

1 *Journal of Economic Entomology*

2

3

4

5

6

7 **Is it possible to control fumonisin contamination in maize kernels by using genotypes**
8 **resistant to the Mediterranean corn borer (*Sesamia nonagrioides* Lef.)?**

9

10

11

12 ROGELIO SANTIAGO, ANA CAO, ROSA ANA MALVAR, ANA BUTRÓN

13

14

15 Misión Biológica de Galicia. Spanish Council for Scientific Research (CSIC), Apartado 28, E-
16 36080, Pontevedra, Spain.

17

18

19

20 Corresponding author's address:

21 Rogelio Santiago

22 Misión Biológica de Galicia,

23 Spanish Council for Scientific Research (CSIC),

24 Apartado 28, E-36080, Pontevedra, Spain.

25 Phone: +34 (986) 854800 / Fax: +34 (986) 841362 / E-mail: rsantiago@mbg.csic.es

26

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

Abstract

Insect activity has long been associated with *Fusarium* infection. The objectives of the current study were (i) to estimate the impact of Mediterranean corn borer, *Sesamia nonagrioides* Lef. (MCB), damage on fumonisin contamination in the maize kernel by comparing fumonisin contamination under infestation and protected conditions and (ii) to evaluate the potential use of genotypes resistant to this borer as controlling factors of fumonisin contamination. Genotypes with increased kernel damage by borers tended to increase fumonisin accumulation under infestation conditions. In particular environments, other factors influenced fumonisin contamination more than damage by borers. When ear damage by borers is significant, maize resistance to ear damage could contribute to the reduction of fumonisin contamination in the kernels. Genotype such as EP42 x EP77 that combines low ear damage by borers and low fumonisin level across environments is a good choice in order to control fumonisin contamination. The use of an applicable methodology in order to identify MCB resistant genotypes to ear attack under artificial infestations might be a promising approach.

KEY WORKS: *Sesamia nonagrioides*, *Fusarium verticillioides*, fumonisin, borer damage, *Zea mays*, maize.

53 Fumonisin, which are mycotoxins produced mainly by *Fusarium verticillioides* (Sacc.) Nirenberg
54 (syn. *F. moniliforme* J. Sheld.) and *Fusarium proliferatum* (T. Natsushima) Nirenberg, in maize
55 cultivated in temperate areas (Logrieco et al. 2003) have been receiving a great deal of attention
56 because fumonisins B₁(FB₁) and B₂ (FB₂) are the most common mycotoxins found in maize
57 kernels throughout the world (Shephard et al. 1996; Placinta et al. 1999; Soriano and Dragaci
58 2004). These *Fusarium* species are the most prolific fumonisin producers, and 17900µg/g of FB₁
59 have been recorded in cultures of *F. verticillioides*, and 31000 µg/g of FB₁ in cultures of *F.*
60 *proliferatum* (Shephard et al. 1996). In Spain, 100% of *F. proliferatum* and more than 70% of *F.*
61 *verticillioides* isolates are toxigenic (Sanchís et al. 1995; Abarca et al. 2000). Wild and Hall (1996)
62 review reports that fumonisins cause disorders in horses, swine, rats, and mice among others.
63 High contamination levels of fumonisins in food may cause oesophageal cancer in humans
64 (Avantaggiato et al. 2003).

65 The levels of fumonisin contamination permitted by the European Union since
66 November 2007 are 1000 µg/kg in maize dedicated to direct human consumption (European
67 Regulation 2006). There is previous information about the occurrence of fumonisins in maize in
68 Spain (Arino et al. 2007; Castella et al. 1999; Cantalejo et al. 1998; Sanchís et al. 1994).
69 Particularly, in northwestern Spain, among ten hybrids evaluated in natural conditions at different
70 locations only one of them showed an average fumonisin contamination below 1000 µg/kg
71 (Butrón et al. 2006a). The most abundant species was *F. verticillioides*.

72 Insect activity has long been associated with *Fusarium* infection in maize kernels. Stem
73 borers such as the pyralid *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (ECB) and the noctuid *Sesamia nonagrioides*
74 Lefèbvre (MCB) have been reported to favor kernel infection by *F. verticillioides* due to pericarp
75 damage made by borers and their action as vectors (Smith and White 1988; Bakan et al. 2002;
76 Avantaggiato et al. 2003; Blandino et al. 2010a; Blandino et al. 2010b). These borers are the main
77 insect pests of maize in Mediterranean countries (Cordero et al. 1998; Velasco et al. 2007;
78 Blandino et al. 2010a). In northwestern Spain, the first generation MCB attacks plants during the

79 mid-vegetative stage, and the second generation attacks during the reproductive stages (from early
80 milk stage to maturity) causing a high percentage of broken plants, a heavy yield reduction and
81 decline in grain quality. The second-generation larvae, above all, play an important role in the
82 epidemiology of *Fusarium* ear rot in maize, and the ear damage by borers can increase mycotoxin
83 contamination of kernels (Avantaggiato et al. 2003; Blandino et al. 2010a). According to Sobek
84 and Munkvold (1997), borer damage promoted ear rot by spore transport and by alteration of the
85 kernels epidermis. Consequently, control measures against the larvae are necessary to prevent
86 yield losses and to guarantee low contamination.

