

The Pharmacogenomics Journal (2013) 13, 197–204 © 2013 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved 1470-269X/13 www.nature.com/toi

npg

Association of common genetic variants with risperidone adverse events in a Spanish schizophrenic population

B Almoguera^{1,2}, R Riveiro-Alvarez^{1,2}, J Lopez-Castroman^{3,4}, P Dorado^{4,5}, C Vaquero-Lorenzo^{2,6}, J Fernandez-Piqueras^{2,6}, A Llerena^{4,5}, F Abad-Santos^{7,8}, E Baca-García^{3,5,9}, R Dal-Ré^{1,10,11} and C Ayuso^{1,2,11}, Spanish Consortium of Pharmacogenetics Research in Schizophrenia¹²

¹Department of Genetics and Genomics, CAIBER Unit, IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; ²CIBERER ISCIII, Madrid, Spain; ³Department of Psychiatry, IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBERSAM ISCIII, Madrid, Spain; ⁵CICAB, Clinical Research Centre, CAIBER Unit, Extremadura University Hospital and Medical School, Badajoz, Spain; ⁶Department of Biology, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, CBMSO, CSIC, Madrid, Spain; ⁷Department of Clinical Pharmacology, CAIBER Unit, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Princesa, Madrid, Spain; ⁸CIBEREHD ISCIII, Madrid, Spain; ⁹Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA and ¹⁰Department of Preventive Medicine, Public Health and Medical Immunology and Microbiology, School of Health Sciences, Rey Juan Carlos University, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence:

Dr C Ayuso, Department of Genetics and Genomics, IIS-Fundacion Jimenez Diaz –CIBERER, Avda. Reyes Catolicos 2, Madrid 28040, Spain. E-mail: cayuso@fjd.es

¹¹These authors have contributed equally to this work.

¹²See Appendix.

Received 6 June 2011; revised 31 August 2011; accepted 7 November 2011; published online 3 January 2012 Risperidone non-compliance is often high due to undesirable side effects, whose development is in part genetically determined. Studies with genetic variants involved in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of risperidone have yielded inconsistent results. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the putative association of genetic markers with the occurrence of four frequently observed adverse events secondary to risperidone treatment: sleepiness, weight gain, extrapyramidal symptoms and sexual adverse events. A series of 111 schizophrenia inpatients were genotyped for genetic variants previously associated with or potentially involved in risperidone response. Presence of adverse events was the main variable and potential confounding factors were considered. Allele 16Gly of *ADRB2* was significantly associated with a higher risk of sexual adverse events. There were other non-significant trends for *DRD3* 9Gly and *SLC6A4* S alleles. Our results, although preliminary, provide new candidate variants of potential use in risperidone safety prediction.

The Pharmacogenomics Journal (2013) **13**, 197–204; doi:10.1038/tpj.2011.57; published online 3 January 2012

Keywords: pharmacogenetics; antipsychotic; risperidone; adverse events

Introduction

Since 1959 when Vogel coined the term pharmacogenetics, there is increasing evidence that therapeutic outcome is influenced genetically.¹ One of the most important applications might be schizophrenia management because of the high prevalence of this illness (1% approximately across countries and cultures) and the non-compliance often seen with the antipsychotic treatment.² Risperidone is a widely prescribed antipsychotic for the treatment of schizophrenia, with a relatively high rate of non-effectiveness or intolerable side effects.² In fact, extensive research has been devoted to elucidate the genetic underpinnings of risperidone response to reduce its adverse effects.³

Risperidone pharmacogenetic studies have focused on clinical improvement and adverse events, such as the frequently observed extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS),⁴ hyperprolactinemia,⁵ hyperlipidemia,⁶ weight gain⁷ and metabolic syndrome.⁸ Candidate genes to be involved in the above mentioned events have been *CYP2D6* and *MDR1*, related to pharmacokinetics and *DRD2*,⁹ *DRD3*,⁴ *HTR2A* or *HTR2C*,⁷ all of them coding risperidone targets. Additionally, others like *BDNF*,⁷ *COMT*,¹⁰ *HTR1A*,¹¹ *HTR6*⁷ or *LEP*¹² have been explored in relation with risperidone effectiveness or side effects. However, all of them have yielded conflicting results, so no definitive genetic marker predictive of risperidone response exists currently.

Thus, the aim of this study was to examine the putative association of several genetic markers related to risperidone pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics or potentially involved, with four of the most frequent and discomforting adverse events secondary to risperidone treatment (sleepiness, weight gain, EPS and sexual dysfunction)² in a sample of acutely ill schizophrenic inpatients.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

198

As a part of a larger pharmacogenetic schizophrenia project, 111 acutely ill schizophrenic patients were recruited at the Department of Psychiatry of Fundacion Jimenez Diaz Hospital between 2004 and 2009. All subjects were adult patients hospitalized in an Acute Psychiatric Unit, unrelated and Caucasian. DSM-IV diagnosis was obtained by means of a brief structured psychiatric interview, the Spanish version of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview version 4.4 (MINI 4.4).¹³ The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Fundacion Jiménez Díaz Hospital and conducted according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed an informed consent form after the explanation of the study objective and procedures.

