1	Horizon scanning for management of emerging parasitic infections in fishery products
2	
3	María Llarena-Reino ^{1,2} , Elvira Abollo ³ , Marcos Regueira ¹ , Helena Rodríguez ³ and Santiago Pascual ¹
4	
5	¹ Ecobiomar. Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas de Vigo. CSIC. Eduardo Cabello 6, 36208, Vigo, Spain.
6	² Present Address: CESAM & Dep. Biologia. Universidade de Aveiro. Campus de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro,
7	Portugal.
8	³ Centro Tecnológico Del Mar (Fundación CETMAR). Eduardo Cabello s/n, 36208 Vigo, Spain.
9	
10	Corresponding author: María Llarena-Reino ^{1,2}
11	Ecobiomar. Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas de Vigo. CSIC. Eduardo Cabello 6, 36208, Vigo, Spain.
12	mariallarena@iim.csic.es. Phone: 0034986231930, Ext.182; Fax: 0034986 292762.
13	
14	
15	Abstract
16	
17	Public organizations operating in health and food-safety sectors are increasingly realizing the advantages of
18	the long-term view of risk uncertainties associated to biological hazards, served-up in the short-term to
19	anticipate the problem and its handling. Thus, the horizon scanning is becoming a major strand in proactive
20	risk management and patient-consumer protection continuity. This approach was recently explained in the
21	scientific opinion on risk assessment of parasites in fishery products by the European Food Safety Authority,
22	EFSA (2010), followed by the launching of a funding scheme for a specific EU Framework Program Project
23	under the Knowledge Based Bio-Economy concept, KBBE (FP7-KBBE-2012-6), which drives the new EU
24	2020 strategy. The aim of this paper is to examine horizon scanning issues in relation to public health and
25	industrial concern on the presence of parasites in fishery products recorded in the Rapid Alert System for
26	Food and Feed (RASFF) System. We focus on specific threats, targets, methods and challenges as a means of
27	acquiring management goals and future objectives. The proposed horizon scanning identifies emerging
28	ideas/technologies for an early handling of parasitized fish stocks/products for priority setting to inform
29	strategic planning of stakeholders, policy-makers and health services. In order to accomplish this, a set of risk
30	GIS maps illustrating the state of art about the effect of the zoonotic Anisakis spp. on commercial fish stocks
31	of the last 65 years was firstly developed. Secondly, a program of 108 surveys among fish sellers of Galicia
32	(NW Spain) were carried out with the main objective of getting information about hazard recognition, fish
33	product management practices, quality self-controls and corrective and preventive measures in use.
34	Additionally, during the "I International Symposium on strategies for management of parasitized seafood
35	products" (Vigo, Spain), groups of researchers, technologists, official inspectors and industries participated in
36	roundtables with 3 different perspectives: market-industry, inspection and academia. All scanners agreed that
37	the status quo to manage fish parasites in the production-to-consumption food pathway is unsatisfactory. The
38	central message proposed a stable network performance based on collaborative software to provide multi-

39 level information for industrial management of parasite contaminants in fish products. The discussion group 40 also proposed to invigorate collaborative translational research and professional training as key drivers to fuel 41 technological innovations and tech transfer, which may help to minimize/eliminate the risk of parasites that 42 have public health and economic impacts in fish products.

- 43
- 44

45 Keywords

- 46
- 47 Horizon scanning; fishery products; parasite; public health; commercial value; inspection
- 48
- 49

50 **1. Introduction**

51

52 Marine parasites constitute an important health and quality threat in fishery products (Sabater & Sabater, 53 2000). Since the middle 20th century, scientific evidences have confirmed the presence of a high and raising 54 prevalence of a "dirty dozen" of parasites in wild stocks of fishery products of commercial interest around the 55 world (Køie, 1993; Wharton, Hassall & Aalders, 1999; Mladineo, 2001; Quijada, Lima dos Santos & 56 Avdalov, 2005; Valero, López-Cuello, Benítez & Adroher, 2006; Smith & Wootten, 1979; McClelland, Misra 57 & Martell, 1985; Adams, Murrell & Ross, 1997; Abollo, Gestal & Pascual, 2001; Rello, Adroher, Benítez & 58 Valero, 2009). Reasons for these emerging fish diseases in fishery products are diverse. Primarily, outbreaks 59 depend on the nature and life-cycle strategy of the parasites, but mostly on an uncontrolled ecosystem 60 management and on new consumers feeding habits. Well-know examples of ecosystem-based implications for 61 parasites are the outbreak spreading of Giardia and Cryptosporidum protozoans around shellfish harvesting 62 areas due to fecal contamination by river and waste waters (Freire-Santos et al., 2000; Gómez-Couso, 63 Mendez-Hermida, Castro-Hermida & Ares-Mazas, 2005), or protectionist policies for marine mammals 64 followed by several fishing practices that may increase the recruitment of zoonotic, allergenic anisakid 65 nematodes at fishing grounds (McClelland, Misra & Martell, 1990; Abollo et al., 2001; Rodriguez et al., 66 2009). Furthermore, the new wave of increasingly eating raw or undercooked fishery products has also 67 epidemiological implications in industrialized countries. Specifically, *Giardia*, *Cryptosporidium*, some 68 species of anisakids and more recently Kudoa have been recognized as human health hazards responsible for 69 emergent zoonoses, that causes from gastro-allergic disorders in consumers (Chen et al., 2008; Dick, Dixon & 70 Choudhury, 1991; Smith & Wootten, 1978; Audicana, Ansotegui, Fernández de Corres & Kennedy, 2002; 71 Vidacek, de las Heras & Tejada, 2009; Kawai et al., 2012) to occupathional-asma in fish-farming workers 72 (Plessis, Lopata & Steinman, 2004; Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2006). Besides these detrimental effects on public 73 health, the presence of parasites in fishery products may also hamper the commercial value of products 74 reducing thus its marketability (Crowden & Boom, 1980; Brassard, Rau & Curtis, 1982; Arthur, Margolis, 75 Whitaker & McDonald, 1982; Lom & Dykova, 1992; Williams & Jones, 1994; Kumaraguru, Beamish & 76 Woo, 1995; Woo, 1995). As an example, the economic losses among fish processing industries caused by 77 anisakid larvae in fish flesh have been estimated to reach several millions of dollars (Bonnell, 1994).