87 A reduction in borer injury and, as a result, in mycotoxin contamination, is observed in
88 maize genetically engineered with *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystal toxin which has lethal effects against
89 lepidopteran species, compared to non-transgenic maize (Munkvold et al. 1997; Bakan et al. 2002;
90 Ostry et al. 2010; Folcher et al. 2010). Although the use of some transgenic crops has been
91 approved by the European Union, they will be probably subjected to restrictions in the food
92 chain in the near future. In addition, specialized agriculture strategies such as the organic
93 agriculture that, in general, avoid transgenic crop cultivation or the strong opposition to the
94 cultivation of genetic modified organisms by the European consumers must be considered.

95 Agrochemicals can also be used for reducing damage by stem borers (Mazzoni et al.
96 2011). Folcher and coauthors (2009) showed that the insecticide deltamethrin, which controlled
97 the two main maize borers ECB and MCB, was efficient in reducing mycotoxin levels in maize
98 fields, whereas several other authors showed the efficiency of pyrethroids and organophosphate
99 insecticides as well as the control of timing applications (Saladini et al. 2008; Blandino et al.
100 2010a; Blandino et al. 2010b). The poor efficacy of chemical control, due to the entirely
101 endophytic life of MCB, has been found in some experimental work (Spanu et al. 1993). A third
102 alternative for controlling damage by corn borers would be the use of conventional breeding for
103 obtaining maize with low susceptibility to MCB attacks. This could lead to the development of
104 promising varieties showing low levels of damage by borers and fumonisin contamination, these

105 varieties would be compatible with any kind of agriculture including organic cultivation
106 (Avantaggiato et al. 2003).

107 In spite of the vast amount of literature available on the control of Lepidoptera and/or
108 fumonisin contamination, the evaluation of genotypes comprising good resistance to MCB in
109 relation to fumonisin contamination has not been evaluated previously. The objectives of the
110 current study were (i) to estimate the impact of borer damage on fumonisin contamination in
111 maize kernel by comparing fumonisin contamination under infestation and protected conditions
112 and (ii) to evaluate the potential usage of genotypes resistant to borers as controlling factors of
113 fumonisin contamination.

114

Materials and Methods

115 **Field experimental design.** Six maize inbred lines previously classified attending to their degree
116 of resistance to stem and ear attack by MCB (Butrón et al. 1998; Butrón et al. 1999; Butrón et al.
117 2006b) were used as parents of a set of 15 hybrids (Table 1). In 2008 and 2009, the hybrids
118 obtained were evaluated in a split-plot design with three replicates at Pontevedra, a location in
119 north-western Spain (42° 25'N, 4° 57'W, and 20 m above sea level). Treatments (artificially
120 infested with MCB or treated with insecticide) were assigned to main plots and genotypes to
121 subplot units. Each subplot consisted in a single row of 15 plants, the distance between
122 consecutive rows was 0.8 m and 0.18 m between hills within rows, and the final density was
123 approximately 70.000 plants ha⁻¹. The soil type was acid sandy loam. Trials were irrigated once,
124 and cultural operations, fertilization, and weed control were carried out according to local
125 practices. At flowering, 5 competitive plants were artificially infested with one egg mass of about
126 40-50 eggs between the main ear and the stem as described by Butrón and coauthors (1998). The
127 MCB rearing method used was described by Eizaguirre and Albajes (1992). The insecticide
128 applied was the granular formulation Clorifos 5-G (5% Chlorpyrifos) at 40 kg ha⁻¹; chlorpyrifos is
129 an organophosphate insecticide Category II, showing stable toxicity at all temperatures (Musser
130 and Shelton 2005). The insecticide was applied locally in the leaf axil of the maize plants. Four
131 insecticide applications were applied, first was done at the early flowering stage and, then, every
132 20 days. The efficacy of this insecticide reducing MCB damage has been noted previously (Ordás
133 et al. 2012).

