1 **Enzyme-assisted extraction of κ/ι-hybrid carrageenan from Mastocarpus stellatus for**

2 **obtaining bioactive ingredients and their application for edible active films development**

3 N. BLANCO-PASCUAL, A. ALEMÁN, M.C. GÓMEZ-GUILLÉN & M.P. MONTERO*

4

5 Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos y Nutrición (ICTAN-CSIC)**,

6 C/ José Antonio Nováis, 28040 – Madrid (Spain)

7

8 * Corresponding author. Tel: +34-31-5492300; fax: +34-91-5493627.

9 E-mail address: mpmontero@ictan.csic.es

10 ** This centre has implemented and maintains a Quality Management System which fulfils the

11 requirements of the ISO standard 9001:2000.

12

13

14 **Abstract**

15 Two hydrolysates were obtained from dried *Mastocarpus stellatus* using alcalase. Phenolic

16 content was partially removed from one of them. The phenolic-partially-removed hydrolysate (H)

17 was found to be a potent Angiotensin-I converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor. However, the

18 phenolic-containing hydrolysate (Hp), showed a higher Folin-reactive substance content and

19 antioxidant capacity (reducing power and radical scavenging capacity). Hp was therefore

20 selected for the development of antioxidant Mastocarpus carrageenan-based films. F-Hp0

21 (without hydrolysate), F-Hp15 (with 15% hydrolysate) and F-Hp30 (with 30% hydrolysate) films

22 were developed. κ/ι-hybrid carrageenan was the main film constituent and hydrolysate addition

23 resulted in an increased sulfated proportion, higher protein content and higher number of

24 hydrogen bonds. Therefore interactions between carrageenan helices, plasticizer and peptides

25 in the film-forming solution were enhanced, especially in F-Hp15, and consequently the water

26 vapour permeability (*WVP*) of the resulting film decreased. Nevertheless, F-Hp30 considerably

27 improved transparency, UV/Vis light barrier, water resistance and elongation at break (*EAB*). Hp

28 presence increased both puncture force (*F*) and puncture elongation (*E*), but not tensile strength

29 (*TS*) or Young's modulus (*Y*). The addition of an increased concentration of hydrolysate to the

30 films led to a considerable increase in the Folin-reactive substance content and the antioxidant

31 activity, especially the radical scavenging capacity.

32 **Keywords**: Seaweeds*,* Mastocarpus, films, hydrolysate, antioxidant, antihypertensive

33

1. Introduction

Seaweeds have recently been included in Western diets as food and also as components of functional products because of their richness in polysaccharides, proteins,[1] minerals and vitamins. Moreover, seaweeds are an excellent source of bioactive substances such as sulfated polysaccharides, peptides and polyphenols with biological activities, including antioxidant and antihypertensive properties.[2-5]

In the last decade, new marine bioprocess technologies have allowed the isolation of substances with antioxidant properties or bioactive peptides by enzymatic hydrolysis.[6] Seaweeds have proved to be a good source of peptides and polyphenols.[7-9] Red algae (Rhodophyta) are known to have a high protein content, mainly composed of bioactive phycobiliproteins[10] and other wall proteins that might be more efficiently extracted by an enzyme-assisted treatment.[11,12]

Mastocarpus stellatus is one of the few carrageenophyte species on the Atlantic coast currently harvested for phycocolloid industry purposes, but it is still underutilized.[13]

Commercial carrageenan is commonly extracted at alkaline conditions (pH 7–9) at temperatures near boiling point (80–110 °C) for 3–4 h, providing yields of 20–40%.[13,14] However, high molecular weight carrageenan can also be extracted at mild temperatures (50 °C) for 1–5 h.[15]

κ/ι-hybrid carrageenan has been reported to be the main biopolymer structure extracted from *M. stellatus*,[16] although other components, such as proteins, minerals and polyphenols, are also present in significant amounts.[17] Mastocarpus enzymatic hydrolysis could produce both antihypertensive and antioxidant extracts, as previously reported with another species of the Rhodophyta phylum.[18,19] Protein hydrolysates from different origins have been incorporated in the formulation of protein-based films to improve or confer bioactivity.[20,21]

Mastocarpus extraction could be maximized by first performing an enzymatic hydrolysis at mild temperatures and alkaline conditions followed by carrageenan precipitation and bioactive compound isolation.

Antioxidants have been widely used as food additives both to improve lipid oxidation stability and to extend the product shelf life. The potential use of films as antioxidant releasing packages, capable of improving food preservation, would be a rather interesting application.

The aims of the present study were: (i) to obtain two different potentially bioactive hydrolysates with antioxidant and/or antihypertensive capacities from dried *Mastocarpus stellatus*, and (ii) to develop antioxidant Mastocarpus carrageenan-based films as an active edible food packaging material.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Seaweed sampling

Samples of *Mastocarpus stellatus* (M), kindly supplied by Porto-Muiños (Cerceda, A Coruña, Spain), were washed several times with running tap water and air-dried at 50 °C for 24–48 h in a ventilated oven. Seaweed samples were stored in sealed plastic bags at 2–4 °C for 1 week until use.

2.2. Unrefined biopolymer extraction

Dried seaweed was homogenized using an Osterizer blender (Oster, Aravaca, Madrid, Spain) with water in a 1:15 (w:v) proportion and kept for 12 h at 3 ± 2 °C. The seaweed was then filtered and subjected to two consecutive extractions in water at a 1:30 (w:v) proportion, at 91 °C for 2 h during the first step and 1.5 h during the second one. Each extract was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min (Sorvall Evolution RC Centrifuge, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Landsmeer, The Netherlands) and blended. The supernatant was dried in an oven (FD 240 Binder, Tuttlingen, Germany) at 65.0 ± 0.8 °C and this constituted the Mastocarpus biopolymer extract, which was stored at room temperature.

2.3. Seaweed hydrolysis

Dried seaweed was mixed with 4% distilled water (w/v) and subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis for 3 h, using alcalase 2.4L (EC 3.4.21.14, 2.64 AU/g, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) in optimal conditions for enzymatic activity (pH 8, 50 °C). The enzyme-substrate (seaweed) ratio

86   was 1:20 (w:w) and the pH of the reaction was kept constant by addition of 1 N NaOH solution

87   to the reaction medium using a pH-stat (TIM 856, Radiometer Analytical, Villeurbanne Cedex,

88   France). The enzyme was inactivated by heating at 90 °C for 10 min. The hydrolysate was

89   centrifuged at 7000 g for 15 min. The supernatant was subjected to two consecutive

90   carrageenan extractions by precipitation with ethanol 1:3 (v/v) at 4 °C for 2 h. The precipitated

91   carrageenan was dried at 65 ± 0.8 °C and weighed in order to evaluate extraction yields. The

92   carrageenan-free liquid phase was centrifuged at 13000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was

93   concentrated by rotary evaporation and was subsequently subjected to five organic extractions

94   with ethyl acetate 1:5 (v/v), to remove most of the polyphenols and other compounds such as

95   pigments. After decanting, the successive aqueous phases were concentrated by rotary

96   evaporation. The concentrate was lyophilized, and this constituted the phenolic-partially-

97   removed hydrolysate (H). The phenolic-containing hydrolysate (Hp) was obtained under the

98   same conditions as described above, with the exception of the removal of polyphenol

99   compounds with ethyl acetate. The Hp hydrolysate was selected for active film development.

