

The structure of BRMS1 nuclear export signal and SNX6 interacting region reveals a hexamer formed by antiparallel coiled coils

Mercedes Spínola-Amilibia¹, José Rivera², Miguel Ortiz-Lombardía³, Antonio Romero⁴, José L. Neira^{5,6}, Jerónimo Bravo^{1*}

¹ *Instituto de Biomedicina de Valencia (IBV-CSIC), C/ Jaime Roig 11, 46010, Valencia, Spain.*

² *Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares Carlos III, C/ Melchor Fernández Almagro 3, 28029, Madrid, Spain.*

³ *Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, UMR6098, CNRS, Universités d'Aix-Marseille I & II, Case 932, 163 Avenue de Luminy, 13288, Marseille cedex 9, France.*

⁴ *Departamento de Biología Físico-Química, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, CSIC, C/ Ramiro de Maeztu 9, 28040, Madrid, Spain.*

⁵ *Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Edificio Torregaitán, 03202, Elche, Spain.*

⁶ *Instituto de Biocomputación y Física de Sistemas Complejos, Mariano Esquillor, Edificio I+D, 50018, Zaragoza, Spain.*

Keywords:

BRMS1, metastasis suppressors, coiled coil domain, X-Ray diffraction, Structure.

* Corresponding author:

Dept. of Genomics and Proteomics, Instituto de Biomedicina de Valencia (IBV-CSIC). C/ Jaime Roig 11. Valencia, Spain, 46010. Tel.: +34 96 339 1760; Fax: +34 96 369 0800. E-mail

address: jbravo@ibv.csic.es.

Abbreviations used: BRMS1, Breast Cancer Metastasis Suppressor 1; SNX6, Sorting Nexin 6; NES, Nuclear Export Signal; NLS, Nuclear Localization Signal; ARID4A, AT Rich Interactive Domain 4A; DOSY-NMR, Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance; DLS, Dynamic Light Scattering; D, translational diffusion coefficient; R, Stoke radius; c(s), sedimentation coefficient; TGN, Trans-Golgi Network; TGF- β , Transforming Growth Factor beta; SMT3, small Ubiquitin-like modifier; ULP, SMT3 specific protease; GCN4, Aminoacid biosynthesis regulatory protein; TLS, Titration-Libration-Screw; r.m.s.d, root mean square deviation; PDB, Protein Data Bank.

ABSTRACT

We present here the first structural report derived from Breast Cancer Metastasis Suppressor 1 (BRMS1), a member of the metastasis suppressor proteins group, which during recent years have drawn much attention since they suppress metastasis without affecting the growth of the primary tumour. The relevance of the predicted N-terminal coiled coil on the molecular recognition of some of the BRMS1 partners, on its cellular localization and on the role of BRMS1 biological functions such as transcriptional repression, prompted us to characterize its three-dimensional structure by X-Ray crystallography.

The structure of BRMS1 N-terminal region, reveals that residues 51 to 98 form an antiparallel coiled coil motif, and also that it has the capability of homo-oligomerizing in a hexameric conformation, by forming a trimer of coiled coil dimers. We have also performed hydrodynamic experiments that strongly supported the prevalence in solution of this quaternary structure for BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈.

This work explores the structural features of BRMS1 N-terminal region to help clarifying the role of this area in the context of the full-length protein. Our crystallographic and biophysical results suggest that the biological function of BRMS1 may be affected by its ability to promote molecular clustering through its N-terminal coiled coil region.

INTRODUCTION

Most human cancer deaths are caused by metastasis, a process involving several defined steps including detachment of cancer cells from a primary tumour, invasion of surrounding tissue, survival in the bloodstream, extravasation and colonization at distant organs.

Many genetic events are required to promote metastasis including several genes that

show relative reduced expression levels in aggressive human tumours. Restoration of normal levels for some of these proteins in a metastatic tumour cell line results in a significant reduction of the metastatic behaviour *in vivo* with no effect on primary tumour growth¹. Little is known about the molecular events triggered by this family of genes known as metastasis suppressors. Nevertheless, its function seems to become increasingly relevant towards the final stages of the metastatic cascade^{2,3}.

Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 (BRMS1), a member of this growing metastasis suppressor family, was identified by differential display and significantly reduced metastasis without affecting breast⁴, melanoma^{5,6} or ovarian carcinoma⁷ primary tumour growth.

BRMS1 transcriptional repression reduces metastasis and appears to be epigenetically regulated^{8,9}. Molecular mechanisms of action for BRMS1 include restoration of cell-cell communication¹⁰ and abrogation of phosphoinositide signalling¹¹. It has been proposed that BRMS1 might induce all these changes by playing a role in transcription¹²⁻¹⁹. In fact, BRMS1 has the ability to form complexes with several proteins involved in the regulation of transcription^{18,20,21}. Thus, BRMS1 can interact with a wide range of proteins in order to promote different effects in the metastatic cascade. Despite the wide biomedical interest, molecular characterization of BRMS1, including structural studies remains largely elusive. Analysis of the BRMS1 primary structure predicts a mostly disordered protein showing a few putative motifs, including two Nuclear Localization Signals (NLS) in two predicted coiled coil regions (Fig. 3d).

Coiled coil regions have long been known as protein-protein interaction modules that can exert biologically relevant functions^{22,23}. In fact, BRMS1 second predicted coiled coil domain, including residues 130-187, is involved in interactions with ARID4A, part of the SIN3:histone deacetylase chromatin remodelling complex¹⁸. In addition, we have recently

shown that BRMS1 N-terminal putative coiled coil interacts with a predicted coiled coil region of SNX6 including residues 300-406, increasing BRMS1-dependent transcriptional repression²¹. Moreover, we have also shown that BRMS1 residues 74-91 have been identified as a functional Nuclear Export Signal (NES)²⁴. Given that this predicted N-terminal coiled coil has an important role on the molecular recognition of some of the BRMS1 partners^{18,21}, it contains a sequence essential for its cytoplasmic localization²⁴, and that it has also been related to important BRMS1 biological functions such as transcriptional repression, we wanted to characterize its three-dimensional structure. We had previously reported the crystallization of BRMS1 including residues 51 to 84²⁵ and now have solved the structure of the predicted BRMS1 coiled coil region including residues 51 to 98 (BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈).

Structural characterization of this BRMS1 fragment reveals a trimer of antiparallel binary coiled coils. The crystal structure is in agreement with the solution oligomerization state determined by analytical ultracentrifugation and hydrodynamic experiments. This particular molecular arrangement might suggest the ability of BRMS1 to promote molecular clustering through its N-terminal coiled coil region.