134 **Corn borer damage.** At harvest, traits recorded were as follows: tunnel length (stems of 5 plants
135 per plot were longitudinally split to measure the total length in centimeters per plant of tunnels
136 made by borers) and visual ratings for ear and shank appearance (on a 1–9 point subjective scale
137 determined as follows: 1 = >90% damaged, 2 = 81–90% damaged, 3 = 71–80% damaged, 4 =
138 61–70% damaged, 5 = 41–60% damaged, 6 = 31–40% damaged, 7 = 21–30% damaged, 8 = 20–
139 1% damaged and 9 = 0%) (Sandoya et al. 2008).

140 **Fumonisin B₁ and B₂ quantifications.** Five main ears from the each subplot were collected at
141 harvesting time and evaluated for fumonisin concentrations. Fumonisin quantification was
142 undertaken by the technical service of the Food Technology Department of the University of
143 Lleida according to the European Regulation No. 2006/401/EC. A 200g representative sample
144 of grains from each subplot was dried and milled. A 10 g representative sub-sample of the milled
145 material was analysed for fumonisin B₁ and B₂. Samples were extracted by shaking for at least 20
146 min with a 50 mL of water + methanol + acetonitrile (50:25:25) containing 1g sodium chloride.
147 The supernatant was filtered through Whatman filter n°4 and 10 mL were diluted with 40 mL
148 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and purified through an immunoaffinity column (Fumoniprep ®
149 R-Biopharm Rhône LTD, Glasgow, UK). After washing the column with 20 mL PBS,
150 fumonisins were slowly eluted with 1.5 mL methanol into graduated glass vial. 1.5 mL MiliQ
151 water was subsequently eluted obtaining a final volume of 3 mL methanol + water (50:50).
152 Fluorescent derivates of the fumonisins were formed using o-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) and
153 analysed by HPLC (Waters Separation Module 2695, Milford, MA, USA) with a fluorescence
154 detection system (Waters Multi λ Fluorescence Detector 2475; $\lambda_{ex} = 335\text{nm}$, $\lambda_{em} = 440\text{nm}$).
155 Analysis by injection of 100 μL was carried out using a Spherisorb ® OSD2 column (150 mm x
156 4.6 mm i.d.; 5 μM particle size) and precolumn (Spherisorb ® S5OSD2 (10 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.).
157 The mobile phase was methanol + sodium phosphate 0.1M (77:23) at pH 3.35, with a linear
158 gradient over 12 min at a flow rate of 1mL min⁻¹. Toxin quantification was performed using
159 external standards purchased from Sigma (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

160 **Statistical analyses.**

161 Individual and combined analyses of variance (ANOVA) for corn borer damage and fumonisin
162 contamination were computed with the GLM procedure of SAS following a split-plot design
163 (SAS 2007). Years, replications and their interactions were considered random factors, and
164 genotype and treatment were considered as fixed factors. Comparisons of means among
165 treatments and genotypes were made by Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD).

166 Two types of correlations were carried out: simple correlation coefficients between fumonisin
167 concentration and ear appearance under artificially infested conditions were calculated for each
168 year (N = 15). In addition, in order to minimize genotypic differences attending to the borer
169 resistance, correlations between the differences for borer damage traits, computed as the
170 difference between infested and protected plants, and fumonisin contamination were evaluated in
171 for each year (N = 15). With the aim of obtain positive differences between treatments,
172 differences for tunnel length and fumonisin content were computed as the difference between
173 infested and protected plants; and for ear and shank appearance as the difference between
174 protected and infested plants. We use the CORR procedure of SAS (SAS 2007).
175

176

Results and Discussion

177 In the combined analysis of variance there were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) among years for
178 all traits measured (Table 2). Differences among treatments were not significant for any trait, but
179 year \times treatment interaction was significant for tunnel length and shank appearance. Differences
180 among genotypes were significant for all traits with the exception of the ear appearance. For this
181 last trait the triple interaction year \times treatment \times genotype was significant (Table 2). In the
182 individual analyses of variance, there were significant differences between treatments for borer
183 damage traits in 2008 and 2009, while significant differences among genotypes were only
184 significant in 2009 (data not shown). The insecticide treatment was efficient in reducing damage
185 by borers, especially in the stem, but showed no effect on kernel contamination with FB₁, FB₂
186 and FB₁ + FB₂ (Table 3), in disagreement with results reported by several authors (Munkvold and
187 Desjardins 1997; Saladini et al. 2008; Blandino et al. 2010b; Folcher et al. 2009), although some
188 other studies mentioned its limited efficacy depending on the year (Torelli et al. 2012).
189 Nevertheless, the correlation coefficients between the difference between protected and infested
190 plants for ear appearance and fumonisin content were significant or very close to the significant
191 level in 2008 ($r = 0.49$, $P \leq 0.06$) and 2009 ($r = 0.51$, $P \leq 0.05$). Differences for tunnel length or
192 shank damage were not correlated to the fumonisin content. This was expected because *Fusarium*
193 *verticillioides* and *F. proliferatum* penetrate mainly into the maize kernel through silks or broken
194 pericarp, and the systemic infection through the stem is less important. Therefore, genotypes with
195 increased kernel damage by borers tended to increased fumonisin accumulation under infestation
196 conditions. In previous studies, ear damage by borers was also the damage trait most related with
197 fumonisin contamination (Avantaggiato et al. 2003; Blandino et al. 2010a; Alma et al. 2005).
198 Furthermore, expression of CryIA(b) in plant tissues other than kernels did not consistently
199 affect *Fusarium* symptoms or infection (Munkvold et al. 1997).

