



COMMENTARY

Open Access

Dispersal in a changing world: opportunities, insights and challenges

Sylvie VM Tesson^{1*} and Pim Edelaar^{2,3}**Abstract**

It has been long recognised that dispersal is an important life-history trait that plays a key role in the demography and evolution of populations and species. This then suggests that dispersal play a central role in the response of populations and species to ever-increasing global change, including climate change, habitat loss and fragmentation, and biological invasions. During a symposium held at Lund University (Sweden), the causes and consequences of dispersal were discussed, and here we provide an overview of the talks. As the discussions often gravitated towards the role and our understanding of dispersal in a changing world and given the urgent challenges posed by it, we place this overview in the context of global change. We draw and discuss four conclusions: (i) methodological advances provide opportunities for improved future studies, (ii) dispersal distances can be much greater than previously thought (examples in plants and vertebrates), but also much more restricted (examples in micro-organisms), (iii) dispersal is more dynamic than we often care to admit (e.g. due to individual variation, effects of parasites, variation in life history, developmental and evolutionary responses, community impacts), (iv) using results of dispersal research for detailed prediction of outcomes under global change is currently mostly out of reach – nevertheless, that should not stop us from showing the many negative consequences of global change, and how dispersal is often a limiting factor in adapting to this.

Keywords: Dispersal, Global change, Opportunities, Insights, Challenges, Symposium report

Introduction

Dispersal is an important life-history trait that plays a key role in the demography and evolution of populations and species (reviews [1-4]). It can be defined as the movement of individuals between natal and subsequent breeding sites, either passively or actively. The causes of dispersal remain a topic of study, but they include both ultimate factors like kin competition, inbreeding avoidance, and environmental and demographic stochasticity, and more proximate factors like variation among individuals in size, age, sex, body condition, local environmental conditions, or genetic background [1-4]. Dispersal is rarely an isolated phenomenon, since the dispersal of an organism not only has an impact on the organism itself but also on the population, community and ecosystem with which this organism interacts. Hence dispersal is a dynamic phenomenon, particularly in a changing world. Nevertheless, dispersal should play

a central role in the response of populations and species to ever-increasing global changes, including climate change, habitat loss and fragmentation, and biological invasions [5]. During a symposium held at Lund University (30 January – 1 February 2013, Lund, Sweden) the causes and consequences of dispersal were discussed, pointing out and discussing the necessity to understand the role of dispersal in a changing world and the urgent challenges posed by it. Here we provide a synthesis of the talks presented at this meeting (Book of abstract: http://canmove.lu.se/sites/default/files/abstracts_final.pdf) in the context of global change. Even though the breadth of research questions, approaches and study organisms covered in the presentations was impressively wide, we first treat three emerging topics: (i) opportunities for future studies due to technical, analytical and conceptual advances, (ii) insights into the limits of dispersal, and (iii) insights with respect to the dynamical properties of dispersal, and then discuss some challenges that lie ahead.

* Correspondence: sylvie.tesson@biol.lu.se

¹Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Opportunities: advances in dispersal methodology

Measuring dispersal and tracking dispersers is notoriously difficult, but continuous progress is being made by updating existing techniques and developing new ones, in order to better understand organism dispersal [6,7, Tesson et al., in prep]. For instance, Clark Rushing (*University of Maryland, USA*) showed how stable isotope ratios could identify the geographic natal origin of individuals. This approach can be used to study dispersal over natural gradients of stable isotopes [8-10], but also over much smaller spatial scales by artificially enriching individuals or habitats with certain stable isotopes [11-14]. For smaller organisms, Ekvall et al. (*Lund University, Sweden*) showed how to use nanotechnologies to track zooplankton swimming behaviour in 3D by staining fluorescent nanoparticles (Quantum-dots [15]); a technique that can help understanding the causes of dispersal toward microhabitats and congeners [16-18] and the consequences of passive dispersal (e.g. water transport processes). Similarly, Jakob Löndahl (*Lund University, Sweden*) suggested combining modern monitoring techniques in aerosol samples (see [19]) to investigate microbial dispersal in different microhabitats [20,21]. Devices are becoming more efficient, smaller in size and applicable to a greater number of organisms [7] to track individuals (including small sized, rare, out of reach or endangered) and assess if, when and why dispersal occurs.

