

1

2 **Antibiosis of vineyard ecosystem fungi against food-borne microorganisms**

3

4 Carolina Cueva^a, M.Victoria Moreno-Arribas^{a*}, Begoña Bartolomé^a, Óscar Salazar^b,5 M.Francisca Vicente^c, Gerald F. Bills^c

6

7

8 ^a Instituto de Investigación Ciencias de la Alimentación (CIAL), CSIC-UAM, C/Nicolás

9 Cabrera 9, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

10 ^b Genómica S.A.U (Zeltia), Alcarria 7, 28823 Madrid, Spain11 ^c Fundación MEDINA, Parque Tecnológico de Ciencias de Salud, Armilla 18100,

12 Granada, Spain

13

14

15 carolina.cueva@csic.es

16 victoria.moreno@csic.es “*Correspondence and reprints”

17 bartolome@ifi.csic.es

18 osalazar@genomica.es

19 francisca.vicente@medinaandalucia.es

20 gerald.bills@medinaandalucia.es

21

22

23

1 **Abstract**

2 Fermentation extracts from fungi isolated from vineyard ecosystems were tested for
3 antimicrobial activities against a set of test microorganisms, including five food-borne
4 pathogens (*Staphylococcus aureus* EP167, *Acinetobacter baumannii* (clinical isolated),
5 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (CECT 5947) and *Candida*
6 *albicans* MY1055) and two probiotic bacteria (*Lactobacillus plantarum* LCH17 and
7 *Lactobacillus brevis* LCH23). A total of 182 fungi were grown in eight different media,
8 and the fermentation extracts were screened for antimicrobial activity. A total of 71 fungi
9 produced extracts active against at least one pathogenic microorganism, but not against
10 any probiotic bacteria. The Gram-positive bacterium, *Staphylococcus aureus* EP167, was
11 more susceptible to antimicrobial fungi broths extracts than Gram-negative bacteria and
12 pathogenic fungi. Identification of active fungi based on internal transcribed spacer rRNA
13 sequence analysis revealed that species in the orders *Pleosporales*, *Hypocreales* and
14 *Xylariales* dominated. Differences in antimicrobial selectivity were observed among
15 isolates from the same species. Some compounds present in the active extracts were
16 tentatively identified by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Antimicrobial
17 metabolites produced by vineyard-ecosystem fungi may potentially limit colonization and
18 spoilage of food products by food-borne pathogens, with minimal effect on probiotic
19 bacteria.

20

21 **Keywords**

22 Antimicrobial screening; fungi; vineyard ecosystem; food-borne microorganisms;
23 secondary metabolites

24

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Foods are commonly contaminated by pathogenic bacteria and yeasts that may cause
3 food spoilage and food-borne diseases in humans (Ray, 1996; Vazquez et al., 1993;
4 Velusamy et al., 2010), especially in hospital environments. In contrast, occurrence of
5 probiotic bacteria in food, such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, confers health benefits to
6 the host (Lebeer et al., 2008). New trends recommend the reduction of the use of
7 chemically synthesized preservatives in favour of natural alternatives that guarantee
8 sufficiently prolonged shelf-life of foods and ensure food safety with respect to food-borne
9 pathogens. In the search for use molecules, microorganisms have emerged as an effective
10 source of natural substances that could be used as preservatives in order to ensure food
11 preservation and safety (Wiyakrutta et al., 2004).

12 Fungi are well-known to produce both beneficial and deleterious natural products for
13 human health and nutrition (Demain, 2000) and continue to be investigated as useful
14 sources of natural products -secondary metabolites- (Hoffmeister et al., 2007) for their
15 potential medical, industrial and agricultural use (Bills et al., 1994; Calvo et al., 2002; Li
16 et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008). Natural products screening programmes have often focused
17 on searching of antibiotics (Basilio et al., 2003; González del Val et al., 2001; Peláez et
18 al., 1998; Suay et al., 2000), although some antibioticly-active molecules could have
19 unexpected alternative applications (Demain, 1998). Antibiotic screening does not only
20 provide candidate compounds useful for a target application, but also antibiotic activity
21 may be indicative of complementary bioactivities and suggests high priority status for
22 broad-based pharmacological, microbiological, molecular biological, and agricultural
23 testing of the fungi-originated compound or mixture compounds (Demain, 1998).

24 Grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.) are one of the most important fruit species worldwide
25 because their fruit is the basis of wine production (Ali et al., 2009). In their natural

1 environment, grapevine trunks are a host to a number of fungi and yeasts. The fungi most
2 frequently isolated from grapevine ecosystem are *Fusarium* spp, *Cylindrocarpon* spp,
3 *Alternaria* spp, *Penicillium* spp, *Trichoderma* spp, and *Pestalotiopsis* spp (Halleen et al.,
4 2003). Regarding secondary metabolites produced by grapevine fungi; most of the
5 previous studies have focused on pathogenic fungi such as *Botryosphaeria obtusa*,
6 *Botrytis cinerea* and *Eutypa lata* (Djoukeng et al., 2009; González Collado et al., 2007;
7 Jiménez Teja et al., 2006; Molyneux et al., 2002). We have built a collection of fungi
8 associated from these environments to explore for potential applications of their metabolic
9 products, including their enzymes, small molecular weight metabolites, and genomic
10 DNAs.

11 Using components of this collection, we set out to test the hypothesis that vineyard
12 ecosystem fungi might produce natural products able to selectively inhibit food-borne
13 pathogens without limiting the growth of beneficial probiotic bacteria. The pathogenic
14 microorganisms evaluated were: *E. coli* O157:H7 (CECT 5947), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
15 PAO1, *Staphylococcus aureus* EP167, *Acinetobacter baumannii* (clinical isolated) and
16 *Candida albicans* MY1055, and the probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCH17
17 and *Lactobacillus brevis* LCH23. DNA from the fungi whose extracts showed selective
18 antimicrobial activity against pathogens was purified and their internal transcribed spacer
19 rRNA regions were amplified and sequenced for molecular identification. Additionally,
20 active extracts were also analysed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)
21 for identification of active compounds.

