

1 S. Giacomino¹, E. Peñas², V. Ferreyra³, N. Pellegrino¹, M. Fournier³, N. Apro³,
2 M. Olivera Carrión¹ and J. Frias^{2*}.

3

4 **Extruded flaxseed meal enhances the nutritional quality of cereal-based products**

5

6 ¹Cátedra de Bromatología, Facultad de Farmacia y Bioquímica, Universidad de Buenos Aires
7 (UBA), Junín 956, C.P.1113, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

8 ²Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos y Nutrición (ICTAN-CSIC), Juan de la Cierva
9 3, 28006, Madrid, Spain.

10 ³Centro de Cereales y Oleaginosas del Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Industrial
11 (INTI), Almirante Brown 971, CP 6500, Ciudad 9 de Julio, Provincia de Buenos Aires,
12 Argentina.

13

14 * Corresponding author:

15 E-mail address: frias@ictan.csic.es

16 Telephone number: +34 91 2587510

17 Fax number: +34 91 5644853

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Human consumption of flaxseed is increasing due to its health benefit properties and extrusion
28 processes can enhance its nutritional quality. Extruded flaxseed meal (EFM) obtained in a pilot
29 plant was characterized and incorporated in flour mixes and cereal-based bars to demonstrate its
30 nutritious usefulness. Amino acid content was not affected by extrusion and, despite lysine was
31 the limiting amino acid, the chemical score (CS) was 83%. Thiamin and riboflavin decreased
32 slightly as consequence of extrusion, phytic acid did not change and trypsin inhibitor activity
33 was undetectable. Proximate composition and nutritional quality determined by biological and
34 chemical indexes were compared among EFM, flour mixes (FM) and cereal bars (CB). They
35 presented high protein levels (26%, 20% and 17%, respectively), good biological value (BV)
36 (80, 79 and 65, respectively), acceptable true protein digestibility (TD) (73, 79 and 78,
37 respectively), and high dietary fiber (33, 20.5 and 18%, respectively). The ratio of $\omega 6:\omega 3$ for CB
38 was within the WHO/FAO recommendations. These results open a new venue for the usefulness
39 of nutritious/healthy extruded flaxseed flours into ready-to-eat cereal-based products with
40 improved nutritional quality.

41 **Keywords**

42 Flaxseed, extrusion, cereal bars, nutritional value.

43

44 **Abbreviations**

45	BV	Biological Value
46	CB	Cereal bars
47	CS	Chemical Score
48	EFM	Extruded flaxseed meal
49	FM	Flour mixes
50	NPR	net protein ratio
51	NPU	Net protein utilization
52	PDCAAS	Protein digestibility corrected amino acid score
53	IP5	Inositol penta-phosphate
54	IP6	Phytic acid or inositol hexa-phosphate
55	RNPR	Relative net protein ratio
56	TD	True digestibility
57	TIA	Trypsin inhibitor activity

58 **Introduction**

59 Flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum*), also named linseed, is an important oilseed crop that is
60 traditionally incorporated as feed component to improve the body performance and health
61 parameters of animals [1]. Flaxseed meal has been found to be of outstanding interest for human
62 consumption as a rich source of α -linolenic acid, lignan content, phenolic compounds and also
63 bioactive peptides; the potential health benefits of these components have a direct link to many
64 chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disorders, obesity and hormone-dependent cancers [2-4].
65 The consumption of different byproducts of flaxseed meal (grain, milled or oil), has been
66 associated with the levels of α -linolenic acid in blood, oxidative prevention and regulation of
67 glucose metabolism [5-6]. Therefore, the demand for human food and livestock markets is
68 increasing due to the unique properties of this ancient crop.

69 Flaxseed meal is also a source of high-quality protein with favourable ratio of essential amino
70 acids to human nutrition, being Lys the limiting amino acid making flaxseed suitable to
71 complement cereal and legume proteins [2]. Therefore, flaxseed products are nutritive and
72 healthy ingredients that can be incorporated into traditional cereal based matrices as bread and
73 pasta [7], and in ready-to-eat snack foods characterized for their high consumer acceptability [8].