100   **2.3.1. Amino acid analysis of hydrolysates**

101   The amino acid composition of the hydrolysates (H, Hp) was determined using a Biochrom 20

102   amino acid analyser (Pharmacia, Barcelona, Spain) according to the method described by

103   Alemán et al.[22] The results were expressed as number of amino acid residues per 1000

104   residues.

105   **2.3.2. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibition of hydrolysates**

106   Reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) was used to determine

107   ACE-inhibitory capacity of the hydrolysates (H, Hp), according to the method described by

108   Alemán et al.[22] The IC_{50} value was defined as the concentration of hydrolysate (μg/mL) required

109   to inhibit 50% of ACE activity.

110   **2.4. Film preparation**

111   Three film-forming solutions were prepared to obtain the following films: F-Hp0 (without the

112   addition of hydrolysate), F-Hp15 (with 15% hydrolysate) and F-Hp30 (with 30% hydrolysate).

113   Film-forming solutions (FS) (2% w/v) were prepared from Mastocarpus biopolymer extract by

114   adding hot distilled water (90 °C) and homogenizing with a T25 basic Ultra-Turrax (IKA-Werke

115   GmbH & Co. KG, D-79219 Staufen, Germany) at 17500–21500 rpm for 5 min. A portable pH-

116   meter series 3 Star Orion with an electrode pH ROSS (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.,

117   Landsmeer, Netherlands) was used for pH measurements (6.4-6.8). Glycerol (Panreac

118   Química S.A., Barcelona, Spain) was added at 10% (w/w) in relation to the seaweed extract

119   content. The film-forming solutions were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 min to remove air

120   bubbles. Hp was then added at 15 and 30% (w/w) in relation to the seaweed extract content,

121   and was magnetically stirred for 5 minutes. The film-forming solutions were cast into petri dishes

122   and dried in an oven (FD 240 Binder, Tuttlingen, Germany) at 35.0 ± 0.8 °C for 21 h. All the

123   films were conditioned at 58.0 ± 0.2% RH and 22 ± 1 °C for 4 days prior to analysis.

124   **2.5. Viscoelastic properties of film-forming solutions (FS)**

125   A dynamic viscoelastic study of the film-forming solutions was carried out on a Bohlin CVO-100

126   rheometer (Bohlin Instruments Ltd., Gloucestershire, UK) using a cone-plate geometry (cone

127   angle 4°, gap 0.15 mm). A dynamic frequency sweep from 0.1 to 10 Hz took place at auto

128   stress, at a temperature of 10 °C and a target strain of 0.005%. The elastic modulus (G'; Pa)

129   and viscous modulus (G''; Pa) were plotted as functions of the frequency ramp. To characterize

130   the frequency dependence of G' over the limited frequency range, the following power law was

131   used:

132    $G' = G_0' \omega^n$

133   where G_0' is the energy stored and recovered per cycle of sinusoidal shear deformation at an

134   angular frequency of 1 Hz, ω is the angular frequency and n is the power law exponent, which

135   should exhibit an ideal elastic behaviour near zero in gels. At least two determinations were

136   performed for each sample. The experimental error was less than 6% in all cases.

137   **2.6. Viscosity**

138   A viscosity test for film-forming solutions was performed at 25 °C in the cone-plate cell (cone

139   angle 4°, gap = 150 mm) of the Bohlin rheometer at a constant shear rate of 0.5 s^{-1}. The results

140   are averages of eight determinations and are expressed as Pa·s.

2.7. Thermal properties

Calorimetric analyses of extracts and films were performed using a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) model TA-Q1000 (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA) previously calibrated by running high-purity indium (melting point, 156.4 °C; melting enthalpy, 28.44 J/g). Samples of around 10–15 mg were tightly encapsulated in aluminium hermetic pans. They were scanned under dry nitrogen purge (50 mL/min) between 5 and 180 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Peak temperatures (T_{peak}, °C) and enthalpies of conformational changes (ΔH) were measured at least in triplicate, the latter data being normalized to dry matter content (J/g_{dm}) after desiccation of each particular capsule.

2.8. ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

Extract and film infrared spectra between 4000 and 650 cm^{-1} were recorded at least in triplicate using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 400 Infrared Spectrometer (Perkin–Elmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), as described by Ojagh et al.[23]

2.9. Antioxidant activities of hydrolysates and films

ABTS radical [2,2′-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)] scavenging capacity and FRAP (ferric reducing ability of plasma) were used to measure the antioxidant activity of the hydrolysates (H, Hp) and films (F-Hp0, F-Hp15, F-Hp30). Both hydrolysates and films were dissolved in distilled water and shaken until they were totally homogeneous. The film solutions were filtered through Whatman No. 1 paper. The method used for the FRAP and ABTS assays was previously described by Alemán et al.[22] Results were expressed as μmoles Fe^{2+} equivalents/g for FRAP and mg Vitamin C Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (VCEAC)/g) for ABTS, based on standard curves of $FeSO_4 7H_2O$ and vitamin C, respectively. All determinations were performed at least in triplicate.

2.10. Folin-reactive substances content of hydrolysates and films

Total Folin-reactive substances content was determined according to a modified method by Slinkard and Singleton with the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent.[24] An aliquot of 10 μL of sample was mixed with 750 μL of distilled water and oxidized with 50 μL of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent. The

168 reaction was neutralized with 150 µL of sodium carbonate solution and incubated for 2 h at

169 room temperature. The absorbance of the resulting blue colour was measured at 765 nm (UV-

170 1601, model CPS-240, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Results were expressed as mg gallic acid

171 (GA) equivalent/g of sample. All determinations were performed at least in triplicate.

2.11. Film determinations

2.11.1. Thickness

174 The thickness was measured using a micrometer (MDC-25M, Mitutoyo, Kanagawa, Japan),

175 averaging the values of 6–8 random locations in 15 films for each treatment as described by

176 Pérez-Mateos *et al.*[25]

2.11.2. Moisture content

178 The moisture content was determined at least in triplicate by drying samples of around 0.5 g at

179 105°C for 24 h, according to A.O.A.C. (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995).[26]

180 Water content was expressed as a percentage of the total weight.

2.11.3. Protein content

182 The protein content was determined by a LECO FP-2000 nitrogen/protein analyser (Leco Corp.,

183 St. Joseph, MI, USA), according to Dumas (A.O.A.C., 2005) [27] and using a nitrogen-to-protein

184 conversion factor of 6.25.

2.11.4. Light absorption and transparency

186 The light barrier properties and transparency of the films were calculated at least in triplicate

187 using a UV-1601 spectrophotometer (model CPS-240, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at selected

188 wavelengths from 250 to 800 nm following the method described by Pérez-Mateos *et al.*[25]

189 The films were cut into a rectangle piece and directly placed in the spectrophotometer test cell,

190 using an empty test cell as the reference. Transparency was calculated by the equation

191 Transparency = $-\log(T_{600}/x)$, where T600 is the light transmission (T) at 600 nm, and x is the

192 film thickness (mm).

