

## SHORT COMMUNICATION

# Prevalence, characteristics, and prognostic implications of type 2 diabetes in patients with myocardial infarction

## The Polish Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (PL-ACS) annual 2018 report

Jacek T. Niedziela<sup>1</sup>, Jarosław Hiczkiewicz<sup>2,3</sup>, Andrzej Kleinrok<sup>4</sup>, Piotr Pączek<sup>5</sup>, Przemysław Leszek<sup>6</sup>, Małgorzata Lelonek<sup>7</sup>, Piotr Rozentryt<sup>1,8</sup>, Zofia Parma<sup>9</sup>, Adam Witkowski<sup>10</sup>, Stanisław Bartuś<sup>11</sup>, Tomasz Zdrojewski<sup>12</sup>, Paweł Buszman<sup>13</sup>, Jarosław Kaźmierczak<sup>14</sup>, Krzysztof Strojek<sup>15</sup>, Mariusz Gąsior<sup>16</sup>

- 1 3rd Department of Cardiology, Silesian Centre for Heart Disease, Zabrze, Poland
- 2 Clinical Department of Cardiology, Nowa Sól Multidisciplinary Hospital, Nowa Sól, Poland
- 3 University of Zielona Góra, Zielona Góra, Poland
- 4 Department of Cardiology, Pope John Paul II Province Hospital of Zamość, Zamość, Poland
- 5 Department of Cardiology, Public Clinical Hospital, Sosnowiec, Poland
- 6 Department of Heart Failure and Transplantology, Cardinal Wyszyński National Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland
- 7 Department of Noninvasive Cardiology, Medical University of Łódź, Łódź, Poland
- 8 Department of Toxicology and Health Protection, School of Public Health, Medical University of Silesia, Bytom, Poland
- 9 Department of Cardiology and Structural Heart Diseases, School of Medicine in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland
- 10 Department of Interventional Cardiology and Angiology, Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland
- 11 Department of Clinical Cardiology and Cardiovascular Interventions Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland
- 12 Department of Preventive Medicine and Education, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland
- 13 American Heart of Poland, Katowice, Poland
- 14 Department of Cardiology, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland
- 15 Department of Internal Diseases Diabetology and Cardiometabolic Diseases, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland
- 16 3rd Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

### Correspondence to:

Jacek T. Niedziela, MD, PhD,  
3rd Department of Cardiology,  
Silesian Centre for Heart  
Disease, ul. M. Curie-  
Skłodowskiej 9, 41-800 Zabrze,  
Poland, phone: +48 32 373 38 60,  
email: jacek@niedziela.org

Received: November 6, 2019.

Revision accepted:

February 10, 2020.

Published online:

February 12, 2020.

Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78 (3): 243-246  
doi:10.33963/KP.15189

Copyright by the Author(s), 2020

**Introduction** Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common risk-factor for cardiovascular diseases. In patients without a history of cardiovascular disease, DM is associated with a higher risk of coronary artery disease (CAD), coronary death, and nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI).<sup>1</sup> Patients with at least a 10-year history of DM without any target organ damage and with any risk factor other than DM are categorized as high-risk individuals.<sup>2</sup> Diabetes may be found in 13.7% to 35.6% of patients with MI and is associated with worse clinical parameters, higher prevalence of comorbidities, and a greater extent of CAD.<sup>2-5</sup> These patients are also characterized by increased in-hospital mortality, both in men (11.7% in DM vs 7.8% in non-DM) and women (15.6% in DM vs 12.6% in non-DM).<sup>3</sup>

Proper in-hospital treatment and treatment recommended at discharge are of great importance in patients with DM. As the Polish Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes

(PL-ACS) contains data on both MI and DM treatment, as well as in-hospital and long-term outcomes, we decided to analyze the population of diabetic patients with MI. The purpose of the study was to compare baseline characteristics, treatment patterns, and in-hospital outcomes of patients with and without DM hospitalized for MI. The second aim was to compare hypoglycemic treatment in patients with DM with and without left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) during hospitalization and at discharge.

**Methods** The rationale and methodology of PL-ACS were described previously.<sup>6</sup> At the time of this analysis, the registry included 738 790 patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS), of which 456 381 had MI. The study included patients with MI hospitalized between January 2018 and December 2018. They were divided into 2 groups: patients

with DM and those without. Diabetes was defined in the case report form of the registry as history of type 2 diabetes before the hospital admission.

