2       the growth of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria         3       'Marta Corzo-Martínez, <sup>2</sup> Marta Ávila, <sup>1</sup> F. Javier Moreno, 'Teresa Requena and         4       'Mar Villamiel         5       'Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL (CSIC-UAM),         7       Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.         8 <sup>2</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),         9       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         10       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         11       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         12       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         13       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         14       Teres a de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         15       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         16       Teres a de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         17       *Author to who correspondence should be addressed         18       *Author to who correspondence should be addressed         19       Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905         20       E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es         21       Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL         22       Current address:	1	Effect of milk protein glycation and gastrointestinal digestion on
3 <sup>1</sup> Marta Corzo-Martínez, <sup>2</sup> Marta Ávila, <sup>1</sup> F. Javier Moreno, <sup>1</sup> Teresa Requena and         4 <sup>1</sup> Mar Villamiel         5       -         6 <sup>1</sup> Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL (CSIC-UAM),         7       Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.         8 <sup>2</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),         9       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         10       -         11       -         12       -         13       -         14       -         15       -         16       -         17       -         18       *Author to who correspondence should be addressed         19       Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905         20       E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es         21       Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL         22       (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.	2	the growth of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria
4 <sup>1</sup> Mar Villamiel         5       -         6 <sup>1</sup> Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL (CSIC-UAM),         7       Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.         8 <sup>2</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),         9       Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.         10       -         11       -         12       -         13       -         14       -         15       -         16       -         17       -         18       *Author to who correspondence should be addressed         19       Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905         20       E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es         21       Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL         22       (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.         23       -	3	<sup>1</sup> Marta Corzo-Martínez, <sup>2</sup> Marta Ávila, <sup>1</sup> F. Javier Moreno, <sup>1</sup> Teresa Requena and
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup>Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL (CSIC-UAM),</li> <li>Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>*Author to vho correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	4	<sup>1</sup> Mar Villamiel
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup>Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>*Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	5	
<ul> <li>Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>*Author to una correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	6	<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL (CSIC-UAM),
<ul> <li><sup>2</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>*Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	7	Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.
<ul> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	8	<sup>2</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA),
<ul> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	9	Carretera de La Coruña km 7, 28040, Madrid, Spain.
<ul> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	10	
<ul> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: m.villamiel@csic.es</li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	11	
<ul> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	12	
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	13	
<ul> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	14	
<ul> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	15	
<ul> <li>17</li> <li>18 *Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>19 Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>20 E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>21 Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	16	
<ul> <li>*Author to who correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	17	
<ul> <li>Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905</li> <li>E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	18	*Author to who correspondence should be addressed
<ul> <li>E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u></li> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	19	Tel +34 910017951; Fax +34 910017905
<ul> <li>Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL</li> <li>(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> </ul>	20	E-mail: <u>m.villamiel@csic.es</u>
<ul> <li>22 (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>	21	Current address: Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación, CIAL
23 24 25	22	(CSIC-UAM), Nicolás Cabrera 9, 28049, Madrid, Spain.
24	23	
25	24	
	25	

#### Abstract

27 In this paper,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin ( $\beta$ -Lg) and sodium caseinate (SC) have been 28 glycated via Maillard reaction with galactose and lactose and, subsequently, the effect 29 of glycoconjugates hydrolyzed under simulated gastrointestinal digestion on the growth of pure culture of Lactobacillus, Streptococcus and Bifidobacterium has been 30 31 investigated. Glycopeptides were added to the growth media as the sole carbon source. 32 None of the bacterial strains was able to grow in hydrolysates of native and control 33 heated  $\beta$ -Lg and SC. However, glycopeptides were fermented, in different degree, by 34 Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium and hardly any effect was detected on the growth of 35 Streptococcus. Digested  $\beta$ -Lg glycoconjugates showed a strain-dependent effect 36 whereas growth profiles of bacteria when hydrolysates of SC glycoconjugates were 37 used as substrates were very similar, regardless of the strain. A general preference 38 towards peptides from  $\beta$ -Lg/SC glycated with galactose, particularly at the state of the 39 reaction in which the highest content in the Amadori compound tagatosyl-lysine is 40 present, was observed. SC glycoconjugates were quickly fermented by some strains, 41 promoting their growth in a greater extent than  $\beta$ -Lg complexes or even glucose. 42 Therefore, from the results obtained in this work it can be concluded that conjugation of 43 both milk proteins with galactose and lactose via the Maillard reaction could be an 44 efficient method to obtain novel food ingredients with a potential prebiotic character.

- 45
- 46

47 Keywords: Tagatosyl-lysine; Lactulosyl-lysine; β-lactoglobulin; Sodium
48 caseinate; Bifidobacteria; Lactic acid bacteria.

49

#### 51 **1. Introduction**

52 Nowadays, it is possible to dispose of products which afford not only the basic 53 nutritional value but also a wide range of benefits that can contribute to improve 54 consumer well-being. In this respect, due to the fact that severe health disorders can be 55 related to the gastrointestinal function, some of the functional ingredients more 56 demanded by consumers are those with a positive effect on gut microbiota (Saaraela et 57 al., 2002). These ingredients are non-digestible carbohydrates with well-known properties, being lactulose (Tuohy et al., 2002), tagatose (Laerke and Jensen, 1999; 58 59 Laerke et al., 2000; Jensen et al., 2001), fructooligosaccharides (FOS) and 60 galactooligosaccharides (GOS) (MacFarlane et al., 2008) some of the main 61 oligosaccharides considered as prebiotics.

62 On the other hand, deliberated glycosylation via the Maillard reaction (MR) or 63 glycation has been largely investigated during the last years as a promising approach to 64 alter the functional properties of proteins for food purposes (Oliver et al., 2006a). 65 Concretely, it has been demonstrated that milk proteins (caseins and whey proteins), 66 usually obtained as by-products in the dairy industry, can increase their degree of 67 applicability by means of glycation. Thus, controlling the reaction conditions it is 68 possible to obtain neoglycoconjugates with different glycation and aggregation degrees, 69 being preferred, in general, the early steps of the reaction (Jimenez-Castaño et al., 70 2005a, 2005b; Kato, 2002; Oliver et al., 2006a). Particularly interesting could be the 71 case of the conjugation of milk proteins with galactose and lactose, which are 72 isomerised to tagatose and lactulose, respectively, giving rise to the corresponding 73 Amadori compounds, tagatosyl- and lactulosyl-lysine. Taking into account the prebiotic 74 properties of tagatose and lactulose, it could be expectable some effect of these 75 glycoconjugates on gut microbiota.