193 **2.11.5. Colour**

194 The colour parameters lightness (L^*), redness (a^*), and yellowness (b^*) were measured

195 following the method described by Blanco-Pascual et al.[28]8

196 **2.11.6. Water vapour permeability (*WVP*)**

197 The water vapour permeability (WVP) was determined at least in triplicate following the method

198 described by Sobral et al.[29] A round portion of film was cut out and mounted on a plastic cup

199 (permeation area = 15.90 cm^2) containing silica gel, and the cups were then placed in desiccators

200 with distilled water. Weights were taken every hour for seven hours at 22°C. Water vapor

201 permeability was calculated from the equation WVP= $w.x.t^{-1}.A^{-1}.\Delta P^{-1}$, where w was weight gain

202 (g), x was film thickness (mm), t was time of gain (h), A was permeation area, and ΔP was the

203 change in the partial atmospheric vapor pressure with the silica gel and pure water (2642 Pa at

204 22°C). Results were expressed as g.mm.·h^{-1}.cm^{-2}.Pa^{-1}. All measurements were carried out in

205 triplicate.

206 **2.11.7. Water solubility**

207 Film circumferences of 40 mm in diameter were placed in plastic containers with 50 mL distilled

208 water and placed at 22 °C for 24 h. The solution was then filtered through Whatman # 1 filter

209 paper to recover the remaining undissolved film, which was desiccated at 105 °C for 24 h. Film

210 solubility FS (%) was calculated using the expression $[(W_o - W_f)/W_o] \times 100$, where W_o was the

211 initial weight of the film expressed as dry matter and W_f was the weight of the undissolved

212 desiccated film residue. All tests were carried out at least in triplicate.

213 **2.11.8. Water resistance**

214 Films were fixed onto the opening of calibrated cells (area 15.90 cm^2) and the cells placed in

215 desiccators at 22°C and exposed over distilled water. Distilled water (5 mL) was poured over

216 the film surface. The film deformation due to the water effect, the time when the water started to

217 leak and the time when the film broke were annotated. All tests were carried out at least in

218 triplicate.

2.11.9. Mechanical properties

Tensile and puncture tests were run using a texture analyzer TA.XT plus TA-XT2 (Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY, USA) (58% RH and 22°C) controlled by the Texture Exponent Software (Texture Technologies and by Stable Micro Systems, Ltd., Scarsdale, NY, USA), using a 5 kg load cell. Tensile test: At least three probes were cut rectangular (100 mm x 20 mm), leaving initial grips separation (l_0) of 60mm and using cross-head speed of 100 mm/min. The tensile strength (*TS,* MPa) (break force/initial cross-sectional area) and elongation at break [($l_{break} − l_0$)/l_0] × 100, (*EAB,* %), were determined from the stress *vs* strain curves at the breaking point, and the elastic modulus or Young's modulus (*Y,* MPa) calculated as the slope of the linear initial portion (elastic response zone) of the curve ($l_{break} – l_0$)/l_0. Puncture test: Films of 100X100 mm were fixed in a 35 mm diameter cell and punctured to breaking point with a round-ended stainless steel plunger (5 mm) at a cross-head speed of 100 mm/min, for breaking force (*F*, N), and breaking deformation (*D*, %) data according to Sobral *et al.*[29] which were carried out at least in triplicate at room temperature and keeping the samples at 58% RH until the text performance.

2.12. Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were performed using the SPSS computer programme (SPSS Statistical Software Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) for one-way analysis of variance. The variance homogeneity was evaluated using the Levene test, or the Brown-Forsythe when variance conditions were not fulfilled. Paired comparisons were made using the Bonferroni test or the Tamhane test (depending on variance homogeneity), with the significance of the difference set at $P \leq 0.05$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Extraction yield of seaweed hydrolysis

Carrageenan extraction yield was 28.65% (dry weight basis) and hydrolysate yields were 19.04% for H and 39.17% for Hp (dry weight basis); therefore total seaweed extraction yield by H and Hp hydrolysis was 47.69 and 67.82%, respectively. While H extraction resulted in a

246 similar yield to another previously reported alcalase red seaweed hydrolysis, Hp was much

247 higher than almost all the protease extracts tested.[18]

248 Although carrageenan extraction is normally performed at high temperatures (80 °C),[14] good

249 extraction yields were obtained in the present using milder temperatures (50 °C), thereby

250 demonstrating that these conditions could be suitable for extraction. Montolalu *et al.* indicated

251 that the carrageenan obtained in extractions at 50 °C for long times (5 h) showed good gelling

252 properties, being better than those obtained with shorter times.[15] Therefore, enzymatic

253 hydrolysis would allow concomitant extraction of bioactive compounds (hydrolysate) and

254 carrageenan, improving the total yield and adding value to the seaweed extraction.

255 **3.2. Mastocarpus protein hydrolysates**

256 **3.2.1. Protein content and amino acid composition**

257 The protein content of marine algae varies greatly within species. Reports have shown that, in

258 general, red seaweeds contain high levels of proteins.[30] The dried *Mastocarpus stellatus*

259 contained 15.02 ± 0.53% of protein. Protein content was concentrated in the hydrolysates up to

260 37.86 ± 1.07% for H and 31.32 ± 0.96% for Hp.

261 The amino acid composition of H and Hp, expressed as residues per 1000 total amino acid

262 residues, is shown in Table 1. As expected, a similar amino acid profile was observed in both

263 hydrolysates. Both H and Hp showed high contents of Ser, Gly, Ala, Asp and Glu, and relatively

264 high contents of Leu, Thr, Val, Pro and Phe. The sum of the aspartic and glutamic acid contents

265 was 192 residues/1000 residues and 198 residues/1000 residues for H and Hp, respectively.

266 The high acidic amino acid content is typical of red seaweeds.[19] Nevertheless, some differences

267 between the hydrolysates were noteworthy. Some amino acids (Ser, Thr, Arg, His) were

268 concentrated in the more purified hydrolysate (H), owing to the removal of other amino acids,

269 mainly hydrophobic residues (Ala, Val, Ile, Leu, Pro, Met). These hydrophobic amino acids

270 might have been extracted during the ethyl acetate extraction, suggesting that some of them

271 could be linked to the polyphenols extracted.

272 **3.2.2. ACE-inhibitory capacity**

273 Angiotensin-I converting enzyme (ACE) plays an important role in the regulation of blood

274 pressure and hypertension, because it catalyses the conversion of inactive angiotensin-I into

275 angiotensin-II, a potent vasoconstrictor, and inactivates bradykinin, a potent vasodilator.[31] The

276 amount of Mastocarpus hydrolysate required to inhibit 50% of the ACE activity (IC_{50}) is shown in

277 Table 2.

278 Both H and Hp showed a high ACE-inhibitory capacity. The phenolic-partially-removed

279 hydrolysate (H) showed considerably higher ACE-inhibitory capacity (IC_{50} of 91 μg/mL) than the

280 phenolic-containing hydrolysate (Hp), probably owing to H's higher peptide concentration (7%).

281 Furthermore, some peptides of Hp might be interacting with polyphenols, therefore being less

282 available for ACE binding. However, Jeon reported that, among seven flavourzyme enzymatic

283 digestions of brown seaweed, the hydrolysate with the highest polyphenol content showed the

284 highest ACE-inhibitory capacity.[32] Moreover, some polyphenolic compounds have been shown

285 to exert ACE-inhibitory activity.[33] ACE-inhibitory capacity might also be influenced by small

286 differences in the amino acid compositions of the hydrolysates. Peptide ACE-inhibitory activity

287 could be strongly influenced by the presence of hydrophobic (aromatic or branched side chains)

288 amino acid residues at the C-terminal positions.[31,34] The hydrophilic–hydrophobic partitioning in

289 the sequence is also a critical factor in the inhibitory activity.[35] ACE inhibition is also highly

290 dependent on the molecular weight of peptides, those that are very short and have low

291 molecular weight being more active.[36]

292 The IC_{50} of the ACE-inhibitory capacity of the H hydrolysate was 17.5 times lower than the IC_{50}

293 value of the alcalase hydrolysate derived from red algae *Porphyra yezoensis*.[37] The ACE-

294 inhibitory capacity of algae hydrolysates has been reported in other works.[34,38,39] Although, the

295 use of a different method and its associated modifications to test ACE-inhibitory capacity makes

296 direct comparison of IC_{50} values difficult,[22] H could be considered as a potent ACE-inhibitory

297 hydrolysate.