An alternative to direct tracking is to assess *post hoc* if and when dispersal occurred. A promising new genetic technique is the analysis of environmental DNA (eDNA, reviewed in [22]). Alice Valentini (*SPYGEN, France*) showed how eDNA can detect the presence of transient dispersers or low density biological invaders in environmental (marine [23], freshwater [24], soil [25]) and blood samples [26], up to a few thousand years ago. eDNA also allows the detection of the source and direction of dispersal [27,28], considering trophic interactions [26]. Alternatively, Paul Bentzen (*Dalhousie University, Canada*) explained how to use population genetic analyses to indirectly assess dispersal by evaluating the evolutionary effects of effective dispersal rate of successful reproducers among connected populations over longer time-frames, i.e. gene flow. He showed that the approach is sensitive enough to detect the impacts of environmental, life cycle and historical factors on dispersal [29]. For instance, a low genetic dispersal rate compared to rates of individual movements suggests that selection against dispersers due to local adaptation has occurred even when barriers to dispersal are not obvious. Further investigation of genetic dispersal rates for functional loci should bring greater understanding in the mechanisms of selection during dispersal.

Progress in sequencing techniques and faster and cheaper genetic analyses permit rapid and massive barcoding of populations/communities. Sylvie Tesson (*Lund University, Sweden*) showed how next generation sequencing technologies [30] and universal markers can be used to investigate the indirect effect of dispersal on community composition along environmental gradients in protists. Another application is the assessment of the biodiversity of passive dispersers transported by vectors/hosts (such as parasites or cryptic dispersers during vector migration [31]).

To assess organismal dispersal in the context of changing environments, Rachael Dudaniec (*University of Queensland, Australia*) proposed to use an individual-based landscape genetics approach in multi-species studies to deduce linear and non-linear relationships between genetic distance and landscape variables [32]. Staffan Bensch (*Lund University, Sweden*) explained how the compilation of a global database on avian malaria parasites can help to assess the impact of dispersal due to natural range expansion and biological invasion, which here sets the scene for novel and evolutionarily untested host-parasite-vector interactions that can result in high mortality and global extinction due to a lack of protective immunity in a host [33,34].

Insights: "Everything is everywhere"?

The geographical distribution of a species is a consequence of the distribution of suitable habitats and the ability of individuals to reach those. The success with which invasive species can establish and spread in non-native habitat after they have been moved there by humans is a testimony that their distributions were previously constrained by insufficient dispersal. On the other hand, it has been postulated that "Everything is everywhere", especially when given enough time. While this clearly isn't true for all species and all spatio-temporal scales, some data confirm that dispersal distances and rates can be much greater and more directional than we previously thought (Tesson et al., and Caplat, Birkhofer et al., in prep). For example, invasive bullfrogs already showed a wide distribution using an eDNA approach when dedicated censuses erroneously suggested they had not yet dispersed into new territory [35]. Andy Green (*Estación Biológica de Doñana, Spain*) also showed that aquatic birds can be major vectors for long-distance dispersal of ingested, but not digested, plant seeds that are normally assumed to have very different dispersal modes over shorter distances. Such long-distance dispersal by aquatic birds seems to have affected distribution ranges and population genetic structure, and may play an important and often overlooked role in the response to global change and in the spread of invasive species.

The capacity of micro-organisms for assisted or air-borne dispersal in a dormant stage might suggest that geographic distributions are not constrained by dispersal. However, Wim Vyverman (*Ghent University, Belgium*) showed how while indeed some species exhibit a global distribution pattern with no apparent dispersal limitation [36], other cosmopolitan species are actually composed of spatially-structured molecular lineages [37]. Progress in microscopy and genetic technologies are providing finer taxonomic resolution into e.g. cryptic and morpho-species [38-41], niche separation [42], and rare biota [43] and the results continue to add to the discussion. Moreover, Beth Okamura (*Natural History Museum, United Kingdom*) discussed the importance of local selection pressures and showed how small aquatic animals (cladocerans, rotifers and bryozoans), aquatic macrophytes, and certain micro-organisms often appear to have high dispersal rates but pronounced population genetic differentiation. The Monopolisation Hypothesis [44] proposes that this is due to founder effects during colonization followed by rapid local adaptation and increased competition which reduces the establishment of later arriving immigrants, effectively reducing dispersal among populations ([44], fish: [45]).

