

1	
2	
3	
4	Gene organization of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region in
5	Morganella morganii
6	
7	
v Q	
0	
9	B. de las Rivas, A. Marcobal and R. Muñoz*
10	
11	
12	
13	Departamento de Microbiología, Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales, CSIC, Juan
14	de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain
15	
16	
17	
18	RUNNING HEADLINE: ORNITHINE DECARBOXYLASE IN M. MORGANII
19	
20	
21	
22	*Correspondence to: R. Muñoz. Departamento de Microbiología. Instituto de
22	Eermentaciones Industriales CSIC C/Juan de la Cierva 2 22006 Madrid España
23	The solution of the solution
24	Tel.: + 34-91-5622900; tax: + 34-91-5644853. (e-mail: rmunoz@ifi.csic.es)
25	

1 ABSTRACT

2

3 Aims: The production of putrescine is a relevant property related to food quality and 4 safety. Morganella morganii is responsible for putrescine production in fresh fish 5 decomposition. The aim of this study was gain deeper insights into the genetic 6 determinants for putrescine production in *M. morganii*. 7 Methods and Results: The 6972 bp DNA region showed the presence of three 8 complete and two partial open reading frames all transcribed in the same direction. The 9 second and third genes putatively coded for an ornithine decarboxylase (SpeF) and a 10 putrescine-ornithine antiporter (PotE), respectively, and constituted an operon. The speF 11 gene has been expressed in E. coli HT414, an ornithine decarboxylase defective mutant, 12 resulting in ornithine decarboxylase activity. The genetic organization of the SpeF-PotE 13 encoding region in *M. morganii* is different to that of *E. coli* and several Salmonella 14 species. 15 Conclusions: The speF gene cloned from M. morganii encodes a functional ornithine 16 decarboxylase involved in putrescine production. Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA 17 showed that ornithine decarboxylase activity is not related to a specific phylogenetic 18 tree branch in Enterobacteriaceae. 19 Significance and Impact of the study: The identification of the DNA region involved 20 in putrescine production in *M. morganii* will allow additional research on their 21 induction and regulation in order to minimize putrescine production in foods. 22 23 **Keywords:** Biogenic amines; Putrescine; Ornithine; Quality index; Enterobacteriaceae; 24 Morganella morganii.

1 INTRODUCTION

2	Biogenic amines in foods are of concern in relation to both food safety and food
3	spoilage since they have been implicated in food poisoning incidents, usually from the
4	consumption of fermented foods and, in addition, they have been reported as indicators
5	of a deterioration process and/or defective elaboration (Silla, 1996).
6	Biogenic amine production has been most extensively studied with respect to
7	histamine and tyramine, probably the two most important biogenic amines of bacterial
8	origin in food, due to their toxicological effects (Halász et al. 1994). Putrescine and
9	cadaverine are also investigated since they may potentiate the toxicity of the above
10	amines, and they even might serve as indicators of poor hygienic quality in some food
11	substrates (Bardócz, 1995). Biogenic amines do not usually represent any health hazard
12	to individuals unless large amounts are ingested, or the natural mechanism for the
13	catabolism of the amines is inhibited or genetically deficient. Typical symptoms may be
15	
14	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or
13 14 15	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994).
14 15 15 16	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature
14 15 16 17	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products
13 14 15 16 17 18	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic
14 15 16 17 18 19	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic amines have been used as quality indexes and indicators of unwanted microbial activity
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic amines have been used as quality indexes and indicators of unwanted microbial activity in fish and meat products. A combination of putrescine and cadaverine has been
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic amines have been used as quality indexes and indicators of unwanted microbial activity in fish and meat products. A combination of putrescine and cadaverine has been suggested as an index of acceptability in fresh meat, because their concentration
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic amines have been used as quality indexes and indicators of unwanted microbial activity in fish and meat products. A combination of putrescine and cadaverine has been suggested as an index of acceptability in fresh meat, because their concentration increase prior to spoilage and correlate well with the microbial load (Ruiz-Capillas and
14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or hypotension (Halász <i>et al.</i> 1994). The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic amines have been used as quality indexes and indicators of unwanted microbial activity in fish and meat products. A combination of putrescine and cadaverine has been suggested as an index of acceptability in fresh meat, because their concentration increase prior to spoilage and correlate well with the microbial load (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004).

25 amino acids by microorganisms through substrate-specific decarboxylase enzymes. The

1	occurrence and distribution of amino acid decarboxylase activity within different genera
2	and species of the Enterobacteriaceae, mainly isolated from fish, has been extensively
3	reported. The distribution of the decarboxylase of lysine, arginine, and ornithine differs
4	for the groups of Enterobacteriaceae, and this distribution generally characterized the
5	individual groups (Møller, 1954). Therefore, studies on decarboxylase activity
6	distribution have already provided some valuable chemotaxonomic information within
7	the Enterobacteriaceae family (Brenner, 1984). Out the 63 species of Enterobacteriaceae
8	analysed on the current edition of the Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology,
9	47.6% were positive for ornithine decarboxylase activity. Among these species,
10	Morganella morganii strains decarboxylate ornithine to produce putrescine. Putrescine-
11	producing M. morganii strains were prominent in decomposed seafood (Benner et al.
12	2004) and fish (Veciana-Nogués et al. 2004).
13	The purpose of the present work was to gain deeper insight into genetic
14	determinants for putrescine production by M. morganii given that the production of
15	putrescine is a relevant property related to food quality and safety. We reported here the
16	genetic characterization of a DNA region containing the gene coding for an ornithine
17	decarboxylase in M. morganii ATCC 25830. This gene has been expressed in an
18	ornithine decarboxylase defective mutant of Escherichia coli and biochemically
19	characterized.
20	
21	MATERIALS AND METHODS
22	
23	Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions
24	M. morganii ATCC 25830 (CECT 173), type strain, was purchased from the Spanish
25	Type Culture Collection (CECT). M. morganii DSM 46262 and DSM 30117 (ATCC