74 Extrusion cooking is an economical on-going food processing technology widely used for
75 the production of textured foods. The advantages against other heating systems include
76 versatility, high production capacity, low operating costs and shorter cooking times. Extrusion
77 cooking not only improves the nutrients digestibility but also their bioavailability by the
78 inactivation of heat labile non-nutritive factors [9], and it can induce positive or negative effects
79 on the bioactive compounds depending on the extrusion process conditions [10]. Therefore, the
80 inclusion of extruded products in enriched foods is of increasing concern. The fortification of
81 puffed, a corn meal-based product, with extruded flaxseed meal has been marketed as a ready-to-

82 eat functional breakfast with potential health benefits [11]; however, the nutritional quality of
83 foods enriched with extruded flaxseed meal products has not been yet established.

84 Argentina has been one of the major flaxseed producers in the past, but nowadays the
85 cultivation represents only the 3% of the world production. A preliminary study performed in our
86 laboratory with extruded flaxseed fortified products showed good organoleptic properties. The
87 extrusion of flaxseed could be an excellent opportunity to encourage its production and, once
88 established its nutritional quality, guarantee its incorporation in derived food products.
89 Therefore, the present study was aimed to characterize the composition of extruded flaxseed
90 meal compared with raw flaxseed and to evaluate the nutritional quality of two fortified cereal-
91 based food products by biological and chemical indexes.

92

93 **Materials and Methods**

94 *Raw seeds.* Brown flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum* var. *panambi*) cultivated in Gualeguay, province of
95 Entre Rios (Argentina) was provided by Cereal and Oilseeds Centre from National Institute of
96 Industrial Technology (INTI, Argentina).

97 *Extruded flaxseed meal (EFM).* Whole flaxseeds were extruded at 95-100°C according to Frias et
98 al. [9]. Then, extruded flaxseeds were submitted to fat extraction using a screw press, milled and
99 dried in a thermopneumatic transport system at 150 °C for 1-2 seconds and, consequently, a final
100 meal product with a moisture content of ~7% was obtained.

101 *Flour mixes (FM).* It was obtained by mixing whole wheat flour (50%), partially defatted
102 soymeal (21%), EFM (9%), wheat bran (15%) and wheat germ (5%). All the ingredients, except
103 EFM, were previously submitted to a heating process at 110-130°C for 5 min.

104 *Cereal bars (CB).* Mixture of dry ingredients (64% of extruded pea, 27% of FM, 5.4% of
105 dehydrated whole milk and 3.6% of sunflower seeds) was combined with agglutinant (54.5% of

106 honey, 36.5% of whisk egg white and 9% of soybean oil), in a proportion of 0.56:0.44 (w/v) and
107 dried at 105°C for 30 min.

108 *Chemical analysis*

109 Nitrogen content, determined by AOAC 984.13 [12], was multiplied by 6.25 to obtain protein
110 content. Moisture content, ash content, fat content and dietary fibre were determined according
111 to AOAC [13]. Percentage of carbohydrates was estimated by difference [100 – (% proteins + %
112 fat + % water + % fibre + % ash)] and energy value was calculated by the Atwater general factors
113 system [14]. Fatty acids were quantified by GC-flame ionization [15]. Amino acids, thiamine,
114 riboflavin, phytic acid and TIA were also determined [9].

