

Distinct roles for NtrC and GlnK in nitrogen regulation of the Pseudomonas sp. strain ADP cyanuric acid utilization operon

Vicente García-González, Alicia Jiménez-Fernández, Ana B. Hervás, Inés Canosa, Eduardo Santero & Fernando Govantes

Departamento de Biología Molecular e Ingeniería Bioquímica, Centro Andaluz de Biología del Desarrollo, Universidad Pablo de Olavide/CSIC, Sevilla, Spain

Correspondence: Fernando Govantes, Centro Andaluz de Biología del Desarrollo. Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Carretera de Utrera, Km. 1, 41013 Sevilla, Spain. Tel.: +34 954 977 877; fax: +34 954 349 376; e-mail: fgovrom@upo.es

Present address: Vicente García-González, Laboratorios Almirall, Laureano Miró, 408-410 08980 Sant Feliu de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.

Received 22 July 2009; accepted 27 August 2009. Final version published online 17 September 2009.

DOI:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01784.x

Editor: Karl Forchhammer

Keywords

MICROBIOLOGY LETTERS

FEMS MICROBIOLOGY LETTERS

nitrogen control; PII proteins; atrazine degradation; Pseudomonas.

Introduction

General nitrogen control is a global regulatory network that activates the expression of a variety of genes involved in assimilation of alternative nitrogen sources in response to decreased nitrogen availability. In many Proteobacteria, nitrogen control is mediated by the sensor kinase/phosphatase NtrB and the response regulator NtrC. A PII signal transduction protein transmits the nitrogen status to NtrB–NtrC by stimulating the phosphatase activity of NtrB under nitrogen excess, which results in decreased dephosphorylation of NtrC. NtrC-phosphate in turn activates transcription from a large number of promoters that are recognized by the alternative σ factor σ^N (Merrick & Edwards, 1995; Reitzer, 2003).

The widespread PII protein superfamily is comprised of small trimeric signal transduction proteins that integrate

Abstract

The Pseudomonas sp. strain ADP atzDEF operon encodes the enzymes involved in cyanuric acid mineralization, the final stage of the s-triazine herbicide atrazine degradative pathway. We have previously shown that *atzDEF* is under nitrogen control in both its natural host and Pseudomonas putida KT2442. Expression of atzDEF requires the divergently encoded LysR-type transcriptional regulator AtzR. Here, we take advantage of the poor induction of atzDEF in Escherichia coli to identify Pseudomonas factors involved in nitrogen control of atzDEF expression. Simultaneous production of P. putida NtrC and GlnK, along with AtzR, restored the normal atzDEF regulatory pattern. Gene expression analysis in E. coli and P. putida indicated that NtrC activates atzR expression, while the role of GlnK is to promote AtzR activation of atzDEF under nitrogen limitation. Activation of atzDEF in a mutant background deficient in GlnK uridylylation suggests that posttranslational modification is not strictly required for transduction of the nitrogen limitation signal to AtzR. The present data and our previous results are integrated in a regulatory circuit that describes all the known responses of the atzDEF operon.

> carbon, nitrogen and energy status signals. PII proteins regulate multiple cellular functions related to nitrogen metabolism, including ammonium transport and assimilation via glutamine synthetase, nitrogen fixation and nitrogen-responsive transcriptional regulation, by means of protein–protein interactions. PII protein activity is regulated by allosteric binding of 2-oxoglutarate, ADP and ATP. In addition, proteobacterial PII proteins are also regulated by GlnD-dependent reversible uridylylation in response to changes in the intracellular glutamine concentration. Details on PII protein structure and function have been reviewed recently (Ninfa & Atkinson, 2000; Arcondeguy et al., 2001; Leigh & Dodsworth, 2007; Forchhammer, 2008). Some organisms harbor two PII proteins with partially overlapping functions. In the enterics, constitutively produced GlnB mediates most responses to reduced nitrogen

availability, including modulation of NtrB phosphatase activity. GlnK, however, is produced during nitrogen limitation, can replace GlnB in some of its functions, and has been shown to have at least two specific targets: the high-affinity ammonium transporter AmtB and the nitrogen fixationspecific two-component system NifL–NifA (Leigh & Dodsworth, 2007; Forchhammer, 2008).

Little is known about nitrogen control in bacteria from the genus Pseudomonas. The sequenced genomes of Pseudomonas strains contain homologs to most enterobacterial nitrogen control genes, but only encode one PII protein, GlnK (Stover et al., 2000; Nelson et al., 2002; Buell et al., 2003; Paulsen et al., 2005). Our recent work underlines the central role of NtrC in the control of nitrogen assimilation in Pseudomonas putida (Hervás et al., 2008, 2009).