RESULTS

BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ crystal structure

Human BRMS1 N-terminal coiled coil region (residues 51-98) was successfully expressed in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS cells and purified by metal-chelating affinity chromatography followed by size-exclusion chromatography in a buffer containing 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl. The elution volume of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ in the pre-calibrated gel filtration column corresponded to an apparent molecular weight of ~ 32 kDa

(data not shown). A major peak of protein, followed by absorption at 280 nm, was obtained at an elution volume of 160 mL. After analysis of more than 900 crystallization conditions and hit optimization, typical crystals grew with dimensions of 0.05 x 0.05 x 0.05 mm. Further improvement based mainly on the screening of different pH, precipitant concentration, temperature growing and protein:reservoir ratio volume, led to 0.25 x 0.25 x 0.25 mm crystals after three days. Prior to data collection, crystals (Fig. 1a) were vitrified in the presence of Paratone.

Diffraction data were collected and reduced to 1.9 Å. Data statistics of the X-ray diffraction experiments are shown in Table 1. Crystals are rhombohedral and belong to the *R32* space group with unit-cell dimensions, in the hexagonal setting, $a= 60.4$ Å, $b= 60.4$ Å, $c= 133.5$ Å and $\alpha= 90.0^\circ$, $\beta= 90.0^\circ$, $\gamma= 120.0^\circ$.

The asymmetric unit contains two BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ molecules, chains A and B, arranged as a left-handed antiparallel coiled coil (Fig. 1b). Residues 95 to 98 and the initial serine derived from the cloning could not be located in the electron density maps. The final model includes residues E54 to G94 for chain A and E51 to L90 for chain B, 69 water molecules and a sulphate and two chloride ions (Table 1). All residues belong to the preferred regions in the *Ramachandran* diagram (refinement statistics obtained for the final model are summarized in Table 1). The solved structure contains five complete heptad repeats with a stutter prior to E76 (Fig. 1c), thus following a classical pattern of coiled coil motifs. The typical heptad repeat is defined in BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ by residues E51, S58, L65, S72, E76, L83, and L90 in position *a* whereas residues E54, V61, E68, K75, F79, L86 and V93 would be occupying position *d* of their respective heptads (Fig. 1c).

The dimeric structure buries a total surface of 1,035 Å² (calculated by *PISA*²⁶) and is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions, mostly van der Waals contacts, with little polar and

electrostatic contribution. Notwithstanding, a salt bridge is established between the N ζ atom of K75 from chain A and the Oe2 of E68 from chain B towards the coiled coil center (Fig. 2; Table 2).

The described coiled coil establishes additional interactions with symmetry-related super-coils. In order to establish whether the crystal shows any quaternary structure, an analysis of the possible interfaces, assemblies and surfaces was performed as implemented in *PISA*²⁶. The antiparallel coiled coil establishes additional interactions with two symmetry-related super-coils via the crystallographic 3-fold axis (Fig. 2). For convenience, chain A symmetry-related molecules have been named A' and A''. Similarly, chain B symmetry-related molecules have been named B' and B''.

In the crystal, chain A interacts with chain B'' (Fig. 2a and 2d) in an antiparallel way, burying a total surface of 583 Å². Salt bridges are established between E63 from chain A, and R82 from chain B'' and conversely between R82 in chain A and E63 in chain B''. An additional salt bridge is established between K75 in chain A and E68 in chain B'' (Fig. 2b and 2d; Table 2). Chain A also interacts with chain A' (Fig. 2a) burying a total surface of 276 Å². Salt bridges are established between R57 in chain A and E59 or E63 in chain A' (Fig. 2c and 2d; Table 2). A third distinct interacting surface is established between chain B and chain B' (Fig. 2a) burying a total surface of 321 Å². This interface also shows the contribution of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges between E59 and E63 from chain B with R56 and R57 from chain B', respectively (Fig. 2d; Table 2). Finally, electrostatic interactions are also established between K75 from chains A' and A'' and E68 from chains B and B', respectively. These residues are located close to the crystallographic ternary axis (Fig. 2b).

In the resulting hexameric arrangement, the N-terminal regions of helices A, A' and A'' (residues 57 to 68) establish three-fold symmetric contacts. In turn, helices B, B' and B'' are

located, at these level, around the periphery of the hexameric ensemble, whereas at the other end of the hexamer their N-termini similarly form a three-fold symmetrical arrangement (Fig. 2c and 2d). Overall, the hexamer buries a total surface area of 14,355 Å². Around 42% of the total surface buried by the hexamer corresponds to interactions not directly involved in the formation of the antiparallel coiled coil.

In the hexamer, the thiol groups of C60 from chains A, A' and A'' are located within disulphide bond distance around the ternary axis. The same arrangement is found in chains B, B' and B''. Alternative conformers were clearly visible in the electron density maps (see discussion).

In summary, the X-Ray structure reveals a quaternary structure of a trimer of antiparallel coiled coils. This structural arrangement is in agreement with the results obtained from size-exclusion chromatography, where the apparent molecular weight of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ was 32 kDa, *i.e.* approximately six times that of the monomer (5.9 kDa). To gather further evidence of this oligomeric state, we performed complementary biophysical studies.

Hydrodynamic measurements

Hydrodynamic measurements of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ were performed in order to determine its translational diffusion coefficient (*D*) and the corresponding Stoke radius (*R*) using DLS and DOSY-NMR (Table 3). Furthermore, analytical ultracentrifugation was also carried out to determine the exact molecular weight of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈.

Dynamic Light Scattering

Using a solution of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ at 1 mg/mL (167 μM), we obtained a maximum of intensity corresponding to a population with a 35 % of polydispersity, a hydrodynamic radius

of 2.6 nm and a molecular weight of 33 kDa (Fig. 3a).

DOSY-NMR experiments

The D value of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ and the corresponding Stokes radius, R , are shown in Table 3; the values correspond to the average of three measurements. The theoretical ideal value of the hydrodynamic radius for an unsolvated spherical molecule, R_t , is given by: $R_t = \sqrt[3]{3M\bar{V}/4N_{Av}\pi}$, where \bar{V} is the specific volume (0.70 cm³/g, which is the mean of the twenty aminoacids), N_{Av} is Avogadro's number and M is the molecular weight (5.9 kDa for monomeric BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈). That expression yields an R of 1.18 nm for BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈. This value is very different to that measured experimentally, suggesting that either the sample could correspond to a self-associating protein or alternatively, that the protein could be largely disordered.

Using an empirical function, we can estimate the expected R for monomeric BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ to be between 1.47 nm, assuming that it is a folded protein ($R = (4.75 \pm 1.11)N^{0.29 \pm 0.02}$, where N is the number of residues²⁷) and 2.09 nm, if BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ behaves as a random-coil with disordered species ($R = (0.027 \pm 0.01)M^{0.50 \pm 0.01}$, where M is the molecular weight²⁸). The experimental R of the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ species is larger than these theoretical boundaries, and therefore it does not adjust to a monomeric folded protein or to a monomeric unfolded protein.

As mentioned previously, C60 from different chains fall in close vicinity within the hexameric quaternary structure. Although the structure does not suggest the formation of disulphide bridges, the NMR analysis was also performed in the presence of 1 mM DTT (data not shown) obtaining similar results and therefore suggesting that disulphide bridges do not play a role in BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ oligomerization state.

