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#### Abstract

A Euclidean $t$-spanner for a point set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a graph such that, for any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$, the distance between $p$ and $q$ in the graph is at most $t$ times the Euclidean distance between $p$ and $q$. Gudmundsson et al. [TALG 2008] presented a data structure for answering $\epsilon$-approximate distance queries in a Euclidean spanner in constant time, but it seems unlikely that one can report the path itself using this data structure. In this paper, we present a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ that answers $\epsilon$-approximate shortest-path queries in time linear in the size of the output.
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## 1 Introduction

Computing the shortest path between two vertices in a graph is a fundamental problem that has numerous applications such as route planning, geographic information systems, intelligent transportation systems, and web-search ranking [25]. Due to its various applications, the shortest path problem and its variants have been extensively studied for the last several decades. In a query variant, the goal is to process a given graph so that the shortest path between two query vertices and its length can be computed efficiently. Since a data structure for computing the exact distance requires large space, researchers have focused on designing data structures for answering approximate distance and path queries. We call a path in a graph $G$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ an $\epsilon$-approximate path for a constant $\epsilon>0$ if its length is at most $(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(u, v)$, where $d_{G}(u, v)$ denotes the distance in $G$ between $u$ and $v$. Similarly, any value between $d_{G}(u, v)$ and $(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(u, v)$ is called an $\epsilon$-approximate distance between $u$ and $v$.

In this paper, we present a data structure for answering approximate shortest-path queries in a geometric network which is called a Euclidean spanner.

Data structure for shortest-path \& distance queries. For a weighted graph, Thorup and Zwick [27] showed that any data structure for answering ( $2 k+1$ )-approximate distance queries in $O(1)$ time must use $\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ space assuming the 1963 girth conjecture of Erdős, where $n$ denotes the size of the graph. In addition to this, several lower bounds on the space requirements of data structures for approximate distance queries have been presented under several different conjectures. For details, refer to [26].

Thorup and Zwick [27] presented a data structure of size $O\left(k n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ for answering $(2 k-1)$-approximate distance queries in $O(k)$ time for any integer $k>0$. To emphasize that the query time is constant, they call their data structure an approximate distance oracle. A lot of data structures for answering the shortest-path and distance queries have been presented [1, 22, 23, 24, 27].
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For special classes of graphs, we can obtain data structures with faster query times and low space complexities. For planar graphs, there exists a data structure of near-linear space for computing the exact distance between any two query vertices in polylogarithmic time [6, 10]. Also, approximate distance oracles for planar graphs, bounded-genus, and minor-free graphs are known $[5,11,19]$. Geometric versions of the approximate distance and shortest path problem also have been considered. There are numerous results on the shortest path problem and its query variant in polygonal domains [15, 16, 18], disk intersection graphs [4, 9], and Euclidean spanners [12].

Euclidean spanner. In this paper, we consider the shortest path problem for a geometric network which is called a Euclidean spanner for a set of points in $d$-dimensional Euclidean space. Let $V$ be a set of $n$ points in $d$-dimensional Euclidean space for a constant $d \geq 1$. A graph $G=(V, E)$ is called a $t$-spanner for $V$ if, for any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$, the distance in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ is at most $t$ times the Euclidean distance between $p$ and $q$. Euclidean spanners have various applications including pattern recognition, function approximation, and broadcasting in communication networks [21]. A Euclidean spanner that has small size, bounded degree, small diameter, and small total weight can be computed efficiently [8, 20].

### 1.1 Previous Results

Our problem is closely related to the problem of constructing a data structure for answering approximate distance queries in a Euclidean spanner, which was introduced by Gudmundsson et al. [12]. They presented an approximate distance oracle for a Euclidean spanner in $d$-dimensional space. More specifically, given a $d$-dimensional Euclidean $t$-spanner $G$ and a constant $\epsilon>0$, they present a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$, which can be computed in $O(m+n \log n)$, so that given any two vertices $p$ and $q$, an $\epsilon$-approximate distance in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ of $V$ can be computed in constant time, where $n$ denotes the number of vertices and $m$ denotes the number of edges. Here, all the big-Oh notations hide constants depending on $d, t$ and $\epsilon$.

Distance query vs. Shortest-path query. As mentioned in [26], most distance oracles can be used to compute not only the distances but also the actual paths. This is because after having computed the distance, most distance oracles have an implicit representation of the path such that each edge can be output efficiently.

However, it seems unclear if the data structure by Gudmundsson et al. [12] can be used for reporting an approximate path in a Euclidean spanner. Indeed, the authors of [12] also mentioned this in $[12,14]$. The main difficulty here is that they convert $G$ into graphs $G_{i}$ 's such that the edges of each $G_{i}$ are not necessarily contained in $G$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$ for $m \leq n$. After converting $G$, they handle $G_{i}$ 's instead of $G$. Their query algorithm returns the length of a path $\pi$ of $G_{i}$, but such a path is not necessarily a path of $G$. Using the fact that $G$ is a Euclidean $t$-spanner, they showed that the length of $\pi$ approximates the distance in $G$ between two query points. However, it is not difficult to construct an example that $\pi$ is not a path of $G$, that is, $\pi$ contains edges not contained in the edge set of $G$, and $\pi$ connects two vertices which are not the query points.

### 1.2 Our Results

In this paper, we present a data structure for answering shortest-path queries approximately and efficiently on a Euclidean spanner for a point set in $d$-dimensional Euclidean space. More specifically, our data structure has size of $O(n \log n)$ and can be constructed in $O(m+n \log n)$
time, where $n$ denotes the number of vertices and $m$ denotes the number of edges of the spanner. The data structure allows us to returns the edges of an $\epsilon$-approximate path in constant time per edge. As in [12], all the big-Oh notations hide constants depending on $d, t$ and $\epsilon$.

Why do we need the actual path? For some applications, it is necessary to obtain the actual path as well as the distance. Imagine that we want to design an efficient navigation system. Lots of road networks can be represented as Euclidean $t$-spanners for a small constant $t>1$ [21]. For instance, a part of the southern Scandinavian railroad network is a 1.85 -spanner [21]. To design an efficient navigation system, given a starting position and a destination, we are required to find a shortest path (or an approximate shortest path) in the road network efficiently. The data structure of [12] tells us how long it takes from the starting position to the destination, but it does not give how to get there. This is not sufficient for navigation systems.

