

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17899-7

OPEN



Fast electrical modulation of strong near-field interactions between erbium emitters and graphene

Daniel Cano ¹, Alban Ferrier ^{2,3}, Karuppasamy Soundarapandian¹, Antoine Reserbat-Plantey¹, Marion Scarafagio², Alexandre Tallaire², Antoine Seyeux², Philippe Marcus², Hugues de Riedmatten^{1,4}, Philippe Goldner², Frank H. L. Koppens ^{1,4^M} & Klaas-Jan Tielrooij ^{5^M}

Combining the quantum optical properties of single-photon emitters with the strong nearfield interactions available in nanophotonic and plasmonic systems is a powerful way of creating quantum manipulation and metrological functionalities. The ability to actively and dynamically modulate emitter-environment interactions is of particular interest in this regard. While thermal, mechanical and optical modulation have been demonstrated, electrical modulation has remained an outstanding challenge. Here we realize fast, all-electrical modulation of the near-field interactions between a nanolayer of erbium emitters and graphene, by in-situ tuning the Fermi energy of graphene. We demonstrate strong interactions with a >1000-fold increased decay rate for ~25% of the emitters, and electrically modulate these interactions with frequencies up to 300 kHz – orders of magnitude faster than the emitter's radiative decay (~100 Hz). This constitutes an enabling platform for integrated quantum technologies, opening routes to quantum entanglement generation by collective plasmon emission or photon emission with controlled waveform.

¹ ICFO – Institut de Ciències Fotòniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain. ² Institut de Recherche de Chimie Paris (IRCP), Université PSL, Chimie ParisTech, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France. ³ Faculté des Sciences et Ingénierie, Sorbonne Universités, UFR 933, 75005 Paris, France. ⁴ ICREA – Institució Catalana de Reçerca i Estudis Avancats, 08010 Barcelona, Spain. ⁵ Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), BIST and CSIC, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain. ^{Se}email: frank.koppens@icfo.eu; klaas.tielrooij@icn2.cat

ne of the major accomplishments of nanophotonics research is the accurate control of the near-field interactions between light emitters and their environment. These interactions typically lead to a modification of the decay rate and emission properties, with decay-enhancement factors $F_{\rm p}$ up to 1000 for emitters in the near-field of metallic nano-antennas¹. Such systems, however, do not easily allow for active modulation of the emitter-environment interactions. Active and dynamic control of emitter-environment interactions has been achieved in photonic crystal cavities and nanoelectromechanical systems through modulation of the effective refractive index of the environment, using electromechanical actuation², mechanical oscillations^{3,4}, or photoexcitation of free carriers⁵. These techniques, however, either only work with one fixed modulation frequency or require optical fields for fast modulation. Furthermore, the achieved decay-enhancements are typically moderate, with $F_{\rm P} \sim 10$.

An effective solution can be found in graphene, a twodimensional (2D) material that combines a high degree of electrooptical tunability at high speeds (tens of GHz⁶) with strong nearfield light-matter interactions. Graphene not only provides a wide range of electrical tunability through its Fermi energy. At sufficiently high Fermi energy, it supports plasmons with much stronger field confinement than noble metals: the wavelength of graphene plasmons λ_{pl} is ~100 times smaller than that of freespace photons λ_0 , whereas in metallic thin films λ_0/λ_{pl} is typically <3, see ref. ⁷. For pristine graphene, this leads to a mode volume confinement of $(\lambda_0/\lambda_{\rm pl})^3 \simeq 10^6$, according to ref.⁸. Moreover, it is possible to reach a mode volume confinement up to 109 in graphene-metal grating structures⁹ and 10¹⁰ in cube-grating structures¹⁰, with potential for very strong near-field interactions with nearby emitters. Many suggested plasmon-based technologies, where the fields of photonics and electronics merge^{11,12}, would benefit from fast temporal control of emitter-plasmon interaction, including applications such as single-photon nanoantennas¹³, and sub-diffraction-limited sensors¹⁴. Experimentally, however, active electrical tunability of emitter-graphene interaction has only been demonstrated with low decayenhancement ($F_{\rm P}$ < 3) and in a non-dynamic fashion^{15,16}.

Here we present a hybrid system made of a nanoscale layer of erbium emitters in an oxide matrix, and graphene, in which the near-field interactions can be efficiently controlled on-chip, at high modulation frequencies, and by means of moderate electrical gate voltages (on the order of a few volt). Rare-earth erbium ions are technologically highly relevant as they emit photons at 1.54-µm wavelength, within the C-band of optical communication systems. Furthermore, rare-earth oxide crystals have a proven relevance in photonic quantum memories¹⁷ and spin-photon interfaces¹⁸, while nanoscale rare-earth materials are currently gaining interest¹⁹. We will show highly efficient erbium-graphene interaction with decayenhancement factors $F_{\rm P}$ >1000 for ~25% of the ions, which means that >99.9% of the energy of these excited ions flows to graphene through near-field interactions. Importantly, we demonstrate modulation of the erbium-graphene interaction on a much shorter time scale than the lifetime of the single-photon emitters. In this special dynamical case, the quantum regime emerges, with exciting possibilities such as generation of single photons with controlled waveform^{20,21}, Dicke phases^{22,23}, and quantum entanglement generation by collective plasmon emission²⁴.

