2		
3 4	1	Production of bacteriocins by Enterococcus spp. isolated from traditional, Iranian, raw
5 6 7	2	milk cheeses, and detection of their encoding genes
8 9 10	3	
10 11 12	4	Mohammad Reza Edalatian <sup>1,2</sup> , Mohammad Bagher Habibi Najafi <sup>2</sup> , Seyed Ali Mortazavi <sup>2</sup> , Ángel
13 14 15	5	Alegría <sup>1</sup> , Susana Delgado <sup>1</sup> , Mohammad Reza Bassami <sup>2</sup> , and Baltasar Mayo <sup>1*</sup>
16 17	6	
18 19	7	Departamento de Microbiología y Bioquímica, Instituto de Productos Lácteos de Asturias,
20 21 22	8	(CSIC), Carretera de Infiesto, s/n, 33300-Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain <sup>1</sup> , and Department of Food
23 24	9	Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad,
25 26	10	Iran <sup>2</sup>
27 28 29	11	
30 31	12	
32 33 34	13	Running Title: Enterocin producers from Iranian cheeses
35 36	14	Key words: Bacteriocins, enterocins, Enterococcus spp., enterococci, traditional cheeses, cheese
37 38	15	starters, adjunct cultures, protective cultures
39 40 41	16	
42 43	17	*Author for correspondence:
44 45 46	18	Baltasar Mayo, Instituto de Productos Lácteos de Asturias, (CSIC), Carretera de Infiesto, s/n,
40 47 48	19	33300-Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain
49 50	20	Phone number: +34 985 89 21 31
51 52 53	21	Fax number: +34 985 89 22 33
54 55	22	e-mail address: baltasar.mayo@ipla.csic.es
56 57 58 59 60	23	1

#### 

Abstract

Strong bacteriocins, or bacteriocins with a wide range of activity against pathogens and spoilage microorganisms, are actively sought for use as natural food preservatives. This work reports the inhibitory activity of 96 enterococcal isolates from two Iranian, raw milk cheeses against five indicator organisms (including *Listeria innocua*). Forty eight isolates inhibited at least one indicator in spot agar assays. Of these, 20 isolates corresponding to 15 different strains were shown to produce bacteriocin-like substances in liquid cultures. PCR analysis revealed the genes coding for enterocins (enterococcal bacteriocins) A, B, P or X, or their combinations, in all but one of these 15 strains. In addition, the gene coding for enterocin 31 was detected in two strains. No amplification was obtained in one strain when using specific primers for all 13 bacteriocin genes sought. Three different enterocin genes were identified in most strains, and four in one strain. Although the concomitant production of bacteriocins is still to be verified, producers of multiple enterocins could be of great technological potential as protective cultures in the cheese industry. 

**1. Introduction** 

Enterococci are the dominant lactic acid bacteria (LAB) in many foods, including vegetables, meat and dairy products [13]. Large numbers have been repeatedly reported in curd and ripened cheeses made from raw milk  $(10^4-10^6 \text{ and } 10^5-10^7 \text{ cfu.g}^{-1} \text{ respectively})$  [4, 15, 30]. Further, enterococcal species have recently been shown to be the dominant cultivable populations of the traditional Iranian cheeses Lighvan and Koozeh [9].

Some authors believe enterococci responsible for producing the typical taste and flavour of certain foods [16, 18]. Indeed, selected strains are used as starter and ripening cultures [3]. A few strains even have an impressive record of safe use as probiotics, with these organisms contributing to intestinal health by improving the microbial balance of the gut [7]. Further, their proteolytic and lipolytic activities, their capacity to their use citrate and pyruvate as C sources, and their production of bacteriocins (known as enterocins when produced by enterococci) [13. 16] are all of technological interest. Although this, undesirable effects of food-borne enterococci, such as production of biogenic amines [23] and carry-over and spread of antibiotic resistances [25]. Therefore, the safety properties of all strains intended to be used in food systems should be carefully examined [31]. 

Bacteriocins are ribosomally-synthesised peptides with antimicrobial activity that are of potential use in the control of food-borne pathogens and spoilage microorganisms, and in the treatment of infections [14]. These inhibitory substances are produced by many bacterial groups including the enterococci [29]. Those produced by enterococcal strains (enterocins) include the commonly encountered enterocins A, B, P, AS-48, L50A, L50B, 1071A, 1071B and Q, as well as mundticin KS [13, 29]. Most enterocins are small, heat stable, non-lantibiotics with a generally strong antilisterial effect. Some enterocin-producing strains have already been successfully used in cheese trials as protective cultures against *Listeria monocytogenes* [12, 21]. The search continues for strains that produce broader and/or stronger inhibitory compounds, or indeed that produce multiple enterocins with synergistic activity against undesirable bacteria. The present work reports on the screening for the production of antimicrobial compounds against five bacterial indicators by enterococcal isolates belonging to the dominant populations 

appearing during the manufacture and ripening of two traditional Iranian cheeses made from raw

