-9 *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:3, derived from ALA metabolism in ewes (Gómez-
354 Cortés et al. 2009c; Bichi et al., 2012), also increased significantly in the meat fat of Lin
355 suckling lambs. This pattern too, is consistent with the efficient incorporation of minor CLA
356 isomers such as *trans*-11 *trans*-13, *trans*-11 *cis*-13 or *trans*-12 *trans*-14 in intramuscular and
357 subcutaneous fat (Tables 5 and 6), generally linked to milk FA composition from ewes fed
358 enriched ALA diets (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009a, 2009b).

359 EPA, DPA and DHA increased in fat deposits of suckling lambs from ewes supplemented
360 with extruded linseed, mainly in the intramuscular tissue. It is well established that diets rich
361 in ALA result in an increased level of EPA in the fat depots of muscle and subcutaneous
362 adipose tissue of suckling lambs by desaturation and elongation (Raes et al., 2004). Evidence
363 also suggests that ALA would be the preferred substrate for the Δ^5 and Δ^6 -desaturase enzymes
364 (Wood et al., 2008). There is less consensus with regard to the evolution of the DHA content.
365 Lanza et al. (2006) doubled the DHA content in *Longissimus dorsi* muscle when lambs were

366 fed ALA high content milk. However, other studies in suckling lambs (Scerra et al., 2007) or
367 kids (Nudda et al., 2008) did not report any remarkable increases in this n-3 PUFA when dam
368 milk was ALA enriched.

369 The fact that linoleic acid and its n-6 long chain metabolites were found to be similar in
370 carcass intramuscular deposits from Lin and Control treatments indicates that diet influenced
371 the muscle content of ALA more than that of linoleic acid, in accordance with previous
372 observations in lamb (Palmquist et al., 2004) and kid (Nudda et al., 2008) meat. A similar, or
373 even more pronounced pattern was reported by Lanza et al. (2006) and Osorio et al. (2007).
374 All this information has to be interpreted in the light of the competition between n-6 and n-3
375 PUFAs for both, incorporation into the intramuscular fat and enzymatic conversion:
376 elongation and desaturation. Although potentially, these enzymes have a preference for the n-
377 3 PUFA (Raes et al., 2004), linoleic acid incorporation into adipose tissue and muscle in
378 relation to the amount in the diet is greater than for other FAs (Wood et al., 2008). On the
379 other hand, linoleic acid is a precursor of C20:4 n-6 and other n-6 PUFAs in the mammalian
380 organism, and thus the amount of these FAs in carcass fat must be reciprocally related. This
381 would also be applicable for ALA and the longer n-3 FA.

382 In any case, in accordance with the higher levels of n-3 PUFA in lamb meat from ewes fed
383 extruded linseed, the n-6/n-3 ratio was significantly lower in this group in intramuscular and
384 subcutaneous fat. These values (2.42 intramuscular and 3.01 in subcutaneous) of Lin suckling
385 lambs would be in accordance with nutritionist recommendations, which are for a ratio of n-
386 6/n-3 PUFA of less than 5 (Raes et al., 2004; Simopoulos, 2008).

387

388 **Conclusions**

389 The addition of extruded linseed to the diet of lactating ewes did not affect **neither** ewe
390 milk yield **nor** lamb performance. However, this lipid supplement did modify milk FA
391 composition considerably and subsequently suckling lamb meat fat composition. Carcasses of
392 animals from the linseed-fed diet group contained significantly higher levels of RA, VA,
393 ALA, EPA, DPA and DHA and a lower n-6/n-3 ratio, mainly in intramuscular depots.
394 Therefore, from a dietetic point of view, meat from suckling lambs whose dams have been
395 supplemented with the most commonly used fat in sheep feeding (calcium soap of palm oil)

396 exhibited a less favourable lipid profile in meat than suckling lambs reared by dams fed a
397 linseed supplemented diet. Results from this experiment therefore support the idea that
398 incorporating extruded linseed in the feeding system of lactating ewes would produce lamb
399 meat with a healthier lipid profile.

400

401 **Acknowledgments**

402 This work was carried out through a collaboration agreement between the Diputación de
403 Palencia and the Universidad de Valladolid and has been subsidized by the Consejería de
404 Educación de la Junta de Castilla y León (Projects VA058A07 and GR158), the Ministerio de
405 Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN; AGL2008-04805 and the Consolider Ingenio 2010
406 Programme; FUN-C-FOOD CSD2007-063) and the Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid (2009-
407 AGR-1469). The authors wish to thank Mrs. V. Rodríguez-Pino (CSIC, Madrid, Spain) for
408 her technical assistance in analysing and processing fat samples and Dr. Raúl Bodas and
409 INATEGA SL (León) for helpful. Beatriz Gallardo has a PIRTU contract from Consejería de
410 Educación de la Junta de Castilla y León.