Results

Hybrid erbium-graphene system with dual-gate modulation. We show the design of our hybrid system schematically in Fig. 1. The central part consists of a graphene monolayer on a nanoscale layer of erbium-doped Y_2O_3 (2%). To achieve strong near-field

interactions, the erbium emitters should be located at nanoscale distances from the 2D material-ideally within the subwavelength volume occupied by the highly confined plasmons (<15 nm). A sufficiently thin layer with erbium emitters is therefore required. However, crystals of nanoscale dimensions typically suffer from detrimental non-radiative losses due to surface defects²⁵. Removing this loss mechanism is crucial, as it a competing energy constitutes flow channel for erbium-graphene interactions that would hinder the observation of these interactions. We overcome this experimental bottleneck by using atomic layer deposition (ALD)²⁶ with optimized posttreatment-a technique that produces few-nanometer-thick rareearth-doped Y₂O₃ films with atomic scale thickness control and emission quality as in bulk crystals (see Supplementary Note 1, Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2, and Supplementary Table 1). The results we will show are obtained with a sample containing an erbium layer of 12 nm thickness, as measured through white light interferometry (see Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4 for more sample characterization).

With the aim of dynamically controlling the erbium-graphene interactions through the Fermi energy of graphene $E_{\rm F}$, we combine a backgate of *p*-doped silicon with a polymer electrolyte topgate²⁷ (see Fig. 1). The backgate, with a smaller alternating current (AC) impedance than the topgate, is very suitable for high-frequency modulation. We use it to modulate $E_{\rm F}$ at high frequencies over a range of ~0.3 eV. On the other hand, the topgate allows Fermi energy tuning over a range >1 eV, which is sufficiently high to launch plasmons in resonance with the photons emitted by erbium, whose energy is $E_{\rm Er} = 0.8$ eV (see Fig. 1a). We use the topgate to provide a high base Fermi energy during high-frequency modulation of the backgate. The Fermi energies induced by both gates are calibrated by Hall measurements and resistance measurements (see Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 5). Thus, using our dual-gated, hybrid erbium-graphene system, we can modulate $E_{\rm F}$, for example, between 0.6 and 0.3 eV, (Fig. 1b). This modulates the system between two regimes, where the erbium emitters decay by transferring energy to graphene, leading to intraband absorption (Fig. 1a) and interband absorption (Fig. 1c), respectively. The intraband regime is mainly associated with plasmon generation in graphene, whereas in the interband regime mainly electron-hole pair creation occurs.

Emission contrast and decay enhancement. The intraband and interband absorption regimes can be experimentally distinguished because they are associated with different local densities of optical states (LDOS), leading to distinct decay rates for the emitters interacting with graphene. Our calculations following refs. 28,29 show that the decay-enhancement factor $F_{\rm P}$ for an emitter at 5 nm from graphene is ~100 in the intraband regime ($E_{\rm F} = 0.6 \, {\rm eV}$) and >1000 in the interband regime ($E_{\rm F} = 0.3 \, {\rm eV}$). In order to observe these regimes experimentally, we excite the erbium ions with a 532-nm laser and detect their emission at 1.54 µm in a scanning confocal microscope (see "Methods"), while varying the Fermi energy using the topgate. Figure 2a shows the measured emission contrast, defined as the emission without graphene (measured by shining the laser outside the graphene channel) divided by the emission with graphene (measured by shining the laser on graphene; see also Supplementary Fig. 3). The excitation power is sufficiently low (fluence of 10^4 W cm^{-2}) to ensure that the ion transition is not saturated (see Supplementary Fig. 4). We indeed identify two different regimes: at low Fermi energies ($E_{\rm F}$ < 0.4 eV), energy transfer is mostly caused by interband transitions in graphene. Above 0.4 eV, interband transitions become suppressed by Pauli blocking, because $E_{\rm F} > E_{\rm Er}/2$, and intraband



Fig. 1 Concept of dynamic modulation of hybrid erbium-graphene system. a Schematic illustration of the hybrid erbium-graphene system when the Fermi energy of graphene is tuned to -0.6 eV and the erbium-graphene interaction leads to intraband transitions, mainly associated with launching of propagating graphene plasmons (red waves). The system contains, from top to bottom, a monolayer of graphene, a thin film (-12 nm) of Y_2O_3 containing erbium ions (white spheres), a 285-nm-thick SiO₂ layer, and a *p*-doped silicon backgate. A backgate voltage, V_{bg} , is applied between the backgate and a gold electrode in contact with graphene for fast modulation. The SiO₂ layer serves as electrical isolation between graphene and the *p*-doped silicon. On top of graphene, there is a transparent topgate made of polymer electrolyte (not shown in the image). **b** Sinusoidal time evolution of the Fermi energy of graphene (solid purple line, right vertical axis) and the corresponding decay-enhancement factor F_P for an erbium emitter located at z = 5 nm from graphene (solid purple line, right vertical axis). The modulation of the Fermi energy, calculated following refs. ^{28,29}, leads to a modulation of F_P by more than one order of magnitude—from <100 in the intraband regime to >1000 in the interband regime. **c** Schematic illustration of the hybrid erbium-graphene system for $E_F - 0.3 \text{ eV}$, which corresponds to interband transitions, mainly creating electron-hole pairs (red-blue spheres).

transitions become the dominant energy decay pathway of the ions. The positive slope of the emission contrast for $E_{\rm F} > 0.6$ eV is a clear signature of the presence of plasmons. The slope is positive because the decay length of the plasmon field is approximately the same as the plasmon wavelength, $\lambda_{\rm pl}$, which scales linearly with $E_{\rm F}$. Therefore, as $E_{\rm F}$ increases, the volume occupied by the plasmon field increases, thus increasing the number of ions interacting with plasmons, and thereby decreasing the amount of emitted light.