298 **3.2.3. Folin-reactive substances and antioxidant activity**

299 Folin-reactive substances, ferric reducing power and ABTS radical scavenging ability of the

300 hydrolysates are shown in Table 3. Both hydrolysates presented a noticeable amount of Folin-

301 reactive substances. As was expected, considering the method of hydrolysate preparation, the

302 Folin-reactive substances content was higher in Hp (phenolic-containing hydrolysate) than in H

303 (phenolic-partially-removed hydrolysate). Some polyphenols, however, might not have been

304 fully separated with ethyl acetate in the H hydrolysate. Moreover, although the Folin–Ciocalteu

305 assay is a widely used method to determine total phenolic content, additional substances can

306 react with the Folin reagent, including sugars and proteins, and should be taken into account.[40]

307 The hydrolysis process would allow an improved extraction of phenolic compounds as well as

308 the release of low molecular weight peptides,[18] which contribute to enhance the antioxidant

309 properties. Hp showed higher antioxidant activity than H (1.4 times higher for reducing power

310 and 2.7 times higher for ABTS radical scavenging), probably owing to a greater presence of

311 phenolic compounds in Hp. The positive correlation between the polyphenolic content of algae

312 and their antioxidant activity has been well documented.[41-45]

313 On the other hand, the hydrolysate peptide fraction can also contribute to antioxidant activity. It

314 is well known that biological activities of protein hydrolysates are related to the amino acid

315 composition, sequence, molecular weight and peptide configuration. For example,

316 phosphorylated serine and threonine are known to bind metals,[46] being more hydrophilic and

317 reactive because of their hydroxyl group. Amino acids with non-polar aliphatic groups, such as

318 alanine, leucine or proline, have high reactivity to hydrophobic PUFA radicals, while hydrogen

319 donors such as aspartic and glutamic acids are able to quench unpaired electrons or radicals by

320 supporting protons.[47] The abundance of these amino acids in the peptide sequences of

321 hydrolysates could also be responsible for their antioxidant activity. As previously mentioned,

322 the hydrophobic amino acid content was higher in Hp than in H, which might also have

323 contributed to the higher antioxidant capacity (ABTS and FRAP) of Hp compared with H.

324 Various studies have been carried out to evaluate the antioxidant potential of marine algae

325 hydrolysates.[7,8,18,48-50] However, to our knowledge, no reference has been made in previous

326 studies to the antioxidant or ACE-inhibitory activity of Mastocarpus hydrolysates.

327 Given the Folin-reactive substances content and antioxidant activity results of the hydrolysates,

328 both hydrolysates could be considered potential antioxidants. However, because of its greater

329 potential, Hp was selected to develop active Mastocarpus films with antioxidant activity.

3.3. Development of active films

Increasing concentrations of hydrolysate (Hp) were added to Mastocarpus biopolymer film-forming solutions. Concentrations above 30% produced sticky, unmanageable films. For this reason, 30% was chosen as the maximum hydrolysate concentration that could be used for film development. A concentration of 15% hydrolysate was also chosen in order to maintain a balance between the film's physico-chemical properties and the active properties that could be provided by the hydrolysates.

3.3.1 FTIR-ATR

Infrared spectra of F-Hp0, F-Hp15 and F-Hp30 films and Hp freeze-dried *Mastocarpus stellatus* hydrolysate were measured (Figure 1). Hp was analysed to assess its possible contribution to film structure. All film spectra showed a band at approximately 845 cm^{-1} (C-O-S vibration), assigned to D-galactose-4-sulfate (present in both κ- and ι-carrageenan), and a strong band at 924 cm^{-1}, which indicated the presence of 3,6-anhydro-D-galactose, a typical feature of κ-carrageenan.[51,52] The concomitant presence of κ- and ι-carrageenan features strongly suggested a greater extraction of κ/ι-hybrid carrageenan, as previously reported with *M. stellatus*.[14,53] F-Hp0 and F-Hp15 spectra had similar band intensities, while F-Hp30 had the above-mentioned bands considerably reduced, attributed to the reduced carrageenan amount in this film formulation in comparison with Hp, which had a much lower IR intensity at these wave numbers. Although high temperature (80–90 °C) is adequate for suitable carrageenan extraction, a certain amount of biopolymer might have been extracted during the hydrolysis carried out at 50 °C. In this regard, Montolalu *et al*. reported an appreciable extraction yield of high molecular weight carrageenan at 50 °C in *Kappaphycus alvarezii*.[15] The strong band at 1037 cm^{-1} in Hp confirmed the predominantly polysaccharide nature of the hydrolysate. Moreover, the greater absorption in the Hp IR-spectrum at wave numbers between 1100 and 1150 cm^{-1} as compared to the films also suggested an increased proportion of shorter polysaccharide chains.[54] A small band at approximately 803 cm^{-1} in the film spectra indicated the presence of two sulfate ester groups on the anhydro-D-galactose residues (sulfation on C2), characteristic and distinctive of ι-carrageenan.[51,55] This feature, which was not found in the hydrolysate, was most prominent in F-Hp0, and became smaller with an increasing Hp amount

359　in the film formulation (F-Hp0>F-Hp15>F-Hp30). Despite the presence of ɩ-carrageenan, the *M.*

360　*stellatus* film spectra were quite similar to κ-carrageenan standards, as previously shown by

361　Gómez-Ordóñez and Rupérez.[52] No evidence of a broad band between 820 and 830 cm^{-1} was

362　found, indicating the absence of highly sulfated λ-carrageenan. The second derivative spectra

363　of the films revealed trace evidence at 871 cm^{-1} of μ-carrageenan (κ-carrageenan precursor)

364　and ν-carrageenan (ɩ-carrageenan precursor), which was not observable in Hp (data not

365　shown).

366　The strong absorption bands at ~1216–1217 cm^{-1} (S = O), assigned to the presence of ester

367　sulfate groups, were noticeably lower in F-Hp30, coinciding with the highest proportion of added

368　hydrolysate. The 1217/924 cm^{-1} ratio, as a measure of relative total sulfate groups with respect

369　to κ-carrageenan content, was much higher in Hp (2.6) than in any of the films studied (0.88 in

370　F-Hp0, 0.91 in F-Hp15 and 0.94 in F-Hp30), suggesting an additional source of sulfated

371　compounds in Hp, probably phycobiliproteins with sulfur-containing amino acids.[56] In this

372　respect, Dumay *et al.* observed that enzymatic digestion was an effective treatment for

373　phycoerythrin extraction.[57] Accordingly, the 1217/924 cm^{-1} ratio became higher as a result of

374　the increase in the hydrolysate amount in the film formulation.