Insights: dispersal is (even more) dynamic

It is well-known that dispersal rates and distances can vary a lot among individuals, e.g. due to random chance or differences in quality or investment in dispersal traits [1]. Our knowledge and appreciation of sources of individual variation are continuously expanding, and the same is true for its importance [46], including in the context of global change and barriers to dispersal (Caplat et al., in prep). For instance, morphological traits (size, shape, ability to attract water (hygroscopicity), appendices and density) alter the deposition rate in air-borne micro-organisms (Jakob Löndahl) and the sedimentation of micro-organisms and spores in aquatic habitats. In a long-distant migrant songbird, habitat quality of wintering areas alters spring migration departure dates which subsequently influences natal and breeding dispersal as later-departing birds need to fly further north to find vacant habitat (Clark Rushing). Moreover, Julien Cote (*Paul Sabatier University, France*) explained how individual variation in behaviour influenced dispersal [47,48]: individuals that are less tight to social groups and bolder disperse more than more social and shy individuals [49], indicating that bold - asocial individuals will be the first to enter and settle in new habitat patches. This renders the patches now more attractive for shy - social individuals, facilitating the building up of a population, that then motivates the less social individuals to disperse into new habitat, etc. [48]. This may influence the dynamics of patch occupation in

a metapopulation and range expansion due to climate change or biological invasion. Therefore, we should be receptive to the possibility that not only density but also the frequency of different kinds of individuals within the population can alter the dispersal characteristics of individuals (Caplat, Birkhofer et al., in prep).

Individual dispersal distances or rates may also depend heavily on the mode of dispersal, i.e. active, passive (by winds and currents) or assisted (by animal vectors). Beth Okamura and Andy Green argued that whether dispersal of parasites happens as free-living stages or within a host (endoparasites) can have marked effects, e.g. if hosts disperse greater distances, if the parasites impede or facilitate the dispersal of their hosts (e.g. [16], Tesson et al., in prep), or if parasites have complex life cycles, giving multiple opportunities for dispersal.

Genetic variation in dispersal traits should not be ignored, and dispersal traits might evolve in one or a few generations. This would make dispersal even more dynamic, and could cause issues with extrapolation in time. Nonetheless, Jörgen Ripa (*Lund University, Sweden*) suggested that surprisingly general model results exist to predict under what circumstances dispersal will be selected for, taking into account e.g. the costs of dispersal, the amount of environmental variation, and the amount of spatial autocorrelation (Ripa, in prep.).

As individuals disperse, their probability of establishment depends on the community where they arrive. Wim van der Putten (*Netherlands Institute of Ecology, The Netherlands*) explained that in response to global change and as an effect of shifting geographic ranges, current communities may become disrupted and new ones may form. He showed how range-shifting plants are exposed to less negative plant-soil feedback in their new ranges than related resident natives, while they were also better defended against above-ground herbivores [50].

Discussion

Dispersal research and management under global change

A major challenge is to turn results of research on dispersal into improved understanding and management of global change, and several talks touched upon this theme. Johan Ekroos (*Lund University, Sweden*) showed that the butterfly composition changed in more intensively used and more fragmented landscapes, with a loss of poorly dispersing species and habitat specialists [51]. Wim van der Putten implied that the consequences of the changes in geographic ranges for community organisation and evolution are not only hot topics in invasion biology, but perhaps also for naturally expanding native species. Whether or not changes in community structure have an effect on ecosystem functioning is not clear yet: Eva Lindström (*Uppsala University, Sweden*) found for bacterial communities that mass effect and habitat

quality shape the dispersal success of bacteria across scales [52], and proposed to look more closely at taxonomic and phylogenetic selection during dispersal. Rachael Dudaniec suggested using landscape genetics tools to provide resistance maps (i.e. relative environmental suitabilities for dispersers), tailored to individual species, or modelled across multiple species. Per Jonsson (*University of Gothenburg, Sweden*) explained that empirical descriptions and surveys are needed to feed dispersal models to predict the efficacy of marine protected areas. Such models should not only consider the (passive) dispersal path and spatial expansion range, but also the interactions between species. Paul Caplat (*Lund University, Sweden*) showed how complex interactions between dispersal of a frugivore bird, food availability, and landscape structure can affect vegetation patterns. To account for the right mechanisms in multi-species systems requires more than ever conjunction of advanced modelling and high quality empirical data, making collaboration imperative.