Clinical data

All subjects were inpatients with variable length of hospital stay. They were all treated with risperidone. Treatment dosage was established according to patient's clinical state. Concurrent treatments, such as other antipsychotics, anticholinergics, antidepressants, antiepileptics or benzodiazepines, were used. Sex, age, risperidone dosages, length of hospitalization and concomitant treatments were considered as potential confounding factors for the association with the genetic variants. The main variables, sleepiness, weight gain, EPS and sexual adverse events, were assessed with the UKU scale¹⁴ at the hospital discharge by psychiatrists trained in the UKU evaluation.

Variant selection and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from 7 ml of peripheral blood samples using an automatic DNA extractor (BioRobot EZ1, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genes, variants and the rationale for the selection are summarized in Table 1. All genetic variants investigated were either previously related to risperidone outcome or potentially involved (Table 1). Some of them were included in PHARMAChip,¹⁵ a commercially available tool whose suitability in pharmacogenetic genotyping has been previously described,^{16,17} and others were determined by allelic discrimination or sequencing (Table 1). Allelic discrimination was performed using Taq-Man Pre-Designed SNP genotyping assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in a LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Sequencing was used to genotype BDNF Val66Met and to verify the truthfulness of the allelic discrimination results with a BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit and an ABI Prism 3130xl DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences and conditions are available upon request.

Data and statistical analysis

All genetic variants were tested for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) deviation using Pearson Chi-squared test (χ^2). The association of the genetic variants with the dependent variable was performed through the codominant genetic model in all cases except *CYP2D6*, whose genotypes were grouped based on the number of functional alleles into poor metabolizers (two defective alleles), intermediate metabolizers (two functional alleles) and ultra rapid metabolizers (more than two functional alleles), according to a previous report by Gaedigk *et al.*³¹

The presence of all four adverse events (sleepiness, weight gain, EPS and sexual adverse events), notwithstanding their severity, was the main variable. EPS category consisted of the UKU items akathisia, hyperkinesia, hypokinesia, rigidity or tremor. The sexual adverse event category included the following items: diminished sexual desire, dry vagina and erectile, ejaculatory and orgasmic dysfunctions. For both EPS and sexual adverse effects, 'presence' was considered as the occurrence of at least one of the signs or symptoms.

For continuous variables, mean and standard deviations were calculated and also data distributions were tested for normality. In case of non-adjustment, they were categorized for the statistical analysis.

Logistic regression was used to examine the association of the genotypes with the presence of the adverse events, adjusting for covariates. The strength of the association was measured with the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% CI (confidence interval). The effect of the genetic variant on the outcome was adjusted by those demographic and clinical variables (covariates) either associated with the outcome (P<0.05) or modifying the risk (change in putative OR>20%) of the genetic variant on the outcome. Hence, the effect of the covariates on the risk to develop the adverse events was also investigated.

Because of the multiple testing, Bonferroni correction was applied and the cutoff value was set as $P \le 0.003$ (P = 0.05/19 hypothesis tested).

Statistical analysis was carried out using the STATA v10 software (Stata Corp, TX, USA).

Results

Clinical and genetic data

None of the continuous variables were normally distributed, so they were categorized for the analysis as shown in Table 2. Age of the patients $(45 \pm 13 \text{ years})$ was grouped into <40 years, 40–59 years and ≥ 60 years; and length of hospital stay $(13 \pm 9 \text{ days})$ was transformed considering the median of data distribution (12 days).

Patients were prescribed oral (mean dosage = 8.1 ± 3.3 mg) and intramuscular (25–100 mg biweekly) risperidone. 73% (n = 81) were taking oral risperidone, 6.3% intramuscular

Gene	Gene name	Genetic variant	Function	Previous associations ^{ref.}
Pharmacokineti	cs			
CYP2D6ª	Cytochrome P450 2D6	*1, *2, *3, *4, *5, *6, *7, *8, *9, *10, *11, *14 *15, *17, *19, *20, *25, *26, *29, *30, *31, *35, *40, *41; *1XN, *2XN, *4XN, *10XN, *17XN, *35XN, *41XN	Risperidone metabolism	Risperidone plasma levels, effectiveness and adverse events ^{18,19}
CYP3A4 ^a	Cytochrome P450 3A4	*1B	Risperidone metabolism	Risperidone plasma levels and effectiveness ²⁰
MDR1ª	Multidrug resistance 1	3435C>T	Risperidone active transporter	Risperidone induced adverse events and response ^{21,22}
Pharmacodynai	mics			
ADRA1 ^b	Adrenergic receptor $\alpha 1$	-4884A>G -4155C>G -563C>T	Risperidone target	Atypical antipsychotic response (negative findings) ²³
ADRB1 ^a	Adrenergic receptor ß1	Arg389Gly	Members of the adrenergic	Potentially involved in risperidone
ADRB2 ^a	Adrenergic receptor B2	Arg16Gly	pathway	response
BDNF ^b	Brain-derived neurotrophic factor	Val66Met	Related to serotonin pathway	Risperidone effectiveness and adverse events ^{7,24}
COMT ^a	Catechol-o-Methyl transferase	Val108Met	Member of dopaminergic pathway	Risperidone effectiveness ²⁵
DRD2 ^b	Dopamine receptor D2	Taq1A	Risperidone target	Risperidone effectiveness and adverse events ^{9,26}
DRD3 ^a	Dopamine receptor D3	Ser9Gly	Risperidone target	Risperidone effectiveness and adverse events ^{4,27}
GRIN2B ^a	Glutamatergic receptor 2B	2667C>T	Member of glutamatergic pathway	System previously associated to risperidone outcome ²⁸
HTR1A ^b	Serotonin receptor 1A	-1019C>G	Member of serotoninergic pathway	Effectiveness (negative findings) ²⁶
HTR2Aª	Serotonin receptor 2A	102C>T His452Tyr	Risperidone target	Effectiveness and adverse events ^{27,29}
HTR2C ^b	Serotonin receptor 2C	-759C>T	Risperidone target	Effectiveness and adverse events ²⁷
HTR6 ^b	Serotonin receptor 6	267T>C	Risperidone target	Effectiveness and adverse events ²⁷
SLC6A4 ^a	Serotonin transporter	Promoter VNTR	Member of serotoninergic pathway	Effectiveness ³⁰

