

1

2

3

4

5

**NO TILLAGE IN RAINFED ARAGON (NE SPAIN):**

6

**EFFECT ON ORGANIC CARBON IN THE SOIL SURFACE HORIZON**

7

8

9

10 **M. Victoria López \*, Nuria Blanco-Moure, M. Ángeles Limón, Ricardo Gracia**

11

12

13

14

15 Departamento de Suelo y Agua, Estación Experimental de Aula Dei, Consejo Superior de

16 Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), POB 13034, 50080-Zaragoza (Spain)

17

18

19 \* Corresponding author. Tel.: 34-976-71 61 44; fax: 34-976-71 61 45

20 E-mail address: [vlopez@eead.csic.es](mailto:vlopez@eead.csic.es)

1 **Abstract**

2 Conservation tillage has been encouraged as a management alternative to preserve soil and  
3 water resources in semiarid Aragon (NE Spain). In fact, its adoption by farmers, and especially of  
4 no tillage (NT) systems, has increased in recent years. However, little information concerning the  
5 soils on which these techniques are applied is available for this region. The objective of this study  
6 was to assess the potential of NT to increase organic carbon content at the soil surface (0-20 cm)  
7 in rainfed Aragon. To this aim, 22 pairs of adjacent farm fields under NT and conventional tillage  
8 (CT) were comparing in different cereal production areas. The fields were under continuous NT  
9 between 5 and 19 years but half were over 10 years. Soil organic carbon (SOC) in NT ranged  
10 from 7.06 to 18.53 g kg<sup>-1</sup> (0-20 cm depth) and was higher than 12 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in nearly 30% of the  
11 fields. These contents represented between 8% less (only one case) and 55% more SOC under  
12 NT than under CT with an average gain of 20% in favour of NT. The highest SOC contents were  
13 found in the NT fields of longer duration (>10 years) and/or managed with practices that enhance  
14 the return of more crop biomass to the soil (complete residue return, cropping intensification and  
15 manure application). The identification of the current management practices used by farmers has  
16 allowed us to know the diversity of the NT-based cropping systems and the reality of the  
17 conservation agriculture in our region. Overall, results from this on-farm study indicate that NT  
18 can be recommended as a viable alternative to CT to increase organic carbon at the soil surface in  
19 cereal production areas of Aragon.

20

21

22 **Keywords:** Conservation tillage; soil organic matter; on-farm research; dryland cereal farming;  
23 crop residues.

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 Soil organic carbon (SOC) is a key indicator of soil quality, and also of the entire  
3 agricultural system, as it is related to many properties and processes responsible for agricultural  
4 productivity and environmental integrity. Even in semiarid regions, where the capacity of soil for  
5 agricultural production is limited, the SOC can exert a great influence on soil quality, leading to  
6 better physical and chemical soil conditions and increasing, finally, production and economic  
7 benefits for the farmer (Carter, 2002; Haynes, 2005; Ogle and Paustian, 2005).

8 Intensive and continued tillage practices during the 20th century have caused a worldwide  
9 decline in SOC with serious environmental and agricultural implications. In the case of Europe,  
10 according to the recently adopted Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection (European Commission,  
11 2006), decrease of SOC, together with soil erosion and compaction, are the main soil degradation  
12 processes identified. Besides climatic reasons, unsustainable practices of human activities are the  
13 most relevant driving forces. This EU communication highlights the need to adopt sustainable  
14 management practices, such as conservation tillage, since they can contribute effectively to the  
15 solution of agro-environmental problems, of particular interest for the threatened Mediterranean  
16 region.

17 Studies conducted over the past 25 years in different regions of the world have  
18 demonstrated the suitability of the conservation tillage, and especially of no tillage (NT), to  
19 increase SOC and improve soil quality compared to conventional tillage (CT) systems (West and  
20 Post, 2002; Govaerts et al., 2009). These studies also show that the rate and magnitude of change  
21 depends on a number of factors such as climate and soil, and interactions with other agricultural  
22 practices. At present, it is estimated that NT is practiced on about 117 million hectares world  
23 wide but only 1.15 million hectares are in Europe (Derpsch and Friedrich, 2010). In Spain,  
24 according to the Spanish Conservation Agriculture Association (AEAC/SV, 2008), 650,000  
25 hectares of agricultural land are under NT. This figure reflects that the rate of adoption of NT is

1 still small in Spain and this despite the fact that the long-term research shows positive results for  
2 conservation tillage (Moreno et al., 2010).

