STEM CELLS AND DEVELOPMENT Volume 21, Number 12, 2012 © Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/scd.2011.0607

# *Helios* Transcription Factor Expression Depends on *Gsx2* and *Dlx1&2* Function in Developing Striatal Matrix Neurons

Raquel Martín-Ibáñez,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Empar Crespo,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Miriam Esgleas,<sup>1,2</sup> Noelia Urban,<sup>1,2,\*\*</sup> Bei Wang,<sup>3</sup> Ronald Waclaw,<sup>4</sup> Katia Georgopoulos,<sup>5</sup> Salvador Martínez,<sup>6</sup> Kenneth Campbell,<sup>4</sup> Carlos Vicario-Abejón,<sup>2,7</sup> Jordi Alberch,<sup>1,2</sup> Susan Chan,<sup>8</sup> Philippe Kastner,<sup>8</sup> John L. Rubenstein,<sup>3</sup> and Josep M. Canals<sup>1,2</sup>

Development of the nervous system is finely regulated by consecutive expression of cell-specific transcription factors. Here we show that *Helios*, a member of the *lkaros* transcription factor family, is expressed in ectodermal and neuroectodermal-derived tissues. During embryonic development, Helios is expressed by several brain structures including the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE, the striatal anlage); the cingulated, insular and retrosplenial cortex; the hippocampus; and the accessory olfactory bulb. Moreover, *Helios* is also expressed by Purkinje neurons during postnatal cerebellar development. Within the LGE, Helios expression follows a dynamic spatio-temporal pattern starting at embryonic stages (E14.5), peaking at E18.5, and completely disappearing during postnatal development. Helios is expressed by a small population of nestin-positive neural progenitor cells located in the subventricular zone as well as by a larger population of immature neurons distributed throughout the mantle zone. In the later, Helios is preferentially expressed in the matrix compartment, where it colocalizes with *Bcl11b* and *Foxp1*, well-known markers of striatal projection neurons. In addition, we observed that *Helios* expression is not detected in *Dlx1/2* and *Gsx2* null mutants, while its expression is maintained in *Ascl1* mutants. These findings allow us to introduce a new transcription factor in the cascade of events that take part of striatal development postulating the existence of at least 4 different neural progenitors in the LGE. An Ascl1independent but Gsx2- & Dlx1/2-dependent precursor will express Helios defining a new lineage for a subset of matrix striatal neurons.

# Introduction

DURING EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT, neural lineages are derived from neural progenitor cells (NPCs) localized in specific brain areas, which consecutively generate both neurons and glial cells [1,2,3]. Within the developing telencephalon, neural stem cells from the wall of the lateral ventricle, the ventricular zone (VZ) [4], undergo successive divisions to expand the pool of NPCs, thereby increasing the volume of the subventricular zone (SVZ). A number of signaling pathways controlled by different factors, such as Notch, Wnt, or FGFs, have been implicated in the self-renewal, proliferation and/or maintenance of the undifferentiated state of NPCs [5]. At certain developmental stages depending on the telencephalic area, NPCs divide for the last time and become specific immature neurons that migrate to postmitotic regions [4,6,7]. Multiple transcription factors finely regulate this neurogenic process [8,9].

<sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas, Spain.

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Cell Biology, Immunology and Neuroscience, and Cell Therapy Program, Faculty of Medicine, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Nina Ireland Laboratory of Developmental Neurobiology, Department of Psychiatry, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Division of Developmental Biology, Children's Hospital Research Foundation, Cincinnati, Ohio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Cutaneous Biology Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, Massachusetts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Alicante Neuroscience Institute, Miguel Hernandez University, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), San Juan de Alicante, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Departamento de Neurobiología Molecular, Celular y del Desarrollo, Instituto Cajal, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Madrid, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Cancer Biology, Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (INSERM), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Illkirch, France.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Present affiliation: Department of Molecular Neurobiology, National Institute for Medical Research, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London, United Kingdom.

The striatum is one of the main telencephalic constituents of the basal ganglia; its embryonic anlage is the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE) [10,11]. The progenitor zone of the LGE is the germinal zone (GZ) a source of striatal projection neurons and olfactory bulb interneurons [10,12,13]. Within the LGE, separate waves of neurogenesis are coupled to the production of different compartments known as the patches, or striosomes, and the matrix [14]. Neurogenesis between E12 and E13 produces clusters of substance P (SP)-expressing neurons that form the patches or striosomal compartment. The second wave of neurogenesis taking place at E14–E16 in mice will give rise to the matrix compartment, which contains both enkephalin (ENK)- and SP-positive neurons [15,16].

Several transcription factors such as *Gsx1 & 2* (formerly named *Gsh1 & 2*), *Ascl1* (formerly named *Mash1*) and *Dlx*-family members have distinct but overlapping patterns of expression in the VZ, SVZ, and mantle zone (MZ), and regulate distinct steps in LGE patterning and/or differentiation [17,18,19,20]. In addition to these transcription factors, *Ebf-1, Bcl11b* (formerly named *Ctip2*) and *lkaros* are exclusively expressed in the striatal MZ where they regulate differentiation of striatal projection neurons [21,22,23,24].

Ikaros-family members are a Krüppel-type zing-finger transcription factors that play essential roles during lymphocyte development [25,26]. Ikaros is the founder member of this small family of DNA-binding proteins which consists of Ikaros, Helios, Aiolos, Eos, and Pegasus [27,28]. Besides the well characterized role of this family of transcription factors during hematopoietic development, Ikaros has also been recently involved in LGE development [24,29]. However, little is known about the expression of Helios in the central nervous system (CNS). In this work on the developing mouse, we present the first description of Helios expression during brain development. We focused on its transient expression in the developing striatum, providing evidence that Helios is a marker of a subpopulation of immature matrix striatal neurons. Further, we defined Helios' expression in several transcription factor mutants, thereby placing it within the known transcriptional hierarchy that drives striatal development.

## **Material and Methods**

### Animal Subjects

Mice were maintained in standard conditions with food and water ad libitum. All animal procedures were approved by local committees [Universitat de Barcelona, CEEA (133/ 10) and Generalitat de Catalunya (DAAM 5712)], in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EU). B6CBA wild-type (wt) mice (from Charles River Laboratories, Les Oncins, France), *Dlx-5/6-Cre-IRES-EGFP* transgenic mice [12], and *Ikaros* [30], *Gsx2* [31], *Ascl1* [32], *Dlx-1/2* [33], *Helios* [34], and *Ebf-1* [35] knockout mice (<sup>-/-</sup>) were used. E18.5 *Dlx5/6-cre-IRES-EGFP/gtROSA* double transgenic brains were obtained by crossing heterozygous B6;129-Gtrosa26<sup>tm1Sho</sup>(*gtROSA*) mice [36] with hemizygous *Dlx5/6-cre-IRES-EGFP* mice.