1	8019) were purchased from the DSMZ collection. <i>E. coli</i> XL1-Blue MRF' [Δ (<i>mcrA</i>)183
2	Δ (mcrCB-hsdSMR-mrr) 173 endA1 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 lac [F´proAB
3	<i>lacIqZ</i> Δ <i>M15 Tn10 (Tet^r)</i>] and <i>E. coli</i> XLOLR [Δ (<i>mcrA</i>)183 Δ (<i>mcrCB-hsdSMR-mrr</i>) 173
4	endA1 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 lac [F'proAB lacI ^q Z Δ M15 Tn10 (Tet ^r) Su ⁻ λ ^r] were
5	supplied with the ZAP Express Predigested Gigapack® Cloning kit (Stratagene) used in
6	the construction of a M. morganii DNA library. E. coli DH5 α F' [F'/end A1 hsdR17 (r _k
7	m_k^+) supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA(Nal ^r) relA1 Δ (lacIZYA-argF)U169 deoR
8	($\Phi 80dlac \Delta (lac Z)M15$; Promega] was used for all DNA manipulations. E. coli HT414
9	[thr-1, fhuA21, lacY1, glnV44(AS), λ^2 , Δ (speB-speA)97, Δ (speC-glcB)63, rplL9(L?), thi-
10	1, hsdS1] was used for expression of ornithine decarboxylase since it has a deletion in
11	the ornithine decarboxylase gene (Tabor et al. 1981). This strain was generously
12	provided by the E. coli Genetic Stock Center as CGSC strain # 6856. Plasmid pIN-
13	III(lpp ^p -5)A3 is an expression vector carrying isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside
14	(IPTG)-inducible promoter used for the expression in <i>E.coli</i> (Inouye and Inouye, 1985).
15	M. morganii was routinely grown in nutrient agar or nutrient broth (Difco) at 37
16	°C with shaking. Putrescine production was detected by growing <i>M. morganii</i> in the
17	decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine (Difco). E.coli cells were incubated in Luria-
18	Bertani (LB) medium (Sambrook et al. 1989) for bacterial streak and glycerol stocks.
19	For bacterial cultures prior to phage attachment LB medium was supplemented with
20	maltose and magnesium for optimal lambda phage receptor expression on the surface of
21	the host cell. The medium used for agar plates and top agar for plaque formation was
22	NZY (Sambrook et al. 1989). When required ampicillin, tetracycline, kanamycin, and
23	streptomycin were added to the medium at 100, 12.5, 50, and 50 μ g ml ⁻¹ , respectively.
24	

DNA manipulations and hybridisation.

Chromosomal DNA and plasmid preparation were carried out as described elsewhere
 (Muñoz *et al.* 1999). Restriction endonuclease *Sau*3A1 (Roche) was used according to
 the recommendations of the suppliers. Gel electrophoresis of plasmids, restriction
 fragments, and PCR products were carried out in agarose gels as described (Sambrook
 et al. 1989).

6 To construct the *M. morganii* DNA library, chromosomal DNA was partially 7 digested with Sau3AI restriction enzyme and ligated to the ZAP Express® vector 8 (Stratagene) digested with BamHI. The ZAP Express vector can accommodate inserts 9 ranging from 0 to 12 kbp. The packaging and titering of the recombinant lambda 10 phages, the amplification of the library, and the in vivo excision of the pBK-CMV 11 phagemid vector from the ZAP Express vector, were performed according to the 12 recommendations of the suppliers. The lambda plaques were screened by hybridisation 13 to a digoxigenin-labelled probe and chemiluminescently detected by using the DIG 14 High Prime DNA labelling and detection Starter Kit (Roche) according to the 15 manufacturer's instructions. 16 The probe was a 1.4 kbp M. morganii DNA fragment previously PCR amplified 17 by using the degenerate oligonucleotides 3 (5'-18 GTNTTYAAYGCNGAYAARACNTAYTTYGT) and 16 (5'-19 TACRCARAATACTCCNGGNGGRTANGG). Oligonucleotides 3 and 16 were based 20 on alignments of bacterial ornithine decarboxylases sequences, and were designed to 21 amplify genes coding for ornithine decarboxylases in gram-positive and gram-negative 22 bacteria (Marcobal et al. 2005; De las Rivas et al. 2005). PCR amplifications were 23 performed as previously described (Marcobal et al. 2005; De las Rivas et al. 2005).

24 DNA sequencing was carried out by using an Abi Prism 3700TM DNA sequencer

25 (Applied Biosystems). Sequence similarities searches were carried out using Basic

1	Local alignment search tool (BLAST) (Altschul et al. 1997) on the EMBL/GenBank
2	databases. Computer promoter predictions carried out at the Internet site
3	http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html. Signatures, pI/MW, etc. were analysed
4	on EXPASY (http://www.expasy.ch) site and multiple alignments were done using
5	CLUSTAL W on EBI site (http://www.ebi.ac.uk) after retrieval of sequences from
6	GenBank and Swiss-Prot. Phylogenetic trees and RNA secondary structure predictions
7	were carried out by the GeneBee program (<u>http://www.genebee.msu.su/genebee.html</u>).
8	
9	RNA isolation and RT-PCR
10	Total RNA was isolated from exponentially growing M. morganii ATCC 25630
11	cultures by the RNeasy Mini kit (Quiagen) according to the protocol supplied by the
12	manufacturers. The RNA integrity was checked by standard denaturing agarose gel
13	electrophoresis. To remove any contaminating DNA, RNA was treated for 2 h at 37 °C
14	with rDNase1 from the DNA-free kit (Ambion).
15	DNase-treated RNA was subjected to reverse transcription into cDNA with
16	SuperScript TM Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogene). For RT-PCR, ten percent of the
17	total cDNA was then PCR amplified with Taq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems)
18	with three sets of primers designed to amplify internal regions of $speF$ (primers 110 and
19	338) and <i>potE</i> (primers 195 and 340), and the region spanning the <i>speF-potE</i> gene
20	junction (primers 114 and 339). The oligonucleotides primers used were: 110 (5'-
21	CAACTGGGTACGTATGACGG), 114 (5'-
22	GGTATACCATCCGTCAGTTATGTCAGG), 195 (5'-
23	CGCTGGGTATCTGTATTGC), 338 (5'- CAGGATTTCAGCAGCAACTTACG), 339
24	(5'- CAAAGATAAGACAGTACCGAACACTG), and 340 (5'-
25	CGGAGCAGGACATAATCATCAG). The PCR products were run on a 1% agarose