69	milk. Producer strains were then screened for the presence of known bacteriocin-encoding genes
70	via the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR).
71	
72	
73	2. Materials and Methods
74	
75	2.1. Strains, media and culture conditions
76	The 96 enterococcal isolates used in this work were those previously isolated from two
77	traditional, Iranian, raw milk cheeses: Lighvan (52) and Koozeh (44) [10]. These isolates have
78	already been identified as belonging to the following species: Enterococcus faecium (74), E.
79	faecalis (16), E. casseliflavus (3), E. durans (2), and E. italicus (1). E. faecalis JH2-2,
80	Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris MG1363, Staphylococcus aureus CECT86, Listeria innocua
81	86/26, and Lactobacillus plantarum CECT748 were all used as indicators of enterocin
82	production. L. innocua was used as a safer model of inhibition against the ubiquitous pathogen L.
83	monocytogenes. S. Aureus was selected as a representative food-borne pathogen, and Lc. lactis
84	and Lb. plantarum were chosen as indicators of technologically relevant lactic acid bacteria
85	species.
86	The enterococcal isolates and the indicators were recovered on either BHI agar (E. feacalis
87	JH2-2 and the cheese isolates), M17 agar (Lc. lactis), MRS agar (Lb. plantarum), or Tryptone
88	Soy agar (TSA) (L. innocua and S. aureus) from stocks held at -80°C, by incubating under
89	aerobic conditions at the corresponding optimum temperature for 24-48 h. All media were
90	supplied by Merck (Darmstad, Germany) except for TSA, which was made in house from its

2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 0	
0	
9	
1	0
1	1
1	
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
4	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	à
1	9
2	υ
2	1
2	2
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
<u>~</u>	7
2	1
2	8
2	9
2	ñ
2	4
3	1
3	2
3	3
$\frac{1}{2}$	1
2	-
3	5
3	6
3	7
2	0
5	0
3	9
4	0
4	1
۸	ว
+	<u>د</u>
4	3
4	4
4	5
۸	6
4	0
4	1
4	8
4	9
F	ñ
о -	U V
5	1
5	2
5	3
F	1
ວ -	4 -
5	5
5	6
5	7
г	, 0
С	Ø

91 constituent components (15% pancreatic digest of casein, 5% papain digest of soy beans, 5%
92 NaCl, and 15% bacteriological agar; pH 7.3).

93

## 94 **2.2. Detection of antimicrobial activity**

95 The inhibitory activity of the isolates was evaluated successively in solid and liquid media96 using the agar spot test and a well diffusion assay.

### 97 2.2.1 Agar spot test

All isolates were assayed for antagonistic activity in solid media by a modification of the 98 method described by Fleming et al. [11]. Briefly, aliquots (5 µl) from overnight cultures were 99 spotted onto the surface of plates of modified BHI agar (BHI plus 0.2% glucose) and modified 100 M17 (M17 without lactose, plus 0.2% glucose) and incubated at 32°C for 24 h to allow spots to 101 develop. The spots were then covered with 10 ml of the corresponding soft agar (0.75%) for each 102 indicator inoculated at 0.25%. Plates were incubated for 24 h under the required temperature 103 conditions for the respective indicator, after which the plates were checked for halos of growth 104 105 inhibition around the spots.

# 106 **2.2.2 Well-diffusion assay**

Positive strains in the agar spot test were then examined for antimicrobial activity in a welldiffusion assay. Briefly, overnight cultures of indicators were used to inoculate (at 1%) 20 ml of
their corresponding agar media at 45°C. The inoculated media were then poured into Petri dishes.
After solidification, six to seven wells were made in each plate to accommodate 50 µl of
neutralized (pH 6.5-7.0), filter-sterilized (through a 0.2 µm pore membrane; Millipore, Bedford,
MA, USA) supernatants of the producer strains grown in BHI and M17 modified liquid media as

above. All plates were incubated under appropriate conditions and subsequently examined forzones of inhibition around the wells.

### 115 2.2.3 Confirmation of the proteinaceous nature of the antimicrobials

116 To judge whether the inhibitory substances were sensitive to proteolysis, a hole in the agar 117 plates prepared as above was punched next to the wells containing the neutralized, filtered-118 sterilized supernatants. The hole was filled with 25  $\mu$ l of a solution containing either bovine 119 serum albumin, proteinase K, or pronase (all from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at a 120 concentration each of 20 mg,ml<sup>-1</sup>. Plates were incubated overnight at 30°C and then examined for 121 inhibition zones around the wells.

### **2.3. PCR detection of bacteriocin structural genes**

Total DNA was extracted from bacteriocin-producing enterococci grown overnight in BHI broth at 32°C for the detection of known enterocin-encoding genes. The DNA was isolated and purified using the GenElute<sup>TM</sup> Bacterial Genomic DNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer's recommendations, and its concentration measured at 260 nm using a spectrophotometer (Digilab, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). PCR amplification of the structural genes for enterocin A, enterocin B, enterocin P, enterocin L50A and L50B (amplified with the same primer pair; Table 1), bacteriocin 31, enterocin AS48, enterocin 1071A and 1071B (amplified with the same primer pair; Table 1), mundticin KS, enterocin Q, enterocin X, and pediocin PA-1 was performed using specific PCR primers as listed in Table 1. DNA from well-known enterocin-producing strains, including E. faecium L50 (enterocins L50A, L50B, and Q), E. faecium T136 (enterocins A and B), E. faecium AS48 (enterocin AS48), E. faecium P13 

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/efrt

(enterocin 31), and *Pediococcus acidilactici* PAC1.0 (pediocin PA-1), was used to provide
positive controls.