79 The "dirty dozen" genera that affect the quality and/or safety of fishery products comprise micro and

- 80 macroparasites. Concerning microparasites (apart from waterborne *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium*), the
- 81 mixosporidians (*Kudoa* spp.) and the microsporidians (*Pleistophora* spp. and *Spraguea* spp.) are highly
- 82 prevalent in the flesh of gadoid fish, mostly merluccidae and anglerfishes (Whipps & Diggles, 2006; Pascual
- 83 & Abollo, 2008; Leiro, Ortega, Iglesias, Estévez & Sanmartín, 1996; Freeman, Yokoyama & Ogawa, 2004;
- 84 Casal et al., 2012). Among the macroparasites, didymozoid trematodes occurring in scombrids (Pascual,
- Abollo & Azevedo, 2006), cestodes (*Gymnorhynchus* spp., *Molicola* spp.) present in pomfret fish and
- 86 swordfish, the cosmopolitan anisakid nematodes (Anisakis spp, Pseudoterranova spp., Contracaecum spp.)
- 87 and crustaceans of *Pennella* spp. in the swordfish, represent the relevant target parasites during veterinary
- 88 inspections of fresh and frozen products in the European fish industry.
- 89

90 The nematode Anisakis is a good candidate to be eligible as a sentinel model for targeting a horizon scanning 91 for managing emerging parasites in fishery products. The reasons are: i) it is by far the most prevalent 92 macroparasite in fish products from major stocks around the world, with significant demographic infection 93 values regardless of the host species and fishing area. Especially of concern is the fact that during fish 94 inspections anisakids are usually found in high amount on the gut cavity (Vidacek et al., 2009), in a lower 95 quantity on the belly flaps (Abollo et al., 2001), and sometimes in the flesh (Smith, 1984; Wharton et al., 96 1999; Valero et al., 2006; Llarena-Reino, González, Vello, Outeiriño & Pascual, 2012); ii) in the last 20 years 97 anisakids have been a trending topic within the scientific community, fish consumers and the industry dealing 98 with biological risks in seafood products. This results from many social alarms in most southern European 99 countries (Poli, 2005; León, Meacham & Cláudio, 2006) linked with the trending record of available medical 100 literature concerning the public health implications of anisakids in general, and the genus Anisakis in 101 particular; iii) besides the repercussion they have on seafood safety, quality aspects in parasitized fish 102 decrease its commercial value by affecting the aesthetic of products (Fig. 1). This fact is hampering 103 marketability of seafood products within a fair international trade and European consumer preferences which 104 demand products with high standard quality (Vidacek et al., 2009; Pascual, Antonio, Cabo & Piñeiro, 2010); 105 iv) because the parasite recruitment is successfully adapted to the marine trophic webs, alterations in the 106 ecosystem reflect changes in the epidemiological status of this hazard in fish stocks and products (Deardorff, 107 1991; Slifko, Smith & Rose, 2000; Marcogliese, 2001; Pascual, González & Guerra, 2007; Wood, Lafferty & 108 Micheli, 2010). This reinforces the idea of a management strategy enlarged from the net to the plates which 109 also should include a study of viability of parasites in unprocessed marine fish waste used for feeding 110 aquaculture fish, as juvenile wild fish on-grown in captivity; v) the risk assessment of this hazard demands a 111 management strategy as the base of a fair international trade for products of different origin and production 112 methods. In most cases neither the strategy is implemented nor available tools are integrated in the industry. 113

114 In relation to the discussion paper on the guide interpretation of Regulation (EC) 853/2004, recently the

- European Commission considered necessary to carry out a consultation to operators regarding the regulation
- 116 of consumer information on such legislation. This work aimed to propose the elaboration of a detailed and

- 117 complete horizon scanning of the situation resulting from the impact of the most relevant parasites on the
- 118 value chain of commercial fishery products. To this end and following the mentioned example of the
- 119 European Commission, authors decided to arrange a meticulous analysis and discussion by using the
- 120 "consultation" method with fisheries stakeholders. Thus a triple strategy was put in practice:
- 121
- (1) As a previous step it was considered the elaboration of risk GIS maps illustrating the state of the art
 concerning the condition of commercial fish stocks during the last 65 years, regarding the effect of
 the zoonotic parasite: *Anisakis* spp. Nowadays, there is an increasing interest on the use of GIS as an
 innovative technology to combine epidemiology, statistics and geographic information. This skill
 facilitates decision making, processing and analysis of information on several multidisciplinary areas.
 (2) Secondly, it was planned a program of surveys to fishmongers. The consultative and anonymous
- (2) Secondly, it was planned a program of surveys to fishmongers. The consultative and anonymous
 character of this methodology, the potential amount of information available that offers this tool, the
 "consumer representation" made by fish sellers, and the "intermediary" role played by them within
 the fishing guild (exerts great influence on the extractive sector and on consumers), were important
 enough reasons to choose this methodology.
- (3) Finally, it was carried out the organization of three round tables framed within an international
 symposium. Those panel discussions had the objective of agglutinating separately scientists, health
 inspectors and representatives of fishing companies, as the extractive sector, aquaculture businesses,
 restaurants, distributors, wholesalers and retailers of fish, etc. The main reason why horizon scanning
 was used as a suitable and useful method to identify key issues of concern and provide solutions to
 this biological hazard, is that the practice of horizon scanning is becoming a major strand in proactive
 risk management and business continuity.
- 139
- 140

141 **2. Materials and Methods**

142

EU legislation forces food market and industry to ensure, from the catch to the plate, that no contaminated fish reach the consumer. To that end stakeholders shall put in place, implement and maintain permanent

- 145 procedures based on the HACCP principles (Regulations (EC) 852-854/2004; Commission Regulation (EC)
- 146 2074/2005). The European Hygiene Package (Council Directive 91/493/EEC; Commission Decision
- 147 93/140/EEC; Regulations (EC) 852-854/2004, Council Regulation (EC) 2406/96; Commission Regulation
- 148 (EC) 2074/2005) and its modifications (Commission Regulations (EC) 1662-1664/2006), establishes that food
- business operators shall ensure that all stages of production, processing and distribution satisfy and comply
- 150 with general and relevant hygiene requirements. Therefore fish industry has become responsible of the
- 151 submission of fishery products for human consumption to visual inspection for the purpose of detecting
- 152 visible parasites before being placed on the market. Considering the scientific literature to date and taking the
- 153 European legislation in perspective, we defined the end-user prospect in a triple scheme:
- 154
- 155 2.1 Maps