22

1 **2. Materials and methods**

2

3 *2.1. Isolation of fungi from grapevine plants and soils*

4 Vineyard soil and plants were sampled at two locations in the province of Madrid
5 (Villamanrique del Tajo (VT) and Escuela de la Vid (EV)), one location in the province of
6 Guadalajara (Tortuero, (T)) and one location in the province of Ciudad Real (Membrilla,
7 (M)), all in Central Spain. To isolate endophytic fungi, grapevine stems were cut from
8 grapevine plants, place in clean paper envelopes, and transported to the laboratory at
9 ambient temperature in the same day. Samples were stored at 4 °C up to 48 h before
10 processing. Bark and leaf bud surfaces were disinfected by sequential 30 sec washes in
11 70% ethanol, 5% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol and sterile water (bark samples), and
12 70% ethanol and sterile H₂O (leaf bud samples). To obtain xylem samples, grapevine
13 stems were split at the distal end to expose the fresh uncontaminated xylem, and small
14 chips were removed aseptically from the centre of the stem's interior with a sterile scalpel
15 and forceps. After surface decontamination, individual bark fragments, xylem chips and
16 leaf buds were aseptically transferred to each well of 48-well tissue culture plates
17 containing YMC medium [malt extract (Becton Dickinson), 10 g; yeast extract (Becton
18 Dickinson), 2 g; agar (Conda), 20 g; cyclosporin A, 4 mg; streptomycin sulfate, 50 mg;
19 terramycin, 50 mg; distilled water 1 L]. Eighteen 48-well microplates were prepared per
20 plant (six for bark fragments, six for xylem chips and six for leaf buds). Isolation plates
21 were dried briefly in a laminar flow hood to remove excess liquid from agar surfaces, and
22 incubated for two weeks at 22 °C and 70% relative humidity.

23 Soil samples were sieved before fungi isolation. Soils aliquots were first washed and
24 separated into particles using a particle filtration method in order to reduce the number of
25 colonies of heavily sporulating fungi (Bills et al., 2004). Washed soil particles were plated

1 using a dilution-to-extinction strategy (Collado et al., 2007; Sánchez Márquez et al.,
2 2011). Approximately 0.5 cm² of washed soil particles were resuspended in 30 mL of
3 sterile H₂O. Ten-microliter aliquots of particle suspensions were pipetted per well into 48-
4 well tissue culture plates containing YMC medium. Nine (three per dilution) 48-well
5 microplates were prepared per sample. Isolation plates were dried briefly in a laminar flow
6 hood to remove excess liquid from agar surfaces, and incubated for two weeks at 22 °C
7 and 70% relative humidity.

8 From each type of isolation plate, individual colonies were transferred to YM plates
9 [malt extract, 10 g; yeast extract, 2 g; agar, 20 g; 1 L distilled H₂O] and incubated for 3
10 weeks. Isolates were classified into ‘morphospecies’ on the basis of colony morphology
11 (Bills et al., 2004). Morphospecies groupings were re-evaluated and consolidated
12 following analyses of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence data, and representative
13 isolates were selected for screening. Representative strains were preserved as frozen agar
14 plugs in vials containing 10% glycerol at -80 °C. Strains are available from Fundación
15 MEDINA Culture Collection, Granada, Spain (www.meditinaandalucia.es).

16

17 2.2. *Fungal fermentation and metabolite extraction*

18 Media formulations, tools and protocols for fermenting fungi in nutritional arrays
19 and extracting metabolites from mycelium have been described previously (Bills et al.,
20 2008, 2009; Duetz et al., 2010; Vicente et al, 2009). Briefly, each strain was grown as a
21 liquid hyphal suspension in tubes. Hyphal suspensions from sets of 80 strains were
22 transferred to the centre 80 wells of a master plate. Inoculum in the master plate was
23 replicated with a pin tool across eight new plates each containing different fermentation
24 medium at 1 mL per well to generate an eight-medium by 80 strain nutritional arrays.

1 To extract each well of the nutritional array, the mycelia adhering to the well walls
2 were gently dislodged by introducing a block of fixed 200 μ L pipette tips five times, and
3 850 μ L of acetone was added to each well. Plates were sealed with a silicone mat and were
4 shaken for 30 min in one direction, and 30 min in the opposite direction, at 220 rpm and
5 22 °C. To retain metabolites in solution, 170 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added
6 to each well, and after 5 min shaking, the acetone was evaporated in a Genevac HT-24
7 vacuum centrifuge for 75 min. Plates were opened in a chemical fume hood and air dried
8 for about 2 h more. Extracted mycelium was pushed to the bottom of the wells with a
9 metal plunger (Duetz et al., 2010). About 500 μ L of the acetone-medium supernatant from
10 each well was transferred to 800 μ L-well assay plates (AB-gene AB-0765). After addition
11 of 165 μ L of water, each well contained an aqueous 665 μ L sample that was 0.75 \times the
12 concentration of the original fermentation and contained 20% DMSO. Extracts were
13 stored at -4 °C for 2 days and were briefly shaken on a MicroMix plate mixer prior to
14 assay.

15

16 2.3. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity

17 *Test microorganisms and assay plates.* *In vitro* antimicrobial activity susceptibility
18 was determined by using a panel of seven microorganisms (Table 1). The probiotic strains
19 were kindly provided by Dr. J.M. Rodriguez from the Department of Nutrition and Food
20 and Science Technology, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (Madrid, Spain) and
21 included: *L. plantarum* LCH17 and *L. brevis* LCH23, which were isolated from milk of
22 healthy mothers (Jiménez et al., 2008; Martín et al., 2003). The human pathogenic
23 reference strains, *E. coli* O157:H7 (CECT 5947; virulence factor deleted) was obtained
24 from the Spanish Type Culture Collection (CECT), whereas *Acinetobacter baumannii*
25 (clinical isolated), *Candida albicans* MY1055, *Staphylococcus aureus* EP167 (methicillin-

1 susceptible *S. aureus*) (Novick, 1990), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 (Holloway et
2 al., 1979) were from the Fundación MEDINA Culture Collection. Culture maintenance,
3 assay growth conditions and antibiotics used as positive and negative control have been
4 detailed previously (Cueva et al., 2010) and are summarized in Table 1.

