

Kinetics of daidzin and genistin transformations and water absorption during soybean soaking at different temperatures

Dyah Hesti Wardhani¹, José Antonio Vázquez^{1,2} & Severino S. Pandiella¹*

¹School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science The University of Manchester Sackville Street, PO Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, UK

²Grupo de Reciclado y Valorización de Materiales Residuales Instituto de Investigacións Mariñas (CSIC)
r/ Eduardo Cabello, 6. Vigo-36208. Galicia – Spain

*Corresponding author E-mail: s.pandiella@manchester.ac.uk

Abstract

The kinetics of the isoflavones transformations (diadzin, diadzein, genistin and genistein) and moisture content in soaked soybeans were studied in a temperature range of 30-85°C. The evolution of the moisture was described by Peleg's model and a modified first order kinetics equation. This last equation was also used to predict the transformation profiles of different isoflavones in the soaked soybeans. The dependency on temperature of the kinetic parameter was modelled by the Arrhenius equation and empirical equations. The measured β -glucosidase activity at different temperatures justifies the experimental profiles of isoflavones conversion.

Headline: Isoflavones transformations during soybean soaking at different temperatures.

Keywords: Isoflavones, daidzin, genistin, daidzein, genistein, mathematical kinetic models, soaked soybeans.

1. Introduction

Soybeans and the foods made from them are known to have good nutritional and functional qualities not only for their high protein and oil content, but also because they are a source of phytochemicals. A group of phytochemicals that can be found in soybeans is the isoflavones. These phytochemicals can potentially prevent chronic diseases such as cancer, osteoporosis, some heart problems and are recommended to alleviate post-menopause syndrome (Snyder and Kwon, 1987; Messina et al., 1994; Liu, 1997). The content of isoflavones in soybeans is affected by the preparation and processing of the beans.

There are 12 isomers of isoflavones in soybeans and soybean products, which are divided into four chemical forms (with three isomers each form). These forms are 6"-O-malonylglucosides, 6"-O-acethylglucosides, β -glucosides and aglycones (Liu, 1997). Aglycones are known to be more bioactive than their glucosides and have more functional properties. Two of the aglycones (diadzein and genistein) and their corresponding glucosides (diazin and genistin) have extensively studied for anti-cancer activity because of their estrogen receptor antagonist and agonist activity (Birt et al., 2001; Chien et al., 2005).

Soaking is one and most important steps in the preparation of soybean foods (Toda et al., 2001) as it reduces the energy required for processing and cooking time (Liu, 1997). It has been reported that β -glucosidase is the enzyme responsible for the hydrolysis of isoflavone glucosides into aglycone (Matsuura et al., 1989).

There are a number of recent studies in relation to isoflavones in soybeans, such as the improvement of HPLC methods to determine soybean isoflavones (Careri et al., 2001; Hsieh et al., 2004), the investigation of isoflavones transformation during soybeans processing (Kao et al., 2004; Kin & Chung, 2007; Matsuura et al., 1989; Lee et al., 2003; Wang & Murphy, 1994) and the study of isoflavones developments during soybeans fermentation (Esaki, 1997; Kim et al. 2002; Lin 2006; Pyo et al. 2004; Romero, 2004; Tsangalis et al., 2002). A mathematical approach to the kinetics of isoflavones conversion in a well-known mixtures of pure isoflavones solutions under ideal process conditions was studied by Chien et al. (2005). Vaidya et al. (2007) used kinetic modelling to describe the transformation of malonylgenistin and malonyldaidzin under alkaline conditions at high temperature. However, transformations of this type in real soybean products, and in particular in soaked soybeans at different temperatures, remain unstudied.

In this work, the effect of soaking temperature on water absorption and the transformation of genistin and daidzin into their aglycones was investigated. The development of β -glucosidase activity in the soybean was also taken into account. A kinetic approach was used and mathematical, empiric and mechanistic models were proposed in order to: 1) describe appropriately, maintaining statistical robustness, the numerical data obtained experimentally, 2) generate a group of parameters of chemical and statistical significance, and 3) formulate consistent relationships of these parameters with temperature.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Soybean soaking

Ten grams of soybeans were soaked in 50 ml distilled water at 30° C, 50° C, 60° C and 85° C for different times. The soybeans were first screened by hand to eliminate broken beans and those with cracked or damaged seed coats. After soaking, the soybeans were filtered using a vacuum pump and the dried beans were then dehulled and ground using pestle and mortar. Samples were kept at -30° C in the freezer for later analysis.