411

412 **References**

- 413 AOAC (2003). Official Method of Analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural
414 Chemists.17th Ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg. USA.
- 415 Awawdeh, M. S., Obeidat, B.S. & Kridli, R.T. (2009). Yellow grease as an alternative energy
416 source for nursing Awassi ewes and their suckling lambs. *Animal Feed Science and*
417 *Technology*, 152, 165-174.
- 418 Bauman, D.E., Lock, A.L., Corl, B.A., Ip, C., Salter, A.M. & Parodi, P.W. (2006). Milk fatty
419 acids and human health: Potential role of conjugated linoleic acid and *trans* fatty acids. In K.
420 Sjerssen, T. Hvelplund & M. O. Nielsen, (Eds.), *Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism*
421 *and Impact of Nutrition on Gene Expression, Immunology and Stress* (pp.523-555).
422 Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- 423 Berthelot, V., Bas, P. Pottier, E. & Normand, J. (2012). The effect of maternal linseed
424 supplementation and/or lamb linseed supplementation on muscle and subcutaneous adipose
425 tissue fatty acid composition of indoor lambs *Meat Science*, 90, 548-557.
- 426 Bichi, E. Toral, P.G. Hervás, G., Frutos, P., Gómez-Cortés, P., Juárez, M. & De la Fuente,
427 M.A. (2012). Inhibition of $\Delta 9$ -desaturase activity with sterculic acid: effect on the
428 endogenous synthesis of cis-9 18:1 and cis-9 trans-11 18:2 in dairy sheep. *Journal of Dairy*
429 *Science*, 95, 5242-5252.
- 430 Bligh, E.G. & Dyer, W.J. (1959). A rapid method for total lipid extraction and purification.
431 *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology*, 37, 911-917.
- 432 Bodas, R., Manso, T., Mantecón, A.R., Juárez, M., De la Fuente, M.A. & Gómez-Cortés, P.
433 (2010). Comparison of the Fatty Acid Profiles in Cheeses from Ewes Fed Diets Supplemented
434 with Different Plant Oils. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58, 10493-10502.
- 435 Borys, B., Borys, A. & Pajak, J.J. (2005). The fatty acid profile of meat of suckling lambs
436 from ewes fed rapeseed and linseed. *Journal of Animal Feed Science*, 14, 223-226.
- 437 Cañeque, V., Díaz, M.T., Alvarez, I., Lauzurica, S., Pérez, C., De la Fuente, J. (2005). The
438 influences of carcass weight and depot on the fatty acid composition of fats suckling
439 Manchego lambs. *Meat Science*, 70, 373-379.
- 440 Destailats, F., Trottier, J.P., Galvez, J.M.G. & Angers, P. (2005). Analysis of α -linolenic acid
441 biohydrogenation intermediates in milk fat with emphasis on conjugated linoleic acid. *Journal*
442 *of Dairy Science*, 88, 3231-3239.
- 443 Doreau, M., Arousseau, E. & Martin, C. (2009a). Effects of linseed lipids fed as rolled seeds,
444 extruded seeds or oil on organic matter and crude protein digestion in cows. *Animal Feed*
445 *Science and Technology*, 150, 187-196.

446 Doreau, M., Laverroux, S., Normand, J., Chesneau, G. & Glasser, F. (2009b). Effect of
447 Linseed Fed as Rolled Seeds, Extruded Seeds or Oil on Fatty Acid Rumen Metabolism and
448 Intestinal Digestibility in Cows. *Lipids*, 44, 53-62.

449 Doreau, M., Fievez, V., Troegeler-Meynadier, A. & Glasser, F. (2012). Métabolisme ruminal
450 et digestion des acides gras longs chez le ruminant: le point des connaissances récentes. *INRA*
451 *Productions Animales*, 25, 361-374.

452 FEDNA (2003). Normas FEDNA para la formulación de piensos compuestos. Fundación
453 española para el desarrollo de la nutrición animal, Madrid.

454 Field, C.J., Blewett, H.H., Proctor, S. & Vine, D. (2009). Human health benefits of vaccenic
455 acid. *Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism*, 34, 979-991.

456 Gómez-Cortés, P., Frutos, P., Mantecón, A.R., Juárez, M., De la Fuente, M.A. & Hervás, G.
457 (2009a). Effect of supplementation on grazing dairy ewes with a cereal concentrate on animal
458 performance and milk fatty acid profile. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 92, 3964-3972.