Actual path vs. Approximate path. Our algorithm returns an approximate path in time linear in its complexity. It is possible that an approximate path has a larger complexity than the actual path. However, it seems not an serious issue for many applications because our algorithm returns the edges one by one in constant time per edge.

Imagine that we use a navigation system on a highway. Our goal is to find the path from the starting point to the destination to minimize the travel time. The travel time depends on the sum of lengths of the edges of the path, not on the number of edges of the path. Given the starting point and a destination, our algorithm process them in constant time, and then returns the edges one by one in constant time per edge. To traverse the path, it sufficient to have the next edge of the current edge on the path. Since a path lying between two interchanges in a highway, which is represented as an edge of a graph, is sufficiently long, the computation time is subsumed by the travel time. Therefore, even if an approximate path has a larger complexity than the actual path, the travel time is within $(1+\epsilon)$ times the optimal travel time in this case.

### 1.3 Preliminaries

Let $d_{G}(u, v)$ denote the distance in a graph $G$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$, and let $|p q|$ denote the Euclidean distance between two points $p$ and $q$. For two values $a$ and $b$ in $\mathbb{R}$, we use $[a, b]$ to denote the set of all values lying between $a$ and $b$ including $a$ and $b,[a, b)$ to denote $[a, b] \backslash\{b\}$, and $(a, b)$ to denote $[a, b] \backslash\{a, b\}$. With a slight abuse of notation, for a finite set $A$, we use $|A|$ to denote the cardinality of $A$. For a path $\pi$ in a Euclidean space, we use $w(\pi)$ to denote the length of $\pi$.

A subgraph $H$ of a graph $G$ is called a $t$-spanner of $G$ if the vertex set of $H$ coincides with the vertex set of $G$, and $d_{H}(v, u) \leq t d_{G}(v, u)$ for any two vertices $v$ and $u$ in $H$. We call a graph $G=(V, E)$ a geometric graph if each vertex of $V$ corresponds to a point in a Euclidean space and each edge $p q$ has weight $|p q|$. Let $V$ be a set of $n$ points in $d$-dimensional Euclidean space for a constant $d$. For a value $t \geq 1$, a geometric graph $G$ is called a $t$-spanner for $V$ if it is a $t$-spanner of the complete geometric graph whose vertex set is $V$, that is, $d_{G}(p, q) \leq t|p q|$ for any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$. Similarly, for two values $L>0$ and $t>1$, a geometric graph $G$ is called an $L$-partial $t$-spanner for $V$ if $d_{G}(p, q) \leq t|p q|$ for any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$ with $|p q| \leq L$.

For a fixed $\epsilon>0$, our goal is to construct a data structure on a $t$-spanner $G$ for a point set $V$ in $d$-dimensional Euclidean space so that, given any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$, an $\epsilon$-approximate path and its length can be computed efficiently. To make the description
easier, we assume that $G$ has $O(n)$ edges as in [12], where $n$ is the number of vertices of $G$. If it is not the case, we compute a $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ of size $O(n)$ using the following lemma, and construct a data structure for $G^{\prime}$. Here, notice that $G^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $G$ by definition.

- Lemma 1 ([13]). For a t-spanner $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges, we can compute a $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner of $G$ with $O(n)$ edges in $O(m+n \log n)$ time.

The model of computation we use in this paper is the same as the one in [12], which is the traditional algebraic computation model with the added power of indirect addressing.

## 2 Overall Data Structure and Query Algorithm

Given a $t$-spanner $G=(V, E)$ with $n$ vertices and $O(n)$ edges, and a constant $\epsilon>0$, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ in $O(m+n \log n)$ time so that the $\epsilon$-approximate path between any two points can be computed in constant time per edge.

Overall structure of [12]. The data structure of [12] consists of several substructures. Each substructure is constructed for a value $L$ in $\mathbb{R}$ (which might be a function of $n$ ) and a subset $W_{L}$ of $V$. This allows us to compute an approximate path between two query points $p$ and $q$ contained in $W_{L}$ such that their Euclidean distance lies in $[L / n, L / t]$. The size of the substructure for $L$ is near linear in the complexity of $W_{L}$.

They partition the edge set with respect to their lengths such that the edge lengths within each subset differ by a factor of $n^{O(1)}$ of each other. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left\langle L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{r}\right\rangle$ be the lengths of the longest edges contained in the subsets. For each index $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$, they choose a small subset $W_{i}$ of $V$ so that for any two query points $p$ and $q$, there are two vertices $x$ and $y$ in $W_{i}$, and an index $i$ such that $|x y| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$ and $|p x|+|y q|$ is sufficiently small. Using this property, for any two query points $p$ and $q$, they find an index $i$ and two vertices $x$ and $y$ of $W_{i}$ satisfying the properties mentioned above, and compute an approximate distance between $x$ and $y$ instead of the distance between $p$ and $q$.

Overall structure of our data structure. As in [12], we partition the edge set with respect to their lengths, but we choose a subset $V_{i}$ of $V$ for each index in a way different from [12]. Then we show that if the Euclidean distance between two query points $p$ and $q$ lies in $\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$, there are two vertices $x$ and $y$ in $V_{i}$ such that $|p x|+|y q|$ is sufficiently small. Hence, it suffices to compute the paths between $x$ and $p$, between $y$ and $q$, and between $x$ and $y$. Notice the difference between ours and [12]: In [12], for any two query points $p$ and $q$, there are two vertices $x$ and $y$ of $W_{i}$ for some index $i$ with $|x y| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$ such that $d_{W_{i}}(x, y)$ approximates $d_{G}(p, q)$. However, in our case, if $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$ for an index $i$, then there are two vertices $x$ and $y$ in $V_{i}$ such that $d_{V_{i}}(x, y)$ approximates $d_{G}(p, q)$.

In our case, there might be two points $p$ and $q$ such that $|p q| \notin\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$ for any index $i$. This means that not all queries can be answered using our data structure stated above. To handle this issue, we construct five different partitions (sequences) $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{5}$ of the edge lengths such that, for any two points $p$ and $q$, one of the five sequences contains a value $L$ with $|p q| \in[L / n, L / t]$. Then we can use the data structure constructed for the partition (sequence) to compute an $\epsilon$-approximate path between $p$ and $q$. Figure 1 illustrates the overall structure of our data structure.