gel. As a control, PCR of DNase-treated RNA was performed with the same primers to
 check for any DNA contamination.

3

4 Heterologous expression of *speF* in *E. coli*

- 5 To clone the *Morganella morganii speF* gene in the absence of its own promoter, the
- 6 gene was first PCR amplified from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 DNA by using *Pfu* DNA
- 7 polymerase and oligonucleotides based in the nucleotide sequence previously
- 8 determined. To amplify *speF* we used primer 294 (5'-

9 ACTCTAGAGGGTATTAATAATGAAAAGTTATAAAATCGCTGTCAGC) and 229

- 10 (5'- CCC<u>AAGCTT</u>TTAACTAACTCAGTGTTTAATTAC) (the underlined sequences
- 11 indicate restriction sites for *Xba*I in primer 294, and *Hin*dIII in 229). The amplified
- 12 fragment was digested with XbaI and HindIII and ligated to the expression vector pIN-
- 13 III(lpp^p-5)A3 previously digested with the same enzymes. The ligation mixture was
- 14 introduced by transformation into the strain *E. coli* DH5αF'. The recombinant plasmid
- 15 pURI5 obtained from *E. coli* DH5 α F', was later used to transform the ornithine
- 16 decarboxylase defective strain *E. coli* HT414.
- 17

18 Ornithine decarboxylase activity determination

19 Cell extracts for SpeF enzymatic assay were obtained from induced cultures. Briefly, E.

20 *coli* HT414 cells harbouring the control or the recombinant plasmid pURI5 were grown

- in LB broth supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) and streptomycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹)
- 22 at 37 °C to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6, the cultures were shifted to 30 °C, and
- 23 gene expression was induced by adding IPTG to 0.05 mol l⁻¹ (final concentration). After
- 24 3 h of induction, samples of the cultures were harvested by centrifugation and the
- 25 pelleted bacteria were resuspended in 0.05 mol l^{-1} phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and

1	disrupted by sonication. The insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation
2	(15,000 x g, 15 min), and the supernatants were used for enzyme assay.
3	The assay to determine ornithine decarboxylase activity was performed similarly
4	to the tyrosine decarboxylase assay described previously (Moreno-Arribas and
5	Lonvaud-Funel, 2001) in the presence of 0.0036 mol l^{-1} ornithine and 0.4 mM PLP, the
6	cofactor of both decarboxylase reactions, but in 0.050 mol l^{-1} phosphate buffer (pH 6.5)
7	instead of in 0.2 mol l ⁻¹ sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0). The reaction was incubated at
8	37 °C during 1 h. After the incubation time, the putrescine formed in the reaction was
9	derivatized, and fractionated and detected by thin-layer chromatography by the method
10	described by García-Moruno et al. (2005).
11	
12	RESULTS
13	
13 14	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830
13 14 15	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i>
13 14 15 16	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive
 13 14 15 16 17 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas <i>et al</i> .
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas <i>et al</i> . 2005). This fragment was sequenced and similarity searches showed that it contains an
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas <i>et al</i> . 2005). This fragment was sequenced and similarity searches showed that it contains an incomplete ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene (<i>speF</i>) sequence. In order to clone
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas <i>et al.</i> 2005). This fragment was sequenced and similarity searches showed that it contains an incomplete ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene (<i>speF</i>) sequence. In order to clone the complete <i>speF</i> gene a phage library of <i>M. morganii</i> ATCC 25830 genomic DNA
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas <i>et al.</i> 2005). This fragment was sequenced and similarity searches showed that it contains an incomplete ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene (<i>speF</i>) sequence. In order to clone the complete <i>speF</i> gene a phage library of <i>M. morganii</i> ATCC 25830 genomic DNA was created. The screening of this library using the 1.4 kbp internal DNA fragment as a
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Gene organization of the <i>speF</i> locus in <i>Morganella morganii</i> ATCC 25830 We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that <i>Morganella</i> <i>morganii</i> ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas <i>et al</i> . 2005). This fragment was sequenced and similarity searches showed that it contains an incomplete ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene (<i>speF</i>) sequence. In order to clone the complete <i>speF</i> gene a phage library of <i>M. morganii</i> ATCC 25830 genomic DNA was created. The screening of this library using the 1.4 kbp internal DNA fragment as a probe rendered two positive phages. A total of 6972 bp <i>M. morganii</i> DNA fragment was

25 sequenced from pRIV5 and pRIV9 plasmids, derived from the positive phages (Fig. 1).

1 The sequence from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 was deposited in GenBank under the

2 accession no. AM087552.

3 Sequence analysis of this DNA fragment showed the presence of three complete 4 and two partial open reading frames (ORFs) all transcribed in the same direction (Fig. 5 1). Only one putative promoter was detectable upstream of the second ORF. Computer 6 promoter predictions showed the sequence (nucleotide 1556) TTGAgg-15 pb-TATgAa 7 (1582) that could function as a promoter and also predicted the putative transcription 8 start site at 9 nucleotides after the -10 consensus sequence. Putative transcription 9 terminators followed the stop codon of the first, third and fourth ORFs. The analysis of 10 this nucleotide sequence suggested that the second and third genes are organized as a 11 single operon.