375　The IR-spectrum of Hp also revealed strong bands at 3277 cm^{-1}, 2929 cm^{-1} and 1600 cm^{-1} and

376　a small shoulder at 1518 cm^{-1}, which could be assigned, respectively, to amide A, amide B,

377　amide I and amide II of constituent proteins, most likely phycoerythrin and phycocyanin.[58]

378　Comparison of the films showed that as the added hydrolysate percentage increased the amide

379　I amplitude became more evident, and it exhibited a wave number down-shift to 1631 cm^{-1} in F-

380　Hp30 as compared to 1639 cm^{-1} in F-Hp0 and F-Hp15, which denoted more hydrogen bonding

381　in F-Hp30, attributed to the higher proportion of shorter peptides. In addition, the reduced band

382　intensity of the ester sulfate group in F-Hp30 and the slight frequency up-shift from 1216.1 cm^{-1}

383　in F-Hp0 and F-Hp15 to 1217.5 in F-Hp30 could be indicative of appreciable carrageenan-

384　peptide interactions in the film with the highest amount of added hydrolysate.

385　**3.3.2. DSC**

386 DSC thermograms of the freeze-dried *Mastocarpus stellatus* hydrolysate, Hp, and the F-Hp0, F-

387 Hp15 and F-Hp30 films are shown in Figure 2. The hydrolysate showed two main endothermic

388 peak temperatures, T_{peak} (°C), at 86.62 ± 6.22 and 130.16 ± 0.63, and corresponding ΔH (J/g$_{dm}$)

389 values of 3.51 ± 0.72 and 0.48 ± 0.05, respectively, which might correspond to phycoerythrin

390 and phycocyanin fragments.[59] Temperatures were higher than those where protein normally

391 features, probably because hydrolysis might shift maximal peak temperature towards higher

392 temperatures.[60-62] Low enthalpies also suggested the presence of hydrolysis products stabilized

393 by different amounts of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions. Another endothermic

394 transition in Hp with T_{peak} (°C) at 50.75 ± 0.66 and ΔH (J/g$_{dm}$) 0.39 ± 0.05 was evidence of the κ-

395 carrageenan constituent.[60]

396 DSC thermograms of F-Hp0, F-Hp15 and F-Hp30 are shown in Figure 2B. Slight endothermic

397 transitions were hardly observable, with T_{peak} values (°C) of 57.73 ± 0.20 in F-Hp0, 61.07 ± 2.14

398 in F-Hp15 and 64.65 ± 1.86 in F-Hp30, and ΔH (J/g$_{dm}$) of 0.41 ± 0.02, 0.19 ± 0.01 and 0.11 ±

399 0.04 respectively, indicative of the helix-to-coil transition suffered by the κ/ι-carrageenan as a

400 result of the breakage of weak physical cross-links.[63] Thermal transitions, however, were not as

401 sharp as those in a pure carrageenan curve.[64,65] The increased protein content in films with

402 added hydrolysate might promote carrageenan-peptide interactions,[66] which could explain the

403 increase in T_{peak} temperatures. However, the enthalpy reduction suggested a hydrolysate-

404 induced plasticizing effect in the films as a result of increasing the free water and chain mobility.

405 3.3.3. Rheology

406 Figure 3 shows the mechanical spectra of the film-forming solutions at 10 °C, in terms of elastic

407 modulus (G') and viscous modulus (G'') as a function of angular frequency. The F-Hp0 solution,

408 which had the lowest G′ values, was the only one with a crossover point where $G' = G''$. At

409 frequencies below 2 Hz, the F-Hp0 solution was characterized by a dominant viscous behaviour

410 ($G' < G''$), which turned into a gel-like behaviour at higher frequencies; thus it could be classified

411 as a concentrated solution constituting an entanglement network. In the absence of KCl,

412 solutions of κ-carrageenan cooled down to 9 °C have been shown to adopt helical structures,

413 which did not aggregate to form self-supporting gels.[67]

414   In contrast, the F-Hp15 and F-Hp30 solutions showed a typical gel-like behaviour denoted by G'

415   $> G''$ values within the whole frequency range, as previously reported in other studies on

416   carrageenan.[68] The G' values were successfully modelled according to the power law ($r^2 \sim 0.99$)

417   in all three cases. The hydrolysate addition to the film-forming solution at 15% concentration

418   caused a remarkable increase in G', much higher than with 30%. The rheological behaviour of

419   the F-Hp15 solution showed lower frequency dependence of G' than the F-Hp30 and F-Hp0

420   solutions, as deduced from the lowest power law exponent value (n') (0.63 in F-Hp0, 0.40 in F-

421   Hp15, 0.52 in F-Hp30). Hp concentrations higher than 30% conferred sticky, unmanageable

422   properties to the films. All these findings suggest that the hydrolysate added at the appropriate

423   concentration led to stronger gels with increased structural stability, probably due to hydrolysate

424   components (mainly peptides and phenolic compounds) favouring aggregation of carrageenan

425   helices to form a three-dimensional network. Interactions between carrageenan and proteins

426   have previously been shown to produce much stronger gels than single carrageenan gels.[66]

427   Similarly, the ability of polyphenols to interact with polysaccharides forming complexes has

428   been well documented.[69] Nevertheless, the higher hydrolysate amount in the F-Hp30 solution

429   considerably reduced the gel-forming capacity with respect to the F-Hp15 solution, with the

430   helical aggregates probably having more difficulty in being created as a result of a carrageenan-

431   dilution effect.[70]

432   The apparent viscosity of the film-forming solutions, measured at 25 °C and shear rate of 0.5 s^{-}

433   ^{1}, was considerably higher in the F-Hp15 solution (14.89 ± 0.53 Pa·s) than in the F-Hp0 and F-

434   Hp30 solutions (3.47 ± 0.01 Pa·s and 4.72 ± 0.15 Pa·s, respectively), strongly suggesting

435   effective interactions at the right concentration between carrageenan and other compounds

436   naturally present in the hydrolysate, presumably peptides and phenolic compounds.

437   **3.3.4. Light barrier properties**

438   Colour parameters, L^* (lightness), a^* (reddish/greenish) and b^* (yellowish/bluish), are shown in

439   Table 4. All the films were quite similar, having low lightness (28–29) and slightly greenish and

440   yellowish tendencies. The F-Hp30 film exhibited the highest ($P \leq 0.05$) lightness and greenish

441   colouration, and lowest ($P \leq 0.05$) yellowish tendency. Changes in $L^*a^*b^*$ values, however, did

442   not correlate with increasing amounts of added hydrolysate in the film, which could be due to a

443 different degree of interactions between protein pigments and carrageenan. Comparing these

444 results with previously developed commercial κ-carrageenan films, the present *M. stellatus* films

445 presented considerably lower lightness and more red tendency, owing to the concomitant

446 extraction of non-carrageenan compounds.[71-73]

447 In general, the films exhibited low light transmission in the UV range (250–300 nm) (0–1.12%)

448 (Figure 4), as compared to commercial κ-carrageenan films,[74] with F-Hp0 providing the least

449 efficient UV barrier. Two absorption peaks were defined in all the films in the ranges 400–450

450 nm and 600–700 nm, which might be associated with the presence of pigments, such as

451 carotenoids and chlorophyll, which absorb at 400–450 (violet-blue-green colours), and

452 phycoerythrin and phycocyanin at 600 nm (red colour).[75] In the visible range, the light

453 transmission was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) lower in F-Hp30, especially in the wavelength range

454 between 350 and 700 nm, which might be largely due to the increase in thickness associated

455 with the hydrolysate addition, as Table 5 shows. The hydrolysate contained small molecules

456 (mainly peptides and oligosaccharides) that might have interfered in carrageenan helix

457 aggregation during the film drying process. This interference might have caused a plasticizing

458 effect with an increase in free volume that would have resulted in thicker films.