3 Due to particular soil and climate conditions and inappropriate agricultural practices,  
4 Aragon (NE Spain) is a region prone to land degradation by wind and water erosion (López et  
5 al., 2001; López-Vicente et al., 2008). For this reason, the adoption of conservation tillage  
6 practices has been encouraged as an alternative to preserve soil and water in this region. In fact,  
7 according to previous results on soil and crop response to conservation tillage in cereal  
8 production areas of Aragon (López et al., 2005; Moret et al., 2007; Álvaro-Fuentes et al., 2008,  
9 2009), NT could be regarded as a viable management alternative. Furthermore, a recent survey  
10 conducted by the Department of Agriculture and Food of the Government of Aragon (Vallés,  
11 2009) found a very positive perception of the advantages of conservation tillage by farmers and  
12 an increasing adoption in the last years, especially of NT. However, this report also highlights  
13 the lack of knowledge about the soils on which these techniques are applied.

14 The little available information on SOC in agrosystems in Aragon has been collected from  
15 small research plots and from single soil types (Álvaro-Fuentes et al., 2009). However, farming  
16 practices applied by farmers in their NT fields can be very diverse and differ from those in  
17 experimental plots (Blanco-Canqui et al., 2008). For these reasons, direct measurements of SOC  
18 under on-farm conditions across a range of soils, microclimate and agronomic practices are  
19 necessary to get a broad knowledge of the potential of NT in the region. In order to remedy this  
20 lack of information, the objective of this study was to assess the potential of NT to increase SOC  
21 in the surface layer by comparing adjacent farm fields of NT and CT across different rainfed  
22 cereal areas of Aragon. Likewise, this work allowed us to identify current soil and crop residue  
23 management practices used by farmers in the region.

24

25

## 1 **2. Materials and methods**

2 A total of 22 pairs of NT and CT farmer fields were selected from rainfed cereal areas of  
3 the provinces of Zaragoza (10 pairs of fields), Huesca (11) and Teruel (1) in Aragon (NE Spain,  
4 Fig. 1). The fields are located in areas receiving generally from 400 to 700 mm of mean annual  
5 precipitation. More specifically, 32% of them are located between isohyets 400 and 500 mm,  
6 50% between 500 and 600 mm and, finally, 18% above 600 mm. The precipitation exceeds 700  
7 mm per year only in one field (741 mm). All soils were medium-textured soils, varying from  
8 sandy loam to silty clay loam (Fig. 2). Sand content ranged from 50 to 550 g kg<sup>-1</sup> (0-20 cm soil  
9 depth), silt from 280 to 620 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and clay from 140 to 370 g kg<sup>-1</sup>. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> content varied  
10 between 60 and 540 g kg<sup>-1</sup> but was higher than 200 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in 80% of the fields.

11 Two main criteria were considered in the selection of the fields: number of years of  
12 continuous NT and proximity of the two fields, NT and CT, in each site. The duration of NT  
13 varied between 5 and 19 years but in half of the fields was greater than 10 years. Both fields  
14 were contiguous, thus ensuring that soil type and slope were as similar as possible. With regard  
15 cropping system, in some sites it was not the same under NT than under CT. Thus, in NT the  
16 usual cropping system followed by farmers is the continuous cereal cropping (wheat or barley).  
17 In some of these fields (7 of 22) a legume was introduced in rotation with cereal with a varying  
18 frequency depending on the site. In the CT fields, legume crop was more unusual (3 of the 22  
19 fields) and, in contrast to NT, in the areas of lower rainfall the traditional farming system is the  
20 cereal-fallow rotation (one crop in 2 years).