PCR reactions were performed in a volume of 50 ul containing 10 pmol of each primer, 25 ul of a 2x Master Mix containing DNA polymerase (Ampligon, Skovlunde, Denmark), 100 ng of DNA from the producer strain, and molecular grade water (added up to the reaction volume) (Sigma-Aldrich). Amplifications were performed in an iCycler (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA) employing an initial denaturation cycle at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 30 s), annealing (as indicated in Table 1 for the different primer pairs) and elongation (72°C for 10 s), and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. Amplicons were separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels, the bands stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg.ml<sup>-1</sup>), and photographed under UV light. PCR-generated fragments were purified directly after amplification using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), or after agarose gel electrophoresis using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen). 2.4. Sequencing and sequence analysis Selected amplicons were sequenced by cycle extension in an ABI 373 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The sequences obtained were then compared to those held in the GenBank database using the BLAST program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). 

**2.5. Cross-protection activity test** 

2		
- 3 4	156	A cross-protection acti
5 6 7	157	performed to check the sus
8 9	158	others. This assay was perf
10 11	159	
12 13 14	160	
15 16	161	3. Results and Discussion
17 18	162	
19 20 21	163	3.1. Antimicrobial activity
22 23	164	The 96 isolates have a
24 25	165	technique and found to con
26 27 28	166	this, isolates were all analy
29 30	167	phenotypic variations have
31 32	168	Thus, in the agar spot test,
33 34 35	169	OGF1 was inhibited by 38
36 37	170	27, S. aureus CECT86 by 2
38 39 40	171	seen in the size of some inh
40 41 42	172	profiles in either modified
43 44	173	the agar spot test were then
45 46 47	174	against all five indicators in
48 49	175	showed inhibitory activitie
50 51	176	antimicrobials and their sus
52 53 54	177	pronase in a well-diffusion
55 56	178	example, Figure 1 shows th
57		
58 59		
60		

A cross-protection activity test using all strains as both producers and indicators was performed to check the susceptibility of each strain to the inhibitory substance(s) produced by all others. This assay was performed using the agar spot test as reported above.

3.1. Antimicrobial activity of the cheese enterococci

The 96 isolates have already been typed by the repetitive extragenic palyndromic (rep-PCR) technique and found to consist in 57 different profiles representing distinct strains [9]. In spite of this, isolates were all analyzed for the production of inhibitory compounds because large phenotypic variations have been reported among genetically indistinguishable strains [4, 27]. Thus, in the agar spot test, 48 of the 96 isolates inhibited at least one of the indicators. E. faecalis OGF1 was inhibited by 38 isolates, L. innocua 86/26 by 32 isolates, Lb. plantarum CECT 748 by 27, S. aureus CECT86 by 20, and Lc. lactis subsp. cremoris MG1363 by 2. Differences were seen in the size of some inhibition halos, although no differences were seen in the inhibition profiles in either modified BHI or modified M17. All 48 isolates showing inhibitory activity on the agar spot test were then examined for antimicrobial production in liquid medium assay against all five indicators in a well-diffusion assay. Under these conditions, only 20 isolates showed inhibitory activities against one or more indicators. The proteinaceous nature of the antimicrobials and their susceptibility to proteases was checked by both proteinase K and pronase in a well-diffusion plate assay with bovine serum albumin as a negative control. As an example, Figure 1 shows the effect of these two proteinases on the inhibitory capacity of E.

2 3 4	179	faecium LR74 supernatants against L. lactis MG 1363. Proteolytic degradation of the inhibitory
5 6 7	180	compound resulting from the presence of proteinases in the near-by wells is substantiated by a
8 9	181	reduction of the inhibition halo (Figure 1, lanes 2 and 3), while bovine serum albumin causes no
10 11 12	182	effect (Figure 1, lane 4).
12 13 14	183	On the basis of the inhibitory profiles of the isolates and their typing profiles, the producers
15 16	184	were seen to belong to 15 different strains of three species: E. faecium (11 strains), E. faecalis (3
17 18 19	185	strains), and <i>E. casseliflavus</i> (1 strain). Table 2 summarises the inhibitory profiles of these 15
20 21	186	strains against all five indicators. Five to six different inhibitory profiles were observed. The
22 23	187	most common profile (C) was characterized by the strong inhibition of <i>L. innocua</i> and the weak
24 25 26	188	inhibition of <i>E. faecalis</i> . This profile was shared by seven strains belonging to both <i>E. faecium</i>
27 28	189	(strains C20, LF44, LR75, KR30, and KR37) and <i>E. faecalis</i> (strains C35 and KR24) (Table 2).
29 30 21	190	The <i>L. innocua</i> indicator was inhibited (in most cases strongly) by 13 of the 15 strains. In
32 33	191	contrast, the <i>E. faecalis</i> strain was only weakly inhibited by all the producers except for two ( <i>E.</i>
34 35	192	faecium LR74 and E. casseliflavus KR47) which showed clear inhibition. The Lb. plantarum
36 37 38	193	indicators were only inhibited by two producers, while Lc. lactis was inhibited by these same
39 40	194	two plus another. Interestingly, the S. aureus indicator, that proved to be inhibited by
41 42	195	approximately half of the producers on the plate assay, was inhibited by none of the strains in
43 44 45	196	liquid (Table 2).
46 47	197	The enterocin-producing phenotype among enterococci from different sources and
48 49	198	ecosystems is rather common [1, 19, 26, 32, 33, 34]. The inhibitory range in liquid medium was
50 51 52	199	different to that seen on the agar plates, in agreement with reports by many authors that
53 54	200	inhibitory activities displayed on agar are not always observed with filtered, neutralized