To obtain more insight into the dynamics of the erbium–graphene interactions, we measure the erbium emission decay curves. We modulate the excitation laser into pulses and use single-photon counting electronics to create emission histograms (see "Methods"). Figure 2b shows the decay curves measured with the laser shining on graphene for the interband transition regime ($E_{\rm F} \sim 0.2 \text{ eV}$) and for the intraband regime ($E_{\rm F} \sim 0.8 \text{ eV}$), as well as in a region without graphene. We observe faster decay in the intraband regime (e^{-1} time of ~3 ms) and

even faster decay in the interband regime (e^{-1} time of ~1 ms), compared to the decay without graphene (e^{-1} time of ~6 ms), in qualitative agreement with the emission contrast measurements, which show less emission in the interband regime than in the intraband regime.

Strikingly, the decay curves are multi-exponential, with a large negative slope in the beginning of the decay (see inset of Fig. 2b). This multi-exponential behavior stems from the different decay rates γ of the emitters, depending on their distance to graphene z, since γ scales with z^{-4} in the interband regime and with $\exp(-4\pi z/\lambda_{\rm pl})$ in the intraband regime^{28,30}. The ions located furthest away from graphene have the lowest energy transfer rates, thus emitting more photons and extending the decay curves to long times. The strongly negative slope in the beginning of the decay curves (with an estimated decay time <100 µs; see inset of Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 10) is due to the high energy transfer rate for small z and indicates the presence of a significant fraction of ions with very strong erbium–graphene interactions.



Fig. 2 Efficient energy flow from erbium emitters to graphene. a Measured emission contrast, defined as the emission with the excitation laser shining outside graphene divided by the emission with the laser on graphene, as a function of the Fermi energy (black dots). The black solid line represents the calculated emission contrast from *N* erbium ions located at different distances z_i from graphene (see "Methods"), using the total graphene conductivity. The red (blue) dotted line represents the calculated emission contrast by only considering the conductivity of the intraband (interband) excitation, showing the different microscopic origins of the emitter-graphene interactions at high and low E_F . The interband contribution (blue) quickly drops when $E_F > 0.4 \text{ eV}$, due to Pauli blocking, whereas the intraband contribution (red) steadily rises with E_F . **b** Measured decay curves of erbium ions for three cases: graphene in the intraband regime ($E_F = 0.3 \text{ eV}$, event dots), graphene in the intraband regime ($E_F = 0.8 \text{ eV}$, red dots), and without graphene (orange dots). The black solid lines are the best-fit stretched-exponential functions. The inset focuses on the beginning of the decay curves, illustrating the multi-exponential behavior. **c** Erbium density distribution (normalized to maximum) vs. erbium-graphene distance obtained from the combined analysis of the emission contrast and the decay curve of the interband regime (blue) and from TOF-SIMS measurements (black). **d** Cumulative distribution of the decay enhancement factor calculated for the interband (blue) and the intraband (red) regimes. These curves show that about 80% (50%) of the ions have $F_P > 10$ for the interband (intraband) regime, and approximately 25% of ions have $F_P > 1000$ for both regimes. The inset shows the total decay rate distributions directly obtained from the multi-exponential fitting of the experimental decay curves (see "Methods"). The colors are the same as in **b**.

Thus the decay curves provide information of the z-dependence of the interactions, while the emission contrast measurements reflect the overall (distance-integrated) graphene-induced decayenhancement $F_{\rm P}$, which is ~7 (~2.5) in the interband (intraband) regime. This indicates that an overall fraction of $\eta \approx (F_{\rm P} - 1)/F_{\rm P} \approx 85\%$ (60%) of the energy of excited erbium emitters flows to interband (intraband) transitions in graphene. We note that we have reproduced these decay curves with multiple erbium–graphene samples (see Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Fig. 9).

Quantifying erbium-graphene interaction. Given the strong *z*-dependence of the emitter-graphene interaction, it is crucial to determine the distribution of erbium ions in order to quantitatively understand the energy transfer efficiency of the different erbium ions in the nanolayer. We analyze the emission contrast and the decay curves (Fig. 2a, b) together because they provide complementary information. The decay curves provide with high accuracy the distribution of ions with relatively low $F_{\rm P}$ factors (large *z*), as these are the ions that emit the largest number of photons during lifetime measurements. On the other hand, the emission contrast measurements of Fig. 2a reveal more accurately the effect of the ions with relatively large $F_{\rm P}$ (small *z*), as these are the highest fraction of their energy to

graphene, leading to the largest decrease in emission. Together, the decay curves and emission contrast measurements yield the density of erbium ions as a function of z (see Fig. 2c).

In detail, we extract the distribution for ions with z > 7 nmdirectly from the decay curves of Fig. 2b, by describing each multiexponential decay curve by a continuous sum of exponentially decaying functions, whose probability amplitudes are given by the decay-rate distribution P(y). In terms of the ion dynamics, the distribution P(y) can be interpreted as the likelihood that a given ion decays with a certain decay rate y. The inset of Fig. 2d clearly shows that the decay-rate distribution $P(\gamma)$ is shifted toward higher values of y in the regions of the device with graphene, indicating that the decay rate increases due to energy transfer to graphene. From the analysis of these decay-rate distributions, we extract the distribution of decay-enhancement factors $P(F_{\rm P})$, following the numerical procedure described in the "Methods" section. Then we convert $P(F_{\rm P})$ into the distribution of erbium-graphene distances P(z), i.e., the density distribution, by using the theoretical relation between $F_{\rm P}$ and the emitter–graphene distance z.