Table 1 Genetic variants included in the pharmacogenetic study

Abbreviation: VNTR, variable number tandem repeat.

^aGenotyped with PHARMAChip genotyping array.

^bAllelic discrimination or sequencing.

(n=7) and 20.7% were taking both forms (n=23). Risperidone dosages were grouped according to the terciles of data distribution (11 missing data), if administered orally, and in three categories in the case of intramuscular administration (2 missing data). Concomitant treatments were classified according to the therapeutic group (Table 2).

All genetic variants investigated were in HWE except *ADRB2* 16Gly (P = 0.002). When grouping *CYP2D6* according to the number of defective alleles, 3 subjects were classified as poor metabolizers and 3 as ultra rapid metabolizers (0.294), 32 as intermediate metabolizers (0.314) and 64 as extensive metabolizers (0.628) (there were 9 subjects, which genotype was missing). For the X chromosome *HTR2C* –759C > T variant, CC accounted for

84 subjects (0.764) and allele T was present in 26 patients (0.236) (1 genotype was missing). Genotype frequencies of the remaining variants are summarized in Table 3. In one sample, no genotyping results for the PHARMAChip variants could be obtained because of the low quality of the DNA. Additionally, there were 5 missing genotypes for *SLC6A4*, also included in the array. For variants determined by allelic discrimination, there were 4 missing genotypes for *ADRA1* –4884G>A, 9 for *BDNF* Val66Met and 3 in case of *HTR1A* –1019C>G.

Regarding the four adverse events investigated, the most frequent was sleepiness in 66 patients (56.7%), weight gain and EPS were observed in 40 patients each (36%) and 25 patients had sexual adverse events (22.5%).

Genetic associations with adverse events

200

When the genotype significantly associated with the outcome was the heterozygous one, dominant genetic model

Table 2	Frequency of patients according to the demographic
and clinio	al variables

Variable	Category	n <i>(%)</i>
Sex	Males Females	62 (55.8) 49 (44.2)
Age	<40 years 40–59 years ≥60 years	38 (34) 61 (55) 12 (11)
Hospital stay	≤12 days >12 days	57 (62) 35 (38)
Oral risperidone dosages	<6 mg per day 6–9 mg per day >9 mg per day	44 (47) 28 (30) 21 (23)
Intramuscular risperidone dosages	0 mg <50 mg per 2 weeks ≥50 mg per 2 weeks	81 (73) 9 (8.1) 19 (17.1)
Concomitant treatments	Anticholinergics Antidepressants Antiepileptics Atypical antipsychotics Benzodiazepines Classical antipsychotics Lithium	21 (21) 10 (9) 12 (10.8) 7 (6.3) 54 (48.6) 12 (10.8) 2 (1.8)

There were missing data for hospital stay (19) and risperidone dosages (11 for oral and 2 for intramuscular).

was also evaluated. The only association that remained significant after Bonferroni correction was that of *ADRB2* 16Gly as a risk factor for developing risperidone-induced sexual adverse events (Table 4). Furthermore, as shown in Table 4, there were also some non-significant trends: *DRD3* 9Gly was present with a higher incidence in those patients who developed risperidone-induced sleepiness and, conversely, it appeared less frequently in patients with EPS. Additionally, allele S of *SLC6A4* was underrepresented in patients with weight gain (S/S) and also in those with sexual adverse events (L/S).

Besides considering the demographic and clinical variables as potential confounding factors, it was also evaluated whether they could influence the development of the four adverse events investigated. Only anticholinergic consumption was associated with a higher incidence of weight gain (OR = 3.28; 95% CI: 1.02-10.54; P = 0.046).

Discussion

The present study sought to evaluate the possible association of genetic variants related to risperidone pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, and others with potential involvement, with the risk of developing four of the most frequent adverse events secondary to risperidone treatment.

The investigation of the genetic basis of risperidone safety has traditionally focused on weight gain and EPS, considered as the most handicapping adverse effects.³² However, to our knowledge, no report has examined sleepiness or sexual