12 The first ORF, incomplete, possibly codes for a lysyl-tRNA synthetase (or 13 lysine-tRNA ligase) since the 118 amino acid overlapping is more than 90% identical to 14 lysyl-tRNA synthetases from other Enterobacteriaceae (Table 1). The second ORF is 15 predicted to encode a 78.5-kDa ornithine decarboxylase since the product of this gene is 16 76% identical to pyridoxal phosphate-dependent ornithine decarboxylases (SpeF) from 17 several species of Enterobacteriaceae. The third ORF (1298 bp) was identified as the 18 *potE* gene. It encodes a 432-amino acid residue, 45.7-kDa, protein showing 82.3% 19 identity to putrescine-ornithine antiporters (PotE) of several Salmonella species. The 20 633 bp ORF dowstream of *potE*, and separated by a putative transcription terminator, 21 encodes a 22.8-kDa (210-amino acid residue) protein. The identity observed between 22 this protein and TetR family transcriptional regulators from Idiomarina loihiensis and 23 Geobacter sulfurreducens suggests that this ORF codes for a TetR protein (Table 1). 24 Finally, homology searches carried out with the fifth and uncomplete ORF, ORF1 in

Table 1, only reveals similarity to hypothetical membrane proteins from *Fusobacterium nucleatum* subsp. *vincentii* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* subsp. *nucleatum* (Table 1).

3 In order to know if the genetic organization showed by M. morganii ATCC 4 25830 was shared by all *M. morganii* strains, several DNA amplification experiments 5 spanning all the *speF* chromosomal region were performed in *M. morganii* DSM 46262 6 and DSM 30117 strains. DNA amplifications were done by PCR using oligonucleotides 7 designed on the basis of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region from *M. morganii* 8 ATCC 25830. All the three *M. morganii* strains analysed amplified PCR fragments that 9 were apparently identical among them (data not shown). In addition, the *speF* genes 10 from *M. morganii* DSM 46262 and DSM 30117 were PCR amplified by using primers 11 294 and 229, were completely sequenced and deposited in GenBank under accession 12 numbers AM397058 and AM397059, respectively. The results confirmed that the three 13 M. morganii strains were nearly identical since only one nucleotide change was found 14 in the nucleotide triplet coding for Leu-644, which was a CTG codon in *M. morganii* 15 DSM 46262 and a TTG codon in M. morganii ATCC 25830 and DSM 30117 strains. 16

17 The *speF* and *potE* genes in *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 are cotranscribed.

18 As mentioned above, the sequence analysis of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding 19 region in *M. morganii* suggested that the *speF* and *potE* genes are organized as a single 20 operon (Fig. 1). To confirm this hypothetical operonic organization, RT-PCR was 21 carried out with mRNA prepared from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830. RT-PCR using 22 primers 114 and 339 (Fig. 3) confirmed the cotranscription of speF and potE genes. As 23 showed in Figure 3, when primers 110 and 338, 114 and 339, and 195 and 340 were 24 used, the expected amplimers were obtained. However, PCR products were not 25 observed with primers designed to amplify regions spanning lysS-speF or potE-tetR

gene junctions (data not shown). No PCR products were detected in control reactions
 that were designed to detect chromosomal DNA contamination. The transcriptional
 analysis results are consistent with the DNA sequence study.

4

5 Enzymatic analysis of the *speF* gene

6 The *speF* gene of *M. moganii* was expressed in *E. coli* following the strategy described 7 in Materials and Methods section. The correct sequence and insertion of *speF* into 8 recombinant plasmid pURI5 was verified by restriction analysis and DNA sequencing. 9 Cells extracts were prepared from E. coli HT414 harbouring the control and the 10 recombinant plasmid pURI5 as described above. The extracts were used to detect the 11 presence of hyperproduced proteins by SDS-PAGE analysis. None of the cell extracts 12 analysed showed an apparent protein band of the expected size, 78.5 kDa (data not 13 shown). Even not obvious protein hyperproduction was achieved, the supernatant of 14 sonicated cell lysates prepared from E. coli HT414 harbouring the recombinant plasmid 15 pURI5 was able to decarboxylate the ornithine present in the reaction to putrescine, 16 whereas extracts prepared from control cells containing the vector plasmid alone did not 17 Fig. 4 showed a TLC analysis of the enzymatic reactions. Thus, we could prove 18 experimentally that the *speF* gene encodes an ornithine decarboxylase.

19

20 Structure of the *speF-potE* region in Enterobacteriaceae

21 Since *M. morganii* SpeF and PotE proteins showed the highest identities scores to SpeF

22 and PotE proteins from different Salmonella strains (Table 1), we revised the genetic

23 organization of the *speF-potE* region on these strains. Fig. 1 showed that although *M*.

24 morganii SpeF and PotE proteins possessed high identity to Salmonella proteins, they

showed a different genetic organization on their encoding locus.