It turns out that the distributions $P(F_{\rm P})$ and P(z) obtained from the decay curves are accurate up to $F_{\rm P} = 10^3$, corresponding to $z \gtrsim 7$ nm. For higher values of $F_{\rm P}$, the decay is so fast and has such a small amplitude that we cannot resolve it experimentally in our decay curves (see Supplementary Note 5). However, we can obtain the distribution of the ions with z < 7 nm using the Fig. 2c. We compare the ion distribution extracted from optical measurements P(z) with the density distribution measured by means of time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (see "Methods" and Supplementary Note 6). The similarity between the two density distributions confirms the validity of our analysis. Interestingly, our results indicate that some ions have diffused from the Y₂O₃ layer into the underlying SiO₂ layer. This has likely occurred during the annealing post-treatment of the films. These diffused ions interact less strongly with graphene and lead to the moderate overall emission contrast we observe in Fig. 2a.

Importantly, our analysis of the experimental data of Fig. 2a, b provides evidence of very strong emitter–graphene interactions at the shortest distances. Figure 2d shows the calculated cumulative distribution of $P(F_{\rm P})$,

$$P(F_{\rm P} > p) = \int_{p}^{\infty} P(F_{\rm P}) \mathrm{d}F_{\rm P}, \qquad (1)$$

which describes the probability that an ion has a decayenhancement factor larger than *p*. In this way, the cumulative distribution $P(F_P > p)$ provides the fraction of ions with $F_P > p$. Using Eq. (1), we find that about 80% (50%) of the ions have decay enhancement factor $F_P > 10$ for the interband (intraband) regime, and approximately 25% of ions have $F_P > 1000$ for both regimes, which means that >99.9% of the energy from these ions flows to graphene.

Fast electrical modulation of near-field interactions. Having established the occurrence of highly efficient erbium-graphene interactions in our system, we now demonstrate dynamic control of these interactions on a time scale that is much shorter than the emitter's lifetime of ~10 ms³¹. We induce a fast temporal variation in the LDOS experienced by the emitters by modulating the Fermi energy of graphene. To this end, we apply an AC voltage to the backgate and verify the effect of this modulation on the excited state populations of erbium by measuring the temporal variations of photon emission using a single-photon counting setup. In these experiments, we keep the excitation laser power constant. In Fig. 3, we modulate the Fermi energy between 0.3 and 0.6 eV at different modulation frequencies $f_{\rm mod}$ between 20 Hz and 300 kHz. This corresponds to a modulation of the erbium decay pathway between interband and intraband transitions, as in Fig. 1. In these measurements, we thus establish control over the timing of plasmon launching from erbium ions down to the microsecond range, which is remarkable for emitters with millisecond natural lifetime. We verified that there is no dynamic response outside graphene and that a backgate voltage of <10 V is sufficient for complete modulation between the two emitter-graphene interaction regimes (see Supplementary Fig. 6).

As $f_{\rm mod}$ increases and becomes higher than the emitter decay rate, the internal dynamics of the ions are not able to follow the temporal variations of the environment. This results in a gradual delay of the maximum and minimum of the time-dependent emission with respect to the sinusoidal oscillation of the Fermi energy and the reduction of the emission modulation amplitude, which depends on $1/f_{\rm mod}$ whenever $f_{\rm mod} \gg \gamma$. Interestingly, these modulation frequencies surpass not only the decay rate of the ions but also the quantum coherence decay rate of erbium in Y_2O_3 (11 kHz; measured at 2.5 K in a bulk ceramic sample and under a small external magnetic field of 0.65 T)³².



Fig. 3 Dynamic modulation between interband and intraband regimes. a Fermi energy as a function of time *t* using a sinusoidal function of frequency f_{mod} (schematic). The slow topgate is used to tune to $E_F \sim 0.45 \text{ eV}$, whereas the fast backgate provides the modulation between 0.3 and 0.6 eV. **b-f** Time-resolved photon emission while the Fermi energy is modulated at different frequencies between 20 Hz and 300 kHz (green dots). Every time-modulated emission measurement is normalized to its mean value, so that the modulation does not depend on the excitation laser power or the photon collection efficiency. The black solid curves show the dynamic simulations of *N* ions located at the distribution of distances from graphene *P*(*z*), obtained from the emission contrast measurements and decay curves (see "Methods"). Note that **f** shows modulation on a time scale of microseconds, whereas the radiative lifetime of erbium ions in Y_2O_3 is -10 ms, a difference of 4 orders of magnitude.

In a second series of measurements, shown in Fig. 4, we modulate the Fermi energy between 0.7 and 0.9 eV. Here we apply a higher voltage to the polymer electrolyte topgate than in the previous modulation experiment, while modulating the backgate again with a voltage of <10 V. In this situation, the system is always in the intraband regime, where plasmon launching is the dominant energy decay pathway, and we therefore modulate the strength of the emitter–plasmon interaction. Note that an increase in backgate voltage now leads to a decrease in emission, because stronger emitter–plasmon interaction leads to less emission (see also Supplementary Fig. 7). This is in contrast with the case in Fig. 3, where an increase in backgate voltage leads to a transition from the interband absorption regime to the intraband regime, giving more emission.