115 *Protein quality evaluation by biological assays.* Protein quality assessment was established by
116 biological value (BV), net protein utilization (NPU) and true protein digestibility (TD), net
117 protein ratio (NPR) and relative net protein ratio (RNPR) [9]. For these items, three experimental
118 diets were studied: Extruded flaxseed meal (EFM), flour mixes (FM) and cereal bars (CB). Other
119 two diets were simultaneously used as controls: one free protein diet and one protein reference
120 diet constituted with casein supplemented with 2% methionine. With the exception of the free
121 protein diet, all the diets were adjusted to 10% protein and the provided diets were the only
122 source of protein. The influence of different diets on metabolic utilization of nitrogen was
123 studied in 4 weeks old (recently weaned) Wistar albino rats with an initial body weight of 55±5g
124 fed for 10 days. A total of 60 rats were divided into 5 groups of 12 animals for each diet. Diet
125 composition, food intake, body weight, change in body weight, nitrogen intake, and faecal
126 nitrogen excretion were determined in all rats and the experimental conditions were those
127 described previously [9]. Throughout the experimental period, all animals had free access to
128 water and diets were consumed *ad libitum*.

129 *Protein quality evaluation by chemical indexes.* The comparison of essential amino acid content
130 in each sample versus its content in the recommended protein reference for 3-10 year old

131 children was calculated [9, 16]. The amino acid with the lowest percentage is called limiting
132 amino acid and this percentage is considered the chemical score (CS). The protein digestibility
133 corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS) was calculated multiplying CS by TD [9, 17].

134 *Statistical analysis*

135 Extrusion experiments were performed in duplicated. Flour mixes and cereal bars were
136 elaborated several times in order to carry out analytical analysis and biological studies.
137 Analytical data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation of two independent
138 determinations of each replicate. Data were subjected to multifactor analysis of variance
139 (ANOVA) using the least-squared difference test with the Statgraphic 5.0 Program (Statistical
140 Graphic, Rockville, MD, USA). Biological data were expressed as the mean \pm SD of 12
141 independent determinations.

142

143 **Results and Discussion**

144 In order to study the influence of extrusion on flaxseed, the content of some nutritive compounds
145 such as amino acids and vitamins in raw seed and extruded products were compared, as well as
146 some non-nutritive factors including phytic acid and trypsin inhibitor activity (TIA) (Table 1).
147 Among non-essential amino acid Glu was present in the largest amount in raw flaxseed, followed
148 by Asp and Arg, then Gly and Ser and, finally, Ala and Pro, and no significant differences
149 ($P \leq 0.05$) with extruded flaxseeds were found. Regarding essential amino acids, Leu was the
150 predominant one, followed by Val, Thr, Lys, Ile, and then Met+Cys, His, Tyr. Trp was the amino
151 acid present in the lowest amount and extrusion did not cause significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences.
152 Lys was present in noticeable amount (3.6-3.8 g/100g protein) and values are in the range found
153 in the literature for the flaxseed flours [2]. Results confirm the stability of amino acids to
154 extrusion conditions providing proteic products of relevant nutritional value.

155 Extruded flaxseed meal provides thiamin and riboflavin vitamins, although in a 49 and
156 32% less amount than the untreated flaxseed (Table 1), as recently highlighted in different
157 extruded cereals [18]. Extrusion cooking did not significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) modify the content of
158 phytic acid (IP6) and pentainositol phosphate (IP5) (Table 1), values within the range reported
159 for other flaxseed varieties [19]. TIA was not detectable in raw and extruded flaxseed meal
160 suggesting the suitability of incorporating flaxseed meal into different derived foods without
161 protein availability detriment.

162 Table 2 shows the proteic assessment of raw and extruded flaxseed meal by chemical
163 indexes. The percentage of amino acids provided by raw and extruded flaxseeds vs. requirements
164 of children between 3 and 10 years old [16] was quite high for most of the EAA, with exception
165 of Lys that showed a CS of 80% for raw flaxseed vs. 83% after extrusion. In this sense, this
166 product could be combined reasonably well to complement the protein quality of cereal and
167 legume mixtures. Therefore, characterization of extruded flaxseed meal was carried out.

168 Moisture content reached levels of 6.5%, as stated during its preparation. Protein content was
169 rather high (26.4%), as well as fat content (25%), dietary fiber (33%), whilst the content of
170 carbohydrates was rather low (6%) and energy value of 353 Kcal/100g (Table 3).