All strains were maintained by backcrossing to C57BL/6 mice. Genotypes were determined by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as described elsewhere [12,30,31,32,33,34,35]. The day of pregnancy, determined by the first detection of a

vaginal sperm plug in daily inspection, was considered embryonic day (E)0.5.

#### Histology

All immunostainings were performed with the following antibodies: anti-Helios (1:1,000; polyclonal; a generous gift of Dr. Stephen T. Smale (University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) School of Medicine [37]), anti-Helios (1:50; polyclonal, Santa Cruz), anti-Ikaros (1:2,000; monoclonal; [24]), anti-nestin (Rat 401; 1:50; polyclonal; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; The University of Iowa), anti-GFAP (1:200; monoclonal; Sigma Chemical Co.), anti-β-IIItubulin (Tuj1; 1:200; monoclonal; Sigma Chemical Co.), anti-MAP2 (1:200; monoclonal; Sternberger Monoclonals), anti-NeuN (1:100; monoclonal; Millipore), anti-dopamineand cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa (DARPP-32; 1:500; polyclonal; Chemicon International, Inc.), anti-Ctip2 (1:200; polyclonal; Abcam), anti-calbindin (1:1000; monoclonal; Sigma Chemical Co.) and anti-Foxp-1 (1:200; polyclonal; Abcam).

For histological preparations, brains were removed at specific developmental stages and frozen in dry-ice cooled isopentane. Serial coronal cryostat sections (14 µm) were cut on a cryostat and collected on silane-coated slides and frozen at -20°C. Fluorescent immunolabeling was performed according to the protocol described in [38]. Briefly, tissue sections were blocked for 1 h in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) to avoid unspecific binding. Thereafter, they were incubated overnight at 4°C in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA with the corresponding primary antibodies. After three PBS washes, sections were incubated for 2h at room temperature with the following secondary antibodies: Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:500) or Cy2-conjugated donkey antimouse or Cy3 donkey anti goat (1:200; all from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories Inc.). At the end, tissue sections were counterstained with 4,6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma Chemical Co.) and mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem). Fluorescent photomicrographs were taken on a Leica TCS SL laser scanning confocal spectral microscope (Leica Microsystems Heidelberg GmbH). All pictures acquisitions were done as tiff files and adjustments of brightness and contrast were done with Adobe Photoshop 6.0 program (Adobe Systems Incorporated). No signal was detected in control preparations from which the primary antibody was omitted.

Nonisotopic in situ hybridization was performed using a riboprobe for *Helios* gene (Gene accession No. NM\_011770). To obtain the riboprobe, an EcoRI digested fragment of the MSCV plasmid encoding *Helios* (kindly provided by Dr. Christopher A. Klug, University of Alabama at Birmingham [39]) was cloned into Bluescript SK II vector (Stratagene). To obtain the antisense probe, the plasmid was linearized with SacI, and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase using the Digoxigenin labeling kit (Roche). Digoxigenin labeled sense probe, linearized with KpnI and transcribed with T3 RNA polymerase, was used as a control. In brief, brains were removed at E18.5 and frozen in dry-ice cooled isopentane. Serial coronal cryostate sections (14  $\mu$ m) were cut on a cryostat, collected on silane-coated slides, and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Frozen tissue sections were air

dried, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at 4°C, and processed for in situ hybridization as described elsewhere [40].

#### Production of viral particles and cell transduction

To overexpress *Helios*, we used the pLV-*Helios*-IRES-EGFP plasmid or the pLV-IRES-eGFP plasmid, which encode for human *Helios* and the green fluorescent protein (eGFP) or for eGFP alone, respectively. The pLV-IRES eGFP plasmid was generated as described elsewhere [41]. Briefly, MCS-IRES-eGFP was cloned from the PRV-IRES-eGFP (Genetrix SL, Tres Cantos) using the *Bam*HI and the *Sal*I restriction sites into the pRRLsinPPT plasmid (pRRL) constructed by the Miami Project to Cure Paralysis Viral Vector Core Lab based on the lentiviral transducing plasmid developed by Naldini et al. [42]. To construct the pLV-*Helios*-IRES-eGFP, the human *Helios* gene from the SPORT6-*Helios* plasmid (Invitrogen S.A.) was cloned into pLV-IRES-eGFP between *Bam*HI and *Xho*I sites.

To overexpress *Gsx2*, the human *Gsx2* gene from the pcDNA-h*Gsx2* plasmid kindly provided by Dr. Peter Marynen (Université de Leuven, Belgium) was PCR-cloned into the retroviral vector pRV-IRES-eGFP using the MCS *Bam*HI and XhoI sites as described elsewhere [41].

For retroviral or lentiviral production, 293T cells were plated at a density of approximately  $6 \times 10^4$  cells per cm<sup>2</sup>. The following day, cells were transfected by either a 3-plasmid system (pRV-Gsx2-IRES-eGFP or pRV-IRES-eGFP plasmid, the plasmid that expresses gag and pol genes, and the plasmid that expresses vesicular stomatitis virus G) or a 4-plasmid system (pLV-IRES-eGFP or pLV-Helios-IRES-eGFP plasmid, the plasmid that expresses HIV-1 Rev, the plasmid that expresses gag and pol genes, and the plasmid that expresses vesicular stomatitis virus G) using the calcium phosphate/ DNA coprecipitation method. Cells were transfected for 7 h and afterwards the medium was replaced with fresh one. The supernatant from vector-producing 293T cells was collected every 22 h during 3 days. Then, the supernatant was passed through a 0.45-µm-pore-size filter to remove producer cells and subjected to 2 centrifugations at 4°C and 25000 g for 120 min to concentrate the virus. The virus-containing pellet was dissolved in BSA 1%. To determine the viral titer, a total of  $2 \times 10^5$  3T3 or 293T cells were inoculated with serial dilutions of concentrated retrovirus or lentivirus. Forty-eight hours after infection, eGFP titers (IU/mL) were determined by using a fluorescence-activated cell scanner (FACS).

#### Neurosphere assay and overexpression

LGE from E14.5 wt mice were dissected out and mechanically disaggregated as previously described [24]. Briefly, LGE-derived neurosphere cultures were obtained by seeding 50,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in basal medium [Dubelcco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Sigma Chemical Co.):F12 (Invitrogen S.A.) (1:1), 0.3% glucose (Sigma Chemical Co.), 0.3 mg/mL glutamine (Invitrogen S.A.), 5 mM HEPES (Invitrogen S.A.), 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen S.A.), 4 µg/mL heparin (Sigma Chemical Co.), 4 mg/mL BSA (Sigma Chemical Co.), 1×N2 supplement (Invitrogen S.A.)], supplemented with 20 ng/mL fibroblast growth factor (Sigma Chemical Co.) and 10 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (Invitrogen S.A.). Every 5 days, neurospheres were collected, dissociated by pipetting approximately 40 times with a P100 micropipette, and plated down in fresh media at a density of 10,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup>.