We model the temporal modulation of photon emission by simulating the dynamics of N ions using the distribution of ion distances P(z) obtained from the emission contrast measurements and decay curves. For every ion i at distance z_i , we numerically solve the rate equation with the time-dependent F_P factor and for the corresponding Fermi energy modulation (see "Methods"). We find good agreement between experimental data and numerical simulations, which do not contain any freely adjustable fit parameters (see "Methods"), adding credibility to our computational approach. We note that, only in the case of Fig. 4e, we observe a larger modulation amplitude in the experiment than in the simulation. We speculate that this could be related to additional (e.g., non-local) effects in the plasmonic local field at very short distances from graphene.

Discussion

We have demonstrated a material platform that integrates the optical properties of erbium quantum emitters with the strong near-field interactions of graphene, providing the conditions for quantum manipulation and metrological functionalities^{33,34}. In particular, our hybrid erbium-graphene platform enables fast temporal control over the strong near-field interactions, thus providing an efficient way to manipulate quantum states in nanoscale solid-state devices by means of conventional electronics. The control over the dynamics of single-photon emitters on a much shorter time scale than their lifetime is an essential ingredient toward observing intriguing effects, such as Dicke phase transitions^{22,23}, non-linear light-matter interactions at the quantum level³⁵, and multi-particle entanglement generation²⁴. In addition, such a fast control over the near-field interactions will expand existing functionalities of plasmonic nanodevices integrating graphene waveguides and cavities^{28,29,36-38}. It enables the control of the waveform of photons and plasmons emitted into a guided mode^{21,39}, which is a required capability for distributed quantum systems. It furthermore facilitates the connection of optical transistors^{40,41} based on quantum emitters in integrated plasmonic circuits, with the possibility to attenuate or amplify the plasmon emission by means of a gate voltage. These promising applications will be boosted by the prospects of coupling plasmonic modes to the far field through optical nanoantennas or through optical waveguides⁴², thus enabling controlled photon emission enhancement for optical communications. Overall, these prospects will stimulate the development of emitter-graphene interfaces as a building block for hybrid systems with applications in optoelectronic quantum technologies.

Methods

Fabrication of erbium-graphene hybrid devices. The erbium-yttria thin-film depositions are carried out by ALD with a commercial reactor using conventional β -diketonate precursors: Er(tmhd)3 and Y(tmhd)3. The precursors are held at 160 °C and delivered using N₂ as a carrier gas and O₃ as an oxidizing agent. The



Fig. 4 Dynamic modulation within the intraband regime. a Fermi energy as a function of time *t* using a sinusoidal function of frequency f_{mod} (schematic). The slow topgate is used to tune to $E_F - 0.8 \text{ eV}$, whereas the fast backgate provides the modulation between 0.7 and 0.9 eV, thus modulating the interaction strength within the intraband regime. **b**-**e** Time-resolved photon emission while the Fermi energy is modulated at different frequencies between 20 Hz and 5 kHz (red dots). Every time-modulated emission measurement is normalized to its mean value, so that the modulation does not depend on the excitation laser power or the photon collection efficiency. The black solid curves show the dynamic simulations of *N* ions located at the distribution of distances from graphene *P*(*z*) obtained from the emission contrast measurements and decay curves (see "Methods"). Note that the modulated emission is out of phase with the modulating Fermi energy, because a higher Fermi energy gives lower emission in the intraband regime.

precursors are flown sequentially with 3-s injection time into the thermalized deposition chamber at 350 °C. The number of cycles is adjusted in order to obtain the desired thickness (circa 12 nm here). The films are annealed at high temperature (950 °C) for 2 h in air prior to measurement in order to improve crys tallinity. More details about the optimization of the growth procedure are discussed in Supplementary Note 1. We use a moderate erbium concentration of 2% in order to avoid possible non-radiative decay channels induced by erbium-erbium interactions. Single-layer graphene, grown by chemical vapor deposition on copper, is transferred directly onto the surface of the 12-nm-thick Y₂O₃:Er³⁺ layer using the standard wet transfer method. Graphene is patterned into a Hall-bar geometry by laser writing optical lithography and subsequent reactive ion etching (see Supplementary Fig. 3). The whole surface is covered with a transparent polymer electrolyte that serves as topgate. The electrolyte is made of polyethylene oxide and LiClO₄ with 8:1 weight ratio in a solution of methanol²⁷. The device contains six electrical contacts to graphene and two electrical contacts to apply the topgate voltage V_{tg} to the polymer electrolyte. The electrical contacts consist of a 50-nmthick gold layer deposited on a 5-nm-thick chromium sticking layer and patterned by laser writing optical lithography. There is a 50-nm-thick SiO₂ protection layer between the gold electrodes and the electrolyte in order to isolate the gold electrodes from the polymer electrolyte.

Experimental set-up for optical measurements. The experiments are carried out in a home-built scanning confocal microscope set-up, with the sample mounted inside a vacuum chamber at a pressure of 5-10 mbar for optimal operation of the polymer electrolyte gate. The optical set-up uses an infrared objective (Olympus LCPLN-50X-IR, numerical aperture 0.65), which provides a spatial resolution of ~1 µm. A focused 532-nm laser beam, with typically a power of ~0.2 mW at the sample, excites the ions into the short-lived state ${}^{2}\dot{H}_{11/2}$, from which the erbium population rapidly decays into the metastable first excited state ⁴I_{13/2} via nonradiative multiphonon emission. The emission of the ${}^{4}I_{13/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ transition at the characteristic wavelength 1.54 µm is collected, spectrally filtered with a narrow bandpass filter (Thorlabs FB1550-40), and directed into a near-infrared singlephoton detector (ID Quantique id210) with very low level of dark counts (~9 Hz). For time-resolved measurements, emission histograms are obtained using photoncounting electronics (PicoHarp 300) in time-tagged time-resolved acquisition mode, recording the arrival times of all photons. During lifetime measurements, the excitation laser intensity is modulated into square pulses by switching on and off the signal of an acousto-optic modulator. All measurements were carried out at room temperature. We verified that, during measurements that can take up to several hours, the Fermi energy did not vary significantly (see Supplementary Fig. 8).