Pseudomonas sp. strain ADP (Mandelbaum et al., 1995) uses the s-triazine herbicide atrazine as the sole nitrogen source. This pathway is encoded by atzA, atzB and atzC, responsible for the conversion of atrazine into cyanuric acid, and the atzDEF operon, involved in cyanuric acid mineralization to carbon dioxide and ammonium (Martinez et al., 2001; Wackett et al., 2002). Expression of the atzDEF operon is induced in response to cyanuric acid, and nitrogen limitation (García-González et al., 2005). The gene transcribed divergently from atzDEF, atzR, encodes a LysR-type transcriptional regulator. Transcription of atzR is activated by NtrC and autorepressed (García-González et al., 2005; Porrúa et al., 2009). AtzR activates atzDEF transcription in response to both signals, and is sufficient for the cyanuric acid response (Porrúa et al., 2007; O. Porrúa, unpublished data). NtrC is required, along with AtzR, for nitrogen regulation of atzDEF, but its effect is likely indirect and the mechanisms involved are unclear. We have proposed a regulatory circuit in which AtzR-dependent activation of *atzDEF* transcription is modulated by cyanuric acid and a nitrogen limitation signal transduced by the general nitrogen control system (García-González et al., 2005). However, the identity of the element that transmits this signal to AtzR remains unknown.

In the present work, we aim to identify the factors involved in nitrogen control of atzDEF and their specific roles in the regulation. With these findings, we expect to complete a regulatory circuit that takes into account all the known regulatory responses of the atzDEF operon.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and their relevant genotypes are summarized in Table 1. Minimal medium (Mandelbaum et al., 1993) contained 2 g L^{-1} glucose (for *Escherichia coli*) or 25 mM sodium succinate (for P. putida) as the sole carbon source. Nitrogen sources were 1 g L^{-1} ammonium chloride (nitrogen excess) or 1 g L^{-1} L-arginine (nitrogen limitation) for *E. coli*, and 1 g L^{-1} glutamine (nitrogen excess) or 1 g L^{-1} serine plus 25 mg L^{-1} glutamine (nitrogen limitation) for *P. putida.* Luria–Bertani medium was used as rich medium (Sambrook et al., 2000). Antibiotics and other additions were used as described (García-González et al., 2005; Porrúa et al., 2007).

Plasmid and strain construction

Plasmids and oligonucleotides are summarized in Table 1. DNA manipulations were performed according to standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 2000). Plasmid DNA was transferred to E. coli and P. putida strains by transformation (Inoue et al., 1990), triparental mating (Espinosa-Urgel et al., 2000) or electroporation (Koch et al., 2001). Escherichia coli DH5a was used as a host in cloning procedures.

Plasmid pMPO307 was constructed by subcloning an EcoRI–PstI fragment containing the P. putida glnAntrBC operon into EcoRI- and PstI-digested pBR322. The P. putida ntrC coding sequence was excised from pMPO231 (Hervás et al., 2009) with XbaI, and cloned in XbaI-cleaved pMPO301 to yield pMPO243. The P. putida glnKamtB operon and promoter region was cleaved from pMPO254 with EcoRI and ligated into EcoRI-digested pBluescriptII $SK(+)$, yielding pMPO256. This insert was excised with EcoRV and PstI and cloned into PstI- and SspI-digested pBR322 to produce pMPO257. Plasmid pMPO258 was constructed by cleaving pMPO257 with PstI and SmaI and religating. Construction of pMPO270 was initiated by cloning PCR-amplified P. putida glnK into the Ptac-based overproduction vector pVLT31 digested with EcoRI and HindIII. The resulting plasmid, pMPO267, was subsequently cleaved with EcoRI and MluI to remove Ptac, which was replaced by a BamHI–MluI fragment from pMPO301 containing PlacUV5, yielding pMPO270. An EcoRV–SmaI fragment prom MPO256 containing glnK and its promoter region was cloned into Sma-linearized pKT230 to produce pMPO272.

The $glnK^{Y51F}$ mutant allele was generated by overlap extension PCR site-directed mutagenesis using mutagenic oligonucleotides Y51F-fwd and Y51F-rev and external universal oligonucleotides forward (-40) and reverse (-48) as primers, and pMPO262 as a template, essentially as described (Aiyar et al., 1996). The PCR product was digested with SphI and HindIII and ligated into SphI- and HindIIIdigested pBluescript II $KS(+)$, resulting in pMPO286. Construction of pMPO288 was initiated by cloning a SacI–HindIII fragment of pMPO286 containing P. putida glnKY51F into pVLT31 digested with SacI and HindIII. The resulting plasmid, pMPO287, was cleaved with XbaI and MluI to remove Ptac, which was replaced by a Bam-HI–MluI fragment from pMPO301 containing PlacUV5 to yield pMPO288.

Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this work

Strain	Genotype/phenotype	Origin/references
P. putida		
KT2440	mt-2 $hsdR1$ (r ⁻ m ⁺) Cm ^r Rif ^s	Franklin et al. (1981)
KT2442	mt-2 $hsdR1$ (r ⁻ m ⁺) Cm ^r Rif ^r	Franklin et al. (1981)
MPO217	mt-2 hsdR1 (r ⁻ m ⁺) Cm ^r Rif ^r Δ glnK:: Km	This work
E. coli		
ET8000	rbs lacZ:: IS1 gyrA hutC _{Ka} Ntr ⁺	MacNeil et al. (1982)
ET8556	rbs lacZ:: IS1 gyrA hutC _{Ka} ntrC1488	MacNeil et al. (1982)
Plasmid	Relevant features	Origin/references
pMPO104	atzR-lacZ protein fusion in pMPO200. Ap ^r	García-González et al. (2005)
pMPO200	Broad host-range translational fusion vector based in pBBR1MCS-4. Ap ^r	García-González et al. (2005)
pMPO202	atzD-lacZ protein fusion in pMPO200. Ap ^r	García-González et al. (2005)
pMPO204	atzD-lacZ protein fusion in pMPO200 containing atzR. Ap ^r	García-González et al. (2005)
pMPO231	pT7-7-based P. putida NtrC overexpression vector	Hervás et al. (2009)
pMPO243	pACYC184 derivative harboring P. putida ntrC transcribed from PlacUV5 and	This work
	lacl ^q . Cm ^r	
pMPO253	pLAFR3-derived cosmid harboring fragment of the P. putida KT2440	This work
	spanning ORFs PP5220 to PP5242*. Tc'	
pMPO254	pLAFR3-derived cosmid harboring fragment of the P. putida KT2440	This work
	spanning ORFs PP5226 to PP5247*. Tc'	
pMPO257	P. putida glnKamtB cloned in pBR322. Tc'	This work
pMPO258	Deletion derivative of pMPO257 harboring only glnK. Constructed by	This work
	Pstl-Smal digestion and religation. Tc ^r	
pMPO260	Allelic replacement plasmid based on pEX18Tc, containing a kanamycin	This work
	resistance gene flanked by glnK	
	chromosomal flanking sequences. Tc' Km'	
pMPO262	P. putida glnK cloned in pBluescript $SK(+)$. Ap ^r	This work
pMPO268	pJB861 derivative expressing atzR from the P_m promoter. Gm ^r Tra ⁻ Mob ⁺	This work
	IncP	
pMPO270	pVLT31 derivative harboring P. putida glnK transcribed from PlacUV5, and	This work
	<i>lacl^q.</i> Tc ^r IncQ	
pMPO272	P. putida glnK cloned in pKT230. Str' IncQ	This work
pMPO286	P. putida gln $K^{\gamma 51F}$ cloned in pBluescript KS(+). Ap ^r	This work
pMPO287	pVLT31 derivative harboring P. putida glnK ^{Y51T} transcribed from Ptac, and	This work
	lacl ^q . Tc ^r IncQ	
pMPO288	pVLT31 derivative harboring P. putida glnK ^{Y51T} transcribed from PlacUV5,	This work
	and lacl ^q . Tc ^r IncQ	
pMPO301	pACYC184 derivative harboring the PlacUV5 promoter and lac/ ^q . Cm ^r	A.B. Hervás, unpublished data
pMPO307	P. putida KT2440 chromosomal fragment harboring glnAntrBC cloned in	This work
	pBR322. Tc ^r	
pUT-miniTn5-Km	MiniTn5-Km delivery plasmid. Ap ^r Km ^r	de Lorenzo et al. (1990)
pVLT31	Broad host-range expression vector harboring the Ptac promoter and lacl ^q .	de Lorenzo <i>et al.</i> (1993)
	Tc' Tra ⁻ Mob ⁺ IncQ	
Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5'-3')	
AmtB-fwd	CCGGATCCACCGATACCGACGCGATC	
Amt-rev	GCAAAGCTTGCGTTGAAACCGAACC	
Forward (-40)	GTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC	
PP5235-fwd	TCGAATTCATCAGCGGCTGACC	
PP5235-rev	CTGGATCCTGGCTGTGACTAGCTTC	
Reverse (-48)	AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA	
Y51F-fwd	GCGGTGCTGAATTCGTGGTCGATTTCC	
Y51F-rev	GGAAATCGACCACGAATTCAGCACCGC	

Some of the cloning procedures are simplified. Detailed descriptions are available upon request.*ORF designations according to the Pseudomonas putida KT2442 sequencing project nomenclature (Nelson et al., 2002).