2.2 Moisture content

The moisture content was measured using the American Association of Cereal Chemistry method (AACC, 1995). One and a half grams of a representative sample were placed in pre-dried and covered dishes. The covered dishes with the samples were weighed and placed in the oven for 72 h at 103°C. Dishes were then placed in a desiccator immediately and weighed once they reached room temperature. The moisture content was expressed as follows:

$$M(\%) = \left(\frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1}\right) \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where,

M = Moisture content (%) W_1 = Weight before drying W_2 = Weight after drying

2.3 Isoflavones extraction

The isoflavones extraction was based on the method of Griffith and Collison (2001). One gram of soaked soybeans was mixed in a 100 ml Duran bottle with 8 ml of acetonitrile, 11.5 ml of distilled water and 500 μ l of internal standard (200 μ g/ml of fluorescein in methanol). The bottle was shaken for 1h at 150 rpm and the sample centrifuged for 5 min at 16,249 g. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 mm PVDF filter and placed into a vial for HPLC analysis.

2.4 HPLC isoflavones analysis

The HPLC method for isoflavones analysis was adopted from the work of Hsieh et al. (2004). The chromatograph used had a dual pump Varian Pro Star connected to a Phenomenex Gemini 5 μ m C₁₈-110A column (250×4.6 mm) at 35°C, and a PDA detector at 277 nm. Solvent A was 0.1% acetic acid in distilled water, solvent B 0.1% acetic acid in acetonitrile, and the total flow rate was 1.0 ml/min. The gradient system was 92% of A initially, decreased to 90% in 2 min, 88% over 1 min, 78% in 7 min, 77% in 1 min, 65% over 1 min, then to 50% during 1 min, maintained for 5 min, and returned to 92% A in 2 min (the complete cycle lasts 20 min).

For quantification, 25 μ g/ml of daidzin, genistin, daidzein and genistein solutions were prepared as working standards. 100, 300, 500, 700, and 1000 μ l of each standard were collected and mixed with 125 μ l of internal standard (200 μ g/ml of fluorescein in methanol). The isoflavones standard curves were prepared using *Varian Software* by plotting the concentration ratios between the isoflavone standard and internal standard concentration against the area ratio between the isoflavone standard and the internal standard areas. The coefficients of determination (R²) range from 0.93 to 0.99.

2.5 Crude enzyme extraction

Three grams of ground sample were placed in a 100 ml Duran bottle and mixed with 15 ml of distilled water. The bottles were shaken at 150 rpm and 30° C for 1 h, and then samples were centrifuged at 12,500 g and 4°C for 10 minutes. Supernatants were filtered through Whatman no. 1 filter paper and kept at -30°C until used for the enzyme activity assay.

2.6 *β*-Glucosidase activity assay

 β -glucosidase activity of soaked soybeans was estimated using McCue's method (2003). 100 µl of 9 mM p-nitrophenol- β -D-glucopyranoside were mixed with 800 µl of 200 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.6) in a test tube. The tubes were incubated at 50°C in a water bath for 5 min before addition

of 100 µl of crude enzyme extract. In the blank the extract was replaced with distilled water. The tubes were then incubated for further 30 min. 1000 µl of 100 mM sodium carbonate was added to stop the reaction, and then the samples were centrifuged at 16,249 g for 1 min. The absorbance of *p*-nitrophenol released was measured at 400 nm. The units of enzyme activity were defined (U/mg) as the number of *p*-nitrophenol µg released in one minute under controlled condition.

2.7 Numerical methods

Fitting procedures and parametric estimations calculated from the results were carried out by minimisation of the sum of quadratic differences between observed and model/equation predicted values, using the non linear least-squares (quasi-Newton) method provided by the macro solver of the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Statistica 6.0 software (StatSoft, Inc. 2001) was used to evaluate the significance of the estimated parameters by fitting the experimental values to the proposed mathematical models, and the consistency of these equations.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Effects of soaking temperature on the evolution of moisture content

The behaviour of the soybean water absorption showed a typical exponential increase with time at all assayed temperatures (see Figure 1). To describe these profiles, the Peleg's equation, an empirical equation commonly used for modelling water absorption in various grains and foods during soaking (Peleg, 1988; Sopade and Obekpa, 1992; Ghannam and Mckenna, 1997), was used.

Peleg's model describes the change of moisture content in a solid matrix by the following equation

$$M = M_0 + \frac{t}{k_1 + k_2 \cdot t} \tag{2}$$

All notation used in this work with units is detailed in Table 1. As the limit of the equation approaches zero and infinite we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0} M = M_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} M = M_f = M_0 + \frac{1}{k_2}$$
(3)

It must be noted that the kinetic parameter k_1 is related to the inverse of the initial rate of water absorption, while k_2 is a constant that defines the equilibrium moisture content (Ghannam and Mckenna, 1997).