Modelling limitations

Nonetheless, incorporating knowledge and data on dispersal into predictive models under global change represents more than a formidable challenge. Playing the devil's advocate, one must concur that species and communities are exposed to a range of abiotic and biotic interactions, with all sorts of demographic, evolutionary and plasticity dynamics and feed-backs, whose effects vary over spatial and temporal scales. All these properties have and will vary under global change so this suggests that today's dispersal will not be tomorrow's dispersal, which has the potential to really impact upon the dynamics of populations, species and communities. Even a very ambitious model must allow for stochasticity and other unknown variability like the arrival of invasive species. Simpler models may have it easier in that sense, and might be more general, but on the other hand may miss the essential detail and realism that is needed for reliable prediction. And ultimately, all models - whether realistic or general, statistical or mechanistic - would be asked to make predictions outside the range of data on which they were based, which is typically not advised because the model has not been validated for that range.

The glass is half full – a refocus on what we do have

Should we therefore throw up our hands when asked what exactly will happen under different scenarios of global change? Perhaps not quite. We may not now, and in fact never, be able to say in detail what will happen in the future, but we do have enough studies and insight to at least point out the many things that have happened, could happen, and probably will happen (e.g. [53]). It was suggested that we should be more firm and

offensive in letting policy makers know that we are sufficiently sure that global change typically has negative impacts in increasingly many ways, and that limiting or preventing further global change would be a wise policy even when exact predictions are lacking. As long as our studies continue to shed light on these complex issues and uncover new complexities and impacts of global change, we are on the right track.

Conclusions

The tools and approaches with which we can measure or estimate general and effective dispersal rates, distances and directions continue to be improved or renewed. As we are getting better at detecting and tracking individuals, we are also getting better at understanding the potential for dispersal and the role that organismal/individual characteristics and the environment play in limiting dispersal and/or successful establishment. Nonetheless, these same data are also showing that at all hierarchical, spatial and temporal levels, the potential for variability in dispersal is present, and often in much greater degrees than is normally appreciated. Such sources of variation can and do have profound impacts on dispersal itself, and on the consequences of dispersal. Treating dispersal as a fixed, e.g. species-specific property will often be a strong assumption, which can result in overlooking fascinating processes or drawing erroneous conclusions. In view of this complexity and variability of the real world, turning knowledge and data on dispersal into predictions under global change represents more than a formidable challenge. This challenge can be partially tackled with better models, better data and interdisciplinary collaboration. On the other hand, an appreciation of exactly this complexity and the many ways in which things can go wrong should also be seen as a mayor past and ongoing contribution of research on dispersal.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

ST and PE equally participated in the design, coordination, writing and revising of the manuscript. All authors and participants of the symposium mentioned in the manuscript read and approved it.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by a Linnaeus grant to the Centre for Animal Movement Research (CAnMove) from the Swedish Research Council (349-2007-8690) and Lund University. We gratefully acknowledge Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in a Changing Climate (BECC) and CAnMove from Lund University, Sweden, for financial support to organise the meeting. We thank Karin Rengefors, Katarina Hedlund and Sylvie Tesson for organizing the meeting and all the participants of the symposium for their contributions and comments on this article: speakers Beth Okamura, Jörgen Ripa, Wim Vyverman, Clark Rushing, Wim van der Putten, Staffan Bensch, Julien Cote, Alice Valentini, Andy Green, Jakob Löndahl, Paul Bentzen, Eva Lindström, Paul Caplat, Johan Ekroos, Rachael Dudaniec, Sylvie Tesson and Per Jonsson. We acknowledge the poster presenters: Charlotte Berkström and Niklas Lönnell (Stockholm University, Sweden), Eric Petit and Maxime Dahirel (Université de Rennes 1 – CNRS, France), Maria Romeralo (Uppsala University, Sweden), Kim

Berndt, Mikael Ekvall, Tom Evans, María Ingimarsdóttir, Natalia Kulatska, Roman Motyka and Ingrid Sassenhagen (Lund University, Sweden), Jerome Mathieu (Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France), Henrik Pärn (Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway), Jaanus Remm (University of Tartu, Estonia), Casper van Leeuwen (Netherlands Institute of Ecology, The Netherlands), Pim Edelaar (University Pablo de Olavide, Spain) and Helene Weigang (University of Helsinki, Finland).