For construction of the $\Delta g ln K$:: Km mutant MPO217, the allelic replacement plasmid pMPO260 was generated. Initially, \sim 700 bp from the chromosomal regions flanking glnK were PCR-amplified with oligonucleotide pairs PP5235-fwd and PP5235-rev (upstream region), or AmtBfwd and Amt-rev (downstream region). The PCR products were cleaved with EcoRI and BamHI or BamHI and HindIII (for upstream or downstream flanking sequences, respectively) and three-way ligated into EcoRI- and HindIIIdigested pEX18Tc. The BamHI-excised kanamycin resistance gene from pUTminiTn5-Km was then cloned into the BamHI site generated between the upstream and the downstream flanking sequences yielding pMPO260. This plasmid was transferred to P. putida KT2442 by electroporation, and selection of integration and allelic replacement was performed essentially as described (Hoang et al., 1998; Llamas et al., 2000).

b-Galactosidase assays

Steady-state β -galactosidase assays were used to examine the expression of lacZ fusions in E. coli and P. putida. Fusionbearing strains were grown as described (García-González et al., 2005), and β -galactosidase activity was determined from sodium dodecyl sulfate- and chloroform-permeabilized cells (Miller, 1992).

Results and discussion

Pseudomonas putida NtrC stimulates atzR and atzDEF expression in E. coli

We attempted to replicate nitrogen control of the atzDEF operon in the Ntr⁺ E. coli strain ET8000 (MacNeil et al., 1982) using lacZ translational fusions to atzR (pMPO104), or atzD (pMPO204, also harboring the complete atzR) (García-González et al., 2005). Expression was tested in medium containing ammonium (nitrogen excess) or arginine (nitrogen limitation) as the sole nitrogen source. The inducer cyanuric acid was not used in these assays, as nitrogen regulation and cyanuric acid induction occur independently. Expression of both fusions was very low and only marginally responsive to nitrogen limitation in E. coli (Table 2). Plasmid pMPO307, harboring the P. putida glnAntrBC operon, stimulated atzR–lacZ expression in response to nitrogen limitation, but failed to increase *atz*-D–lacZ expression under nitrogen limitation. These results are consistent with our observation that NtrC directly activates transcription from the PatzR promoter (Porrúa et al., 2009), and suggest that NtrC is not directly responsible for PatzDEF activation. It may be argued that the concentration of AtzR, which is required for atzDEF expression, may be limiting under these conditions. However, this is not the case, as the atzD–lacZ fusion was induced 100-fold

Table 2. Effect of Pseudomonas putida GlnK on expression of atzD-lacZ and atzR-lacZ fusions in Escherichia coli

The ß-galactosidase activity is expressed in Miller units. Values are the average and SD of at least three independent measurements. IPTG induction of the PlacUV5 promoter in pMPO243 was not required.

IPTG, isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside.

in the presence of 0.1 mM cyanuric acid (data not shown), a process known to be mediated by AtzR (Porrúa et al., 2009).

It is intriguing that E. coli NtrC, encoded in the ET8000 genome, did not stimulate atzR expression under our assay conditions. However, E. coli NtrC readily activated atzR when expressed from the PlacUV5 promoter in a plasmid (data not shown). Because NtrC does not have a binding site at the $atzR - atzDEF$ promoter region (Porrúa et al., 2009), activation may require a higher NtrC concentration that may be naturally achieved in P. putida, but not in E. coli. In addition, the stronger binding of P. putida $E-\sigma^N$ to its cognate promoters (Bernardo et al., 2009) may also contribute to UAS-independent activation (Porrúa et al., 2009).