2
2
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
10
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
24
34
35
36
37
20
30
39
40
41
42
12
40
44
45
46
47
10
4ð
49
50
51
52
52
53
54
55
56
50
J/
58
50

211

1

202 activity, including organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, and fatty acids are thought to account for 203 the inhibitory effects observed in solid media [6]. Many enterocins have been shown to be active against the widespread food-borne pathogen L. monocytogenes [19, 32, 33, 34]. Although a 204 positive correlation between the inhibition of L. innocua and L. monocytogenes has been 205 repeatedly reported [32-34], the inhibitory activity against the real pathogen should necessarily 206 be confirmed. The inhibition of *Listeria* spp. and other pathogens such as *S. aureus* by enterocin-207 producing strains has promoted their use in securing the safety of food systems [12, 21]. Purified 208 enterocins could also be used for the treatment of animal and human infections, as recently 209 210 proposed for the bacteriocins produced by *Lc. lactis* [22, 28].

**3.2. Detection of enterocin structural genes by PCR** 

The purified DNA of all 15 enterocin-producing strains was used as a template in PCR amplifications to check for the presence of structural genes encoding 12 enterocins plus pediocin PA-1 (Table 1), all known to be readily spread among enterococci [*2, 20, 26, 33*]. Table 3 shows the amplification results. Figure 2 shows the electrophoretograms for the five enterocin structural genes for which amplifications were obtained (enterocins A, B, P, 31, and X).

To show that the amplification products corresponded to the expected enterocin-encoding genes, they were sequenced and compared to those held in the GenBank database. The gene coding for enterocin A was detected in 11 strains (Figure 2; panel A), while eight strains carried genes for enterocin P and enterocin B (Figure 2; panels B and P, respectively). The gene encoding enterocin X was found in five strains (Figure 2; panel X), and that coding for enterocin 31 in two (Figure 2; panel 31). In contrast, no genes coding for enterocins L50A, L50B, AS48, 1071A, 1071B, KS and Q or pediocin PA-1 were detected in any of the present producers,

although unspecific amplicons were obtained for some strains with enterocin L50 primers (data not shown). Representative amplicons of the different enterocin-structural genes were purified and sequenced using the forward amplification primers. Sequence comparisons showed amplicons to be identical at the nucleotide level to the corresponding gene sections in databases. except for a single, conservative nucleotide change in the gene of enterocin P from strain E. faecium C20, which did not alter the deduced amino acid sequence. It is worth noting that, in E. *faecium* LF44, none of the analysed genes was recorded, suggesting the presence of a gene for a new enterocin. PCR detection of more than one bacteriocin-encoding gene in the same cell is not unusual [1, 5, 20, 26]. In this work, up to four different genes were detected in one strain (E. faecium C20; Table 3). Indeed, enterococcal strains of human and animal origin carrying genes for multiple enterocins have recently been reported [2]. These strains might enjoy a broader range of inhibition and/or stronger inhibition against pathogens. Enterocins can act through distinct cellular targets or present cooperative antimicrobial activities. In either case, multienterocin producers may contribute to enhance the safety of fermented foods. 

**3.3. Cross protection activity test** 

Visual inspection of the inhibitory profiles of the present strains (Table 2) showed them not to correspond to those suggested by gene amplification (Table 3), a result that prompted a cross protection activity test with the present enterocin-producing strains as both producers and indicators (Table 4). The profiles obtained through the different assays were all arranged in Table 5, thus allowing easier comparison between phenotypic and genetic data. Although some coincidences were seen in the inhibition and cross protection profiles of strains carrying the same enterocin genes, large differences were also noted. In fact, the number of profiles encountered

increases from five in the inhibition range up to 12 in the cross protection activity test. It should
be stressed that the presence of a structural gene does not necessarily imply its expression.
Additionally, strains may also produce enterocins different to those searched for in this work by
PCR. Moreover, bacteriocin resistance may be unlinked with bacteriocin production, which
further complicates the phenotypic analyses. Nevertheless, the cross protection assay may be of
use in checking the compatibility of strains if the design of multistrain cultures is intended.

### **Conclusions**

In this work, 15 enterocin-producing strains belonging to *E. faecium* (11), *E. faecalis* (3), and *E. casseliflavus* (1) were identified among a set of enterococci 96 isolates recovered from two traditional, raw milk, Iranian cheeses (Lighvan and Koozeh). The genes responsible for indicator organism inhibitory activity were amplified by PCR in most strains. Four enterocinencoding genes were readily identified in a single strain. The multiple enterocin producers detected are likely more efficient in preventing the growth of undesirable bacteria than are single bacteriocin producers. These strains are currently being examined for use as protective cultures in experimental cheese trials. The fact that a majority of the strains inhibited *L. innocua* while only a few showed an effect on lactococci (inhibited by three strains) and lactobacilli (inhibited by two strains) argue in favour of inhibiting pathogen microorganisms without disturbing species of lactic acid bacteria of technological relevance.