Author details

¹Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden. ²Department of Molecular Biology & Biochemical Engineering, University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain. ³Department of Conservation Biology, Estación Biológica de Doñana – CSIC, Seville, Spain.

Received: 13 May 2013 Accepted: 20 August 2013

Published: 14 October 2013

References

1. Clobert J, Baguette M, Benton TG, Bullock JM: *Dispersal ecology and evolution*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2012.
2. Kritzer JP, Sale P: *Marine metapopulations*. San Diego: Elsevier Academic Press; 2006.
3. Holyoak M, Leibold MA, Holt RD: *Metacommunities: spatial dynamics and ecological communities*. Chicago, USA: The University Chicago Press; 2005.
4. Bullock JM, Kenward RE, Hails RS: *Dispersal ecology*. British Ecological Society: Blackwell Publishing Company; 2002.
5. Travis JMJ, Delgado M, Bocado G, Baguette M, Barton K, Bonte D, Boulangeat I, Hodgson JA, Kubisch A, Penteriani V, Saastamoinen M, Stevens VM, Bullock JM: **Dispersal and species' responses to climate change**. *Oikos* 2013, **4**:1–9.
6. Guilford T, Akesson S, Gagliardo A, Holland RA, Mouritsen H, Muheim R, Wiltshcko R, Wiltshcko W, Bingman VP: **Migratory navigation in birds: New opportunities in an era of fast-developing tracking technology**. *J Exp Biol* 2011, **214**(Pt 22):3705–3712.
7. Liedvogel M, Chapman BB, Muheim R, Åkesson S: **The behavioural ecology of animal movement: reflections upon potential synergies**. *Animal Migration* 2013, **1**:5.
8. Rubenstein DR, Hobson KA: **From birds to butterflies: animal movement patterns and stable isotopes**. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2004, **19**:256–263.
9. Hobson KA: **Using stable isotopes to trace long-distance dispersal in birds and other taxa**. *Divers Distrib* 2005, **11**:157–164.
10. Hobson KA, Wassenaar LI, Bayne E: **Using isotopic variance to detect long-distance dispersal and philopatry in birds. An example with ovenbirds (*Seiurus aurocapillus*) and American redstarts (*Setophaga ruticilla*)**. *Condor* 2004, **106**:732–743.
11. Pauli JN, Smith WP, Ben-David M: **Quantifying dispersal rates and distances in North American martens: A test of enriched isotope labeling**. *J Mammal* 2012, **93**:390–398.
12. Caudill CC: **Measuring dispersal in a metapopulation using stable isotope enrichment: High rates of sex-biased dispersal between patches in a mayfly metapopulation**. *Oikos* 2003, **101**:624–630.
13. Macneale KH, Peckarsky BL, Likens GE: **Stable isotopes identify dispersal patterns of stonefly populations living along stream corridors**. *Freshw Biol* 2005, **50**:1117–1130.
14. Carlo TA, Tewksbury JJ, Martinez del Rio C: **A new method to track seed dispersal and recruitment using ¹⁵N isotope enrichment**. *Ecology* 2009, **90**(12):3516–3525.
15. Laird M, Bäckman J, Yakovleva M, Danielsson B, Hansson L-A: **Tracking the small with the smallest – using nanotechnology in tracking zooplankton**. *PLoS one* 2010, **5**:e13516.
16. Fellous S, Quillery E, Duncan AB, Kaltz O: **Parasitic infection reduces dispersal of ciliate host**. *Biology letters* 2010, **7**:327–329.
17. Menden-Deuer S: **Inherent high correlation of individual motility enhances population dispersal in a heterotrophic, planktonic protist**. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2010, **6**:e1000942.
18. Grossart HP, Dziallas C, Leunert F, Tang KW: **Bacteria dispersal by hitchhiking on zooplankton**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010, **107**(26):11959–11964.
19. Lacey ME, West JS: *The Air spora*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer; 2006.
20. Després VR, Huffman AJ, Burrows SM, Hoose C, Safatov AS, Buryak G, Fröhlich-Nowoisky J, Elbert W, Andreae MO, Pöschl U, et al: **Primary biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: a review**. *Tellus B* 2012, **64**:15598–15656.
21. Genitsaris S, Moustaka-Gouni M, Kormas KA: **Airborne microeukaryote colonists in experimental water containers: Diversity, succession, life histories and established food webs**. *Aquat Microb Ecol* 2011, **62**(2):139–152.
22. Taberlet P, Coissac E, Hajibabaei M, Rieseberg LH: **Environmental DNA**. *Mol Ecol* 2012, **21**:1789–1793.