200 A previous study reported a high correlation between fumonisin content and percentage
201 of insect-damaged ears (Avantaggiato et al. 2003). Meanwhile, in the current study, there is a weak

202 association between both traits. It is interesting to note that in the previous study the percentage
203 of damaged ears was between 20 and 45 %, and the level of fumonisin contamination varied from
204 3 to 26 $\mu\text{g/g}$ (Avantaggiato et al. 2003). However, in the current study the reduced variability for
205 kernel attack by borers (less than 20 % of kernels were damaged by borers) could make it difficult
206 to establish a clear relationship between ear appearance and fumonisin contamination. In our
207 conditions, MCB larvae usually attack stems, but can also damage ears in a lesser degree (Cordero
208 et al. 1998). Velasco and coauthors (2007) described the average behavior of MCB across four
209 locations and seven years, and they concluded that 67% of the larvae of MCB were found in the
210 stem below the main ear, while 18% of MCB larvae were found properly in the ear. This is
211 indicative of the low importance of ear damage by MCB larvae compared to stem damage. In
212 spite of the high efficiency of the infestation methodology carried out, and in view of the larvae
213 feeding behavior, we suggest an improvement of the infestation technique in order to increase
214 kernel attack by MCB larvae. In the present study, eggs were always placed between the shank of
215 the primary ear and the stem trying to mimic moth behavior. However, if we are interested in ear
216 resistance to borers, several studies mentioned a variation in the infestation methodology
217 (Velasco et al. 1999; Cartea et al 2001): the egg mass is placed among the silks, allowing the
218 detection of more differences for ear resistance among genotypes. In fact, ear tip infestation
219 resulted in more damaged grains, because the barriers that protect the grain were bypassed
220 (Velasco et al. 1999). Thereby, direct selection of kernel damage throughout the appropriate
221 infestation methodology will be even more effective than the current infestation methodology
222 used to date to get resistant genotypes. We have to take into consideration again that the main
223 damage by this borer is focus in the stem, so the use of genotypes resistant in the stem is a good
224 starting point to evaluate thereafter the ear damage resistance with the proper infestation in the
225 ear, as recommended in the current study.

226 As ear appearance under infestation is a measure of resistance level of genotypes to kernel
227 attack, simple correlation coefficients between ear appearance and fumonisin content in the

228 artificially infested treatment were calculated to establish if it is possible to control fumonisin
229 contamination in maize kernels by using genotypes resistant to borers. The correlation
230 coefficients between both traits were $r = -0.34$ ($p = 0.22$) and $r = -0.87$ ($p < 0.001$) in 2008 and
231 2009, respectively. The lack of relationship between kernel damage by borers and fumonisin
232 content in 2008 was expected because kernel damage was not significant in that year. Artificial
233 infestation was performed in 2008 as usual, but environmental conditions that disturb the
234 development of the pest can drastically limit insect population. Unfavorable conditions for MCB
235 development were observed in 2008 because records of natural infestation by capture in
236 pheromone cone traps were lower in 2008 than in previous or following years. In addition, no
237 differences for ear appearance were detected among genotypes under infestation conditions in
238 2008; meanwhile differences for ear appearance were significant in 2009 (Table 4). Several studies
239 pointed out that correlations between insect damage and fumonisins measured in the same
240 genotypes could be more significant in some environments (i.e. years), suggesting that
241 environmental factors are influencing the plant's ability to defend itself in the absence of insects
242 (i.e. the fungus is able to invade on its own) and/or the ability of the fungus to spread at different
243 rates after insect attack (Dowd 2003). In our conditions, kernel damage by MCB larvae was a
244 secondary risk factor for fumonisin contamination [damage by borers was significantly lower in
245 2008 compared to 2009, but fumonisin content was significantly higher (Tables 2 and
246 3)]. Therefore it would not be possible to control fumonisin contamination across years by using
247 resistant genotypes to ear attack by MCB larvae such as EP39 \times EP77. However, genotypes such
248 as EP42 \times EP77 that combine low ear damage by borers and low fumonisin level across
249 environments could be a good election (Table 4).