156 157 In order to agglutinate available data illustrating the impact of parasitism by Anisakis spp. over fisheries, a 158 literature search using the ISI Web of Knowledge databases was performed to compile articles published from 159 1947 to 2011 related to the keyword "Anisakis" in Atlantic Ocean. As a result a total of 929 publications were 160 obtained and information from 104 selected papers with geo-referenced samples was extracted. The resulting 161 1287 registers were added to a computerized database. The retrieved information covered parasite and host species, sampling size, geographic location, date, anatomical site of infection, prevalence, mean intensity, 162 163 mean abundance and density of infection, and the methods used for parasite detection. According to compiled 164 information, overall infection parameters were calculated for each FAO fishing subzone. Geographic 165 Information Systems (GIS) software ArcGIS 9.3. was used to link epidemiological information to FAO 166 fishing areas' vector layer. This map layer identified each fishing subzone by a unique ID polygon. A series 167 of maps were produced to show the averages of the registered parameters of infection for each polygon in the 168 Atlantic Area (Fig. 2). The cartography generated included a specific set of maps showing overall 169 demographic infection values for Anisakis spp. for FAO subzones and also information relative to both host 170 order and species of fishery importance. 171 172 2.2 Inquiries 173 174 A program of 108 surveys to fish sellers from fish stands, whose main objective was to get information about 175 (1) hazard recognition, (2) fish product management practices, (3) quality self-controls at points of 176 distribution or sale, and (4) corrective/preventive measures in use. All those fish stands were placed in: 17 city 177 market squares, 20 village market squares, 4 super/hypermarkets and 4 fish shops, all located in Galicia (NW

- 178 Spain). A brief description of each type of establishment aims to achieve a better understanding:
- 179
- 180 Market square: a place where different establishments sold daily food from agriculture, livestock and
 181 fishing.
- Super/hypermarkets: self-service expansive facilities offering a wide variety of food and household
 products. These establishments sells fish, meat, fresh produce, dairy, and baked goods, along with
 shelf space reserved for canned and packaged goods as well as for various non-food items.
- 185
- Fish shop: a shop that sells fish; a fishmonger's
- 186

187 The reason why there was an over-representation of market squares in the survey and an under-representation 188 of super/hypermarkets and fish shops, is because the surveysclaimed to reflect the consumption habits of the

189 population in the area studied. A total of 2 interviewers executed the surveys as individual and anonymous

190 interviews composed of 8 questions. Selected queries for interviews were previously planned and described

191 by a group of marine scientists, parasitologists and veterinarians whose lines of research are closely linked to

192 parasites in commercial fish species. Those questions dealt with the recognition and the presence of anisakids

193 in fish, handling practices and with improvements in sanitary conditions of the establishments.

194 Thequestionswere as follows:

195	
196	1. Type of establishment interviewed (city market square, village market square, super/hypermarket,
197	fish shop)
198	2. Which improvements do you consider essentialto ensure sanitary and quality conditions of fish at
199	the point of sale: hot potable water, marine water, improved cleaning, better refrigerators, rain water
200	system with timer, better illumination, flake ice machine, refrigerated desk, individual potable
201	water, nothing?
202	3. Do you eviscerate any of the following fish species or remove the hypaxial muscle before placing
203	fish for sale? (Engraulisencrasicholus, MerlucciusMerluccius, Micromesistiuspoutassou, Conger
204	conger, Lophius spp., Lepidorhombusspp., Sardinapilchardus, Zeus faber, Scomberscombrus,
205	Trachurus spp., other fish species)
206	4. Do you eviscerate any fish species at points of sale before keeping fish overnight? (yes, no, certain
207	species)
208	5. Do you remove the hypaxial muscle at any fish species at points of sale before keeping fish
209	overnight? (yes, no, certain species)
210	6. Do you know anisakids? (yes, no)
211	7. Do you usually reject fish species due to the presence of anisakids? (yes, no, which species)
212	8. Do you usually have claims from consumers due to the presence of anisakids in any fish species?
213	(yes, no, which species)
214	
215	The results from the surveys performed were compiled, submitted to a descriptive analysis, worked out,
216	compared, matched when necessary, and then represented in graphics (Fig. 3). Furthermore, a Spearman Rank
217	Order Correlation was carried out to test the statistical inference between sellers' rejections and consumers'
218	claims due to fish infected by anisakids.
219	
220	2.3 Round tables
221	
222	The "I International Symposium on strategies for management of parasitized seafood products" gathered and
223	organized in Vigo (Spain) in November 2010 (http://www.iim.csic.es/parcode/), had a total of 200 participants
224	from different countries and professional areas. Among them, 30% were fisheries industry agents (from more
225	than 50 fishing companies) including representatives of the extractive sector, aquaculture, distributors,
226	wholesalers and retailers of fish, restaurants, etc., 30% were veterinarians responsible of inspection services
227	for the Administration, 22% of the assistants came from academic institutions, and 18% were consumers,
228	students and independent professionals. This event have represented an important approach between scientific
229	researchers involved in the presence of parasites in seafood, and all the agents that in any way are affected by
230	this problem.
231	
232	Parallel to the symposium, a set of round tables with 3 different groups of representative horizon scanners
233	took place, by means of 3 different perspectives: academia, inspection and market-industry. Those 3 groups

sook place, by means of 5 different perspectives. academia, inspection and market-industry. Those 5

234 included (a) 12 scientific researchers, (b) 25 public health official inspectors and (c) 25 technologists from the 235 fish industry. The round tables began with a series of individual and illustrative presentations which included 236 oral explanations of the current situation. In the case of scientific researchers' round table, each participant 237 presented his point of view of the status quo during around 10-15 minutes. In the cases of official inspectors' 238 and fish industries' round tables, some representatives of each group presented their professional approach to 239 this problem. Posteriorly the moderator opened a panel discussion, with a starting question which was focused 240 on technology push vs. market pull as forces of innovation in this field. The central message was the need to 241 progress on the use of the knowledge already generated with the aim of minimizing the repercussions that 242 parasites in general have on consumers and seafood industry. More specifically, the matter that was discussed 243 in more detail was "anisakids", firstly due to their recognition by the European Food Safety Authority as the 244 only family of parasites that potentially causes allergic reactions in humans, and secondly by reason of the 245 rejections caused in consumers since it can be sometimes easily detected macroscopically.

246 247

248 **3. Results and Discussion**

249

250 3.1 Maps

251

252 Epidemiological maps of Anisakis spp. created on the basis of the available scientific literature, shows a 253 cosmopolitan distribution of this "species complex" spreading throughout the Atlantic Ocean, even though the 254 sampling effort was not equitable in whole Atlantic area, neither for all species. However, a number of "hot 255 spots" can be identified, particularly in the Northeast Atlantic, South Africa and South America. Furthermore, 256 distribution of marine helminth parasites can be influenced by a wide range of abiotic factors, as well as by a 257 trophic relationship between final, intermediate and transport hosts (Kuhn, García-Màrquez & Klimpel, 258 2011), a fact which may complicate the predictive mapping on infection parameters concerning commercial 259 fish species. Despite this, the developed maps constitute a prospective valuable tool since they provide an 260 overview of anisakids distribution and its incidence in major fish stocks. Although the impact of the 261 epidemiological dynamics of Anisakis spp. on marine trophic structures and in fish populations are the subject 262 of intensive studies, the spatial epidemiology of this re-emergent marine parasite with zoonotic and economic 263 relevance have been disregarded so far. Nowadays, this useful tool brings important improvements to 264 researches in the fields of medicine, health and environmental sciences. The creation of risk maps may help to 265 underline hot-spot infection areas, as a pre-harvest control measure to reduce or minimize the risk of anisakids 266 infection during the value chain of fishery products.