Figure 1 (bottom) shows the experimental data and the fitted values according to this model at different temperatures. The statistical analyses of the relevant kinetic parameters are summarised in Table 2. In general, the proposed models are statistically robust (Fisher's *F*-test and *p*-values < 0.05), and the parametric estimations were significant (Student's *t*-test $\alpha = 0.05$). The coefficients of linear correlation (*r*) between predicted and observed values were in all cases > 0.99.

The relationship between the specific rate of absorption $(1/k_1)$ and temperature was investigated using the Arrhenius equation

$$ln\left(\frac{1}{k_1}\right) = ln A_r - \frac{E_a}{R \cdot T} \text{, and } ln k_w = ln A_r - \frac{E_a}{R \cdot T}$$
(4)

Table 3 shows the activation energy values and the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data for the model. The Arrhenius equation represents well the variation of the parameters with temperature. This is in line with the result of Gowen et al. (2007), Sopade and Obekpa (1992) and Wang et al. (1996), which found that high soaking temperatures lead to complete hydration in a much shorter time. Toda et al. (2001) also reported that the rate of water absorption was faster during the first 5 h and then it gradually decreased. This result suggested that soaking should be carried out only until the soybeans were easily ground with most of cells rupturing (Lo et al., 1968). High temperature (*e.g.* 40°C) may be desirable to reduce soaking time (Gowen et al., 2007; Pan and Tangratanavalee, 2003).

The activation energy value (E_a) was 41.9 kJ/mol (38.6 kJ/mol using % db), very similar to the obtained value (37.2 kJ/mol using % db) by Gowen et al. (2007). Results in Table 3 also demonstrate that final moisture content parameter (M_f) was temperature dependent. Pan and Tangratanavalee (2003) suggested that this relation was caused by the differences in solid losses at different temperatures. M_f is the asymptotic parameter and do not have rate of reaction units.

For this reason, an empirical model was used to explain the relationship between this parameter and temperature. Since only four points are available (4 temperatures), a second order polynomic function was used (see graphs in Figure 1, right, and Table 3).

3.2. Isoflavones transformations in soaked soybeans at different temperatures

The transformation of daidzin and genistin into their aglycones (daidzein and genistein) at various temperatures was studied. The kinetics of these transformation were expressed (see Figures 2 and 3) in terms of the daidzin and genistin disappearance (D and G), and the daidzein and genistein formation (De and Ge). In both cases this behaviour can be described by a parallel first-order reactions pathway with a kinetic constant of conversion, degradation and transfer to the aqueous phase. The proposed mechanism for the daidzin conversion during soaking is presented in Figure 4.

As shown in figure 2, the production of daidzein was not equimolar to the disappearance of daidzin. The pathway suggests that the unbalance in daidzin concentration is caused by daidzin-daidzein degradation into unknown products (DD and DeD) and by their extraction to the aqueous phase (DEx and DeEx) running in parallel to the daidzein formation. The transformation mechanism should be modified in order to introduce a non-zero final asymptote (see appendix).

The corresponding integrated equations are as follows

$$D = (D_0 - D_f) \cdot e^{-(k_c + k_{dd} + k_{dex}) \cdot t} + D_f$$
(5)

$$De = \left(De_0 - De_f\right) \cdot e^{-(k_{ded} + k_{deex} - k_c) \cdot t} + De_f$$
(6)

If we assume that the relationship of these kinetic constants with temperature is similar, then

$$k_d = k_c + k_{dd} + k_{dex}$$

and

$$k_{de} = k_{ded} + k_{deex} - k_c$$

where k_d is the rate of disappearance of daidzin and k_{de} the specific rate of daidzein formation. A similar approach has been used by Vaidya et al. (2007) to describe the transformations of malonylglucosides into β -glucosides in an ideal reaction systems. According to this

$$D = \left(D_0 - D_f\right) \cdot e^{-k_d \cdot t} + D_f \tag{7}$$

$$De = \left(De_0 - De_f\right) \cdot e^{-k_{de} \cdot t} + De_f \tag{8}$$

Similarly, for the transformation of genistin and genistein

$$G = \left(G_0 - G_f\right) \cdot e^{-k_g \cdot t} + G_f \tag{9}$$

$$Ge = \left(Ge_0 - Ge_f\right) \cdot e^{-k_{ge'}t} + Ge_f \tag{10}$$

Figures 2 and 3 (top) and Tables 4 and 5 illustrate the results of the proposed approach. Graphs in figure 2 and 3 demonstrate that during soaking, the concentration of daidzin-genistin decrease accordingly to the daidzein-genistein formation. These results were supported by Wang et al. (1990) who found that daidzein and genistein concentrations greatly increased when the soybeans were presoaked in water. Wang and Murphy (1996) also observed that daidzin and genistin concentrations dropped according to the genistein increment in soymilk and tofu processing. Zhu et al. (2005) found similar results for two soybean varieties during soaking.