Pseudomonas putida GlnK stimulates atzDEF expression in response to nitrogen limitation in E. coli

To screen for Pseudomonas factors required for atzDEF activation in response to nitrogen limitation, a P. putida KT2440 gene bank in pLAFR3 (Staskawicz et al., 1987) was used to complement the PatzDEF activation defect under nitrogen limitation in an E. coli indicator strain. For this purpose, we chose ET8556 (an ntrC derivative of ET8000), bearing pMPO243, which expresses P. putida ntrC from the PlacUV5 promoter, and the atzD-lacZ fusion plasmid pMPO204. The presence of pMPO243 restored growth of ET8556 on arginine as the sole nitrogen source (a widely used indicator of the Ntr system function), and stimulated atzR–lacZ expression similar to pMPO307, but failed to stimulate atzD–lacZ expression (Table 2). Upon transfer of the gene bank to the indicator strain, two clones were selected that formed blue colonies on nitrogen-limited minimal plates containing X-gal and displayed increased atzD–lacZ expression (11–15-fold) in nitrogen-limited medium (Table 2). The cosmids in these clones, designated pMPO253 and pMPO254, bore overlapping inserts that included the P. putida glnK-amtB operon, encoding the only P. putida PII protein and a high-affinity ammonium transporter. Plasmids pMPO257 and pMPO258, harboring the complete P. putida glnKamtB operon or only glnK, respectively, were constructed and their effect on atzD–lacZ expression was tested. Both plasmids mimicked the behavior of the cosmids, but expression was stimulated an additional \sim 10-fold (Table 2). These results indicate that glnK is the factor required for atzD–lacZ upregulation under nitrogen limitation. In contrast, pMPO258 induced a 13-fold decrease in atzR–lacZ expression under nitrogen limitation. Despite this reduction, AtzR was still required for atzDEF activation, as pMPO258 had no effect on expression of an atzD–lacZ fusion lacking atzR (see pMPO202 in Table 2). Taken together, our results indicate that NtrC and GlnK have separate functions in nitrogen control of atzDEF

expression, the role of NtrC being activation of atzR, while GlnK is, along with AtzR, responsible for activation of atzDEF. As shown above for NtrC, neither of the two E. coli PII proteins can functionally replace their P. putida counterpart under our assay conditions. We have not yet sought an explanation for this defect.

GlnK mediates nitrogen regulation of atzDEF in P. putida

To test the effect of GlnK on atzR and atzDEF expression in a Pseudomonas strain, a $\Delta g ln K$ mutant, designated MPO217, was constructed in the P. putida KT2442 background. We have used this organism widely for atz gene expression studies, because Pseudomonas sp. ADP is extremely resistant to genetic manipulation (García-González et al., 2005; Porrúa et al., 2007; Porrúa et al., 2009). Expression from the atzR–lacZ and atzD–lacZ fusion plasmids pMPO104 and pMPO204 was monitored in KT2442 and MPO217. As a control, pMPO224, bearing a lacZ fusion to the NtrCdependent nifLA operon of Klebsiella pneumoniae, was also tested (Table 3).

As expected, all three fusions were induced (25–45-fold) in KT2442 under nitrogen limitation. Expression of the NtrC-activated nifLA–lacZ and atzR–lacZ fusions was nearconstitutive in the $\Delta g ln K$ strain (induction ratio was \sim 2fold). Constitutive expression of NtrC-activated genes has been shown in E. coli glnB glnK mutants, lacking both PII proteins (Atkinson & Ninfa, 1998), and is attributed to decreased NtrB-dependent dephosphorylation of NtrC under nitrogen excess. Nitrogen regulation of both fusions was restored when the assays were performed in the $\Delta g ln K$ strain harboring pMPO270, which expresses P. putida glnK from the PlacUV5 promoter. The extent of nitrogen regulation of the atzR–lacZ fusion in the complemented strain was comparable to that in KT2442 (24- vs. 45-fold), although the absolute expression levels were somewhat reduced (fourto sevenfold). These results suggest that P. putida GlnK assumes the role of the major PII protein in E. coli, GlnB, in controlling NtrB-dependent dephosphorylation of NtrC (Reitzer, 2003). In addition, the nitrogen responsiveness of constitutively produced GlnK suggests that post-translational modification of GlnK (likely via GlnD-dependent uridylylation) prevents its interaction with NtrB.

Expression of atzD-lacZ in MPO217 was low and unaffected by nitrogen limitation (Table 3), consistent with the hypothesis that GlnK helps AtzR to activate atzDEF in response to nitrogen limitation. Constitutive production of GlnK from PlacUV5 in pMPO270 resulted in constitutive atzD–lacZ expression, while plasmid pMPO272, which produces GlnK from its native nitrogen-regulated promoter (Hervás et al., 2008), restored nitrogen regulation comparable to that in KT2442 (35-fold), albeit the absolute

The β -galactosidase activity is expressed in Miller units. Values are the average \pm SD of at least three independent measurements. IPTG induction of the

P*lac*UV5 promoter in pMPO243 was not required.
*Nitrogen sources were 1 g L^{–1} glutamine (high N) or 1 g L^{–1} serine plus 25 mg L^{–1} glutamine (low N).