Moreover, patients with DM were grouped regarding to LVSD. The cutoff for the diagnosis of LVSD was defined as left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) below 40% on the latest in-hospital echocardiography.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee, and all patients provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

**Statistical analysis** Categorical variables were presented as percentages. Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean (SD) and those with other than normal distribution, as median and interquartile range (IQR). Comparisons between groups were carried out using the *t* test or the Mann–Whitney test where appropriate. Categorical variables were compared with the  $\chi^2$  test, with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons and the Yates correction in case of small sample size.

**Results and discussion** We included 25 748 consecutive patients admitted to the hospital with MI between January 2018 and December 2018 who were reported to the PL-ACS registry, including 7323 individuals (28.4%) with DM. In other ACS registries, the prevalence of DM varied between 13.7% (MULTIPRAC Registry [Multinational Non-interventional Study of Patients with ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Treated with Primary Angioplasty and Concomitant Use of Upstream Antiplatelet Therapy with Prasugrel or Clopidogrel]) and 35.6% (CZECH-2 Registry).<sup>5</sup>

The baseline characteristics and results were presented in TABLE 1 and Supplementary material, Table S1. Patients with DM had higher systolic blood pressure, heart rate, body mass index, were more often women, and more often had non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.<sup>7</sup> The prevalence of comorbidities was higher in the group with DM and more smokers were found in the nondiabetic group. These observations are consistent with other registries.<sup>5</sup> Patients with diabetes had higher levels of glucose, which is considered to be a risk factor regardless of the diabetic or hemodynamic status.<sup>8,9</sup> Moreover, patients with DM had higher levels of triglycerides, lower levels of cholesterol, worse kidney function, and lower LVEF. Patients with DM were older than those without DM, which is in line with other ACS registries, in which patients with DM were 64 to 71 years old, and without DM, 61.7 to 67.6 years old.<sup>5</sup> This observation may be explained by the fact that in nondiabetic patients, the registered MI was more often the first one (80.2%) compared with patients with DM (68.2%)

Multivessel CAD and left main CAD were diagnosed more often on coronary angiography

in DM patients. No difference in the number of patients treated with PCI was found, while patients with DM had coronary artery bypass grafts and inotropes administered more often and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors less often. Although there was no difference in the second (other than acetylsalicylic acid) antiplatelet drug use, clopidogrel was used more often in patients with DM, while ticagrelor, in those without DM. This finding is in contrary to the results of the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Clinical Outcomes) study, which showed that ticagrelor use was associated with less adverse events in patients with DM and with better survival, with no increase in bleeding.<sup>10</sup> This paradox of treating sicker patients with the less effective drug was described before.<sup>11</sup> The latest European Society of Cardiology guidelines recommended that patients with and without DM should be treated according to the same antiplatelet strategy, and dual antiplatelet therapy with prasugrel or ticagrelor in patients with ACS was superior to dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel in both DM and non-DM groups.<sup>2</sup>

Patients with DM received at discharge more often:  $\beta$ -blockers, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockers, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, diuretics, statins, and nitrates. This might be associated with more advanced age, higher blood pressure, heart rate and lower LVEF. The use of antiplatelet drugs at discharge was similar in both groups.

In our study patients with DM had higher occurrence of all in-hospital adverse events, including in-hospital death. This observation is consistent with previous studies, in which in-hospital mortality varied between 1.43% (MULTIPRAC) and 9.42% (Belgian STEMI) in patients with DM and ACS and between 0.34% (MULTIPRAC) and 6.63% (Belgian STEMI) in those with ACS but no DM.<sup>3,5</sup>

Comparing the hospital treatment of DM in patients with and without LVSD, patients with LVSD received insulin treatment more often, while patients without LVSD, oral antidiabetic drugs. Similar observations were done at discharge. During hospitalizations no differences in the classes of oral antidiabetic drugs between the groups were found. Surprisingly, patients with DM and LVSD were more often discharged without any treatment of DM. Some patients with DM were also treated with thiazolidinediones or dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, which are suspected to play a role in LVSD after MI and are contraindicated in patients with reduced LVEF or at high risk of heart failure.<sup>2,12</sup> Patients with DM and LVSD had higher in-hospital mortality than those without LVSD (9.5% vs 2% respectively;  $P < 0.0001$ ).

