1	M.R. Yagüe <sup>1</sup> & A.D. Bosch-Serra <sup>2</sup> . 2013. Slurry field management and ammonia
2	emissions under Mediterranean conditions. Short Communication. Soil Use and
3	Management 29:397-400. DOI: 10.1111/SUM2012.061
4	
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Soil & Irrigation (EEAD-CSIC Associated Unit), Agrifood Research
7	and Technology Centre Aragon (CITA), Avda. Montañana 930, E-50059, Zaragoza,
8	Spain.
9	<sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental & Soil Sciences, University of Lleida (UdL), Avd.
10	Alcalde Rovira Roure 191, E-25198, Lleida, Spain.
11	
12	Corresponding author: mryaguue@aragon.es (MR Yagüe).
13	
14	Running title: Slurry management and ammonia loss
15	

### Abstract

17 In Spain, farmers are interested in applying pig (Sus scrofa domesticus) slurry (PS) to 18 their fields throughout the year. During the spring and summer months ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) 19 volatilization may be high. We studied the potential range of NH<sub>3</sub> losses under a warm 20 and a hot period of the year, using available field practices, and two strategies: PS 21 directly incorporated into the soil, in spring (I-spring); and PS applied by splash-plate, 22 in summer time (SP-summer), both to bare soil. Measurements were conducted, after PS 23 application, using the micrometeorological mass-balance integrated horizontal flux 24 method. The cumulative NH<sub>3</sub>-N volatilization was 35% (I-spring) and 60% (SP-25 summer) of total ammonium nitrogen applied, and half of the total NH<sub>3</sub>-N losses 26 happened by 17h and 8h, respectively, after application. Incorporation strategy was less 27 effective in avoiding NH<sub>3</sub> losses than is described in the literature. This fact has 28 important consequences for the implementation of NH<sub>3</sub> mitigation measures in 29 Mediterranean agricultural systems.

30

31 Keywords: ammonia-losses, slurry incorporation-method, ammonia volatilization,
32 splash-plate, fertilizer strategies.

33

## 34 Introduction

Spain is the second largest pig producer in Europe and nearly 50% of Spanish pig
production is concentrated in the Ebro river valley (MARM, 2013).

Pig slurry (PS) is mainly applied to maize (long cycle) at sowing (April-May) and to
winter cereals at sowing (October-November) or as a sidedressing (February-March),
leaving a gap of 5-6 months when applications are normally avoided. In irrigated areas,
a second crop after the cereal harvest or the extension of the maize (short cycle) sowing
period can cover this gap.

42 Despite the importance of quantifying European NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, little work done under
43 Mediterranean conditions has been published on the topic (Génermont & Cellier, 1997;
44 Sanz *et al.*, 2010) and existing articles do not cover the whole annual period, nor the
45 range of typical conditions.

46 Our objective was to quantify NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization losses from PS applied according to 47 two different strategies: i) direct incorporation in spring (I-spring), assumed to 48 approximate to minimum likely losses, and ii) splash-plate application in summer time 49 (SP-summer), taken to approximate to maximum likely losses.

50

# 51 Materials and methods

52 Two experiments were conducted in a representative area of the Ebro valley (41° 44'N, 53 0° 49'W, altitude 225 m) on bare ploughed soil (Table 1) before cereal establishment. 54 The first was established on 16-17 May 2007. An incorporation machine was employed. 55 Pig slurry incorporation was by a tube divided into three hoses (12 outlets), with a total 56 application width of 4.80 m. Each outlet was located between two shares, the first one 57 opened a slot in the soil and the one located at the back buried the applied PS at a depth 58 of about 0.15-0.20 m. The second experiment was established on 2-3 August 2007 59 where ssurface PS spreading was by a tank fitted with a splash-plate; it was spread over 60 the soil without incorporation. For each strategy (Table 2), three replicates were set up 61 plus a control.

Weather in the experimental period has two limitations, in May with minimum average
temperature (T) and maximum average relative humidity (RH), and in August with
maximum T and minimum RH (Fig. 1).

65 The micrometeorological mass-balance integrated horizontal flux method was used,
66 following the description and procedure given by Wood *et al.* (2000). Each rotating

67 mast supported three passive NH<sub>3</sub> flux samplers mounted at three heights (0.375, 0.75, 68 and 1.50 m) with the greatest height being 10% of fetch length, in agreement with 69 estimates by Itier & Perrier (1976), which were confirmed by a previous field test. The 70 ammonium solution obtained in passive samples was analyzed with a continuous flow 71 analyser (AA3-Bran+Luebbe). Sampling started immediately after application and 72 periodicity for the first day was approximately from 1 to 2h, at 3h, from 5 to 8h, at 12h 73 and before 24h after application. Later on, the intensity of sampling decreased with time 74 according to the declining of the intensity of NH<sub>3</sub> flux losses (Fig. 2).