Table 3 Allelic and genotypic frequencies of the genetic variants investigated

	Allele (N(p))		Genotype (N (p))		
Genetic variant	A	В	AA	AB	BB
ADRA1 -563C>T	123 (0.554)	99 (0.446)	33 (0.297)	57 (0.513)	21 (0.189)
ADRA1 -4155C>G	109 (0.491)	113 (0.509)	26 (0.234)	57 (0.514)	28 (0.252)
ADRA1 -4884A>G	167 (0.780)	47 (0.220)	65 (0.607)	37 (0.346)	5 (0.047)
ADRB1 Arg381Gly	58 (0.264)	162 (0.736)	10 (0.091)	38 (0.345)	62 (0.564)
ADRB2 Arg16Gly	169 (0.768)	51 (0.232)	75 (0.682)	19 (0.173)	16 (0.145)
BDNF Val66Met	161 (0.789)	43 (0.211)	65 (0.637)	31 (0.304)	6 (0.059)
COMT Val108Met	116 (0.527)	104 (0.473)	29 (0.264)	58 (0.527)	23 (0.209)
<i>CYP3A4</i> *1B	116 (0.527)	104 (0.473)	6 (0.054)	104 (0.945)	0 (0.000)
DRD2 Taq1A	181 (0.815)	41 (0.185)	75 (0.676)	31 (0.279)	5 (0.045)
DRD3 Ser9Gly	147 (0.668)	73 (0.332)	48 (0.436)	51 (0.464)	11 (0.100)
<i>GRIN2B</i> 2664C>T	174 (0.791)	46 (0.209)	69 (0.627)	36 (0.327)	5 (0.045)
HTR1A -1019C>G	103 (0.477)	113 (0.523)	29 (0.268)	45 (0.417)	34 (0.315)
HTR2A His452Tyr	196 (0.891)	24 (0.109)	86 (0.782)	24 (0.218)	0 (0.000)
<i>HTR2A</i> 102C > T	123 (0.559)	97 (0.441)	38 (0.345)	47 (0.427)	25 (0.227)
<i>HTR2C</i> –759C>T	179 (0.821)	39 (0.179)	80 (0.734)	19 (0.174)	10 (0.092)
HTR6 267C>T	188 (0.847)	34 (0.153)	81 (0.730)	26 (0.234)	4 (0.036)
MDR1 3435C>T	113 (0.514)	107 (0.486)	34 (0.309)	45 (0.409)	31 (0.282)
SLC6A4 L/S	126 (0.613)	86 (0.387)	38 (0.358)	50 (0.472)	18 (0.170)

A refers to wild-type allele and B to the mutant one. For HTR2C - 759C > T AA = CC (females)+C (males); AB = CT (females) and BB = TT (females)+T (males). N = Absolute frequency and P = relative frequency.

Controls

21 (25%)

44 (53.6%)

22 (45.8%)

44 (62.8%)

201

Ρ

0.002*

0.015

0.050

0.015

sociation of the genetic variants with the adverse events				
<i>t (n (%))</i>	Genetic variant	Genotypic frequencies (n (%))	OR (95% CI)	

Cases

14 (56%)

6 (25%)

40 (64.5%)

18 (45%)

ADRB2 16Gly

SLC6A4 L/S

DRD3 9Glv

DRD3 9Gly

Adverse event

(25 (22.5%))

EPS (40 (36%))

Sexual adverse events

Sleepiness (66 (56.7%))

Weight gain (40 (36%)) SLC6A4 S/S 1 (2.7%) 17 (24.6%) 0.07 (0.01-0.66) 0.020 Significant findings (*; P<0.003) and non-significant trends (P<0.05) from the genetic association study after adjusting with the potential confounding variables. *P<0.003

adverse events, which are reported by patients to be as frequent and discomforting as the formers.²

This pharmacogenetic study describes for the first time the association between ADRB2 16Gly and a greater susceptibility of developing sexual adverse events in schizophrenic patients under risperidone treatment, considering potential demographic and clinical confounding factors. The elevated frequency of sexual dysfunction among schizophrenia patients treated with antipsychotics has been reported to reduce patients' quality of life.³³ Even though the incidence of these adverse events can reach as much as 50% of patients and that usually lead to treatment noncompliance,³³ to our knowledge no pharmacogenetic study concerning sexual adverse events secondary to risperidone therapy has been performed until date. Sexual normal function involves several neurotransmitter systems, such as dopaminergic, adrenergic and serotoninergic, involved in processes like erection, ejaculation and orgasm.³⁴ Therefore, the disruption of any of these systems might lead to sexual side effects and would support our findings about ADRB2 16Gly as a risk factor, which has been linked to lower β2 adrenergic receptor density and efficiency.³⁵ It should be noted that although ADRB2 16Gly did not meet HWE it was not removed from the study to prevent from the possibility of being out of HWE because of a linkage with the disease. All patients were ascertained by their disease status and so, the subject selection was not random, which is one of the premises of HWE for genotypic frequencies to reach a fixed value.

We are aware that genotyping errors should also be considered as a cause of HWE departure. In this regard, two relatively recent reports have demonstrated the accuracy of PHARMAChip in genotyping, one of them performed by our group.^{16,17} Nevertheless, our previously mentioned study also reports this variant deviating from HWE in the Spanish control population.¹⁶ However, it has been demonstrated that this typically occurs in several European populations, suggesting this variant is subject to selective forces, such as parental selection or epistasis, leading to oscillations in genotype frequencies.³⁶

Therefore, considering all the above explanations, although preliminary, this result should be taken into account and be further validated in an independent sample.