267

268 3.2 Inquiries

269

Among the 108 total surveys, 98 were performed in market squares. From them, a total of 68 (60% from the

total) were conducted in cities and other 30 interviews (28%) in villages (Fig. 3.1). With the aim of finding

272 out the most important aspects of concern to fish sellers in order to improve sanitary and quality condition of

273 seafood, we asked them about the changes they would apply at their workplaces. Around the 30% of the 274 survey respondents considered that they have optimal conditions and no changes must be done, despite the 275 lack of hot potable water for cleaning, flake ice machine, adequate refrigerators (in size and quality), or 276 sometimes the need of an improved cleaning, which are essential aspects to ensure a proper management of 277 commercial and sanitary quality of seafood. Furthermore, other less related or more commercial contributions 278 like having a rain water system with timer, better illumination over the desk, improvements in the building 279 and in the stands, or some advances in marketing and promotion (the last two improvements not reflected in 280 the graphic) were proposed by them as some necessary changes in the points of sale (Fig. 3.2). Concerning the 281 practice of evisceration or removing specific parts of certain fish species before placing them for sale, about 282 17% of the sellers confirmed the practice of evisceration in the case of *Pollachius pollachius*, and 6% in the 283 case of Trisopterus luscus. For Merluccius Merluccius, 8% of the responders declared to eviscerate the fish 284 and 3% said they removed the fish hypaxial muscle (Fig. 3.3), due to the fact that hypaxial muscle and viscera 285 are the anatomical regions with higher amounts of larvae in parasitized fishes. Fish species with absence 286 (Sardina pilchardus, Zeus faber, Scomber scombrus, Lophius spp., Micromesistius poutassou and Engraulis 287 encrasicholus) or with lower (Conger conger, Lepidorhombus spp., Trachurus spp., Gadus morhua and 288 *Thunnus* spp.) percentages of evisceration and/or hypaxial muscle removing were not represented in graphics. 289 A similar question about eviscerating and removing the hypaxial muscle before keeping fishes overnight was 290 made. About eviscerating 13% of the responders confirmed the practice, 28% performed evisceration only for 291 certain species, and the remained 59% did not manipulate the fish. Moreover, no more than 9% of the sellers 292 responded that sometimes remove the hypaxial muscle, depending on the species (Fig. 3.4). The majority 293 answered "yes" to the question of whether they knew anisakids worms (94% of the responders) (Fig. 3.5).

294

295 Finally the two following questions dealt with fish rejections and claims caused by obvious and annoying 296 presence of anisakids in fishes. The most remarkable data is that 50% of the sellers are currently rejecting 297 fishes (of any species), and almost 50% of them are receiving complaints from customers due to an excessive 298 presence of anisakids. Fish species involved in both type of incidences were represented in one single graphic, 299 in order to compare them by descriptive analysis (Fig. 3.6). For *Merluccius* spp. and *Trigloporus lastoviza* 300 almost the same number of rejections were made by consumers and sellers. For Brama brama the number of 301 consumers' claims was higher than the amount of sellers' refusals. For *Micromesistius poutassou*, the quantity 302 of both kind of refusals was exactly the same. For other species included in this point of the surveys there 303 were no coincidence between rejections and claims; so they have not been represented in the graph. 304 Moreover, as Table 1 shows, the results from Spearman Rank Order Correlations revealed that the 305 relationship between refusals led by sellers and consumers' complaints in the species represented in Fig. 3.6, 306 was evident (r=0.2861; p=0.0026). Specifically, for Trigloporus lastoviza r value was 0.699, for Brama 307 brama r =0.292 and for Micromesistius poutassou the correlation between refusals and complaints was the 308 highest, giving a significant value of r (0.864). However, for *Merluccius merluccius* the correlation was not 309 significant. Despite this species gave the highest number of customers' claims due to the massive presence of

310 anisakids, fish sellers believe that there are two types of Atlantic hake; the one which comes from nearby

311 waters ("high quality" Hake), and other from distant waters ("very parasitized" Hake). From this point, they

312 associate consumers' claims to a distant origin, rather than the species.

313

After talking with respondents it could be established that: (1) the main reason why there is a positive relationship between these two variables is because sellers usually reject fish species that generate customers complaints due to an evident presence of anisakids; (2) the fact that a fish species is highly parasitized do not lead sellers to consider it as a product unfit for human consumption, if that species can be sold eviscerated or without specific parts of musculature (more parasitized). These facts suggests a lack of sanitary education among fish sellers. The need of a training to this guild is more important since sellers are representing the sector, and have the opportunity to sensitize consumers on good management and consumption practices.

321

322 3.3 Round tables

323

324 During the Symposium and round tables all horizon scanners agreed that the status quo to manage the parasite 325 hazard in the production-to-consumption food pathway is clearly unsatisfactory. They also emphasized the 326 advantages of the long-term view of risk uncertainties associated to biological hazards for anticipating the 327 problem and its handling. As the European Food Safety Authority, EFSA (2010) recently explained in the 328 scientific opinion on risk assessment of parasites in fishery products, the horizon scanning is becoming a 329 major strand in proactive risk management and patient-consumer protection continuity. 330 Lastly, agents showed much concern for commercial rejections, their consequential economic losses and the 331 increasing lack of confidence that anisakids and many other different types of parasites present in fishery 332 products are currently producing. 333

Half a dozen of key issues to conduct research, to inform policy and to practice were specifically identified byscanners during the round tables:

336

337 3.3.1 Standardization

338

339 The lack of standardization is one of the most concerned bottleneck problems during parasite inspection in the 340 fish industry. Improvement plans would require the development of more efficient, low cost, quick and 341 accurate validated methods of parasite examination and detection during fish inspections. That lack of a 342 golden standardization for fast and easy detection methods is hampering the consensus of parasite detection 343 and diagnosis protocols at the fishing industry, thus reducing customer confidence in market transactions. The 344 most debatable issue was the subjectivity and ambiguity of some concepts defined by legislation such as 345 "visible parasite", "clearly contaminated" and "obviously infested with parasites", as specified in the 346 European Hygiene Package (Council Directive 91/493/EEC; Commission Decision 93/140/EEC; Regulations 347 (EC) 852-854/2004, Council Regulation (EC) 2406/96; Commission Regulation (EC) 2074/2005) and in its 348 modifications (Commission Regulations (EC) 1662-1664/2006). These concepts evidence a lack of standard 349 settings regarding the "quantum satis" conception, because no limit is defined between zero risk vs. tolerable