21 Three main tillage implements were identified in the study area as primary tillage tools  
22 used by farmers in the CT fields: mouldboard plough, chisel and subsoiler. Although the  
23 mouldboard plough has been the most traditional implement in the region (López et al., 2001), its  
24 use has been reduced in these last years. In fact, in 16 of the 22 fields characterized in the present  
25 study the soil was tilled with chisel. However, only in three cases soil management can be

1 considered as reduced tillage since the rest of the soil surface was pulverized by excessive  
2 chiselling and soil cover by crop residues was low. In 30% of the CT fields, farmers alternate the  
3 use of chisel plough with mouldboard plough or subsoiler.

4 Soil sampling (0-5 and 5-20 cm depths) was made in three different zones within each field  
5 where two soil samples were collected and mixed to make a composite sample. A total of 264  
6 soil samples were taken (22 sites x 2 fields x 2 depths x 3 replicates). Once in the laboratory, the  
7 soil was air-dried and ground to pass a 2 mm sieve. Soil organic carbon (SOC) and CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
8 contents were determined by dry combustion with a LECO analyser (*RC-612* model. LECO  
9 Corp., St. Joseph, MI). Soil particle size distribution was obtained by laser diffraction analysis  
10 (*Coulter LS230* laser grain-sizer. Coulter Corp., Miami, FL). Six undisturbed soil samples were  
11 also taken per depth and field (2 in each of the 3 sampling zones per field) to determine the dry  
12 bulk density by the core method.

13 Within each study site, statistical comparisons between NT and CT were made using one-  
14 way ANOVA. As in each of the sites, the two fields were contiguous and sited on similar  
15 landscape position and same soil, the three sampling locations (i.e. sampling zones within each  
16 field) were used as pseudoreplicates (Christopher et al., 2009). The least significant difference  
17 (LSD) test was used to compare treatment means ( $P < 0.05$ ).

18

### 19 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 20 *3.1. Soil organic carbon under no tillage*

21 Soil organic carbon content in the 0-20 cm depth ranged from 7.06 to 18.53 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and was  
22 higher than 12 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in nearly 30% of the NT fields (Fig. 3). This value of 12 g kg<sup>-1</sup> is  
23 considered a minimum needed for an optimal agricultural use in Western Europe (Bullock,  
24 1997). It is to expect that from this threshold value, increases in SOC will lead to improved soil  
25 quality and increased agricultural productivity. In any case, all studied soils had SOC contents

1 lower than  $20 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ , in agreement with the levels of SOC estimated for this region in the Map of  
2 Topsoil Organic Carbon in Europe (Jones et al., 2005). These contents are comparatively lower  
3 than those of other European regions but correspond well to the figures estimated for Southern  
4 Europe where a 74% of the land has a surface soil horizon (0-30 cm) with less than  $20 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  of  
5 organic carbon (Van-Camp et al., 2004). The stratification ratios of SOC (0-5/5-20 cm in depth;  
6 Fig. 3) did not reach the threshold value of 2 (Franzluebbers, 2002) possibly due to the continuity  
7 of the two considered depths (Moreno et al., 2006). Even so, the highest SOC contents were  
8 always found in the surface layer (0-5 cm) with about an average of 40% more carbon stored  
9 than at 5-20 cm. This higher concentration in surface layers has been well documented for  
10 agricultural soils under NT (West and Post, 2002) and it is due to the crop residue retention on  
11 the soil surface and the absence of soil disturbance by tillage.

12 The number of years with NT seems to be a factor responsible for the differences among  
13 fields. In fact, a 50% and 40% of the variability found in SOC was explained by the number of  
14 consecutive years under NT for depths of 0-5 and 0-20 cm, respectively (Fig. 4). This suggests  
15 that the benefits associated with the adoption of NT will increase with time as observed in other  
16 regions of the world (West and Post, 2002; Angers and Eriksen-Hamel, 2008). As explained  
17 below, the data point not included in the regression corresponded to a field from which crop  
18 residues are removed by the farmer.