250 In summary, we did not determine a relationship between borer attack and fumonisin
251 contamination, nevertheless, in particular environments, genotype differences in ear resistance to
252 borer attack could influence genotype differences to fumonisin contamination of the kernels.
253 More experimental work needs to be done to prove that using genotypes resistant to borers are a

254 viable option to control fumonisin contamination. The use of an applicable methodology in order
255 to identify MCB resistant genotypes to ear attack under artificial infestations might be the most
256 promising approach.

257

Acknowledgments

258 This research was supported by the National Plan for Research and Development of Spain
259 (AGL2006-13140, AGL2009-09611) and the Autonomous Government of Galicia
260 (PGIDIT06TAL40301PR). A. Cao acknowledges funding from the JAE Program of the Spanish
261 Council of Research. R. Santiago acknowledges postdoctoral contract “Isidro Parga Pondal”
262 supported by the Autonomous Government of Galicia and the European Social Fund.

- 264 **Abarca, M. L., M. R. Bragulat, G. Castellá, F. Accensi, and F. J. Cabañes. 2000.** Hongos
265 productores de micotoxinas emergentes. *Rev. Iberoam. Micol.* 17, S63-S68.
- 266 **Alma, A., F. Lessio, A. Reyneri, and M. Blandino. 2005.** Relationships between *Ostrinia*
267 *nubilalis* Hübner (Lepidoptera Crambidae), crop technique and mycotoxin contamination
268 of corn kernel in northwestern Italy. *Int. J. Pest Manag.* 51, 165-173.
- 269 **Arino, A., T. Juan, G. Estopanan, J. F. Gonzalez-Cabo. 2007.** Natural occurrence of
270 *Fusarium* species, fumonisin production by toxigenic strains, and concentrations of
271 fumonisins B-1 and B-2 in conventional and organic maize grown in Spain. *Journal of*
272 *Food Protection* 70, 151-156.
- 273 **Avantaggiato, G., F. Quaranta, E. Desiderio, and A. Visconti. 2003.** Fumonisin
274 contamination of maize hybrids visible damaged by *Sesamia*. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 83, 13-18.
- 275 **Bakan, B., D. Melcion, D. Richard-Molard, and B. Cahagnier. 2002.** Fungal growth and
276 *Fusarium* mycotoxin content in isogenic traditional maize and genetically modified maize
277 grown in France and Spain. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, 728-731.
- 278 **Blandino, M., A. Peila, and A. Reyneri. 2010a.** Timing clorpirifos + cypermethrin and
279 indoxacarb applications to control European corn borer damage and fumonisin
280 contamination in maize kernels. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 90, 521-529.
- 281 **Blandino, M., M. A. Saladini, A. Alma, and A. Reyneri. 2010b.** Pyrethroid application timing
282 to control European corn borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) and minimize fumonisin
283 contamination in maize kernels. *Cereal Research Communications* 38, 75-82.
- 284 **Butrón, A., R. A. Malvar, P. Velasco, M. E. Cartea, and A. Ordás. 1998.** Combining abilities
285 and reciprocal effects for corn ear resistance to pink stem borer. *Maydica* 43, 117-122.
- 286 **Butrón, A., R. A. Malvar, M. E. Cartea, A. Ordás, and P. Velasco. 1999.** Resistance of maize
287 inbreds to pink stem borer. *Crop Sci.* 39, 102-107.
- 288 **Butrón, A., R. Santiago, P. Mansilla, C. Pintos-Varela, A. Ordás, and R. A. Malvar. 2006a.**
289 Maize (*Zea mays* L.) genetics factors for preventing fumonisin contamination. *J. Agr. Food*
290 *Chem.* 54, 6113-6117.
- 291 **Butrón, A., G. Sandoya, R. Santiago, A. Ordás, A. Rial, and R.A. Malvar. 2006b.** Searching
292 for new sources of pink stem borer resistance in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Gen. Res. Crop Evol.*
293 53, 1455-1462.
- 294 **Cantalejo, M. J., J. M. Carrasco, E. Hernandez. 1998.** Incidence and distribution of *Fusarium*
295 species associated with feeds and seeds from Spain. *Revista Iberoamericana de*
296 *Micologia* 15, 36-39.