76 In spite of the number of works on protein glycation, any information about 77 intestinal absorption and endogenous metabolisation of Amadori compounds is still 78 fragmentary. According to several authors, it seems that these initial products of the 79 MR are scarcely digested and excreted, being fermented in the distal colon 80 (Erbersdobler and Faist, 2001; Finot, 1973; Finot, 2005; Lee and Erbersdobler, 1994; 81 Sanz et al., 2007). In this sense, the study of the effect of the Amadori compounds 82 tagatosyl- and lactulosyl-lysine could be of great interest, since such compounds might 83 reach more distal areas of gut than tagatose and lactulose and be fermented by the 84 present microbiota. This is particularly relevant since the incidence of certain chronic 85 gut disorders is much higher in the descending colon and rectum than in the first 86 sections of the large gut (Gibson et al., 2004). Mills et al. (2008), using in vitro assays 87 with faecal slurries, pointed out that glycated protein bovine serum albumin modulated 88 the colonic microbiota of volunteers with ulcerative colitis towards a more detrimental 89 composition with significant increases in putatively harmful bacteria and decreases in 90 dominant and putatively beneficial bacterial group. This trend was not completely 91 confirmed in non-ulcerative colitis volunteers.

Thus, the purpose of this work has been to study the effect of glycoconjugates obtained by glycosylation via the Maillard reaction of milk proteins ( $\beta$ -lactoglobulin and sodium caseinate) with galactose and lactose, and subsequently subjected to simulated gastrointestinal digestion, on the growth of twelve potential probiotic strains of *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus* and *Bifidobacterium* to broaden the knowledge on the role of Amadori compounds in the modulation of the human gut microbiota.

98

99

#### 101 **2. Materials and Methods**

102

103 2.1 Materials

104 Glucose (Glu), galactose (Gal), lactose (Lac), tagatose (Tag), lactulose (Lu) and 105 bovine  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin ( $\beta$ -Lg) (mixture of A and B variants) were purchased from 106 Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and sodium caseinate (SC) (Rovita FN 5) was 107 obtained from Proveedora Hispano Holandesa, S.A. (Barcelona, Spain).

108 Streptococcus salivarius ZL50-7, Lactobacillus reuteri R13, Lactobacillus 109 plantarum CLC17, Lactobacillus delbrueckii ZL96-27, Lactobacillus brevis CLC23, 110 Lactobacillus gasseri Lc9 and Bifidobacterium breve 26M2 belonged to the culture 111 collection of the Nutrition, Bromatology and Food Technology Department of the 112 Faculty of Veterinary Science (Universidad Complutense de Madrid). Streptococcus 113 thermophilus STY-31, Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5, Bifidobacterium lactis BB12 114 and Lactobacillus casei LC-01 strains had been previously purified from a commercial 115 symbiotic product (Simbiotic Drink; Priégola, Madrid, Spain) at our laboratory 116 (Tabasco et al., 2007). Lactobacillus plantarum IFPL722, isolated from cheese, was 117 taken from our IFPL culture collection. All cultures were maintained at -80 °C in MRS 118 broth (Pronadisa, Madrid, Spain) or, in the case of S. salivarius ZL50 and S. 119 thermophilus STY-31, in M17 broth (Pronadisa), both supplemented with glycerol 120 (40% v/v) and subcultured in MRS or M17 broth before use in experiments.

121

#### 122 2.2 Preparation and purification of glycoconjugates

123 Carbohydrates, Gal or Lac, and  $\beta$ -Lg in a weight ratio of 1:1 or 2:1, respectively, 124 were dissolved in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (Merck, Darmstadt, 125 Germany), and lyophilized. The  $\beta$ -Lg-Gal powders were kept at 40 and 50 °C for 24 and 48 h, respectively (Corzo-Martínez et al., 2008), whilst the  $\beta$ -Lg-Lac powders were kept at 60 °C for 8 and 48 h (Table 1) (Fenaille et al., 2004), under vacuum in a desiccator equilibrated at an  $a_w$  of 0.44, achieved with a saturated K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution (Merck). In addition, control experiments were performed with  $\beta$ -Lg stored at 40, 50 and 60 °C without reducing sugars during the same periods (control heated  $\beta$ -Lg). Incubations were performed in duplicate, and all analytical determinations were performed at least in duplicate.

133 Carbohydrates, Gal or Lac, and sodium caseinate (SC) in a weight ratio of 0.2:1 134 were dissolved in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (Merck) and lyophilized 135 (Corzo-Martinez et al., 2010b). The SC-Gal powders were kept at 60 °C and 50 °C for 4 136 and 72 h, respectively, whilst the SC-Lac powders were kept at 60 °C for 8 and 24 h 137 (Table 1), under vacuum in a desiccator equilibrated at an  $a_w$  of 0.67 (Oliver et al., 138 2006b), achieved with a saturated solution of CuCl<sub>2</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich). In addition, control experiments were performed with SC stored at 50 and 60 °C without reducing 139 140 sugars during the same periods (control heated SC). Incubations were performed in 141 duplicate, and all analytical determinations were performed at least in duplicate.

After incubation, the products were reconstituted in distilled water to a protein concentration of 1 mg/mL. To remove free carbohydrate, 2 mL portions were ultrafiltered through hydrophilic 3 kDa cut-off membranes (Centricon YM-3, Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA) by centrifugation at 1548*g* for 2 h. After removal of free Gal or Lac, samples were reconstituted in distilled water at a concentration of 2 mg/mL for further analysis (Corzo-Martínez et al., 2008).

Taking into account the analyses of structural characterization previously carried
out in our laboratory (Corzo-Martínez et al., 2008; Corzo-Martínez et al., 2010a;
Laparra et al., 2011), two types of glycoconjugates were obtained at different stages of

151 the Maillard reaction after incubation of every combination of carbohydrate and protein 152 under conditions indicated above (Table 1). One of them, in early stages of the MR (β-153 Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C], β-Lg:Lac [8 h, 60 °C], SC:Gal [4 h, 60 °C] and SC:Lac [8 h, 60 154 °C]), consisted primarily of complexes with a high content of the Amadori compound 155 tagatosyl- or lactulosyl-lysine and a low aggregation level, while those glycoconjugates 156 incubated under more severe conditions, in advanced stages of the MR (β-Lg:Gal [48 h, 157 50 °C], β-Lg:Lac [48 h, 60 °C], SC:Gal [72 h, 50 °C] and SC:Lac [24 h, 60 °C]), 158 exhibited a high glycation degree, considerable amounts of advanced glycation products 159 (AGEs and melanoidins), and a high aggregation level.

160

### 161 2.3 In vitro gastrointestinal digestion

162 All SC and  $\beta$ -Lg glycoconjugates, as well as the control heated SC/ $\beta$ -Lg samples 163 were digested *in vitro* by following the simplified procedure described by Moreno et al. 164 (2005). This digestion model was based on *in vivo* data obtained by gastric and 165 duodenal aspiration and from collection of effluent from ileostomy volunteers at the 166 Institute of Food Research (Norwich, UK).