19 Several studies have demonstrated that accompanying agricultural practices to NT, such as  
20 crop rotation, crop residue retention or application of manure, can also enhance the SOC storage  
21 (Halvorson et al., 2002; Heenan et al., 2004; Dalal et al., 2011). In our study conditions, manure  
22 application also appears to play a beneficial role in soils under NT. For example, in Fig. 5a we  
23 compare two fields after 13 years of no-till, managed by the same farmer and located in the same  
24 agroclimatic zone (mean annual precipitation of 430 mm). The only two differences are that in  
25 one of the fields the farmer applies animal manure and in the other does not. The second

1 difference is that, although the two soils are medium textured, the soil without manure has a silt  
2 plus clay content greater than the soil with manure (silty clay loam vs. loam texture). As Fig. 5a  
3 shows, the SOC content in 0-20 cm depth was 50% higher in the soil with manure even though  
4 its texture makes it less suitable for carbon storage than the other soil.

5 Likewise, results indicate that an adequate management of crop residues is essential for  
6 successful NT in rainfed Aragon. In the areas with higher rainfall and hence higher production,  
7 farmers remove the straw from the field to prevent later problems with seeding. In some cases, as  
8 occurs in the study field with more years under NT (19 years; see Fig. 4), soil cover by residues  
9 retained in the field was low (<30%) and, strictly speaking, this would not be a conservation  
10 tillage system. In Fig. 5b the SOC in this field is compared with that one of a similar field from a  
11 nearby area of slightly less rainfall (676 vs. 741 mm) and where crop residues are always  
12 chopped and left on the soil surface. We estimate that, despite the different duration of NT (10  
13 vs. 19 years), the removal of crop residues from the field led to a reduction of 20% of the SOC at  
14 0-20 cm depth.

15

### 16 *3.2. No tillage versus conventional tillage*

17 As previously indicated, the cropping system under NT and CT differed in some sites,  
18 especially in the driest ones (<500 mm of annual precipitation) where the traditional cereal-  
19 fallow rotation under CT is, generally, replaced with the continuous cereal cropping under NT.  
20 Therefore, following the remark made by Blanco-Canqui and Lal (2008) in their work on the  
21 potential of long-term NT to sequester SOC in the eastern United States, the present study shows  
22 data on the effect of NT- and CT-based cropping systems on SOC rather than those of tillage  
23 alone. The comparison of both systems was based on the calculation of the relative gain or loss  
24 of SOC under NT with respect to CT in each site (i.e., (NT-CT)/CT) and was expressed in Mg C  
25 ha<sup>-1</sup> to take into account the differences in soil bulk density between both tillage systems.



1 With the exception of only one case, the SOC under NT was always higher than under CT  
2 (ratio>0) (Fig. 6). These values represent between 1 and 55% more SOC with NT, averaging  
3 about 20%. The lowest differences (ratios close to 0) corresponded to the sites with the NT fields  
4 of shorter duration (5-7 years). As long-term studies show (West and Post, 2002; Christopher et  
5 al., 2009), increases in SOC with the adoption of NT is time-dependent and less than 10 years is  
6 usually not enough time to produce a marked accumulation of SOC with respect to a CT  
7 management. The ratio values of 0.10-0.20 (Fig. 6), which represent SOC increments between 10  
8 and 20% in NT with respect to CT, generally corresponded to the sites where the straw is  
9 frequently or continuously removed from the NT fields. Also, they were obtained in cases where  
10 the CT system is actually a reduced tillage management (chiselling as primary tillage and crop  
11 residues retained in the field), an increasingly common practice in some areas of Aragon.

12 The highest differences in SOC between NT and CT (Fig. 6), i.e. ratios >2, generally  
13 reflect the use in the NT fields of improved practices such as total residue return, manure  
14 application or intensification of cropping system. For example, after 10 years of annual cereal  
15 cropping with NT the SOC in the 0-20 cm depth was increased in 55% with respect to that in the  
16 adjacent CT field under the traditional cereal-fallow rotation (Fig. 7). In other study site, a 25%  
17 more SOC was stored in the surface layer in a 13-yr NT field under a cereal-legume rotation than  
18 in the CT field under a continuous cereal cropping (Fig. 7). Likewise, in another location, the  
19 combination of animal manure application and NT during 10 years led to an increase of 32% in  
20 SOC with respect to the contiguous CT field without manure addition (Fig. 7).

