# **Supplementary Information for** "Observation of plaquette fluctuations in the spin-1/2 honeycomb lattice"

Christian Wessler<sup>1\*</sup>, Bertrand Roessli<sup>1</sup>, Karl W. Krämer<sup>2</sup>, Bernard Delley<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Waldmann<sup>3</sup>, Lukas Keller<sup>1</sup>, Denis Cheptiakov<sup>1</sup>, Hans B. Braun<sup>4,5</sup> & Michel Kenzelmann<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Neutron Scattering and Imaging, Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
<sup>3</sup>Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
<sup>4</sup>Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
<sup>5</sup>Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, Dublin 4, Ireland
\*To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: christian.wessler@psi.ch

### Supplementary Note 1. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

YbBr<sub>3</sub> crystallizes with the BiI<sub>3</sub> layer structure in the rhombohedral space group  $R\bar{3}$  (148) with lattices parameters of a = 6.97179(18) Å and c =19.1037(7) Å at room temperature. The lattice parameters are in good agreement with powder<sup>1</sup> and crystal<sup>2</sup> diffraction data found in literature. The unit cell contains six Yb<sup>3+</sup> ions on site (6c) at (0, 0, z), (0, 0, z) + (2/3, 1/3, 1/3) and (0, 0, z) + (1/3, 2/3, 2/3) with z = 0.1670(2). The Yb ions have C<sub>3</sub> point symmetry and form two-dimensional (2D) honeycomb lattices perpendicular to the *c*-axis, see Fig. 1. Yb<sup>3+</sup> has a distorted octahedral coordination by Br<sup>-</sup> ions which are located on site (18f) at (x, y, z) with x=0.3331(5), y=0.3131(5), and z=0.08336(15). Surprisingly, the distance between Yb<sup>3+</sup>-Br<sup>-</sup> varies by less than 10<sup>-2</sup> Å, however the Br<sup>-</sup>-Yb<sup>3+</sup>-Br<sup>-</sup> bond angles differ significantly between 87.3° and 91.1°. The crystallographic parameters determined on HRPT are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Name	x	У	Z	occ.
Yb1	0	0	0.33289(21)	0.317(3)
Yb2	0	0	0	0.009(0)
Br	0.35362(57)	0.00022(60)	0.08325(15)	1

**Supplementary Table 1**: Structural parameters of  $YbBr_3$  determined on HRPT at room temperature

#### Supplementary Note 2. CRYSTAL ELECTRIC FIELD (CEF)

The electrostatic potential originating from the ions surrounding the  $Yb^{3+}$ ion can be modeled with Stevens operators

$$H_{CEF} = \sum_{l,m} B_l^m O_l^m$$

with  $B_l^m = \gamma_l^m \theta_l$  and  $\theta_l$  the Stevens coefficients. For the  $C_3$  point group symmetry of the Yb site only the parameters  $^3B_2^0, B_4^0, B_4^{\pm 3}, B_6^0, B_6^{\pm 3}, B_6^{\pm 6}$  are non-zero. From the inelastic neutron scattering measurement we determined 3 CEF excitations at  $E_1 = 14.5$  meV,  $E_2 = 25$  meV and  $E_3 = 29$  meV. We first used the susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  for the determination of the CEF Hamiltonian. From a least-square fit to  $\chi_a$  and  $\chi_c$  where a and c denote the crystallographic axis we obtain  $\gamma_2^0 = -5.14 \text{ meV}, \gamma_4^0 = -0.59 \text{ meV}, \gamma_4^{+3} = 57.43 \text{ meV},$  $\gamma_4^{-3} = 51.31 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^0 = 6.09 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^{+3} = 50.21 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^{-3} = 55.56 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^{+6} = 50.21 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^{-3} = 55.56 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^{-1} = 50.21 \text{ meV}, \, \gamma_6^$ = 33.9 meV,  $\gamma_6^{-6}$  = 42.4 meV. In agreement with the Kramers theorem the CEF splits the J = 7/2 multiplet of the Yb<sup>3+</sup> ion into 4 doublets. The calculated CEF-levels are at 15.16 meV, 24.75 meV, and 28.88 meV, respectively. From a subsequent fit of the inelastic neutron data we obtain very similar values,  $\gamma_2^0 = -6.49 \text{ meV}, \ \gamma_4^0 = -0.51 \text{ meV}, \ \gamma_4^{+3} = 58.53 \text{ meV}, \ \gamma_4^{-3} = 52.12 \text{ meV},$  $\gamma_6^0 = 6.01 \text{ meV}, \ \gamma_6^{+3} = 48.11 \text{ meV}, \ \gamma_6^{-3} = 56.30 \text{ meV}, \ \gamma_6^{+6} = 33.21 \text{ meV},$  $\gamma_6^{-6} = 41.12$  meV. We show in Supplementary Fig. 1 a comparison between calculated and observed neutron scattering intensities. We point out that the first excited CEF-level has a double-peak structure in YbBr<sub>3</sub> that is not explained by our model. It also resembles the CEF levels<sup>4</sup> of  $YbCl_3$  and