1	In the sequenced Salmonella strains, the genes encoding the two-component					
2	regulatory system, KdpD and KdpE, that regulates the kdp operon which encodes a					
3	high-affinity potassium translocating ATPase, are localized upstream speF.					
4	Downstream $potE$ was found a gene encoding a putative cytoplasmic protein, annotated					
5	as possible 5'-nitroimidazole antibiotic resistance in S. paratyphi, and it was followed					
6	by a phosphoglucomutase encoding gene (pgm) (Fig. 1). This common genetic					
7	organization was not conserved in E. coli. A 51-amino acid residues hypothetical					
8	protein was located immediately upstream E. coli speF. Moreover, the putative					
9	cytoplasmic protein located downstream potE was absent in the E. coli speF region					
10	(Fig. 1).					
11	In order to know if the ability to decarboxylate ornithine was associated to a					
12	specific branch in a Enterobacteriaceae evolutive tree, some of the members of this					
13	family, from which ornithine decarboxylase activity was previously described, were					
14	used to construct a phylogenetic tree based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences (Fig. 5).					
15	As showed in Fig. 5, tree based on 16S rDNA shows that Proteus spp., Morganella					
16	morganii and Providencia alcalifaciens formed a coherent clade which rooted other					
17	Enterobacteriaceae. However, as showed in Fig. 5 the ability to decarboxylate ornithine					
18	is not associated with a specific branch of the phylogenetic tree since putrescine-					
19	producer strains could be found in all the tree branches.					
20						
21	DISCUSSION					
22	The occurrence of relatively high levels of certain biogenic amines has been reported as					
23	indicators of a deterioration process and/or defective elaboration. Benner et al. (Benner					
24	et al. 2004) reported that M. morganii was responsible for putrescine production in					
25	shrimp decomposed at 24 °C and 36 °C. Gingerich et al. (1999) reported that fresh					

1	bluefish did not contain detectable levels of putrescine; however, putrescine levels
2	increased with time, reaching a maximum level in M. morganii-inoculated samples
3	stored at 10 °C. Typically, Morganella morganii (formerly, Proteus morganii) strains
4	contains a strong ornithine decarboxylase activity (Møller, 1954). Since the gene
5	responsible for putrescine production in this species has not been genetically
6	characterized, we decided to elucidate it. Firstly, we used the decarboxylase broth
7	Møller with ornithine to confirm that Morganella morganii ATCC 25830 was a
8	putrescine producing strain as previously described (Gingerich et al. 1999). By using a
9	previously described PCR method to detect putrescine producing gram-negative
10	bacteria (De las Rivas et al. 2005), and by the construction of a genomic library, we
11	have determined the sequence of a 6972 bp M. morganii DNA fragment containing
12	speF, the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene. Moreover, by expressing the $speF$
13	gene in a <i>E. coli</i> ornithine decarboxylase mutant we proved experimentally that <i>speF</i>
14	encodes a functional decarboxylase capable of producing putrescine from the amino
15	acid L-ornithine.
16	The alignment of M. morganii SpeF to some SpeF proteins included in the
17	databases showed an absolute conservation among amino acid residues involved in
18	cofactor and substrate-binding domains (Fig. 2). Based on the three-dimensional
19	structure of the Lactobacillus 30a ornithine decarboxylase (Momany et al. 1995b) the
20	sequence ³¹⁸ FDSAW ³²² in <i>M. morganii</i> SpeF forms part of a β -strand and turns
21	immediately behind the cofactor and is conserved among the decarboxylases. In
22	Lactobacillus 30a, the phosphate of the PLP interacts with a cluster of hydroxyls from
23	serine and threonine residues, as well as main-chain amide nitrogens. Charge
24	stabilization of the PLP is provided by the dipole moment arising from helices plus the

25 presence of H^{355} in the classic decarboxylase fingerprint sequence, SXHL. In *M*.

morganii SpeF, all these residue are conserved, with exception of the residue
corresponding to S¹⁹⁹ which is substitute by a threonine residue (T²⁰¹) able to provide
the hydroxyl group (Momany *et al.* 1995a). A critical amino acid residue that is also
conserved is H²²⁶ which is involved in cofactor binding and is a possible proton donor
in decarboxylases.

6 Kashiwagi et al. (1991) described that in *E. coli* the gene coding for PotE (*potE*) 7 together with the gene for ornithine decarboxylase (speF) constitutes an operon 8 (Kashiwagi et al. 1991). A similar organization occurs in M. morganii. PotE protein 9 encodes a putrescine-ornithine antiporter and, can catalyse both the uptake and 10 excretion of putrescine (Igarashi and Kashiwagi. 1999). PotE are proteins containing 12 11 transmembrane segments linked by hydrophilic segments of variable length with the N-12 and C-termini located in the cytoplasm (Momany et al. 1995a; Igarashi and Kashiwagi. 13 1999). Site-directed mutagenesis in E. coli PotE was used to identify the amino acids 14 involved in the transport activity of PotE. Three glutamic acid residues located at the 15 cytoplasmic side of PotE, are involved in both, the uptake and the excretion of putrescine (Kashiwagi *et al.* 1997). In *M. morganii* PotE, only E^{76} and E^{206} residues are 16 17 conserved (data not shown).

18 Although *M. morganii* SpeF and PotE proteins showed the highest identities 19 scores to SpeF and PotE proteins from different Salmonella strains, they showed a 20 different genetic organization on their encoding locus. We assumed that Figure 1 might 21 reflects evolutionary relationship between these Enterobacteriaceae species. 22 Phylogenetic studies on Enterobacteriaceae and other prokaryotes have used rRNA 23 sequences. Figure 5 showed a phylogenetic tree based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences 24 and revealed that the ability to decarboxylate ornithine was not associated to a specific 25 branch in the Enterobacteriaceae evolutive tree. Several authors have suggested that

sometimes there is no correlation between 16S rRNA gene and protein-coding genes
 when the latter is more dependent on selection pressure or had been horizontally
 transferred across groups.