350 risk. Therefore, a detection limit provided by sanitary authorities for an allowable number of larvae or amount 351 of DNA-antigen traces in fresh fish musculature is desirable (Pascual et al., 2010). Furthermore, the accuracy 352 of a "visual examination" scheme in the fish industry depends on the training and skills of inspectors (Levsen, 353 Lunestad & Berland, 2005), but mostly on a well-tested statistical significance between the number of 354 observable parasites in the abdominal cavity and surrounded organs, and the number of parasites in 355 musculature (Llarena-Reino et al., 2012). Although this method does not guarantee a parasite-free edible part 356 of fish, no other method as a golden standardization has been accepted as the international reference protocol 357 accomplish with the industrial requirements. Moreover, the establishment of epidemiological monitoring 358 programmes to standardize the methodology for fish inspections should comprise the definition of the 359 concepts "sampling size" or "epidemiological unit" which are not defined by legislation. These issues

represent a source for uncertainty in hazard analysis during fish safety and quality self controls.

360 361

362 3.3.2 Monitoring

363

364 As most of scanners stated the industry as responsible of food security and quality, needs tools to detect 365 parasites, sanitize seafood products and develop effective management strategies. They proposed that 366 proactive self-inspections carried out by fish operators could provide a chance to transform the parataxonomic 367 inspection carried out by the industry into a zoosanitary vigilance program by networking an industrial 368 upgrading of national sanitary defense associations, as it is the case in aquaculture production. Furthermore, it 369 also would be advisable to take into account samples from oceanographic and evaluation resource campaigns 370 financed by national governments and international funds, which periodically are operated by research 371 entities.

372

373 3.3.3 Innovation

374

375 With the increasing demand for ready-to-eat, fresh, and minimally processed fish, new ecology routes for 376 parasite survival have emerged as it was demonstrated in modified atmosphere packaging (Pascual et al, 377 2010). In order to minimize the loss of quality and to control parasite hazard, hurdle technology was 378 suggested in the design of preservation systems for minimally processed foods at various stages of the food 379 chain. However these new and other emergent technologies such as ultrasounds, electrolyzed oxidizing water, 380 etc..., should be specifically evaluated for parasite hazards. Group discussion proposed to invigorate 381 collaborative translational research and professional training as key drivers to fuel technological innovations 382 and tech transfer, which may help to minimize or eliminate the risk of parasites with public health and/or 383 economic concerns in fish products. Additionally, the proportionality of innovations that take into account the 384 weight up of cost-benefit ratios for different interventions in the food chain was also stressed by industrial 385 scanners. Finally, they also identified technological and economic benefits in outsourcing R&D in an open 386 innovation strategy for component improvements, design and new process/product innovations. 387

388 3.3.4 Training

390 In general all fish food industry employees in Europe are educated and trained in relevant food safety 391 practices, beyond basic food handler training. Some available guidebooks describe the good manufacturing 392 practices and safe fish handling procedures that help fishermen, fish processors, truckers and retailers to 393 assure and maintain the food safety and fish products quality from the boat to the retail counter. Nevertheless, 394 educational seminars for relevant emerging topics like parasite hazards are needed and are still absent in many 395 European regions. As surveys revealed, there is lack of sanitary education concerning parasites among fish 396 sellers; they confuse basic notions and are not able to differentiate those parasites which can cause zoonotic 397 disease, from those innocuous to public health.

398

399 3.3.5 Risk assessment

400

401 Among the surveys' findings, it was noted that fish sellers' rejections due to excessive parasitism matched in 402 amount and fish species with consumers' complaints. Repeatedly, sellers' criteria seems to be conditioned by 403 consumers' reactions to parasites. That absence of a proactive behaviour at points of sale implies that 404 prevention is not being applied. Much more risk assessment information, both in fish products and for 405 consumers and sellers has been a relevant plea throughout horizon scanning roundtables. A friendly SMART 406 (self-monitoring and intelligence reporting technology) platform has been suggested to generate pre-harvest 407 control tools (e.g., risk maps and epidemiological reporting). The creation of methodologies of categorization 408 or staging which should include the parasite identity, the spread of parasites in the edible part of fish, and the 409 food quality and safety implications of this biological hazard, was also recommended. The development of 410 this kind of risk-based metrics (point and probabilistic estimates) should be incorporated, implemented and 411 monitored in HACCP plans. Risk assessment from a public health perspective demands attending natural 412 variability and scientific uncertainty through statistical inference for relationships between catch origin, fish 413 species, fish stock structure and parasite quantitative descriptors in different "what-if" and simulations 414 scenarios for parasite animals, traces and antigens. Mapping of Anisakis allergens in seafood and a deeper 415 understanding of immune response to the parasite antigens were noted as important tasks for research. 416 Furthermore, integration of epidemiological information on infectivity and inactivation of parasites taking the 417 whole production-to-consumption food pathway, and the incidence of this zoonotic infection in humans, will 418 aid to analyze, predict and prevent the probability of illness, complaints and fish rejections, thus enhancing 419 public awareness and the effectiveness of control measures. As one of the more strong initiatives, scanners 420 also proposed to create and develop a thematic network performance based on collaborative software to 421 provide multi-level information (on-site and at-line) for industrial management of parasite contaminants in 422 fish products. The ultimate goal for all implicated horizon scanners during this event was the collaboration 423 and the creation of common spaces between agents, industries and scientists, getting thereby better advances 424 in the strategies and technologies to fight against this important hazard. Only by achieving this purpose the 425 international competitiveness of fish products could be enhanced.