171 The fatty acid content and composition of EFM product is collected in Table 4. Saturated
172 fatty acids were present in rather lower amount (11.7%) than monounsaturated (23.5%), and the
173 predominant fraction was represented by polyunsaturated fatty acids (64.4%). This profile
174 indicates that the composition of fatty acids reported in raw flaxseed is almost kept after
175 processing (EFM) with levels of linolenic acid ranging 50% of total fatty acids, that makes EFM
176 an attractive ingredient for fortify cereal-combined flours.

177 Animal studies carried out with EFM diet allowed the evaluation of the protein quality
178 and the results are presented in Table 5. EFM presented a good protein quality: Biological value
179 (BV) was reasonably fair (80) whilst true digestibility (TD) was rather low (73) possibly due to

180 the high dietary fibre. TD was used to calculate the protein digestibility corrected amino acid
181 score (PDCAAS), and a value of 61% was obtained (Table 2). This value matched well to that
182 obtained for net protein utilization (NPU) in the animal studies (58%, Table 5), exhibiting
183 similar results between those obtained by biological methods vs. chemical methods corrected by
184 the TD. These results show that extrusion process carried out in the described conditions conduct
185 to the protein denaturalization, improving its bioavailability and, hence, its notorious nutritional
186 quality.

187 EFM is presented here as a proteic feasible option to follow WHO guidelines [16] and it
188 can be used to supplement the nutritional quality of other plant food products. With this aim, two
189 cereal-based products supplemented with EFM were elaborated: mixture of cereal flours to
190 facilitate its usefulness in small bakery industries (FM: flour mixes) and a ready-to-eat snack
191 product (CB: cereal bars).

192 FM presented a high content of proteins (20.3%) whilst fat content was rather low (6%)
193 (Table 3), and within values recommended for bakery products. Carbohydrates represented a
194 43%, mainly due to the presence of pea and cereal flours in the mixture. Dietary fiber content
195 was also high (20.5%) and energy value of only 3 Kcal/g. These levels of dietary fiber could
196 make FM qualified as a highlighted dietary fiber contributor, since food with levels above 6%
197 can be claimed “high fibre food”, according to Argentinean legislation [20]. Regarding the
198 $\omega 6:\omega 3$ ratio, the proportion 18:2 increased compared to 18:3 mainly due to the linoleic acid
199 provided by wheat germ (Table 4). In spite of this, 18:3 predominated in flour mixes showing an
200 unbalanced $\omega 6:\omega 3$ ratio (1.5:1), which it is far from the WHO/FAO recommendations (5:1 -
201 10:1) [21]. Regarding the protein quality, biological value was maintained (79 for FM vs. 80 for
202 EFM) (Table 5), which indicates complementarity of essential amino acids. Similarly, true
203 digestibility in the FM also increased (from 73 in EFM to 79 in FM) possibly due to the lower
204 dietary fiber content.

205 Flour mixes (FM) was incorporated to cereal bars based on extruded peas in order to
206 obtain an enriched ready-to-eat snack product of acceptable protein quality. Consumers have the
207 perception that cereal bars are convenient and healthy food for breakfast, aimed at children
208 population and, even, as a portable food to consume at convenient time. In addition, its
209 consumption has been quadruplicated worldwide during last decade. Recent studies carried out in
210 Argentina have shown scarce ingredient diversity in their formulations, low protein content
211 presence of trans fatty acids due to the inclusion of hydrogenated vegetable oils and high sugar
212 content due to the presence of corn syrup as agglutinant . Our results show that protein content of
213 cereal bars was rather high (17.6%; Table 3) compared with current commercial products present
214 in the market analyzed in our laboratory (5-6%); fat content reached values aimed in the
215 formulation (9%); carbohydrates were at a level of 52.6%; they can still be considered “high
216 fiber foods” (11.3%) making them very attractive by its low calorie content (3.6 Kcal/g). Cereal
217 bars reached a ratio $\omega 6:\omega 3$ of 6.8:1, value within the WHO/FAO recommendations [21].
218 However, since these unsaturated fatty acids are easily oxidized, this aspect will limit the shelf
219 life of these products; otherwise antioxidant should be added [15].