21 The above results are in agreement with those compiled in scientific literature reviews  
22 (e.g., Jarecki and Lal, 2003; Govaerts et al., 2009) and suggest that the use of these improved  
23 practices (complete residue return, cropping intensification and rotation, and manure application)  
24 may be strategies for increasing SOC in NT vs. CT soils. Also, similarly to the meta-analysis  
25 studies conducted by Alvarez (2005) and Angers and Eriksen-Hamel (2008) for a wide range of

1 soils, climate and tillage practices, other site characteristics such as precipitation or soil texture  
2 do not seem to explain the differences in SOC under NT and CT among the study sites.  
3 Probably, a higher impact of the NT duration, cropping intensity or crop residues management  
4 could be masking the effect of other influential factors.

5 The depth of soil sampling can greatly affect the conclusions about the SOC sequestration  
6 rates (Angers and Eriksen-Hamel, 2008; Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008; Christopher et al., 2009).  
7 In this sense, it is important to remember that the results obtained in the present study come from  
8 the superficial horizon of the soil (0-20 cm) and that the whole soil profile must be considered to  
9 reach conclusions about the potential of NT to sequester SOC vs. CT systems. However, this  
10 evident remark does not question the environmental benefits of NT derived from the higher  
11 accumulation of organic matter on the soil surface. Among them, an enhanced structural stability  
12 of soil and, therefore, a lower soil susceptibility to two main degradation processes that affect  
13 agricultural lands of semiarid Aragon, wind and water erosion (López et al, 2001; Álvaro-  
14 Fuentes et al., 2008).

15

#### 16 **4. Conclusions**

17 Results from this on-farm study indicate that NT can be recommended as a viable  
18 alternative to CT to increase organic carbon at the soil surface (0-20 cm) in rainfed cereal areas  
19 of Aragon (NE Spain). On average, 20% more SOC was stored under NT than under CT. The  
20 highest SOC contents were found in the NT fields of longer duration (>10 years) and/or managed  
21 with practices that enhance the return of more crop biomass to the soil (complete residue return,  
22 cropping intensification and manure application). The identification in this study of the current  
23 management practices used by farmers has allowed us to know the diversity of the NT-based  
24 cropping systems and to be aware of the reality of the conservation agriculture in Aragon. The  
25 increasing interest of farmers for NT could help to reduce the risk of soil degradation in the study

- 1 area but further studies should consider the whole soil profile to correctly evaluate the potential
- 2 of NT to sequester SOC.

## 1 **Acknowledgements**

2 The authors thank M.J. Salvador and A. Bielsa for their field and laboratory assistance. We are  
3 also grateful to all farmers for allowing access to their fields and to M. Pérez-Berges (CTA-  
4 DGA) and AGRACON for helping us to contact no-tillage farmers in Aragon. This research was  
5 supported by the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología of Spain (Grants AGL2010-  
6 22050-CO3-02/AGR and AGL2007-66320-C02-02/AGR) and the European Union (FEDER  
7 funds). N. Blanco-Moure was awarded with a PhD fellowship (FPI program) by the Spanish  
8 Ministry of Science and Innovation.