Although ADRB2 16Gly was the only variant that met Bonferroni cutoff value, there were some non-significant

trends that should be highlighted. Although multiple comparison adjustment is necessary in order to avoid type-I error, it significantly increases type II and therefore the likelihood of false negatives, and Bonferroni correction is especially conservative in this regard. The consequence of the adjustment is a decrease in the statistical power, which was already low because of the population sample size, and the increase in the rate of false negative findings. Indeed, for the association of the event with the higher incidence and the genotype with the higher frequency, it is only possible to detect, with 80% statistical power, ORs greater than 4 (or lower than 0.25), which is higher than expected. It is suggested that the risk that genetic variants confer to the complex phenotypes, such as risperidone response, range from low to moderate.³⁷ In consequence, it would require substantially larger sample sizes to detect associations with lower ORs, for genotypes with lower frequencies or for adverse events with lower incidence. In any case, the study was performed through a candidate gene approach, where the rationale for the variant selection was to be linked to risperidone pharmacokinetic and/or pharmacodynamic pathways. Additionally, among all possible variants, we chose from the literature those with the higher likelihood of being involved in the occurrence of the outcomes, because of the existence of previous positive findings. Hence, given that the study was hypothesis-driven and due to the small sample size of the population included, the non-significant trends found should not be completely ruled out, but considered as exploratory findings that need to be further confirmed.

4.58 (1.72-12.20)

0.22 (0.06-0.75)

2.47 (1.00-6.09)

0.29 (0.11-0.79)

One of those non-significant trends was the higher incidence of sleepiness in patients carrying allele 9Gly of DRD3. DRD3 Ser9Gly variant has been extensively studied with regard to many antipsychotics' effectiveness and safety, but with inconsistent results.^{38,39} In the present study, the higher propensity observed to sleepiness among patients with the DRD3 9Gly allele suggests that disruption of the dopaminergic neurotransmission might contribute to the sleep disturbances experienced by schizophrenic patients, as it is well established that dopaminergic system participates in the wake-sleep cycle.⁴⁰ Conversely, the same allele was linked to a lower incidence of EPS. Although the atypical antipsychotics are known to be safer concerning motor side effects compared with the classical ones, risperidone treatment is known to have a relatively high rate of EPS.³²

Whereas data on the possible relevance of the Ser9Gly variant of *DRD3* in EPS are still controversial,^{4,41} our study reports a trend to a protective effect of the 9Gly allele. That effect could be explained by the role that D3 receptors have in motor control,⁴² with their agonists exerting an inhibitory effect.⁴³ This, together with the higher affinity and effectiveness that dopamine shows for D3 receptors with the 9Gly variant⁴⁴ could be in line with the observed effect.

202

On the other hand, patients carrying the SLC6A4 S/S genotype were less likely to gain weight secondarily to risperidone treatment. Currently, there is an increasing concern regarding weight gain in schizophrenia patients, as it significantly impacts the antipsychotic compliance.⁴⁵ Although the exact mechanism for antipsychotic-related weight gain remains unclear, the serotoninergic system has emerged as a strong candidate.45 Specifically and based on the large body of evidence that supports the role of serotonin in regulating feeding behavior, variants in genes involved in serotoninergic neurotransmission, such as HTR2A, HTR2C, HTR6 or BDNF, have been previously associated with weight gain secondary to risperidone treatment.⁷ Despite our failure to replicate the above-mentioned associations, the lower incidence of weight gain in SLC6A4 S/S carriers is in consonance with the recent report by Bah et al.,46 who found this genotype to be more prevalent in underweight control individuals.

Further, the L/S genotype was observed to predispose patients to lower incidence of sexual adverse events. As previously mentioned, the serotoninergic system participates in normal sexual function and so carrying the *SLC6A4* short allele could protect patients from developing sexual dysfunction secondary to risperidone treatment.

Overall, none of the previous associations were replicated. Strikingly, variants in CYP2D6 and MDR1, which have been reported to influence risperidone plasma concentrations^{18,47} did not yield significant results. CYP2D6 is involved in the hydroxylation of risperidone to 9-OH risperidone⁴⁷ and there is increasing evidence about the correlation between the number of functional CYP2D6 alleles and both risperidone and 9-OH risperidone plasma concentrations.^{18,47} Similarly, MDR1, involved in risperidone transportation through the blood-brain barrier,⁴⁸ has been several times associated with risperidone plasma concentrations,49 effectiveness and safety.^{21,22} MDR1 encodes P-glycoprotein, a drug transporter that works limiting risperidone entry to the brain.⁵⁰ The 3435T allele of MDR1 results in lower P-glycoprotein expression,⁵¹ which may result in higher brain risperidone concentrations. According to their role in risperidone pharmacokinetics, it was expected for CYP2D6 and MDR1 to impact significantly the development of adverse events. However, once again, the small sample size studied could have been responsible for the negative findings.

The non-replication and the lack of association found for most of the genetic variants investigated point out the complexity of risperidone response, which is determined by the interaction of several genetic and environmental factors³⁷ and whose contribution to the antipsychotic response is difficult to establish. In addition, there are other factors, intrinsic to psychiatric patients management, and hence, to pharmacogenetic studies on antipsychotics, that makes complex the search of the genetic basis of drug response. Such factors are the uncertainty of the psychiatric phenotype, the variety of treatments administered in psychiatric patients and the large number of confounding factors affecting the antipsychotic response, what conforms a heterogeneous subject sample. All these factors, typically common to all pharmacogenetic studies on antipsychotics, together with the small sample size here investigated, could have been responsible for the negative findings yielded in this study and for the general inconsistencies of pharmacogenetic studies in schizophrenia. The consequence is the inexistence of a definitive predictive factor of risperidone response until date.