In general, the proposed models were statistically consistent in all cases. However, two parameters at 85°C (k_{de} and k_{ge}) were not statistically significant ($\alpha = 0.05$). The correlation between the kinetic coefficients and temperature was established using the Arrhenius equation (k_d , k_{de} , k_g and k_{ge}). The correlation between the final isoflavones concentrations (D_f , D_{ef} , G_f and G_{ef}) and temperature was modelled with a second order polynomic equation. These results are depicted in Figures 2 and 3 (bottom) and in Tables 6 and 7.

These figures show that temperature enhances diadzin-genistin disappearance and diadzein-genistein formation. Very similar conclusions were obtained by Carrao-Panizzi et al. (2004). The representation of the daidzin-genistin final concentrations (D_f and G_f) vs. temperature have a

minimum value around 50-60°C. In contrast, the relationship between the daidzein-genistein final concentrations (De_f and Ge_f) with temperatures shows a maximum value at 50°C.

3.3. β-glucosidase acitivity in soaked soybeans

In Figure 5 the evolution of the β -glucosidase activity during soaking is shown at different temperatures. The kinetic trends of this enzymatic activity evidence that hydrolysis of daidzin and genistin during soaking contributed to an increase in the contet of their aglycones (Matsuura and Obata, 1993). At 50°C and 60°C the maximum activity is observed after 1 h. However, it takes up to 6 h to reach similar levels at 30°C, and soaking at 85°C does not develop this activity. Matsuura et al. (1989) and Matsura and Obata (1993) reported inactivation of β -glucosidase at 60°C with an optimum temperature of 45°C. Losses of activity could be due to enzyme inactivation, elution into soaking water or combination of both. Toda et al. (2001) also observed that soaking increased the β -glucosidase and isoflavones lost in the aqueous phase.

4. Conclusions

The proposed mathematical models provide a statistically consistent description of the evolution of the moisture content and the transformations of isoflavones in soybeans during soaking. These equations can also be used to predict the amount of water absorbed and the dynamics of isoflavones conversions at different temperatures. These mathematical tools could be used to establish a processing strategy that could help maximising the functionality of soybean processed products.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the SPMU-TPSDP Diponegoro University (Indonesia) for the grant awarded to Dyah Hesti Wardhani to do this research (ADB loan No. 1792-INO). Dr. José Antonio Vázquez Álvarez has been awarded a postdoctoral grant (Bolsas para estadías fóra de Galicia, 2007) by the dirección Xeral de Investigación, Desenvolvemento e Innovación, Xunta de Galicia, Spain.

Appendix. Reaction mechanism proposed.

According to the pathway in figure 4, a mass balance would lead to the differential equations that represent the changes of daidzin with time

1)
$$D \xrightarrow{k_{dex}} DEx : \left. \frac{dD}{dt} \right|_{1} = -k_{dex} \cdot (D - DEx)$$

2)
$$D \xrightarrow{k_{dd}} DD$$
 : $\left. \frac{dD}{dt} \right|_2 = -k_{dd} \cdot (D - DD)$

3)
$$D \xrightarrow{k_c} De$$
 : $\left. \frac{dD}{dt} \right|_3 = -k_c \cdot (D - De)$

Though it is not possible to know with accuracy the DEx, DD and De concentrations, it is assumed that they are approximately equal to D_f or final concentration of daidzin ($D_f = De = DD = DEx$). This parameter defines the non-zero final asymptote obtained experimentally (figure 2). The total mass balance of the three parallel mechanisms gives

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = \frac{dD}{dt} \Big|_{1} + \frac{dD}{dt} \Big|_{2} + \frac{dD}{dt} \Big|_{3} = -k_{dex} \cdot (D - D_{f}) - k_{dd} \cdot (D - D_{f}) - k_{c} \cdot (D - D_{f})$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = -(k_{c} + k_{dd} + k_{dex}) \cdot (D - D_{f})$$
(A1)