thus this issue requires further investigation. Nevertheless the CEF-model presented here provides an adequate description of the temperature dependence of the static susceptibility. In addition we performed a point charge calculation based on the program multiX.<sup>5</sup> In agreement with the susceptibility measurements, calculations show that at high temperatures anisotropy is small in YbBr<sub>3</sub> with easy-plane anisotropy developing below T = 50 K. At T = 4 K, we obtain  $\chi_a \approx 1.3\chi_c$ .



Supplementary Fig.  $1 | Yb^{3+}$  crystal electric field in YbBr<sub>3</sub>. Crystal electric field (CEF) excitations measured by inelastic neutron scattering. The solid line is the calculated intensity. Error bars are standard deviations.

### Supplementary Note 3. MAGNETIC EXCITATIONS

Because of the large separation between the ground-state and the first CEF doublet, the magnetic properties of YbBr<sub>3</sub> can be approximated by a spin S = 1/2. Choosing a local coordinate frame with the  $\zeta$ -axis oriented along a given spin direction, the non-zero elements of the single-ion susceptibility matrix are  $\chi_0^{\xi\xi}(\omega) = \chi_0^{\eta\eta}(\omega)$  and  $\chi_0^{\xi\eta}(\omega) = -\chi_0^{\eta\xi}(\omega)$  which correspond to excitations transverse to the (local) spin direction. Within mean-field approximation,

$$\chi_0^{\xi\xi}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 - (\omega + i\epsilon)^2} \tag{1}$$

$$\chi_0^{\xi\eta}(\omega) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\omega + i\epsilon}{\Delta^2 - (\omega + i\epsilon)^2},\tag{2}$$

with  $\Delta \equiv \Delta_i = -\langle S_{\zeta} \rangle \sum_j \mathcal{J}_{\zeta\zeta}(i,j)$  the local field acting on a given Yb moment with  $\mathcal{J}$  defined in Eq. (1) and  $\epsilon$  the finite line width of the excitations. Within linear spin-wave theory, the dipole-dipole interactions induce a gap in the spin-wave dispersion.<sup>9,10</sup> With a Yb magnetic moment of 2  $\mu_{\rm B}$ , the dipolar interactions produce a spin gap at the zone center ~ 200  $\mu$ eV. The easy-plane anisotropy favors alignment of the spins in the hexagonal plane. The spin gap opened by  $H_{\rm dip}$  is reduced by the easy-plane anisotropy. At  $g_{\rm crit} \sim 0.985$  the spin gap is minimal and below that value the spins rotate into the basal plane, see Supplementary Fig. 2. The easy-plane anisotropy lifts the degeneracy of the spin wave branches at the zone center and the splitting increases with increasing anisotropy.



Supplementary Fig. 2 | Dependence of the energy gap as a function of easy-plane anisotropy. Above  $g_{zz}/g_{xx} = 0.985 = g_{crit}$ , the calculated branches  $\omega_1(q)$  and  $\omega_2(q)$  are degenerate while for  $g_{zz}/g_{xx} < g_{crit}$  the two spin-wave branches split. All points are calculated with a precision of ~ 0.005 meV. The magnetic configurations shown in the figure correspond to a Néel antiferromagnet with spins aligned along the c-axis for  $g_{zz}/g_{xx} > 0.985$  and in the hexagonal plane for  $g_{zz}/g_{xx} < 0.985$ .

We have also simulated the two-magnon scattering expected for a Heisenberg Hamiltonian<sup>11</sup> as shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. for the the (1,0,0) Brlllouin zone. Our calculations show a broad continuum extending up to twice the maximum of the spin wave excitations. In contrast to our observations, the two-magnon continuum is present in the complete Brillouin zone and is strongest close to the zone center.