Analytical ultracentrifugation: Heterogeneity and sedimentation coefficient distributions of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈

Size exclusion chromatography had shown that BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ elutes as a 32 kDa protein on a Superdex 75 26/60 or Superdex 75 PC3.2/30 gel filtration columns using 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl buffer. Identical results were obtained along protein concentrations from 83.5 to 9 μ M, and also in the presence of 2 mM DTT (data not shown). The estimated molecular weight is in agreement with the hexameric quaternary structure found in the crystallographic structure of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈. However, given that size-exclusion molecular weight estimations are not independent of molecular shapes, we decided to perform analytical ultracentrifugation analysis in order to further confirm the oligomeric state of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈.

Sedimentation velocity experiments were carried out at 1, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1 and 0.05 mg/mL that correspond to 167, 83.5, 33.4, 16.7 and 8.35 μ M respectively, to measure the rate at which BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ molecules migrate in response to a centrifugal force. The c(s) profile of the protein obtained in the velocity experiment analysis (Fig. 3b) shows two peaks at 1 mg/mL: a predominant component, at 93.1 %, with a sedimentation coefficient of 2.7 ± 0.1 S and a minor component (5.5 %) of 1.5 ± 0.1 S. The minor component was undetectable at lower concentrations, and the unique peak presented a sedimentation coefficient of 2.8 ± 0.1 S (Fig. 3b).

Sedimentation equilibrium experiments (Fig. 3c) were also carried out in parallel to determine the molecular weight of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈. Experimental data fitted with a model of single species and resulted in a molecular weight of around 34 kDa at a concentration of 167 μ M and of 36 kDa in the concentration range of 0.5 to 0.05 mg/mL. The high quality of the fitting to the model is shown in Fig. 3c.

DISCUSSION

BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is an antiparallel coiled coil motif

Despite its biological importance, structure-function studies on BRMS1 have remained elusive until now. Here we show that the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ fragment has structural integrity when isolated from the rest of the protein. The structure of the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ fragment shows a left-handed antiparallel coiled coil, with heptad-repeat positions *a* and *d*, which form the “knob into holes” packing typical of coiled coils, filled by seven polar residues and seven hydrophobic residues. Positions *a* and *d* are occupied by hydrophobic residues at the C-terminus of the molecule, whereas positions *e* and *g* are mainly taken up by polar residues, being Arg the most representative aminoacid. These two characteristics, in addition to the stutter discontinuity that appears prior to E76 in BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈, are the most commonly observed consensus features described in coiled coil motifs^{22,23}. The observed coiled coil extends at least between residues 51 and 94, which are clearly seen in the electron density maps and could be assigned to canonical heptad repeats (Fig. 1c). This observation further extends the lengthiest coiled coil assignment based solely on the sequence, which defined carboxylic end of the N-terminal coiled coil at residue 81 (Ncoils 1.0²⁹, predicts a coiled coil between residues 49 and 81 with a probability higher than 0.8) (Fig. 3d).

BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is a self-associating protein with potential to mediate oligomerization

Analysis of the interacting surfaces in the crystal structure shows that BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ can self-associate in the absence of the rest of the protein, oligomerize as a homo-hexamer consisting of a trimer of antiparallel coiled coils (Fig. 2a). The oligomerization state of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is not sustained by any di-sulphide bonds, since DOSY-NMR experiments gave

identical hydrodynamic results in the presence or in the absence of 1 mM DTT. Moreover, identical results were obtained in size exclusion chromatography in absence or presence of 2 mM DTT indicating that no disulfide bridges are involved in oligomerization. The alternate conformations of C60 side-chains observed in the crystal are compatible with a static disorder resulting from different combinations of two C60 residues engaged in a disulphide bond and one free C60 residue. Consistent with the results obtained in solution, the dihedral angle around these bonds is only $\sim 20^\circ$, implying that they are very weak and easily reduced disulphide bonds.

The Stoke radius obtained from DLS and DOSY-NMR (2.6 nm and 2.52 nm, respectively) agrees remarkably well with the 2.7 nm calculated by HYDROPRO³⁰ from the structure of the hexameric form of the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ fragment. In fact, we also used HYDROPRO to estimate the value of D from the hexameric structure, which produced a value of $7.99 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, very close to the experimentally determined $7.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and strongly supporting the idea that the hexamer observed in the crystal also exists in solution.

Analytical ultracentrifugation experiments allow the measurement of the molecular mass of a particle independently of its shape and also to perform protein association studies³¹. Both DLS and sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation showed that at a concentration of 167 μM , BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is found in solution as two molecular species. Given that the molecular weight of monomeric human BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is 5.9 kDa and taking into account the frictional ratio calculated by SedFit software (~ 1.4), human BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ behaves predominantly as a hexamer of around 39 kDa accounting for 93.1 % of the total mass, while a remaining 5.5 % of the mass shows a dimeric behavior (16 kDa). Subsequent experiments at 0.5, 0.2, 0.1 and 0.05 mg/mL only showed the hexameric signal (Fig. 3b), with an apparent

molecular weight of 36 kDa. Moreover, BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ sedimentation equilibrium experimental data resulted in an average molecular mass of around 34 kDa and 36 kDa for 167 μM and the 83.5-8.35 μM range respectively, in line with those determined by size-exclusion chromatography at the concentration range 83.5-9 μM (32 kDa) and DLS at 167 μM (33 kDa). Finally, theoretical analysis of the interaction surfaces involved in the formation of the hexamer, performed with the *PISA* software²⁶, suggests that this oligomer is the most stable quaternary structure in solution. Altogether, these results strongly support the notion that at a protein concentration as low as 0.05 mg/mL (8.35 μM), BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ already forms in solution the hexameric arrangement that we find in its crystal structure.

The closest structural similarity of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is with the membrane-proximal ectodomain region of the envelope glycoprotein gp41 subunit from human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (PDB ID 3G9R), a transmembrane glycoprotein involved in the membrane-fusion process of cellular and viral membranes that takes place during HIV-1 infection. Despite a sequence identity of only 5%, the alpha-carbon r.m.s.d. between BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ and gp41 is 0.5 Å for 40 residues. The best overlap is with gp41 membrane proximal ectodomain region in a putative prefusion conformation³², however the postfusion state of gp41 including the inner core and outer layer of the gp41 ectodomain resembles that of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈, since it is formed by a trimer of alpha-helical hairpins that plays a critical role in the membrane fusion of the virus³³. There is however no conservation between the relative orientation of the helices in the two structures.

Implications for BRMS1 cellular localization

At this stage, it is worth mentioning that the molecular weight of monomeric full-length BRMS1 would allow passive diffusion of the protein through the channel of the

nuclear pore complex. However, BRMS1 contains a functional nuclear localization signal that interacts with importin $\alpha 6$. Furthermore, BRMS1 is also actively exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm²⁴. Indeed, we have previously identified a nuclear export signal involving BRMS1 residues 74 to 91, which partially overlaps with the C-terminal part of the coiled coil fragment BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈²⁴ (Fig.3d). Three out of the four consensus hydrophobic residues for the nuclear export signal, are not accessible in the coiled coil and occupy positions *a* (L83) or *d* (F79 and L86) of the heptad repeat. This suggests that the coiled coil would require monomerization of the individual helices prior to its interaction with the nuclear exportins. Taken together, our findings suggest that BRMS1 might be part of a larger molecular entity with highly dynamic properties. Further experiments will be necessary to ascertain *in vivo* the existence and the composition of BRMS1 oligomers, which could, in principle, involve a BRMS1 hexamer built on the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ assembly that we have described.

BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ may establish molecular clusters

Coiled coil regions are well-known protein-protein interaction modules^{22,23}. Our results confirm that BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is indeed a coiled coil region that could allow BRMS1 to establish homo- or hetero-oligomerization contacts. Actually, a number of proteins do interact with BRMS1 through their coiled coil motifs, *e.g.* ARID4A, which participates in the DNA remodelling complex Sin3-HDAC¹⁸, or the SNX6 protein²¹. In fact, residues 300-406 from SNX6 have been shown to interact with residues 1-88 from BRMS1²¹. Since this SNX6 region includes a putative coiled coil, we anticipate that this is a coiled coil-mediated interaction. This interaction is of particular relevance since SNX6 is part of the retromer complex, involved in the transport of transmembrane cargo proteins from endosomes to the trans-Golgi network (TGN). Furthermore, SNX6 interacts with TGF- β receptors, which have

largely been involved in metastasis.

The crystallographic quaternary structure of the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ fragment provides clues about the interaction of BRMS1 with other cellular partners through its N-terminal coiled coil, which we have proved to be longer than what was predicted from its sequence. Thus, this particular molecular arrangement might suggest the ability of BRMS1 to promote molecular clustering through its N-terminal coiled coil region.

A second region in the BRMS1 sequence is predicted to form a coiled coil around residues 147-180 that varies in length depending on the predictions tools used. In light of our observations the real coiled coil might extend further, specially if we take into account that the predictions are less favourable for this C-terminal coiled coil. Recent reports have shown that both coiled coil regions of BRMS1 are involved in hetero association with other proteins. However, we have also shown that, at least the N-terminal coiled coil is also capable of establishing homo oligomers. Additional studies should establish whether the second coiled coil is able to undergo a similar homooligomerization mechanism.

CONCLUSION

The X-ray crystal structure that we report represents the first structural work on the human BRMS1 protein or any of its orthologs, opening new avenues to explore the function of this otherwise elusive protein. Together with the hydrodynamic data that we have gathered from a wide range of techniques, this structure shows that the predicted N-terminal coiled coil region of BRMS1 protein does actually form an antiparallel coiled coil motif with a capability of mediating oligomerization. Our observations suggest that BRMS1 biological function could be marked by an ability to establish molecular clusters. Alternatively, the N-terminal coiled coil of BRMS1 protein, could be involved in more restricted oligomerization

in order to perform other biological functions, such as the modulation of transcription. Further efforts will test the validity of these hypotheses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

The DNA fragment encoding the first predicted coiled coil motif of human BRMS1 including aminoacids 51-98 (BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈) was amplified from RZPD cDNA clone ID: IRALp962L0425Q2 by PCR using forward 5'GCG-ggatcc-GAGGACTATGAGCGACGCC^{3'} and reverse 5'CGC-ctcgag-TTAGGCTCTCTCAGCCCC^{3'} primers that contain restriction sites shown in lower case to facilitate the cloning. The amplicon was subcloned into a modified version of plasmid pET28 (Novagen) that allows the expression of an N-terminally 6xHis/SMT3 fusion protein³⁴. After cleavage with a SMT3 specific protease ULP, a serine residue is introduced at the N-terminus. The DNA sequence-verified construct was transformed into Rosetta(DE3)pLysS *E. coli* cells for His-SMT3-BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ fusion protein expression.

Overproduction of the target protein was carried out at 310 K using 2xTY medium (Twice-concentrated Tryptone-Yeast extract; 16 g/L Tryptone, 10 g/L Yeast extract, 85 mM NaCl, pH 7.2). The expression was induced by addition of 1 mM Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) when the optical density at 600 nm reached a value of 0.7, and cells were harvested after 4 hours and resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 % Tween-20 and 0.2 mM AEBSF (4-(2-Aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride; Sigma)).

After cell lysis by sonication and removal of cell debris by centrifugation (30 minutes

at 20,000 x g), the fusion protein was histidine-affinity purified using 5 mL Hi-Trap Chelating columns (GE Healthcare) previously loaded with Nickel Sulphate, washed with 50 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM Imidazole and eluted with a linear gradient against 50 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 500 mM Imidazole. The SMT3 specific protease ULP was added to the eluate in a 1:1,000 concentration (protease weight:protein weight) and the mixture was dialysed overnight at 277 K, against 50 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 150 mM NaCl, using “Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassettes” of 3.5 kDa cut-off (Pierce). To remove the His/SMT3, the sample underwent a second histidine-affinity purification step. The flow-through fraction was loaded in a size-exclusion Superdex 75 26/60 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl, at 277 K. Fractions containing highly purified protein, as estimated by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and Coomassie staining, were further concentrated with ultrafiltration devices (5 kDa cut-off, Millipore), flash cooled under liquid nitrogen and stored at 193 K until use.

Structure determination

Protein Crystallization and X-ray data collection

Initial crystallization trials were performed on a Cartesian Honeybee robot (Digilab) using commercial kits and various protein concentrations. After evaluation of initial hits, optimized crystals were obtained by the hanging-drop vapour diffusion method at 295 K. Briefly, 1 μ L of purified BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ protein at 50 mg/mL was mixed with 1 μ L reservoir solution (0.1 M Sodium Citrate pH 5.25, 1.5 M Ammonium Sulphate) and equilibrated against 0.5 mL reservoir solution. Crystals were cryo-protected using paratone-N (Hampton Research), mounted on cryo-loops and flashed-cooled at 100 K under a cryogenic nitrogen stream. The diffraction data (Table 1) were collected on the ID14eh4 beamline at the ESRF

(Grenoble, France) at a wavelength of 1.2785 Å and using a Q315r ADSC X-ray detector. The images were collected using the beamline software MXCuBE (“MX Customised Beamline Environment”).

Data reduction was performed using MOSFLM for reflection, indexing and integration and SCALA for scaling and merging, both from the CCP4 program suite³⁵.

Structure determination and refinement

The 3D structure of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ was solved by automated search with *Phaser*³⁶ using coordinates from PDB ID 2CCF as a molecular replacement model. This model refers to residues 249-281 of the yeast transcriptional activator GCN4 and includes two chains with 31 residues modelled in chain A and 32 residues in chain B³⁷. The search of a good rotation and translation solution was carried out by defining two individual ensembles, one containing the chain A and the second one with the chain B, and looking for one copy from each. The resulting model was modified and completed manually in the real space by *Cool*³⁸, with alternating refinement cycles in the reciprocal space using *Refmac5*³⁹ with TLS⁴⁰ and Babinet scaling. The TLS groups were selected using the internet web tool *TLSMD*⁴¹.