Identical postulates can be used for the daidzein (De) balance to obtain

$$\frac{dDe}{dt} = -\left(k_{ded} + k_{deex} - k_c\right) \cdot \left(De - De_f\right)$$
(A2)

Separating variables and integrating (A1) between time 0 and t gives

$$\int_{D_0}^{D} \frac{dD}{D - D_f} = -(k_c + k_{dd} + k_{dex}) \int_{0}^{t} dt \implies ln(D - D_f)_{D_0}^{D} = -(k_c + k_{dd} + k_{dex}) \cdot t$$

$$\frac{D - D_f}{D_0 - D_f} = exp\left[-\left(k_c + k_{dd} + k_{dex}\right) \cdot t\right] \implies D = \left(D_0 - D_f\right) \cdot e^{-\left(k_c + k_{dd} + k_{dex}\right) \cdot t} + D_f$$
(A3)

Similarly, for the case of the diadzein and using (A2) we would obtain

$$De = \left(De_0 - De_f\right) \cdot e^{-(k_{ded} + k_{deex} - k_c) \cdot t} + De_f$$
(A4)

The limits of the function as time approaches zero and infinite are

$$\lim_{t \to 0} D = D_0 \quad ; \quad \lim_{t \to 0} De = De_0 \quad ; \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} D = D_f \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} De = De_f$$

A similar mechanism could be drawn for the genistin conversion (not shown).

References

AACC. (1995). American Association of Cereal Chemistry - Approved Methods

Careri, M., Elviri, L., & Mangia, A. (2001). Validation of a high-performance liquid chromatographic method for determination of isoflavonoids in soybeans. Study of the extraction procedure by experimental design. *Chromatographia*, 54, 45-50.

Carrao-Panizzi, M.C., de Goes-Faroni, S.P., & Kikuchi A. (2004). Hydrothermal treatments in the development of isoflavone aglycones in soybean (*Glycine max (L.) Merrill*) grains. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira*, 47, 225-232.

Chien, J.T., Hsieh, H.C., Kao, T.H., & Chen, B.H. (2005). Kinetic model for studying the conversion and degradation of isoflavones during heating. Food Chemistry, 91, 425-434.

Esaki, H., Onozaki, H., Kawakishi, S., & Osawa, T. (1997). Antioxidant activity and isolation from soybeans fermented with *Aspergillus spp. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 45, 2020-2024.

Ghannam, N.A., & Mckenna, B. (1997). The application of Peleg's equation to model water absorption during the soaking of red kidney beans (*Phaseoulus vulgaris L.*). *Journal of Food Engineering*, 32, 391-401.

Ghannam, N.A (1998). Modelling Textural Changes During the Hydration Process of Red Beans. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 38, 341-352.

Gowen, A., Abu-Ghannam, N., Frias, J., & Oliveira, J. (2007). Influence of pre-blanching on the water absorption kinetics of soybeans. *Journal of Food Engineering, 78*, 965-971.

Griffith, A.P., & Collison, M.W. (2001). Improved methods for the extraction and analysis of isoflavones from soy-containing foods and nutritional supplements by reserved-phase high-performance liquid chromatography and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 913, 397-413.

Hsieh, H.C., Kao, T.H., & Chen, B.H. (2004). A fast HPLC method for analysis of isoflavones in soybeans. *Journal of Liquid Cromatography and Related Technology*, 27, 315-324.

Kao, T. H., Lu, Y.F., Hsieh, H.C., & Chen, B.H. (2004). Stability of isoflavone glucosides during processing of soymilk and tofu. *Food Research International*, 37, 891-900.

Kin, J-A., & Chung I-M. (2007). Change in isoflavone concentration of soybean (*Glycine max L.*) seeds at different growth stages. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 87, 496-503.

Matsuura, M., & Obata, A. (1993). β-Glucosidases from soybeans hydrolyze daidzin and genistin. *Journal of Food Science*, 58, 144-147.

Matsuura, M.A., Obata, A., & Fukushima, D. (1989). Objectionable flavor of soymilk developed during the soaking of soybeans and its control. *Journal of Food Science*, 54, 602-605.

McCue, P., & Shetty, K. (2003). Role of carbohydrate-claving enzyme in phenolic antioxidant mobilization from whole soybean fermented with Rhizopus oligosporus. *Food Biotechnology*, 17, 27-37.

Lee, S.J., Chung, I.M., Ahn, J.K., Kim, J.T., Kim, S.H., & Hahn, S.J. (2003). Variation in isoflavone of soybean cultivars with location and storage duration, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51, 3383-3389.