Figure 1 Illustration for the overall data structure. Given two query points $p$ and $q$, the query algorithm finds one element, say $L_{i}$, in one sequence, say $\mathcal{L}_{k}$. In Section 6.1 , we show how to find the first and last bridge points, $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$, from $p$ to $q$ along an approximate path in $G$. In Section 6.2 , we show how to compute short edges in a path between $p$ and $q$. Then the sequence of the long edges and short edges computed so far forms an approximate path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$. In Section 6.3, we show how to compute the long edges an approximate path between $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$.

Classifying lengths. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left\langle L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{r-1}\right\rangle$ be a sequence of values in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $L_{1}$ is the length of a shortest edge of $G$, and $L_{i}$ is $n^{2}$ times the length of a shortest edge among all edges of length larger than $L_{i-1}$ for an integer $i \geq 2$, and ( $L_{1}, L_{r-1}$ ) contains all edge lengths. Then for a technical reason, we add $L_{r}=n^{2} L_{r-1}$ at the end of $\mathcal{L}$. Note that $L_{i} \geq n^{2} L_{i-1}$ for every integer $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq r$. Moreover, for any two vertices of $G$, their distance in $G$ lies in $\left[L_{1}, L_{r}\right.$ ) because a shortest path in $G$ consists of at most $n$ edges, and thus the length of any edge in $G$ also lies in $\left[L_{1}, L_{r}\right.$ ) because $G$ is a $t$-spanner for a constant $t$. For a sequence $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ of lengths, let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\prime}$ be the union of $\left[L^{\prime} / n, L^{\prime} / t\right]$ over all lengths $L^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$. Our goal is to obtain five sequences $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{5}$ such that the distance in $G$ between any two vertices lies in the union of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{5}$.

For a value $x$ in $\mathbb{R}$, we use $x \mathcal{L}$ to denote the sequence $\left\langle x L_{1}, x L_{2}, \ldots, x L_{r}\right\rangle$. Consider the following five sequences:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=t \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(t^{2} / n\right) \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{3}=\left(t^{3} / n^{2}\right) \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{4}=n t \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{5}=t^{2} \mathcal{L}
$$

The following lemma shows that given two points $p$ and $q$, one of the five sequences contains a value $L$ such that $|p q| \in[L / n, L / t]$. Also, as we will see in Section 3, we can find such a sequence and such a value $L$ in constant time.

- Lemma 2. For any two points $p$ and $q$, the distance in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ is contained in the union of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{5}$.

Proof. Let $p$ and $q$ be any two points in $V$. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}$, there is an integer $i$ with $1<i \leq r$ such that $|p q| \in\left[L_{i-1}, L_{i}\right]$. Consider the partition of $\left[L_{i-1}, L_{i}\right)$ into six intervals such that the endpoints of the intervals are

$$
L_{i-1}, \quad t L_{i-1}, \quad n L_{i-1}, \quad t^{3} L_{i} / n^{3}, \quad t^{2} L_{i} / n^{2}, \quad t L_{i} / n, \quad L_{i}
$$

We claim that $|p q|$ does not lies in $\left(n L_{i-1}, t^{3} L_{i} / n^{3}\right)$, for a sufficiently large $n$. Assume to the contrary that $|p q| \in\left(n L_{i-1}, t^{3} L_{i} / n^{3}\right)$. Then $d_{G}(p, q) \leq t|p q|<t^{4} L_{i} / n^{3}<L_{i} / n^{2}$ for a sufficiently large $n$, and thus all edges in a shortest path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ have length less than $L_{i} / n^{2}$. Recall that $L_{i} / n^{2}$ is the length of a shortest edge of $G$ among all edges of length larger than $L_{i-1}$. Thus by construction of $\mathcal{L}$, there is no edge of $G$ whose length lies in ( $L_{i-1}, L_{i} / n^{2}$ ). Therefore, all edges in a shortest path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ have length at most $L_{i-1}$, and thus $|p q| \leq d_{G}(p, q) \leq n L_{i-1}$. This contradicts $|p q| \in\left(n L_{i-1}, t^{3} L_{i} / n^{3}\right)$, and therefore, $|p q|$ lies on one of the five (closed) intervals excluding $\left(n L_{i-1}, t^{3} L_{i} / n^{3}\right)$. By construction, if $|p q|$ lies in the $k$ th interval among the five intervals, then it lies in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{6-k}$.


Figure 2 (a) A shortest path $\pi_{G}$ in $G$ between $p$ and $q$. The interior of each dashed curve is a connected component of $G_{i-2}$. (b) Two bridges. The points $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ are the fist points in $\pi_{G}$ incident to the bridges from $p$ and $q$, respectively. (c) The bridges, and edges of $G_{i-2}$ from an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$.

## 3 Finding the Index $i$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i-1}, L_{i}\right)$

Let $\mathcal{L}=\left\langle L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r}\right\rangle$ be the sequence of values defined in Section 2. The following two lemmas give an algorithm for computing $i$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i-1}, L_{i}\right)$ in constant time for any two query points $p$ and $q$.

- Lemma 3. If $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}$, the length of a longest edge of the path in $M$ between $p$ and $q$ lies in $\left[L_{i-2}, L_{i+1}\right)$, where $M$ denotes a minimum spanning tree of $G$.

Proof. Let $p$ and $q$ be two vertices of $V$ with $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}$ for an integer $i$. Since $G$ is a $t$-spanner, $d_{G}(p, q) \leq t|p q|<t L_{i}$. Therefore, every edge in a shortest path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ has length at most $t L_{i}<L_{i+1}$. By the cycle property of the minimum spanning tree, all edges of the path $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ in $M$ between $p$ and $q$ have length less than $L_{i+1}$.

Now we show that a longest edge of $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ has length at least $L_{i-2}$. Assume to the contrary that all edges of $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ have length less than $L_{i-2}$. Since the number of vertices of $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ is at most $n$, the length of $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ is less than $n L_{i-2}<L_{i-1}$. Note that $d_{G}(p, q)$ is at most the length of $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ because $M$ is a subgraph of $G$. Therefore, we have $d_{G}(p, q)<n L_{i-2}<L_{i-1}$, which contradicts that $L_{i-1} \leq|p q| \leq d_{G}(p, q)$.