23. Thomsen PF, Kielgast J, Iversen LL, Møller PR, Rasmussen M, Willerslev E: **Detection of a diverse marine fish fauna using environmental DNA from seawater samples**. *PLoS one* 2012, **7**:e41732.
24. Dejean T, Valentini A, Duparc A, Pellier-Cuit S, Pompanon F, Taberlet P, Miaud C: **Persistence of environmental DNA in freshwater ecosystems**. *PLoS one* 2011, **6**:e23398.
25. Yoccoz NG, Brathen KA, Gielly L, Haile J, Edwards ME, Goslar T, Von Stedingk H, Brysting AK, Coissac E, Pompanon F, Sonstebo JH, Miquel C, Valentini A, De Bello F, Chave J, Thuiller W, Wincker P, Cruaud C, Gavery F, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MT, Orlando L, Brochmann C, Willerslev E, Taberlet P: **DNA from soil mirrors plant taxonomic and growth form diversity**. *Mol Ecol* 2012, **21**:3647–3655.
26. Schnell IB, Thomsen PF, Wilkinson N, Rasmussen M, Jensen LR, Jensen LR, Willerslev E, Bertelsen MF, Gilbert MT: **Screening mammal biodiversity using DNA from leeches**. *Current biology* 2012, **CB 22**:R262–R263.
27. Valentini A, Pompanon F, Taberlet P: **DNA barcoding for ecologists**. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2009, **24**(2):110–117.
28. Valentini A, Miquel C, Taberlet P: **DNA Barcoding for honey biodiversity**. *Diversity* 2010, **2**(4):610–617.
29. McCusker MR, Bentzen P: **Historical influences dominate the population genetic structure of a sedentary marine fish, Atlantic wolffish (*Anarhichas lupus*), across the North Atlantic Ocean**. *Mol Ecol* 2010, **19**:4228–4241.
30. Metzker ML: **Sequencing technologies - the next generation**. *Nature reviews Genetics* 2010, **11**:31–46.
31. Altizer S, Bartel R, Han BA: **Animal migration and infectious disease risk**. *Science* 2011, **331**(6015):296–302.
32. Dudaniec RY, Rhodes JR, Worthington-Wilmer J, Lyons M, Lee KE, McAlpine CA, Carrick FN: **Using multi-level models to identify drivers of landscape genetic structure among management areas**. *Mol Ecol* 2013, **22**(14):3752–3765.
33. Palinauskas V, Valkiunas G, Bolshakov CV, Bensch S: **Plasmodium relictum (lineage SGS1) and Plasmodium ashfordi (lineage GRW2): The effects of the co-infection on experimentally infected passerine birds**. *Exper Parasitol* 2011, **127**:527–533.
34. Atkinson CT, Samuel MD: **Avian malaria Plasmodium relictum in native Hawaiian forest birds: Epizootiology and demographic impacts on 'apapane *Himatione sanguinea***. *J Avian Biol* 2010, **41**:357–366.
35. Dejean T, Valentini A, Miquel C, Taberlet P, Bellemain E, Miaud C: **Improved detection of an alien invasive species through environmental DNA barcoding: The example of the American bullfrog *Lithobates catesbeianus***. *J Appl Ecol* 2012, **49**:953–959.
36. van Gremberghe I, Leliaert F, Mergeay J, Vanormelingen P, Van der Gucht K, Debeer AE, Lacerot G, De Meester L, Vyverman W: **Lack of phylogeographic structure in the freshwater cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* suggests global dispersal**. *PLoS one* 2011, **6**:e19561.
37. Casteleyn G, Leliaert F, Backeljau T, Debeer AE, Kotaki Y, Rhodes L, Lundholm N, Sabbe K, Vyverman W: **Limits to gene flow in a cosmopolitan marine planktonic diatom**. *PNAS* 2010, **107**:12952–12957.
38. Amato A, Kooistra WH, Ghiron JH, Mann DG, Proschold T, Montessoro M: **Reproductive isolation among sympatric cryptic species in marine diatoms**. *Protist* 2007, **158**:193–207.
39. Logares R, Rengefors K, Kremp A, Shalchian-Tabrizi K, Boltovskoy A, Tengs T, Shurtleff A, Klaveness D: **Phenotypically different microalgal morphospecies with identical ribosomal DNA: A case of rapid adaptive evolution?** *Microb Ecol* 2007, **53**:549–561.
40. Boo SM, Kim HS, Shin W, Boo GH, Cho SM, Jo BY, Kim J-H, Kim JH, Yang EC, Siver PA, Wolfe AP, Bhattacharya D, Andersen RA, Yoon HS: **Complex phylogeographic patterns in the freshwater alga *Synura* provide new insights into ubiquity vs. endemism in microbial eukaryotes**. *Mol Ecol* 2010, **19**:4328–4338.
41. Zhang D-Y, Lin K, Hanski I: **Coexistence of cryptic species**. *Ecol Lett* 2004, **7**:165–169.
42. Foulon E, Not F, Jalabert F, Cariou T, Massana R, Simon N: **Ecological niche partitioning in the picoplanktonic green alga *Micromonas pusilla***:

- evidence from environmental surveys using phylogenetic probes. *Environ Microbiol* 2008, **10**:2433–2443.
43. Sogin ML, Morrison HG, Huber JA, Welch MD, Huse SM, Neal PR, Arrieta JM, Herndl GJ: **Microbial diversity in the deep sea and the underexplored "rare biosphere"**. *PNAS* 2006, **103**:12115–12120.
 44. De Meester L, Gomez A, Okamura B, Schwenk K: **The Monopolization Hypothesis and the dispersal-gene flow paradox in aquatic organisms**. *Acta Oecologica* 2002, **23**:121–135.
 45. Bradbury IR, Campana SE, Bentzen P: **Estimating contemporary early life-history dispersal in an estuarine fish: Integrating molecular and otolith elemental approaches**. *Mol Ecol* 2008, **17**:1438–1450.
 46. Edelaar P, Bolnick DI: **Non-random gene flow: an underappreciated force in ecology and evolution**. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2012, **27**:659–665.
 47. Cote J, Fogarty S, Weinersmith K, Brodin T, Sih A: **Personality traits and dispersal tendency in the invasive mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*)**. *Proceedings* 2010, **277**:1571–1579.
 48. Fogarty S, Cote J, Sih A: **Social personality polymorphism and the spread of invasive species: A model**. *Am Nat* 2011, **177**(3):273–287.
 49. Cote J, Clobert J, Brodin T, Fogarty S, Sih A: **Personality-dependent dispersal: Characterization, ontogeny and consequences for spatially structured populations**. *Phil Trans R soc B* 2010, **365**:4065–4076.
 50. Van der Putten WH: **Climate change, aboveground-belowground interactions, and Species range shifts**. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 2012, **43**(1):365–383.
 51. Ekroos J, Kuussaari M: **Landscape context affects the relationship between local and landscape species richness of butterflies in semi-natural habitats**. *Ecography* 2012, **35**:232–238.
 52. Lindström ES, Östman Ö: **The importance of dispersal for bacterial community composition and functioning**. *PLoS one* 2011, **6**:e25883.
 53. Nathan R, Horvitz N, He Y, Kuparinen A, Schurr FM, Katul GG: **Spread of North American wind-dispersed trees in future environments**. *Ecol Lett* 2011, **14**:211–219.

doi:10.1186/2051-3933-1-10

Cite this article as: Tesson and Edelaar: Dispersal in a changing world: opportunities, insights and challenges. *Movement Ecology* 2013 **1**:10.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