167 For the gastric digestion step, glycoconjugates (3 mg) were dissolved in 1 mL of 168 simulated gastric fluid (SGF, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 2.5). The pH was adjusted to 2.5 with 1 169 M HCl if necessary. A solution of 0.32% (w:v) porcine pepsin (EC 3.4.23.1) in SGF 170 (pH 2.5) (Sigma, activity of 3,300 units/mg of protein) was added at an approximately 171 physiological ratio of enzyme to substrate (1:20, w:w). The digestion was performed at 172 37 °C for 2 h. For the intestinal digestion step, the pH was increased to 7.5 with 40 mM 173 NH<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) dropwise to inactivate pepsin, and the following 174 was added to adjust the pH to 6.5 and simulate a duodenal environment: (i) a bile salt 175 mixture containing equimolar quantities (0.125 M) of sodium taurocholate (Sigma) and

glycodeoxycholic acid (Sigma), (ii) 1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> (Panreac), and (iii) 0.25 M Bis-Tris (pH 176 177 6.5) (Sigma). Solutions of porcine trypsin (EC 3.4.21.4; 0.05%, w:v, Sigma, type IX-S, 178 activity of 14,300 units/mg of protein) and bovine  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin (EC 3.4.21.1; 0.1%, 179 w:v, Sigma, type I-S, activity of 62 units/mg of protein) in water were prepared and 180 added at approximately physiological protein:trypsin:chymotrypsin ratios 181 [1:(1/400):(1/100) (w:w:w)]. Simulated intestinal digestion of  $\beta$ -Lg was carried out at 182 37 °C for 15 min. After protein hydrolysis, trypsin and chymotrypsin were inactivated 183 by heating at 80 °C for 5 min. Digestions were performed without any derivatization of 184 the sulfhydryl groups of cysteine residues in order to remain as close as possible to 185 physiological conditions.

186

#### 187 2.4 Analysis of digested samples

Analysis of digested samples was carried out using a Beckman HPLC system equipped with a Phenomenex Jupiter Proteo column (250 mm  $\times$  4.6 mm, 4 mm particle size, 90 Å pore size). Samples were eluted using 0.1% (v/v) TFA in double-distilled water as solvent A and 0.1% (v/v) TFA in double-distilled water and acetonitrile of HPLC grade (Scharlau Chemie, Barcelona, Spain) (1:9, v/v) as solvent B, following the method described by Moreno et al. (2004).

For SDS-PAGE analysis, 32.5 mL of the samples taken at different stages of the digestion were added to 12.5 mL of 4× NuPAGE<sup>®</sup> LDS Sample buffer (Invitrogen, CA, USA) and 5 mL of 0.5 M dithiothreitol (DTT, Sigma-Aldrich), and heated at 70 °C for 10 min. Samples (20 mL) were loaded onto a 12% polyacrylamide NuPAGE<sup>®</sup> Novex Bis Tris pre-cast gel and a continuous 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) SDS running buffer was used. Gels were run for 40 min at 120 mAgel<sup>-1</sup> and 200 V and
stained using the Colloidal Blue Staining Kit (Invitrogen).

201

### 202 2.5 Bacterial growth on digested glycoconjugates

203 For experiments, strains were grown into MRS fermentation broth (Pronadisa), 204 which does not contain either Glu or meat extract (De Man et al., 1960), enriched with 205 0.2% Tween 80, 0.8% casein acid hydrolysate and 0.05% cysteine, or ESTY broth 206 (Pronadisa), without any carbon source. These media were supplemented with digested 207  $\beta$ -Lg and SC glycoconjugates at a final concentration of 0.3% (w/v) of the sugar moiety 208 of the molecules. Glu, Tag, Lu, bovine native  $\beta$ -Lg and SC, and  $\beta$ -Lg and SC heated 209 without reducing sugars were used as controls, at the same final concentration that 210 corresponding glycoconjugates. Each substrate was weighed into sterile tubes, then the 211 corresponding autoclaved basic medium was added, and the mixtures were sterilized by 212 filtration ( $0.22 \mu m$ ).

213 Bacterial strains were cultured overnight at 1% in MRS or M17 broth and grown 214 cultures were diluted 1:10 in MRS fermentation broth or in ESTY broth without any 215 carbon source. Culture dilutions were used as inocula to evaluate the growth of bacterial 216 strains on galactosylated and lactosylated peptides derived from in vitro gastrointestinal 217 digestion and on controls. Basic media plus the tested substrates were inoculated with 1% of diluted inocula of each strain and pipetted into 300 µl wells of sterile 96-well 218 219 microplates with lid (Sarstedt Inc., Newton, USA). All strains were grown in aerobic 220 conditions at 37 °C for 24 h, in triplicate, excepting L. acidophilus LA-5, L. gasseri Lc9, 221 B. breve 26M2 and B. lactis BB12, which were incubated under anaerobic conditions 222 (Gas-Pack, Anaerogen; Oxoid Ltd., Hampshire, England), also in triplicate. The optical 223 densities (OD<sub>600</sub>) of the aerobic strains were recorded at 20-60 min intervals with an 224 automated microplate reader (Varioskan Flash, Thermo Electron Corporation, Vantaa, 225 Finland) at 600 nm. Maximum growth rates  $(\mu_{max})$  and lag parameters (lag) were 226 calculated by fitting the curves to a sigmoid model using the Microsoft Excel add-in 227 DMfit 2.1 (Barayni and Roberts, 1994) (available v. at 228 http://www.ifr.ac.uk/safety/DMfit/default.html). In the case of the anaerobic strains, 229 OD<sub>600</sub> was recorded at 0 h and 24 h. Blanks with medium and carbon source added but 230 without bacteria were carried out.

231

#### 232 2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statgraphic 5.1 Program (Statistical Graphics Corporation, Rockville, MD, USA) for Windows. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (least significant difference, LSD, test) was used for the statistical evaluation of results derived from the measurement of maximum optical density ( $OD_{600}$ ) reached for each bacterial culture with each substrate studied. Differences were considered significant when P< 0.05.

239

## 240 **3. Results and Discussion**

241

3.1 Characterization of hydrolysates obtained after in vitro gastrointestinal digestion of
sodium caseinate and β-lactoglobulin glycoconjugates

To simulate the physiological conditions, glycoconjugates were subjected to an *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion process consisting of a first stage of gastric digestion with pepsin for 2 h at 37 °C and a second stage of duodenal digestion with trypsin/chymotrypsin for 15 min at 37 °C; then, the digested glycoconjugates were analysed by SDS-PAGE. As observed in Figure 1, control heated  $\beta$ -Lg (lanes a and c) 249 was rapidly broken down during simulated duodenal digestion, and only traces of intact 250 protein could be observed after 15 min of incubation with trypsin/chymotrypsin. 251 However, in the glycated protein, particularly in  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [48 h, 50 °C], two bands 252 corresponding to its monomeric and dimeric forms could be clearly visualized after 253 digestion. Glycation with Lac had a similar influence on  $\beta$ -Lg digestibility (data not 254 shown). These results confirmed that glycation and glycation-induced aggregation of  $\beta$ -255 Lg during the advanced stages of the MR, protects  $\beta$ -Lg from proteolysis during *in vitro* 256 gastrointestinal digestion. In fact, in a previous work carried out in our laboratory 257 (Laparra et al., 2011), by means of SEC analysing of digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal/Lac conjugates, 258 we observed an increase in the area of the less retained peaks (corresponding to the 259 formation of aggregated and larger peptides) after incubation under more severe 260 conditions, i.e. 48 h at 50 °C (in the case of glycation with Gal) and 48 h at 60 °C (in the 261 case of glycation with Lac).