- Lemma 4. After an $O(n \log n)$-time preprocessing, we can compute the index $i$ with $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}$ in constant time for any two points of $V$.

Proof. We sort all edges of $G$ in increasing order with respect to the edge weights, and compute $\mathcal{L}$ in $O(n \log n)$ time. Also, we compute a minimum spanning tree $M$ of $G$ in $O(n \log n)$ time. Recall that $G$ has $O(n)$ edges.

We construct a binary tree $T$ such that each non-leaf node is associated with an edge of $M$, and each leaf node is associated with a vertex of $M$ as follows. If $M$ consists of a single vertex, $T$ consists of a single node associated with the vertex of $M$. Otherwise, we create a new node which is the root of $T$, and associate the root with a longest edge of $M$. The subgraph of $M$ obtained by removing the longest edge of $M$ consists of two connected components. Then we define a binary tree for each connected component recursively, and merge the two binary trees by making their roots the children of the root of $T$. For a vertex of $T$ associated with an edge $e$ of $M$, we store the index $i(e)$ such that the length of $e$ lies in $\left[L_{i}, L_{i+1}\right)$. We can construct $T$ and all indices $i(\cdot)$ in $O(n \log n)$ time in total.

Then for two points $p$ and $q$, a longest edge of the path in $M$ between $p$ and $q$ is stored in the lowest common ancestor of the leaf nodes of $M$ associated with $p$ and $q$, respectively, by construction. To answer this query, we construct the data structure in [17] so that the lowest common ancestor of any two nodes of $T$ can be computed in constant time.

In this way, we can compute $i(e)$ in constant time, where $e$ is a longest edge of the path in $M$ between $p$ and $q$. By Lemma 3, if $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}$ for some index $i$, the length of $e$ lies in $\left[L_{i-2}, L_{i+1}\right)$. That is, $i(e) \in[i-2, i)$. If $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}, i$ is either $i(e)+2$ or $i(e)+1$, and we can check if a given integer is $i$ or not in constant time. Therefore, we can compute $i$ with $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}$ in constant time.

Therefore, we can obtain the index $i$ with $L_{i-1} \leq|p q|<L_{i}$ in constant time, and then we can find the sequence $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ with $|p q| \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{j}$ in constant time. With a slight abuse of notation, we let $\mathcal{L}=\left\langle L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{r}\right\rangle$ be $\mathcal{L}_{j}$. Note that $L_{i} \geq n^{2} L_{i-1}$ for every integer $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq r$, and the length of every edge of $G$ is in $\left[L_{1}, L_{r-1}\right)$. In the following sections, we present a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ so that an $\epsilon$-approximate path between $p$ and $q$ can be computed in constant time per edge for any two vertices $p$ and $q$ in $V$ with $|p q| \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$.

## 4 Long and Short Edges of a Shortest Path in G

We decompose $E$ into sets $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{r}$ such that $E_{i}$ is the set of edges of $G$ whose lengths lie in $\left[L_{i-1}, L_{i}\right)$, where $L_{0}=0$. The data structure for $\mathcal{L}$ also consists of several substructures. Each substructure is constructed for each subset $E_{i}$. It handles query points $p$ and $q$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$. A shortest path $\pi_{G}$ in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ can be partitioned into several pieces so that each piece is a maximal subpath of $\pi_{G}$ contained in the same connected component of the subgraph of $G$ induced by the edges in $E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup \ldots \cup E_{i}$. We call the edges of $\pi_{G}$ connecting two pieces of $\pi_{G}$ bridges. See Figure 2(a,b). Our strategy is to compute the bridges of an approximate path first, and then connect each of them by a (not necessarily shortest) path of $G$. See Figure 2(c). Since the total length of the bridges is much larger than the length of the other part of $\pi_{G}$, it suffices to connect two bridges by any path of $G$.

To use this observation, we construct a graph $S_{i}$ of size $O\left(\left|E_{i-1} \cup E_{i}\right|\right)$ for each $i$ such that $S_{i}$ contains all edges of $E_{i-1} \cup E_{i}$ and some short edges connecting the edges of $E_{i-1} \cup E_{i}$. The number of short edges is $O\left(\left|E_{i-1} \cup E_{i}\right|\right)$, and short edges are not necessarily contained in $E$. We can modify the data structure of [12] to compute long edges of an approximate path in $S_{i}$ in the case that the Euclidean distance between the query points is larger than the length of a longest edge of $S_{i}$. Using this data structure, we can compute the bridges of $\pi_{G}$ for query points $p$ and $q$. Then we compute the edges of $E$ connecting the bridges. For an illustration, see Figure 1.

In the following, for a fixed index $i$, we show how to construct a graph $S_{i}$, which is a $2 L_{i}$-partial $t(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for $V$. Using $S_{i}$, we show how to obtain an $\epsilon$-approximate path of $G$ between two query points. We use $G_{i}$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ with the vertex set $V$ and the edge set $E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup \ldots \cup E_{i}$. Notice that $G_{i}$ is an $L_{i}$-partial $t$-spanner for $V$ because $G$ is a $t$-spanner for $V$ due to Lemma 5 . Then our goal in this section can be restated as follows: construct a data structure for $G_{i}$ of size $O\left(\left|E_{i} \cup E_{i-1}\right|\right)$ so that given two points $p$ and $q$, an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $G_{i}$ can be found efficiently assuming that $L_{i} / n \leq|p q|<L_{i} / t$.

- Lemma 5. We have $d_{G}(p, q)=d_{G_{i}}(p, q)$ for any two points $p$ and $q$ with $|p q|<L_{i} / t$.

Proof. Since $d_{G}(p, q) \leq t|p q|<L_{i}$, the length of any edge of a shortest path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ is less than $L_{i}$. Therefore, every edge of the shortest path is contained in $G_{i}$, and thus $d_{G}(p, q)=d_{G_{i}}(p, q)$.


Figure 3 (a) The vertices lying in the interior of each dashed circle form a connected component of $G_{i-2}$. (b) The five bridges, and ten bridge points. (c) The line segments from a partial spanner $S_{i}$. An edge of $S_{i}$ is either a bridge or an edge of a Euclidean $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for a connected component of $G_{i-2}$.

### 4.1 Construction of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

We say an edge is long if its length is at least $L_{i-2}$, and an edge (which is not necessarily in $G_{i}$ ) is short, otherwise. Then we have the following lemma.