5 *Antimicrobial activity assay.* For screening the antimicrobial activity of fungal
6 fermentation extracts, antimicrobial activity assay were carried out as described previously
7 Cueva et al. (2010). Inhibition percentage values considered active were: $\geq 30\%$ for *C.*
8 *albicans* MY1055, $\geq 50\%$ for *A. baumannii* 5973, *E. coli* CECT 5947 and *P.aeruginosa*
9 PAO1, and $\geq 60\%$ for *S. aureus* EP167. The minimal values were selected based on
10 effective fungi extracts resistance of the strains, which is strain-dependent. This approach,
11 commonly used to study of natural products (Bills et al., 2008; Vicente et al., 2009),
12 selects cut-off values high enough in order to obtain a repeatability of results.

13

14 2.4. *Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and database matching of known* 15 *antimicrobial metabolites*

16 Metabolites in fermentation extracts were matched to a proprietary reference library
17 of fully characterized fungal metabolites and authentic samples using an in-house
18 developed application where the diode array signal, retention time, positive and negative
19 mass spectra of the active samples were compared to those of library (Bills et al., 2009;
20 Vicente et al., 2009). Active extracts (2 μL) were analysed with an Agilent (Santa Clara,
21 CA) 1100 single Quadrupole LC-MS, coupled to a Zorbax SB-C8 column (2.1 x 30 mm),
22 maintained at 40 °C at a flow rate of 300 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$. Solvent A consisted of 10% acetonitrile,
23 90% H_2O , 1.3 mM trifluoroacetic acid and 1.3 mM ammonium formate, while solvent B
24 was 90% acetonitrile, 10% water, 1.3 mM trifluoroacetic acid and 1.3 mM ammonium
25 formate. The gradient started at 10% B and went to 100% B in 6 minutes, kept at 100% B

1 for 2 min and returned to 10% for 2 min to initialize the system. Full diode array UV scans
2 from 100 to 900 nm were collected in 4 nm steps at 0.25 sec/scan. The eluting solvent was
3 ionized using the standard Agilent 1100 ESI source adjusted to a drying gas flow of 11
4 L/min at 325 °C and a nebulizer pressure of 40 psig. The capillary voltage was set to 3500
5 V. Mass spectra were collected as full scans from 150 m/z to 1500 m/z, with one scan
6 every 0.77 sec, in positive and negative modes. The possible origin of metabolites from
7 medium components was excluded by analysing negative controls, i.e. extracts of the sterile
8 media treated identically to cultures, in parallel.

9

10 2.5. *Molecular identification*

11 *DNA extraction.* Approximately 1 mL of fungi inoculum from each tube was
12 transferred into 96-well plates with a Transfer Tube (Spectrum Laboratories, Rancho
13 Dominguez, CA, USA). Total genomic DNA from the different microorganisms was
14 isolated using a Master Pure™ Gram Positive DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre
15 Biotechnologies) following manufacturer's instruction; slight modifications were made in
16 order to improve fungi DNA extraction. The modifications carried out were as following:
17 a) some centrifugation steps were made twice (the first step of Gram Positive DNA
18 Purification Protocol and the seventh step in the DNA Precipitation), b) the volume of
19 isopropanol added for DNA precipitation was 300 µL, followed of drying step in a
20 Genevac HT-24 vacuum centrifuge at 45°C for 15 min, and c) DNA extracts were
21 resuspended in 100 µL of Milli-Q water.

22 *PCR amplification.* DNA extracted was used for PCR amplification. DNAs were
23 subjected to PCR reactions with primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al., 1990). Reactions
24 were performed in a final volume of 50 µL containing 0.2 mM of the four dNTPs (Applied
25 Biosystems), 0.05 µM of each primer, 5 µL of the extracted DNA (about 10 ng/µl) and 0.5

1 U *Taq* polymerase (Appligene, Illkirch, France) with its appropriate reaction buffer.
2 Controls without fungi DNA were included for each PCR experiment. Amplifications
3 were performed in a Thermocycler PCR PTC-200 (Bio-Rad), according to the following
4 profile: 40 cycles of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 51°C and 2 min at 72°C. Amplifications
5 products were visualized by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels (Invitrogen E-Gel[®] 48 1 %
6 (GP) G8008-01) using an Invitrogen E-Base. PCR products were purified using Illustra
7 GFX 96 PCR Purification Kit (Amersham Biosciences).

8 *DNA sequencing and sequence analysis.* PCR primers ITS1 and ITS 4 were used for
9 the amplification of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of the wild-type isolates. The PCR
10 products were purified and used as a template in sequencing reactions with the primers
11 ITS1 and ITS4. Amplified and cloned DNA fragments were sequenced by using an ABI
12 Prism Dye terminator cycle sequencing kit (Amersham Biosciences). Sequences were
13 aligned using CLUSTAL W (Thomson et al., 1994). The analysis was complemented with
14 ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 sequences of fungal species available in GenBank and with similarity
15 searches using BLAST.

16

17

1 **3. Results and discussion**

2

3 3.1. *Isolation of fungi*

4 Following surface disinfection methods, a total of 290 strains were isolated from
5 samples of vineyard soil and plants from four locations of Spain: 30 from Villamanrique
6 del Tajo (VT), 97 from Escuela de la Vid (EV), 101 from Membrilla (M), and 62 from
7 Tortuero (T). An initial visual screening was carried out to discard identical isolates. As a
8 result, a total of 182 fungal isolates were selected for the antimicrobial activity assays. The
9 large number of fungal species confirmed that vineyard environment was an important
10 source of potentially-active fungi.

11

12 3.2. *Antimicrobial activity of fungi extracts*

13 Each fungal isolate was grown in eight different media to promote the development
14 of each strain's full capacity to produce secondary metabolites. Therefore, a total of 1456
15 extracts were assayed for antimicrobial activity against five food-borne pathogenic strains
16 (*S. aureus* EP167, *A. baumannii* (clinical isolated), *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, *E. coli* O157:H7
17 (CECT 5947) and *C. albicans* MY1055) and two probiotic strains (*L. plantarum* LCH17
18 and *L. brevis* LCH23). A total of 71 fungi isolates met or exceeded the minimal
19 antimicrobial activity in at least one of the eight growth conditions and resulted inactive
20 against the two probiotic bacteria. Among these active fungi, 13 of them showed
21 antimicrobial activity against two pathogenic strains or more (Table 2). However, the
22 majority of them inhibited selectively the growth of only one pathogenic strain (Table 3).