## 1 **References**

- 2 Alvarez, R., 2005. A review of nitrogen fertilizer and conservation tillage effects on soil organic  
3 carbon storage. *Soil Use Manage.* 2, 38-52.
- 4 Álvaro-Fuentes, J., Arrúe, J.L., Gracia, R., López, M.V., 2008. Tillage and cropping  
5 intensification effects on soil aggregation: Temporal dynamics and controlling factors under  
6 semiarid conditions. *Geoderma* 145, 390-396.
- 7 Álvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martínez, C., López, M.V., Paustian, K., Deneff, K., Stewart, C.E.,  
8 Arrúe, J.L., 2009. Soil aggregation and soil organic carbon stabilization: Effects of  
9 management in semiarid Mediterranean agroecosystems. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 73, 1519-  
10 1529.
- 11 Angers, D.A., Eriksen-Hamel, N.S., 2008. Full-inversion tillage and organic carbon distribution  
12 in soil profiles: A meta-analysis. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 72, 1370-1374.
- 13 AEAC/SV, 2008. Asociación Española de Agricultura de Conservación/Suelos Vivos: La  
14 agricultura de conservación en el mundo. [http://www.agriculturadeconservacion.org/quienes-](http://www.agriculturadeconservacion.org/quienes-somos/la-ac-en-el-mundo.html)  
15 [somos/la-ac-en-el-mundo.html](http://www.agriculturadeconservacion.org/quienes-somos/la-ac-en-el-mundo.html) (consulted in July 2011).
- 16 Blanco-Canqui, H., Lal, R., 2008. No-tillage and soil profile carbon sequestration: An on-farm  
17 assessment. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 72, 693-701.
- 18 Bullock, P., 1997. Sustainable development of soils in Western Europe—an overview.  
19 *Conferences in the 50<sup>th</sup> Commemorative Meeting of the Spanish Soil Science Society*, p.  
20 109-123. Madrid, Spain.
- 21 Carter, M.R., 2002. Soil quality for sustainable land management: Organic matter and  
22 aggregation interactions that maintain soil functions. *Agron. J.* 94, 38-47.
- 23 Christopher, S.F., Lal, R., Mishra, U., 2009. Regional study of no-till effects on carbon  
24 sequestration in the Midwestern United States. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 73, 207-216.

1 Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., Wanga, W.J., Reeves, S., Gibson, I., 2011. Organic carbon and total  
2 nitrogen stocks in a Vertisol following 40 years of no-tillage, crop residue retention and  
3 nitrogen fertilisation. *Soil Till. Res.* 112,133-139.

4 Derpsch, R., Friedrich, T., 2010. Sustainable crop production intensification -The adoption of  
5 conservation agriculture worldwide-. Proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> ISCO Conference, 8-12 Nov.  
6 2010, Santiago, Chile, p. 265-293. [http://www.rolf-](http://www.rolf-derpsch.com/sustainablecropproduction.pdf)  
7 [derpsch.com/sustainablecropproduction.pdf](http://www.rolf-derpsch.com/sustainablecropproduction.pdf) (consulted in July 2011).

8 European Commission., 2006. Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection. [Communication](#)  
9 [\(COM\(2006\) 231\)](#), EU Brussels.

10 Franzluebbers, A.J., 2002. Soil organic matter stratification ratio as an indicator of soil quality.  
11 *Soil Till. Res.* 66, 95-106.

12 Govaerts, B., Verhulst, N., Castellanos-Navarrete, A., Sayre, K.D., Dixon, J., Dendooven, L.,  
13 2009. Conservation agriculture and soil carbon sequestration: between myth and farmer  
14 reality. *Critical Rev. Plant Sci.* 28, 97-122.

15 Halvorson, A.D., Wienhold, B.J., Black, A.L., 2002. Tillage, nitrogen, and cropping system  
16 effects on soil carbon sequestration. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66, 906-912.

17 Haynes, R.J. 2005. Labile organic matter fractions as central components of the quality of  
18 agricultural soils: an overview. *Adv. Agron.* 85, 221-268.

19 Heenan, D.P., Chan, K.Y., Knight, P.G., 2004. Long-term impact of rotation, tillage and stubble  
20 management on the loss of soil organic carbon and nitrogen from a Chromic Luvisol. *Soil*  
21 *Till. Res.* 76, 59-68.

22 Jarecki, M.K., Lal, R., 2003. Crop management for soil carbon sequestration. *Critical Rev. Plant*  
23 *Sci.* 22, 471-502.