- Lemma 6. A shortest path in $G_{i}$ between two points contains a long edge if their Euclidean distance is at least $L_{i} / n$.

Proof. Let $p$ and $q$ be two vertices of $G_{i}$ with $|p q|>L_{i} / n$. Suppose that all edges of the shortest path are short, that is, their lengths are less than $L_{i-2}$. Then $d_{G_{i}}(p, q)<n L_{i-2} \leq$ $L_{i} / n^{3}<|p q|$. This contradicts that $|p q| \leq d_{G_{i}}(p, q)$ for any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$.

We define a graph $S_{i}=\left(V_{i}, F_{i}\right)$ as follows. A long edge of $G_{i}$ is called a bridge if their endpoints lie on different connected components of $G_{i-2}$. In this case, their endpoints are called bridge points. Let $B_{i}$ be the set of bridges, and $V_{i}$ denote the set of bridge points. Note that $B_{i} \subseteq E_{i-1} \cup E_{i}$ and $\left|V_{i}\right|=O\left(\left|E_{i-1} \cup E_{i}\right|\right)$. We define the vertex set of $S_{i}$ as $V_{i}$. See Figure 3(a,b).

The edge set $F_{i}$ of $S_{i}$ is defined as follows. For a connected component $\gamma$ of $G_{i-2}$, let $V_{i}(\gamma)$ be the set of points of $V_{i}$ which are contained in $\gamma$. We construct a Euclidean $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for $V_{i}(\gamma)$ of size $O\left(\left|V_{i}(\gamma)\right|\right)$ in $O\left(\left|V_{i}(\gamma)\right| \log \left|V_{i}(\gamma)\right|\right)$ time using the algorithm in [8]. Then we let $F_{i}$ be the set of all edges of $B_{i}$ and all edges of the Euclidean spanners of length at most $2 L_{i}$ for all connected components of $G_{i-2}$. See Figure 3(c).

- Lemma 7. The complexity of $S_{i}$ is $O\left(\left|E_{i} \cup E_{i-1}\right|\right)$.

Proof. Since all bridges for $G_{i-2}$ are contained in $E_{i} \cup E_{i-1}$, the number of vertices of $V_{i}$ is $O\left(\left|E_{i} \cup E_{i-1}\right|\right)$. An edge of $S_{i}$ is either a bridge for $G_{i-2}$ or an edge of the Euclidean $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for $V_{i}(\gamma)$ for a connected component $\gamma$ of $G_{i-2}$. Since the sum of $\left|V_{i}(\gamma)\right|$ for all connected components $\gamma$ is $O\left(\left|V_{i}\right|\right)=O\left(\left|E_{i} \cup E_{i-1 \mid}\right|\right)$, the number of edges of $S_{i}$ is also $O\left(\left|E_{i} \cup E_{i-1}\right|\right)$.

### 4.2 Properties of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

For any two query points $p$ and $q$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$, all bridges in an approximate path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ are contained in the edge set of $S_{i}$. We compute the bridges of an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $S_{i}$ between two vertices, and then compute several paths in $G_{i-2}$. The concatenation of those bridges and paths form an $\epsilon$-approximate path between $p$ and $q$.

## E. Oh

In this subsection, we show that $S_{i}$ is a $2 L_{i}$-partial $t(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for $V_{i}$. This property is used for designing a data structure for computing the bridges of an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $S_{i}$ between two vertices, which is described in Section 6.3.

- Lemma 8. $S_{i}$ is a $2 L_{i}$-partial $t(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for $V_{i}$.

Proof. The lemma can be restated as follows by definition: For any two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $V_{i}$ with $|u v| \leq 2 L_{i}$, we have $d_{S_{i}}(u, v) \leq t(1+\epsilon)|u v|$.

Consider two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $V_{i}$ with $|u v| \leq 2 L_{i}$ which are contained in the same connected component $\gamma$ of $G_{i-2}$. Recall that the edges of a Euclidean $(1+\epsilon)$-spanner for $V_{i}(\gamma)$ of length at most $2 L_{i}$ are contained in the edge set of $S_{i}$. Therefore, for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $V_{i}(\gamma)$ with $|u v| \leq 2 L_{i}$, their distance in $S_{i}$ is at most $(1+\epsilon)|u v| \leq t(1+\epsilon)|u v|$ since $t>1$. Therefore, in the following, we consider two points $u$ and $v$ in $V_{i}$ with $|u v| \leq 2 L_{i}$ which are contained in different connected components of $G_{i-2}$.

Consider a shortest path $\pi$ in $G$ between $u$ and $v$. Since $u$ and $v$ are not connected in $G_{i-2}, \pi$ contains at least one bridge in $B_{i}$. Consider all bridges of $B_{i}$ contained in $\pi$. Let $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\rangle$ be the sequence of the endpoints of the bridges sorted along $\pi$ from $u$ to $v$. For each $j$, either $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is a bridge of $B_{i}$, or $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ are connected in $G_{i-2}$. Also, let $w_{0}=u$ and $w_{k+1}=v$.

We construct a path in $S_{i}$ between $u$ and $v$ as follows. It consists of $k+1$ subpaths: $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{k}$. Let $j$ be an index $j$ with $0 \leq j \leq k$. If $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is a bridge in $B_{i}$, we let $\pi_{j}$ be the bridge. Otherwise, we let $\pi_{j}$ be a shortest path between $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ in the Euclidean spanner for $V_{i}(\gamma)$, where $\gamma$ is the connected component of $G_{i-2}$ containing both $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$. Then we let $\pi_{S}$ be the concatenation of $\pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{k}$.

Clearly, $\pi_{S}$ is a path in $S_{i}$ connecting $u$ and $v$. Also, $d_{S_{i}}(u, v)$ is at most the length of $\pi_{S}$, which is the sum of the lengths of $\pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{k+1}$. If $\pi_{j}$ is a bridge, its length is $\left|w_{j} w_{j+1}\right|$. Otherwise, its length is at most $(1+\epsilon)\left|w_{j} w_{j+1}\right|$ because it is a shortest path in a Euclidean spanner. Since $w_{j}$ is a vertex of a shortest path in $G$ for every integer $j$ with $0 \leq j \leq k+1$, the distance in $G$ between $u$ and $v$ is at least the sum of $\left|w_{j} w_{j+1}\right|$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$. Also, since $G$ is a Euclidean $t$-spanner for $V$, the distance in $G$ between $u$ and $v$ is at most $t|u v|$. By combining all of them, we have

$$
d_{S_{i}}(u, v) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{S_{i}}\left(w_{j}, w_{j+1}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k}(1+\epsilon)\left|w_{j} w_{j+1}\right| \leq(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(u, v) \leq t(1+\epsilon)|u v|
$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

- Corollary 9. For two points $u, v \in V_{i}$ with $|u v| \leq L_{i} / t$, we have $d_{S_{i}}(u, v) \leq(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(u, v)$.