23 Regarding antibacterial resistance, Gram-positive *S. aureus* EP167 was the most
24 susceptible to fungal extracts, whereas *A. baumannii*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *P. aeruginosa*
25 PAO1 (Gram negative) were more resistant. These results are consistent with the fact that

1 Gram negative bacteria are characterised by an outer membrane that provides the cell with
2 a hydrophilic surface that is able to exclude certain hydrophobic molecules, therefore
3 imparting intrinsic resistance of these bacteria to antimicrobial compounds (Perry et al.,
4 2009).

5 Bacterial and fungal food infections pose a health threat, most notably in
6 immunocompromised subjects. *S. aureus* is an opportunistic human pathogen, causing
7 major problems in the food sector as well as the clinic (Rode et al., 2007). Regarding
8 Gram negative strains, *E. coli* O157:H7 is a pathogen that causes haemorrhagic colitis,
9 haemolytic uremic syndrome and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (Charimba et al.,
10 2010); *P. aeruginosa* is a nosocomial pathogen that causes urinary tract infections,
11 respiratory system infections, dermatitis, and gastrointestinal infections; *A. baumannii* is
12 implicated in a variety of nosocomial infections, including bacteremia, urinary tract
13 infection and pneumonia (Bergogne-Bérézin et al., 1996). On the other hand, dietary
14 contamination with the yeast *C. albicans* may cause opportunistic mouth infections
15 (Rauha et al., 2000). In contrast, the occurrence of probiotic bacteria in food, such as
16 *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCH17 and *Lactobacillus brevis* LCH23 confer a health benefit
17 to the host. One of the mechanisms exerting health promoting effects in human beings is
18 pathogen inhibition and restoration of microbial homeostasis through microbe-microbe
19 interactions. This capacity has been a major principal for food preservation (Lebeer et al.,
20 2008). Although the techniques to preserve food safety are improving, pathogenic
21 microorganisms such as used in this study may be ingested in the human body through
22 contaminated food, which could provoke serious problems on human health, especially in
23 hospitalized patients whose immune system are debilitated.

24

25 3.3. *Molecular identification*

1 The sequences from each strain were compared with sequences in the GenBank
2 database to approximate fungi identification. Best GenBank Blast match identifications
3 and GenBank accession numbers of fungi causing antimicrobial activity are provided in
4 Tables 2 and 3. These fungi belonged mostly to the *Dothideomycetes* and *Sordariomycetes*
5 classes. The most frequently active fungi were *Alternaria* spp, Coelomycete strains,
6 *Aspergillus* spp, *Fusarium* spp, *Discostroma* spp, *Penicillium* spp, *Leptosphaeria* spp and
7 *Pestalotiopsis* spp. The distribution of fungal tax is consistent with the report of Halleen et
8 al. (2003). These fungi apparently were not restricted to any particular location. The
9 common phytophathogens, *Botryosphaeria* sp and *Phaeoacremonium* sp were also found.
10 These pathogens are associated with black dead arm and esca disease respectively
11 (Djoukeng et al., 2009; Larignon et al., 1997; Sánchez-Torres et al., 2008). Sequences of
12 some fungi could not be identified (unidentified fungus) because of lack of comparative
13 sequences in the Genbank database (results not shown). Antifungal activities were most
14 frequently detected in strains from the order *Pleosporales*, and the most potent
15 antibacterial activity was found in the strains of the order *Hypocreales*. Some of the results
16 reported in this study are consistent with those from earlier studies in other fungi sources.
17 For example, it is known that members of group *Eurotiales*, *Hypocreales* and
18 *Pleosporales* consistently produce antibacterial and antifungal metabolites (Peláez et al.,
19 1998; Suay et al., 2000).

20 The two fungi whose extracts showed activity against all the pathogens were
21 *Aspergillus niger* (E-000535890) and *Epicoccum nigrum* (E-000535780) (Table 2). Other
22 strains of *E. nigrum* (E-000535735) were active but only against *A. baumannii* (Table 3)
23 As seen for other isolates, antimicrobial selectivity of fungi extracts varied slightly among
24 strains of the same species (Table 2 and 3). Similar intraspecific variability was also

1 described by Möller et al. (1997) for *Chaunopycnis alba* and by Peláez et al. (1998) for
2 *Pseudodiploidia* sp and *Sporomiella intermedia*.

3

4 3.4. Identification of bioactive metabolites

5 An attempt to confirm the antimicrobial potential of extracts from vineyard
6 ecosystem fungi, known antimicrobial metabolites in the active extracts were identified by
7 LC-MS database matching, taking into account that these metabolites might not be the
8 agent responsible for the antimicrobial activity of the extracts. Liquid chromatography-
9 mass spectrometry identified thirteen known metabolites considered of broad
10 antimicrobial spectra (Tables 2 and 3). In addition, other yet uncharacterized metabolites
11 were recognized (results not shown), which are a candidates for purifying of antibacterial
12 and antifungal metabolites.

13 Most of the extracts containing the compounds identified in Tables 2 and 3 showed
14 antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* EP167. As described previously Peláez et al.
15 (1998), when the antimicrobial activity exerted by extracts are specific to one pathogen it
16 is more probably that a single compound was responsible for this activity, however, when
17 the inhibition acts across different type of pathogen microorganisms, it is unclear whether
18 the activity detected is caused by a single inhibitor of against both types of
19 microorganisms, or rather a mixture of compounds with different specificities.

20 Previous studies have been reported that some of the compounds identified in our
21 study have biological activities. Thus, asterric acid have reported that performed such as
22 endothelin binding inhibitor (Ohashi et al., 1992) and inhibitor of vascular endothelial
23 growth factor (VEGF) (Lee et al., 2002); enniantins, roridins and ergosterol have showed
24 anticarcinogenic properties (Amagata et al., 2003; Dornetshuber et al., 2007; Wätjen et al.,
25 2009; Yazawa et al., 2000) and equisetin have showed inhibition of recombinant integrase

1 enzyme (Singh et al., 1998) and inhibition of the substrate anion carriers of the
2 mitochondrial inner membrane in rats (Konig et al., 1993). However, most of these
3 compounds are likely to be toxic and their use to control food pathogens would be
4 inappropriate. Recently Strobel (2003) and Liu et al. (2008) described the ability of
5 *Xylaria* sp YX-28 fungi to produce an antimicrobial compound (7-amino-4-
6 methlcoumarin) with the potential to be used as a food additive. Therefore, further
7 investigation should focus on the causes of antibiosis from extracts from unknown fungal
8 strains.