269 Acknowledgements

1

This research was partially supported by a project from the Spanish Ministry of Science and

2
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
~~ ^?
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
-11 10
40
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
5/ 50
58
59

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

60

192:927-936

271	Innovation (MICINN) (AGL2007-61869-ALI). A.A. was awarded a scholarship of the Severo
272	Ochoa program from FICYT (BP08-053). S. Delgado was supported by a research contract from
273	MICINN under Juan de la Cierva program (JCI-2008-02391). The authors wish to thank the
274	Iranian Ministry of Industries and Mines, as well as Razavi Dairy Industry (Mashhad, Iran) and
275	the Office of Industrial Relationships (OIR) of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (FUM). The
276	technical assistance of Dr. Yavarmanesh and the MSc students Mrs. Joghataei and Mr. Attar
277	from FUM is greatly acknowledged. Dr. Antonio Gálvez, Area of Microbiology, University of
278	Jaen, Spain, and Dr. Luis Cintas, Department of Bromatology, Hygiene and Food Technology,
279	University Complutense of Madrid, are acknowledged for the gift of primers and enterocin-
280	producing strains.
281	
282	
283	References
284	1. Ben Omar N, Castro A, Lucas R, Abriouel H, Yousif NMK, Franz CMAP, Holzapfel WH,

3. Centeno JA, Menéndez S, Hermida M, Rodríguez-Otero JL (1999) Effects of the addition of

Enterococcus faecalis in Cebreiro cheese manufacture. Int J Food Microbiol 48:97-111

Pérez-Pulido R, Martínez-Cañamero M, Gálvez A (2004) Functional and safety aspects of

Poeta P, Herranz C (2010) Antimicrobial activity and occurrence of bacteriocin structural

genes in *Enterococcus* spp. of human and animal origin isolated in Portugal. Arch Microbiol

enterococci isolated from different Spanish foods. Syst Appl Microbiol 27:118-130

2. Brandão A, Almeida T, Muñoz-Atienza E, Torres C, Igrejas G, Hernández PE, Cintas LM,

1 2			
3 4	293	4.	Cosentino S, Pisano MB, Corda A, Fadda ME, Piras C (2004) Genotypic and technological
5 6 7	294		characterization of enterococci isolated from artisanal Fiore Sardo cheese. J Dairy Res
8 9	295		71:444-450
10 11	296	5.	Dal Bello B, Rantsiou K, Bellio A, Zeppa G, Ambrosoli R, Civera R, Cocolin L (2010)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	297		Microbial ecology of artisanal products from North West Italy and antimicrobial activity of
	298		the autochthonous populations. LWT-Food Sci Technol 43:1151-1159
	299	6.	De Vuyst L, Leroy F (2007) Bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria: production, purification,
	300		and food applications. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 13:194-199
22 23	301	7.	Domann E, Hain T, Ghai R, Billion A, Kuenne C, Zimmermann K, Chakraborty T (2007)
24 25 26	302		Comparative genomic analysis of the presence of potential enterococcal virulence factors in
20 27 28	303		the probiotic Enterococcus faecalis strain Symbioflor 1. Int J Medical Microbiol 297:533-
29 30 31	304		539
31 32 33	305	8.	Du Toit M, Franz CM, Dicks LM, Holzapfel WH (2000) Preliminary characterization of
34 35	306		bacteriocins produced by Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis isolated from pig
36 37	307		faeces. J Appl Microbiol 88:482-494
38 39 40	308	9.	Edalatian MR, Habibi Najafi MB, Mortazavi SA, Alegría A, Nassiri MR, Bassami MR,
40 41 42 43 44	309		Mayo B (2011a) Microbial diversity of the traditional Iranian cheeses Lighvan and Koozeh,
	310		as revealed by polyphasic culturing and culture-independent approaches. Dairy Sci Technol
45 46 47	311		doi: 10.1007/s13594-011-0045-2
47 48 49 50 51 52	312	10.	Edalatian MR, Habibi Najafi MB, Mortazavi SA, Mayo B (2011b) The biodiversity and
	313		evolution of lactic flora during ripening of the Iranian semi-soft Lighvan cheese. Int J Dairy
52 53 54	314		Technol doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0307.2011.00738.x
55 56			
57 58			
วษ 60			14