To overexpress mouse *Dlx-2*, we used the pCAGGS-*Dlx-2* vector as described previously Studhmer and colleagues [43]. To this end, neurospheres were disaggregated mechanically and  $5 \times 10^6$  cells were transfected by nucleofection following the manufacturer's protocol (A33 Nucleofector Program; Amaxa Biosystems). Viable cells were counted by trypan blue exclusion and plated down at a cell density of 50,000 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>.

To overexpress *Helios* or *Gsx2*, neurospheres were transduced with the pLV-*Helios*-IRES-eGFP or the pRV-*Gsx2*-IRES-eGFP lentivirus, or the control pLV-IRES-eGFP as described elsewhere [41].

Cell pellets were collected 3 or 5 days after transfection or transduction and frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for mRNA analysis.

#### Q-PCR assay

Gene expression was evaluated by quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) assays as previously described by Martin-Ibanez and coworkers [44]. The following TaqMan<sup>®</sup> Gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) were used: 18s, Hs99999901\_s1; *Helios*, Mm00496108\_m1; vimentin, Mm00449208\_m1; nestin, Mm00450205\_m1; β-tubulin III, Mm00727586\_s1; GFAP, Mm00546086\_m1; olig1, Mm00497537\_s1; olig2, Mm01210556\_m1; *Dlx2*, Mm00438427\_m1; and *Gsx2*, Mm446650\_m1. To provide negative controls and exclude contamination by genomic DNA, the reverse transcriptase was omitted in the cDNA synthesis step, and the samples were subjected to the PCR reaction with each TaqMan<sup>®</sup> gene expression assay.

Analysis and quantification was performed with the Comparative Quantitation Analysis program of the MxPro<sup>TM</sup> Q-PCR analysis software version 3.0 (Stratagene), using the 18S gene expression as internal loading control. All Q-PCR assays were performed in duplicate and repeated for at least three independent experiments. The results were expressed as relative levels with respect to the expression of the same gene in E14.5 for developmental studies or the control vector overexpressing cells considered as 100%.

#### Statistical analysis

All results are expressed as the mean of independent experiments  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Results were analyzed using the Student's *t*-test or one-way analysis of variance, followed by the Bonferroni post-hoc test.

#### Results

# Helios is expressed in specific brain and sensitive areas during mouse development

To study the expression and distribution of *Helios* during mouse brain development, we performed immunohistochemistry analysis at different developmental stages. At E16.5, *Helios* was expressed in the granule cell layer of the accessory olfactory bulb (Fig. 1A), the LGE, the cingulated (Fig. 1B), insular (Fig. 1B), and retrosplenial (Fig. 1C) cortices, and hippocampal CA1/2 (Fig. 1C). At early postnatal ages, *Helios* expression was also observed in the Purkinje cell layer of the cerebellum (Fig. 1D), while its expression decreased in



**FIG. 1.** *Helios* is expressed in specific brain areas during central nervous system development. *Helios* immunohistochemistry was performed in mouse brain coronal sections at E16.5 and P15. Representative fluorescent photomicrographs corresponding to anterior and posterior sections at E16.5 show *Helios* expression in: **(A)** the granular layer of accessory olfactory bulb from the anterior (*A1*, *A2*; note that A2 is a high magnification of A1) to the posterior axis (*A3*, *A4*; A4 represents the inset in A3); **(B)** the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE), the cingulate (Cing) and insular (Ins) cortex; and **(C)** the retrosplenial cortex (Rs) and CA1/2 layer (CA1/2) of the hippocampus (*C1*, *C2*; C2 represents the inset in C1). **(D)** *Helios* is expressed by Purkinje neurons in the cerebellum at P15 (*D1*; *D2* represents the inset in D1). Scale bars: (A) 1 mm; and (C, D) 2 mm.

the above-mentioned brain areas. In the adult brain, *Helios* expression was not detected (data not shown).

*Helios* is also expressed outside of the CNS during development (Supplementary Fig. S1; Supplementary materials are available online at http://www.liebertpub.com/). At E16.5, *Helios* expression was detected in the nasal and oral cavities as well as in the optic vesicles. Within the nasal cavity, this zinc finger transcription factor was detected in the epithelial tissue (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Similarly, in the oral cavity, *Helios* was also detected in the epithelium of the palate (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Both epithelia showed *Helios* expression located in the intermediate layers but not in basal cells, which constitute the stem cells of the epithelium. In addition, *Helios* was also detected in the taste buds of the tongue (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Within the optic vesicles, this transcription factor was detected in the neuro-epithelial layers such as the pigmented epithelium and the neuronal layer of the retina (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

# Helios shows a dynamic expression pattern during LGE development

Here we focused our study on *Helios* expression in the LGE and its derivative the striatum, because of the role of other Ikaros-family members in striatal development [29,24]. Immunohistochemistry studies performed at different LGE developmental stages showed that *Helios* expression was initially detected at E14.5; its levels increased at later stages, peaking between E18.5 and P3 (Fig. 2A). Subsequently, the



FIG. 2. Helios presents a dynamic spatio-temporal pattern of expression during LGE and striatal development. (A) Helios immunohistochemistry was performed in LGE coronal sections at different stages of embryonic (E14.5, E16.5, and E18.5) and postnatal (P3, P7, and P15) development. Helios is initially expressed at the ventro-lateral area of E14.5 mantle zone (MZ LGE and progressively spreads to the dorsomedial area during development. The expression of Helios is reduced at later postnatal stages and only a few positive cells can be observed at P15. (B) Quantification of Helios mRNA levels by Q-PCR during LGE development showing a peak of expression at E18.5. Results are expressed as the mean of 3 to 5 LGE samples and error bars represents the standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was calculated by Student's *t*-test; \*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.005 relative to E14.5 expression. Scale bars: (A) 500 µm. (n.d., not detected).

levels of expression decreased; after P15 expression was not detectable (Fig. 2A). These results were confirmed by Q-PCR (Fig. 2B). We did not detect *Helios* expression in the medial ganglionic eminence, the source of striatal interneurons (Supplementary Fig. S2).

The spatial distribution of *Helios* expression in the LGE and striatum showed 2 interesting findings. First, the pattern of *Helios* expression in the striatum changed over time, starting at E14.5 in the ventrolateral area and moving to the dorsomedial region at later stages (Fig. 2A). Secondly, we observed that this transcription factor was detected in 2 different cell populations. During embryonic development, *Helios* was expressed by a small population of cells in the SVZ (Fig. 3A and B). Within this germinal area, *Helios* colo-

calized with nestin-positive NPCs (Fig 3C). In addition, *Helios* was expressed by a larger population of cells located in the MZ at both embryonic and postnatal stages. However, in this area *Helios*-expressing cells were nestin-negative but MAP2-positive differentiating neurons.