Lin, C.-H., Wei, Y-T., Yu, R-C., & Chou, C-C. (2006). Cultivation temperature and length affect the antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of soybean koji prepared with *Aspergillus awamori*. *Journal of Food and Drug Análisis*, 14, 74-79.

Liu, K. S. (1997). Soybeans: chemistry, technology, and utilization. New York, Chapman and Hall.

Lo, W.Y.L., Steinkra, K.H., Hand, D.B., Wilkens, W.F., & Hackler, L.R. (1968). Yields of extracted solids in soymilk as affected by temperature of water of various pre-treatments of beans. *Food Technology*, 22, 1322-1330.

Pan, Z., & Tangratanavalee, W. (2003). Characteristics of soybeans as affected by soaking conditions. *Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und-technologie- Food Science and Technology*, 36, 143-151.

Peleg, M. (1988). An empirical model for the description of moisture sorption curves. *Journal of Food Science*, *53*, 1216-1219.

Pyo, Y. H., Lee, T.C., & Lee, Y.C. (2005). Enrichment of bioactive isoflavones in soymilk fermented with β -glucosidase-producing lactic acid bacteria. *Food Research International*, 38, 551-559.

Romero, A. M., Doval, M.M., Sturla, M. A., & Judis, M.A. (2004). Antioxidant properties of polyphenolcontaining extract from soybean fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *European Journal Lipid Science and Technology*, 106, 424-431. Snyder, H. E., & Kwon, T.W. (1987). Soybean utilization. New York, Nostrand Reinhold Company.

Sopade, P.A., & Obekpa, J.A. (1990). Modelling Water Absorption in Soybean, Cowpea and Peanuts at Three Temperatures Using Peleg's Equation. *Journal of Food Science, 55*, 1084-1087.

Sopade, P.A., & Obekpa, J.A. (1992). The use of Peleg's equation to model water absorption in some cereal grains during soaking. *Journal of Food Engineering, 15*, 269-283.

Toda, T., Sakamoto, A., Takayanagi, T., Yokotsuka, K. (2001). Changes in Isoflavone Composition of Soybean during Soaking in Water. *Food Science Technology Research*, 6, 314-319.

Tsangalis, D., Ashton, J.F., McGill, A.E.J., & Shah, N.P. (2002). Enzymatic transformation of isoflavone phytoestrogens in soymilk by β -glucosidase-producing bifidobacteria. *Journal of Food Science* 67, 3104-3113.

Vaidya, N.A., Mathias, K., Ismail, B., Hayes, K.D., & Corvalan, C.M. (2007). Kinetic modeling of manlonylgenistin and malonyldaidzin conversions under alkaline conditions and elevated temperatures, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55, 3408-3413.

Wang, G.J., Kuan, S.S, Francis, O.J., Ware, G.M., & Carman, A.S. (1990). A simplified HPLC method for the determination of phytoestrogens in soybean and its processed products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 38, 185-190.

Wang, H.J., & Murphy, P.A. (1994). Isoflavone content in commercial soybean foods. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 42, 1666-1673.

Wang, H.J., & Murphy, P.A. (1996). Mass balance of isoflavone during soybean processing. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 44, 2377-2383.

Zhu, D., Hettiarachchy, N.S., Horax, R., & Chen, P. (2005). Isoflavone contents in germinated soybeans seeds. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 60, 147-151.

Figure captions

Figure 1: Left: Kinetics of the moisture content of soaked soybeans at different temperatures (\blacksquare : 30 °C; \blacklozenge : 50 °C; \blacktriangle : 60 °C; \blacklozenge : 85 °C) fitted by the Peleg's equation (2). Right: Relationships between the kinetic parameters in equations (2) with temperature. The error bars are the confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$; n = 2).

Figure 2: Daidzin (*D*) and Daidzein (D_e) concentrations in soaked soybeans *vs*. time at different temperatures (\blacksquare : 30 °C; \blacklozenge : 50 °C; \blacktriangle : 60 °C; \blacklozenge : 85 °C). The points represent experimental data and the lines the predicted values according to the models shown in equations (7) and (8). At the bottom the relationship between the kinetic parameters and temperature is shown. The error bars are the confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$; n = 2).

Figure 3: Genistin (*G*) and Genistein (*G_e*) concentrations in soaked soybeans *vs*. time at different temperatures (\blacksquare : 30 °C; \blacklozenge : 50 °C; \blacktriangle : 60 °C; \blacklozenge : 85 °C). The points represent experimental data and the lines the values predicted by the models shown in equations (9) and (10). The relationship between the kinetic parameters and temperature is shown at the bottom. The error bars are the confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$; n = 2).