4 Figure 5 showed that Proteus spp., M. morganii and Providencia alcalifaciens 5 formed a coherent tree clade. The phylogenetic position of these species was identical in 6 the phylogenetic trees based on gyrB, RNA polymerase β -subunit (rpoB) and 7 translational initiation factor 2 (*infB*) sequences (Møller *et al.* 1997; Hedergaard *et al.* 8 1999). Until recently, the members of the Morganella were classified as Proteus and were thus considering in the light of their membership in that genus rather than as a 9 10 separate group. 11 The obtained phylogenetic tree also confirms the close position between E. coli 12 and S. enterica, this relationship might justified the high similarity observed between 13 their *speF* regions (Fig. 1). Moreover, the relatively distant position of *M. morganii* is 14 coherent with a different genetic organization of the *speF* region. 15 16 In summary, the production of putrescine is a relevant property related to food 17 quality and safety. Since *M. morganii* is responsible for putrescine production in fresh 18 fish decomposition, we have elucidated the molecular basis for this property. We have 19 demonstrated that *M. morganii* ATCC25830 possess a gene that encodes a functional 20 decarboxylase capable of producing putrescine from the amino acid L-ornithine. We 21 have found that the genetic organization of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region 22 in *M. morganii* is different to that of *E. coli* and several *Salmonella* species, according 23 to their relatively distant phylogenetic position. Additional and further research on 24 ornithine decarboxylase induction and regulation will help to improve the food safety

1	and/or quality of fish products in order to prevent putrescine formation and					
2	accumulation.					
3						
4	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS					
5	This work was supported by grant RM03-002 (INIA), AGL2005-00470 (CICYT), and					
6	S-0505/AGR/000153 (CAM). We thank E. Díaz and B. Galán for their help with the					
7	RNA experiments. We thank R. González and A.V. Carrascosa for their critical reading					
8	of the manuscript. The technical assistance of M. V. Santamaría and A. Gómez is					
9	greatly appreciated.					
10						
11	REFERENCES					
12	Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and					
13	Lipman, D. J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of					
14	protein database search programs. Nucl Acid Res 25, 3389-3402.					
15	Bardócz, S. (1995). Polyamines in food and their consequences for food quality and					
16	human health. Trends Food Sci Technol 6, 341-346.					
17	Benner, R.A.Jr., Staruszkiewicz, W.F. and Otwell, W.S. (2004) Putrescine, cadaverine,					
18	and indole production by bacteria isolated from wild and aquacultured penaeid					
19	shrimp stored at 0, 12, 24, and 36 °C. J Food Prot 67, 124-133.					
20	Brenner, D.J. (1984) Enterobacteriaceae. In Bergey's Manual of Systematic					
21	Bacteriology, vol 1. ed. Krieg, N.R. and Holt, J.G. pp. 408-516. Baltimore,					
22	Williams and Wilkins.					
23	De las Rivas, B., Marcobal, A. and Muñoz, R (2005) Improved multiplex-PCR method					
24	for the simultaneous detection of food bacteria producing biogenic amines.					
25	FEMS Microbiol Lett 244, 367-372.					

1	García-Moruno, E., Carrascosa, A. V. and Muñoz, R. (2005) A rapid and inexpensive					
2	method for the determination of biogenic amines from bacterial cultures by thin-					
3	layer chromatography. J Food Prot 68, 625-629.					
4	Gingerich, T. M., Lorca, T., Flick, G.J., Pierson, M. D. and McNair, H. M. (1999)					
5	Biogenic amine survey and organoleptic change in fresh, stored, and					
6	temperature-abused bluefish (Pomatomus saltatrix). J Food Prot 62, 1033-1037.					
7	Halász, A., Baráth, A., Simon-Sarkadi, L. and Holzapfel, W. (1994). Biogenic amines					
8	and their production by microorganisms in food. Trends Food Sci Technol 5, 42-					
9	<mark>49.</mark>					
10	Hedergaard, J., Steffensen, S. A., Norskov-Lauritsen, N. and Mortensen, K. K. (1999)					
11	Identification of Enterobacteriaceae by partial sequencing of the gene encoding					
12	translation initiation factor 2. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49, 1531-1538.					
13	Igarashi, K. and Kashiwagi, K. (1999) Polyamine transport in bacteria and yeast.					
14	<i>Biochem J</i> 344 , 633-642.					
15	Inouye, S. and Inouye, M. (1985) Up-promoter mutations in the <i>lpp</i> gene of <i>Escherichia</i>					
16	<i>coli. Nucl Acids Res</i> 13 , 3101-3110.					
17	Kashiwagi, K., Suzuki, F., Furuchi, T., Kobayashi, H. and Igarashi, K. (1991)					
18	Coexistence of the genes for putrescine transport protein and ornithine					
19	decarboxylase at 16 min on Escherichia coli chromosome. J Biol Chem 266,					
20	20922-20927.					
21	Marcobal, A., de las Rivas, B., Moreno-Arribas, M.V. and Muñoz, R. (2005) Multiplex					
22	PCR method for the simultaneous detection of histamine-, tyramine-, and					
23	putrescine-producing lactic acid bacteria in foods. J Food Prot 68, 874-878.					
24	Møller, V. (1954) Distribution of amino acid decarboxylases in Enterobacteriaceae.					
25	Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 35, 259-277.					

1	Mollet, C., Drancourt, M. and Raoult, D. (1997) rpoB sequence analysis as a novel basis
2	for bacterial identification. Mol Microbiol 26, 1005-1011.
3	Momany, C., Ernst, S., Ghosh, R., Chang. N.L., and Hackert, M.L. (1995a)
4	Crystallographic structure of a PLP-dependent ornithine decarboxylase from
5	Lactobacillus 30a to 3.0 Å resolution. J Mol Biol 252, 643-655.
6	Momany, C., Ghosh, R. and Hackert, M. L. (1995b) Structural motifs for pyrodoxal-5'-
7	phosphate binding in decarboxylases: an analysis based on the crystal structure
8	of the Lactobacillus 30a ornithine decarboxylase. Pro Sci 4, 849-854.
9	Moreno-Arribas, M. V. and Lonvaud-Funel, A. (2001) Purification and characterization
10	of tyrosine decarboxylase of Lactobacillus brevis IOEB 9809 isolated from
11	wine. FEMS Microbiol Lett 195, 103-107.
12	Muñoz, R., López, R., de Frutos, M. and García, E. (1999). First molecular
13	characterization of a uridine diphosphate galacturonate 4-epimerase: an enzyme
14	required for capsular biosynthesis in Streptococcus pneumoniae type 1. Mol
15	<i>Microbiol</i> 31 , 703-713.
16	Ruíz-Capillas, C. and Jiménez-Colmenero, F. (2004) Biogenic amines in meat and meat
17	products. Crit Rew Food Sci Nutr 44, 489-499.
18	Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F. and Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory
19	Manual, second ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor,
20	NY.
21	Silla, M. H. (1996) Biogenic amines: their importance in foods. Int J Food Microbiol
22	29 , 213-231.
23	Tabor, H., White, C., Cohn. M. S. and Hafner, E. W. (1981) Streptomycin resistance
24	(rpsL) produces and absolute requirement for polyamines for growth of an