- 297 **Cartea, M. E., R. A. Malvar, M. I. Vales, A. Butrón, and A. Ordás. 2001.** Inheritance of
298 resistance to ear damage caused by *Sesamia nonagrioides* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize.
299 J. Econ. Entomol. 94, 277-283.
- 300 **Castella, A. G., M. R. Bragulat, F. J. Cabanes. 1999.** Fumonisin production by *Fusarium*
301 species isolated from cereals and feeds in Spain. Journal of Food Protection 62, 811-813.
- 302 **Cordero, A., R. A. Malvar, A. Butrón, P. Revilla, P. Velasco, and A. Ordás. 1998.**
303 Population dynamics and life-cycle of corn borers in South Atlantic European coast.
304 Maydica 43, 5-12.
- 305 **Dowd, P.F. 2003.** Insect management to facilitate preharvest mycotoxin management. Journal of
306 Toxicology 22, 327-350.
- 307 **Eizaguirre, M., and R. Albajes. 1992.** Diapause induction in the stem corn borer, *Sesamia*
308 *nonagrioides* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Entomol. Gener. 17, 277-283.
- 309 **European Commission Regulation 1126/2007** amending regulation (EC) No 1881/2006
310 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs as regards *Fusarium* toxins
311 in maize and maize products, 2006. Off J European Union 255, 14-17.
- 312 **Folcher, L., M. Delos, E. Marengue, M. Jarry, A. Weissenberger, N. Eychenne, and C.**
313 **Regnault-Roger. 2010.** Lower mycotoxin levels in Bt maize grain. Agron. Sustain. Dev.
314 30, 711-719.
- 315 **Folcher, L., M. Jarry, A. Weissenberger, F. Gérard, N. Eychenne, M. Delos, and C.**
316 **Regnault-Roger. 2009.** Comparative activity of agrochemical treatments on mycotoxin
317 levels with regard to corn borers and *Fusarium* mycoflora in maize (*Zea mays* L.) fields.
318 Crop Prot. 28, 302-308.
- 319 **Logrieco, A., A. Bottalico, G. Mulè, A. Moretti, and G. Perrone. 2003.** Epidemiology of
320 toxigenic fungi and their associated mycotoxins for some Mediterranean crops. Eur J.
321 Plant Pathol. 109, 645-667.
- 322 **Mazzoni, E., A. Scandolara, P. Giorni, A. Pietri, and P. Battilani. 2011.** Field control of
323 *Fusarium* ear rot, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) and fumonisins in maize kernels. Pest
324 Management Science 67, 458-464.
- 325 **Munkvold, G. P., R. L. Hellmich, and W. B. Showers. 1997.** Reduced *Fusarium* ear rot and
326 symptomless infection in kernels of maize genetically engineered for European corn borer
327 resistance. Phytopathology 87, 1071-1077.
- 328 **Munkvold, G. P., and A. E. Desjardins. 1997.** Fumonisin in maize. Can we reduce their
329 occurrence?. Plant Disease 81, 556-565.

- 330 **Musser, F. R., and A. Shelton. 2005.** The influence of post-exposure temperature on the
331 toxicity of insecticides to *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Pest Manag. Sci.* 61,
332 508-510.
- 333 **Ordás, B., A. Butrón, A. Álvarez, P. Revilla, and R. A. Malvar. 2012.** Comparison of two
334 methods of reciprocal recurrent selection in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 124,
335 1183-1191.
- 336 **Ostry, V., J. Ovesna, J. Vladimira Pouchova, and J. Ruprich. 2010.** A review on comparative
337 data concerning Fusarium mycotoxins in Bt maize and non-Bt isogenic maize. *Mycotoxin*
338 *Research* 26, 141-145.
- 339 **Placinta, C. M., J. P. F. D'Mello, and A. M. C. Macdonald. 1999.** A review of worldwide
340 contamination of cereal grains and animal feed with *Fusarium* mycotoxins. *Anim. Feed Sci.*
341 *Technol.* 78, 21-37.
- 342 **Saladini, M., M. Blandino, A. Reyneri, and A. Alma. 2008.** The impact of insecticide
343 treatments on *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) and their influence on
344 the mycotoxin contamination of maize kernels. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 64, 1170-1178.
- 345 **Sanchís, V., M. Abadias, L. Oncins, N. Sala, I. Vinas, R. Canela. 1994.** Occurrence of
346 fumonisins B1 and B2 in corn based products from the Spanish market. *Applied and*
347 *Environmental Microbiology* 60, 2147-2148.
- 348 **Sanchís, V., M. Abadías, L. Oncins, N. Sala, I. Viñas, and R. Canela. 1995.** Fumonisins B1
349 and B2 and toxigenic Fusarium strains in feeds from the Spanish market. *Int. J. Food*
350 *Microbiol.* 27, 37-44.
- 351 **Sandoya, G., A. Butrón, A. Alvarez, A. Ordás, and R. A. Malvar. 2008.** Direct response of a
352 maize synthetic to recurrent selection for resistance to stem borers. *Crop Sci.* 48, 113-118.
- 353 **SAS Institute. 2007.** The SAS System SAS Online Doc. HTML Format Version Eight. SAS
354 Institute, Cary, NC, USA.
- 355 **Shephard, G. S., P. G. Thiel, S. Stockenstrom, and E. W. Sydenham. 1996.** Worldwide
356 survey of fumonisin contamination of corn and corn-based products. *J. AOAC Int.* 79,
357 671-687.
- 358 **Smith, D. R., and D. G. White. 1988.** Diseases of corn. In *Corn and Corn Improvement*; ed. by
359 Sprague, G.F., Dudley, J.W., *Agronomy* 18; ASA: Madison, WI, pp 687-766.
- 360 **Soriano, J. M., S. Dragacci. 2004.** Occurrence of fumonisins in foods. *Food Res. Int.* 37, 985-
361 1000.
- 362 **Spanu, A., F. Quaranta, G. Pruneddu, L. Cuocolo, G. Delrio, and G. Rotundo. 1993.** Sul
363 controllo delle larve minatrici del culmo del mais in semina estiva. *Info Agrario* 49, 73-77.