Differences between strategies in  $NH_3$ -N cumulative emissions and also as a percentage of applied  $NH_4^+$ -N, were analysed using analysis of variance and LSMEANS test (p= 0.05). The statistical package SAS V8.2 was used for all statistical analysis.

78

### 79 **Results and discussion**

80 The average for cumulative NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, measured during the experiments, was 35% 81 of total ammonium nitrogen (TAN) applied (99 kg NH<sub>3</sub>-N/ha, 25% of total N) in the I-82 spring strategy and 60% of applied TAN (122 kg NH<sub>3</sub>-N/ha, 42% of total N) in the SP-83 summer strategy (Table 2). Half of the maximum NH<sub>3</sub>-N loss was estimated to occur by 84 17h and 8h after application in I-spring and SP-summer strategies, respectively (Fig. 2). 85 For the SP-summer strategy the emission was in the upper ranges found in other studies 86 (e.g. Sommer et al., 2003; Rochette et al., 2009) but according to Misselbrook et al. 87 (2005), the slurry dry matter content in the SP-summer strategy can explain losses 88 equivalent to 60% of applied TAN. Incorporation did not reduce volatilization as much 89 as it was expected to, according to the results from other experiments (Huijsmans et al., 90 2003), probably because dry soil conditions (Table 1) made it difficult to fully bury the 91 PS, favouring NH<sub>3</sub> gas diffusion through it.

92 In the context of Mediterranean agricultural systems, the official advice to bury PS 93 spread over land not later than 24h after application would not be fully effective in 94 reducing NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, as more than 50% of applied TAN could already be lost during 95 the first 24 hours after application. In addition, the low moisture content in the soil, in 96 the hottest months of the year, limits the effectiveness of PS incorporation. New 97 methods must be investigated and they should be orientated to the reduction of slurry-98 air contact (e.g. trail hoses) or/and to slurry infiltration enhancement (e.g. light 99 irrigation). Nevertheless, their effectiveness will be influenced by soil carbonate content 100 and this aspect needs further practical evaluation. This research is also necessary in the 101 framework of models such as ALFAM (Søgaard et al., 2002) that do not fully cover the 102 special aspects that need to be considered in Mediterranean environments.

103

### 104 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Miguel Izquierdo, Jesús Gaudó, Juan M. Acín, and Benjamín Subías for their invaluable field management; Marisa Díez for laboratory assistance; and M. Rosa Teira for assessment of IHF method use. This study was supported by the National Institute for Agricultural and Food Scientific Research and Technology of Spain-INIA (projects RTA2004-114 and RTA2010-126) and by FEDER (European Fund for Regional Development) and FEADER (European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development) funds.

## 113 **References**

- Génermont, S. & Cellier, P. 1997. A mechanistic model for estimating ammonia
  volatilization from slurry applied to bare soil. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*,
  88, 145-167.
- 117 Huijsmans, J.F.M., Hol, J.M.G. & Vermeulen, G.D. 2003. Effect of application method,
- manure characteristics, weather and field conditions on ammonia volatilization from
  manure applied to arable land. *Atmospheric Environment*, **37**, 3669-3680.
- 120 Itier, B. & Perrier, A. 1976. Présentation d'une étude analytique de l'advection. I-
- 121 Advection liée aux variations horizontales de concentration et de température.
- 122 *Annales Agronomiques*, **27**, 111-140.
- MARM. 2013. Anuario de estadística 2011. Available at:
  http://www.magrama.gob.es/estadistica/pags/anuario/2011/AE\_2011\_Completo.pdf
  ; accessed 13/5/2013.
- Misselbrook, T.H., Nicholson, F.A. & Chambers, F.B. 2005. Predicting ammonia losses
  following the application of livestock manure to land. *Bioresource Technology*, 96,
  159-168.
- 129 Sanz, A., Misselbrook, T.H., Sanz, M.J. & Vallejo, A. 2010. Use of an inverse
- dispersion technique for estimating ammonia emissions from surface-applied slurry. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 999-1002.
- 132 Søgaard, H.T., Sommer, S.G., Hutchings, N.J., Huijsmans, J.F.M., Bussink, D.W. &
- 133 Nicholson, F. 2002. Ammonia volatilization from field-applied animal slurry the
- 134ALFAM model. Atmospheric Environment, 36, 3309-3319.
- 135 Sommer, S.G., Génermont, S., Cellier, P., Hutchings, N.J., Olesen, J.E. & Morvan, T.
- 136 2003. Processes controlling ammonia emission from livestock slurry in the field.
- 137 *European Journal Agronomy*, **19**, 465-486.