1 2			
3 4	315	11.	Fleming HP, Etchells JL, Costilow RL (1985) Microbial inhibition by an isolate of
5 6 7	316		Pediococcus from cucumber brines. Appl Microbiol 30:1040-1042
7 8 9	317	12.	Foulquié Moreno M., Rea MC, Cogan TM, De Vuyst L (2006) Applicability of a
10 11	318		bacteriocin-producing Enterococcus faecium as a co-culture in Cheddar cheese manufacture.
12 13	319		Int J Food Microbiol 81:73-84
15 16	320	13.	Foulquié Moreno MR, Sarantinopoulos P, Tskakidou E, De Vuyst L (2006) The role and
17 18	321		application of enterococci in food and health. Int J Food Microbiol 106:1-24
19 20 21	322	14.	Gálvez A, Abriouel H, Lucas-López R, Ben Omar N (2007) Bacteriocin-based strategies for
22 23	323		food biopreservation. Int J Food Microbiol 120:51-70
24 25	324	15.	Gelsomino R, Vancanneyt M, Condon S, Swings J, Cogan TM (2001) Enterococcal
26 27 28	325		diversity in the environment of an Irish Cheddar-type cheese-making factory. Int J Food
29 30	326		Microbiol 71:177-188
31 32	327	16.	Giraffa G (2003) Functionality of enterococci in dairy products. Int J Food Microbiol
33 34 35	328		88:215-222
36 37	329	17.	Hernández D, Cardell E, Zárate V (2005) Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria
38 39 40	330		isolated from Tenerife cheese: initial characterization of plantaricin TF711, a bacteriocin-
40 41 42	331		like substance produced by Lactobacillus plantarum TF711. J Appl Microbiol 99:77-84
43 44	332	18.	Hugas M, Garriga M, Aymerich MT (2003) Functionality of enterococci in meat products.
45 46 47	333		Int J Food Microbiol 88:223-233
48 49	334	19.	Ibarguren C, Raya RR, Apella MC, Audisio MC (2010) Enterococcus faecium isolated from
50 51	335		honey synthesized bacteriocin-like substances active against different Listeria
52 53 54	336		monocytogenes strains. J Microbiol 48:44-52
55 56			
57 58			
59 60			15

2			
3 4	337	20.	Imran J, Ahmed S, Ali MI, Ahmad B, Ghumro PB, Hameed A, Chaudry GJ (2010)
5 6 7	338		Bacteriocinogenic potential of newly isolated strains of Enterococcus faecium and
7 8 9	339		Enterococcus faecalis from dairy products of Pakistan. J Microbiol Biotechnol 20:153-160
10 11	340	21.	Izquierdo E, Marchioni E, Aoude-Werner D, Hasselmann C, Ennahar S (2009) Smearing of
12 13 14	341		soft cheese with Enterococcus faecium WHE 81, a multi-bacteriocin producer, against
15 16	342		Listeria monocytogenes. Food Microbiol 26:16-20
17 18	343	22.	Klostermann K, Crispie F, Flynn J, Meaney WJ, Ross RP, Hill C (2009) Efficacy of a teat
19 20 21	344		dip containing the bacteriocin lacticin 3147 to eliminate Gram-positive pathogens associated
22 23	345		with bovine mastitis. J Dairy Res 29:1-8
24 25	346	23.	Ladero V, Fernández M, Cuesta I, Álvarez MA (2010) Quantitative detection and
26 27 28	347		identification of tyramine-producing enterococci and lactobacilli in cheese by multiplex
29 30	348		qPCR. Food Microbiol 27:933-939
31 32	349	24.	Larsen AG, Vogensenm FK, Josephsen J (1993) Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid
33 34 35	350		bacteria isolated from sour doughs: purification and characterization of bavaricin A, a
36 37	351		bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus bavaricus MI401. J Appl Bacteriol 75:113-122
38 39 40	352	25.	Leclerq R (2009) Epidemiological and resistance issues in multidrug-resistant staphylococci
40 41 42	353		and enterococci. Clin Microbiol Infect 15:224-231
43 44	354	26.	Martín M, Gutiérrez J, Criado R, Herranz C, Cintas LM, Hernández PE (2006) Genes
45 46 47	355		encoding bacteriocins and their expression and potential virulence factors of enterococci
48 49	356		isolated from wood pigeons (Columba palumbus). J Food Prot 69:520-531
50 51	357	27.	Martínez B, Suárez JE, Rodríguez A (1995) Antimicrobials produced by wild lactococcal
52 53 54	358		strains isolated from homemade cheeses. J Food Prot 58:1118-1123
55 56			
57 58			
59 60			16

3 4	359	28.	Millette M, Cornut G, Dupont C, Shareck F, Archambault D, Lacroix M (2008) Capacity of
5 6	360		human nisin- and pediocin-producing lactic acid bacteria to reduce colonization by
7 8 9	361		vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:1997-2003
10 11	362	29.	Nes IF, Diep DB, Holo H (2007) Bacteriocin diversity in Streptococcus and Enterococcus. J
12 13	363		Bacteriol 189:1189-1198
14 15 16	364	30.	Nieto-Arribas P, Seseña S, Poveda JM, Chicón R, Cabezas L, Palop ML (2010)
17 18	365		Enterococcus populations in artisanal Manchego cheese: biodiversity, technological and
19 20 21	366		safety aspects. Food Microbiol 28:891-899
22 23	367	31.	Ogier J-C, Serror P (2008) Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: the Enterococcus
24 25	368		genus. Int J Food. Microbiol 126:291-301
26 27 28	369	32.	Renye JA, Somkuti GA, Paul M, van Hekken DL (2009) Characterization of antilisterial
29 30	370		bacteriocins produced by Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus durans isolates from
31 32 22	371		Hispanic-style cheeses. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 36:261-268
33 34 35	372	33.	Sabia C, de Niederhäusern S, Guerrieri E, Messi P, Anacarso I, Manicardi G, Bondi M
36 37	373		(2007) Detection of bacteriocin production and virulence traits in vancomycin-resistant
38 39 40	374		enterococci of different sources. J Appl Microbiol 104:970-979
41 42	375	34.	Sánchez Valenzuela A, Ben Omar N, Abriouel H, Martínez-Cañamero M, Gálvez A (2010)
43 44	376		Isolation and identification of <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> from sea foods: antimicrobial resistance
45 46 47	377		and production of bacteriocin-like substances. Food Microbiol 27:955-961
48 49	378	35.	Schillinger U, Lücke FK (1989) Antibacterial activity of Lactobacillus sake isolated from
50 51	379		meat. Appl Environ Microbiol 55:1901-1906
52 53 54	380	36.	Stiles ME (1996) Biopreservation by lactic acid bacteria. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek
55 56 57 58 59	381		70:331–345