262 SC glycoconjugates were more efficiently digested than complexes derived from 263  $\beta$ -Lg, regardless the employed glycation conditions (Figure 2). This result can be 264 attributed to the fact that caseins have a flexible and linear conformation rather than a 265 rigid and compact structure (Kaminogawa, 2000), facilitating their enzymatic digestion. 266 In contrast,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin presents a high structural stability at acid pH, having its 267 peptic cleavage sites (hydrophobic or aromatic amino acid side chains) buried inside its 268 characteristic β-barrel structure, forming a strong hydrophobic core and preventing 269 hydrolysis (Dalgalarrondo et al., 1995; Reddy et al., 1988). Thus, as observed in the 270 chromatographic profiles shown in Figure 2, both unglycated and glycated SC were 271 quickly proteolyzed, even in the case of SC glycated under the conditions that promote 272 high protein aggregation levels. Nevertheless, SEC analysis also indicated the higher 273 size of peptides contained in hydrolysates of SC glycoconjugates obtained under more severe conditions, particularly when SC was glycated with Gal at 50 °C for 72 h
(Laparra et al., 2011).

276

#### 277 3.2 Bacterial growth on digested $\beta$ -lactoglobulin:galactose/lactose conjugates

278 Growth curves for representative strains grown aerobically on peptides from 279 native, control heated and glycated  $\beta$ -Lg, and on Tag, Lu and Glu as sole carbon sources 280 are shown in Figure 3. None of the bacterial strains was able to grow in hydrolysates of 281 native and control heated  $\beta$ -Lg (data not shown). However, in general, the sugar moiety 282 from the digested glycoconjugates was fermented, in different degree, by all 283 microorganisms assayed, except for S. thermophilus STY-31 and S. salivarius ZL50-7. 284 As observed in Figure 3, the strains showed very different metabolic activity for the 285 same substrate (behaviour strain-dependent), giving raise to different growth profiles. A 286 general preference towards peptides from  $\beta$ -Lg glycated with Gal, particularly at 40 °C 287 for 24 h, over those from  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac conjugates was observed, the former being 288 efficiently metabolized by all strains of Lactobacillus.

In order to relate the bacterial growth to substrate utilization, we calculated the maxima growth rates ( $\mu_{max}$ ) for each of the samples studied. These values and lag times (lag) are shown in Table 2. Likewise, the corresponding values of maximum DO<sub>600</sub> are also shown in Table 3.

*L. casei* LC-01 was able to grow in all the tested substrates, reaching a  $\mu_{max}$  of 0.142 h<sup>-1</sup> with Lu as carbon source (Table 2), this being similar to that achieved with Glu and Tag. When *L. casei* LC-01 was grown with the conjugate  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C] digested, it achieved cell densities similar to Glu and Tag, with maximum OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.9 (Figure 3 and Table 3). In addition, although *L. casei* LC-01 growth on digested  $\beta$ - Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C] was slower (0.048 h<sup>-1</sup>) than on Glu and Tag (0.135 and 0.129 h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), the lag time of growth on glycoconjugate was zero, whilst on Glu and Tag it was 7 h, approximately (Table 2). Regarding peptides from  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [48 h, 50 °C],  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac [8 h, 60 °C] and  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac [48 h, 60 °C], they presented lower cell densities, with OD<sub>600</sub> values below 0.7 (Table 3), and slower growth than Glu, Tag and Lu, although their lag time was reduced, except in the case of  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac [48 h, 60 °C].

304 L. reuteri R13, L. plantarum IFPL722 and L. plantarum CLC17 showed a similar 305 behaviour. Tagatose was not efficiently used as carbon source by any of the three 306 strains. However, they were able to grow with Glu and Lu, reaching cell densities 307 particularly high with Lu (OD<sub>600</sub> ~ 1.2) in the case of *L. plantarum* IFPL722, and with 308 Glu (OD<sub>600</sub> ~ 1) in *L. reuteri* R13. When digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C] was used as 309 carbon source,  $OD_{600}$  and  $\mu_{max}$  values of these three strains were similar to those 310 observed with Glu (Figure 3, Tables 2 and 3). In addition, the lag time of growth on this 311 compound was reduced to zero. Likewise, although to a lesser extent than peptides from 312 β-Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C], hydrolysate of β-Lg glycated with Gal at 50 °C for 48 h also 313 promoted the growth of these bacterial strains. In contrast, incubation with peptides 314 from  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac [8 and 48 h, 60 °C] conjugates as substrates resulted in a poor growth of 315 L. reuteri R13, L. plantarum IFPL722 and L. plantarum CLC17, reaching OD<sub>600</sub> and 316  $\mu_{max}$  values markedly lower than with Lu.

317 *L. delbrueckii* ZL95-27 and *L. brevis* CLC23 did not utilize Tag or Lu as carbon 318 source. Both strains reached the highest cell densities and the fastest growth rates with 319 digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C], displaying no-lag time period (Table 2). This was 320 particularly noticeable in the case of *L. brevis* CLC23, which fermented this compound 321 even faster than Glu, giving rise to significantly differences in the maximum DO<sub>600</sub> 322 values (Table 3). Digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [48 h, 50 °C] was also efficiently metabolized by both strains, although its fermentation gave rise to lower cell densities and  $\mu_{max}$  values than that of digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C]. Regarding to digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac conjugates, as Lu, they did not promote the growth of *L. delbrueckii* ZL95-27 and *L. brevis* CLC23.

327 Unlike the previous mentioned strains of *Lactobacillus*, *S. salivarius* ZL50-7 and 328 *S. thermophilus* did not efficiently utilize any of the studied β-Lg glycoconjugates or 329 Tag, only growing on Glu and Lu, being especially noteworthy the fast growth rate of *S.* 330 *salivarius* ZL50-7 with Glu ( $\mu_{max} = 0.747$ , the highest  $\mu_{max}$  of all strains and substrates 331 studied).