Also, by construction of $S_{i}$, we have the following lemma.

- Lemma 10. A longest edge of $S_{i}$ has length at most $2 L_{i}$.

Notice that, even though the distance in $S_{i}$ between two points is within $(1+\epsilon)$ times their distance in $G$, a shortest path in $S_{i}$ between the two points is not necessarily a path in $G$. This is because $S_{i}$ contains edges which are not contained in $E$. Moreover, it is possible that $p$ or $q$ is not contained in $V_{i}$, where $i$ is the index with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$.

## 5 Specifying an Approximate Path

Consider any two points $p$ and $q$ in $V$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$. In this section, we specify an $\epsilon$-approximate path we are going to compute among all $\epsilon$-approximate paths between $p$ and $q$ in $G$. We call such a path an approximate $G$-path.

Let $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ be any two vertices of $V_{i}$ which are contained in the same connected components of $G_{i-2}$ as $p$ and $q$, respectively. Notice that $p$ and $q$ are not necessarily contained in $V_{i}$. If $p \in V_{i}$ and $q \in V_{i}$, then $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ might be $p$ and $q$, respectively. Let $\pi(p, \bar{p})$ be any path in $G_{i-2}$ between $p$ and $\bar{p}$. Similarly, let $\pi(q, \bar{q})$ be any path in $G_{i-2}$ between $q$ and $\bar{q}$. Now we connect $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ by a path in $G_{i}$ as follows. Let $\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ be an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $S_{i}$ between $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$. Note that $\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ might contain an edge not contained in $E$. Consider the bridges in $B_{i}$ contained in $\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$. Let $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\rangle$ be the sequence of the endpoints of the bridges sorted along $\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$.

In the following, we construct a path $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ of $G$ connecting $p, \bar{p}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r}, q, \bar{q}$ in order whose length is at most $(1+3 \epsilon) d_{G}(p, q)$. For each integer $j=1, \ldots, r$, if $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is a bridge, it is an edge of $G$. In this case, we connect $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ by the bridge. If $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is not a bridge, $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ are contained in the same connected component $\gamma$ of $G_{i-2}$. In this case, we connect $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ by an arbitrary path in $G_{i-2}$. The concatenation of $\pi(p, \bar{p})$, paths (or bridges) connecting $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$, and $\pi(q, \bar{q})$ is called an $\epsilon$-approximate $G$-path, denoted by $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$. By construction, it is a path in $G$ connecting $p, \bar{p}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r}, \bar{q}, q$ in order. Notice that all bridges of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ are long, and all non-bridge edges are short.

- Lemma 11. The length of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ is at most $(1+3 \epsilon) d_{G}(p, q)$.

Proof. Recall that $L_{i} / n \leq|p q| \leq L_{i} / t$. Let $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\rangle$ be the sequence of the endpoints of the bridges in $\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ from $\bar{p}$ to $\bar{q}$. Let $w_{0}=p$ and $w_{k+1}=q$. Consider an edge $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ with $0 \leq j \leq k$. If it is a bridge, it is contained in $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$. In this case, the length of the part of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ from $w_{j}$ to $w_{j+1}$ is $\left|w_{j} w_{j+1}\right|$. If it is not a bridge, $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ are contained in the same connected component of $G_{i-2}$. Since $L_{i} / L_{i-1} \geq n^{2}$ and the number of vertices of $G_{i-2}$ is at most $n$, the length of a path connecting $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ in $G_{i-2}$ is at most $L_{i-2} n \leq L_{i-1} / n$. Therefore, the length of the part of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ from $w_{j}$ to $w_{j+1}$ is at most $L_{i-1} / n$.

Therefore, we have the following inequalities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)\right) & \leq w\left(\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})\right)+L_{i-1} \\
& \leq(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})+L_{i-1} \\
& \leq(1+\epsilon)\left(d_{G}(p, q)+2 L_{i-1} / n\right)+L_{i-1} \\
& \leq(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(p, q)+2 L_{i-1} \\
& \leq(1+\epsilon) d_{G}(p, q)+2 \epsilon d_{G}(p, q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w(\pi)$ denotes the length of a path $\pi$.
The first inequality holds because the total length of bridges of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ is at most $\left|\pi_{S}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})\right|$, and the length of the remaining part is at most $L_{i-1}$. The second inequality holds by Corollary 9 . The third inequality holds because a path in $G_{i-2}$ connecting $p$ and $\bar{p}$ (and $q$ and $\bar{q}$ ) has length at most $L_{i-1} / n$. The last inequality holds due to $L_{i} / n \leq|p q| \leq d_{G}(p, q)$. Since $\epsilon$ is a constant, for a large $n$, it holds $L_{i-1} \leq L_{i} / n^{2} \leq d_{G}(p, q) / n \leq \epsilon d_{G}(p, q)$. Therefore, the length of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ is at most $(1+3 \epsilon) d_{G}(p, q)$.

The following lemma will be used for computing the long edges of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ in Section 6.3.

- Lemma 12. The Euclidean distance between $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ lies in $\left[L_{i} /(2 n), 2 L_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Since $p$ and $\bar{p}$ are connected in $G_{i-2}$ by definition, the distance in $G$ between them is at most $n L_{i-2}$, and thus $|p \bar{p}|$ is at most $n L_{i-2}$. Similarly, $|q \bar{q}|$ is at most $n L_{i-2}$. Recall that $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$.

By the triangle inequality, we have $|\bar{p} \bar{q}| \leq|\bar{p} p|+|p q|+|\bar{q} q| \leq L_{i} / t+2 n L_{i-2} \leq 2 L_{i}$, which shows the upper bound. Similarly, by the triangle inequality, we have $|\bar{p} \bar{q}| \geq|p q|-|\bar{p} p|-|\bar{q} q| \geq$ $L_{i} / n-2 n L_{i-2} \geq L_{i} /(2 n)$, which shows the lower bound.