Figure 4: Reaction mechanism proposed for the transformation between daidzin and daidzein in soaked soybeans. k_c : is the kinetic constant (h⁻¹) of conversion of Daidzin in Daidzein; k_{dd} and k_{ded} are degradation kinetic constants of daidzin and daidzein respectively (h⁻¹); and k_{dex} and k_{deex} are kinetic constants of the transfer of daidzin and daidzein into the aqueous phase (h⁻¹). The error bars are the confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$; n = 2).

Figure 5: β -glucosidase activity in soaked soybeans at different temperatures (\blacksquare : 30 °C; \blacklozenge : 50 °C; \blacktriangle : 60 °C; \bullet : 85 °C). The error bars are the confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$; n = 2).

Table captions

Table 1: Notation used with units.

Table 2: Parametric estimations corresponding to Peleg's kinetic model (2) applied to the moisture content of soaked soybeans at different temperatures. *CI* values are confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$), *F* is the *F*-Fisher test (df_1 = degrees of freedom of the model; df_2 = degrees of freedom of the error) and *r* is the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data.

Table 3: Effect of temperature on the kinetic parameters of the Peleg model (2). The Arrhenius equation in linear form (4), and a second order polynomic function (M_j) have been used to fit this parameter. r is the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data.

Table 4: Parametric estimations corresponding to the kinetic model (7) and (8) applied to the disappearance of daidzin (*D*) and formation of daidzein (*De*) in soaked soybeans at different temperatures. *CI* values are confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$), *F* is the *F*-Fisher test (df_1 = degrees of freedom of the model; df_2 = degrees of freedom of the error), and *r* is the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data. NS: non significant.

Table 5: Parametric estimations corresponding to the kinetic model (9) and (10), applied to the disappearance of genistin (*G*) and formation of genistein (*G_e*) isoflavones of soaked soybeans at different temperatures. *CI* values are confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$), *F* is the *F*-Fisher test (*df₁* = degrees of freedom of the model; *df₂* = degrees of freedom of the error) and *r* is the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data. NS: non significant.

Table 6: Effect of the temperature on the kinetic parameters obtained with the models (7) and (8) and summarized in Table 4. The Arrhenius equation in linear form (with constants k_d and k_{de}) and a second order polynomic function (D_f and De_f) were used. r is the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data.

Table 7: Effect of temperature on the kinetic parameters obtained with models (9) and (10) and summarized in Table 6. The Arrhenius equation in linear form (with constants k_g and k_{ge}) and a second order polynomic function (G_f and G_{ef}) were used. r is the correlation coefficient between observed and predicted data.

Figure 1











Figure 4



Figure 5



TABLES

Table 1.

|--|

- *M:* Moisture content, % wet basis (% wb).
- *M*₀: Initial moisture content, % wb.
- t: Time, h.
- k_1 : Peleg's constant 1, h/(% wb).
- k_2 : Peleg's constant 2. % wb⁻¹.
- *M_f*: Final or asymptotic moisture content, % wb.

Arrhenius model (4):

- *E_a:* Activation energy of the reaction, J/mol.
- *R:* Universal gas constant, 8.3145 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.
- *T:* Absolute temperature, K.
- A_0 : Pre-exponential factor or frequency constant, h⁻¹.

Modified first order kinetic model for daidzin and daidzein profiles (7 and 8):

- *D*, *De*: Daidzin (*D*) and daidzein (*De*) concentrations, mg/g (wet basis, wb).
- D_0 , De_0 : Initial daidzin (D_0) and daidzein (De_0) concentrations, mg/g (wb).
- *D*_{*f*}, *D*_{*e*_{*f*}}: Final daidzin (*D*_{*f*}) and daidzein (*D*_{*e*_{*f*}) concentrations, mg/g (wb).}
- k_d , k_{de} : Specific rate of daidzin disappearance (k_d) and specific rate of daidzein formation (k_{de}), h⁻¹.
- t: Time, h.

Modified first order kinetic model for genistin and genistein profiles (9 and 10):

- *G*, *G*_e: Genistin (*G*) and genistein (*G*_e) concentrations, mg/g (wb).
- G_0 , G_{e0} : Initial genistin (G_0) and genistein (G_{e0}) concentrations, mg/g (wb).
- G_{f} , G_{ef} : Final genistin (G_{f}) and genistein (G_{ef}) concentrations, mg/g (wb).
- k_g, k_{ge} : Specific rates of genisitin disappearance (k_g) and specific rate of genistein formation (k_{ge}), h⁻¹.
- t: Time, h.