332 With respect to the growth of the anaerobic strains,  $OD_{600}$  values for the carbon 333 sources under study are shown in Table 4. B. breve 26M2 achieved higher and 334 significantly different cell densities with Lu than with Glu as carbon source. However, 335 no growth of B. lactis BB-12 was observed with Lu as substrate after 24 h of 336 incubation. Likewise, none of digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac conjugates under study was 337 metabolized by B. breve 26M2 and B. lactis BB12. However, it is noteworthy the ability 338 of both strains of bifidobacteria to show some growth after 24 h of incubation with β-339 Lg:Gal conjugates, reaching  $OD_{600}$  values of up to 0.38, unlike Tag, which was not used 340 as substrate. L. gasseri Lc9 was not able to grow with Tag, Lu or  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac conjugates 341 as carbon sources. The maximum growth of this strain was recorded on hydrolysates of 342  $\beta$ -Lg galactosylated, particularly at 40 °C for 24 h, with an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.522. Finally, L. 343 acidophilus LA-5 stood out by its ability to utilize all the digested  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal and  $\beta$ -344 Lg:Lac conjugates studied, unlike Lu, which was not used as carbon source.

345 In summary, the bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria studied did not ferment 346 efficiently the hydrolysates of  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac conjugates. In contrast, all bacterial strains 347 assayed, excepting streptococci, grew to some extent on hydrolysates of  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal

348 conjugates, both [24 h, 40 °C] and [48 h, 50 °C], observing high  $\mu_{max}$  values and no-lag 349 time periods. This was particularly notable when it was used as substrate the 350 hydrolysate derived from galactosylated  $\beta$ -Lg at 40 °C for 24 h, with the highest content 351 in the Amadori compound tagatosyl-lysine, suggesting that it has a positive effect on the 352 strains studied, which even might be higher than that of Tag. However, other strains 353 should be tested in further studies to confirm these results. In addition, the comparation 354 with other prebiotics could also be interesting.

355 On the other hand, the lower bacterial growth observed with the hydrolysate of 356 galactosylated  $\beta$ -Lg at 50 °C for 48 h could be attributed to its higher aggregation 357 degree, lower digestibility (Figure 1), and, consequently, the higher difficulty to bacteria 358 to metabolize peptides obtained after its digestion, with a higher size and aggregation 359 degree than those derived from  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal [24 h, 40 °C] (Laparra et al., 2011).

360

#### 361 3.3 Bacterial growth on digested sodium caseinate:galactose/lactose conjugates

Figure 3 also depicts growth curves for strains grown in aerobic conditions on peptides from digested SC glycoconjugates as sole carbon sources. Maxima growth rates ( $\mu_{max}$ ), lag times (lag) and maxima DO<sub>600</sub> values for each of substrates studied are also shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

While none of the microorganisms assayed was able to grow on digests from native and control heated SC (data not shown), peptides from SC glycoconjugates were fermented in a strain-dependent way by all tested bacterial strain, in some cases even faster and leading to higher cell densities than Glu, and promoting bacterial growth in greater extent than peptides from digested  $\beta$ -Lg complexes. This is probably due to the better digestibility of SC glycoconjugates as compared to those of  $\beta$ -Lg, which allows 372 the formation of smaller peptides (Laparra et al., 2011) that could be, therefore, more 373 easily internalized and metabolized by bacteria than those derived from gastrointestinal 374 digestion of  $\beta$ -Lg glycoconjugates.

375 Moreover, unlike digested  $\beta$ -Lg glycoconjugates, which showed a strain-376 dependent effect, growth profiles obtained when hydrolysates of SC glycoconjugates 377 were used as substrates were very similar, regardless of the strain. The growth of most 378 of the lactic acid bacteria assayed resulted particularly favoured in presence of digested 379 SC:Gal [4 h, 60 °C] complex, showing no-lag time periods and reaching the highest  $OD_{600}$  (ranging from 0.85 to 1.6) and  $\mu_{max}$  values (ranging from 0.232 to 0.673 h<sup>-1</sup>) of 380 381 all the substrates tested, including Tag (Tables 2 and 3). These results support that the 382 Amadori compound tagatosyl-lysine might promote the growth of gut microbiota in 383 greater extent than Tag, according to the previous results showed for  $\beta$ -Lg 384 glycoconjugates.

Moreover, although to a lesser extent than SC:Gal [4 h 60 °C], digested SC:Gal [72 h, 50 °C] was also used more efficiently and faster than Tag as carbon source by all the bacterial strains assayed, with the exception of streptococci. The ability of the latter SC:Gal glycoconjugate to promote the bacterial growth lower than the former could be attributed to the higher size of SC:Gal [72 h, 50 °C] peptides generated after digestion (Laparra et al., 2011), which could present a higher difficulty to be metabolized by bacteria than SC:Gal [4 h, 60 °C] peptides.

392 On the other hand, all the bacterial strains utilized the digested SC:Lac conjugates 393 particularly that obtained after 8 h of incubation at 60 °C, with the highest content in the 394 Amadori compound lactulosyl-lysine. Using this digested conjugate as substrate, all 395 lactobacilli reached higher maximum  $OD_{600}$  values (from 0.85 to 1.5) and faster growth 396 rates (from 0.192 to 0.474 h<sup>-1</sup>) than with Lu, displaying no-lag time periods (Tables 2

and 3). These results suggest that the Amadori compound lactulosyl-lysine, derived
from SC glycation with Lac, might have a greater beneficial effect than Lu on most of
the pure cultures studied.

400 In good agreement with these results, cell densities of anaerobic strains (Table 4) 401 reached higher OD<sub>600</sub> values after 24 h of incubation with digested SC galactosylated, 402 particularly at 60 °C for 4 h, than with Tag, this being specially noticeable in the case of 403 bifidobacteria, which were unable to grow with Tag as carbon source. Likewise, 404 hydrolysates of lactose conjugates also led to high cell densities, giving rise to 405 maximum OD<sub>600</sub> values after 24 h of incubation much higher than with Lu. L. gasseri 406 Lc9 and L. acidophilus LA-5 cell densities increased with SC:Gal [4 h, 60 °C], SC:Lac 407 [8 h, 60 °C] and SC:Lac [24 h, 60 °C] as substrates considerably more than with Glu. 408 Moreover, it is noteworthy that, with bifidobacteria, the highest  $OD_{600}$  values were 409 achieved when hydrolysates of SC:Lac conjugates were used as substrates. This 410 suggests that the Amadori compound lactulosyl-lysine, formed during the initial stages 411 of the MR between SC and Lac, might possess a particularly positive effect on 412 bifidobacteria growth.

413

#### 414 **4.** Conclusions

The effect of digested  $\beta$ -Lg glycoconjugates on the growth of the potential probiotic strains assayed was strain-dependent, whereas growth profiles of bacteria when hydrolysates of SC glycoconjugates were used as substrates were very similar, regardless of the strain. Digests of  $\beta$ -Lg:Gal conjugates were notably fermented by all lactobacilli and bifidobacteria assayed, but not by streptococci, a higher bacterial growth than with tagatose being appreciated in most of the cases. In addition, such glycoconjugates promoted bacterial growth more efficiently than  $\beta$ -Lg:Lac complexes. 422 On the other hand, digests of SC glycated with Gal and Lac favoured in great extent the 423 growth of all the bacterial strains studied, higher cell densities and faster growth with 424 digested glycoconjugates than with tagatose and lactulose as substrates being observed.