## 6 Computing $\epsilon$-Approximate $G$-Paths

In this section, we present a data structure and a query algorithm for computing an $\epsilon$ approximate $G$-path for two query points $p$ and $q$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$ assuming that we are given the index $i$. This data structure consists of three substructures: one for computing $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$, one for computing the short edges of the path, and one for computing the long edges (bridges) of the path.

More specifically, we first construct a data structure in $O(n \log n)$ time, which is described in Section 6.1, so that given two points $p$ and $q$, and an index $i$, we can compute $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ in constant time. Also, we construct a data structure in $O(n \log n)$ time so that for any two points $p$ and $q$, and an index $i-1$, we can report the edges of an arbitrary path in $G_{i-2}$ between $p$ and $q$ in constant time per edge. This data structure is described in Section 6.2. Note that these data structures do not depend on an index, and thus they can be used for all indices $i$. In addition to this, we construct a data structure on $S_{i}$ which allows us to find all bridges in an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $S_{i}$ between $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$. This data structure is described in Section 6.3, and it is a slight modification of the data structure of [12]. It can be constructed in $O\left(\left|E_{i} \cup E_{i-1}\right| \log n\right)$ time for each index $i$, and thus the total construction time for all indices is $O(n \log n)$ time.

- Lemma 13. Assuming the three substructures mentioned above have been constructed, for any two query points $p$ and $q$, we can compute $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ in constant time per edge.

Proof. We first find $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ in constant time using the data structure described in Section 6.1. Then we compute all bridges of an $\epsilon$-approximate path $\pi_{S}(p, q)$ in $S_{i}$ in constant time per edge using the data structure described in Section 6.3. Notice that the bridges are contained in $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$. Let $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\rangle$ be the sequence of the endpoints of the bridges sorted along $\pi_{S}(p, q)$. The bridge points are reported by the query algorithm described in Section 6.3 one by one from $w_{1}$ to $w_{r}$ in constant time per edge. After $w_{j+1}$ is reported by the algorithm, we compute the part of $\pi_{\epsilon}(p, q)$ lying between $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$. If $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is not a bridge. we compute a path in $G_{i-2}$ connecting $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ using the data structure described in Section 6.3 in constant time per edge. The path obtained by concatenating all paths we computed so far is an $\epsilon$-approximate $G$-path. Therefore, we can compute the $\epsilon$-approximate $G$-path in constant time per edge.

### 6.1 Computing $\bar{p}$ for an Index $\boldsymbol{i}$ and Points $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}$

In this section, we present a data structure for the following type of queries: Given two points $p$ and $q$ of $V$ and an index $i$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$, we want to find vertices $\bar{p}$ (and $\bar{q}$ ) of $V_{i}$ such that $p$ and $\bar{p}$ (and $q$ and $\bar{q}$ ) are contained in the same connected component of $G_{i-2}$. To do this, we use a minimum spanning tree $M$ of $G$, which can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time. Recall that we assume that the number of edges of $G$ is $O(n)$. By properties of the minimum spanning tree, we have the following observation. We use $\pi_{T}(u, v)$ to denote the path in $T$ between $u$ and $v$ for a tree $T$.

- Observation 14. A longest edge in the path in $M$ between two points $p$ and $q$ has length at most $\ell>0$ if and only if there is a path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ whose longest edge has length at most $\ell$.

Data Structure. We sort all edges of $G$ in increasing order with respect to their lengths and compute a minimum spanning tree $M$ of $G$ in $O(n \log n)$ time. Then we construct a tree $T$ such that each non-leaf node stores an index, each leaf node of $T$ stores a vertex of $V$, and each edge of $T$ stores two vertices of $V$. If $M$ consists of a single vertex, $T$ consists of a single node which stores the vertex of $M$. Otherwise, consider all edges of $M$ whose lengths lie in $\left[L_{s-1}, L_{s}\right)$, where $s$ is an index such that the length of a longest edge of $M$ lies in $\left[L_{s-1}, L_{s}\right)$. Imagine that we remove such edges from $M$. Then there are several connected components. For each connected component $\gamma$, we construct a tree $T(\gamma)$ recursively. Then we merge $T(\gamma)$ 's for all connected components $\gamma$ by making a new node and connecting it with the roots of $T(\gamma)$ 's. Then we store the index $s$ at the new node. Also, for the edge between the root of each connected component $\gamma$ and the new node, we store two arbitrary vertices in $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+1}$ contained in $\gamma$. Notice that if a node $v$ of $T$ stores an index $i$, the vertices of $M$ stored in the leaf nodes of the subtree rooted at $v$ are connected in $G_{i}$.

Then for any two points $p$ and $q$, the lowest common ancestor of the leaf nodes of $T$ corresponding to $p$ and $q$ stores the index $s$ such that the length of a longest edge of $\pi_{M}(p, q)$ lies in $\left[L_{s-1}, L_{s}\right)$ by construction. To use this property in our query algorithm, we construct the data structure in $[2,17]$ so that the lowest common ancestor (LCA) of any two nodes of $T$ can be computed in constant time. Also, we construct a level ancestor data structure on $T$ so that the children of the LCA of $p$ and $q$ contained in $\pi_{T}(p, q)$ for any two vertices $p$ and $q$ can be computed in constant time. A level ancestor data structure on an $n$-vertex tree has size of $O(n)$, and it can be constructed in $O(n \log n)$ time [3].

- Lemma 15. For two points of $V$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$, the index stored in the lowest common ancestor of the leaf nodes corresponding to $p$ and $q$ in $T$ is either $i$ or $i-1$.

Proof. We first claim that $p$ and $q$ are connected in $G_{i}$, but not in $G_{i-2}$. Since $d_{G}(p, q) \leq$ $t|p q| \leq L_{i}$, a shortest path in $G$ between $p$ and $q$ consists of edges of length at most $L_{i}$, and thus $p$ and $q$ are connected in $G_{i}$. Also, $p$ and $q$ are not connected in $G_{i-2}$. Otherwise, $d_{G}(p, q) \leq n L_{i-2}<L_{i-1}$, which contradicts $L_{i} / n \leq|p q| \leq d_{G}(p, q)$.