Decay-rate distributions from the decay curves. The decay rate in the regions of the device without graphene is $\gamma_{\rm Er}=\gamma_{ed}+\gamma_{md}+\gamma_{nr},$ where $\gamma_{ed}\sim75$ Hz and $y_{\rm md}$ ~ 50 Hz correspond to the electric and magnetic dipole moments, respectively³¹, and γ_{nr} represents the non-radiative decay channels of the erbium thin film. In the regions with graphene, the total decay rate is $\gamma = \gamma_{\rm Er} + \gamma_{\rm gr}$, where $\gamma_{\rm gr}$ is the rate of energy transfer to graphene. Every experimental decay curve, n(t), is described as a continuous sum of exponential decays, $n(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{P(y)}{y} e^{-yt} dy$, where $P(\gamma)$ is the probability distribution that describes the likelihood that a given ion decays at a certain rate y. Here we have considered that the excited erbium population, and thus the emission, is inversely proportional to the decay rate. The integral has the form of a Laplace transformation, so we can extract P(y) by inverse Laplace transformation using the numerical techniques of ref. 43 (see the inset of Fig. 2d). Next, we calculate the energy transfer rate distribution $P_{\rm gr}(\gamma_{\rm gr})$ by numerically solving the convolution equation, $P(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty P_{\rm Er}(\gamma - \gamma_{\rm gr}) \tilde{P}_{\rm gr}(\gamma_{\rm gr}) d\gamma_{\rm gr}$. Here $P_{\rm Er}(\gamma_{\rm Er})$ denotes the decay-rate distribution in the regions of the device without graphene (we write the subindex Er to indicate that the distribution only includes the intrinsic decay mechanisms of the erbium film). In doing the deconvolution, we filter out the small effect of the undesired non-radiative decay channels y_{nr} thus obtaining the pure contribution of the erbium-graphene interactions, y_{gr} . The distribution $P_{gr}(y_{gr})$ can be easily converted into the distribution of decay-enhancement factors, $P(F_{p})$, by using the relation $y_{gr} = (F_{p} - 1)y_{ed}$. Next, we translate $P(F_{\rm P})$ into the density distribution, $P(z) = P(F_{\rm P}) dF_{\rm P}/dz$. For this, the decay-enhancement factor as a function of distance, $F_{\rm P}(z)$, is calculated as in refs. ^{28,29}, where the response of graphene to the localized field of the emitter is simulated using the optical conductivity of the Kubo model, with a typical momentum scattering time of $\tau_{sc} = 50$ fs and a refractive index of 1.8 for Y_2O_3 and 1.4 for the electrolyte (see Supplementary Fig. 9). The distributions obtained from the decay curves are very accurate for the lowest decay-rate enhancements factors, $F_{\rm P} \lesssim 1000$. This cutoff is determined from the accuracy of the numerical inverse Laplace transform (see Supplementary Note 5). Ions with larger decay rates emit so few photons that they barely affect the slope of the decay curves, and their energytransfer rates have to be investigated by using the emission contrast measurements. We have repeated the whole procedure using the decay curves of different Fermi energies (0.3 and 0.8 eV), and the results are practically the same.

 $z_i\gtrsim 7$ nm are obtained by discretization of the density distribution P(z) calculated from the decay curves. The positions $z_i\lesssim 7$ nm are free parameters that we vary to find the distribution of distances, $\{z_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,N}$, that best reproduces the emission contrast measurements of Fig. 2a. This variational procedure assumes that the density distribution is smooth. The calculations are accomplished by considering that the emission from every ion is proportional to its excited-state population, which in turn is proportional to $1/(F_P\gamma_{\rm ed}+\gamma_{\rm rnd}+\gamma_{\rm nr})$, where the theoretical decayenhancement factor $F_{\rm P}(z_i)$ is computed from the methods of refs. 28,29 (see above). Here we assume that $\gamma_{\rm nr}=10$ Hz for all ions (see Supplementary Note 1). The discrete distribution $\{z_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,N}$ is converted into a continuous distribution, P(z), and vice versa, by integration and discretization, respectively. We typically use N=50, where the density distribution already nicely converges.