459 **3.3.5. Physico-chemical properties**

460 Slight variations in moisture content were observed among the three film formulations (Table 5),

461 with F-Hp30 showing slightly higher values, which could be related to its increased thickness.

462 The protein content in the films increased significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) with the addition of increasing

463 amounts of hydrolysate (Table 5).

464 **3.3.5.1. Water barrier**

465 No significant differences in film water solubility were found in *M. stellatus* films with either 15 or

466 30% added hydrolysate (Table 5). A similar finding was reported earlier in gelatin films

467 incorporating different percentages of gelatin hydrolysate.[76] Although the solubility values were

468 not high, the films totally lost their original structure, becoming a very viscous solution with

469 gelling tendency at low temperatures. Solubility was similar to previous results obtained in

470 commercial carrageenan films.[73]

471   No significant (P≤0.05) differences were found between F-Hp0 and F-Hp30 water vapour

472   permeability (*WVP*) (Table 5). In contrast, F-Hp15 had the lowest permeability, probably owing

473   to effective carrageenan-protein interactions, as previously commented. Despite the greater

474   thickness of F-Hp30, *WVP* was not reduced by adding 30% hydrolysate. The extra protein and

475   plasticizer effect caused by Hp addition may have resulted in a less dense network.[77] The

476   present films were more water vapour permeable than previously reported commercial

477   carrageenan films.[71-73,78,79]

478   Water resistance test results are shown in Figure 5. Noticeable differences among samples

479   were observed after 10 minutes. Although every film elongated up to 2 cm until breakage, F-

480   Hp0 showed a faster elongation speed (3.2 cm/h) than F-Hp15 and F-Hp30 (~2.9 cm/h). The

481   hydrolysate addition led to a significantly higher breakage resistance in F-Hp30 in comparison

482   with F-Hp0 and F-Hp15, probably related to the higher carrageenan peptide interactions. No

483   water leaking before film breakage could be detected in any sample.

484   **3.3.5.2. Mechanical properties**

485   F-Hp0 had the significantly highest (P≤0.05) tensile strength (*TS*) (Table 5), which was lowest in

486   F-Hp30. The opposite behaviour was found regarding the elongation at break (*EAB*) values,

487   confirming the hydrolysate-induced plasticizing effect in the film. The *TS* and *EAB* values in the

488   three *M. stellatus* films studied were, respectively, higher and lower than the results reported

489   with commercial κ-carrageenan or ι-carrageenan films,[73,79] suggesting a reinforcement effect

490   caused by the presence of other non-carrageenan components. As far as Young's modulus (*Y*)

491   is concerned (Table 5), the highest stiffness also corresponded to F-Hp0 (P≤0.05), decreasing

492   with increasing amount of Hp. The small molecules (mainly peptides and oligosaccharides) that

493   form part of the hydrolysate have been proved to act as film plasticizers by preventing

494   carrageenan helix associations and increasing the molecular mobility of polymer chains, which

495   in the case of F-Hp30 was favoured by the increased water plasticizing effect. Similarly,

496   Salgado *et al*. also observed a reduction in *TS* and *Y* and an increase in *EAB* in protein films

497   with added hydrolysate, which, in view of the lack of film moisture increase, was attributed to

498   interferences in protein cross-linking.[21]

499   There were no significant differences in puncture force (*F*) between F-Hp0 and F-Hp15 (Table

500   5), whereas F-Hp30 had higher values (P≤0.05). Puncture deformation (*D*) was also significantly

501   higher (P≤0.05) in F-Hp30, with no differences between F-Hp0 and F-Hp15. It is worth noting

502   the different information provided by both type of mechanical tests. The high plasticizing effect

503   exerted by the hydrolysate in F-Hp30, mostly by preventing carrageenan helix associations, was

504   the main factor determining the film tensile properties; however, this film showed higher

505   resistance to perforation, which could be attributed to some carrageenan-protein interactions.

506   Tensile strength gives more general information about the film resistance to traction, while

507   puncture test focuses on the resistance to perforation in a specific matrix area.

508   **3.3.6. Antioxidant activity and Folin-reactive substances of the films**

509   Folin reactive substances, ferric reducing power and ABTS radical scavenging capacity of the

510   films are shown in Table 3. Films without algae hydrolysates (F-Hp0) contained Folin reactive

511   substances and exhibited some antioxidant activity measured by both FRAP and ABTS assays.

512   The incorporation of increasing concentrations of Hp significantly increased the Folin-reactive

513   substances content (3-fold increase in F-Hp30), as well as FRAP and ABTS values (3- and 9-

514   fold increase, respectively, in F-Hp30).

515   The antioxidant properties of squid gelatin films and sunflower films were also improved by the

516   addition of hydrolysates from squid gelatin and bovine plasma, respectively, but the antioxidant

517   activity increase reported was much lower than in the present work.[21,76]

518   **4. CONCLUSION**

519   Enzyme-assisted *Mastocarpus stellatus* hydrolysis could be a complementary way to extract

520   bioactive components in addition to carrageenan. The more purified peptide hydrolysate

521   (phenolic content partially removed) showed the highest ACE-inhibitory capacity; while keeping

522   peptides and polyphenols together resulted in a more antioxidant hydrolysate, which was

523   suitable as active ingredient for antioxidant film development. The addition of the hydrolysate

524   resulted in a more plasticized film by reducing the tensile strength and increasing the elongation

525   at break, however, the film was more resistant to perforation. In view of the results obtained,

526   these films might be used as a complementary material for active packaging development.