425 Regarding to the glycation degree, a higher bacterial growth was generally 426 detected with glycoconjugates at initial stages of the MR, with the highest content in the 427 Amadori compound tagatosyl- or lactulosyl-lysine, than with those at advanced stages 428 of the reaction.

Therefore, from the findings described in this work we can infer that conjugation of both milk proteins with galactose and lactose via the Maillard reaction could be an efficient method to obtain novel food ingredients with a potential prebiotic character. To corroborate these results, other probiotic strains should be tested in further studies, comparing, moreover, the effect of Maillard conjugates with that of other prebiotics .

In addition, future studies are needed to determine the effect of these Maillard complexes on mixed cultures from human faeces, this representing a model closer to what might occur *in vivo*.

437

438

#### 439 Acknowledgments

This work has been funded by projects Consolider Ingenio 2010 FUN-c-FOOD
CSD2007-00063 (MICINN), ALIBIRD S2009/AGR-1469 (CAM), AGL2009-13361C02-02 (MICINN) and IBEROFUN 110AC0386 (CYTED). M. Corzo-Martínez thanks
Danone Institute for a grant.

444

#### 45 **References**

446 Dalgalarrondo, M., Dufour, E., Chobert, J.-M., Bertrand-Harb, C., Haertlé, T.,
447 1995. Proteolysis of β-lactoglobulin and β-casein by pepsin in ethanolic media.
448 International Dairy Journal, 5, 1-14.

449 Corzo-Martinez, M., Moreno, F.J., Olano, A., Villamiel, M., 2008. Structural
450 characterization of bovine β-lactoglobulin-galactose/tagatose Maillard complexes by
451 electrophoretic, chromatographic and spectroscopic methods. Journal of Agricultural
452 and Food Chemistry, 56, 4244-4252.

453 Corzo-Martinez, M., Soria, A.C., Belloque, J., Villamiel, M., Moreno, F.J., 2010a.
454 Effect of glycation on the gastrointestinal digestibility and immunoreactivity of bovine
455 β-lactoglobulin. International Dairy Journal, 20, 742-752.

456 Corzo-Martinez, M., Moreno, F.J., Villamiel, M., Harte, F.M., 2010b.
457 Characterization and improvement of rheological properties of sodium caseinate
458 glycated with galactose, lactose and dextran. Food Hydrocolloids, 24, 88-97.

459 Erbersdobler, H.F., Faist, V., 2001. Metabolic transit of Amadori products.
460 Nahrung/Food, 45, 177-181.

461 Finot, P.-A., 1973. Non enzymatic browning. In: Porter, J.W.G., Rolls, B.A.
462 (Eds.), Proteins in human nutrition. Academic Press, London, pp. 501-514.

463 Finot, P.-A., 2005. The absorption and metabolism of modified amino acids in
464 processed foods. Journal of AOAC International, 88, 894-903.

Gibson, G.R., Probert, H.M., Van Loo, J., Rastall, R.A., Roberfroid, M., 2004.
Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: updating the concept of
probiotics. Nutritional Research Reviews, 17, 259-275.

Jensen, B.B., Buemann, B., Bertelsen, H.D., 2001. Tagatose changes the
composition of the microbiota and enhances butyrate production by human faecal
samples. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 73, 497S-497S.

471 Jimenez-Castaño, L., López-Fandiño, R., Olano, A., Villamiel, M., 2005a. Study
472 on β-lactoglobulin glycosylation with dextran: effect on solubility and heat stability.
473 Food Chemistry, 93, 689–695.

474 Jimenez-Castaño, L., Villamiel, M., Martín-Alvarez, P.J., Olano, A., Lopez475 Fandiño, R., 2005b. Effect of the dry-heating conditions on the glycosylation of β476 lactoglobulin with dextran through the Maillard reaction. Food Hydrocolloids, 19 (5),
477 831-837.

478 Kaminogawa, S., 2000. Food allergens and the mucosal immune system.
479 Biofactors, 12, 29-32.

480 Kato, A., 2002. Industrial applications of Maillard-type protein polysaccharide
481 conjugates. Food Science and Technology Research, 8, 193-199.

482 Laerke, H.N., Jensen, B.B.D., 1999. Tagatose has low small intestinal digestibility

483 but high large intestinal fermentability in pigs. Journal of Nutrition, 129, 1002-1009.

484 Laerke, H.N., Jensen, B.B., Hojsgaard, S., 2000. In vitro fermentation pattern of

485 D-tagatose is affected by adaptation of the microbiota from the gastrointestinal tract of
486 pigs. Journal of Nutrition, 130, 1772–1779.

487 Laparra, J.M., Corzo-Martínez, M., Villamiel, M., Moreno, F.J., Sanz, Y., 2011.
488 Maillard-type glycoconjugates from dairy proteins inhibit adhesion of *Escherichia coli*489 to mucin. Food Chemistry, 129, 1435-1443.

490 Lee, K., Erbersdobler, H.F., 1994. Balanced experiments in human volunteers491 with epsilon-fructoselysine and lysinoalanine. In: Labuza, T.P., Reineccius, G.A.,

Monnier, V.M., O'Brien, J., Baynes, J.W., (Eds.), Maillard reactions in chemistry, food
and health. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, pp. 358-363.

MacFarlane, G.T., Steed, H., MacFarlane, S., 2008. Bacterial metabolism and
health-realted effects of galacto-oligosaccharides and other prebiotics. Journal of
Applied Microbiology, 104, 305-344.

Mills, D.J.S., Tuhoy, K.M., Booth, J., Buck, M., Crabbe, M.J.C., Gibson, G.R.,
Ames, J.M., 2008. Dietary glycated protein modulates the colonic microbiota towards a
more detrimental composition in ulcerative colitis patients and non-ulcerative colitis
subjects. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 105, 706-714.

Moreno, F.J., Jenkins, J.A., Mellon, F.A., Rigby, N.M., Robertson, J.A., Wellner,
N., Mills, C.E.N., 2004. Mass spectrometry and structural characterization of 2S
albumin isoforms from Brazil nuts (Bertholletia excelsa). Biochimica et Biophysica
Acta e Proteins and Proteomics, 1698, 175-186.

Moreno, F.J., Mellon, F.A., Wickham, M.S.J., Bottrill, A.R., Mills, E.N.C., 2005.
Stability of the major allergen Brazil nut 2S albumin (Ber e 1) to physiologically
relevant *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion. FEBS Journal, 272, 341-352.

508 Oliver, C.M., Melton, L.D., Stanley, R.A., 2006a. Creating proteins with novel 509 functionality via the Maillard reaction: a review. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science 510 and Nutrition, 46, 337-350.

511 Oliver, C.M., Melton, L.D., Stanley, R.A., 2006b. Functional properties of 512 caseinate glycoconjugates prepared by controlled heating in the "dry" state. Journal of 513 the Science of Food and Agriculture, 86, 732-740.