Simulation of the dynamic response to gate modulation. We simulate the quantum-state population dynamics for every ion *i* of our *N*-ion model by numerically solving the rate equations⁴⁴,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{e}^{(i)}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -N_{e}^{(i)} \left[F_{\mathrm{P}}^{(i)} \gamma_{\mathrm{ed}} + \gamma_{\mathrm{md}} + \gamma_{\mathrm{nr}} \right] + N_{g}^{(i)} \gamma_{\mathrm{exc}}, \tag{2}$$

$$N_{\rm g}^{(i)} + N_{\rm e}^{(i)} = 1,$$
 (3)

where $N_{\rm g}^{(i)}$ and $N_{\rm e}^{(i)}$ are, respectively, the populations in ground and excited states, $F_{\rm P}^{(j)}$ is the time-dependent decay-enhancement factor at the position z_i , and $\gamma_{\rm exc}$ is the excitation rate, which depends on the excitation laser power. The results of the simulations do not depend on $\gamma_{\rm exc}$ since every measurement is normalized to its mean value, although we need to assume a certain value of $\gamma_{\rm exc}$ to do the computation. To calculate $F_{\rm P}^{(i)}$, we first obtain the oscillating energy $E_{\rm F}$ that corresponds to the applied gate voltages using the calibration described in Supplementary Note 3. The Fermi energy amplitude as a function of the back-gate voltage amplitude is $\Delta E_{\rm F} = B \Delta V_{\rm bg}$, where $B = 15 \, {\rm eV} \, {\rm mV}^{-1}$ (13 eV mV⁻¹) in the experiments of Fig. 3 (Fig. 4). We then convert $E_{\rm F}$ into $F_{\rm P}$ using the methods of refs. ^{28,29} (see above).

Time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS). We use a dualbeam ToF-SIMS spectrometer (IONTOF GmbH, Műnster, Germany) to measure the density profile of the yttrium and erbium ions at a sufficiently low primary ion dose density to keep static conditions. The spectrometer was operated at a pressure of 10^{-9} mbar. A pulsed 25 kV Bi⁺ primary ion beam delivering 1 pA over a $100 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ area is used to etch the chemical species from the surface. The masses of the removed chemical species are determined by ToF-MS. The sputtering of the surface was done using a 2-keV Cs⁺ sputter gun giving a 100-nA target current over a $300 \times 300 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ area. The interlacing between Bi⁺ and Cs⁺ guns allows to record TOF-SIMS depth profiles. We used the profile of the removed YO⁻ particles to extract the Er³⁺ density distribution. This approximation is justified since the diffusion coefficients of yttrium and erbium are practically the same (see Supplementary Fig. 11).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Received: 20 March 2020; Accepted: 14 July 2020; Published online: 14 August 2020

References

- . Akselrod, G. et al. Probing the mechanisms of large Purcell enhancement in plasmonic nanoantennas. *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 835–840 (2014).
- Cotrufo, M. et al. Nanomechanical control of optical field and quality factor in photonic crystal structures. *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 115304 (2018).
- Reserbat-Plantey, A. et al. Electromechanical control of nitrogen-vacancy defect emission using graphene NEMS. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10218 (2016).
- 4. Tian, F. et al. Optomechanical oscillator pumped and probed by optically two isolated photonic crystal cavity systems. *Opt. Express* **24**, 28039–28055 (2016).
- Jin, C. et al. Ultrafast non-local control of spontaneous emission. Nat. Nanotechnol. 9, 886–890 (2014).
- Phare, C., Lee, Y. H. D., Cardenas, J. & Lipson, M. Graphene electro-optic modulator with 30 GHz bandwidth. *Nat. Photonics* 9, 511–514 (2015).
- Novotny, L. & Hecht, B. Principles of Nano-Optics, Chapter 12 (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006).
- Jablan, M., Buljan, H. & Soljačić, M. Plasmonics in graphene at infrared frequencies. *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 245435 (2009).
- 9. AlcarazIranzo, D. et al. Probing the ultimate plasmon confinement limits with a van der Waals heterostructure. *Science* **360**, 291–295 (2018).

Decay-rate distributions using the N-ion model. We use a computational model of N ions located at different distances from graphene, z_i (i = 1, ..., N). The positions