Real-space correlation, coordinate errors, R, R_{free}, B factors and r.m.s.d. were checked using *Sfcheck*, *Procheck*⁴² and *Baverage* (CCP4³⁵). *Molprobity*⁴³ together with *Monster*⁴⁴ and *Contact* (from CCP4³⁵) were used for the geometric and contact analyses, and *AreaIMol* (CCP4 package³⁵) and *PISA* software²⁶ to explore macromolecular interfaces and assemblies.

Dynamic Light Scattering

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) measurements to obtain hydrodynamic radii of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ protein were carried out at 293 K with a DynaProTM instrument (Protein

Solutions), using a quartz cuvette of 3 mm path length. Protein samples (1 mg/mL) in 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl, were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 16,000 x g prior to measurements. Thirty readings were collected with 5 seconds acquisition time and a 100 % of incident beam power. The data were visualized and analysed using the Dynamics V6 software to obtain the hydrodynamic radius of the particles, the apparent molecular size and the homogeneity of the sample.

DOSY-NMR experiments

The NMR experiments were acquired on an Avance DRX-500 spectrometer (Bruker GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) equipped with a triple resonance probe and z-pulse field gradients.

NMR samples for DOSY-NMR spectra were prepared by concentrating the samples in Centriprep devices (5 kDa cut-off, Millipore) in a H₂O:D₂O (1:9) solution. The solution was centrifuged briefly to remove insoluble protein and then transferred to a 5 mm NMR tube at 293 K. Final protein concentrations of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ were in the range 100-150 μM. The pH of the sample was 6.9 (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer). Values of the pH reported here represent apparent values of pH, without correction for isotope effects. TSP (3-(trimethylsilyl)propionic-2,2,3,3-d₄) was used as the internal chemical shift reference.

Translational self-diffusion measurements were performed with the pulsed-gradient spin-echo sequence. The following relationship exists between the translational self-diffusion parameter, D , and the delays during acquisition⁴⁵:

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = -\exp\left(D\gamma_H^2\delta^2G^2\left(\Delta - \frac{\delta}{3} - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right)$$

where I is the measured peak intensity of a particular (or a group of) resonance(s); I_0 is the

maximum peak intensity of the same resonance(s) at the smaller gradient strength; D is the translational self-diffusion constant (in $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$); δ is the duration (in s) of the gradient; G is the gradient strength (in T cm^{-1}); Δ is the time (in s) between the gradients; γ_{H} is the gyromagnetic constant of the proton; and τ is the recovery delay between the bipolar gradients (100 μs). Data are plotted as I/I_0 versus G^2 and the exponential factor of the resulting curve is $D\gamma_{\text{H}}^2\delta^2\left(\Delta - \frac{\delta}{3} - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)$, from where D can be easily obtained. The duration of the gradient was varied between 2.6 and 3 ms, and the time between both gradients was 150 ms. The most up-field shifted methyl groups (those between 0.5 and 1 ppm) were used to measure the intensity changes.

The value of the Stokes radius, R , was determined as described by Dobson and co-workers²⁷. Briefly, a 0.1 % of dioxane was added to the BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ sample, and its D was calculated. Since the R of dioxane is 2.12 Å, the R of the protein can be obtained.

Analytical ultracentrifugation

The sample protein at 1, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1 and 0.05 mg/mL (corresponding to 167, 83.5, 33.4, 16.7 and 8.35 μM respectively) in buffer containing 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl were pre-cleared at 16,000 x g before ultracentrifugation protocol. The analytical ultracentrifugation experiments were conducted at 293 K.

Velocity measurements

Sedimentation velocity experiment was performed on an Optima XL-I (Beckman, CA), using an AnTi50 rotor and a standard double-sector Epon-charcoal center pieces (1.2 cm optical path length). 400 μL of sample and reference solution were loaded and sedimented at

48,000 rpm during 3 hours and 30 minutes, registering successive entries every minute. The evolution of the resulting concentration gradient of material in the cell as a function of time and radial position was monitored using Rayleigh interferometric detection in the case of the sample at 1 mg/mL and by absorbance at the wavelength 230 nm in the rest of the samples (0.5-0.05 mg/mL range).

Differential sedimentation coefficient distributions ($c(s)$) were calculated by least-squares boundary modelling of sedimentation velocity data using SedFit software (Version 11.8) as described⁴⁶. The calculated frictional ratios were used to transform the $c(s)$ distribution into the corresponding molar mass distribution^{47,48}.

Sedimentation Equilibrium

An Optima XL-A (Beckman, CA) analytical ultracentrifuge equipped with UV-visible absorbance optics was employed for analytical ultracentrifugation measurements by using an An50Ti rotor. Short column (85 μ L) sedimentation equilibrium runs were carried out at multiple speeds (14.5, 19, and 28 krpm in the 1 mg/mL sample and 16, 19 and 22 krpm in the 0.5-0.05 mg/mL range) by taking absorbance scans at the wavelength 280 nm (for 1 mg/mL) and 240-230 nm (for 0.5-0.05 mg/mL range). After the equilibrium scans, a high-speed centrifugation run at 45 krpm was done to estimate the corresponding baseline offsets.

The weight-average buoyant molecular weight of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ was calculated using the Hetero-Analysis program (Version 1.1.33)⁴⁹. The molecular weight of the protein was determined from the experimental buoyant masses using the values of 0.7255 cm³/g and 1.00087 g/cm³ as the partial specific volume and density, respectively.

PDB accession numbers

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession number 2XUS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (SAF2008-04048-E, SAF2009-10667), Conselleria de Sanitat, Generalitat Valenciana AP-001/10, CSIC (200820I020) and Fundación Mutua Madrileña, Spain. Work in JLN laboratory was supported by CSD2008-00005, SAF2008-05742-C02-01, ACOMP 2010/114 and the Foundation FIPSE 36557/06.

The authors thank Carlos Alfonso (Servicio de Ultracentrifugación Analítica, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, CSIC) for analytical ultracentrifugation and the ESRF staff at ID14-4 for technical support and assistance during X-ray data collection.

We acknowledge CD Lima for kindly supplying the modified version of pET28 overexpressing SMT3 tag.

FIGURES:

Figure 1: BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ is an antiparallel coiled coil.

(a) Crystal of BRMS1 amino terminal region (residues 51-98) obtained by the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion technique. The crystal was grown using 0.1 M Sodium Citrate pH 5.25 and 1.5 M Ammonium Sulphate in the reservoir. The approximate dimensions of the crystal were 0.25 x 0.25 x 0.25 mm.

(b) Antiparallel coiled coil of amino terminal BRMS1 region (residues 51-98). The structure was solved by molecular replacement, using the program *Phaser*³⁶ (from CCP4) and the 2CCF PDB ID model. The asymmetric unit contains two chains (A and B), which are represented in green (chain A) and in blue (chain B). Amino and carboxylic termini are shown as “N” and “C” respectively. Center and right images, generated upon rotation around x and y axis from the left image, show the twisting of the two antiparallel chains.