The striatum is compartmentalized into separate matrix and striosomal (or patch) domains, which can be defined by specific markers [45]. The striosomal compartment is positive for DARPP-32 at late embryonic and early postnatal stages. During this period, *Helios* expressing cells appeared to be DARPP-32-negative (Fig. 4A). This finding is in agreement with the lack of colocalization observed at P3 between *Helios* and NeuN, showing the later a patchy distribution as well (Fig. 4B). These results suggested that *Helios* is expressed by

# 2244

# MARTÍN-IBÁÑEZ ET AL.

FIG. 3. Helios is expressed by nestin-positive cells in the SVZ and MAP2-positive cells in the MZ of the LGE. Double immunohistochemistry was performed in mouse brain coronal sections at E16.5 for Helios and β-III-tubulin (Tuj-1) (A), MAP2 (B), and nestin (C). (A, B). Note the expression of Helios in 2 different cell populations: a small one located in the Tuj-1- and MAP2-negative SVŹ of the LGE that is spread through the ventral and dorsal part (A-B), and a larger one distributed along the MZ where it colocalizes with the neuronal marker MAP2 (B). (C) Representative high-magnifiphotomicrograph cation showing double immunohistochemistry for nestin and Helios both at the SVZ and MZ. Colocalization of both markers is observed in the SVZ of the LGE. Z-analysis shows the colocalization of Helios positive nuclei (arrows) surrounded by nestinpositive intermediate filaments. VZ, ventricular zone; SVZ, subventricular zone; MZ, mantle zone (delimited by dotted area). Scale bars: (B) 150 µm; (C) 100 µm.



matrix cells. This assumption was confirmed by the colocalization of *Helios* with *Bcl11b* (Fig. 4D) and *Foxp1* (Fig. 4E), 2 transcription factors mainly expressed by matrix cells [22,46]. Interestingly, we did observe double staining for *Helios* and NeuN at P7, a developmental stage at which the mature neuronal marker NeuN is spread all over the LGE (Fig. 4C). However, the cells that express high levels of *Helios* still lack NeuN expression, while low *Helios*-expressing cells are double positive for both markers (Fig. 4C). This dynamic expression of NeuN indicated a progressive maturation of striatal neurons that is in agreement with previous papers demonstrating the early maturation of neurons located in the patches [14].



FIG. 4. *Helios* is expressed by neuronal precursors located in the striatal matrix compartment. Double immunohistochemistry was performed in mouse brain coronal sections at several embryonic and postnatal stages for *Helios* and striatal neuronal markers such as: DARPP-32 (A), NeuN (B, C), *Bcl11b* (*Ctip2*) (D), and *Foxp1* (E). Representative photomicrographs show that *Helios* is not expressed in the striosomal compartment, since it has a complementary distribution with DARPP-32, a striosomal marker at this stage (*A1*, *A2*; note that A2 is a high magnification of A1). At P3, *Helios* does not colocalize with NeuN, a marker of mature neurons that at this stage presents a striosomal distribution (*B1*, *B2*; note that B2 is a high magnification of B1). However, both markers are coincident in some cells at P7, developmental stage at which NeuN is staining most of the striatal neurons (*C1*, *C2*; note that C2 is a high magnification of C1). High magnification picture shows that the cells that express high levels of *Helios* are negative or express low levels of NeuN (*open arrows*), while low *Helios*expressing cells are positive for both markers (*closed arrows*) (*C1*). In addition, *Helios* is expressed by *Bcl11b* (*Ctip2*) (D) and *Foxp1*-positive neurons (E), two markers of striatal projection neurons. Scale bars: (A1, B1) 500 µm; (A2, B2, C, D, E) 100 µm.



**FIG. 5.** *Helios* is expressed by a specific neuronal precursor downstream of *Gsx2* and *Dlx1/2* during LGE development. Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization analysis of *Helios* expression was performed in several knockout animals for transcription factors involved in striatal projection neurons development. *Gsx2* knock-out mice ( $Gsx2^{EGFP/EGFP}$ ) show a complete lack of *Helios* expression (**A**). In contrast, normal *Helios* protein expression was detected in *Ascl1<sup>-/-</sup>* mice (**B**). In situ hybridization analysis for *Helios* mRNA in wt and  $Dlx-1/2^{-/-}$  mice show that the expression of this transcription factor is lost in the LGE but conserved in cortical areas at E18.5 (**C**). Double immunohistochemistry for *Helios* and eGFP performed in recombined *Dlx-5/6-Cre-IRES-GFP/gtROSA* (Dlx5/6-GFP) mice shows that *Helios* does not colocalize with eGFP positive cells at E18.5 (**D**). In addition, *Helios* immunohistochemistry performed in wt and *Ebf-1<sup>-/-</sup>* mice at E18.5, shows that its expression is detected in *Ebf-1<sup>-/-</sup>* mice although its distribution changes due to the LGE alterations described in these mice (**E**). Similarly, *Helios* protein expression is also preserved in *Ikaros<sup>-/-</sup>* mice (IK<sup>-/-</sup>) at E18.5 (**F**). Over-expression of *Gsx2* (**G**) or *Dlx2* (**H**) in neurosphere cultures does not affect *Helios* expression levels 3 days after transduction or transfection, suggesting that this transcription factor is not directly regulated by *Gsx2* or *Dlx2*. Results are expressed as the mean of 4 to 5 neurosphere cultures and error bars represent the s.e.m. Statistical analysis was calculated by Student's *t*-test; \*\*\*\*p <0.001 relative to neurospheres transduced or transfected with the respective control vectors. Scale bars: (A, B) 500 µm; (D) 150 µm; (E) and (F) 500 µm.

# Helios is expressed by a specific type of neuronal precursor in the LGE

The germinal zone of the LGE is the main source of striatal projection neurons. In order to study the relationship between Helios and other transcription factors involved in the development of these neurons we analyzed the expression of this transcription factor in knockout mice for Gsx2, Ascl1, Dlx-1/2, Ebf1, and Ikaros (Fig. 5). Our results showed that Heliospositive cells completely disappeared in Gsx2 knockout mice (Fig. 5A). However, *Helios* was normally expressed in Ascl1<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 5B). On the other hand,  $Dlx-1/2^{-7-}$  mice lacked detectable Helios expression in the LGE, although its expression was not affected in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 5C). These findings indicated that Helios is expressed downstream of Gsx2 and Dlx1/2 specifically in the LGE. However, we demonstrated that Helios is not directly regulated by Gsx2 or Dlx2 since overexpression of these transcription factors in neurosphere cultures did not modify *Helios* expression (Fig. 5G and H).

*Helios* expression levels appeared unchanged in *Ebf*- $1^{-/-}$  (Fig. 5E) and *Ikaros*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 5F), although there was a change in the distribution of *Helios*-expressing cells in both mutants (Fig. 5E and F), probably reflecting striatal phenotypes that have been previously described [24,47].