426

427 3.3.6 Risk communication

429 Risk communication was determined by scanners as a matter of concern to manage alerts instead of alarms. It 430 was suggested to elaborate a risk profile for each emergent parasite species with the aim of sharing multi-level 431 information and to aid technology-knowledge transfer. Each "parasite array" will assure communication with 432 public regulatory authorities and the industry, thus reinforcing the industry's competitiveness by 433 implementing added-value strategies to guarantee a high standard quality in healthy fishery products. 434 Similarly to the above knowledge-based bio-economic approach, it would be of high priority to spread the 435 knowledge to the broader society to ensure consumer protection within an open public access plan. 436 437 To be relevant and useful the participants agreed to bring horizon scanning under a QCA perspective by 438 repeating the process and collation annually, and to include the topic and the information in the working 439 groups of the European Fish Technology Platform. 440 441 442 4. Conclusions 443 444 The data collected from the maps, inquiries and during the round tables, contains valuable suggestions 445 orienting current and future strategies, identifying key problems with the existing procedures and providing 446 advices that could improve public health policy and reduce economic losses. These ideas have been 447 summarized and compiled around six key issues conforming a very constructive horizon scanning effort for 448 managing emerging parasites in fishery products, as follows: 449 450 The lack of standardization during parasite inspection in the fish industry is the main reason why the 451 industry demands that the transfer of food safety co-responsibility from governs to companies should be 452 led by a tough and progressive program of unified standards more closely monitored by governs. This 453 lack of consensus and standardization concerning self-control, makes easier a free criteria and 454 heterogeneity when internal inspection of batches, manufacturing facilities or processes take place. FAO 455 protocols, facto standards by CODEX, military standards or statistical standards are some examples of 456 quality criteria in use for internal controls by food companies. 457 458 Supervised proactive self-inspections at industries could lead to set up stable zoosanitary vigilance 459 programs. The monitoring of demographic values of infection by parasites in fishes could be integrated 460 for its study as a part of the evaluation programs during oceanographic campaigns. 461 462 The setting of innovations based in positive weight up of cost-benefit ratios as labeling requirements for 463 parasite-free trademarks, could provide a chance for enable commercial blister beneficiaries of process 464 monitoring programs, for periodic analysis of products and for preventive and corrective measures for

428

465 parasites with public health and economic implications. Furthermore, the elaboration of an innovation

- 466 guide directory with the portfolio of services was suggested as a key drive to help identify organizations 467 which do outsourcing R&D work for fish companies. 468 469 Educational seminars concerning relevant emerging topics like parasite hazards, for industry employees 470 and retailers should be implemented in all European regions, especially the establishment of proof-of-471 concepts and demos linked to GMP and SOP programs within the legal scenarios to monitor into real-life. 472 Fish sellers represent a critical point that must be conscientiously trained and instructed, since they are the 473 target vehicle to reach the consumer in an immediate, inexpensive, effective, continuous and conservative 474 way. 475 476 Regardless of the method used for fish inspection, it is essential to design methodologies of categorization 477 or staging which should be incorporated, implemented and monitored in HACCP plans. Integration of 478 epidemiological information of parasites will aid to study, predict and avoid fish rejections and zoonoses, 479 and will enhance public consciousness and the success of control measures. 480 481 • With the aim of improving risk communication to the broader society it would be indispensable to spread 482 the knowledge to ensure consumer protection within an open public access plan. 483 484 485 5. Acknowledgements 486 487 We thank Xunta de Galicia for financial support under Projects Parcode (10TAL033E), Anitech 488 (10TAL001CT) and IN841C. Authors would like to thanks the excellent bibliographic work to Miguel Bao 489 (ECOBIOMAR), and to Carlos Vello/Luis Outeiriño (Comercial Hospitalaria Grupo 3 S.L.) and also to Rosa 490 Fernández (CETMAR) for their help in the organization of the I International Symposium on strategies for 491 management of parasitized seafood products. M. Llarena-Reino and M. Regueira thanks Fundação para a 492 Ciência e a Tecnologia and European Social Fund for financial support under grants SFRH / BD / 45398 / 493 2008 and SFRH / BD / 51038 / 2010 respectively. 494 495 496 6. References 497 498 Abollo, E., Gestal, C., & Pascual, S. (2001). Anisakis infestation in marine fish and cephalopods from Galicia 499 Waters: an update perpective. Parasitology Research, 87, 492-499. 500 501 Adams, A. M., Murrell, K. D., & Ross, J. H. (1997). Parasites of fish and risks to public health. Revue 502 scientifique et technique, 16, 652-660.
- 503

504	Arthur, J. R., Margolis, L., Whitaker, D. J., & McDonald, T. E. (1982). A quantitative study of economically
505	important parasites of walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) from British Columbia waters and effect of
506	postmortem handling on their abundance in musculature. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic
507	Sciences, 39, 710-726.
508	
509	Audicana, M. T., Ansotegui, I. J., Fernández de Corres, L., & Kennedy, M. W. (2002). Anisakis simplex:
510	dangerous dead and alive? Trends in Parasitology, 18, 20-25.
511	
512	Bonnell, A. D. (1994). Quality assurance in seafood processing: a practical guide. New York: Chapman &
513	Hall (208 pp).
514	
515	Brassard, P., Rau, M. E., & Curtis M. A. (1982). Parasite-induced susceptibility to predation in
516	diplostomiasis. Parasitology, 85, 495-501.
517	
518	Casal, G., Clemente, S. C. S., Matos, P., Knoff, M., Matos, E., Abdel-Baki, A. S., & Azevedo, C. (2012).
519	Redefining the genus Spraguea based on ultrastructural and phylogenetic data from Spraguea gastrophysus n.
520	sp. (Phylum Microsporidia), a parasite found in Lophius gastrophysus (Teleostei) from Brazil. Parasitology
521	Research, 111(1), 79-88.
522	
523	Chen, Q., Yu, H. Q., Lun, Z. R., Chen, X. G., Song, H. Q., Lin R. Q., Zhu, X. Q. (2008). Specific PCR assays
524	for the identification of common anisakid nematodes with zoonotic potential. Parasitology Research, 104, 79-
525	84.
526	
527	Commission Decision 93/140/EEC of 19 January 1993 laying down the detailed rules relating to the visual
528	inspection for the purpose of detecting parasites in fishery products.
529	
530	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1662/2006 of 6 November 2006 amending Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of
531	the European Parliament and of the Council laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin.
532	
533	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1663/2006 of 6 November 2006 amending Regulation (EC) No 854/2004 of
534	the European Parliament and of the Council laying down specific rules for the organization of official controls
535	on products of animal origin intended for human consumption.
536	
537	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1664/2006 of 6 November 2006 amending Regulation (EC) No 2074/2005
538	as regards implementing measures for certain products of animal origin intended for human consumption and
539	repealing certain implementing measures.
540	
541	Commission Regulation (EC) No 2074/2005 (EC 853/2004 rev) of 5 December 2005 laying down
542	implementing measures for certain products under Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 Regulation (EC) No