According to the Polish summary of product characteristics of metformin, it is contraindicated in patients with heart failure. However,

**TABLE 1** Baseline characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of patients with myocardial infarction and diabetes (continued on the next page)

Parameter		DM (n = 7323)	No DM (n = 18425)	P value
Baseline characteristics				
Age, y, mean (SD)		70.6 (10.0)	66.8 (11.7)	<0.001
Male sex		4254 (58.1)	12463 (67.6)	<0.001
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median (IQR)		29.4 (6.8)	27.2 (5.7)	<0.0001
Main symptom of myocardial infarction	Chest pain	6093 (83.2); 7321	16290 (88.5); 18417	<0.001
	Dyspnea	682 (9.3); 7321	995 (5.4); 18417	
	Other	546 (7.5); 7321	1132 (6.1); 18417	
ST-elevation myocardial infarction		2234 (30.5)	7040 (38.2)	<0.001
Killip class	1	5669 (77.4)	15701 (85.3)	<0.001
	2	1134 (15.5)	1975 (10.7)	
	3	312 (4.3)	334 (1.8)	
	4	204 (2.8)	398 (2.2)	
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg, median (IQR)		140 (38)	135 (30)	<0.001
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg, median (IQR)		80 (20)	80 (20)	0.09
Heart rate, bpm, median (IQR)		78 (20)	75 (19)	<0.001
Sinus rhythm on admission		6412 (87.7); 7313	16933 (92); 18399	<0.001
History of arterial hypertension		6290 (87.4); 7197	11702 (65.3); 17920	<0.001
History of hyperlipidemia		3850 (57.3); 6722	7071 (41); 17245	<0.001
History of coronary artery disease		2435 (34.5); 7057	3506 (19.6); 17885	<0.001
History of myocardial infarction		2267 (31.8); 7128	3724 (19.8); 18807	<0.001
History of PCI		2085 (29.3); 7117	3254 (18); 18075	<0.001
History of CABG		570 (8); 7119	723 (4); 18081	<0.001
History of atrial fibrillation		1308 (18.4); 7095	2043 (11.3); 18050	<0.001
History of stroke		624 (8.8); 7093	849 (4.7); 18055	<0.001
History of heart failure		1143 (17.7); 7024	1600 (8.9); 17973	<0.001
History of chronic kidney disease		1121 (15.8); 7095	1155 (6.4); 18040	<0.0001
Smoking		3404 (55.4); 6149	10469 (64.1); 16332	<0.001
History of peripheral artery disease		785 (11.2); 7006	965 (5.4); 17874	<0.001
History of cardiac arrest		191 (2.6); 7310	463 (2.5); 18389	0.66
Glucose at admission, mmol/l, median (IQR)		9 (5.7)	6.4 (2.2)	<0.001
Hemoglobin A <sub>1c</sub> , %, median (IQR); total		7.2 (2.1); 744	5.7 (0.7); 1187	<0.001
Total cholesterol, mmol/l, mean (SD)		4.4 (1.3)	4.9 (1.4)	<0.001
LDL cholesterol, mmol/l, median (IQR)		2.4 (1.6)	2.9 (1.6)	<0.001
HDL cholesterol, mmol/l, median (IQR)		1.1 (0.4)	1.2 (0.5)	<0.001
Triglycerides, mmol/l, median (IQR)		1.5 (1)	1.2 (0.9)	<0.001
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> , median (IQR)		73.2 (47.4)	82.2 (44.6)	<0.001
LVEF, %, median (IQR)		47 (15)	50 (15)	<0.001
LVEDD, mm, median (IQR)		51 (7)	50 (8)	<0.001
LVESD, mm, median (IQR)		37 (10)	35 (9)	<0.001
NYHA	I	3477 (50.3)	10556 (59.9)	<0.001
	II	2948 (42.6)	6217 (35.3)	
	III	423 (6.1)	743 (4.2)	
	IV	68 (1)	115 (0.6)	

**TABLE 1** Baseline characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of patients with myocardial infarction and diabetes (continued from the previous page)

Parameter	DM (n = 7323)	No DM (n = 18 425)	P value
In-hospital outcomes			
Pulmonary edema	139 (1.9); 7265	162 (0.9); 18 267	<0.001
Cardiogenic shock	166 (2.3); 7265	313 (1.7); 18 267	<0.001
Cardiac arrest	223 (3.1); 7263	380 (2.1); 18 267	<0.001
Stroke/transient ischemic attack	34 (0.5); 7263	59 (0.3); 18 265	0.1 <sup>a</sup>
Major bleeding	142 (2); 7264	234 (1.3); 18 267	<0.001
In-hospital mortality	370 (5.1); 7323	625 (3.4); 18 425	<0.001

Data are presented as number (percentage); total number of patients for whom data were available unless otherwise indicated.