 \bar{r} Fold induction was calculated as the ratio of the expression levels in low-N and high-N growth medium.

IPTG, isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside.

expression levels were somewhat increased (four- to fivefold) relative to the wild-type strain. These results indicate that nitrogen control of GlnK synthesis is critical for correct atzDEF regulation. In addition, physiological modulation of GlnK activity may not be essential for stimulating atzDEF expression, provided sufficient GlnK is present. Finally, the basis for the indirect effect of NtrC on atzDEF expression is fully explained, because NtrC stimulates the synthesis of both AtzR (García-González et al., 2005) and GlnK (Hervás et al., 2008, 2009).

GlnK uridylylation is not strictly required for atzDEF activation

To test whether post-translational modification of GlnK is necessary for *atzDEF* regulation, a mutant *glnK* gene encoding the Y51F GlnK variant was constructed. Tyrosine-51 is the conserved uridylylation site, and substitutions at this position have been shown to prevent PII protein uridylylation (Ninfa & Atkinson, 2000; Arcondeguy et al., 2001; Leigh & Dodsworth, 2007). Plasmid pMPO288, producing GlnK^{Y51F} from the PlacUV5 promoter, was constructed and its ability to stimulate PatzDEF expression under nitrogen excess was determined in the $\Delta g \ln K$ mutant P. putida strain MPO217. The empty vector, pVLT31, and plasmid pMPO270, producing the wild-type GlnK protein, were used as controls. Because GlnK indirectly influences AtzR expression by controlling NtrC activity, we used an atz-D–lacZ fusion (pMPO202) that does not harbor atzR, and produced AtzR in an NtrC-independent fashion from the 3 methyl benzoate-induced P_m promoter of the toluene/ xylene catabolic pathway (Winther-Larsen et al., 2000) in plasmid pMPO268.

Expression of atzD–lacZ under nitrogen excess was low (465 ± 29) Miller units) in the $\Delta g ln K$ mutant carrying pVLT31, but was increased over 40-fold $(19800 \pm 4420$ Miller units) in the presence of wild-type GlnK, to levels comparable to those attained with AtzR produced from its own promoter (see Table 3). Under these conditions, the $GlnK^{\overline{Y}51F}$ mutant also elicited a 16-fold increase in atz-D–lacZ expression (7330 ± 1800) Miller units), confirming that GlnK uridylylation is not conditio sine qua non for atzDEF activation. Taken together, our results support a model in which GlnK modulates the activities of both NtrC (likely via NtrB) and AtzR. However, while the uridylylation/deuridylylation switch appears to be necessary for correct control of the Ntr system, AtzR stimulation may still occur in the absence of GlnK modification.

The atzR-atzDEF regulatory cascade

The results presented allow a complete picture of the regulatory cascade that controls the expression of the atzDEF operon to be drawn (Fig. 1). AtzR synthesis is induced under nitrogen limitation by the general nitrogen control system: the PatzR promoter is transcribed by $E-\sigma^N$, activated by NtrC and repressed by its own gene product (García-González et al., 2005; Porrúa et al., 2007, 2009). We have shown that GlnK transmits the nitrogen limitation signal to NtrC, most likely by stimulating NtrB-dependent NtrC dephosphorylation. GlnK, the sole PII protein in P. putida, assumes this role, played by the major PII protein GlnB in enterobacteria (Ninfa & Atkinson, 2000; Arcondeguy et al., 2001; Leigh & Dodsworth, 2007; Forchhammer, 2008). Interestingly, NtrC and GlnK are reciprocally regulated, as NtrC also stimulates $g ln K$ transcription (Hervás

Fig. 1. Regulatory circuit of the atzDEF operon. Cartoon depicting the regulatory cascade involved in atzDEF regulation. The dashed box indicates the general nitrogen control system. Factors with assumed roles for which we have no direct experimental evidence (NtrB and GlnD) have been omitted for simplicity.

et al., 2008, 2009). The atzDEF operon is activated by AtzR in response to nitrogen limitation and cyanuric acid in an additive fashion (García-González et al., 2005), and AtzR alone is accountable for the cyanuric acid response (Porrúa et al., 2007; O. Porrúa & F. Govantes, unpublished data). In addition, AtzR perceives a nitrogen limitation signal transduced by GlnK. Although we cannot rule out an indirect effect of GlnK on AtzR, the fact that P. putida GlnK (but not E. coli GlnB or GlnK) stimulates atzDEF expression in an E. coli strain harboring all components of the general nitrogen control cascade suggests that both proteins specifically interact in vivo. This interaction appears to require sufficiently high intracellular levels of both AtzR and GlnK, and is not strictly dependent on GlnK uridylylation. However, because synthesis of both GlnK and AtzR is induced under nitrogen limitation (García-González et al., 2005; Hervás et al., 2008, 2009), it is likely that physiological stimulation will only occur under these conditions, in which both proteins are present at higher concentrations and GlnK is uridylylated. The possibility that GlnK may contact some of its targets (such as AtzR) both in its modified and in its unmodified form underscores the importance of nitrogenregulated GlnK synthesis as a safety mechanism to prevent unwanted interactions during nitrogen-sufficient growth.