220 **Conclusions**

221 The cereal bars enriched with extruded flaxseed meal provide an acceptable nutritional
222 quality, with enhanced quality and quantity of proteins, dietary fiber and $\omega 6:\omega 3$ ratio.
223 Particularly, it is of a great interest to formulate this type of products aimed at short-age children,
224 due to the international concern on the poor nutritional quality of snacks and related products
225 highly consumed by this population group. This goal is fully applicable to cereal bars as novel
226 ready-to-eat food in which the WHO/FAO guidelines are aimed at contributing to a “healthy
227 diet”.

228

229 **Acknowledgements**

230 This research has been funded by Buenos Aires University 01/1171 project and the Spanish
231 Commission of Science and Technology AGL2010-16310 project. We thank INTI, Argentine,
232 for providing industrial facilities. E.P. is indebted to CSIC and FSE-EU for a JAE-post grant.

233

234 **References**

- 235 1. Treviño J, Rodríguez ML, Ortiz LT, Rebolé A, Alzueta C (2000) Protein quality of linseed for
236 growing broiler chicks. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* 84:155-166. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(00)00128-0
- 237 2. Daun JK, Barthet VJ, Chornick TL, Duguid S (2003) Structure, composition, and variety
238 development of flaxseed. In: Thompson LU, Cunnane SC (ed) *Flaxseed in Human Nutrition*, 2nd
239 edn. AOCS Press, Champaign, Illinois, pp 1-40
- 240 3. Silva FGD, O'Callaghan Y, O'Brien NM, Netto FM (2013) Antioxidant Capacity of
241 Flaxseed Products: The Effect of *In vitro* Digestion. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* 68:24–30. doi:
242 10.1007/s11130-012-0329-6
- 243 4. Udenigwe CC, Aluko RE (2012) Multifunctional Cationic Peptide Fractions from Flaxseed
244 Protein Hydrolysates. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* 67:1-9. doi: 10.1007/s11130-012-0275-3
- 245 5. Pan A, Yu D, Demark-Wahnefried W, Franco OH, Lin X (2009). Meta-analysis of the effects
246 of flaxseed interventions on blood lipids. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 90:288-297. doi:
247 10.3945/ajcn.2009.27469
- 248 6. Wu H, Pan A, Yu Z et al (2010) Lifestyle Counseling and Supplementation with Flaxseed or
249 Walnuts Influence the Management of Metabolic Syndrome. *J Nutr* 140:1937-1942. doi:
250 10.3945/jn.110.126300

251

- 252 7. Gambuś H, Gambuś F., Wrona P, et al (2009) Enrichment of gluten-free rolls with amaranth
253 and flaxseed increased the concentration of calcium and phosphate in the bones of rats. Pol J
254 Food Nutr Sci 59:349-355
- 255 8. Morris MD (2004) Other health benefits of flax. In: Flax: A health and nutrition primer. Flax
256 Council of Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, pp 59-63
- 257 9. Frias J, Giacomino S, Peñas E et al (2011) Assessment of the nutritional quality of raw and
258 extruded *Pisum sativum* L. var. *laguna* seeds. LWT- Food Sci Technol 44:1303-1308. doi:
259 10.1016/j.lwt.2010.12.025
- 260 10. Brennan C, Brennan M, Derbyshire E, Tiwari BK (2011) Effects of extrusion on the
261 polyphenols, vitamins and antioxidant activity of foods. Trends Food Sci Technol 22:570-575.
262 doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2011.05.007
- 263 11- Wu W, Huff HE, Hsieh F (2007) Processing and properties of extruded flaxseed-corn puff. J
264 Food Process Press 31:211-226. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-4549.2007.00105.x
- 265 12. AOAC (1990) Official methods of analysis, 15th ed. Arlington, Virginia: Association of
266 Official Analytical Chemists
- 267 13. AOAC (2000) Official methods of analysis, 17th ed. Maryland: Association of Official
268 Analytical Chemists
- 269 14. FAO (2002) Food energy – methods of analysis and conversion factors. Food and Nutrition
270 Paper, No 77. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization
- 271 15. Olivera Carrión M, Ferreyra V, Giacomino SM et al (2012) Development of nutritive cereal
272 bars and effect of processing on the protein quality. Rev Chil Nutr 39:18-35. doi:
273 10.4067/S0717-75182012000300003
- 274 16. FAO (2007) Protein and amino acid requirements in human nutrition. Report of Joint
275 WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series, No 935