The lowest common ancestor of the leaf nodes corresponding to $p$ and $q$ stores the index $i$ if $p$ and $q$ are not connected in $G_{i-1}$, or stores the index $i-1$ if $p$ and $q$ are connected in $G_{i-1}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Query Algorithm. To compute $\bar{p}$, we first find the lowest common ancestor $v$ of the leaf nodes for $p$ and $q$ in $T$ in constant time, and compute the child $v^{\prime}$ of $v$ in $\pi_{T}(v, p)$, and the child $v^{\prime \prime}$ of $v^{\prime}$ in $\pi_{T}(v, p)$ in constant time using the level ancestor data structure. By Lemma 15, $v$ stores $i$ or $i-1$. If $v$ stores $i-1$, by construction, the edge $v v^{\prime}$ of $T$ stores a vertex in $V_{i}$ which is connected by $p$ in $G_{i-2}$. If $v$ stores $i$, the edge $v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}$ of $T$ stores a vertex in $V_{i}$ connected by $p$ in $G_{i-2}$. Therefore, in any case, we can compute $\bar{p}$ in constant time. Similarly, we can compute $\bar{q}$ in constant time.

- Lemma 16. Given a sequence $\mathcal{L}=\left\langle L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{r}\right\rangle$ of values in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $L_{i} \geq n^{2} L_{i-1}$ for every integer $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq r$, and the length of every edge of $G$ is in $\left[L_{1}, L_{r}\right)$, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ in $O(n \log n)$ time so that given any two query points $p$ and $q$ of $V$ with $|p q| \in\left[L_{i} / n, L_{i} / t\right]$, we can find vertices $\bar{p}$ (and $\bar{q}$ ) of $V_{i}$ connected by $p($ and $q)$ in $G_{i-2}$ in constant time.


### 6.2 Computing Short Edges

In this section, we show how to construct a data structure so that for any two query points $p$ and $q$, and an index $i$, a path in $G_{i-2}$ between $p$ and $q$ can be found in constant time per edge. Here, a path is not necessarily a shortest path.

We use a minimum spanning tree $M$ of $G$. Since $M$ is a tree, we can compute the path in $M$ connecting any two vertices $p$ and $q$ in constant time per edge. To do this, we choose an arbitrary vertex of $M$ as the root of $M$, and construct the data structure in [17] so that the lowest common ancestor of any two vertices of $M$ can be computed in constant time. Using this data structure, we compute the LCA $u$ of $p$ and $q$. Then we traverse $M$ from $p$ towards the root until we encounter $u$. Then from $u$, we traverse the path between $u$ and $p$ in constant time per edge. Due to the level ancestor data structure, we can compute the child of any node $w$ in the path between $w$ and $q$ in constant time, and thus each edge of the path can be computed in constant time. In this way, we can compute the path in $M$ from $p$ to $q$ in constant time per edge.

By Observation 14, the returned path is a path in $G_{i-2}$ assuming that such a path exists.

- Lemma 17. Given a $t$-spanner $G$ for a point set $V$ in d-dimensional Euclidean space, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ in $O(n \log n)$ time so that given any two query points $p$ and $q$ of $V$, and an index $i$, we can compute a path in $G_{i-2}$ between $p$ and $q$ in constant time per edge, assuming such a path exists.


### 6.3 Computing Long Edges

We construct a data structure on $S_{i}$ so that for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $V_{i}$, the bridges of an $\epsilon$-approximate path in $S_{i}$ between $u$ and $v$ can be computed in constant time per bridge. We obtain this data structure by slightly modifying the data structure of [12], which allows us to compute an approximate distance in a $2 L_{i}$-partial $t$-spanner in the case that the Euclidean distance between two query points lies in $\left[L_{i} /(2 n), 2 L_{i}\right)$. In our case, the query points for this data structure are $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$, and their Euclidean distance lies in $\left[L_{i} /(2 n), 2 L_{i}\right)$ by Lemma 12. We give a brief sketch of the data structure. Details can be found in Section 6.3 in the appendices.

Brief sketch of [12]. A key idea is to partition $\left[L_{i} /(2 n), 2 L_{i}\right)$ into $O(\log n)$ subintervals each of the same length. Then a value in a subinterval is larger than the square of any other values in the subinterval. For each interval $I$, they compute a data structure on $S_{i}$ such that, for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $S_{i}$ with $|u v| \in t I$, the $\epsilon$-approximate distance between them can be computed in constant time. Since for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ with $|u v| \in\left[L_{i} /(2 n), 2 L_{i}\right)$, there is a subinterval $I$ such that $|u v| \in t I$. Therefore, they can use the sequence of $O(\log n)$ data structures to answer approximate distance queries.

The data structure constructed for each interval $I$ is a cluster graph, which was introduced by Das et al. [7]. The vertex set of the cluster graph is the vertex set of $S_{i}$, and an edge of the cluster graph is called either an inter-cluster edge, or an intra-cluster edge. If we remove all inter-cluster edges from the cluster graph, it becomes a forest. A inter-cluster edge connects the roots of two trees of the forest. Das et al. [7] showed that if $u v \in t I$, the shortest path in the cluster graph between $u$ and $v$ consists of $O(1)$ inter-cluster edges and the shortest path between $u$ (and $v$ ) and the root of the tree containing $u$ (and $v$ ). Moreover, a vertex is incident to $O(1)$ inter-cluster edges. Also, they showed that the distance in the cluster graph between two points is an $\epsilon$-approximate path between them in $S_{i}$. Using this property, one can compute an $\epsilon$-approximate path in constant time.

Modification. It can be easily modified to support shortest-path queries. As in [12], we compute all inter-cluster edges in the shortest path between $u$ and $v$ in constant time. In the preprocessing phase, we compute for each inter-cluster edge, we store a path in $S_{i}$ connecting the endpoints. Since an intra-cluster edge is an edge of $S_{i}$, we can compute an $\epsilon$-approximate path between any two points in constant time.

Instead of computing all edges of the path, our goal is to compute the bridges in the path in constant time per bridge. To do this, for each intra-cluster edge, we store the pointer pointing to the closest bridge from the edge in the path between the node and the root. Also, instead of storing all edges of a path for each inter-cluster edge, we store the bridges in the path only. Then we can find all bridges in the path between $v$ (and $u$ ) and the root of the tree in constant time per bridge.
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