- 1 Jones, A., Montanarella, L., Jones, R., 2005. Soil Atlas of Europe. European Soil Bureau  
2 Network. European Commission, 128 pp. Office for Official Publications of the European  
3 Communities, Luxembourg.
- 4 López, M.V., Gracia, R., Arrúe, J.L., 2001. An evaluation of wind erosion hazard in fallow lands  
5 of semiarid Aragon (NE Spain). *J. Soil Water Conserv.* 56, 212-219.
- 6 López, M.V., Arrúe, J.L., Álvaro-Fuentes, J., Moret, D., 2005. Dynamics of surface barley  
7 residues during fallow as affected by tillage and decomposition in semiarid Aragon (NE  
8 Spain). *Eur. J. Agron.* 23, 26-36.
- 9 López-Vicente, M., Navas, A., Machín, J., 2008. Modelling soil detachment rates in rainfed  
10 agrosystems in the south-central Pyrenees. *Agric. Water Manage.* 95, 1079-1089.
- 11 Moreno, F., Murillo, J.M., Pelegrín, F., Girón, I.F., 2006. Long-term impact of conservation  
12 tillage on stratification ratio of soil organic carbon and loss of total and active CaCO<sub>3</sub>. *Soil  
13 Till. Res.* 85, 86-93.
- 14 Moreno, F., Arrúe, J.L., Cantero-Martínez, C., López, M.V., Murillo, J.M., Sombrero, A.,  
15 López-Garrido, R., Madejón, E., Moret, D., Álvaro-Fuentes, J., 2010. Conservation  
16 Agriculture under Mediterranean Conditions in Spain. In: Lichtfouse E. (Ed.), *Biodiversity,  
17 Biofuels, Agroforestry and Conservation Agriculture. Sustainable Agriculture Reviews,*  
18 Springer, pp. 175-193.
- 19 Moret, D., Arrúe, J.L., López, M.V., Gracia, R., 2007. Winter barley performance under different  
20 cropping and tillage systems in semiarid Aragon (NE Spain). *Eur. J. Agron.* 26, 54-63.
- 21 Ogle, S.M., Paustian, K., 2005. Soil organic carbon as an indicator of environmental quality at  
22 the national scale: inventory monitoring methods and policy relevance. *Canadian J. Soil Sci.*  
23 85, 531-540.

- 1 Vallés, M., 2009. Análisis de una muestra de explotaciones agrarias vinculada con la práctica de  
2 la agricultura de conservación. Informaciones Técnicas del Dpto. de Agricultura y  
3 Alimentación del Gobierno de Aragón. Nº 205, 12 p.
- 4 Van-Camp. L., Bujarrabal, B., Gentile, A-R., Jones, R.J.A., Montanarella, L., Olazabal, C.,  
5 Selvaradjou, S-K., 2004. Reports of the Technical Working Groups Established under the  
6 Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection. EUR 21319 EN/3, 872 pp. Office for Official  
7 Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- 8 West, T.O., Post, W.M., 2002. Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop  
9 rotation: A global data analysis. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 66, 1930-1946.



## Figure legends

Figure 1. Location of the study sites and average annual rainfall isohyets (mm).

Figure 2. Soil texture class triangle showing the percentages of sand (2000-50  $\mu\text{m}$ ), silt (50-2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and clay (<2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) of the study soils in the depth of 0-20 cm.

Figure 3. Distribution of no tillage fields according to soil organic carbon content at 0-20 cm depth. The stratification ratio (SR) is the organic carbon content at 0-5 cm depth divided by that at 5-20 cm depth.

Figure 4. Relationship between the duration of continuous no tillage management and the soil surface organic carbon, SOC (0-5 cm depth). The point represented by a white circle was not included in the regression analysis (see comments in the text).

Figure 5. Soil organic carbon (SOC) in four no tillage fields under similar soil and climate conditions (within each graph A and B) but with the following management differences: A) 13 years under no tillage with and without application of manure; B) 10 years leaving the cereal straw in the field and 19 years removing the straw. Within each graphic (A and B) and soil depth, columns with different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Figure 6. Relative difference in soil organic carbon content (0-20 cm;  $\text{Mg ha}^{-1}$ ) between no tillage (NT) and conventional tillage (CT) in 22 study sites from different cereal production areas of Aragon.

1 Figure 7. Effect of no tillage- and conventional tillage-based cropping systems on soil organic  
2 carbon (SOC, 0-20 cm depth) at three different sites of cereal agriculture in Aragon. Columns  
3 with different letters within the same study site are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ). NT, no  
4 tillage; CT, conventional tillage; CC, continuous cropping; CF, cereal-fallow rotation.