9 In summary, our results confirm that grapevine environments are abundantly
10 populated with fungi producing bioactive secondary metabolites that could have an
11 interesting application in the food industry. A significant number of fungi strains whose
12 extracts have proven antimicrobial effects against food-borne pathogens but not against
13 any probiotic bacteria, were isolated and identified by molecular approaches. This opens
14 the possibility of fungi metabolites to be used as antimicrobials preventing food
15 deterioration and infections in human beings. However, further investigations are needed
16 in order to identify the active compounds produced by the grapevine environment fungi.

17

18 **Acknowledgements**

19 Research project was funded by the Spanish Ministry for Science and Innovation
20 (AGL2009-13361-C02-01, AGL2006-04514 and CSD2007-00063 Consolider Ingenio
21 2010 FUN-C-FOOD Projects), and the Comunidad de Madrid (S-0505/AGR/0153 Project).
22 CC is the recipient of fellowships from the FPI-MEC program. The authors are grateful to J.
23 Martin for technical assistance in the liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry.

24

1 **References**

- 2 Amagata, T., Rath, C., Rigot, J.F., Tarlov, N., Tenney, K., Valeriote, F.A., Crews, P.,
3 2003. Structures and cytotoxic properties of trichoverroids and their macrolide analogues
4 produced by saltwater culture of *Myrothecium verrucaria*. J. Med. Chem. 46, 4342-4350.
5
- 6 Ali, K., Maltese, F., Zyprian, E., Rex, M., Choi, Y.H., Verpoorte, R., 2009. NMR
7 Metabolic Fingerprinting Based Identification of Grapevine Metabolites Associated with
8 Downy Mildew Resistance. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 9599-9606.
9
- 10 Basilio, A., González, I., Vicente, M.F., Gorrochategui, J., Cabello, A., González, A.,
11 Genilloud, O., 2003. Patterns of antimicrobial activities from soil actinomycetes isolated
12 under different conditions of pH and salinity. J. Appl. Microbiol. 95, 814-823.
13
- 14 Bergogne-Bérézin, E., Towner, K.J., 1996. *Acinetobacter* spp. as Nosocomial Pathogens:
15 Microbiological, Clinical, and Epidemiological Features. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 9, 148-
16 165.
17
- 18 Bills, G.F., Pelaez, F., Polishook, J.D., Diez-Matas, M.T., Harris, G.H., Clapp, W.H.,
19 Dufresne, C., Byrne, K.M., Nallin-Omstead, M., Jenkins, R.G., Mojena, M., Huang,
20 Leeyuan., Bergstrom, J.D., 1994. Distribution of zaragozic acids (squalestatins) among
21 filamentous ascomycetes. Mycological Res. 98, 733-739.
22
- 23 Bills, G.F., Platas, G., Gams, W., 2004. Conspecificity of the cerulenin and helvolic acid
24 producing 'Cephalosporium caerulens', and the hypocrealean fungus *Sarocladium oryzae*.
25 Mycological Res. 108, 1291-1300.
26

- 1 Bills, G.F., Platas, G., Fillola, A., Jiménez, M.R., Collado, J., Vicente, M.F., Martín, J.,
2 González, A., Bur-Zimmermann, J., Tormo, J.R., Peláez, F., 2008. Enhancement of
3 antibiotic and secondary metabolite detection from filamentous fungi by growth on
4 nutritional arrays. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 104, 1644-1658.
5
- 6 Bills, G.F., Martín, J., Collado, J., Platas, G., Overy, D., Tormo, J.R., Vicente, M.F.,
7 Verkley, G., Crous, P.W., 2009. Measuring the distribution and diversity of antibiotics and
8 secondary metabolites in the filamentous fungi. *Soc. Ind. Microbiol. News* 59, 133-146.
9
- 10 Calvo, A.M., Wilson, R.A., Bok, J.W., Keller, N.P., 2002. Relationship between
11 secondary metabolism and fungal development. *Microbiol. Molec. Biol. Rev.* 66, 447-459.
12
- 13 Chaffin, W.L., 2008. *Candida albicans* cell wall proteins. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 72,
14 495-544.
15
- 16 Charimba, G., Hugo, C.J., Hugo, A., 2010. The growth, survival and thermal inactivation
17 of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a traditional South African sausage. *Meat Sci.* 85, 89-95.
- 18 Cueva, C., Moreno-Arribas, M.V., Martín-Álvarez, P.J., Bills, G.F., Vicente, M.F.,
19 Basilio, A., López Rivas, C., Requena, T., Rodríguez, J.M., Bartolomé, B., 2010.
20 Antimicrobial activity of phenolic acids against commensal, probiotic and pathogenic
21 bacteria. *Res. Microbiol.* 161, 372-382.
22
- 23 Collado, J., G. Platas, B. Paulus & G.F. Bills., 2007. High-throughput culturing of fungi
24 from plant litter by a dilution-to-extinction technique. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 60, 521-
25 533.

1

2 Demain, A.L., 1998. Microbial natural products: Alive and well in 1998. Nat. Biotechnol.
3 16, 3-4.

4

5 Demain, A.L., Fang, A., 2000. The natural functions of secondary metabolites. Adv.
6 Biochem. Eng./Biotechnol. 69, 1-39.

7

8 Djoukeng, J.D., Polli, S., Larignon, P., Abou-Mansour, E., 2009. Identification of
9 phytotoxins from *Botryosphaeria obtusa*, a pathogen of black dead arm disease of
10 grapevine. Eur. J. Plant. Pathol. 124, 303-308.

11

12 Dornetshuber, R., Heffeter, P., Kamyar, M.R., Peterbauer, T., Berger, W., Lemmens-
13 Gruber, R., 2007. Enniatin exerts p53-dependent cytostatic and p53-independent cytotoxic
14 activities against human cancer cells. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 20, 465-473.

15

16 Duetz, W., Chase, M., Bills, G., 2010. Miniaturization of fermentations, in: Demain, A.,
17 Davies, J., Baltz, R. (Eds.), Manual of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 3rd.
18 ASM Press., Washington, pp. 99-116.

19

20 González Collado, I., Macías Sánchez, A.J., Hanson, J.R., 2007. Fungal terpene
21 metabolites: biosynthetic relationships and the control of the phytopathogenic fungus
22 *Botrytis cinerea*. Nat. Prod. Rep. 24, 674-686.