Interestingly, the analysis of *Helios* expression in recombinant *Dlx-5/6-Cre-IRES-GFP/gtROSA* transgenic mice showed a lack of colocalization with GFP-positive cells



**FIG. 6.** *Helios* and *lkaros* are not expressed in the same LGE cells at E18.5. Double immunohistochemistry for *Helios* and *lkaros* performed in the LGE at E18.5 shows a lack of coincidence between these two transcription factors **(A)**. Note that *Helios* is expressed in the SVZ and MZ whereas *lkaros* is only expressed in the MZ **(A)**. Immunohistochemistry for *lkaros* in wt and *Helios<sup>-/-</sup>* mice (He<sup>-/-</sup>) demonstrate no changes in *lkaros* expression in the absence of *Helios* **(B)**. Scale bars: **(A)** 400 µm; **(B)** 500 µm.

(Fig. 5D). Thus, *Helios*-positive cells appear to be in distinct immature striatal neurons, or alternatively, that it could be expressed upstream of *Dlx-5/6*.

Dimerization could be a prerequisite for DNA binding and function of all *lkaros*-family members. Like *Aiolos* and *lkaros*, *Helios* can dimerize with itself as well as with other family members [48]. To further analyze the relation between *lkaros*-family members within the LGE, double immunohisto-chemistry for *Helios* and *lkaros* was performed at E18.5. A lack of coincidence between these 2 transcription factors is shown in Fig. 6A. The same result was obtained at E14.5 and E16.5 (data not shown). In addition, the expression of *lkaros* was not affected in *Helios* knockout mice (Fig. 6B), indicating a parallel or independent expression of these two transcription factors in different neuronal precursors.

# Helios induces the expression of the neuronal marker $\beta$ -III-tubulin in neurosphere cultures

All previous results suggested that *Helios* might participate in striatal development as a neurogenic factor. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed *Helios* in neurosphere cultures, and 5 days later we tested the expression of several neural markers including vimentin, nestin,  $\beta$ -III-tubulin, GFAP, Olig1, and 2. Our results showed that *Helios* overexpression increased the levels of the neuronal marker  $\beta$ -III-tubulin without affecting the expression of precursors or glial markers (Fig. 7).

Present findings point to the hypothesis that *Helios* is a transcription factor expressed by a specific neuronal precursor in the LGE during striatal development defining a new lineage that might contribute to the generation of a matrix striatal neuron subpopulation (Fig. 8).

### Discussion

We demonstrate for the first time that *Helios* is expressed in specific ectodermal and neuroectodermal-derived tissues. One of these areas is the LGE, the striatum anlage, where we



**FIG. 7.** *Helios* over-expression increases the levels of the neuronal marker  $\beta$ -III-tubulin. Over-expression of *Helios* in neurosphere cultures for 5 days induces an increase in the levels of the neuronal marker  $\beta$ -III-tubulin (Tuj-1). However, the expression of markers for neural precursors, vimentin and nestin, or glial cells, GFAP and Olig 1 and 2, are not modified after *Helios* over-expression in the neurosphere culture. Results are expressed as the mean of 5 neurosphere cultures and error bars represent the s.e.m. Statistical analysis was calculated by Student's *t*-test; \*\*p<0.005 relative to neurospheres transduced with the control vector.



**FIG. 8.** Proposed model for the definition of different lineages contributing to the development of striatal projection neurons. P, NPC; N, immature neuron; VZ, ventricular zone; SVZ subventricular zone; MZ, mantle zone. Color image available online at www.liebertpub.com/scd

observed that this transcription factor is expressed by both NPCs as well as by a subset of striatal immature neurons.

The relationship between *Helios* and other transcription factors involved in striatal development allowed us to define a new lineage that could determine the specific development of a subpopulation of matrix striatal projection neurons.

# Helios is expressed by a specific precursor of striatal projection neurons during LGE development

*Helios* was originally described as a cell-type specific hematopoietic factor that is involved in the development of lymphocytes [37]. However, here we show that this transcription factor is expressed in several regions of the CNS and peripheral nervous system (PNS).

In the present work we focused on the characterization of Helios expression in the LGE, the progenitor region for the striatum. It is initially expressed in the ventro-lateral area of the LGE MZ at E14.5. This initial detection spatially coincides with the early pattern of expression of the mature markers of striatal projection neurons, such as proenkephalin [49]. In addition, as embryonic striatal development occurs, Heliosexpressing cells appear dorsomedially following the same dynamics that has been previously described for proenkephalin [49]. These results suggest that Helios might be involved in the determination of a neuronal precursor toward a striatal projection neuron that would be committed to an enkephalinergic phenotype. Keeping with this view, we observe that all Helios-expressing cells are positive for Bcl11b, an important transcription factor for striatal projection neurons development that is detected in NPCs at E13.5 [22].

Interestingly, Helios expression also colocalizes with the Forkhead transcription factor Foxp1. Several Foxp-family genes have been detected during telencephalon development [46,50,51]. Although all Foxp examined genes are expressed in the developing LGE, Foxp1 shows a preferential expression for a subset of striatal projection neurons located in the matrix compartment [46] while Foxp2 and Foxp4 are more coincident with markers of the striosomal compartment. Therefore, these findings indicate that Helios and Foxp1 are both expressed by a common neuronal precursor that will be differentiated into a matrix striatal projection neuron. Keeping with this view, Helios does not colocalize with DARPP32, a well known striosomal marker [52,53]. The colocalization of Foxp1 and Helios is not restricted to the LGE; they are also coexpressed in the cortical plate and the CA1/2 subfield of the hippocampus (present results and references 46 and 51]). Therefore, *Helios* and *Foxp1* may share functions in regulating the development of the striatum, cortex, and hippocampus.

## Helios is expressed in the dLGE and the vLGE

Similarly to *Foxp1*, *Helios* is also detected in a subset of cells localized in the SVZ where it coincides with the NPC marker nestin. In this area, *Helios* is expressed in both the dorsal (dLGE) and the ventral LGE (vLGE).

The dLGE and vLGE are sources for both striatal projection neurons and olfactory bulb interneurons [12,54,55,56,57, 58]. While *Helios* was expressed in differentiating striatal neurons, we did not detect *Helios*-expressing cells migrating to the olfactory bulb, or in interneurons of the main olfactory bulb, during development or in adulthood. On the other hand, we did detect *Helios* expression in cells of the accessory olfactory bulb, which is responsible for the detection of pheromones [59,60,61]. It has recently been reported that *Bcl11b* is also expressed in the accessory olfactory bulb [62], where this transcription factor plays an important role during its development [63]. Interestingly, the expression of *Helios* is also coincident with the expression of *Bcl11b* in the respiratory epithelium of the nasal cavity (present results and reference 62).

# Helios defines a new lineage of neuronal progenitors during LGE development

The expression of *Helios* in the LGE suggests that it participates as a neurogenic factor for the development of a subset of striatal projection neurons. In fact, we demonstrate that *Helios* overexpression induces an increase in the levels of neuronal markers without altering the expression of glial markers. Distinct transcription factors acting in cascades underlie the sequential steps of the determination and differentiation of the striatal projection neurons. Specification of the striatum depends on the function of the *Gsx1&2* homeobox genes, which are expressed in the VZ and SVZ of the LGE [64,65,54,66,55]. Mice lacking *Gsx2* have defects in dLGE specification [64]. Adding to the previously known defects, here we showed that *Helios* expression is not detectable in *Gsx2* knockout mice.