459 Gómez-Cortés, P., Bach, A., Luna, P., Juárez, M. & De la Fuente, M.A. (2009b). Effects of
460 extruded linseed supplementation on n-3 fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid in milk and
461 cheese from ewes. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 92, 4122-4134.

462 Gómez-Cortés, P., Tyburczy, C., Brenna, J.T., Juárez, M. & De la Fuente, M.A. (2009c).
463 Characterization by GC-MS and CACI-MS/MS of cis-9 trans-11 trans-15 C18:3 in milk fat.
464 *Journal of Lipid Research*, 50, 2412-2420.

465 Hurtaud, C., Faucon, F., Couvreur, S. & Peyraud, J.L. (2010). Linear relationship between
466 increasing amounts of extruded linseed in dairy cow diet and milk fatty acid composition and
467 butter properties. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 93, 1429-1443.

468 International Dairy Federation (2000). International IDF standard 141C:2000. Determination
469 of milk fat, protein and lactose content. Guidance on the operation of mid-infrared
470 instruments. International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium.

471 ISO-IDF. (2002). Milk fat—Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters. International Standard ISO
472 15884-IDF 182: 2002. International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium.

473 Juárez, M., Horcada, A., Alcalde, M.J., Aldai, N., Polvillo, O., Valera, M. & Molina, A.
474 (2010). Fatty acid composition of lamb fat depots as an origin discriminator. *Spanish Journal*
475 *of Agricultural Research*, 8, 976-980.

476 Kadegowda, A. K. G., Bionaz, M., Piperova, L., Erdman, R. A., & Looor, J. J. (2009).
477 Peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor-c activation and long-chain fatty acids alter
478 lipogenic networks in bovine mammary epithelial cells to various extents. *Journal of Dairy*
479 *Science*, 92, 4276–4289.

480 Kaur, G., Cameron-Smith, D., Garg, M. & Sinclair, A.J. (2011). Docosapentaenoic acid
481 (22:5n-3): A review of its biological effects. *Progress in Lipid Research*, 50, 28-34.

482 Lanza, M., Bella, M., Priolo, A., Barbagallo, D., Galofaro, V., Landi, C. & Pennisi, P.
483 (2006). Lamb meat quality as affected by a natural or artificial milk feeding regime. *Meat*
484 *Science*, 73, 313-318.

485 Lock, A.L., Kraft, J., Rice, B.H., Bauman, D.E. (2009). Biosynthesis and biological activity
486 of rumenic acid: a natural CLA isomer. In F. Destailats, J.L. Sébédio, F. Dionisi & J.M.
487 Chardigny, (Eds.), *Trans Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition* (pp. 195-230). Brigwater: The Oily
488 Press.

489 Luna, P., Juárez, M. and De la Fuente, M.A. (2005). Validation of a rapid milk fat separation
490 method to determine the fatty acid profile by gas chromatography. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
491 88, 3377-3381.

492 Luna, P., Bach, A., Juárez, M. y De la Fuente, M.A. 2008. Influence of diets rich in flax seed
493 and sunflower oil on the fatty acid composition of ewes' milk fat especially on the content of
494 conjugated linoleic acid, n-3 and n-6 fatty acids. *International Dairy Journal*, 18, 99-107.

495 Manso, T., Bodas, R., Vieira, C., Mantecón, A.R. & Castro, T. (2011). Feeding vegetable oils
496 to lactating ewes modifies the fatty acid profile of suckling lambs. *Animal*, 5, 1659-1667.

497 Mele, M., Contarini, G., Cercaci, L., Serra, A., Buccioni, A., Povolò, M., Conte, G., Funaro,
498 A., Banni, S., Lercker, G. & Secchiari, P. (2011). Enrichment of Pecorino cheese with
499 conjugated linoleic acid by feeding dairy ewes with extruded linseed: Effect on fatty acid and
500 triglycerides composition and on oxidative stability. *International Dairy Journal*, 21, 365-
501 372.

502 Mughetti, L., Acuti, G., Antonini, C., De Vincenzi, S., Olivieri, O. & Marinucci, M.T. (2007).
503 Effects of feeding raw or extruded linseed on the ruminal ecosystem of sheep. *Italian Journal*
504 *of Animal Science*, 6, 327-329.

505 Nudda, A., Palmquist, D.L., Battacone, G., Fancellu, S., Rattu, S.P.G. & Pulina, G. (2008).
506 Relationships between the contents of vaccenic acid, CLA and n - 3 fatty acids of goat milk
507 and the muscle of their suckling kids. *Livestock Science*, 118, 195-203.