(c) Heptad repeat assignment of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ established manually. Heptads, with repetition pattern from *a* to *g*, are delimited with boxes. Residues 51 to 94 of BRMS1 protein are numbered on the top of the assignment and identified with their corresponding letter in the heptad, where the critical positions *a* and *d*, mainly occupied by hydrophobic aminoacids, are shown in red. There is a discontinuity or stutter in the heptad pattern localized prior to E76, which is shown with an asterisk.

Figure 2: The BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ hexamer.

(a) Cartoon representation of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ quaternary structure formed by a trimer of antiparallel coiled coils packed around a ternary axis. This hexamer is built by the interaction of the two chains of the asymmetric unit with four of their symmetry-related molecules. Chains are labelled as A (Chain A) and B (Chain B). Labels A' and A'', and B' and B'' refers to symmetry-related chains A and B respectively. The two molecules of each coiled coil are represented with the same colour: light brown for A-B; pink for A'-B' and blue for A''-B''. Carboxylic and amino terminals are shown as C and N respectively. Chloride and sulphate ions are represented as spheres and sticks respectively.

(b) Detail of salt bridges established between K75 from chain A and symmetry-related chains A (A' and A'') and E68 from chain B and symmetry-related chains B (B' and B''), around the ternary axis. Distances between Oe2 atom of E68 and N ζ atom of K75 are represented as colour-coded lines as follows: 3.56 Å distance in red and 3.07 Å in blue.

(c) Detail of the N-terminal salt bridges within symmetry-related chain groups A-A'-A'' (on the left) and B-B'-B'' (on the right). N abbreviation represents the amino termini. The hydrogen bonds (with distances shown in Angströms) between nitrogen and oxygen atoms of arginines and glutamic acids respectively, are represented with dotted lines.

(d) Interacting surfaces among the different chains (A and B, in light brown) and symmetry-related molecules (pink and blue colours) established within the hexameric conformation. Each panel contains a distinct contact surface, concretely from pairs A-A'; B-B'; A-B and A-B'' (which are also detailed in Table 2). One molecule is represented as a ribbon cartoon and the other one as a molecular surface. The interacting residues are marked in dark brown in both chains. N and C letters indicate the amino and carboxylic ends of the molecule. A different orientation of the hexamer, resulting from a 90 ° rotation in the y axis with respect to panel a, is shown in the centre.

Figura 3. Hydrodynamic measurements in BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ and schematic representation of structure motifs of the BRMS1 full length protein.

(a) Dynamic Light Scattering of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ at 167 µM of protein concentration, in a buffer containing 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl and at 293 K. The highest peak of intensity corresponds to a hydrodynamic radius of 2.6 nm with a polydispersity of 35 % and an apparent molecular weight of 33 kDa.

(b) Sedimentation velocity data for BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ at 167 µM (1 mg/mL); 83.5 µM (0.5 mg/mL); 33.4 µM (0.2 mg/mL); 16.7 µM (0.1 mg/mL) and 8.35 µM (0.05 mg/mL), monitored using Rayleigh interferometric detection (1 mg/mL sample) and by absorbance at the wavelength 230 nm (the rest of samples). The experiment was carried out at 293 K; 48,000 rpm and in 20 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl. Differential coefficient distribution (c(s)) was fitted using SedFit software⁴⁶. The c(s) curve suggests that BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ at 1 mg/mL present a predominant species (peak A) in a 93.1 % with a sedimentation coefficient of 2.7 ± 0.1 Svedberg and a second peak (B, 5.5 %) with 1.5 ± 0.1 S, and the range from 0.5 mg/mL to 0.05 mg/mL protein sample show an unique peak of 2.8 ± 0.1 S. The apparent molecular weights (16 kDa and 39 kDa for peaks B and A respectively at 1 mg/mL and 36 kDa for the unique peak at 0.5-0.05 mg/mL range) were calculated from the c(s) distribution, with a frictional ratio of 1.4.

(c) Equilibrium sedimentation assay for BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ sample at 167 µM, was conducted at 293 K and with scan

data acquisition at 280 nm and at 14.5; 19 and 28 krpm of velocity. The residuals (lower panel) show the fitting between the experimental data and an ideal single-species model (blue line).

(d) The aminoacid boundaries identified by different prediction servers as Disopred⁵⁰, Porter⁵¹, DisEMBL⁵² or Poodle⁵³ for unfolded regions, Paracoil⁵⁴, Multicoil⁵⁵, Coils²⁹ for coiled coil motifs or Scansite⁵⁶, PSORT II⁵⁷ and HPRD⁵⁸ for phosphorylation sites, Retention and Nuclear Localization Signals⁴ are shown with numbers. It is shown two predicted imperfect Leucine zipper motifs. Blue, green, yellow and grey boxes show Glutamic Rich Region (E-Rich), functional Nuclear Export Signal (NES)²⁴, functional Nuclear Localization Signal (NLS1)²⁴ and predicted Nuclear Localization Signal (NLS2) respectively. The rhombi represent putative phosphorylation sites: red for Casein kinase II, brown for cAM/cGMP and grey for protein kinase C. The green rhombus at the C-terminal corresponds to an endoplasmic reticulum retention signal. The experimentally determined first coiled coil motif (from 51 to 98 residues) and the second predicted coiled coil are represented as orange and grey helices respectively. The predicted unfolded regions with their corresponding boundaries are shown as archway over the scheme.

TABLES:

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics for BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ structure

^a $R_{meas} = \{\sum_{hkl} [N/(N-1)]^{1/2} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle|\} / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$, where $I_i(hkl)$ are the observed intensities, $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$ are the average intensities and N is the multiplicity of reflection hkl .

^b Mean $[I/\sigma(I)]$ is the average of the relation between the intensity of the diffraction and the background.

^c $R_{factor} = \sum_{hkl} \{|F_{obs}(hkl)| - |F_{calc}(hkl)|\} / \sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}(hkl)|$, where $F_{obs}(hkl)$ and $F_{calc}(hkl)$ are the structure factors observed and calculated, respectively.

^d R_{free} corresponds to R_{factor} calculated using 5 % of the total reflections selected randomly and excluded during refinement.

^e R.m.s.d. is the root mean square deviation.

Table 2. Contacts and interfaces within the hexamer

Interfaces, hydrogen bonds and salt bridges established between chains A and B of the asymmetric unit and symmetry-related chains (' and "). The contacting atom from each residue is shown with brackets, and as "Dist" the distance between them. These analyses were carried out with *Contact* (from CCP4 suite³⁵) and *PISA*²⁶ softwares.

Table 3. Hydrodynamic measurements of BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ species

^a Calculated by the mathematical expression of Dobson and co-workers assuming that BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ species are monomeric folded proteins²⁷.

^b Calculated by the mathematical expression of Gräslund and co-workers assuming that BRMS1₅₁₋₉₈ species are monomeric unfolded proteins²⁸.