543	854/2004 and Regulation (EC) No 882/2004, derogating from Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 and amending
544	Regulations (EC) No 853/2004 and (EC) No 854/2004. Official Journal of the European Union.
545	
546	Council Directive 91/493/EEC of 22 July 1991 laying down the health conditions for the production and the
547	placing on the market of fishery products.
548	
549	Council Regulation (EC) No 2406/96 of 26 November 1996 laying down common marketing standards for
550	certain fishery products. Council of the European Union.
551	
552	Crowden, A. E., & Boom, D. M. (1980). Effects of the eyefluke, Diplostomum spathaceum, on the behavior
553	of dace (Leuciscus leuciscus). Animal Behaviour, 28, 287-294.
554	
555	Deardorff, T. L. (1991). Epidemiology of marine fishborne parasitic zoonoses. The Southeast Asian Journal
556	of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 22, 146-149.
557	
558	Dick, T. A., Dixon, B. R., & Choudhury, A. (1991). Diphyllobothrium, Anisakis and other fish-borne parasitic
559	zoonoses. The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 22(Suppl), 150-152.
560	
561	European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2010). Scientific Opinion on risk assessment of parasites in fishery
562	products and EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ). <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 8(4), 1543.
563	
	European Husiana Destroya Description (EC) 952/2004 on the busians of feedatuffs, Description (EC)
564 565	European Hygiene Package. Regulation (EC) 852/2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs; Regulation (EC) 853/2004 laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin and Regulation (EC) 854/2004 laying
566 567	down specific rules for the organization of official controls on products of animal origin intended for human
567	consumption. Commission to the Council and the European Parliament.
568	
569	Freeman, M. A., Yokoyama, H., & Ogawa, K. (2004). A microsporidian parasite of the genus Spraguea in the
570	nervous tissues of the Japanese anglerfish Lophius litulon. Folia parasitologica, 51(2-3), 167-176.
571	
572	Freire-Santos, F., Oteiza-Lopez, A. M., Vergara-Castiblanco, C. A., Ares-Mazas, E., Alvarez-Suarez, E., &
573	Garcia-Martin, O. (2000). Detection of Cryptosporidium oocysts in bivalve molluscs destined for human
574	consumption. Journal of Parasitology, 86(4), 853-854.
575	
576	Gómez-Couso, H., Mendez-Hermida, F., Castro-Hermida, J. A., & Ares-Mazas E. (2005). Giardia in
577	shellfish-farming areas: detection in mussels, river water and waste waters. Veterinary Parasitology, 133, 13-
578	18.
579	

580 Kawai, T., Sekizuka, T., Yhata, Y., Kuroda, M., Kumeda, Y., Lijima, Y., Kamata, Y., Sugita-Konishi, Y., & 581 Ohnishi, T. (2012). Identification of Kudoa septempunctata as the causative agent of novel food poisining 582 outbreaks in Japan by consumption of *Paralichthys olivaceus* in raw fish. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 54(8), 583 1046-52. 584 585 Køie, M. (1993). Nematode parasites in teleosts from 0 to 1540 m depth off the Faroe Islands (the North 586 Atlantic). Ophelia, 38, 217-243. 587 588 Kuhn, T., García-Màrquez, J., & Klimpel, S. (2011). Adaptive radiation within marine anisakid nematodes: a 589 zoogeographical modeling of cosmopolitan, zoonotic parasites. PLoS ONE, 6(12), e28642. 590 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028642. 591 592 Kumaraguru, A. K., Beamish, F. W. H., & Woo, P. T. K. (1995). Impact of a pathogenic haemoflagellate, Cryptobia salmositica on the metabolism, and swimming performance of rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus 593 594 mykiss (Walbaum). Journal of Fish Diseases, 18, 297-305. 595 596 Leiro, J., Ortega, M., Iglesias, R., Estévez, J., & Sanmartín, M. L. (1996). Pleistophora finisterrensis n. sp., a 597 microsporidian parasite of blue whiting Micromesistius poutassou. Systematic Parasitology, 34(3), 163-170. 598 599 León, S. Y. de, Meacham, S. L., & Cláudio, V. S. (2006). Reporting foodborne illnesses, chapter 1(4.0.). 600 Foodborne and waterborne parasites, chapter 5(5.0). In Charles C. Thomas (Ed.), Global Handbook on Food 601 and Water Safety: For the Education of Food Industry, Management, Food Handlers, and Consumers (pp. 9-602 11, 74-80). Publisher, LTD. Springfield, Illinois, USA. 603 604 Levsen, A., Lunestad, B. T., & Berland, B. (2005). Low detection efficiency of candling as a commonly 605 recommended inspection method for nematode larvae in the flesh of pelagic fish. Journal of Food Protection, 606 68(4), 828-832. 607 608 Llarena-Reino, M., González, Á. F., Vello, C., Outeiriño, L., & Pascual, S. (2012). The accuracy of visual 609 inspection for preventing risk of Anisakis spp. infection in unprocessed fish. Food Control, 23(1), 54-58. 610 611 Lom, J., & Dyková, I. (1992). Protozoan parasites of fishes. Developments in Aquaculture and Fisheries 612 Science, (vol. 26, 315 pp.). Elsevier. 613 614 Marcogliese, D. (2001). Implications of climate change for parasitism of animals in the aquatic environment. 615 Canadian Journal of Zoology, 79, 1331-1352. 616

- 617 McClelland, G., Misra, R. K., & Martell, D. J. (1985). Variations in abundance of larval anisakines, sealworm
- 618 (*Pseudoterranova decipiens*) and related species, in eastern Canadian cod and flatfish. *Canadian Technical*
- 619 Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 1392, 1-57.
- 620
- 621 McClelland, G., Misra, R. K., & Martell, D. J. (1990). Larval anisakine nematodes in various fish species
- 622 from Sable Island Bank and vicinity. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 222, 83-113.
- 623
- 624 Mladineo, I. (2001). Effects of pH values and temperature changes on migration of *Anisakis simplex*
- 625 (Dujardin, 1845) invasive larvae (Nematoda, Askaridoidea, Anisakidae) in mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*, L.).
 626 *Acta Adriatica*, 42(1), 71-75.
- 627
- 628 Nieuwenhuizen, N., Lopata, A. L., Jeebhay, M. F., Herbert, D. R., Robin, T. G., & Brombacher, F. (2006).
- Exposure to the fish parasite *Anisakis* cause allergic airway hiperreactivity and dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, *117*(5), 1098-1105.
- 631
- Pascual, S., Abollo, E., & Azevedo, C. (2006). Host–parasite interaction of a muscle-infecting didymozoid in
 the Atlantic mackerel *Scomber scombrus* (L.). *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *63*(1), 169-175.
- 634
- Pascual, S., González, A. F., & Guerra, A. (2007). Parasite recruitment and oceanographic regime: evidence
 suggesting a relationship on a global scale. *Biological Reviews*, 82, 257-263.
- 637
- Pascual, S., & Abollo, E. (2008). Myxosporean infection in frozen blocks of Patagonian hakes. *Journal of Food Protection*, 71(11), 2316-2322.
- 640
- Pascual, S., Antonio, J., Cabo, M. L., & Piñeiro, C. (2010). *Anisakis* survival in refrigerated fish products
 under CO₂ modified-atmosphere. *Food Control, 21*, 1254-1256.
- 643
- 644 Plessis, K., Lopata, A. L., & Steinman, H. (2004). Adverse reactions to fish. *Current Allergy & Clinical*645 *Immunology*, 17(1), 4-8.
- 646
- 647 Poli, B. M. (2005). Quality and certification of fishery products from both capture and farming in the same
- 648 market place. In S. Cataudella, F. Massa & D. Crosetti (Eds.), *Interaction between aquaculture and capture*
- 649 *fisheries: a methodological perspective. Studies and Reviews* (n° 78, pp. 144-165). General Fisheries
- 650 Commission for the Mediterranean. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- 651
- 652 Quijada, J., Lima dos Santos, C. A., & Avdalov, N. (2005). Enfermedades parasitarias por consumo de
- 653 pescado. Incidencia en América Latina. INFOPESCA Internacional, 24, 16-23.
- 654