531 **REFERENCES**

532 1.   J. Fleurence, *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 1999, **10**, 25-28.
533 2.   A. Jimenez-Escrig, E. Gomez-Ordonez and P. Ruperez, *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 2012, **24**,
534   1123-1132.
535 3.   M. Plaza, A. Cifuentes and E. Ibáñez, *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 2008,
536   **19**, 31-39.
537 4.   B. H. Sarmadi and A. Ismail, *Peptides*, 2010, **31**, 1949-1956.
538 5.   M. S. Tierney, A. K. Croft and M. Hayes, *Botanica Marina*, 2010, **53**, 387-408.
539 6.   D. H. Ngo, I. Wijesekara, T. S. Vo, Q. Van Ta and S. K. Kim, *Food Research*
540   *International*, 2011, **44**, 523-529.
541 7.   R. E. Cian, M. Alaiz, J. Vioque and S. R. Drago, *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 2012, 1-10.
542 8.   S. J. Heo, E. J. Park, K. W. Lee and Y. J. Jeon, *Bioresource Technology*, 2005, **96**,
543   1613-1623.
544 9.   M. Senevirathne, C. B. Ahn and J. Y. Je, *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 2010, **19**,
545   1551-1557.
546 10.   C. Fitzgerald, E. Gallagher, D. Tasdemir and M. Hayes, *Journal of Agricultural and*
547   *Food Chemistry*, 2011, **59**, 6829-6836.
548 11.   Y. Joubert and J. Fleurence, *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 2008, **20**, 55-61.
549 12.   C. Denis, M. Morancais, P. Gaudin and J. Fleurence, *Botanica Marina*, 2009, **52**, 262-
550   267.
551 13.   L. Pereira, A. T. Critchley, A. M. Amado and P. J. A. Ribeiro-Claro, *J. Appl. Phycol.*,
552   2009, **21**, 599-605.
553 14.   L. Hilliou, F. D. S. Larotonda, P. Abreu, A. M. Ramos, A. M. Sereno and M. P.
554   Gonçalves, *Biomolecular Engineering*, 2006, **23**, 201-208.
555 15.   R. I. Montolalu, Y. Tashiro, S. Matsukawa and H. Ogawa, *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 2008, **20**,
556   521-526.
557 16.   L. Hilliou, F. D. S. Larotonda, A. M. Sereno and M. P. Gonçalves, *Journal of Agricultural*
558   *and Food Chemistry*, 2006, **54**, 7870-7878.
559 17.   S. Sekar and M. Chandramohan, *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 2008, **20**, 113-136.
560 18.   T. Wang, R. Jónsdóttir, H. G. Kristinsson, G. O. Hreggvidsson, J. T. Jónsson, G.
561   Thorkelsson and G. Ólafsdóttir, *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 2010, **43**, 1387-
562   1393.
563 19.   R. E. Cian, O. Martínez-Augustin and S. R. Drago, *Food Research International*, 2012,
564   **49**, 364-372.
565 20.   B. Gimenez, J. Gomez-Estaca, A. Aleman, M. C. Gomez-Guillen and M. P. Montero,
566   *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2009, **23**, 585-592.
567 21.   P. R. Salgado, G. B. Fernández, S. R. Drago and A. N. Mauri, *Food Hydrocolloids*,
568   2011, **25**, 1433-1440.
569 22.   A. Alemán, E. Pérez-Santín, S. Bordenave-Juchereau, I. Arnaudin, M. C. Gómez-
570   Guillén and P. Montero, *Food Research International*, 2011, **44**, 1044-1051.
571 23.   S. M. Ojagh, R. Nunez-Flores, M. E. Lopez-Caballero, M. P. Montero and M. C. Gomez-
572   Guillen, *Food Chemistry*, 2011, **125**, 595-606.
573 24.   K. Slinkard and V. L. Singleton, *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 1977, **28**,
574   49-55.
575 25.   M. Perez-Mateos, P. Montero and M. C. Gomez-Guillen, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2009, **23**,
576   53-61.
577 26   A.O.A.C. (1995) Official methods of analysis. Maryland, USA: Association of Official
578   Analytical Chemiststry.
579 27.   A.O.A.C. (2005) In W. Horwitz, *Official Methods of Analysis* (18th edition). Maryland:
580   Association of Official Analytical Chemiststry.

581 28. N. Blanco-Pascual, F. Fernández-Martín and M. P. Montero, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2013,
582   **33**, 118-131.
583 29. P. J. A. Sobral, F. C. Menegalli, M. D. Hubinger and M. A. Roques, *Food Hydrocolloids*,
584   2001, **15**, 423-432.
585 30. P. A. Harnedy and R. J. FitzGerald, *Journal of Phycology*, 2011, **47**, 218-232.
586 31. B. A. Murray and R. J. FitzGerald, *Curr Pharm Des*, 2007, **13**, 773-791.
587 32. Y.-J. Jeon, *Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 2005, **10**, 134-139.
588 33. M. Á. Pozo-Bayón, J. M. Alcaíde, M. C. Polo and E. Pueyo, *Food Chemistry*, 2007, **100**,
589   43-47.
590 34. M. Sato, T. Hosokawa, T. Yamaguchi, T. Nakano, K. Muramoto, T. Kahara, K.
591   Funayama and A. Kobayashi, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2002, **50**,
592   6245-6252.
593 35. I. C. Sheih, T. J. Fang and T.-K. Wu, *Food Chemistry*, 2009, **115**, 279-284.
594 36. A. Alemán and O.Martínez, *The Natural Products Journal*,2013, **3**, 105-114.
595 37. W. Qu, H. Ma, Z. Pan, L. Luo, Z. Wang and R. He, *Food Chemistry*, 2010, **123**, 14-20.
596 38. H. L. He, X. L. Chen, H. Wu, C. Y. Sun, Y. Z. Zhang and B. C. Zhou, *Bioresource*
597   *Technology*, 2007, **98**, 3499-3505.
598 39. K. Suetsuna, *Journal of marine biotechnology*, 1998, **6**, 163-167.
599 40. R. L. Prior, X. Wu and K. Schaich, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2005,
600   **53**, 4290-4302.
601 41. Y. Athukorala, K.-N. Kim and Y.-J. Jeon, *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2006, **44**,
602   1065-1074.
603 42. R. Karawita, N. Siriwardhana, K.-W. Lee, M.-S. Heo, I.-K. Yeo, Y.-D. Lee and Y.-J.
604   Jeon, *European Food Research and Technology*, 2005, **220**, 363-371.
605 43. N. Siriwardhana, K.-W. Lee, Y.-J. Jeon, S.-H. Kim and J.-W. Haw, *Food Science and*
606   *Technology International*, 2003, **9**, 339-346.
607 44. T. Wang, R. Jonsdottir and G. Ólafsdóttir, *Food Chemistry*, 2009, **116**, 240-248.
608 45. A.-R. Kim, T.-S. Shin, M.-S. Lee, J.-Y. Park, K.-E. Park, N.-Y. Yoon, J.-S. Kim, J.-S.
609   Choi, B.-C. Jang and D.-S. Byun, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2009, **57**,
610   3483-3489.
611 46. R. J. Elias, S. S. Kellerby and E. A. Decker, *Critical reviews in food science and*
612   *nutrition*, 2008, **48**, 430-441.
613 47. Z.-J. Qian, W.-K. Jung and S.-K. Kim, *Bioresource Technology*, 2008, **99**, 1690-1698.
614 48. C.-B. Ahn, Y.-J. Jeon, D.-S. Kang, T.-S. Shin and B.-M. Jung, *Food Research*
615   *International*, 2004, **37**, 253-258.
616 49. P.-J. Park, F. Shahidi and Y.-J. Jeon, *Journal of Food Lipids*, 2004, **11**, 15-27.
617 50. J.-Y. Je, P.-J. Park, E.-K. Kim, J.-S. Park, H.-D. Yoon, K.-R. Kim and C.-B. Ahn, *LWT -*
618   *Food Science and Technology*, 2009, **42**, 874-878.
619 51. L. Pereira, A. Sousa, H. Coelho, A. M. Amado and P. J. A. Ribeiro-Claro, *Biomolecular*
620   *Engineering*, 2003, **20**, 223-228.
621 52. E. Gómez-Ordóñez and P. Rupérez, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2011, **25**, 1514-1520.
622 53. F. van de Velde, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2008, **22**, 727-734.
623 54. T. Sun, H. Tao, J. Xie, S. Zhang and X. Xu, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 2010,
624   **117**, 194-199.
625 55. J. Prado-Fernández, J. A. Rodríguez-Vázquez, E. Tojo and J. M. Andrade, *Analytica*
626   *Chimica Acta*, 2003, **480**, 23-37.
627 56. P. Ó. Carra, C. Ó Heocha and D. M. Carroll, *Biochemistry*, 1964, **3**, 1343-1350.
628 57. J. Dumay, N. Clément, M. Morançais and J. Fleurence, *Bioresource Technology*, 2013,
629   **131**, 21-27.
630 58. C. M. Smith and R. S. Alberte, *Marine Biology*, 1994, **118**, 511-522.
631 59. S. K. Mishra, A. Shrivastav and S. Mishra, *Process Biochemistry*, 2008, **43**, 339-345.
632 60. K. Nishinari and M. Watase, *Thermochimica Acta*, 1992, **206**, 149-162.
633 61. R. Briones-Martínez, M. Juárez-Juárez, M. C. Oliver-Salvador and M. I. Cortés-
634   Vázquez, *Journal of Thermal Analysis*, 1997, **49**, 831-837.
635 62. I. S. Chronakis, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2001, **49**, 888-898.
636 63. K. S. Hossain, K. Miyanaga, H. Maeda and N. Nemoto, *Biomacromolecules*, 2001, **2**,
637   442-449.
638 64. M. Matsuo, T. Tanaka and L. Ma, *Polymer*, 2002, **43**, 5299-5309.
639 65. M. Iijima, T. Hatakeyama, M. Takahashi and H. Hatakeyama, *Thermochimica Acta*,
640   2007, **452**, 53-58.