- *Escherichia coli* strain unable to synthesize putrescine and spermidine [Δ(*speA speB*) Δ*speC*]. *J Bacteriol* 147, 702-704.
- 3 Veciana-Nogués, M.T., Bover-Cid, S., Mariné-Font, A. and Vidal-Carou. M.C. (2004)
- 4 Biogenic amine production by *Morganella morganii* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* in
- 5 tuna. *Eur Food Res Technol* **218**, 284-288.

Table 1					
speF locus-encoded	proteins: p	roperties an	d similarities to	proteins in the	e databases

Location in	Predicted	Proposed function	Similar	Database	Degree of identity	Organism
nucleotide	protein	•	polypeptide	accession no.	(%)	C
sequence	(aa*/kDa)		(aa)			
< - 360	-	Lysyl-t-RNA synthetase	LysS (504)	NP_930765.1	93% (in a 118 aa overlap)	Photorhabdus luminescens
			LysS (505)	NP_670571.1	91% (in a 118 aa overlap)	Yersinia pestis KIM
1647-3815	722 / 78.5	Ornithine decarboxylase	SpeF (732)	Q8ZQW6	76.7%	Salmonella typhimurium LT2
			SpeF (732)	Q57RN4	76.6%	Salmonella enterica
			SpeF (732)	Q5PCJ3	76.3%	Salmonella paratyphi A
3879-5177	432 / 45.7	Putrescine-ornithine antiporter	PotE (439)	Q8ZQW7	82.3%	Salmonella typhimurium LT2
			PotE (439)	Q57RN5	82.3%	Salmonella enterica
			PotE (439)	Q5PCJ2	82.3%	Salmonella paratyphi A
5329-5961	210 / 22.8	Transcriptional regulator	Hypothetical (162)	Q881C5	47.5%	Pseudomonas syringae
			TetR (208)	Q5R0E4	36.9%	Idiomarina loihiensis
			TetR (196)	Q74F39	35.6%	Geobacter sulfurreducens
6046->	-	Hypothetical membrane protein	FNV1001 (427)	ZP_00144255	29% (in a 260 aa overlap)	Fusobacterium nucleatum
	Location in nucleotide sequence < - 360	Interference Interference nucleotide protein sequence (aa^*/kDa) < - 360	InterferenceInterferenceInterferencenucleotideproteinsequence $(aa*/kDa)$ < - 360	IndecentionInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferencenucleotideproteinpolypeptide(aa)sequence(aa*/kDa)(aa)< - 360	Indext and the intervalInterval and the intervalDatabasenucleotideproteinpolypeptideaccession no.sequence(aa*/kDa)(aa)< - 360	Declation in nucleotideFrequenceFrequenceFrequenceDegree of identitysequence(aa*/kDa)(aa)(aa)< - 360

*aa, amino acid residues

1 FIGURE LEGENDS

2

3	Fig. 1 Genetic organization of the M. morganii ATCC 25830 7-kbp DNA region
4	containing the ornithine decarboxylase gene (speF). The sequence from M. morganii
5	ATCC 25830 was deposited in GenBank under the accession no. AM087552. The speF
6	region corresponding to E. coli ATCC 700928 (accession NC_004431, positions
7	762821-755849), S. typhimurium LT2 (accession NC_003197, positions 767224-
8	760252), S. enterica (accession NC_006905, positions 809116-816088), and S.
9	paratyphi ATCC 9159 (accession NC_006511, positions 2123320-2130292). Arrows
10	indicate genes. Genes having putative identical functions are represented by identical
11	shading. The localization of putative promoter (vertical bent arrow) and transcription
12	terminator regions (ball and stick) are also indicated. The plasmids used in this study are
13	also shown.
14	
15	Fig. 2 Comparison of protein sequence SpeF from M. morganii (MMO), E. coli (ECO)
16	(accession Q8FJV8), S. typhimurium (STY) (accession Q8ZQW6), S. enterica (SEN)
17	(accession Q57RN4), and S. paratyphi (SPA) (accession Q5PCJ3). Clustal W program
18	was used to compare predicted sequences. Residues involved in PLP-binding ($\mathbf{\nabla}$) are
19	typed in boldface and are underlined in the M. Morganii sequence. Asterisks indicated
20	amino acid identity, and dashed, gaps introduced to maximize similarities.
21	
22	Fig. 3. RT-PCR amplification with three sets of primers designed to amplify internal or
23	intergenic regions: 0.45 kb internal speF region, primers 110 and 338 (lane 1); 0.62 kb
24	speF-potE intergenic region, primers 114 and 339 (lane 2); 0.53 kb internal potE region,
25	primers 195 and 340 (lane 3). Negative controls were conducted without reverse

transcriptase (lanes 4, 5, and 6, respectively). The 100-bp DNA Ladder was used as a
 molecular weight marker.