ARTICLE

- 10. Epstein, I. et al. Far-field excitation of single graphene plasmon cavities with ultra-compressed mode-volumes. *Science* **368**, 1219–1223 (2020).
- Maier, S. A. et al. Plasmonics a route to nanoscale optical devices. Adv. Mater. 13, 1501 (2001).
- Gramotnev, D. K. & Bozhevolnyi, S. I. Plasmonics beyond the diffraction limit. *Nat. Photonics* 4, 83–91 (2010).
- Koenderink, A. F. Single-photon nanoantennas. ACS Photonics 4, 710–722 (2017).
- Brolo, A. Plasmonics for future biosensors. *Nat. Photonics* 6, 709–713 (2012).
 Lee, J. et al. Switching individual quantum dot emission through electrically
- controlling resonant energy transfer to graphene. *Nano Lett.* 14, 7115 (2014).
 16. Tielrooij, K. et al. Electrical control of optical emitter relaxation pathways enabled by graphene. *Nat. Phys.* 11, 281–287 (2015).
- Bussières, F. et al. Quantum teleportation from a telecom-wavelength photon to a solid-state quantum memory. *Nat. Photonics* 8, 775–778 (2014).
- Kutluer, K. et al. Time entanglement between a photon and a spin wave in a multimode solid-state quantum memory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 123, 030501 (2019).
- 19. Zhong, T. & Goldner, P. Emerging rare-earth doped material platforms for quantum nanophotonics. *Nanophotonics* **8**, 2003 (2019).
- Thyrrestrup, H., Hartsuiker, A., Gérard, J.-M. & Vos, W. Non-exponential spontaneous emission dynamics for emitters in a time-dependent optical cavity. *Opt. Express* 21, 23130–23144 (2013).
- Keller, M. et al. Continuous generation of single photons with controlled waveform in an ion-trap cavity system. *Nature* 431, 1075–1078 (2004).
- Zhang, Y.-X., Zhang, Y. & Mølmer, K. Surface plasmon launching by polariton superradiance. ACS Photonics 6, 871–877 (2019).
- 23. Zhang, Y.-X. & Mølmer, K. Theory of subradiant states of a one-dimensional two-level atom chain. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 203605 (2019).
- 24. Manjavacas, A., Thongrattanasiri, S., Chang, D. E. & García de Abajo, F. J. Temporal quantum control with graphene. *New J. Phys.* 14, 123020 (2012).
- Dorman, J. A., Choi, J. H., Kuzmanich, G. & Chang, J. P. Elucidating the effects of a rare-earth oxide shell on the luminescence dynamics of Er³⁺:Y₂O₃ nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 10333–10340 (2012).
- Scarafagio, M. et al. Ultrathin Eu- and Er-doped Y₂O₃ films with optimized optical properties for quantum technologies. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 123, 13354–13364 (2019).
- Das, A. et al. Monitoring dopants by Raman scattering in an electrochemically top-gated graphene transistor. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 3, 210–215 (2008).
- Koppens, F. H. L., Chang, D. E. & García de Abajo, F. J. Graphene plasmonics: a platform for strong light-matter interactions. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 3370–3377 (2011).
- Gonçalves, P. A. D. & Peres, N. M. R. An Introduction to Graphene Plasmonics. Appendix P (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2016).
- Gaudreau, L. et al. Universal distance-scaling of nonradiative energy transfer to graphene. *Nano Lett.* 13, 2030–2035 (2013).
- Weber, M. J. Radiative and multiphonon relaxation of rare-earth ions in Y₂O₃. *Phys. Rev.* 171, 283 (1968).
- 32. Zhang, H. et al. Transparent Er³-doped Y₂O₃ ceramics with long optical coherence lifetime. *ACS Omega* **2**, 3739–3744 (2017).
- Schoelkopf, R. & Girvin, S. Wiring up quantum systems. Nature 451, 664–669 (2008).
- Kurizki, G. et al. Quantum technologies with hybrid systems. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 112, 3866–3873 (2015).
- Cox, J. D. & García de Abajo, F. J. Nonlinear atom-plasmon interactions enabled by nanostructured graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 257403 (2018).
- 36. Tame, M. S. et al. Quantum plasmonics. Nat. Phys. 9, 329-340 (2013).
- Alonso Calafell, I. et al. Quantum computing with graphene plasmons. NPJ Quant. Inf. 5, 37 (2019).
- Christensen, J., Manjavacas, A., Thongrattanasiri, S., Koppens, F. H. L. & García de Abajo, F. J. Graphene plasmon waveguiding and hybridization in individual and paired nanoribbons. *ACS Nano* 6, 431–440 (2012).
- Morin, O., Körber, M., Langenfeld, S. & Rempe, G. Deterministic shaping and reshaping of single-photon temporal wave functions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 123, 133602 (2019).
- 40. Hwang, J. et al. A single-molecule optical transistor. Nature 460, 76-80 (2009).
- Geiselmann, M., Marty, R., García de Abajo, F. J. & Quidant, R. Fast optical modulation of the fluorescence from a single nitrogen-vacancy centre. *Nat. Phys.* 9, 785–789 (2013).
- Tiecke, T. G. et al. Efficient fiber-optical interface for nanophotonic devices. Optica 2, 70–75 (2015).

- 43. Johnston, D. C. Stretched exponential relaxation arising from a continuous sum of exponential decays. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 184430 (2006).
- Foot, C. J. Atomic Physics, Oxford Master Series in Physics. (Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 2005).

Acknowledgements

We thank Javier García de Abajo, David Hunger, and Dmitri Efetov for discussions and David Saleta, Xiaovu Jia, Juan Sierra, Marius Costache, and Sergio Valenzuela for assistance with the Hall measurements. K.-J.T. acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 804349. ICN2 was supported by the Severo Ochoa program from Spanish MINECO (Grant No. SEV-2017-0706). This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 712721 (NanOQTech). F.H.L.K. acknowledges financial support from the Government of Catalonia trough the SGR grant, and from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, through the "Severo Ochoa" Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (SEV-2015-0522), and Explora Ciencia FIS2017-91599-EXP. F.H.L.K. also acknowledges support by Fundacio Cellex Barcelona, Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA program, and the Mineco grants Plan Nacional (FIS2016-81044-P) and the Agency for Management of University and Research Grants (AGAUR) 2017 SGR 1656. Furthermore, the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 under Grant Agreements No. 785219 (Core2) and No. 881603 (Core3) Graphene Flagship, and No. 820378 (Quantum Flagship). This work was supported by the ERC TOPONANOP under Grant Agreement No. 726001.

Author contributions

K.-J.T., F.H.L.K., H.d.R., P.G., and A.F. designed the research project. K.-J.T. planned and coordinated the experiments. D.C. carried out the electro-optical measurements with help from K.-J.T. and A.R.-P. A.F., M.S., and A.T. optimized and performed the fabrication of erbium-doped substrates. K.S. fabricated the graphene devices with help for A.R.-P, D.C., and K.-J.T. A.S., P.M., M.S., and A.F. performed the ToF-SIMS measurements. D.C. did the numerical simulations and fitting of experimental data. D.C., K.-J.T. and F.H.L.K. interpreted the data. D.C. and K.-J.T. wrote the paper with input from all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17899-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.H.L.K. or K.-J.T.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer review reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020