T (ºC)	$k_1 \pm CI$	$k_2 \pm CI$	$M_f \pm CI$	<i>F</i> (df ₁ =3, df ₂ =4; α=0.05)	p-value	r
30 50	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0296 \pm 0.0120 \\ 0.0179 \pm 0.0098 \end{array}$	0.0189 ± 0.0025 0.0205 ± 0.0029	64.12 ± 6.86 62.12 ± 6.21	1393.44 1155.66	0.0000 0.0000	0.997 0.996
60 85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0052 \pm 0.0018 \\ 0.0027 \pm 0.0008 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0204 \pm 0.0012 \\ 0.0199 \pm 0.0011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.88 \pm 1.96 \\ 61.62 \pm 1.49 \end{array}$	5299.06 6546.68	0.0000 0.0000	0.999 0.999

Peleg´s model		
Mathematical relationships	E _a (kJ/mol)	r
$ln(1/k_{1}) = 20.03 - 50348/T$	41.85	0.978
$M_f = 0.002 \cdot T^2 - 0.287 \cdot T + 70.936$	-	0.990

T (ºC)	$k_d \pm CI$	$D_f \pm CI$	F (df ₁ =3, df ₂ =4; α=0.05)	p-value	r
30 50 60 85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.665 \pm 0.233 \\ 1.367 \pm 0.812 \\ 9.693 \pm 9.217 \\ 18.842 \pm 18.102 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.215 \pm 0.072 \\ 0.180 \pm 0.087 \\ 0.174 \pm 0.106 \\ 0.186 \pm 0.120 \end{array}$	315.27 241.39 60.84 42.72	0.0000 0.0000 0.0009 0.0017	0.996 0.992 0.974 0.964
T (ºC)	$k_{de}\pm CI$	De _f ±Cl	<i>F</i> (df ₁ =3, df ₂ =4; α=0.05)	p-value	r
30 50 60 85	0.404 ± 0.180 0.418 ± 0.291 0.525 ± 0.399 138.521 (NS)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.175 \pm 0.019 \\ 0.288 \pm 0.052 \\ 0.232 \pm 0.038 \\ 0.060 \pm 0.059 \end{array}$	856.40 274.62 263.03 83.67	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0005	0.994 0.986 0.981 0.418

T (ºC)	$k_g \pm CI$	$G_f \pm CI$	<i>F</i> (df ₁ =3, df ₂ =4; α=0.05)	p-value	r
30 50 60 85	0.713 ± 0.143 1.727 ± 0.998 14.102 ± 9.145 20.107 ± 10.573	$\begin{array}{c} 0.377 \pm 0.031 \\ 0.171 \pm 0.071 \\ 0.204 \pm 0.070 \\ 0.261 \pm 0.046 \end{array}$	4195.24 302.04 131.47 322.76	0.0000 0.0000 0.0002 0.0000	0.999 0.994 0.986 0.993
T (ºC)	$k_{ge} \pm CI$	$G_{ef}\pm CI$	<i>F</i> (df ₁ =3, df ₂ =4; α=0.05)	p-value	r
30 50 60 85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.631 \pm 0.379 \\ 0.349 \pm 0.339 \\ 2.510 \pm 1.915 \\ 6.000 \ (\text{NS}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.127 \pm 0.014 \\ 0.283 \pm 0.076 \\ 0.193 \pm 0.012 \\ 0.049 \pm 0.017 \end{array}$	573.71 168.99 928.62 49.94	0.0000 0.0001 0.0000 0.0013	0.988 0.976 0.994 0.303

Daidzin dissapearance		
Mathematical relationships	E _a (kJ/mol)	r
$lnk_d = 22.74 - 7043.2 / T$	58.56	0.939
$D_f = 3 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot T^2 - 0.0045 \cdot T + 0.319$	-	0.999
Daidzein formation		
Mathematical relationships	E _a (kJ/mol)	r
$lnk_{de} = 35.07 - 11300.2 / T$	93.95	0.826
$De_f = -2 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 7^2 + 0.019 \cdot 7 - 0.230$	-	0.983

Genistin dissapearance		
Mathematical relationships	E _a (kJ/mol)	r
$lnk_g = 22.93 - 7043.2 / T$	58.56	0.924
$G_f = 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot T^2 - 0.023 \cdot T + 0.900$	-	0.960
Genistein formation		
Mathematical relationships	E _a (kJ/mol)	r
$lnk_{ge} = 15.54 - 5000.1/T$	41.57	0.814
$G_{ef} = -2 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot T^2 + 0.021 \cdot T - 0.317$	-	0.937