508 Osorio, M. T., Zumalacárregui, J.M., Figueira, A. & Mateo, J. (2007). Fatty acid composition
509 in subcutaneous, intermuscular and intramuscular fat deposits of suckling lamb meat: Effect
510 of milk source. *Small Ruminant Research*, 73, 127-134.

511 Palmquist, D.L. & Jenkins, T.C. (1980). Fat in lactation rations: Review. *Journal of Dairy*
512 *Science*, 63, 1-14.

513 Palmquist, D.L., St-Pierre, N. & McClure, K.E. (2004). Tissue fatty acid profiles can be used
514 to quantify endogenous rumenic acid synthesis in lambs. *Journal of Nutrition*, 134, 2407-414.

- 515 Palmquist, D. L., Lock, A.L., Shingfield, K.J. & Bauman, D.E. (2005). Biosynthesis of
516 conjugated linoleic acid in ruminants and humans. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*,
517 50, 179-217.
- 518 Raes, K., De Smet, S. & Demeyer, D. (2004). Effect of dietary fatty acids on incorporation of
519 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid in lamb, beef and pork
520 meat: a review. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 113, 199-221.
- 521 Scerra, M., Caparra, P., Foti, F., Galofaro, V., Sinatra, M.C. & Scerra, V. (2007). Influence of
522 ewe feeding systems on fatty acid composition of suckling lambs. *Meat Science*, 76, 390-394.
- 523 Schmid, A., Collomb, M., Sieber, R. & Bee, G. (2006). Conjugated linoleic acid in meat and
524 meat products: a review. *Meat Science*, 73, 29-41.
- 525 Simopoulos, A.P. (2008). The importance of the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio in
526 cardiovascular disease and other chronic diseases. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 233,
527 674-688.
- 528 Wood, J.D., Enser, M., Fisher, A.V., Nute, G.R., Sheard, P.R., Richardson, R.I., Hughes, S.I.
529 & Wittington, F.M. (2008). Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: A review.
530 *Meat Science*, 78, 343-358.
- 531 Zhang, R. H., Mustafa, A.F. & Zhao, X. (2006). Effects of feeding oilseed rich in linolenic
532 fatty acids to lactating ewes on cheese yield and on fatty acid composition of milk and cheese.
533 *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 127, 220-233.
- 534
- 535

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets

	Control	Lin
Ingredients, % as fed		
Dehydrated alfalfa	39.38	36.95
Soybean meal	13.77	12.92
Corn grain	11.83	11.10
Oat grain	10.38	9.74
Barley grain	7.86	7.37
Beet pulp	7.86	7.37
Molasses	4.95	4.64
Calcium soap of palm oil ^a	3	-
Extruded linseed ^b	-	9
Vitamin mineral premix	1.00	0.91
Chemical composition, % DM		
DM, %	88.87	88.81
Ash	9.07	8.87
NDF	28.34	26.59
ADF	17.56	16.48
Crude Protein	16.86	17.69
Ether extract	5.30	5.16
ME ^c	11,6	11,6

537

538 ^aCalcium soap of palm oil (Magnapac[®], Norel Animal Nutrition, Madrid, Spain) contained (%
539 identified fatty acids) C12:0 (0.26), C14:0 (1.20), C16:0 (46.9), C18:0 (40.7) and C18:1
540 (9.70).

541 ^bExtruded linseed (Tradilin[®], S.A.S. Valorex, La Messayais, Combourtille, France). Product
542 consisted of 30% wheat middlings and 70% extruded linseed. Fatty acid composition (%
543 identified fatty acids): C12:0 (0.05), C14:0 (0.10), C16:0 (6.40), C18:0 (4.00), C18:1 (15.10),
544 C18:2 (18.20) and C18:3 (54.30).

545 ^cME: metabolizable energy (MJ/Kg DM) estimated using FEDNA (2003)

546

547

548

549

550

551 **Table 2.** Milk production and chemical composition of milk.

	Diets ^a		SED	P value ^b		
	Control	Lin		D	T	D x T
Yield, g/d						
Milk	1790.9	1746.2	199.54	ns	ns	ns
Fat	90.2	77.1	14.47	ns	ns	ns
Protein	77.5	77.1	8.58	ns	ns	ns
Composition, %						
Fat	4.98	4.35	0.497	†	ns	ns
Protein	4.38	4.47	0.122	ns	ns	ns

552 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).553 ^b Effects caused by dietary treatment (D), time on diet (T), and their interaction (D x T).