The regulatory cascade controlling the atzDEF operon regulatory circuit is reminiscent of that in the K. pneumoniae nif genes (Martínez-Argudo et al., 2004; Leigh & Dodsworth, 2007). In both systems, nitrogen control operates at two levels. Firstly, transcription of the regulatory genes (atzR and nifLA) is enhanced by NtrC. Secondly, activity of the regulators is modulated by two dissimilar signals, one sensed directly (redox status by NifL, cyanuric acid by AtzR) and one (nitrogen availability) transduced by GlnK. Furthermore, modulation of NifL (He et al., 1998) and AtzR appears to be independent of the post-translational modification of GlnK. A recent paper has shown the involvement of GlnK in the regulation of ammonium assimilation and nitrogen fixation in Pseudomonas stutzeri (He et al., 2008). Dual signaling to a LysR-type regulator via a soluble inducer and a signal transduction protein has not been described previously.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Francisco Velázquez and Víctor de Lorenzo for the gift of the P. putida gene bank, and Maribel Ramírez, Guadalupe Martín and Nuria Pérez for technical help. This work was funded by grants BIO2004-01354, BIO2007-63754 and CSD2007-00005 (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain), fellowships of the FPU program (Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia), awarded to ´ V.G.-G. and A.B.H., and student research fellowships from the Ministerio de Educación, Política Social y Deporte and CSIC, awarded to A.J.-F.

References

- Aiyar A, Xiang Y & Leis J (1996) Site-directed mutagenesis using overlap extension PCR. Methods Mol Biol 57: 177–191.
- Arcondeguy T, Jack R & Merrick M (2001) P(II) signal transduction proteins, pivotal players in microbial nitrogen control. Microbiol Mol Biol R 65: 80–105.
- Atkinson MR & Ninfa AJ (1998) Role of the GlnK signal transduction protein in the regulation of nitrogen assimilation in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 29: 431–447.
- Bernardo LM, Johansson LU, Skärfstad E & Shingler V (2009) σ^{54} -promoter discrimination and regulation by ppGpp and DksA. J Biol Chem 284: 828–838.
- Buell CR, Joardar V, Lindeberg M et al. (2003) The complete genome sequence of the Arabidopsis and tomato pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000. P Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 10181–10186.
- de Lorenzo V, Herrero M, Jakubzik U & Timmis KN (1990) Mini-Tn5 transposon derivatives for insertion mutagenesis, promoter probing, and chromosomal insertion of cloned DNA in gram-negative eubacteria. J Bacteriol 172: 6568–6572.

de Lorenzo V, Eltis L, Kessler B & Timmis KN (1993) Analysis of Pseudomonas gene products using lacI^q/Ptrp-lac plasmids and transposons that confer conditional phenotypes. Gene 123: 17–24.

Espinosa-Urgel M, Salido A & Ramos JL (2000) Genetic analysis of functions involved in adhesion of Pseudomonas putida to seeds. J Bacteriol 182: 2363–2369.

Forchhammer K (2008) P_{II} signal transducers: novel functional and structural insights. Trends Microbiol 16: 65–72.

Franklin FC, Bagdasarian M, Bagdasarian MM & Timmis KN (1981) Molecular and functional analysis of the TOL plasmid pWWO from Pseudomonas putida and cloning of genes for the entire regulated aromatic ring meta cleavage pathway. P Natl Acad Sci USA 78: 7458–7462.

García-González V, Govantes F, Porrúa O & Santero E (2005) Regulation of the Pseudomonas sp. strain ADP cyanuric acid degradation operon. J Bacteriol 187: 155–167.

He L, Soupene E, Ninfa A & Kustu S (1998) Physiological role for the GlnK protein of enteric bacteria: relief of NifL inhibition under nitrogen-limiting conditions. J Bacteriol 180: 6661–6667.

He S, Chen M, Xie Z, Yan Y, Li H, Fan Y, Ping S, Lin M & Elmerich C (2008) Involvement of GlnK, a PII protein, in control of nitrogen fixation and ammonia assimilation in Pseudomonas stutzeri A1501. Arch Microbiol 190: 1–10.