138	Rochette, P., Angers, D.A., Chantigny, M.H., MacDonald, J.D., Gasser, M.O. &
139	Bertrand, N. 2009. Reducing ammonia volatilization in a no till soil by
140	incorporating urea and pig slurry in shallow bands. Nutrient Cycling in
141	<i>Agroecosystem</i> , <b>84</b> , 71-80.
142	Wood, C.W., Marshall, S.B. & Cabrera, M.L. 2000. Improved method for field-scale
143	measurement of ammonia volatilization. Communications in Soil Science and Plant

144 Analysis **31**, 581–590.

146	Figure	Tables

148 **Table 1.** Physico-chemical soil average characteristics (0-0.30 m)

149

150 **Table 2.** Main characteristics<sup>a</sup> and rates of the pig slurries applied to each plot 151 according to the two strategies: Incorporation in spring (I-spring) and Splash-plate 152 in summer (SP-summer). Length of measurement periods and absolute emissions 153 are included.

154

155

#### 156 Figure Legends

157

Figure 1 (a) Wind speed average (m/s); (b) Relative humidity averages of air (%): maximum
(RHmax), medium (RHmed) and minimum (RHmin); (c) Air temperature averages (°C):
maximum (Tmax), medium (Tmed) and minimum (Tmin), on a daily basis for a period
from 2004 to 2010. The black round symbols (•) are associated to average meteorological
data for the period of measurements in each experiment (May and August of 2007). There is
a marked contrast between the two measurement periods and no rainfall occurred in
either of the periods.

165

Figure 2. Cumulative ammonia emission (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) as a percentage of total ammonium nitrogen applied (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, TAN) and measured in two different strategies: slurry incorporated in May and slurry spread (splash-plate method) in August. Both trends were adjusted (\*\*\*, p<0.001) to the Michaelis-Menten equation  $[N_{NH_3}(t) = N_{max} * (t/(t + K_m)), where: N_{NH3} (% of TAN) is the accumulative$ 

- 171 ammonia loss at time (t);  $N_{max}$  (% of TAN) is the maximum amount of NH<sub>3</sub>-N lost
- 172 and  $K_m$  (hours) is the time to reach half of the total losses].

	Characteristics	Value
	pH (potentiometry 1:2.5) <sup>a</sup>	8.3
	Humidity $(105^{\circ} \text{ C}, \% \text{ w/w})^{\circ}$	1.8
	Organic matter (Walkley-Black,% w/w)	2.1
	Carbonates (Calcimeter Bernard method, % w/w)	39.0
	Sand (0.05-2 mm, % w/w)	25.1
	Silt (0.002-0.05 mm, % w/w)	53.6
	Clay (<0.002 mm, % w/w)	21.3
	<sup>a</sup> 1:2.5; 1 soil: 2.5 distilled water $(v/v)$ .	
6	<sup>b</sup> Soil water content was similar in both periods of slurry	application.
,		

 
 Table 1. Physico-chemical soil average characteristics (0-0.30 m)
 174

Table 2. Main characteristics<sup>a</sup> and rates of the pig slurries applied to each plot 178 179 according to the two strategies: Incorporation in spring (I-spring) and Splash-plate in summer (SP-summer). Length of measurement periods and absolute emissions are 180

181 included.

Strategy	pH (1:5)	Dry matter (kg/t)	Organic matter (kg/t)	Ammoniacal-N (kg NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N/ha)	Total N (kg N/ha)	Rate (t/ha)	Sampling period (days)	NH <sub>3</sub> -N loss (kg N/ha)
I-Spring	8.2	84.8	59.5	267	377	53	6 days	100.7
I-Spring	8.2	84.8	59.5	302	427	60	7 days	97.6
I-Spring	8.2	84.8	59.5	272	385	54	6 days	99.0
SP-Summer	8.1	86.4	60.2	168	239	36	10 days	102.0
SP-Summer	8.1	86.4	60.2	254	359	54	9 days	157.9
SP-Summer	8.1	86.4	60.2	187	266	40	10 days	106.3
182	<sup>a</sup> pH by potentiometry (1:5; 1 pig slurry: 5 distilled water); dry matter by							
183	83 gravimetric analysis at 105°C; organic matter by calcination at 550°C,							

Ammoniacal-N by modified Kjeldahl method and total N by Kjeldahl method. 184

185