There is clear evidence that *Gsx2* drives the expression of *Dlx* genes and *Ascl1* in the LGE [64]. The *Dlx* homeobox gene family is essential for the development of striatal projection neurons [67,17]. *Dlx1* & 2, whose expression are first detected in the VZ and the SVZ, are the earliest *Dlx* genes expressed

during LGE development [17]. Thereafter, SVZ NPCs expressing Dlx1 & 2 start expressing Dlx5 & 6 [17,68]; thereafter, immature striatal neurons maintain Dlx expression [69]. Our results demonstrate that *Helios* is expressed downstream of Dlx1 & 2 function but upstream or independent of Dlx5 & 6 in the LGE.

Striatal development is not fully blocked in the  $Dlx1\&2^{-/-}$  mutants [67], demonstrating that parallel and/or redundant pathways continue to promote the generation and migration of some striatal projection neurons. The analysis of gene expression profiles in the double  $Dlx1/2^{-/-}$  mice identified Dlx-dependent and Dlx-independent pathways. The Dlx-independent pathway depends in part on the function of the *Ascl1* transcription factor [40]. Our present results show that *Helios* is correctly expressed in *Ascl1*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, indicating that this *Ikaros*-family member is expressed by *Dlx*-dependent but *Ascl1*-independent progenitors.

Ascl1 and Dlx2 expression define different lineages of subcortical progenitors [20]. Combinatorial expression of these transcription factors, in conjunction with the expression of proliferation and differentiation markers, provided evidences for at least 3 lineages of NPCs in the germinal area (P1, Ascl1–/Dlx2–; P2, Ascl1+/Dlx2–; and P3, Ascl+/Dlx2+) [20]. In the model proposed in Fig. 8, we introduced a new NPC which will be independent of Ascl1 (P4, Ascl1-/ Dlx2+). Our present and previous data also suggest that this new lineage will give rise to 2 different cell progenies that will define subsets of striatal projecting neurons (N3-4). One of these progenies will express *Dlx5/6* and thereafter become *Ikaros* + neuronal precursors (N3) [24], whereas the other neuronal precursors will become Helios + (N4). This is in agreement with our present results demonstrating that Helios and *Ikaros* are not coexpressed in striatal neurons.

Here we also show that *Helios* is independent of *Ebf1*, another LGE specific transcription factor that regulates the development of SP neurons. Our previous data demonstrated that *Ebf1* is independent of *lkaros* [24] and its expression is preserved in the  $Dlx1/2^{-/-}$  mice [24,40]. All these data indicate that *Ebf1* might be expressed by the P2 progenitor (*Ascl1+/Dlx2-*) to mediate the differentiation of SP striatal neurons by a cell-autonomous function exerted in NPCs as described elsewhere [20]. In fact, *Ebf1* has been closely related to the development of these striatal projection neurons [23,21]. In contrast, *Ascl1* might be indirectly involved in the development of striatal neurons by a non cell-autonomous mechanism that regulates the rate at which adjacent NPCs mature [20]. We propose that these adjacent NPCs could be the P4 that do not express *Ascl1*.

Many efforts are directed to the identification of specific transcription factors activated temporally and spatially to regulate cell fate determination during LGE development [4,5,70]. Here we describe a new player in striatal development named *Helios*. This zinc finger transcription factor defines a new lineage of neural precursors that will become a subset of matrix striatal projection neurons. Our results also provide evidences that suggest that *Helios* might be involved in the development of other specific brain areas.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Cristina Herranz and Ana López for technical assistance and Dr. Maria Calvo from the confocal microscopy unit of the Serveis Científico-Tècnics (Universitat de Barcelona) for their support and advice on confocal techniques. We are also grateful to Dr. Rudolf Grosschedl for *Ebf*- $1^{-/-}$  mice. We also thank Dr. Stephen T. Smale (Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Molecular Biology Institute, and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, Los Angeles School of Medicine) for anti-Helios antibody and Dr. Christopher A. Klug (Department of Microbiology, Division of Developmental, and Clinical Immunology, University of Alabama at Birmingham) for the MSCV plasmid encoding *Helios*. This study was supported by grants from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (BFU2007-61230/BFI to C.V.-A; SAF2008-04360, to J. A.; and SAF2009-07774 and PLE2009-0089, to J.M.C.), Spain; Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación [CI-BERNED, to C.V.-A. and to J.A.; and RETICS (Red de Terapia Celular, RD06/0010/0006 to J.M.C. and RD06/0010/0023 to S.M.], Spain; and Generalitat de Catalunya (2009SGR-00326 to J.A.), Spain. The Cell Therapy Program is supported by the Centre of Regenerative Medicine in Barcelona (CMRB; Promt-0901 to J.M.C.); Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain. E.C. was a fellow of the Ministerio de Investigación y Ciencia, Spain.

#### Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

#### References

- 1. Davis AA and S Temple. (1994). A self-renewing multipotential stem cell in embryonic rat cerebral cortex. Nature 372:263–266.
- 2. Temple S. (2001). The development of neural stem cells. Nature 414:112–117.
- 3. Williams BP. (1995). Precursor cell types in the germinal zone of the cerebral cortex. Bioessays 17:391–393.
- 4. Merkle FT and A Alvarez-Buylla. (2006). Neural stem cells in mammalian development. Curr Opin Cell Biol 18:704-709.
- Guillemot F. (2005). Cellular and molecular control of neurogenesis in the mammalian telencephalon. Curr Opin Cell Biol 17:639–647.
- Gotz M and YA Barde. (2005). Radial glial cells defined and major intermediates between embryonic stem cells and CNS neurons. Neuron 46:369–372.
- Merot Y, S Retaux and JI Heng. (2009). Molecular mechanisms of projection neuron production and maturation in the developing cerebral cortex. Semin Cell Dev Biol 20:726–734.
- 8. Edlund T and TM Jessell. (1999). Progression from extrinsic to intrinsic signaling in cell fate specification: a view from the nervous system. Cell 96:211–224.
- 9. Guillemot F. (2007). Cell fate specification in the mammalian telencephalon. Prog Neurobiol 83:37–52.
- 10. Campbell K. (2003). Dorsal-ventral patterning in the mammalian telencephalon. Curr Opin Neurobiol 13:50–56.
- Puelles L, E Kuwana, E Puelles, A Bulfone, K Shimamura, J Keleher, S Smiga and JL Rubenstein. (2000). Pallial and subpallial derivatives in the embryonic chick and mouse telencephalon, traced by the expression of the genes Dlx-2, Emx-1, Nkx-2.1, Pax-6, and Tbr-1. J Comp Neurol 424:409–438.
- Stenman JM, B Wang and K Campbell. (2003). Tlx controls proliferation and patterning of lateral telencephalic progenitor domains. J Neurosci 23:10568–10576.
- Marin C, J Bove, M Bonastre and E Tolosa. (2003). Effect of acute and chronic administration of U50,488, a kappa opioid

receptor agonist, in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats chronically treated with levodopa. Exp Neurol 183:66–73.