Reddy, I.M., Kella, N.K.D., Kinsella, J.E., 1988. Structural and conformational
basis of the resistance of β-lactoglobulin to peptic and chymotryptic digestion. Journal
of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 36, 737-741.

517 Saarela, M., Lähteenmäki, R., Crittenden, R., Salminen, S., Mattila-Sandholm, T.,
518 2002. Gut bacteria and health foods-the European perspective. International Journal of
519 Food Microbiology, 78, 99-117.

Sanz, M.-L., Corzo-Martínez, M., Rastall, R.A., Olano, A., Moreno, F.J., 2007.
Characterization and *in vitro* digestibility of bovine β-lactoglobulin glycated with
galactooligosaccharides. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 55, 7916-7925.

Tabasco, R., Paarup, T., Janer, C., Peláez, C., Requena, T., 2007. Selective
enumeration and identification of mixed cultures of *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. paracasei* and *Bifidobacterium lactis* in fermented milk. International Dairy Journal, 17, 1107–1114.

Tuohy, K.M., Ziemer, C.J., Klinder, A., Knöbel, Y., Pool-Zobel, B.L., Gibson, G.
R., 2002. A human volunteer study to determine the prebiotic effects of lactulose
powder on human colonic microbiota. Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease, 14,
165-173.

# 532 Tables

**Table 1.** Structural features of glycoconjugates used for the bacterial growth study.

Glycoconjugates	Incubation conditions	Structural features	Reference
β-Lg:Gal	40 °C, 24 h	Maximum content in the Amadori compound tagatosyl-lysine and non-aggregated	Corzo-Martínez et al. (2008)
	50 °C, 48 h	Highly glycated and aggregated	Corzo-Martínez et al. (2008)
β-Lg:Lac	60 °C, 8 h	Maximum content in the Amadori compound lactulosyl-lysine and non-aggregated	Laparra et al. (2011)
	60 °C, 48 h	Highly glycated and aggregated	Laparra et al. (2011)
SC:Gal	60 °C, 4 h	Maximum content in the Amadori compound tagatosyl-lysine and non-aggregated	Corzo-Martínez et al. (2010)
	50 °C, 72 h	Highly glycated and aggregated	Corzo-Martínez et al. (2010)
SC:Lac	60 °C, 8 h	Maximum content in the Amadori compound lactulosyl-lysine and non-aggregated	Corzo-Martínez et al. (2010)
	60 °C, 24 h	Highly glycated and aggregated	Corzo-Martínez et al. (2010)

**Table 2.** Maxima growth rates  $(\mu_{max}, h^{-1})$  and lag parameters (lag, h) of bacteria growing aerobically on glucose, tagatose, lactulose, and hydrolysates derived from *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion of galactosylated and lactosylated  $\beta$ -Lg and SC as substrates. **540** 

Sample		L. ca LC-	ısei •01	L. reute	ri R13	L. plantarum IFPL722		L. plantarum CLC17		L. delbrueckii ZL95-27		L. brevis CLC23		S. thermophilus		S. salivarius ZL50-7		
Conjugate	t (h)	T <sup>a</sup> (°C)	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag	$\mu_{max}$	lag
Glucose	0	0	0.135	6.97	0.532	3.25	0.162	7.04	0.167	7.34	0.246	9.45	0.057	4.66	0.112	7.00	0.747	6.46
Tagatose	0	0	0.129	7.13	NG	r*	NC	3	NO	3	NG		NG NG		NG		NG	
Lactulose	0	0	0.142	7.52	0.210	4.17	0.177	7.95	0.113	7.91	NC	3	N	3	0.177	8.96	0.492	6.60
Q L cuCol	24	40	0.048	0	0.132	0	0.191	0	0.102	0	0.102	0	0.114	0	NC	r	NC	3
p-Lg:Gai	48	50	0.048	3.47	0.043	0.44	0.056	0	0.038	0	0.045	0	0.044	0.44	NC	ŕ	NC	3
θΙαυιοο	8	60	0.020	1.21	NC	3	NC	3	NO	3	NC	3	N	3	NC	r	NC	3
p-Lg:Lac	48	60	0.037	8.79	NC	3	NC	3	NO	3	NC	3	N	3	NC	ŕ	NC	3
SCiCal	4	60	0.541	0	0.516	0	0.539	0	0.591	0	0.536	0	0.673	0.31	0.232	0	0.253	0
SC:Gal	72	50	0.103	0	0.130	2.84	0.118	0	0.103	0	0.140	1.61	0.135	3.18	NC	r	NC	3
SC:Lac	8	60	0.445	0	0.410	0	0.410	0	0.428	0	0.474	0	0.323	0	0.192	0	0.373	0
	24	60	0.232	0	0.254	0.83	0.198	0	0.258	0.51	0.251	0	0.265	1.06	0.088	0	0.091	0

541 \*NG: no growth ( $OD_{600} < 0.2$ )

Sample			L. casei	L. reuteri	L. plantarum	L. plantarum	L. delbrueckii	L. brevis	<i>S</i> .	S. salivarius
Conjugate T (h) T <sup>a</sup> (°C)		LC-01	R13	IFPL722	CLC17	ZL95-27	CLC23	thermophilus	ZL50-7	
Glucose	0	$0  0  0.881^{a}  1.076^{a}$		0.916 <sup>a</sup>	0.884 <sup>a</sup>	0.912 <sup>a</sup>	0.793 <sup>a</sup>	0.663 <sup>a</sup>	1.304 <sup>a</sup>	
Tagatose	0	0	0.902 <sup>a</sup>	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG
Lactulose	0	0	0.997 <sup>b</sup>	0.974 <sup>b</sup>	1.195 <sup>b</sup>	0.895 <sup>a</sup>	NG	NG	0.986 <sup>b</sup>	1.314 <sup>a</sup>
	24	40	0.875 <sup>a</sup>	0.833 <sup>c</sup>	0.962 <sup>c</sup>	0.886 <sup>a</sup>	1.068 <sup>ae</sup>	0.946 <sup>b</sup>	NG	NG
β-Lg:Gal	48	50	0.691 <sup>c</sup>	0.678 <sup>d</sup>	0.695 <sup>d</sup>	0.663 <sup>b</sup>	0.682 <sup>b</sup>	0.642 <sup>c</sup>	NG	NG
	8	60	0.390 <sup>d</sup>	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG
β-Lg:Lac	48	60	0.412 <sup>d</sup>	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG
	4	60	1.622 <sup>e</sup>	1.528 <sup>e</sup>	1.592 <sup>e</sup>	1.537 <sup>c</sup>	1.573 <sup>c</sup>	1.493 <sup>d</sup>	0.857 <sup>c</sup>	0.851 <sup>b</sup>
SC:Gal	72	50	1.229 <sup>f</sup>	0.886 <sup>bc</sup>	1.201 <sup>b</sup>	0.967 <sup>ae</sup>	0.964 <sup>ae</sup>	0.741 <sup>e</sup>	NG	NG
2 <b>2 1</b>	8	60	1.467 <sup>g</sup>	1.419 <sup>f</sup>	1.410 <sup>f</sup>	1.238 <sup>d</sup>	1.376 <sup>d</sup>	1.336 <sup>f</sup>	0.857 <sup>d</sup>	0.946 <sup>c</sup>
SC:Lac	24	60	1.254 <sup>f</sup>	0.919 <sup>bc</sup>	1.296 <sup>g</sup>	1.036 <sup>e</sup>	1.110 <sup>e</sup>	0.878 <sup>g</sup>	0.707 <sup>e</sup>	0.738 <sup>d</sup>

Table 3. Values of maximum DO<sub>600</sub> reached by aerobic strains incubated with glucose, tagatose, lactulose, and hydrolysates derived from in *vitro* gastrointestinal digestion of galactosylated and lactosylated  $\beta$ -Lg and SC as substrates. 