REFERENCES

1. Rinker-Schaeffer, C. W., O'Keefe, J. P., Welch, D. R. & Theodorescu, D. (2006). Metastasis suppressor proteins: discovery, molecular mechanisms, and clinical application. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12, 3882-3889.
2. Steeg, P. S. (2003). Metastasis suppressors alter the signal transduction of cancer cells. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 3, 55-63.
3. Steeg, P. S., Ouatas, T., Halverson, D., Palmieri, D. & Salerno, M. (2003). Metastasis suppressor genes: basic biology and potential clinical use. *Clin. Breast Cancer.* 4, 51-62.
4. Seraj, M. J., Samant, R. S., Verderame, M. F. & Welch, D. R. (2000). Functional evidence for a novel human breast carcinoma metastasis suppressor, brms1, encoded at chromosome 11q13. *Cancer Res.* 60, 2764-2769.
5. Shevde, L. A., Samant, R. S., Goldberg, S. F., Sikaneta, T., Alessandrini, A., Donahue, H. J., Mauger, D. T. & Welch, D. R. (2002). Suppression of human melanoma metastasis by the metastasis suppressor gene, brms1. *Exp. Cell Res.* 273, 229-239.
6. Li, J. & Li, G. (2010). Cell cycle regulator ing4 is a suppressor of melanoma angiogenesis that is regulated by the metastasis suppressor brms1. *Cancer Res.* 70, 10445-10453.
7. Zhang, S., Lin, Q. & DI, W. (2006). Suppression of human ovarian carcinoma metastasis by the metastasis-suppressor gene, brms1. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer.* 16, 522-531.
8. Metge, B. J., Frost, A. R., King, J. A., Dyess, D. L., Welch, D. R., Samant, R. S. & Shevde, L. A. (2008). Epigenetic silencing contributes to the loss of brms1 expression in breast cancer. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis.* 25, 753-763.

9. Nagji, A. S., Liu, Y., Stelow, E. B., Stukenborg, G. J. & Jones, D. R. (2010). Brms1 transcriptional repression correlates with cpg island methylation and advanced pathological stage in non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Pathol.* 221, 229-237.
10. Saunders, M. M., Seraj, M. J., Li, Z., Zhou, Z., Winter, C. R., Welch, D. R. & Donahue, H. J. (2001). Breast cancer metastatic potential correlates with a breakdown in homospecific and heterospecific gap junctional intercellular communication. *Cancer Res.* 61, 1765-1767.
11. DeWald, D. B., Torabinejad, J., Samant, R. S., Johnston, D., Erin, N., Shope, J. C., Xie, Y. & Welch, D. R. (2005). Metastasis suppression by breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 involves reduction of phosphoinositide signaling in mda-mb-435 breast carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 65, 713-717.
12. Meehan, W. J., Samant, R. S., Hopper, J. E., Carrozza, M. J., Shevde, L. A., Workman, J. L., Eckert, K. A., Verderame, M. F. & Welch, D. R. (2004). Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 (brms1) forms complexes with retinoblastoma-binding protein 1 (rbp1) and the msin3 histone deacetylase complex and represses transcription. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 1562-1569.
13. Cicek, M., Fukuyama, R., Welch, D. R., Sizemore, N. & Casey, G. (2005). Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 inhibits gene expression by targeting nuclear factor-kappab activity. *Cancer Res.* 65, 3586-3595.
14. Liu, Y., Smith, P. W. & Jones, D. R. (2006). Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 functions as a corepressor by enhancing histone deacetylase 1-mediated deacetylation of rela/p65 and promoting apoptosis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26, 8683-8696.
15. Rivera, J., Megias, D. & Bravo, J. (2007). Proteomics-based strategy to delineate the molecular mechanisms of the metastasis suppressor gene brms1. *J. Proteome Res.* 6,

4006-4018.

16. Samant, R. S., Clark, D. W., Fillmore, R. A., Cicek, M., Metge, B. J., Chandramouli, K. H., Chambers, A. F., Casey, G., Welch, D. R. & Shevde, L. A. (2007). Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 (brms1) inhibits osteopontin transcription by abrogating nf-kappab activation. *Mol. Cancer*. 6, 6.
17. Yang, J., Zhang, B., Lin, Y., Yang, Y., Liu, X. & Lu, F. (2008). Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 inhibits sdf-1alpha-induced migration of non-small cell lung cancer by decreasing cxcr4 expression. *Cancer Lett*. 269, 46-56.
18. Hurst, D. R., Xie, Y., Vaidya, K. S., Mehta, A., Moore, B. P., Accavitti-Loper, M. A., Samant, R. S., Saxena, R., Silveira, A. C. & Welch, D. R. (2008). Alterations of brms1-arid4a interaction modify gene expression but still suppress metastasis in human breast cancer cells. *J. Biol. Chem*. 283, 7438-7444.
19. Cicek, M., Fukuyama, R., Cicek, M. S., Sizemore, S., Welch, D. R., Sizemore, N. & Casey, G. (2009). Brms1 contributes to the negative regulation of upa gene expression through recruitment of hdac1 to the nf-kappab binding site of the upa promoter. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis*. 26, 229-237.
20. Hurst, D. R., Mehta, A., Moore, B. P., Phadke, P. A., Meehan, W. J., Accavitti, M. A., Shevde, L. A., Hopper, J. E., Xie, Y., Welch, D. R. & Samant, R. S. (2006). Breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 (brms1) is stabilized by the hsp90 chaperone. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun*. 348, 1429-1435.
21. Rivera, J., Megías, D. & Bravo, J. (2010). Sorting nexin 6 interacts with breast cancer metastasis suppressor-1 and promotes transcriptional repression. *J. Cell. Biochem*. 111:1464-72.
22. Lupas, A. (1996). Coiled coils: new structures and new functions. *Trends Biochem.*

- Sci.. 21, 375-382.
23. Mason, J. M. & Arndt, K. M. (2004). Coiled coil domains: stability, specificity, and biological implications. *Chembiochem.* 5, 170-176.
 24. Rivera, J., Megías, D., Navas, C. & Bravo, J. (2009). Identification of essential sequences for cellular localization in brms1 metastasis suppressor. *PLoS ONE.* 4, e6433.
 25. Spínola-Amilibia, M., Rivera, J. & Bravo, J. (2008). Crystallization and preliminary x-ray diffraction analysis of a breast cancer metastasis suppressor 1 predicted coiled-coil region. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun.* 64, 1156-1158.
 26. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. (2007). Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* 372, 774-797.
 27. Wilkins, D. K., Grimshaw, S. B., Receveur, V., Dobson, C. M., Jones, J. A. & Smith, L. J. (1999). Hydrodynamic radii of native and denatured proteins measured by pulse field gradient NMR techniques. *Biochemistry.* 38, 16424-16431.
 28. Danielsson, J., Jarvet, J., Damberg, P. & Gräslund, A. (2002). Translational diffusion measured by PFG-NMR on full length and fragments of the alzheimer A β (1-40) peptide. determination of hydrodynamic radii of random coil peptides of varying length. *Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry.* 40, S89-S97.
 29. Lupas, A., Van Dyke, M. & Stock, J. (1991). Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. *Science.* 252, 1162-1164.
 30. García De La Torre, J., Huertas, M. L. & Carrasco, B. (2000). Calculation of hydrodynamic properties of globular proteins from their atomic-level structure. *Biophys. J.* 78, 719-730.
 31. Lebowitz, J., Lewis, M. S. & Schuck, P. (2002). Modern analytical ultracentrifugation