554 SED: standard error of the difference.

555 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

556

558 **Table 3.** Milk fatty acid profile (g/100 g of total fatty acid methyl esters)

	Diets ^a				SED	P value ^b		
	Control		Lin			D	T	D x T
	Week 2	Week 4	Week2	Week4				
<i>Saturated (SFA)</i>								
C4:0	4.61	4.46	4.720	4.56	0.189	ns	ns	ns
C6:0	2.78	2.96	3.39	3.66	0.216	***	ns	ns
C8:0	2.24	2.48	2.84	3.18	0.254	***	ns	ns
C10:0	5.56	6.50	7.04	8.34	0.773	**	*	ns
C12:0	2.95	3.42	3.55	4.05	0.366	*	†	ns
C13:0 <i>iso</i>	0.015	0.014	0.021	0.021	0.0017	***	ns	ns
C13:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.020	0.027	0.022	0.028	0.0034	ns	**	ns
C13:0	0.081	0.099	0.087	0.107	0.0130	ns	*	ns
C14:0 <i>iso</i>	0.073	0.074	0.074	0.075	0.0057	ns	ns	ns
C14:0	7.08	7.86	8.16	9.07	0.480	**	*	ns
C15:0 <i>iso</i>	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.011	***	ns	ns
C15:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.25	0.27	0.32	0.33	0.019	***	ns	ns
C15:0	0.61	0.65	0.68	0.72	0.039	**	ns	ns
C16:0 <i>iso</i>	0.19	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.014	ns	ns	ns
C16:0	26.30	27.89	19.30	21.00	0.992	***	*	ns
C17:0	0.68	0.54	0.60	0.50	0.077	ns	*	ns
C18:0 <i>iso</i>	0.089	0.070	0.065	0.050	0.0102	**	*	ns
C18:0	11.81	10.36	13.79	11.89	0.840	**	**	ns
C20:0	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.008	†	ns	ns
C21:0	0.036	0.039	0.045	0.042	0.0027	**	ns	ns
C22:0	0.058	0.061	0.061	0.065	0.0040	ns	ns	ns
C23:0	0.030	0.034	0.031	0.033	0.0027	ns	ns	ns
C24:0	0.025	0.028	0.030	0.030	0.0021	*	ns	ns
<i>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</i>								
<i>cis</i> -9 C10:1	0.17	0.22	0.18	0.26	0.031	ns	**	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C14:1	0.073	0.993	0.071	0.099	0.0136	**	**	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C15:1	0.059	0.066	0.073	0.073	0.0049	**	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C16:1+ C17:0 <i>iso</i>	0.33	0.31	0.52	0.42	0.025	***	**	*
<i>cis</i> -7 C16:1	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.011	*	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C16:1 + C17:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.96	1.01	0.79	0.80	0.054	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -13 C16:1	0.033	0.045	0.033	0.054	0.0086	ns	*	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C17:1	0.25	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.035	*	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -4 C18:1	0.027	0.028	0.025	0.024	0.0025	†	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -5 C18:1	0.030	0.030	0.026	0.025	0.0024	**	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -6 +7+8 C18:1	0.32	0.31	0.39	0.37	0.033	**	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C18:1	0.26	0.25	0.34	0.33	0.024	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -10 C18:1	0.40	0.37	0.55	0.64	0.167	†	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -11 C18:1	0.85	0.85	3.57	2.50	0.393	***	†	†
<i>trans</i> -12 C18:1	0.32	0.32	0.52	0.57	0.048	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C18:1	24.54	22.03	18.54	16.84	1.977	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -15 + <i>cis</i> -11 C18:1	0.52	0.47	0.73	0.73	0.028	***	ns	ns