23

24 González del Val, A., Platas, G., Basilio, A., Cabello, A., Gorrochategui, J., Suay, I.,
25 Vicente, M.F., Portillo, E., Jiménez del Río, M., García Reina, G., Peláez, F., 2001.

- 1 Screening of antimicrobial activities in red, green and brown macroalgae from Canaria
2 (Canary Islands, Spain). *Int. Microbiol.* 4, 35-40.
3
- 4 Jiménez, E., Fernández, L., Maldonado, A., Martín, R., Olivares, M., Xaus, J., Rodríguez,
5 J.M., 2008. Oral administration of lactobacilli strains isolated from breast milk as an
6 alternative for the treatment of infectious mastitis during lactation. *Appl. Envir. Microb.*
7 74, 4650-4655.
8
- 9 Jiménez-Teja, D., Hernández-Galán, R., González Collado, I., 2006. Metabolites from
10 *Eutypa* species that are pathogens on grapes. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 23, 108-116.
11
- 12 König, T., Kapus, A., Sarkadi, B., 1993. Effects of equisetin on rat liver mitochondria:
13 Evidence for inhibition of substrate anion carriers of the inner membrane. *J. Bioenerg. and*
14 *Biomems.* 25, 537-545.
15
- 16 Halleen, F., Crous, P.W., Petrini, O., 2003. Fungi associated with healthy grapevine
17 cuttings in nurseries, with special reference to pathogens involved in the decline of young
18 vines. *Aust. Plant. Pathol.* 32, 47-52.
19
- 20 Holloway, B.W., Krishnapillai, V., Morgan, A.F., 1979. Chromosomal genetics of
21 *Pseudomonas*. *Microbiol. Rev.* 43, 73-102.
22
- 23 Hoffmeister, D., Keller, N.P., 2007. Natural products of filamentous fungi: enzymes,
24 genes, and their regulation. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 24, 393-413.
25

- 1 Larignon, P., Dubos, B., 1997. Fungi associated with esca disease in grapevine. Eur. J.
2 Plant. Pathol. 103, 47-157.
3
- 4 Lebeer, S., Vanderleyden, J., De Keersmaecker, S.C.J., 2008. Genes and Molecules of
5 Lactobacilli Supporting Probiotic Action. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 728-764.
6
- 7 Lee, H.J., Lee, J.H., Hwang, B.Y., Kim, H.S., Lee, J.J., 2002. Fungal metabolites, asterri-
8 acid derivatives inhibit vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-induced tube formation
9 of HUVECs. J. Antibiot. 55, 552-556.
10
- 11 Li, Y., Song, Y.C., Liu, J.Y., Ma, Y.M., Tan, R.X., 2005. Anti-*Helicobacter pylori*
12 substances from endophytic fungal cultures. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 21, 553-558.
13
- 14 Liu, X., Dong, M., Chen, X., Jiang, M., Lv, X., Zhou, J., 2008. Antimicrobial activity of
15 an endophytic *Xylaria* sp. YX-28 and identification of its antimicrobial compound 7-
16 amino-4-methylcoumarin. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 78, 241-247.
17
- 18 Martín, R., Langa, S., Reviriego, C., Jiménez, E., Marín, M.L., Xaus, J., Fernández, L.,
19 Rodríguez, J.M., 2003. Human milk is a source of lactic acid bacteria for the infant gut. J.
20 Pediatr. 143, 754-758.
21
- 22 Möller, C., Weber, G., Dreyfuss, M.M., 1997. Intraspecific diversity in the fungal species
23 *Chaunopycnis alba*: implications for microbial screening programs. J. Ind. Microbiol.
24 Biotechnol. 17, 359-372.
25

- 1 Molyneux, R.J., Mahoney, N., Bayman, P., Wong, R.Y., Meyer, K., Irelan, N., 2002.
2 *Eutypa lata* Dieback in Grapevines: Differential Production of Acetylenic Phenol
3 Metabolites by Strains of *Eutypa lata*. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 50, 1393-1399.
4
- 5 Novick, R.P., 1990. The staphylococcus as a molecular genetic system, in: Novick, R.P.
6 (Eds.), Molecular biology of the staphylococci. VCH Publishers., New York, pp. 1-40.
7
- 8 Ohashi, H., Akiyama, H., Nishikori, K., Mochizuki, J.I., 1992. Asterric acid, a new
9 endothelin binding inhibitor. J. Antibiot. 45, 1684-1685.
10
- 11 Payne, K.D., Davidson, P.M., Oliver, S.P., Christen, G.L., 1990. Influence of bovine
12 lactoferrin on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J. Food Prot. 53, 468-72.
13
- 14 Peláez, F., Collado, J., Arenal, F., Basilio, A., Cabello, A., Díez Matas, M.T., García, J.B.,
15 González del Val, A., González, V., Gorrochategui, J., Hernández, P., Martín, I., Platas,
16 G., Vicente, F., 1998. Endophytic fungi from plants living on gypsum soils as a source of
17 secondary metabolites with antimicrobial activity. Mycol. Res. 102, 755-761.
18
- 19 Sánchez-Torres, P., Hinarejos, R., González, V., Tuset, J.J., 2008. Identification and
20 characterization of fungi associated with esca vineyards of the Comunidad Valenciana
21 (Spain). Spanish J. Agric. Res. 6, 650-660.
22
- 23 Singh, S.B., Zink, D.L., Goetz, M.A., Dombrowski, A.W., Polishook, J.D., Hazuda, D.J.,
24 1998. Equisetin and a novel opposite stereochemical homolog phomasetin, two fungal
25 metabolites as inhibitors of HIV-1 integrase. Tetrah. Lett. 39, 2243-2246.

1

2 Perry, C.C., Weatherly, M., Beale, T., Randriamahefa, A., 2009. Atomic force microscopy
3 study of the antimicrobial activity of aqueous garlic *versus* ampicillin against *Escherichia*
4 *coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89, 958-964.

5

6 Rauha, J.P., Remes, S., Heinonen, M., Hopia, A., Kahkonen, M., Kujala, T., Pihlaja, K.,
7 Vuorela, H., Vuorela, P., 2000. Antimicrobial effects of Finnish plant extracts containing
8 flavonoids and other phenolic compounds. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 56, 3-12.