#### a Yates correction

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1C, glycated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipids cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipids cholesterol; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic diameter; NYHA, New York Heart Association functional classification

according the international summary of product characteristics, metformin may be used in patients with stable chronic heart failure with a regular monitoring of cardiac and renal function, while it is contraindicated in patients with acute and unstable heart failure. According to the current European Society of Cardiology guidelines, metformin is safe at all stages of heart failure with estimated glomerular filtration rate greater than 30 ml/min.<sup>2</sup> In our study, 51.6% of diabetic patients with MI and LVEF less than 40% had biguanides administered during hospital stay and 24.4% at discharge. Metformin may reduce the risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in patients with MI, as well as heart failure.<sup>13</sup> Those benefits are not associated with the hypoglycemic effects of metformin.<sup>14</sup>

To conclude, patients with DM were older, had more comorbidities, greater CAD extent, and more in-hospital events. They received the same (PCI, antiplatelet drugs) or more intensive (other drug classes) treatment than patients without DM. Patients with DM and LVSD less often received oral antidiabetic drugs and more often had insulin or no antidiabetic treatment administered compared with diabetic patients without LVSD.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at [www.mp.pl/kardiologiapolska](http://www.mp.pl/kardiologiapolska).

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST** None declared.

**OPEN ACCESS** This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), allowing third parties to download articles and share them with others, provided the original work is properly cited, not changed in any way, distributed under the same license, and used for non-commercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at [kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl](mailto:kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl).

**HOW TO CITE** Niedziela JT, Hiczkiewicz J, Kleinrok A, et al. Prevalence, characteristics, and prognostic implications of type 2 diabetes in patients with myocardial infarction: the Polish Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (PL-ACS) annual 2018 report. *Kardiologia Pol.* 2020; 78: 243-246. doi:10.33963/KP.15189

#### REFERENCES

- Sarwar N, Gao P, Kondapally Seshasai SR, et al. Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. *Lancet*. 2010; 375: 2215-2222.
- Rydén L, Grant PJ, Anker SD, et al. ESC guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. *Eur Heart J*. 2013; 34: 3035-3087.
- Read SH, Fischbacher CM, Colhoun HM, et al. Trends in incidence and case fatality of acute myocardial infarction, angina and coronary revascularisation in people with and without type 2 diabetes in Scotland between 2006 and 2015. *Diabetologia*. 2019; 62: 418-425.
- Norhammar A, Tenerz Å, Nilsson G, et al. Glucose metabolism in patients with acute myocardial infarction and no previous diagnosis of diabetes mellitus: a prospective study. *Lancet*. 2002; 359: 2140-2144.
- Lettino M, Andell P, Zeymer U, et al. Diabetic patients with acute coronary syndromes in contemporary European registries: characteristics and outcomes. *Eur Heart J - Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. March 2017. [Epub ahead of print].
- Polonski L, Gasior M, Gierlotka M, et al. Polish Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (PL-ACS). Characteristics, treatments and outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndromes in Poland. *Kardiologia Pol.* 2007; 65: 861-864.
- Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet J-P, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J*. 2016; 37: 267-315.
- Pres D, Gasior M, Strojek K, et al. Blood glucose level on admission determines in-hospital and long-term mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock treated with percutaneous coronary intervention. *Kardiologia Pol.* 2010; 68: 743-751.
- Kalińczuk Ł, Zieliński K, Pęgowski J, et al. Higher admission glycaemia independently of diagnosed or unrecognised diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for failed myocardial tissue reperfusion and higher mortality after primary angioplasty. *Kardiologia Pol.* 2018; 76: 594-601.
- James S, Angiolillo DJ, Cornel JH, et al. Ticagrelor vs. clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes and diabetes: a substudy from the PLATElet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2010; 31: 3006-3016.
- Ferlini M, Musumeci G, Grieco N, et al. The paradox of clopidogrel use in patients with acute coronary syndromes and diabetes: Insight from the Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome Registry. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2018; 29: 309-315.
- Li JW, Chen YD, Liu YQ, et al. Plasma dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 activity is associated with left ventricular systolic function in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Sci Rep*. 2017; 7: 6097.
- Han Y, Xie H, Liu Y, et al. Effect of metformin on all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with coronary artery diseases: a systematic review and an updated meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2019; 18: 96.
- Packer M. Is metformin beneficial for heart failure in patients with type 2 diabetes? *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2018; 136: 168-170.