<i>cis</i> -12 C18:1	0.22	0.21	0.59	0.63	0.042	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -13 C18:1	0.046	0.043	0.065	0.067	0.0058	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -16 + <i>cis</i> -14 C18:1	0.30	0.30	0.58	0.60	0.032	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -15 C18:1	0.054	0.054	0.266	0.323	0.0248	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -16 C18:1	0.022	0.021	0.045	0.049	0.0028	ns	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -11 C20:1	0.055	0.050	0.046	0.039	0.0040	**	*	ns
Non conjugated C18:2								
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:2	0.012	0.013	0.135	0.102	0.0182	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:2	0.03	0.03	0.85	0.74	0.114	***	ns	ns
C18:2 n-6	2.44	2.36	1.84	1.77	0.099	***	ns	ns
Other non conjugated C18:2	0.38	0.37	0.58	0.69	0.049	***	ns	ns
Conjugated C18:2								
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 C18:2	0.35	0.39	0.97	0.81	0.114	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 C18:2	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.0017	†	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 C18:2	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.005	0.0011	*	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -13 C18:2	0.007	0.006	0.058	0.037	0.0084	***	†	ns
<i>trans</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -14 C18:2	0.009	0.010	0.059	0.087	0.0062	***	**	**
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13 C18:2	0.014	0.014	0.055	0.063	0.0064	***	ns	ns
Other <i>trans-trans</i> conjugated C18:2	0.019	0.017	0.012	0.012	0.0029	**	ns	ns
Other PUFA								
C18:3 n-6 (γ -Linolenic acid)	0.038	0.042	0.022	0.021	0.0040	***	ns	ns
C18:3 n-3 (α -Linolenic acid)	0.32	0.30	0.95	0.92	0.075	***	ns	ns
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15)	0.030	0.030	0.116	0.095	0.0126	***	ns	ns
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15)	0.006	0.005	0.042	0.036	0.0058	***	ns	ns
C20:3 n-6	0.018	0.018	0.010	0.010	0.0022	***	ns	ns
C20:4 n-6 AA	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.011	***	ns	ns
C20:5 n-3 EPA	0.030	0.029	0.060	0.060	0.0055	***	ns	ns
C22:4 n-6	0.019	0.021	0.014	0.011	0.0018	***	ns	*
C22:5 n-3 DPA	0.075	0.069	0.104	0.096	0.0083	***	ns	ns
C22:6 n-3 DHA	0.030	0.029	0.049	0.039	0.0052	***	ns	ns
SFA	65.81	68.40	65.42	68.33	1.881	Ns	*	ns
MUFA	28.63	26.02	26.88	24.35	1.816	Ns	†	ns
PUFA	3.97	3.90	6.05	5.70	0.378	***	ns	ns
TOTAL CLA	0.41	0.45	1.17	1.02	0.130	***	ns	ns
Ratios								
14:1 desaturase index ^c	0.010	0.012	0.008	0.011	0.0012	†	*	ns
18:1 desaturase index ^c	0.70	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.013	***	ns	ns
CLA desaturase index ^c	0.29	0.32	0.21	0.25	0.011	***	***	ns
n-6/n-3	5.84	6.04	1.80	1.75	0.286	***	ns	ns

559 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

560 ^b Effects caused by dietary treatment (D), time on diet (T), and their interaction (D x T).

561 ^c 14:1 desaturase index = C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1); desaturase index = C18:1/ (C18:0 + C18:1);

562 CLA desaturase index = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2/ (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 + *trans*-11 C18:1)

563 SED: standard error of the difference; AA: arachidonic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid;

564 DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

565 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

566 Week 2: samples of the second week of lactation; week 4: samples of the fourth week of
567 lactation

568

569 **Table 4.** Suckling lamb performance

	Diets ^a		SED	P value
	Control	Lin		
Birth body weight (kg)	3.91	4.54	0.183	**
Slaughter weight (kg)	10.84	11.30	0.201	ns
Average daily gain (g animal ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	248	279	12.6	†
Hot carcass weight (kg)	5.99	6.21	0.121	ns
Cold carcass weight (kg)	5.84	6.07	0.119	ns
Chilling losses (%)	2.6	2.3	0.17	ns
Dressing percentage (%)	46.1	46.3	0.51	ns

570 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

571 SED: standard error of the difference

572 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

573

574

575
576
577

Table 5. Mean effects of diet supplementation with extruded linseed (Lin) on intramuscular fat fatty acid profile (g/100 g of total fatty acid methyl esters) of suckling lambs.