9

10 Ray, B., 1996. Spoilage of Specific food groups, in: Fundamental Food Microbiology.
11 CRC Press., Boca Raton Florida, p. 220.

12

13 Rode, T.M., Langsrud, S., Holck, A., Moretro, T., 2007. Different patterns of biofilm
14 formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* under food-related stress conditions. Int. J. Food
15 Microbiol. 116, 372-383.

16

17 Sánchez Márquez, S., Bills, G.F., Zabalgoitia I., 2011. Fungal species diversity in
18 juvenile and adult leaves of *Eucalyptus globulus* from plantations affected by
19 *Mycosphaerella* leaf disease. Ann. Appl. Biol. 158, 177-187.

20

21 Strobel, G., 2003. Endophytes as sources of bioactive products. Microbes. Infect. 5, 535-
22 544.

23

- 1 Suay, I., Arenal, F., Asensio, F.J., Basilio, A., Cabello, M.A., Díez, M.T., García, J.B.,
2 González del Val, A., Gorrochategui, J., Hernández, P., Peláez, F., Vicente, M.F., 2000.
3 Screening of basidiomycetes for antimicrobial activities. *Ant. van Leeuw.* 78, 129-139.
4
- 5 Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., Gibson, T.J., 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the
6 sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting
7 position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–
8 4768.
9
- 10 Vazquez, J.A., Sánchez, V., Dmuchowski, C., Dembry, L.M., Sobel, J.D., Zervos, M.J.,
11 1993. Nosocomial Acquisition of *Candida albicans*: An Epidemiologic Study. *J. Infect.*
12 *Dis.* 168, 195-201.
13
- 14 Velusamy, V., Arshak, K., Korostynska, O., Oliwa, K., Adley, C., 2010. An overview of
15 foodborne pathogen detection: In the perspective of biosensors. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 28, 232-
16 354.
17
- 18 Vicente, F., Basilio, A., Platas, G., Collado, J., Bills, G.F., González del Val, A., Martín,
19 J., Tormo, J.R., Harris, G.H., Zink, D.L., Justice, M., Nielsen-Kahn, J., Peláez, F., 2009.
20 Distribution of the antifungal agents sordarins across filamentous fungi. *Mycol. Res.* 113,
21 754-770.
22
- 23 Wätjen, W., Debbab, A., Hohlfeld, A., Chovolou, Y., Kampkötter, A., Edrada, R.A., Ebel,
24 R., Hakiki, A., Mosaddak, M., Totzke, F., Kubbutat, M.H.G., Proksch, P., 2009. Enniatins
25 A1, B and B1 from an endophytic strain of *Fusarium tricinctum* induce apoptotic cell

- 1 death in H4IIE hepatoma cells accompanied by inhibition of ERK phosphorylation. Mol.
2 Nutr. Food Res. 53, 431-440.
- 3
- 4 White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J.W., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of
5 fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics, in: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky,
6 J.J., White, T.J. (Eds.), PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic
7 Press., New York, pp. 315-322.
- 8
- 9 Wiyakrutta, S., Sriubolmas, N., Panphut, W., Thongon, N., Danwisetkanjana, K.,
10 Ruangrunsi, N., Meevootisom, V., 2004. Endophytic fungi with anti-microbial, anto-
11 cancer and anti-malarial activities isolated from Thai medicinal plants. World J.
12 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 20, 265-272.
- 13
- 14 Yazawa, Y., Yokota, M., Sugiyama, K., 2000. Antitumor promoting effect of an active
15 component of polyporus, ergosterol and related compounds on rat urinary bladder
16 carcinogenesis in a short-term test with concanavalin A. Biol. Pharmaceut. Bull. 23, 1298-
17 1302.

Table 1. Strains and growth conditions of the microorganisms.

Strain	Growth medium	Incubation conditions	Positive control	Negative control
<i>A. baumannii</i> (clinical isolated)	MH	20h, 37°C	Ciprofloxacin	Amphotericin B
<i>C. albicans</i> MY1055	RPMI modified	20h, 30°C	Amphotericin B	Penicillin G
<i>E. coli</i> CECT 5947	LB + chloramphenicol (25 µg/mL)	18h, 37 °C	Ciprofloxacin	Novobiocin
<i>L. brevis</i> LCH23	MRS	30h, 37°C	Penicillin G	Amphotericin B
<i>L. plantarum</i> LCH17	MRS	24h, 37 °C	Penicillin G	Amphotericin B
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> PAO1	LB	20h, 37°C	Ciprofloxacin	Amphotericin B
<i>S. aureus</i> EP167	LB + chloramphenicol (34 µg/mL)	20h, 37°C	Penicillin G	Amphotericin B

Table 2. Fungal strains whose extracts showed antimicrobial activity against two or more of the pathogens tested but were inactive against the probiotic bacteria. The taxa are cited in alphabetic order.

Class	Order	Identified species	GenBank accession no.	Strain codes	Identified metabolites	Substrate type	Origin	Sta	Aci	Pse	Eco	Can
<i>Dothideomycetes</i>	<i>Pleosporales</i>	<i>Camarosporium</i> spp	JN545797	E-000535734	Penicillic Acid	Xylem	EV		+			+
		<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i>	JN545803	E-000535780		Leaf bud	M	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Eurotiomycetes</i>	<i>Chaetothyriales</i>	<i>Exophiala</i> spp	n.s.	E-000535881	Ergosterol D	Soil	M	+	+			
	<i>Eurotiales</i>	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	JN545800	E-000535890		Soil	T	+	+	+	+	+
		<i>Aspergillus ustus</i>	JN545824	E-000535884		Soil	T	+				+
		<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i>	JN545821	E-000535879		Soil	M	+				+
		<i>Penicillium expansum</i>	JN545825	E-000535885		Helvolic acid	Soil	T	+	+		+
<i>Sordariomycetes</i>	<i>Hypocreales</i>	<i>Fusarium</i> spp	JN545775	E-000535632	Enniatin (A,A1,B1,D), Fusarielin	Bark	VT	+			+	+
		<i>Fusarium</i> spp	JN545779	E-000535644		Beauvericin	Bark	VT	+			+
		<i>Myrothecium</i> spp	JN545776	E-000535633		Roridin (A, H), Verrucarin	Bark	VT	+			+
		<i>Paecilomyces marquandii</i>	JN545822	E-000535880			Soil	M	+	+		
	Unidentified Hypocreales		JN545830	E-000535914	Ergosterol D	Soil	T		+	+		
Unidentified fungus			JN545794	E-000535724		Bark	EV	+	+			

n.s.: not sequence

VT: Villamanrique del Tajo (Madrid, Spain), EV: Escuela de la Vid (Madrid, Spain), M: Membrilla (Ciudad Real, Spain), Tortuero (Guadalajara, Spain).