4	Fig. 4 TLC detection of putrescine produced by soluble cells extracts of IPTG-induced
5	cultures of <i>E. coli</i> HT414 bearing recombinant plasmid pURI5. The putrescine
6	produced during the enzymatic reactions was dansylated and separated on a precoated
7	silica gel 60 F_{254} plate. Lane 1, control putrescine standard solution; lane 2, reaction
8	from <i>E.coli</i> HT414 bearing the control pIN-III(lpp ^p -5)A3 plasmid; lane 3, reaction from
9	E.coli HT414 bearing the recombinant pURI5 plasmid. The arrow indicates the
10	putrescine produced.
11	
12	Fig. 5 Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree obtained from 16S rRNA gene sequences
13	and ornithine decarboxylase activity. The 16S rRNA sequences were obtained from
14	GenBank and EMBL databases: Citrobacter freundii (accession no. M59291),
15	Enterobacter aerogenes (AB004750), Enterobacter cloacae (AJ251469), Escherichia
16	coli (X80725), Hafnia alvei (M59155), Klebsiella pneumoniae (X87276), Morganella
17	morganii (AJ301681), Proteus mirabilis (AJ301682), Proteus vulgaris (AJ301683),
18	Providencia alcalifaciens (AJ301684), Salmonella enterica (AF332600), Serratia
19	ficaria (AJ233428), Serratia fonticola (AJ233429), Serratia marcescens (AJ233431),
20	Serratia odorifera (AJ233432), Serratia plymuthica (AJ233433), and Serratia rubidaea
21	(AJ233436). The scale bar represents an estimated 5 base substitutions per 1000 nt
22	positions. The ornithine decarboxylase data were obtained from the Bergey's Manual of
23	Systematic Bacteriology (Brenner et al. 1984). The Møller media was used for the
24	decarboxylase test and symbols represents: +, 90-100% of strains are positive; d, 26-
25	75% positive; [-], 11-25% positive; -, 0-10% positive.

Figure 1



Figure 2

MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	-MKMKSYKIAVSYDMSDYISTHRECVDILNTDFTDVAVIVISLDDIRDGKLDFIEQHSFE MNK*SEL****RSCP*CF****N*N*DKSNYI***A*IL*VN*VER***E*DATGYG *SEL****RHCP*CF****NI*NVDESR*I***A**L*I***EH****E*DATGYG *SEL****RHCP*CF****NI*NVDESR*I***A**LSI***EH****E*DATGYG *SEL****RHCP*CF****NI*NVDESR*I***A**LSI***EH****E*DATGYG	59 60 57 57 57
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	QPVFAVISDHEVIPADVITRLTGVIDLNKKNGELYSKQLETAALKYEESLLPPFFGSMKK I***IATENE*RV**EYLP*IS**FEHCESRK*F*GR*****SH**TQ*R****RALVD I***VATH*EGRV*PEYLP*IS**FEY*ESRTAF*GR*****SH**TQLR****RALVD I***VATH*EGRV*PEYLP*IS**FEY*ESRTAF*GR*****SH**TQLR****RALVD I***VATH*EGRV*PEYLP*IS**FEY*ESRTAF*GR*****SH**TQLR****RALVD	119 120 117 117 117
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	YVEQGNSAFDCPGHQGGEFFRRHPLGNQFAEYFGENLFRSDLCNADVSMGDLLIHEGAPC **N**********************************	179 180 177 177 177
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	AAQQHAAKVFNADKTYFVLNG T T AAQQHAAKVFNADKTYFVLNG T SSNKVVLNALLAPGDLVLFDRNNHKSNHHGALIQAGA I************************************	239 240 237 237 237
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	IPVYLEAARNPFGFIGGIDAHCFEEDYLRSLIKEVAPERTGEKRPFRLAVIQLGTYDGTI T*****T***Y**************************	299 300 297 297 297
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	YNARQVVDKIGHLCDYIL FDSAW YNARQVVDKIGHLCDYIL FDSAW YNARQVVDKIGHLCDYIL FDSAW YNARQVVDKIGHLCDYIL FDSAW YNARQVVDKIGHLCDYIL Y	359 360 357 357 357
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	AGFSQTSQIHKKDSHIKGQSRYVNHKRLNNAFMMHA S T S PFYPLFAALDVNAKMHEGKSG ***********************************	419 420 417 417 417
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	KRLWNDCVKTGIETRKLLLKSCKHIRPFIPETIDGRSWGDFETDVIANDLRFFNFVPGER RNM*M***VN**NA***I*DN*Q*****V**LV**KP*QSY**AQ**V*****K****H RNM*MD**VN**DA***I*EN*H****V**LI**KP*QSYP*SE**S****H*****H RNM*MD**VN**DA***I*EN*H****V**LI**KP*QSYP*SE**S****H*****H	479 480 477 477 477

Fig.2 cont.

MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	WHAFEGYEENQYFVDPCKLLLTTPGIDAKTGNYEAFGVPATILANYLRENNIIPEKCDLN **S***A*******************************	539 540 537 537 537
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	SILFLLTPAESMAKMQHLVAQIARFEQLLEQDAPLKEVLPSVYHAHEARYQGYTIRQLCQ ********D**L*Q***LLV***K**A***A****I*KQ**E**A***L***** *******D***L*Q***LL****K**A***A****I*KQ****A***L***** *******D***L*Q***LL****K**A***A****I*KQ****AD**L***** *******D***L*Q***LL****K**A***A****I*KQ****AD**L*****	599 600 597 597 597
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	EMHDMYVKFNVKQLQKEMFRKSHFPQVSMLPQAANIAFVRGNAELVAVDQIEGRIAAEGA ****L*A******************************	659 660 657 657 657
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	LPYPPGVLCVVPGEIWGGSVQQYFLALEEGINLFPGFSPELQGVYIRCDEDGRQRAYGYV ***********************************	719 719 716 716 716
MMO ECO STY SEN SPA	IKH 732 **PRDAQSTLLKGEKL 735 **PRDAQRSLLKEEKL 732 **PRDAQRSLLKEEKL 732 **PRDAQRSLLKEEKL 732	

Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5

Ornithine decarboxylase