- 364 **Torelli, E., G. Firrao, G. Bianchi, F. Saccardo, and R. Locci. 2012.** The influence of local
365 factors on the prediction of fumonisin contamination in maize. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 92,
366 1808-1814.
- 367 **Velasco, P., R. A. Malvar, A. Butrón, P. Revilla, and A. Ordás. 1999.** Ear feeding resistance
368 of sweet corn inbreds to pink stem borer. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort.* 124, 268-272.
- 369 **Velasco, P., P. Revilla, L. Monetti, A. Butrón, A. Ordás, and R. A. Malvar. 2007.** Corn
370 borers (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae, Crambidae) in Northwestern Spain: Population dynamics
371 and distribution. *Maydica* 52, 195-203.
- 372 **Wild, C. P., and A.J. Hall. 1996.** Epidemiology of mycotoxin-related diseases, in *The Mycota*
373 VI: Human and animal relationships, ed. by Howard, J.D., Miller, D., Springer-Verlag,
374 Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 213-227.

375 Table 1. Pedigree, and ear and stem resistance to MCB for the six parental inbred lines used in
376 this study.

Inbred line	Pedigree	Stem resistance^a	Ear resistance^b
CM151	Mt42 × WF9 ²	resistant	susceptible
EP39	Fino	resistant	resistant
EP42	Tomiño	susceptible	susceptible
EP47	(EP4 × A239) EP4 ²	susceptible	susceptible
EP73	EPS5	susceptible	susceptible
EP77	EP31 × CM109	resistant	resistant

377 ^a Stem resistance classification based on tunnels length or percentage of damage on the stem after
378 artificial infestation in the field (Butrón et al. 1998; Butrón et al. 2006a).

379 ^b Ear resistance classification based on ear general appearance after artificial infestation in the
380 field (Eizaguirre and Albajes, 1992; Butrón et al. 1998; Butrón et al. 2006a).

381 Table 2. Mean squares from the combined analysis of variance for the borer damage traits and fumonisin contamination evaluated in fifteen hybrids
 382 under two treatment conditions (infested with *S. nonagrioides* vs protected with insecticide) in 2008 and 2009.

Source of Variation ^a	df	Borer Damage Traits			Fumonisin Contamination		
		Stem tunnel length (cm)	Ear appearance (1-9) ^c	Shank appearance (1-9) ^c	Fumonisin B ₁ (µg/g)	Fumonisin B ₂ (µg/g)	Fumonisin FB ₁ + FB ₂ (µg/g)
Year	1	18395.819 *	4.355 **	253.551 **	406.176 **	37.723 **	693.072 **
Replication (Y)	4	43.148	0.483 *	3.978 *	24.584 **	1.885 *	39.073 **
Treatment	1	22995.124	0.782	138.513	0.427	0.204	0.038
Y x T	1	6122.861 *	0.404	36.360 **	0.883	0.004	1.026
R x T(Y)	4	51.288	0.197	0.683	3.949	0.226	5.868
Genotype	14	393.086*	0.254	3.053 *	7.303 *	0.901 *	12.741*
T x G	14	231.271	0.119	1.856	2.788	0.532	5.174
Y x G	14	72.750	0.264	0.717	4.597	0.620	8.322
Y x T x G	14	95.319	0.274 *	1.098	3.529	0.511	6.213
Error ^b	112	137.635	0.157	1.377	3.617	0.517	6.260

383 *, **, Probability level of 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

384 ^a Year: Y; Replication: R; Treatment: T; Genotype: G.

385 ^b Degrees of freedom for Fumonisin B₂ were 111.

386 ° Ear and shank appearance (on a 1–9 point subjective scale determined as follows: 1 = >90% damaged, 2 = 81–90% damaged, 3 = 71–80% damaged, 4
387 = 61–70% damaged, 5 = 41–60% damaged, 6 = 31–40% damaged, 7 = 21–30% damaged, 8 = 20–1% damaged and 9 = 0%).