- 276 17. FAO/WHO (1991) Protein quality evaluation in human diets. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO
277 Expert Consultation. Food and Nutrition Paper, No 51. Rome: Food and Agriculture
278 Organization.
- 279 18. Athar N, Hardacre A, Taylor G, Clark S, Harding R, McLaughlin J (2006). Vitamin retention
280 in extruded food products. J Food Comp Anal 19:379-383. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2005.03.004
- 281 19. Oomah BD, Kenaschuk EO, Mazza G (1996) Phytic acid content of flaxseed as influenced
282 by cultivar, growing season, and location. J Agric Food Chem 44:2663-2666.
283 doi: 10.1021/jf9601527
- 284 20. Código Alimentario Argentino art. 235. Capítulo VII, Aceites y Grasas (2004). Available in:
285 http://www.anmat.gov.ar/alimentos/codigoa/CAPITULO_VII.pdf
- 286 21. Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation. (2003). Diet, nutrition, and the prevention of chronic
287 diseases. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 916:89–90

Table 1. Non-essential amino acids, essential amino acids, thiamin, riboflavin and inositol phosphate content of raw and extruded flaxseed meal.

	Raw flaxseed	Extruded flaxseed meal
Non essential amino acids (g/100g protein)		
Asp	9.92 ± 0.08 ^a	10.48 ± 0.02 ^a
Glu	19.46 ± 0.19 ^a	19.19 ± 0.11 ^a
Ser	5.00 ± 0.09 ^a	5.47 ± 0.05 ^a
Gly	5.90 ± 0.07 ^a	6.18 ± 0.03 ^a
Arg	9.42 ± 0.20 ^a	9.39 ± 0.10 ^a
Ala	3.77 ± 0.09 ^a	4.08 ± 0.01 ^a
Pro	3.73 ± 0.04 ^a	3.87 ± 0.07 ^a
Essential amino acids (g/100g protein)		
His	2.43 ± 0.09 ^a	2.50 ± 0.05 ^a
Val	4.84 ± 0.10 ^a	4.80 ± 0.02 ^a
Met	1.66 ± 0.08 ^a	1.52 ± 0.01 ^a
Cys	0.95 ± 0.04 ^a	1.12 ± 0.04 ^a
Ile	3.85 ± 0.12 ^a	3.98 ± 0.06 ^a
Leu	5.72 ± 0.10 ^a	6.08 ± 0.13 ^a
Phe	4.75 ± 0.04 ^a	4.88 ± 0.09 ^a
Tyr	2.34 ± 0.04 ^a	2.63 ± 0.11 ^a
Lys	3.83 ± 0.06 ^a	3.66 ± 0.09 ^a
Thr	4.14 ± 0.05 ^a	4.35 ± 0.04 ^a
Trp	1.50 ± 0.03 ^a	1.55 ± 0.02 ^a
Vitamins (µg/100 g d.w.)		
Thiamin	97.23±5.23 ^a	49.51±2.64 ^b
Riboflavin	75.83±5.24 ^a	51.91±2.80 ^b
Inositol phosphates (g/100 g d.w.)		
IP ₆	0.55±0.02 ^a	0.55±0.02 ^a
IP ₅	0.05±0.01 ^a	0.05±0.01 ^a

IP₆, inositol hexa-phosphate; IP₅, inositol penta-phosphate.

Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant difference (P≤0.05).

Table 2. Protein evaluation of raw and extruded flaxseed meal by chemical indexes.

Essential Amino acids	Requirements children 3-10 years old*	% amino acid raw flaxseed/ requirements	% amino acid extruded flaxseed meal / requirements
His	1.6	152	154
Val	4.0	121	120
Met+Cys	2.4	109	133
Ile	3.1	124	139
Leu	6.1	94	103
Phe+Tyr	4.1	173	199
Lys	4.8	80	83
Thr	2.5	166	186
Trp	0.7	227	3.42
Chemical score		80	83
Limiting amino acid		Lys	Lys
PDCAAS**		-	61

*FAO (2007) g/100g protein

**PDCAAS = Protein Digestibility Corrected Amino Acid Score.

Table 3. Proximate composition and energy of extruded flaxseed meal, flour mixes and cereal bars

	Extruded flaxseed meal	Flour mixes	Cereal bars
Moisture	6.49±0.00	7.77±0.04	7.12±0.33
Ash	3.23±0.00	3.26±0.06	2.19±0.01
(g/100 g dw)			
Proteins	26.35±0.05	20.25±0.05	17.65±0.05
(g/100g dw)			
Fat	24.56±0.15	5.70±0.20	9.00±0.20
(g/100 g dw)			
Total Dietary Fibre	32.95±1.05	20.50±0.80	11.35±0.15
(g/100 g dw)			
Carbohydrates	6.18	42.5	52.6
(g/ 100 g dw)			
Energy	353	302	362
(Kcal/100 g dw)			

Table 4. Fatty acids of extruded flaxseed meal, flour mixes and cereal bars

Fatty Acids (g/100g fat)	Extruded Flaxseed Meal	Flour Mixes	Cereal Bars
C 14:0 (myristic)	0.1	0.1	0.1
C 16:0 (palmitic)	6.7	10.3	9.7
C 16:1 (palmitoleic)	0.2	0.1	0.1
C 18:0 (stearic)	4.8	3.9	4.0
C 18:1 (oleic)	22.3	20.8	21.3
C 18:1 (cis-octadecenoic)	0.9	1.2	1.3
C 18:2 (linoleic)	14.3	37.6	54.4
C 18:3 t (trans-octadecatrienoic)	0.2	0.1	-
C 18:3 (linolenic)	49.9	24.9	8.0
C 20:0 (araquidic)	0.2	0.2	0.3
C 20:1 (eicosenoic)	0.1	0.3	0.2
C 22:0 (behenic)	0.2	0.2	0.4
C 24:0 (lignoceric)	0.1	0.2	0.1
Σ saturated	11.7	14.5	14.1
Σ monounsaturated	23.5	22.4	22.9
Σ polyunsaturated	64.4	62.6	62.4
ω6:ω3	0.3:1	1.5:1	6.8:1

Table 5. Evaluation *in vivo* of protein of extruded flaxseed meal, flour mixes and cereal bars

	Casein	Extruded flaxseed meal	Flour mixes	Cereal bars
NPU	83.6±5.4 ^a	58.4±6.4 ^{bc}	61.9±6.4 ^b	50.9±6.4 ^c
TD	95.6	73	79	78
BV	87.9±5.8 ^a	80.0±8.7 ^a	79.4±5.9 ^a	65.2±8.3 ^b
NPR	5.50±0.25 ^a	3.22±0.27 ^b	3.80±0.31 ^c	3.35±0.37 ^{bc}
RNPR	100.0±4.6 ^a	58.6±4.8 ^b	69.1±5.6 ^c	60.9±6.8 ^{bc}

Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$).

NPU: Net protein utilization; TD: True digestibility; BV: Biological value;

NPR: Net protein ratio; RNPR: Relative net protein ratio.