In conclusion, although further replication in an independent sample with adequate statistical power is needed, this study provides new candidate genetic variants of potential use in risperidone safety prediction. Additionally, it points out the importance adrenergic, dopaminergic and serotoninergic disruption might have in the risk of developing four of the most handicapping adverse events secondary to risperidone treatment, namely sleepiness, weight gain, EPS and sexual adverse events.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We especially thank all patients for their participation in this study. We also thank Ignacio Mahillo for his exceptional contribution with the statistical data and also Laia Jofre and Estibaliz Olano for their kind help with the chip genotyping and its interpretation. This study was supported by Fondo de Investigation Sanitaria (FIS) EC07/90393, EC07/90466 and EC07/90604 Grants. Berta Almoguera's work is supported by a Rio Hortega Grant from Instituto de Salud Carlos III. Pedro Dorado is supported by Instituto de Salud Carlos III. Pedro Dorado is supported by Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FIS and European Union (FEDER) Grant CP06/00030. The contribution from the Extremadura group is coordinated in the frame of the Iberoamerican Network of Pharmacogenetics (http://www.ribef.org).

References

- 1 Meyer UA. Pharmacogenetics five decades of therapeutic lessons from genetic diversity. *Nat Rev Genet* 2004; **5**: 669–676.
- 2 Lieberman JA, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, Swartz MS, Rosenheck RA, Perkins DO *et al.* Effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in patients with chronic schizophrenia. *N Engl J Med* 2005; **353**: 1209–1223.
- 3 de Leon J, Sandson NB, Cozza KL. A preliminary attempt to personalize risperidone dosing using drug-drug interactions and genetics: part I. *Psychosomatics* 2008; 49: 258–270.
- 4 Gasso P, Mas S, Bernardo M, Alvarez S, Parellada E, Lafuente A. A common variant in DRD3 gene is associated with risperidone-induced extrapyramidal symptoms. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2009; **9**: 404–410.
- 5 Yasui-Furukori N, Mihara K, Takahata T, Suzuki A, Nakagami T, De Vries R et al. Effects of various factors on steady-state plasma concentrations of risperidone and 9-hydroxyrisperidone: lack of impact of MDR-1 genotypes. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2004; 57: 569–575.
- 6 Smith RC, Segman RH, Golcer-Dubner T, Pavlov V, Lerer B. Allelic variation in ApoC3, ApoA5 and LPL genes and first and second generation antipsychotic effects on serum lipids in patients with schizophrenia. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2008; 8: 228–236.

203

- 7 Lane HY, Liu YC, Huang CL, Chang YC, Wu PL, Lu CT *et al.* Risperidonerelated weight gain: genetic and nongenetic predictors. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2006; 26: 128–134.
- 8 Mulder H, Franke B, van der-Beek van der AA, Arends J, Wilmink FW, Scheffer H *et al.* The association between HTR2C gene polymorphisms and the metabolic syndrome in patients with schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2007; **27**: 338–343.
- 9 Lencz T, Robinson DG, Xu K, Ekholm J, Sevy S, Gunduz-Bruce H et al. DRD2 promoter region variation as a predictor of sustained response to antipsychotic medication in first-episode schizophrenia patients. Am J Psychiatry 2006; 163: 529–531.
- 10 Fijal BA, Kinon BJ, Kapur S, Stauffer VL, Conley RR, Jamal HH et al. Candidate-gene association analysis of response to risperidone in African-American and white patients with schizophrenia. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2009; **9**: 311–318.
- 11 Mossner R, Schuhmacher A, Kuhn KU, Cvetanovska G, Rujescu D, Zill P *et al.* Functional serotonin 1A receptor variant influences treatment response to atypical antipsychotics in schizophrenia. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2009; **19**: 91–94.
- 12 Ruano G, Goethe JW, Caley C, Woolley S, Holford TR, Kocherla M *et al.* Physiogenomic comparison of weight profiles of olanzapine- and risperidone-treated patients. *Mol Psychiatry* 2007; **12**: 474–482.
- 13 Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E *et al.* The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1998; **59**(Suppl 20): 22–33; quiz 34-57.
- 14 Lingjaerde O, Ahlfors UG, Bech P, Dencker SJ, Elgen K. The UKU side effect rating scale. A new comprehensive rating scale for psychotropic drugs and a cross-sectional study of side effects in neuroleptic-treated patients. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 1987; **334**: 1–100.
- 15 Tejedor D, Castillo S, Mozas P, Jimenez E, Lopez M, Tejedor MT et al. Reliable low-density DNA array based on allele-specific probes for detection of 118 mutations causing familial hypercholesterolemia. Clin Chem 2005; 51: 1137–1144.
- 16 Almoguera B, Riveiro-Alvarez R, Gomez-Dominguez B, Lopez-Rodriguez R, Dorado P, Vaquero-Lorenzo C et al. Evaluating a newly developed pharmacogenetic array: screening in a Spanish population. *Pharmacogenomics* 2010; 11: 1619–1625.
- 17 Cuyas E, Olano-Martin E, Khymenets O, Hernandez L, Jofre-Monseny L, Grandoso L *et al.* Errors and reproducibility of DNA array-based detection of allelic variants in ADME genes: PHARMAchip. *Pharmacogenomics* 2010; **11**: 257–266.
- 18 Novalbos J, Lopez-Rodriguez R, Roman M, Gallego-Sandin S, Ochoa D, Abad-Santos F. Effects of CYP2D6 genotype on the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of risperidone in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2010; **30**: 504–511.
- 19 de Leon J, Susce MT, Pan RM, Fairchild M, Koch WH, Wedlund PJ. The CYP2D6 poor metabolizer phenotype may be associated with risperidone adverse drug reactions and discontinuation. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005; **66**: 15–27.
- 20 Du J, Zhang A, Wang L, Xuan J, Yu L, Che R *et al.* Relationship between response to risperidone, plasma concentrations of risperidone and CYP3A4 polymorphisms in schizophrenia patients. *J Psychopharmacol* 2009; **24**: 1115–1120.
- 21 Kuzman MR, Medved V, Bozina N, Hotujac L, Sain I, Bilusic H. The influence of 5-HT(2C) and MDR1 genetic polymorphisms on antipsychotic-induced weight gain in female schizophrenic patients. *Psychiatry Res* 2008; 160: 308–315.
- 22 Xing Q, Gao R, Li H, Feng G, Xu M, Duan S *et al.* Polymorphisms of the ABCB1 gene are associated with the therapeutic response to risperidone in Chinese schizophrenia patients. *Pharmacogenomics* 2006; **7**: 987–993.
- 23 Saiz PA, Susce MT, Clark DA, Kerwin RW, Molero P, Arranz MJ et al. An investigation of the alpha1A-adrenergic receptor gene and antipsychotic-induced side-effects. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2008; 23: 107–114.
- 24 Xu M, Li S, Xing Q, Gao R, Feng G, Lin Z *et al.* Genetic variants in the BDNF gene and therapeutic response to risperidone in schizophrenia patients: a pharmacogenetic study. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2010; **18**: 707–712.
- 25 Gupta M, Bhatnagar P, Grover S, Kaur H, Baghel R, Bhasin Y et al. Association studies of catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene with