388 Table 3. Means of borer damage traits and fumonisin contamination of two treatments (infested with *S. nonagrioides* vs protected with insecticide)
 389 evaluated in fifteen hybrids in 2008 and 2009.

Year	Treatment	Borer Damage Traits			Fumonisin Contamination		
		Stem tunnel length (cm)	Ear appearance (1-9) ^a	Shank appearance (1-9) ^a	Fumonisin B ₁ (µg/g)	Fumonisin B ₂ (µg/g)	Fumonisin FB ₁ + FB ₂ (µg/g)
2008	Insecticide	3.99 b	8.49 a	8.75 a	3.85 a	1.08 a	4.93 a
	<i>Sesamia</i>	14.93 a	8.46 a	7.90 b	3.61 a	1.14 a	4.75 a
	LSD (P≤0.05)	7.69	---	0.66	---	---	---
2009	Insecticide	12.54 b	8.28 a	7.27 a	0.71 a	0.15 a	0.86 a
	<i>Sesamia</i>	46.81 a	8.05 b	4.62 b	0.75 a	0.23 a	0.98 a
	LSD (P≤0.05)*	5.02	0.46	0.82	---	---	---

390 *For each year, means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P≤0.05).

391 ^a Ear and shank appearance (on a 1–9 point subjective scale determined as follows: 1 = >90% damaged, 2 = 81–90% damaged, 3 = 71–80% damaged, 4
 392 = 61–70% damaged, 5 = 41–60% damaged, 6 = 31–40% damaged, 7 = 21–30% damaged, 8 = 20–1% damaged and 9 = 0%).

393 Table 4. Means of fifteen hybrids evaluated for fumonisin contamination and ear appearance in individual (under infestation with *Sesamia nonagrioides* in
 394 2008 and 2009) and combined analysis of variance (under infestation with *S. nonagrioides* and protection with insecticide in both years).

Genotype	Infested with <i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i>				Combined Treatments	
	Year 2008		Year 2009		Combined Years	
	Fumonisin FB ₁ + FB ₂ (µg/g)	Ear appearance (1-9) ^a	Fumonisin FB ₁ + FB ₂ (µg/g)	Ear appearance (1-9) ^a	Fumonisin FB ₁ + FB ₂ (µg/g)	Ear appearance (1-9) ^a
EP39 × CM151	1.10 a	8.66 a	1.57 abc	7.86 bcd	1.79 cd	8.53
EP39 × EP42	5.44 a	8.33 a	0.00 c	8.46 a	3.65 abc	8.45
EP39 × EP47	6.95 a	8.88 a	1.95 abc	7.73 cd	4.66 ab	8.27
EP39 × EP73	6.51 a	8.66 a	2.73 a	7.73 cd	4.76 a	8.26
EP39 × EP77	5.33 a	8.66 a	0.03 c	8.46 a	2.68 bcd	8.53
EP42 × CM151	3.55 a	8.66 a	0.07 c	8.46 a	2.33 cd	8.47
EP42 × EP47	5.17 a	8.44 a	0.26 bc	7.93 abcd	2.09 cd	8.24
EP42 × EP73	7.48 a	8.11 a	0.87 abc	7.93 abcd	2.88 abcd	8.22
EP42 × EP77	1.76 a	8.55 a	0.03 c	8.20 abc	1.79 cd	8.42
EP47 × CM151	3.12 a	8.11 a	0.80 abc	8.06 abcd	2.55 cd	8.20

EP47 × EP73	6.76 a	8.00 a	0.75 abc	7.93 abcd	2.92 abcd	8.05
EP47 × EP77	8.15 a	8.11 a	0.02 c	8.20 abc	3.60 abc	8.35
EP73 × CM151	1.89 a	8.66 a	2.46 ab	7.80 cd	2.57 cd	8.32
EP73 × EP77	4.72 a	8.66 a	3.02 a	7.6 d	3.79 abc	8.11
EP77 × CM151	3.40 a	8.33 a	0.20 bc	8.40 ab	1.24 d	8.37
LSD (P≤0.05)*	----	----	2.38**	0.56	2.02	----

395 *Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P≤0.05).

396 ** Means within this column are significantly different at P≤0.1.

397 ^a Ear and shank appearance (on a 1–9 point subjective scale determined as follows: 1 = >90% damaged, 2 = 81–90% damaged, 3 = 71–80% damaged,

398 4 = 61–70% damaged, 5 = 41–60% damaged, 6 = 31–40% damaged, 7 = 21–30% damaged, 8 = 20–1% damaged and 9 = 0%).