- 14. van der Kooy D and G Fishell. (1987). Neuronal birthdate underlies the development of striatal compartments. Brain Res 401:155–161.
- Mason HA, SM Rakowiecki, M Raftopoulou, S Nery, Y Huang, T Gridley and G Fishell. (2005). Notch signaling coordinates the patterning of striatal compartments. Development 132:4247–4258.
- 16. Song DD and RE Harlan. (1994). The development of enkephalin and substance P neurons in the basal ganglia: insights into neostriatal compartments and the extended amygdala. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 83:247–261.
- Eisenstat DD, JK Liu, M Mione, W Zhong, G Yu, SA Anderson, I Ghattas, L Puelles and JL Rubenstein. (1999). DLX-1, DLX-2, and DLX-5 expression define distinct stages of basal forebrain differentiation. J Comp Neurol 414: 217–237.
- 18. Rallu M, JG Corbin and G Fishell. (2002). Parsing the prosencephalon. Nat Rev Neurosci 3:943–951.
- Waclaw RR, B Wang, Z Pei, LA Ehrman and K Campbell. (2009). Distinct temporal requirements for the homeobox gene Gsx2 in specifying striatal and olfactory bulb neuronal fates. Neuron 63:451–465.
- 20. Yun K, S Fischman, J Johnson, AM Hrabe de, G Weinmaster and JL Rubenstein. (2002). Modulation of the notch signaling by Mash1 and Dlx1/2 regulates sequential specification and differentiation of progenitor cell types in the subcortical telencephalon. Development 129:5029–5040.
- 21. Lobo MK, SL Karsten, M Gray, DH Geschwind and XW Yang. (2006). FACS-array profiling of striatal projection neuron subtypes in juvenile and adult mouse brains. Nat Neurosci 9:443–452.
- 22. Arlotta P, BJ Molyneaux, D Jabaudon, Y Yoshida and JD Macklis. (2008). Ctip2 controls the differentiation of medium spiny neurons and the establishment of the cellular architecture of the striatum. J Neurosci 28:622–632.
- Garcia-Dominguez M, C Poquet, S Garel and P Charnay. (2003). Ebf gene function is required for coupling neuronal differentiation and cell cycle exit. Development 130:6013– 6025.
- 24. Martin-Ibanez R, E Crespo, N Urban, S Sergent-Tanguy, C Herranz, M Jaumot, M Valiente, JE Long, JR Pineda, et al. (2010). Ikaros-1 couples cell cycle arrest of late striatal precursors with neurogenesis of enkephalinergic neurons. J Comp Neurol 518:329–351.
- 25. Cobb BS and ST Smale. (2005). Ikaros-family proteins: in search of molecular functions during lymphocyte development. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 290:29–47.
- Georgopoulos K. (2002). Haematopoietic cell-fate decisions, chromatin regulation and ikaros. Nat Rev Immunol 2:162– 174.
- 27. John LB, S Yoong and AC Ward. (2009). Evolution of the Ikaros gene family: implications for the origins of adaptive immunity. J Immunol 182:4792–4799.
- Rebollo A and C Schmitt. (2003). Ikaros, Aiolos and Helios: transcription regulators and lymphoid malignancies. Immunol Cell Biol 81:171–175.
- 29. Agoston DV, M Szemes, A Dobi, M Palkovits, K Georgopoulos, A Gyorgy and MA Ring. (2007). Ikaros is expressed in developing striatal neurons and involved in enkephalinergic differentiation. J Neurochem 102:1805–1816.
- Wang JH, A Nichogiannopoulou, L Wu, L Sun, AH Sharpe, M Bigby and K Georgopoulos. (1996). Selective defects in the

development of the fetal and adult lymphoid system in mice with an Ikaros null mutation. Immunity 5:537–549.

- Wang B, RR Waclaw, ZJ Allen, F Guillemot and K Campbell. (2009). Ascl1 is a required downstream effector of Gsx gene function in the embryonic mouse telencephalon. Neural Dev 4:5.
- 32. Guillemot F and AL Joyner. (1993). Dynamic expression of the murine Achaete-Scute homologue Mash-1 in the developing nervous system. Mech Dev 42:171–185.
- 33. Qiu M, A Bulfone, I Ghattas, JJ Meneses, L Christensen, PT Sharpe, R Presley, RA Pedersen and JL Rubenstein. (1997). Role of the Dlx homeobox genes in proximodistal patterning of the branchial arches: mutations of Dlx-1, Dlx-2, and Dlx-1 and -2 alter morphogenesis of proximal skeletal and soft tissue structures derived from the first and second arches. Dev Biol 185:165–184.
- 34. Cai Q, A Dierich, M Oulad-Abdelghani, S Chan and P Kastner. (2009). Helios deficiency has minimal impact on T cell development and function. J Immunol 183:2303–2311.
- 35. Lin H and R Grosschedl. (1995). Failure of B-cell differentiation in mice lacking the transcription factor EBF. Nature 376:263–267.
- Mao X, Y Fujiwara, A Chapdelaine, H Yang and SH Orkin. (2001). Activation of EGFP expression by Cre-mediated excision in a new ROSA26 reporter mouse strain. Blood 97:324–326.
- 37. Hahm K, BS Cobb, AS McCarty, KE Brown, CA Klug, R Lee, K Akashi, IL Weissman, AG Fisher and ST Smale. (1998). Helios, a T cell-restricted Ikaros family member that quantitatively associates with Ikaros at centromeric heterochromatin. Genes Dev 12:782–796.
- Bosch M, JR Pineda, C Sunol, J Petriz, E Cattaneo, J Alberch and JM Canals. (2004). Induction of GABAergic phenotype in a neural stem cell line for transplantation in an excitotoxic model of Huntington's disease. Exp Neurol 190: 42–58.
- Zhang Z, CS Swindle, JT Bates, R Ko, CV Cotta and CA Klug. (2007). Expression of a non-DNA-binding isoform of Helios induces T-cell lymphoma in mice. Blood 109:2190– 2197.
- Long JE, C Swan, WS Liang, I Cobos, GB Potter and JL Rubenstein. (2009). Dlx1&2 and Mash1 transcription factors control striatal patterning and differentiation through parallel and overlapping pathways. J Comp Neurol 512:556– 572.
- 41. Urban N, R Martin-Ibanez, C Herranz, M Esgleas, E Crespo, M Pardo, I Crespo-Enriquez, HR Mendez-Gomez, R Waclaw, et al. (2010). Nolz1 promotes striatal neurogenesis through the regulation of retinoic acid signaling. Neural Dev 5:21
- 42. Naldini L, U Blomer, FH Gage, D Trono and IM Verma. (1996). Efficient transfer, integration, and sustained longterm expression of the transgene in adult rat brains injected with a lentiviral vector. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:11382– 11388.
- Stühmer T, SA Anderson, M Ekker and JL Rubenstein. (2002). Ectopic expression of the Dlx genes induces glutamic acid decarboxylase and Dlx expression. Development 129:245–252.
- 44. Martin-Ibanez R, N Urban, S Sergent-Tanguy, JR Pineda, N Garrido-Clua, J Alberch and JM Canals. (2007). Interplay of leukemia inhibitory factor and retinoic acid on neural differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. J Neurosci Res 85:2686–2701.