schizophrenia and response to antipsychotic treatment. *Pharmacogenomics* 2009; **10**: 385–397.

- 26 Ikeda M, Yamanouchi Y, Kinoshita Y, Kitajima T, Yoshimura R, Hashimoto S *et al.* Variants of dopamine and serotonin candidate genes as predictors of response to risperidone treatment in first-episode schizophrenia. *Pharmacogenomics* 2008; **9**: 1437–1443.
- 27 Correia CT, Almeida JP, Santos PE, Sequeira AF, Marques CE, Miguel TS *et al.* Pharmacogenetics of risperidone therapy in autism: association analysis of eight candidate genes with drug efficacy and adverse drug reactions. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2010; **10**: 418–430.
- 28 Greenbaum L, Smith RC, Rigbi A, Strous R, Teltsh O, Kanyas K et al. Further evidence for association of the RGS2 gene with antipsychoticinduced parkinsonism: protective role of a functional polymorphism in the 3'-untranslated region. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2009; **9**: 103–110.
- 29 Kim B, Choi EY, Kim CY, Song K, Joo YH. Could HTR2A T102C and DRD3 Ser9Gly predict clinical improvement in patients with acutely exacerbated schizophrenia? Results from treatment responses to risperidone in a naturalistic setting. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2008; 23: 61–67.
- 30 Vazquez-Bourgon J, Arranz MJ, Mata I, Pelayo-Teran JM, Perez-Iglesias R, Medina-Gonzalez L *et al.* Serotonin transporter polymorphisms and early response to antipsychotic treatment in first episode of psychosis. *Psychiatry Res* 2010; **175**: 189–194.
- 31 Gaedigk A, Simon SD, Pearce RE, Bradford LD, Kennedy MJ, Leeder JS. The CYP2D6 activity score: translating genotype information into a qualitative measure of phenotype. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2008; 83: 234–242.
- 32 Kapur S, Remington G. Atypical antipsychotics: new directions and new challenges in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Annu Rev Med* 2001; **52**: 503–517.
- 33 Dossenbach M, Dyachkova Y, Pirildar S, Anders M, Khalil A, Araszkiewicz A et al. Effects of atypical and typical antipsychotic treatments on sexual function in patients with schizophrenia: 12month results from the Intercontinental Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcomes (IC-SOHO) study. Eur Psychiatry 2006; 21: 251–258.
- 34 Liu-Seifert H, Kinon BJ, Tennant CJ, Sniadecki J, Volavka J. Sexual dysfunction in patients with schizophrenia treated with conventional antipsychotics or risperidone. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2009; 5: 47–54.
- 35 Ellsworth DL, Coady SA, Chen W, Srinivasan SR, Elkasabany A, Gustat J *et al.* Influence of the beta2-adrenergic receptor Arg16Gly polymorphism on longitudinal changes in obesity from childhood through young adulthood in a biracial cohort: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2002; **26**: 928–937.
- 36 Cagliani R, Fumagalli M, Pozzoli U, Riva S, Comi GP, Torri F *et al.* Diverse evolutionary histories for beta-adrenoreceptor genes in humans. *Am J Hum Genet* 2009; 85: 64–75.
- 37 Daly AK. Genome-wide association studies in pharmacogenomics. *Nat Rev Genet* 2010; **11**: 241–246.
- 38 Szekeres G, Keri S, Juhasz A, Rimanoczy A, Szendi I, Czimmer C et al. Role of dopamine D3 receptor (DRD3) and dopamine transporter (DAT) polymorphism in cognitive dysfunctions and therapeutic response to atypical antipsychotics in patients with schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2004; 124B: 1–5.
- 39 Xuan J, Zhao X, He G, Yu L, Wang L, Tang W et al. Effects of the dopamine D3 receptor (DRD3) gene polymorphisms on risperidone response: a pharmacogenetic study. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008; 33: 305–311.
- 40 Gvilia I. Underlying brain mechanisms that regulate sleep-wakefulness cycles. *International review of neurobiology* 2010; **93**: 1–21.
- 41 Gunes A, Scordo MG, Jaanson P, Dahl ML. Serotonin and dopamine receptor gene polymorphisms and the risk of extrapyramidal side effects in perphenazine-treated schizophrenic patients. *Psychopharmacology* 2007; **190**: 479–484.
- 42 Suzuki M, Hurd YL, Sokoloff P, Schwartz JC, Sedvall G. D3 dopamine receptor mRNA is widely expressed in the human brain. *Brain Res* 1998; 779: 58–74.
- 43 Kling-Petersen T, Ljung E, Svensson K. Effects on locomotor activity after local application of D3 preferring compounds in discrete areas of the rat brain. *J Neural Transm Gen Sect* 1995; **102**: 209–220.
- 44 Lundstrom K, Turpin MP. Proposed schizophrenia-related gene polymorphism: expression of the Ser9Gly mutant human dopamine D3 receptor with the Semliki Forest virus system. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1996; **225**: 1068–1072.