Sta: *Staphylococcus aureus* EP167, Aci: *Acinetobacter baumannii* (clinical isolated), Pse: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, Eco: *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (CECT 5947), Can: *Candida albicans* MY1055.

Table 3. Isolated fungi strains whose extracts showed antimicrobial activity against one of the pathogens tested but not against probiotic bacteria.

The taxa are cited in alphabetic order.

Class	Order	Identified species	GenBank accession no.	Strain codes	Identified metabolites	Substrate type	Origin	Sta	Aci	Pse	Eco	Can	
<i>Coelomycete</i>			n.s.	E-000535672		Bark	EV	+					
			n.s.	E-000535692	Alternariol analog	Xylem	EV	+					
			n.s.	E-000535745		Xylem	EV					+	
			n.s.	E-000535799		Bark	M	+					
			JN545813	E-000535844		Xylem	T	+					
			n.s.	E-000535903		Soil	T	+					
<i>Dothideomycetes</i>	<i>Botryosphaeriales</i>	<i>Botryosphaeria</i> spp	JN545831	E-000535801		Bark	M		+				
	<i>Dothideales</i>	<i>Aureobasidium</i> spp	JN545783	E-000535659		Xylem	EV	+					
	<i>Pleosporales</i>	<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545790	E-000535675		Bark	EV	+					
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545791	E-000535676		Bark	EV	+					
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545793	E-000535706		Bark	EV	+					
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545801	E-000535766	Alternariol analog	Leaf bud	M	+					
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545804	E-000535781		Leaf bud	M			+			
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545805	E-000535794		Bark	M	+					
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545807	E-000535813		Bark	M	+					
		<i>Alternaria</i> spp	JN545812	E-000535840		Bark	T	+					
		<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i>	JN545798	E-000535735		Xylem	EV			+			
		<i>Leptosphaeria</i> spp	JN545781	E-000535652		Xylem	VT	+					
	<i>Leptosphaeria</i> spp	JN545784	E-000535660		Xylem	EV	+						

		<i>Leptosphaeria</i> spp	JN545785	E-000535665		Xylem	EV	+	
		<i>Phoma</i> spp	JN545806	E-000535797		Bark	M		+
		<i>Phoma</i> spp	JN545808	E-000535818		Xylem	M		+
		<i>Ulocladium</i> spp	n.s.	E-000535747		Xylem	EV	+	
		<i>Ulocladium chartarum</i>	JN545819	E-000535868		Soil	M	+	
	Unidentified Pleosporales		JN545810	E-000535827		Bark	T		+
	Unidentified Pleosporales		JN545811	E-000535829		Bark	T	+	
	Unidentified Pleosporales		JN545826	E-000535891		Soil	T		+
<i>Eurotiomycetes</i>	<i>Eurotiales</i>	<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i>	JN545818	E-000535867		Soil	M	+	
		<i>Penicillium</i> spp	n.s.	E-000535804		Xylem	M	+	
		<i>Penicillium</i> spp	JN545832	E-000535911		Soil	T		+
<i>Sordariomycetes</i>	<i>Calosphaeriales</i>	<i>Phaeoacremonium</i> spp	JN545782	E-000535655	Equisetin	Xylem	VT	+	
	<i>Hypocreales</i>	<i>Acremonium</i> spp	JN545780	E-000535647	Cephalochromin	Xylem	VT	+	
		<i>Acrostalagmus luteoalbus</i>	JN545827	E-000535895		Soil	T	+	
		<i>Fusarium</i> spp	JN545777	E-000535636		Bark	VT	+	
		<i>Fusarium</i> spp	JN545796	E-000535731	Enniatin (A,A1,B1,D)	Bark	EV	+	
		<i>Metarrhizium anisopliae</i>	JN545817	E-000535866	Helvolic acid	Soil	M	+	
		<i>Stachybotrys</i> spp	JN545814	E-000535852		Soil	M	+	
		<i>Stachybotrys</i> spp	JN545829	E-000535904		Soil	T	+	
		<i>Trichoderma</i> spp	JN545774	E-000535629		Bark	VT	+	
	<i>Sordariales</i>	<i>Chaetomium</i> spp	JN545828	E-000535897		Soil	T		+
	<i>Xylariales</i>	<i>Discostroma</i> spp	JN545788	E-000535670		Bark	EV	+	
		<i>Discostroma</i> spp	JN545795	E-000535728		Bark	EV	+	

	<i>Discostroma</i> spp	JN545809	E-000535824		Xylem	M	+	
	<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> spp	JN545786	E-000535667		Bark	EV	+	
	<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> spp	JN545816	E-000535861		Soil	M	+	
	<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> spp	JN545820	E-000535876		Soil	M	+	
Unidentified Amphisphaeriaceae		JN545789	E-000535673		Bark	EV		+
		JN545792	E-000535696		Bark	EV		+
Unidentified Ascomycete		JN545778	E-000535639	Dihydrobisdechlorogeodin, asterric acid	Xylem	VT	+	
Unidentified fungus		JN545787	E-000535668		Bark	EV	+	
	n.s.		E-000535721		Bark	EV	+	
		JN545799	E-000535746	Asteric acid	Xylem	EV	+	
		JN545802	E-000535771		Bark	M	+	
	n.s.		E-000535825		Bark	T	+	
	n.s.		E-000535855		Soil	M		+
		JN545815	E-000535860		Soil	M	+	
		JN545823	E-000535882		Soil	M	+	
	n.s.		E-000535892		Soil	T		+

n.s.: not sequence

VT: Villamanrique del Tajo (Madrid), EV: Escuela de la Vid (Madrid), M: Membrilla (Ciudad Real), Tortuero (Guadalajara).

Sta: *Staphylococcus aureus* EP167, Aci: *Acinetobacter baumannii* (clinical isolated), Pse: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, Eco: *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (CECT 5947), Can: *Candida albicans* MY1055.