3	
4	
5	
с С	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
11	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
21	
28	
29	
30	
31	
20	
32	
33	
34	
35	
26	
30	
37	
38	
39	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
15	
40	
46	
47	
48	
40	
-13 E0	
50	
51	
52	
53	
51	
54	
55	
56	
57	

50	
57	
58	

59	
60	

**Table 1.-** Primers used throughout this study and their amplification details.

News	$f_{a}$	Townshipson	Annealing	Size of the	Course Instances
Name	Sequence $(5 \rightarrow 3^{\circ})$	larget gene	temperature	amplicon	Source/reference
entAF entAR	AAATATTATGGAAATGGAGTGTAT GCACTTCCCTGGAATTGCTC	Enterocin A	50	475	Du Toit et al. [8]
entBF entBR	GAAAATGATCACAGAATGCCTA GTTGCATTTAGAGTATACATTTG	Enterocin B	50	159	Du Toit et al. [8]
entPF entPR	GGTAATGGTGTTTATTGTAAT ATGTCCCATACCTGCCAAAC	Enterocin P	48	117	Du Toit et al. [8]
entL50F entL50R	GGAGCAATCGCAAAATTAG ATTGCCCATCCTTCTCCAAT	Enterocins L50A, B	55	150	Du Toit et al. [8]
Ent31F Ent31R	TATTACGGAAATGGTTTATATTG TCTAGGAGCCCAAGGGCC	Enterocin 31	50	122	Du Toit et al. [8]
EntAS48F EntAS48R	GAGGAGTTTCATGATTTAAAG CATATTGTTAAATTACCAAGC	Enterocin AS48	50	185	Du Toit et al. [8]
Ent1071F Ent1071R	GGGGAGAGTCGGTTTTTAG ATCATATGCGGGTTGTAGCC	Enterocins 1071A, B	50	273	Martin et al. [26]
EntKSF EntKSR	CTACGGTAATGGAGTCTCATG CATCTGCATACAGGCTATACC	Mundticin KS	50	275	This work
EntQF EntQR	CAAGAAATTTTTTCCCATGGC CTTCTTAAAAATGGTATCGCA	Enterocin Q	55	95	This work
EntXF EntXF	GTTTCTGTAAAAGAGATGAAAC CCTCTTAATCATTAACCATAC	Enterocin X	50	500	This work
PedPAF PedPAR	ACTGCGTTGATAGCGAGGTT TGATGCCAGCTCAGCATAAT	Pediocin PA1	50	360	Martin et al. [26]

**Table 2.-** Inhibition range of the antimicrobial(s) produced in liquid cultures by enterococci strains from Lighvan and Koozeh cheeses against food borne pathogens and indicator bacteria, as determined by a well diffusion assay.

	Indicator strain						
Producing strain	Enterococcus faecalis	Lactococcus lactis	Listeria innocua	Lactobacillus			
	OGF1	MG 1363	86/26	$plantarum CECT 748^{T}$			
E. faecium M9	-	++	_	-			
E. faecium C16	-	-	++	-			
E. faecium C17	_	-	++	-			
E. faecium C20	(+)	-	++++	-			
E. faecalis C35	(+)	-	++++	-			
E. faecium LF44	+	-	+++	-			
E. faecium LF54	-	-	+	-			
E. faecalis LR71	(+)	(+)	-	++			
E. faecium LR74	++	++	++	+++			
E. faecium LR75	(+)		+++	-			
E. faecalis KR24	(+)	-	++	-			
E. faecium KR30	(+)	-	+++	-			
E. faecium KR34	-	-	++	-			
E. faecium KR37	(+)	-	++	-			
E. casseliflavus KR47	++	-	+++	-			

The number of crosses referrers to the diameter of the inhibition halo around the wells. None of the strains inhibited *Staphylococcus aureus* CECT 86<sup>T</sup>.

2	
2	
3	
Δ	
-	
5	
6	
-	
1	
8	
č	
9	
10	
11	
12	
40	
13	
14	
15	
16	
47	
17	
18	
40	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
~~	
23	
24	
2.	
25	
26	
07	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
00	
31	
32	
02	
33	
34	
0.	
35	
36	
07	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
10	
41	
42	
40	
43	
44	
45	
46	
, <del>,</del> <del>,</del>	
41	
48	
40	
49	
50	
50 F 4	
51	
52	
50	
ეკ	
54	
55	
56	
53	
57	
E0	

1

**Table 3.-** Presence of enterocin genes in the *Enterococcus* spp. strains analyzed in this work.

Producing strains	Ar	nplification of the foll	owing struct	ural bacteriocin gene	es
	А	В	Р	31	Х
E. faecium M9	+	-	+	-	-
E. faecium C16	+	+	+	-	-
E. faecium C17	-	+	+	-	-
E. faecium C20	+	+	+	-	+
E. faecalis C35	+	-	+	-	-
E. faecium LF44	-	-	-	-	-
E. faecium LF54	+	-	+	-	-
E. faecalis LR71	-	-	-	-	-
E. faecium LR74	+	-	-	+	-
E. faecium LR75	-	-	+	+	-
E. faecium KR30	+	+	-	-	+
E. faecalis KR24	+	+	-	-	+
E. faecium KR34	+	+	-	-	-
E. faecium KR37	+	+	-	-	+
E. casseliflavus KR47	-	+	+	-	+

PA-1, pediocin PA-1.