Supplementary Fig. 3 | Calculated two-magnon cross-section for a Heisenberg Hamiltonian. An arbitrary cutoff is introduced in the dispersion of the spin-waves to avoid divergence of the two-magnon cross-section at the zone center.

#### Supplementary Note 4. MAGNETIC GROUND-STATE

In mean field theory, the classical ground-state is given by the eigenvectors of the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (**q**) of the Fourier transform of the interaction matrix  $\overline{\overline{M}}(\mathbf{q})$ .<sup>6–8</sup> Based on the Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm h} + H_{\rm dip}$ ,  $\lambda$  (**q**) has a maximum at  $\mathbf{Q}_0 = (0,0,0)$  which agrees with the diffuse scattering observed in YbBr<sub>3</sub> (see Fig. 2a). We find that the dipolar energy becomes independent of the distance between the Yb-planes for a lattice parameter c > 12 Å, which shows that the 2D limit is reached in  $YbBr_3$  and inter-layer interactions can be neglected.

#### Supplementary Note 5. MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY

The temperature dependence of the static susceptibility  $\chi$  is shown in Fig. 1c for magnetic field orientations in-plane (*a*-axis) and out-of-plane (*c*-axis).  $\chi T$  values (not shown) increase with temperature and do not saturate up to 300 K. The values at 300 K are 2.282 and 2.687 cm<sup>3</sup>K/mol along the aand c-axes, respectively. The average of 2.417 cm<sup>3</sup>K/mol is slightly below the expectation value of 2.572 cm<sup>3</sup>K/mol for the  ${}^{2}F_{7/2}$  ground-state of Yb<sup>3+</sup>. At lower temperature a maximum in the  $\chi$  versus T curves is observed at T = 2.75 K. We have calculated the temperature dependence of the static susceptibility for an Yb<sub>6</sub> honeycomb with the exchange parameters determined from the spin-wave analysis and easy-plane anisotropy parameters  $g_a/g_c = 1.25$ . We find that the susceptibility has a broad maximum around  $T \simeq 4$  K and reproduces the experimental  $\chi$  (T) above 5 K well, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 4.



Supplementary Fig. 4 | Calculated susceptibility for a Yb<sub>6</sub> hexamer. The measured low-temperature magnetic susceptibility is shown together with the calculation (solid lines) for a single plaquette with S = 1/2, and the Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm h} + H_{\rm dip}$  of the main text. Error bars are standard deviations.

## **Supplementary References**

- Meyer, G. Private communication to powder diffraction data base (PDF2), no. [42-0968] (1990).
- Brenner, M. Kinetische Studien zu Phasenumwandlungen zwischen polymorphen Formen von YbBr<sub>2</sub> sowie die Bestimmung der Kristallstruktur von YbBr<sub>3</sub>. Dissertation Universität Karlsruhe (1997).
- Bauer, E. & Rotter, M. Magnetism of complex metallic alloys: crystalline electric field effects. in *Series on Complex Metallic Alloys* Vol. 2, ed. E. Belin-Ferr, World Scientific (2009).
- Sala, G. *et al.* Crystal field splitting local anisotropy, and low-energy excitations in the quantum magnet YbCl<sub>3</sub>. *Phys. Rev.* B **100**, 180406(R) (2019).
- Uldry, A., Vernay, F. & Delley, B. Systematic computation of crystalfield multiplets for x-ray core spectroscopies. *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 125133 (2012).
- Reimers, J.N. Diffuse-magnetic-scattering calculations for frustrated antiferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. B* 46, 193-202 (1992).
- Kadowaki, H., Ishii, Y., Matsuhira, K. & Hinatsu, Y. Neutron scattering study of dipolar spin ice Ho<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: Frustrated pyrochlore magnet. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 144421 (2002).

- Enjalran, M. & Gingras, M.J.P. Theory of paramagnetic scattering in highly frustrated magnets with long-range dipole-dipole interactions: The case of the Tb<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> pyrochlore antiferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 174426 (2004).
- Pich, C. & Schwabl, F. Order of two-dimensional isotropic dipolar antiferromagnets. *Phys. Rev.* B 47, 7957-7960 (1993).
- Pich, C. & Schwabl, F. Spin-wave dynamics of two-dimensional isotropic dipolar honeycomb antiferromagnets. *JMMM* 148, 30-31 (1995).
- Heilmann, I.U. *et al.* One- and two-magnon excitations in onedimensional antiferromagnet in a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B* 24, 3939-3953 (1981).