- 45 Basile VS, Masellis M, Potkin SG, Kennedy JL. Pharmacogenomics in schizophrenia: the quest for individualized therapy. *Hum Mol Genet* 2002; **11**: 2517–2530.
- 46 Bah J, Westberg L, Baghaei F, Henningsson S, Rosmond R, Melke J et al. Further exploration of the possible influence of polymorphisms in HTR2C and 5HTT on body weight. *Metabolism* 2010; 59: 1156–1163.
- 47 Llerena A, Berecz R, Dorado P, de la Rubia A. QTc interval, CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 genotypes and risperidone plasma concentrations. *J Psychopharmacol* 2004; **18**: 189–193.
- 48 Wang JS, Ruan Y, Taylor RM, Donovan JL, Markowitz JS, DeVane CL. The brain entry of risperidone and 9-hydroxyrisperidone is greatly limited by P-glycoprotein. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2004; 7: 415–419.
- 49 Gunes A, Spina E, Dahl ML, Scordo MG. ABCB1 polymorphisms influence steady-state plasma levels of 9-hydroxyrisperidone and risperidone active moiety. *Ther Drug Monit* 2008; **30**: 628–633.

Appendix. The following members, listed in alphabetical order, constitute the 'Spanish Consortium of Pharmacogenetics Research in Schizophrenia':

Group 1: Departments of Genetics and Genomics and Psychiatry IIS - Fundacion Jimenez Diaz: Berta Almoguera, Carmen Ayuso (Coordinator), Enrique Baca-García, Rafael Dal-Ré (Senior Research Fellow Department of Preventive Medicine, Public Health and Medical Immunology and Microbiology, School of Health Sciences, Rey Juan Carlos University, Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain); Jorge Lopez-Castroman, Rosa Riveiro-Alvarez, Maria José Trujillo, Cristina Villaverde (Berta Almoguera: balmoguera@fjd.es, Rosa Riveiro-Alvarez: rriveiro@fjd.es, Carmen Ayuso: cayuso@fjd.es, Rafael Dal-Ré: rafael.dalre@ urjc.es, Enrique Baca-García: eb2452@columbia.edu, Jorge Lopez-Castroman: jorgecastroman@gmail.com).

Group 2: Department of Biology, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, CBMSO, Madrid Spain: Montserrat Diaz, Pablo Fernan-

- 50 Boulton DW, DeVane CL, Liston HL, Markowitz JS. *In vitro* Pglycoprotein affinity for atypical and conventional antipsychotics. *Life Sci* 2002; **71**: 163–169.
- 51 Hoffmeyer S, Burk O, von Richter O, Arnold HP, Brockmoller J, Johne A et al. Functional polymorphisms of the human multidrug-resistance gene: multiple sequence variations and correlation of one allele with Pglycoprotein expression and activity in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000; 97: 3473–3478.

Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

dez-Navarro (associated researcher-Cancer and Environmental Epidemiology Unit, National Centre for Epidemiology, CIBERESP ISCIII Madrid, Spain), Jose Fernandez-Piqueras (principal investigator), Concepción Vaquero-Lorenzo, (Jose Fernandez-Piqueras: jfpiqueras@cbm.uam.es, Concepción Vaquero-Lorenzo: kasiopea72@yahoo.es).

Group 3: Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Madrid, Spain: Francisco Abad-Santos (principal investigator), Teresa Cabaleiro, Rosario Lopez-Rodriguez, Jesús Novalbos, Dolores Ochoa, Manuel Roman, (Francisco Abad-Santos: fabad.hlpr@salud.madrid.org).

Group 4: CICAB, Clinical Research Centre, Extremadura University Hospital and Medical School, Badajoz, Spain: Alfredo de la Rubia (Mérida Psychiatric Hospital), Jesús Covaleda (CJ Primary Care Center, SES), Pedro Dorado, Adrián LLerena (principal investigator), Eva M Peñas Lledó), (Adrián Llerena: allerena@unex.es, Pedro Dorado: pdorado@unex.es).

204