	Diets ^a		SED	P value
	Control	Lin		
<i>Saturated (SFA)</i>				
C6:0	0.026	0.031	0.0075	ns
C8:0	0.024	0.019	0.0027	ns
C10:0	0.27	0.25	0.020	ns
C12:0	0.37	0.38	0.033	ns
C13:0	0.053	0.060	0.0054	ns
C14:0 <i>iso</i>	0.28	0.39	0.044	†
C14:0	4.23	4.07	0.235	ns
C15:0 <i>iso</i>	0.087	0.072	0.0058	ns
C15:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.10	0.12	0.007	†
C15:0	0.26	0.29	0.016	ns
C16:0 <i>iso</i>	0.13	0.13	0.011	ns
C16:0	22.07	19.48	0.706	*
C17:0	0.66	0.56	0.048	ns
C18:0 <i>iso</i>	0.12	0.09	0.011	†
C18:0	12.69	12.57	0.585	ns
C19:0	0.11	0.90	0.012	ns
C20:0	0.13	0.13	0.009	ns
C21:0	0.022	0.024	0.0029	ns
C22:0	0.39	0.45	0.051	ns
C23:0	0.24	0.32	0.032	†
<i>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</i>				
<i>cis</i> -9 C10:1	0.032	0.029	0.0039	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C14:1	0.18	0.18	0.014	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C16:1 + C17:0 <i>iso</i>	0.43	0.63	0.035	**
<i>cis</i> -7 C16:1	0.057	0.051	0.0051	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C16:1 + C17:0 <i>anteiso</i>	2.32	2.04	0.121	ns
<i>cis</i> -13 C16:1	0.080	0.080	0.0081	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C17:1	0.40	0.33	0.029	ns
<i>trans</i> -6+7+8 C18:1	0.20	0.20	0.014	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C18:1	0.22	0.26	0.013	†
<i>trans</i> -10 C18:1	0.27	0.32	0.036	ns
<i>trans</i> -11 C18:1	0.57	2.40	0.281	***
<i>trans</i> -12 C18:1	0.29	0.44	0.018	***
<i>cis</i> -9 C18:1	31.95	25.43	1.392	**
<i>trans</i> -15 + <i>cis</i> -11 C18:1	1.35	1.57	0.145	ns
<i>cis</i> -12 C18:1	0.49	1.05	0.082	***
<i>cis</i> -13 C18:1	0.092	0.110	0.0109	ns
<i>trans</i> -16 + <i>cis</i> -14 C18:1	0.23	0.38	0.030	**
<i>cis</i> -15 C18:1	0.10	0.21	0.019	**
<i>cis</i> -16 C18:1	0.14	0.18	0.016	ns
<i>cis</i> -11 C20:1	0.14	0.11	0.008	†

Non conjugated C18:2				
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:2	0.067	0.110	0.0097	**
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:2	0.076	0.434	0.0279	***
C18:2 n-6	8.41	9.16	0.626	ns
Other non-conjugated C18:2	0.55	0.81	0.053	**
Conjugated C18:2				
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 C18:2	0.36	1.25	0.129	***
<i>trans</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 C18:2	0.022	0.023	0.0025	ns
<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 C18:2	0.016	0.015	0.0018	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -13 C18:2	0.033	0.069	0.0071	**
<i>trans</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -14 CLA	0.027	0.041	0.0042	*
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13 C18:2	0.021	0.046	0.0031	***
Other <i>trans-trans</i> conjugated C18:2	0.051	0.062	0.0037	†
Other PUFA				
C18:3 n-6 (γ -Linolenic acid)	0.11	0.12	0.009	ns
C18:3 n-3 (α -Linolenic acid)	0.43	1.76	0.147	***
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15)	0.54	0.92	0.083	**
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15)	0.025	0.058	0.0053	**
C20:3 n-6	0.077	0.074	0.0062	ns
C20:4 n-6 AA	4.49	4.41	0.497	ns
C20:5 n-3 EPA	0.44	1.42	0.263	*
C22:4 n-6	0.16	0.18	0.024	ns
C22:5 n-3 DPA	1.02	1.60	0.183	*
C22:6 n-3 DHA	0.62	1.25	0.178	*
SFA	42.24	39.53	0.925	†
MUFA	39.54	36.01	1.229	†
PUFA	13.54	23.80	1.628	*
TOTAL CLA	0.48	1.44	0.130	***
Ratios				
14:1 desaturase index ^b	0.039	0.039	0.0024	ns
18:1 desaturase index ^b	0.74	0.72	0.008	ns
CLA desaturase index ^b	0.39	0.34	0.011	*
n-6/n-3	5.44	2.42	0.263	***

578 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

579 ^b 14:1 desaturase index = C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1); desaturase index = C18:1/ (C18:0 + C18:1);

580 CLA desaturase index = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2/ (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 + *trans*-11 C18:1)

581 SED: standard error of the difference; AA: arachidonic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid;

582 DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

583 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

584

585

586 **Table 6.** Mean effects of diet supplementation with extruded linseed (Lin) on subcutaneous
 587 fatty acid profile (g/100 g of total fatty acid methyl esters) of suckling lambs.