Positive PCR amplification with specific primers for structural genes encoding bacteriocins L50A, L50B, AS48, 1071A, 1071B, KS, Q, and pediocin PA-1 was never obtained.

2	
З	
4	
4	
5	
6	
7	
1	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
13	
14	
15	
16	
47	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
26	
30	
37	
38	
30	
39	
40	
41	
42	
40	
43	
44	
45	
16	
40	
47	
48	
10	
43	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
50	
56	
57	

58 59 60 **Table 4.-** Cross protection activity using an agar-spot test and using all strains as producers and indicators.

Bard at a start of					In	dicator str	ain				
Producing strain	M9	C16	C17	C20	C35	LF54	LR71	KR24	KR30	KR34	KR37
E. faecium M9	-	(+)	-	(+)	-	-	-	-	+++	+++	-
E. faecium C16	-	-	(+)	(+)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
E. faecium C17	-	(+)	-	(+)	-	-	-	-	(+)	+	-
E. faecium C20	(+)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
E. faecalis C35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
E. faecium LF44	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
E. faecium LF54	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-
E. faecalis LR71	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. faecium LR74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
E. faecium LR75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. faecalis KR24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(+)	-	-
<i>E. faecium</i> KR30	-	(+)	-	(+)	(+)	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. faecium KR34	-	(+)	-	(+)	(+)	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. faecium KR37	-	-		-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
E. casseliflavus KR47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-

The number of crosses relates to the inhibitory effect; (+), weak inhibition. Under the conditions of this assay, none of the strains inhibited LF44, LR74, LR75, and KR47 when they were used as indicators.

2
~
3
4
5
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
44
11
12
13
15
14
15
16
10
17
18
10
19
20
21
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
20
27
28
20
29
30
31
22
32
33
34
07
35
36
27
57
38
39
40
40
41
42
40
43
44
45
40
46
47
10
40
49
50
50 E 4
DI
52
53
55
54
55
56
50
57
<b>F</b> 0

1

**Table 5.-** Phenotypic and genetic profiles of enterocin-producing *Enterococcus* spp.strains from Iranian traditional Lighvan and Koozeh cheeses.

Decident contexts		Phenotypic or genetic profile	
Producing strain	Inhibition range	Amplification of enterocin- encoding genes	Cross protection activity
E. faecium M9	IR-1	GC-1	CP-1
E. faecium C16	IR-2	GC-2	CP-2
E. faecium C17	IR-2	GC-3	CP-1
E. faecium C20	IR-3	GC-4	CP-3
E. faecalis C35	IR-3	GC-1	CP-4
E. faecium LF44	IR-3	GC-5	CP-5
E. faecium LF54	IR-2	GC-1	CP-6
E. faecalis LR71	IR-A	GC-5	CP-7
E. faecium LR74	IR-5	GC-6	CP-8
E. faecium LR75	IR-3	GC-7	CP-9
E. faecalis KR24	IR-3	GC-8	CP-10
E. faecium KR30	IR-3	GC-9	CP-11
E. faecium KR34	IR-2	GC-10	CP-11
E. faecium KR37	IR-3	GC-9	CP-12
E. casseliflavus KR47	IR-3	GC-10	CP-8









http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/efrt

**Figure captions** 

**Figure 1.-** Analysis of the proteinaceous nature of the antimicrobials produced by the enterococci strains studied in this work. In the picture, effect of proteinase K (2), pronase (3), and bovine serum albumin (4) (arrowed wells) on the inhibitory activity against *L. lactis* MG 1363 of neutralized, filter sterilized supernatants from an overnight culture of *E. faecium* LR74 (1 through 4, non-arrowed wells).

**Figure 2.-** Amplification results for genes encoding enterocin A (panel A), enterocin B (panel B), enterocin P (panel P), enterocin 31 (panel 31) and enterocin X (panel X). Order of strains in all panels: Line 1, *E. faecium* M9; Line 2, *E. faecium* C16; Line 3, *E. faecium* C17; Line 4, *E. faecium* C20; Line 5, *E. faecalis* C35; Line 6, *E. faecium* LF44; Line 7, *E. faecium* LF54; Line 8, *E. faecalis* LR71; Line 9, *E. faecium* LR74; Line 10, *E. faecium* LR75; Line 11, *E. faecalis* KR24; Line 12, *E. faecium* KR30; Line 13, *E. faecium* KR34; Line 14, *E. faecium* KR37, and Line 15, *E. casseliflavus* KR47. M, molecular weight marker. B, blank, reaction without template DNA. P, positive reaction using purified DNA from a producer strain, as indicated in the text.