Hervás AB, Canosa I & Santero E (2008) Transcriptome analysis of Pseudomonas putida in response to nitrogen availability. J Bacteriol 190: 416–420.

Hervás AB, Canosa I, Little R, Dixon R & Santero E (2009) NtrCdependent regulatory network for nitrogen assimilation in Pseudomonas putida. J Bacteriol DOI: [10.1128/JB.00744-09.](10.1128/JB.00744-09)

Hoang TT, Karkhoff-Schweizer RR, Kutchma AJ & Schweizer HP (1998) A broad-host-range Flp-FRT recombination system for site-specific excision of chromosomally-located DNA sequences: application for isolation of unmarked Pseudomonas aeruginosa mutants. Gene 212: 77–86.

Inoue H, Nojima H & Okayama H (1990) High efficiency transformation of Escherichia coli with plasmids. Gene 96: 23–28.

Koch B, Jensen LE & Nybroe O (2001) A panel of Tn7-based vectors for insertion of the gfp marker gene or for delivery of cloned DNA into Gram-negative bacteria at a neutral chromosomal site. J Microbiol Meth 45: 187–195.

Leigh JA & Dodsworth JA (2007) Nitrogen regulation in Bacteria and Archaea. Annu Rev Microbiol 61: 349–377.

Llamas MA, Ramos JL & Rodríguez-Herva JJ (2000) Mutations in each of the tol genes of Pseudomonas putida reveal that they are critical for maintenance of outer membrane stability. J Bacteriol 182: 4764–4772.

MacNeil T, MacNeil D & Tyler B (1982) Fine-structure deletion map and complementation analysis of the glnA-glnL-glnG region in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 150: 1302–1313.

Mandelbaum RT, Wackett LP & Allan DL (1993) Mineralization of the s-triazine ring of atrazine by stable bacterial mixed cultures. Appl Environ Microb 59: 1695–1701.

Mandelbaum RT, Wackett LP & Allan DL (1995) Isolation and characterization of a Pseudomonas sp. that mineralizes the s-triazine herbicide atrazine. Appl Environ Microb 61: 1451–1457.

Martinez B, Tomkins J, Wackett LP, Wing R & Sadowsky MJ (2001) Complete nucleotide sequence and organization of the atrazine catabolic plasmid pADP-1 from Pseudomonas sp. strain ADP. J Bacteriol 183: 5684–5697.

Martínez-Argudo I, Little R, Shearer N, Johnson P & Dixon R (2004) The NifL–NifA system: a multidomain transcriptional regulatory complex that integrates environmental signals. J Bacteriol 186: 601–610.

Merrick MJ & Edwards RA (1995) Nitrogen control in bacteria. Microbiol Rev 59: 604–622.

Miller JH (1992) A Short Course in Bacterial Genetics: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Nelson KE, Weinel C, Paulsen IT et al. (2002) Complete genome sequence and comparative analysis of the metabolically versatile Pseudomonas putida KT2440. Environ Microbiol 4: 799–808.

Ninfa AJ & Atkinson MR (2000) PII signal transduction proteins. Trends Microbiol 8: 172–179.

Paulsen IT, Press CM, Ravel J et al. (2005) Complete genome sequence of the plant commensal Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf-5. Nat Biotechnol 23: 873–878.

- Porrúa O, García-Jaramillo M, Santero E & Govantes F (2007) The LysR-type regulator AtzR binding site: DNA sequences involved in activation, repression and cyanuric aciddependent repositioning. Mol Microbiol 66: 410–427.
- Porrúa O, García-González V, Santero E, Shingler V & Govantes F (2009) Activation and repression of a s^N -dependent promoter naturally lacking upstream activation sequences. Mol Microbiol 73: 419–433.

Reitzer L (2003) Nitrogen assimilation and global regulation in Escherichia coli. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 155–176.

Sambrook J, Russell DW & Russell D (2000) Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Staskawicz B, Dahlbeck D, Keen N & Napoli C (1987) Molecular characterization of cloned avirulence genes from race 0 and race 1 of Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea. J Bacteriol 169: 5789–5794.

Stover CK, Pham XQ, Erwin AL et al. (2000) Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406: 959–964.

Wackett LP, Sadowsky MJ, Martinez B & Shapir N (2002) Biodegradation of atrazine and related s-triazine compounds: from enzymes to field studies. Appl Microbiol Biot 58: 39–45.

Winther-Larsen HC, Josefsen KD, Brautaset T & Valla S (2000) Parameters affecting gene expression from the Pm promoter in gram-negative bacteria. Metab Eng 2: 79–91.