

Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry

Electronic Supplementary Material

Chemical equilibria studies using multivariate analysis methods

Joaquim Jaumot, Ramon Eritja and Raimundo Gargallo

		Dissimilarity values							
		Hard-modeling		Soft-modeling		Hybrid-modeling			
		Conc.	Spectra	Conc.	Spectra	Conc.	Spectra		
D1	Species 1	0.0009	0.0144	0.0351	0.0934	0.0023	0.0146		
	Species 2	0.0010	0.0114	0.0932	0.0496	0.0022	0.0115		
	Species 3	0.0010	0.0072	0.0440	0.0134	0.0023	0.0071		
	Species 4	0.0005	0.0093	0.0308	0.0200	0.0013	0.0094		
D2	Species 1	0.0021	0.0146	0.1009	0.0925	0.0034	0.0148		
	Species 2	0.0020	0.0133	0.0881	0.0516	0.0038	0.0121		
	Species 3	0.0146	0.0143	0.0856	0.0440	0.0007	0.0201		
	Species 4	0.0138	0.2129	0.4522	0.3115	0.0005	0.1429		
	Interference	-	-	0.8065	0.9640	0.4625	0.0916		
D3	Species 1	0.0002	0.0100	0.0434	0.0960	0.0051	0.0101		
	Species 2	0.0006	0.0076	0.0941	0.0195	0.0086	0.0078		
	Species 3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Species 4	0.0002	0.0058	0.0137	0.0075	0.0086	0.0056		
D4	Species 1	0.0040	0.0118	0.1645	0.0931	0.0014	0.0122		
	Species 2	0.0037	0.0114	0.0989	0.0160	0.0129	0.0103		
	Species 3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Species 4	0.0244	0.2121	0.0244	0.2364	0.0122	0.1925		
	Interference	-	-	0.9025	0.2737	0.8153	0.0908		

Table S1. Dissimilarity values obtained for the acid-base simulated data sets.

		Dissimilarity							
		Hard-modeling		Soft-modeling		Hybrid-modeling			
		Conc.	Spectra	Conc.	Conc.	Spectra	Conc.		
D7	Species D	0.0009	0.0403	0.0302	0.0659	0.0008	0.0209		
	Species L	0.0001	0.0052	0.0157	0.2474	0.0001	0.0043		
	Species DL	0.0009	0.0110	0.9630	0.0382	0.0008	0.0093		
D8	Species D	0.0014	0.0333	0.4076	0.0970	0.0003	0.0194		
	Species L	0.0005	0.0086	0.0370	0.2342	0.0005	0.0074		
	Species DL	0.0020	0.0257	0.1772	0.3962	0.0023	0.0203		
	Species DL2	0.0013	0.0181	0.8258	0.3228	0.0011	0.0153		
D9	Species D	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Species L	0.0005	0.0088	0.0651	0.2374	0.0036	0.0089		
	Species DL	0.0019	0.0277	0.2064	0.0553	0.0136	0.0214		
	Species DL2	0.0014	0.0182	0.8306	0.2514	0.0078	0.0233		
D10	Species D	0.0110	0.0619	0.0344	0.0577	0.0004	0.0321		
	Species L	0.2738	0.0308	0.9488	0.4580	0.0001	0.0126		
	Species DL	0.2276	0.0296	0.9997	0.0415	0.0004	0.0215		
	Interference	-	-	0.0125	0.4192	0.0084	0.0184		

Table S2. Dissimilarity values obtained for the complexation simulated data sets.

Figure S1. Building up of the simulated acid-base data sets. (a) Simulated concentration and (b) Spectra profiles for data set D1. (c) D1 simulated data after the addition of noise. (d) Simulated concentration and (e) Spectra profiles for data set D2. (f) D2 simulated data after the addition of noise. (g) Simulated concentration and (h) Spectra profiles for data set D3. (i) D3 simulated data after the addition of noise. (j) Simulated concentration and (k) Spectra profiles for data set D4. (l) D4 simulated data after the addition of noise. Legend: (solid lines) simulated profiles of spectroscopically active species, (dashed lines) simulated profiles of spectroscopically inactive species.



Figure S2. Building up of the simulated ligand-DNA complexation data. (a) Simulated concentration and (b) Spectra profiles for data set D7. (c) D7 simulated data after the addition of noise. (d) Simulated concentration and (e) Spectra profiles for data set D8. (f) D8 simulated data after the addition of noise. (g) Simulated concentration and (h) Spectra profiles for data set D9. (i) D9 simulated data after the addition of noise. (j) Simulated concentration and (k) Spectra profiles for data set D10. (l) D10 simulated data after the addition of noise. Legend: (solid lines) simulated profiles of spectroscopically active species, (dashed lines) simulated profiles of spectroscopically inactive species.



Figure S3. Band boundaries of the MCR-ALS resolved profiles in the analysis of data set D1. (a) Concentration profiles and (b) Spectra. Legend: (solid lines) resolved MCR-ALS profiles, (dashed lines) minimum and maximum band boundaries of the resolved profiles.



Figure S4. Fitting of the experimental acid-base data by means of the hard-modelling method. (a) Spectra obtained upon the pH titration of the krasG1 sequence. (b) Fitting of the experimental data by the proposed model at 295 nm. (c) Spectra obtained upon the titration of the krasC1 sequence. (d) Fitting of the experimental data by the proposed model at 295 nm. Legend: (x symbol) measured value of absorbance at the selected wavelength, (solid lines) fitted value of absorbance at the selected wavelength.



Figure S5. Fitting of the experimental complexation data by means of the hardmodelling method. (a) Spectra obtained upon the mole-ratio experiment between the krasG1 sequence and the TMPyP4 porphyrin. (b) Fitting of the experimental data by the proposed model at 445 nm. (c) Spectra obtained upon the mole-ratio experiment between the krasC1 sequence and the TMPyP4 porphyrin. (d) Fitting of the experimental data by the proposed model at 445 nm. Legend: (x symbol) measured value of absorbance at the selected wavelength, (solid lines) fitted value of absorbance at the selected wavelength.