#### HELIOS IS A TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR FOR STRIATAL DEVELOPMENT

- Gerfen CR. (1992). The neostriatal mosaic: multiple levels of compartmental organization. Trends Neurosci 15:133–139.
- Tamura S, Y Morikawa, H Iwanishi, T Hisaoka and E Senba. (2004). Foxp1 gene expression in projection neurons of the mouse striatum. Neuroscience 124:261–267.
- 47. Garel S, F Marin, R Grosschedl and P Charnay. (1999). Ebf1 controls early cell differentiation in the embryonic striatum. Development 126:5285–5294.
- Kelley CM, T Ikeda, J Koipally, N Avitahl, L Wu, K Georgopoulos and BA Morgan. (1998). Helios, a novel dimerization partner of Ikaros expressed in the earliest hematopoietic progenitors. Curr Biol 8:508–515.
- Song DD and RE Harlan. (1993). Ontogeny of the proenkephalin system in the rat corpus striatum: its relationship to dopaminergic innervation and transient compartmental expression. Neuroscience 52:883–909.
- Takahashi K, FC Liu, K Hirokawa and H Takahashi. (2003). Expression of Foxp2, a gene involved in speech and language, in the developing and adult striatum. J Neurosci Res 73:61–72.
- 51. Takahashi K, FC Liu, T Oishi, T Mori, N Higo, M Hayashi, K Hirokawa and H Takahashi. (2008). Expression of FOXP2 in the developing monkey forebrain: comparison with the expression of the genes FOXP1, PBX3, and MEIS2. J Comp Neurol 509:180–189.
- 52. Ouimet CC, PE Miller, HC Hemmings, Jr., SI Walaas and P Greengard. (1984). DARPP-32, a dopamine- and adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate-regulated phosphoprotein enriched in dopamine-innervated brain regions. III. Immunocytochemical localization. J Neurosci 4:111–124.
- 53. Agnati LF, K Fuxe, M Zoli, F Ferraguti, F Benfenati, CC Ouimet, SI Walaas, HC Hemmings, Jr., M Goldstein and P Greengard. (1988). Morphometrical evidence for a complex organization of tyrosine hydroxylase-, enkephalin- and DARPP-32-like immunoreactive patches and their codistribution at three rostrocaudal levels in the rat neostriatum. Neuroscience 27:785–797.
- Toresson H and K Campbell. (2001). A role for Gsh1 in the developing striatum and olfactory bulb of Gsh2 mutant mice. Development 128:4769–4780.
- 55. Yun K, S Garel, S Fischman and JL Rubenstein. (2003). Patterning of the lateral ganglionic eminence by the Gsh1 and Gsh2 homeobox genes regulates striatal and olfactory bulb histogenesis and the growth of axons through the basal ganglia. J Comp Neurol 461:151–165.
- Flames N, R Pla, DM Gelman, JL Rubenstein, L Puelles and O Marin. (2007). Delineation of multiple subpallial progenitor domains by the combinatorial expression of transcriptional codes. J Neurosci 27:9682–9695.
- Long JE, S Garel, M varez-Dolado, K Yoshikawa, N Osumi, A varez-Buylla and JL Rubenstein. (2007). Dlx-dependent and -independent regulation of olfactory bulb interneuron differentiation. J Neurosci 27:3230–3243.
- Waclaw RR, ZJ Allen, SM Bell, F Erdelyi, G Szabo, SS Potter and K Campbell. (2006). The zinc finger transcription factor

Sp8 regulates the generation and diversity of olfactory bulb interneurons. Neuron 49:503–516.

- 59. Halpern M and A Martinez-Marcos. (2003). Structure and function of the vomeronasal system: an update. Prog Neurobiol 70:245–318.
- Brennan PA and F Zufall. (2006). Pheromonal communication in vertebrates. Nature 444:308–315.
- Dulac C. (2006). Neuroscience. Charting olfactory maps. Science 314:606–607.
- 62. Leid M, JE Ishmael, D Avram, D Shepherd, V Fraulob and P Dolle. (2004). CTIP1 and CTIP2 are differentially expressed during mouse embryogenesis. Gene Expr Patterns 4: 733–739.
- Enomoto T, M Ohmoto, T Iwata, A Uno, M Saitou, T Yamaguchi, R Kominami, I Matsumoto and J Hirota. (2011). Bcl11b/Ctip2 controls the differentiation of vomeronasal sensory neurons in mice. J Neurosci 31:10159–10173.
- 64. Corbin JG, N Gaiano, RP Machold, A Langston and G Fishell. (2000). The Gsh2 homeodomain gene controls multiple aspects of telencephalic development. Development 127:5007–5020.
- Toresson H, SS Potter and K Campbell. (2000). Genetic control of dorsal-ventral identity in the telencephalon: opposing roles for Pax6 and Gsh2. Development 127:4361– 4371.
- 66. Yun K, S Potter and JL Rubenstein. (2001). Gsh2 and Pax6 play complementary roles in dorsoventral patterning of the mammalian telencephalon. Development 128:193–205.
- 67. Anderson SA, DD Eisenstat, L Shi and JL Rubenstein. (1997). Interneuron migration from basal forebrain to neocortex: dependence on Dlx genes. Science 278:474–476.
- Nery S, JG Corbin and G Fishell. (2003). Dlx2 progenitor migration in wild type and Nkx2.1 mutant telencephalon. Cereb Cortex 13:895–903.
- 69. Wang B, T Lufkin and JL Rubenstein. (2011). Dlx6 regulates molecular properties of the striatum and central nucleus of the amygdala. J Comp Neurol 519:2320–2334.
- Brazel CY, MJ Romanko, RP Rothstein and SW Levison. (2003). Roles of the mammalian subventricular zone in brain development. Prog Neurobiol 69:49–69.

Address correspondence to: Josep M. Canals, Ph.D. Department of Cell Biology, Immunology & Neuroscience Faculty of Medicine, University of Barcelona C/ Casanova 143 08036 Barcelona Spain

*E-mail:* jmcanals@ub.edu

Received for publication October 25, 2011 Accepted after revision December 1, 2011 Prepublished on Liebert Instant Online December 5, 2011