	Diets ^a		SED	P value
	Control	Lin		
<i>Saturated (SFA)</i>				
C5:0	0.047	0.041	0.0062	ns
C6:0	0.042	0.055	0.0073	ns
C7:0	0.037	0.044	0.0060	ns
C8:0	0.069	0.071	0.0074	ns
C10:0	0.88	0.90	0.083	ns
C12:0	1.22	1.26	0.147	ns
C13:0 <i>iso</i>	0.023	0.022	0.0019	ns
C13:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.014	0.016	0.0013	ns
C13:0	0.08	0.09	0.007	ns
C14:0 <i>iso</i>	0.066	0.068	0.0033	ns
C14:0	9.00	10.29	0.420	*
C15:0 <i>iso</i>	0.16	0.18	0.004	***
C15:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.18	0.23	0.010	**
C15:0	0.63	0.68	0.034	ns
C16:0 <i>iso</i>	0.19	0.21	0.009	ns
C16:0	31.15	28.00	0.886	*
C17:0	0.92	0.89	0.033	ns
C18:0 <i>iso</i>	0.11	0.10	0.008	ns
C18:0	11.81	12.14	0.486	ns
C19:0	0.078	0.079	0.0041	ns
C20:0	0.11	0.11	0.006	ns
C21:0	0.012	0.013	0.0007	ns
C22:0	0.010	0.009	0.0009	ns
C23:0	0.015	0.022	0.0029	†
C24:0	0.017	0.019	0.0017	ns
<i>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</i>				
<i>cis</i> -9 C10:1	0.058	0.062	0.0065	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C14:1	0.25	0.31	0.017	*
<i>cis</i> -9 C15:1	0.048	0.056	0.0032	†
<i>trans</i> -9 C16:1+ C17:0 <i>iso</i>	0.35	0.46	0.012	***
<i>cis</i> -7 C16:1	0.38	0.37	0.012	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C16:1+ C17:0 <i>anteiso</i>	2.40	2.43	0.108	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C17:1	0.46	0.43	0.029	ns
<i>trans</i> -4 C18:1	0.015	0.016	0.0011	ns
<i>trans</i> -5 C18:1	0.012	0.038	0.0028	***
<i>trans</i> 6+7+8 C18:1	0.29	0.33	0.016	*
<i>trans</i> -9 C18:1	0.30	0.38	0.012	***
<i>trans</i> -10 C18:1	0.37	0.47	0.044	ns
<i>trans</i> -11 C18:1	0.79	2.83	0.271	***
<i>trans</i> -12 C18:1	0.20	0.39	0.028	***
<i>cis</i> -9 C18:1	32.74	29.24	1.276	†
<i>trans</i> -15+ <i>cis</i> -11 C18:1	0.58	0.65	0.024	***

<i>cis</i> -12 C18:1	0.23	0.49	0.028	***
<i>cis</i> -13 C18:1	0.057	0.085	0.0034	***
<i>trans</i> -16 + <i>cis</i> -14 C18:1	0.27	0.49	0.026	***
<i>cis</i> -15 C18:1	0.066	0.251	0.0119	***
<i>cis</i> -11 C20:1	0.077	0.071	0.0067	ns
Non conjugated C18:2				
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:2	0.007	0.068	0.0054	***
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:2	0.035	0.639	0.0514	***
C18:2 n-6	1.48	1.10	0.081	**
Other non-conjugated C18:2	0.50	0.95	0.044	***
Conjugated C18:2				
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 C18:2	0.18	0.41	0.038	***
<i>trans</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 C18:2	0.008	0.007	0.0005	ns
<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 C18:2	0.004	0.004	0.0003	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -13 C18:2	0.005	0.016	0.0012	***
<i>trans</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -14 C18:2	0.009	0.028	0.0019	***
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13 C18:2	0.005	0.013	0.0011	***
Other <i>trans-trans</i> conjugated C18:2	0.040	0.020	0.0032	***
Other PUFA				
C18:3 n-6 (γ -linolenic acid)	0.017	0.016	0.0013	ns
C18:3 n-3 (α -linolenic acid)	0.13	0.32	0.025	***
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:3	0.016	0.050	0.0044	***
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:3	0.003	0.019	0.0016	***
C20:3 n-6	0.007	0.006	0.0008	ns
C20:4 n-6 AA	0.035	0.034	0.0064	ns
C20:5 n-3 EPA	0.019	0.028	0.0069	ns
C22:4 n-6	0.014	0.014	0.0016	ns
C22:5 n-3 DPA	0.027	0.039	0.0066	ns
C22:6 n-3 DHA	0.015	0.022	0.0020	*
SFA	56.87	55.55	1.116	ns
MUFA	37.11	36.94	1.106	ns
PUFA	2.55	3.80	0.151	***
TOTAL CLA	0.24	0.50	0.040	***
Ratios				
14:1 desaturase index ^b	0.027	0.029	0.0013	ns
18:1 desaturase index ^b	0.75	0.75	0.007	ns
CLA desaturase index ^b	0.18	0.15	0.021	ns
n-6/n-3	8.32	3.01	0.206	***

588 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

589 ^b 14:1 desaturase index = C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1); desaturase index = C18:1/ (C18:0 + C18:1);

590 CLA desaturase index = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2/ (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 + *trans*-11 C18:1)

591 SED: standard error of the difference; AA: arachidonic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid;

592 DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

593 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001