- Rello, F. J., Adroher, F. J., Benítez, R., & Valero, A. (2009). The fishing area as a possible indicator of the
- 656 infection by anisakids in anchovies (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) from southwestern Europe. *International*
- 657 Journal of Food Microbiology, 129(3), 277-281.
- 658
- Rodriguez, E. F., Cepeda, A., Domínguez, L., Martín, M., Martínez, A., & Marcos, V. (2009). Informe del
- 660 Comité Científico de la Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutrición (AESAN) sobre la
- 661 incidencia de la eliminación del pescado o partes del mismo en relación con la reducción de la prevalencia de
- 662 la anisakiosis humana. Revista del Comité Científico de la AESAN, ISSN 1885-6586, 10, 19-26.
- 663
- Sabater, E. I. L., & Sabater, C. J. L. (2000). Health hazards related to occurrence of parasites of the genera *Anisakis* and *Pseudoterranova* in fish. *Food Science and Technology Internacional*, 6(3), 183-195.
- 666
- Slifko, T. R., Smith, H. V., & Rose J. B. (2000). Emerging parasite zoonoses associated with water and food. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *30*, 1379-1393.
- 669
- 670 Smith, J. W., & Wootten, R. (1978). Anisakis and anisakiasis. Advances in Parasitology, 16, 93-163.
- 671
- 672 Smith, J. W., & Wootten, R. (1979). Recent surveys of larval anisakine nematodes in gadoids from Scottish
 673 waters. *International Council for the Exploration of the Sea* CM/G:46.
- 674

Smith, J. W. (1984). Larval ascaridoid nematodes in myopsid and oegopsid cephalopods from around
Scotland and in the northern North Sea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*,
64, 563-572.

- 678
- 679 Valero, A., López-Cuello, M. M., Benítez, R., & Adroher, F. J. (2006). *Anisakis* spp. in European hake,
- *Merluccius merluccius* (L.) from the Atlantic off north-west Africa and the Mediterranean off southern Spain.
 Acta Parasitologica, *51*, 209-212.
- 682
- 683 Vidacek, S., de las Heras, C., & Tejada, M. (2009). Quality of fish muscle infested with *Anisakis simplex*.
- 684 *Food Science and Technology International*, *15*(3), 283-290.
- 685
- Wharton, D. A., Hassall, M. L., & Aalders, O. (1999). *Anisakis* (Nematoda) in some New Zealand inshore
 fish. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, *33*, 643-648.
- 688
- 689 Whipps, C. M., & Diggles, B. K. (2006). Kudoa alliaria in flesh of Argentinian hoki Macruronus
- 690 magellanicus (Gadiformes; Merlucciidae). Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, 69, 259-263.
- 691
- 692 Williams, H. H., & Jones, A. (1994). *Parasitic worms of fish* (593 pp.). London: Taylor & Francis.
- 693

Woo, P. T. K. (1995). *Fish diseases and disorders. Protozoan and metazoan infections* (vol. 1, 808 pp.). New
York, NY: CABI Publishing.

696

Wood, C., Lafferty, K., & Micheli, F. (2010). Fishing out marine parasites? Impacts of fishing on rates of
parasitism in the ocean. *Ecology Letters*, *13*, 761-775.

- 699
- 700
- 701 **Table**
- 702

Fish species	N	r	t (N-2)	p-level
Merluccius merluccius	108	0.166583	1.60274	0.112495
Brama brama	108	0.292306	2.89971	0.004693
Trigloporus lastoviza	108	0.699164	9.27722	0.000000
Micromesistius poutassou	108	0.864426	16.31130	0.000000

703

Table 1 Spearman Rank Order Correlations between sellers' rejections and consumers' claims due to
 commercial fish infected by anisakids.

706

707

708 Figures Captions

709

710 Fig. 1 The unaesthetic figures that many parasites produce on seafood products represent a serious problem 711 that has a significant impact on consumer's preferences by decreasing enormously the commercial value of 712 affected products. Regardless of the concern for the public health, the effects that parasites causes on 713 marketability forces seafood industry to discard large quantities of fish and to intensify quality inspection 714 protocols on seafood products. At this point, the most valuable goals of the industry are increasing the quality 715 of parasitized products and the consumer's confidence. A-H. Macrophotographs showing unaesthetic 716 problems associated to visible parasites found in commercial fish lots. 1. Up to 3 copepods belonging to 717 Pennella sp. with the anterior end anchored internally in the musculature of Xiphias gladius. 2. Pennella sp. 718 causing inflammatory and ulcerous wounds around the entrance hole followed by abscesses in host 719 musculature. 3. Large number of *Molicola* sp. within the flesh of X. gladius. 4. Pseudocysts of Kudoa sp. in 720 the flesh of Salmo salar, at times associated to post-mortem myoliquefaction ("milky flesh syndrome"). 5. 721 Microsporidian xenomas of Spraguea lophii infecting nervous tissues of Lophius budegassa, usually located 722 along the length of the vertebral column (body), and on the medulla oblongata of the hind brain (head). 6. 723 Encysted larval of Anisakis sp. in the flesh of Micromesistius poutassou. 7. Encysted larvae of Anisakis sp. in 724 the gut cavity and belly flap of *M. poutassou*. 8. Larval of *Anisakis* sp. migrating under the skin of *M*. 725 poutassou. 9. Larval of Pseudoterranova decipiens in the flesh of Lophius piscatorius. 10. Old encysted 726 (melanin capsules) larvae of Anisakis sp. embedded in the flesh of Merluccius merluccius. 11. Copepod

- belonging to the family Lernaeopodidae in *Sebastes mentella*, anchored internally in the musculature
- surrounding fins.
- 729
- 730 Fig. 2 Cartography that includes specific set of maps illustrating the averages of demographic infection values
- for *Anisakis* spp. in each Atlantic FAO fishing subarea (1st row), and related to both host order (2nd row) and
- 732 species of fishery importance (3rd row).
- 733
- **Fig. 3** Graphical representation of the results obtained after carrying out a total of 108 surveys among fish
- 735 sellers in Galicia, NW Spain.
- 736