\*NG: no growth ( $OD_{600} < 0.2$ ) <sup>a-g</sup> Different case letters indicate statistically significant (P<0.05) differences between substrates for each bacterial strain. 

Table 4. Values of DO<sub>600</sub> after 24 h of incubation of anaerobic strains with glucose, tagatose, lactulose, and hydrolysates derived from in vitro gastrointestinal digestion of galactosylated and lactosylated  $\beta$ -Lg and SC as substrates. 

Sa	mple			R hreve		L. acidonhilus		
Conjugate T (h)		T <sup>a</sup> (°C)	B. lactis BB12	26M2	L. gasseri Lc9	LA-5		
Glucose	0	0	1.049 <sup>a</sup>	0.624 <sup>a</sup>	0.799 <sup>a</sup>	0.790 <sup>a</sup>		
Tagatose	0	0	NG*	NG	NG	0.703 <sup>b</sup>		
Lactulose	0	0	NG	0.914 <sup>b</sup>	NG	NG		
0.1	24	40	0.382 <sup>b</sup>	0.388 <sup>c</sup>	0.522 <sup>b</sup>	0.522 <sup>c</sup>		
p-Lg:Gal	48	50	0.208 <sup>c</sup>	0.169 <sup>d</sup>	0.206 <sup>c</sup>	0.206 <sup>d</sup>		
0 I al co	8	60	NG	NG	NG	0.386 <sup>e</sup>		
p-Lg:Lac	48	60	NG	NG	NG	0.386 <sup>e</sup>		
SC.Cal	4	60	0.714 <sup>d</sup>	0.700 <sup>e</sup>	1.259 <sup>d</sup>	1.292 <sup>f</sup>		
SC:Gal	72	50	0.568 <sup>e</sup>	$0.440^{ m f}$	0.673 <sup>e</sup>	0.588 <sup>g</sup>		
SC:Loo	8	60	1.100 <sup>f</sup>	1.081 <sup>g</sup>	0.989 <sup>f</sup>	1.233 <sup>h</sup>		
SC.Lac	24	60	0.993 <sup>a</sup>	1.136 <sup>h</sup>	1.103 <sup>g</sup>	1.200 <sup>i</sup>		

\*NG: no growth ( $OD_{600} < 0.2$ )

<sup>a-i</sup> Different case letters indicate statistically significant (P<0.05) differences between substrates for each bacterial strain.

#### Figure captions

**Figure 1.** SDS-PAGE analysis of hydrolysates of control β-Lg incubated for 24 h at 40°C (a) and 48 h at 50°C (c) and  $\beta$ -Lg glycated with Gal for 24 h at 40°C (b) and 48 h at 50°C (d) after *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion. M: Mw markers. Figure 2. Chromatographic profiles obtained by RP-LC-UV of: (A) native SC non-digested and control heated SC [4 h, 60 °C] and [72 h, 50 °C] subjected to in vitro gastrointestinal digestion, and (B) native SC non-digested and SC glycated with Gal at 60 °C for 4 h and at 50 °C for 72 h subjected to in vitro gastrointestinal digestion. Figure 3. Growth curves of aerobic strains of lactic acid bacteria assayed with glucose, <u> tagatose</u>, <u> lactulose</u> and hydrolysates derived from *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion of galactosylated (---- 24 h at 40 °C and -->-- 48 h at 50 °C) and lactosylated (---8 and ---48 h at 60 °C)  $\beta$ -Lg and galactosylated (---4 h at 60 °C and  $-\times -$  72 h at 50 °C) and lactosylated (-- 8 and  $-\sim -$  24 h at 60 °C) SC as substrates. 







# Effect of milk protein glycation and gastrointestinal digestion on the growth of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria Corzo-Martínez et al.

### **Reviewers' comments:**

#### **Reviewer #1:**

The paper is interesting. Few but important recommendations are needed and they should take in account by the authors.

#### 1. Introduction: it seems to long. I think the authors should decrease it.

According to the referee indication, we have decreased the section of Introduction of the revised version of the paper.

#### 2. Material and Methods:

2.1. An important remark is it takes clear for the reader the strain used. Please, add some reference where the commercial starters are used in probiotic dairy food processing. Preferentially, recent IFJM references. Item 2.3 - please, add a reference.

The reference Tabasco et al. 2007 has been added in line 116 to indicate the origin of the commercial starter strains.

*Item 2.3: reference Moreno et al. (2005) in line 163 was added for previous description of substrates digestion.* 

#### 2.2. Include a section of statistical analysis.

Following the referee's suggestion, we have included a section of statistical analysis (Section 2.6, see lines 232-238 in the new version of the manuscript).

**3.** Results and discussion: I think prudent to include this behaviour is straindependent and other strains should be tested in further studies. Additional, the comparation with other prebiotics is interesting. This recommendation should be include in this part and emphasized in the conclusion section.

We are in complete agreement with the reviewer. Thus, we have included in the text that the behaviour observed is strain-dependent (see lines 285 and 368 in the new version of the manuscript) and referee's recommendations (see lines 352-354 in the new version of the manuscript).

#### 4. Conclusion: some recommendation should be included.

*Referee's recommendation has been included in the section of Conclusion of the revised version of the paper (see lines 415-418 and 431-433).* 

#### **Reviewer #2:**

The results of the paper with title" Effect of milk protein glycation and gastrointestinal digestion on the growth of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria" demonstrated that conjugation of both milk proteins with galactose and lactose via the Maillard reaction could be an efficient method to obtain novel food ingredients with a potential prebiotic character. The manuscript is well organised and clearly written. It contains a sufficient number of experiments.

# For better understand of results I recommend to apply statistical interpretation for Table 2 and 3.

Following the referee's suggestion and also according to reviewer 1, we have carried out the statistical analysis of data (see new tables 3 and 4 of the revised manuscript). In the case of aerobic cultures (Table 2), we have included the statistical analysis in another table (new table 3) with the values of maximum optical density  $(OD_{600})$ , since the statistical analysis was unpractical for  $\mu$ max and lag data (table 2).