641   66.   R. I. Baeza, D. J. Carp, O. E. Pérez and A. M. R. Pilosof, *LWT - Food Science and*
642   *Technology*, 2002, **35**, 741-747.

643   67.   M. C. Núñez-Santiago, A. Tecante, C. Garnier and J. L. Doublier, *Food Hydrocolloids*,
644   2011, **25**, 32-41.

645   68.   D. Lafargue, D. Lourdin and J. L. Doublier, *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 2007, **70**, 101-111.

646   69.   C. Le Bourvellec and C. M. G. C. Renard, *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*,
647   2012, **52**, 213-248.

648   70.   R. J. Andrade, A. G. Azevedo, R. M. Musampa and J. M. Maia, *Rheologica Acta*, 2010,
649   **49**, 401-410.

650   71.   M. D. Sanchez-Garcia, L. Hilliou and J. M. Lagaron, *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
651   *Chemistry*, 2010, **58**, 6884-6894.

652   72.   J. W. Rhim, A. Gennadios, A. Handa, C. L. Weller and M. A. Hanna, *Journal of*
653   *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2000, **48**, 4937-4941.

654   73.   S. Shojaee-Aliabadi, H. Hosseini, M. A. Mohammadifar, A. Mohammadi, M. Ghasemlou,
655   S. M. Ojagh, S. M. Hosseini and R. Khaksar, *International Journal of Biological*
656   *Macromolecules*, 2013, **52**, 116-124.

657   74.   M. D. Sánchez-García, L. Hilliou and J. M. Lagarón, *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
658   *Chemistry*, 2010, **58**, 12847-12857.

659   75.   J. Sühnel, G. Hermann, U. Dornberger and H. Fritzsche, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*
660   *- Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology*, 1997, **1340**, 253-267.

661   76.   B. Giménez, J. Gómez-Estaca, A. Alemán, M. C. Gómez-Guillén and M. P. Montero,
662   *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2009, **23**, 1322-1327.

663   77.   N. Gontard, S. Guilbert and J. L. Cuq, *Journal of Food Science*, 1993, **58**, 206-211.

664   78.   T. Karbowiak, F. Debeaufort and A. Voilley, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2007, **21**, 879-888.

665   79.   J. T. Martins, M. A. Cerqueira, A. I. Bourbon, A. C. Pinheiro, B. W. S. Souza and A. A.
666   Vicente, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2012, **29**, 280-289.

667

668

669   **Table 1.** Amino acid composition of Mastocarpus hydrolysates (H, Hp)

Amino acid	Number of residues / 1000 residues	
	H	Hp
Asp	102	105
Thr	73	66
Ser	153	92
Glu	90	93
Gly	112	116
Ala	104	120
Cys	5	7
Val	47	65
Met	16	19
Ile	34	40
Leu	72	88
Tyr	28	28
Phe	43	43
His	12	6
Lys	29	26
Arg	27	23
Pro	48	57
Hyp	0	0
Hyl	5	5

670

671

672 **Table 2.** ACE-inhibitory capacity of Mastocarpus hydrolysates

	IC$_{50}$* (µg/mL)
H	91.62 ± 2.44 a
Hp	148.32 ± 3.16 b

677 Different letters (a, b) indicate significant differences (p≤0.05).

678 * IC$_{50}$: concentration (µg/mL) required to inhibit 50% of ACE activity.
679

680 **Table 3.** Antioxidant activity and Folin reactive substances of hydrolysates and films

Sample	ABTS (mg Vit C eq/g)	FRAP (µmol Fe/g)	Folin reactive substances (mg/g)
H	35.95 ± 1.59 a	84.52 ± 1.38 a	36.02 ± 3.26 a
Hp	93.26 ± 2.55 b	106.19 ± 1.05 b	75.61 ± 0.56 b
F-Hp0	3.07 ± 0.18 a	4.54 ± 0.08 a	7.33 ± 0.34 a
F-Hp15	17.56 ± 0.90 b	11.77 ± 0.38 b	15.97 ± 1.46 b
F-Hp30	27.51 ± 0.83 c	13.75 ± 0.06 c	22.17 ± 0.36 c

681 Results are the mean ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA: Different letters indicate
682 significant differences among the different hydrolysates (H) or different films (F) (P≤0.05).

683

684 **Table 4.** L^*, a^*, b^* and Transparency ($-\log(T_{600}/x)$) of F-Hp0, F-Hp15 and F-Hp30

Film	L^*	a^*	b^*	Transparency
F-Hp0	28.65 ± 0. 29 a	-0.57 ± 0.03 a	4.57 ± 0. 11 a	7.14 ± 0.24 a
F-Hp15	27.99 ± 0.08 b	-0.30 ± 0.05 b	4.72 ± 0. 04 b	6.61 ± 0.30 ab
F-Hp30	29.25 ± 0.05 c	-0.70 ± 0.03 c	4.36 ± 0.04 c	6.12 ± 0.12 b

685 Results are the mean ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA: Different letters indicate
686 significant differences among the different films (P≤0.05).

687

688 **Table 5.** Thickness, moisture, protein content, film solubility, water vapour permeability (*WVP*),
689 tensile strength (*TS*), elongation at break (*EAB*), Young's modulus (*Y*), puncture force (*F*) and
690 puncture deformation (*D*) of F-Hp0, F-Hp15 and F-Hp30

	F-Hp0	F-Hp15	F-Hp30
Thickness (µm)	51.82 ± 3.34 a	56.05 ± 3.65 a	68.36 ± 4.04 b
Moisture (%)	13.46 ± 0.28 a	12. 13 ± 0. 14 b	14.59 ± 2.75 ab
Protein content (%)	8.90 ± 0.06 a	10.98 ± 0.21 b	13.05 ± 0.24 c
Film solubility (%)	20.97 ± 4.5 a	25.77 ± 3.64 a	22.16 ± 2.95 a
WVP ($\times 10^{-8}$ g m^{-1}s-^{1} Pa^{-1})	3. 78 ± 0. 17 a	3. 20 ± 0. 12 b	4.04 ± 0. 30 a
TS (MPa)	59.94 ± 2.27 a	51.37 ± 3.75 b	41.63 ± 2.95 c
EAB (%)	0.95 ± 0.11 a	1.59 ± 0.09 b	2.47 ± 0.24 c
Y (MPa)	1797 ± 61 a	1347 ± 74 b	1054 ± 45 c
F (N)	23.47 ± 1.08 a	26.36 ± 2.14 b	30.38 ± 2.27 b
D (%)	7.73 ± 0.47 a	8.61 ± 0.53 a	12.24 ± 1 b

691 Results are the mean ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA: Different letters indicate
692 significant differences among the different films (P≤0.05).

693

694

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5