- in protein science: a tutorial review. *Protein Sci.* 11, 2067-2079.
32. Liu, J., Deng, Y., Dey, A. K., Moore, J. P. & Lu, M. (2009). Structure of the hiv-1 gp41 membrane-proximal ectodomain region in a putative prefusion conformation. *Biochemistry.* 48, 2915-2923.
 33. Weissenhorn, W., Dessen, A., Harrison, S. C., Skehel, J. J. & Wiley, D. C. (1997). Atomic structure of the ectodomain from hiv-1 gp41. *Nature.* 387, 426-430.
 34. Mossessova, E. & Lima, C. D. (2000). Ulp1-sumo crystal structure and genetic analysis reveal conserved interactions and a regulatory element essential for cell growth in yeast. *Mol. Cell.* 5, 865-876.
 35. CCP4 (1994). The ccp4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 50, 760-763.
 36. McCoy, A., Grosse-Kunstleve, R., Adams, P., Winn, M., Storoni, L. & Read, R. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. *Journal of Applied Crystallography.* 40, 658-674.
 37. Yadav, M. K., Leman, L. J., Price, D. J., Brooks, C. L. 3., Stout, C. D. & Ghadiri, M. R. (2006). Coiled coils at the edge of configurational heterogeneity. Structural analyses of parallel and antiparallel homotetrameric coiled coils reveal configurational sensitivity to a single solvent-exposed amino acid substitution. *Biochemistry.* 45, 4463-4473.
 38. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 60, 2126-2132.
 39. Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A. & Dodson, E. J. (1997). Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 53, 240-255.
 40. Winn, M. D., Isupov, M. N. & Murshudov, G. N. (2001). Use of tls parameters to model anisotropic displacements in macromolecular refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol.*

- Crystallogr. 57, 122-133.
41. Painter, J. & Merritt, E. A. (2006). Optimal description of a protein structure in terms of multiple groups undergoing tls motion. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 62, 439-450.
 42. Laskowski, R. A., MacArthur, M. W., Moss, D. S. & Thornton, J. M. (1993). Procheck - a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *Journal of Applied Crystallography.* 26, 283-291.
 43. Davis, I. W., Leaver-Fay, A., Chen, V. B., Block, J. N., Kapral, G. J., Wang, X., Murray, L. W., Arendall, W. B. 3., Snoeyink, J., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. (2007). Molprobity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W375-83.
 44. Salerno, W. J., Seaver, S. M., Armstrong, B. R. & Radhakrishnan, I. (2004). Monster: inferring non-covalent interactions in macromolecular structures from atomic coordinate data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, W566-8.
 45. Czypionka, A., de los Paños, O. R., Mateu, M. G., Barrera, F. N., Hurtado-Gómez, E., Gómez, J., Vidal, M. & Neira, J. L. (2007). The isolated C-terminal domain of Ring1B is a dimer made of stable, well-structured monomers. *Biochemistry.* 46, 12764-12776.
 46. Schuck, P. & Rossmanith, P. (2000). Determination of the sedimentation coefficient distribution by least-squares boundary modeling. *Biopolymers.* 54, 328-341.
 47. Schuck, P. (2000). Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation and lamm equation modeling. *Biophys. J.* 78, 1606-1619.
 48. Schuck, P., Perugini, M. A., Gonzales, N. R., Howlett, G. J. & Schubert, D. (2002). Size-distribution analysis of proteins by analytical ultracentrifugation: strategies and application to model systems. *Biophys. J.* 82, 1096-1111.

49. Cole, J. L. (2004). Analysis of heterogeneous interactions. *Meth. Enzymol.* 384, 212-232.
50. Ward, J. J., Sodhi, J. S., McGuffin, L. J., Buxton, B. F. & Jones, D. T. (2004). Prediction and functional analysis of native disorder in proteins from the three kingdoms of life. *J. Mol. Biol.* 337, 635-645.
51. Pollastri, G. & McLysaght, A. (2005). Porter: a new, accurate server for protein secondary structure prediction. *Bioinformatics.* 21, 1719-1720.
52. Linding, R., Jensen, L. J., Diella, F., Bork, P., Gibson, T. J. & Russell, R. B. (2003). Protein disorder prediction: implications for structural proteomics. *Structure.* 11, 1453-1459.
53. Shimizu, K., Hirose, S. & Noguchi, T. (2007). Poodle-s: web application for predicting protein disorder by using physicochemical features and reduced amino acid set of a position-specific scoring matrix. *Bioinformatics.* 23, 2337-2338.
54. Berger, B., Wilson, D. B., Wolf, E., Tonchev, T., Milla, M. & Kim, P. S. (1995). Predicting coiled coils by use of pairwise residue correlations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 8259-8263.
55. Wolf, E., Kim, P. S. & Berger, B. (1997). Multicoil: a program for predicting two- and three-stranded coiled coils. *Protein Sci.* 6, 1179-1189.
56. Obenauer, J. C., Cantley, L. C. & Yaffe, M. B. (2003). Scansite 2.0: proteome-wide prediction of cell signaling interactions using short sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 3635-3641.
57. Nakai, K. & Horton, P. (1999). Psort: a program for detecting sorting signals in proteins and predicting their subcellular localization. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 24, 34-36.
58. Peri, S., Navarro, J. D., Amanchy, R., Kristiansen, T. Z., Jonnalagadda, C. K.,

Surendranath, V., Niranjana, V., Muthusamy, B., Gandhi, T. K. B., Gronborg, M., Ibarrola, N., Deshpande, N., Shanker, K., Shivashankar, H. N., Rashmi, B. P., Ramya, M. A., Zhao, Z., Chandrika, K. N., Padma, N., Harsha, H. C., Yatish, A. J., Kavitha, M. P., Menezes, M., Choudhury, D. R., Suresh, S., Ghosh, N., Saravana, R., Chandran, S., Krishna, S., Joy, M., Anand, S. K., Madavan, V., Joseph, A., Wong, G. W., Schiemann, W. P., Constantinescu, S. N., Huang, L., Khosravi-Far, R., Steen, H., Tewari, M., Ghaffari, S., Blobel, G. C., Dang, C. V., Garcia, J. G. N., Pevsner, J., Jensen, O. N., Roepstorff, P., Deshpande, K. S., Chinnaiyan, A. M., Hamosh, A., Chakravarti, A. & Pandey, A. (2003). Development of human protein reference database as an initial platform for approaching systems biology in humans. *Genome Res.* 13, 2363-2371.