

# Conservation Agriculture Under Mediterranean Conditions in Spain

F. Moreno, J.L. Arrúe, C. Cantero-Martínez, M.V. López, J.M. Murillo,  
A. Sombrero, R. López-Garrido, E. Madejón, D. Moret,  
and J. Álvaro-Fuentes

**Abstract** Intensive agriculture with deep tillage and soil inversion causes rapid soil deterioration with loss of soil organic matter content. This practice leads to a decrease of soil biological activity, a damage of the physical properties and a reduction of crop yields. Conservation agriculture aims to achieve sustainable and profitable agriculture through the application of three basic principles: minimal soil disturbance by conservation tillage, permanent soil cover and crop rotations. Any practice of conservation agriculture must maintain on the soil enough surface residues throughout the year. Conservation tillage is thus any tillage and planting system that maintains at least 30% of the soil surface covered by residues after planting to reduce soil erosion by water. Here we review the main advances about the adoption of conservation agriculture under Mediterranean conditions in Spain. There are major cost savings, e.g. fuel and fertilizers costs, compared with conventional agriculture. Conservation tillage has been proven to be highly efficient for water storage, to increase moderately the organic matter in the soil top layer, and to improve soil physical properties and aggregation. However, no tillage may induce greater soil compaction in some cases. Here, an occasional tillage is advised. Furthermore, conservation tillage can reduce soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, mobility and persistence of herbicides. In general, conservation tillage enhances biodiversity compared to conventional tillage. Crop yields under conservation tillage are similar or even greater than yields of traditional tillage. All these benefits

---

F. Moreno (✉), J.M. Murillo, R. López-Garrido, and E. Madejón  
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Instituto de Recursos Naturales y  
Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), Avda. de Reina Mercedes 10, 41012 Sevilla Spain  
e-mail: fmoreno@irnase.csic.es

J.L. Arrúe, M.V. López, D. Moret and E. Madejón  
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Estación Experimental de Aula Dei  
(EEAD), Avda. de Montañana 1005, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain

C. Cantero-Martínez  
Universidad de Lleida (UdL), Avda. Rovira Roure 191, 25198 Lleida, Spain

A. Sombrero  
Instituto Tecnológico Agrario de la Junta de Castilla y León (ITACyL), Ctra. de Burgos,  
Km. 118, 47071, Valladolid, Spain

26 show that conservation agriculture in Spain is a more sustainable alternative than  
27 conventional agriculture. Nonetheless, we have found from the literature analysis  
28 some constraints for its adoption, mainly due to inadequate extension and technology  
29 transfer systems and lack of access to specific inputs.

30 **Keywords** Conservation tillage • Soil quality • Water storage • Environmental  
31 impact • Socio-economic impact • Soil carbon sequestration • CO<sub>2</sub>

## 32 1 Introduction

33 Intensive agriculture causes rapid soil deterioration, with loss of soil organic matter  
34 content, leading to a decrease of soil biological activity, a damage of the physical prop-  
35 erties and a reduction of crop productivity. Losses of organic matter derive from the soil  
36 inversion by tillage that characterizes other kind of agriculture, such as organic farming  
37 and integrated agriculture. Conservation agriculture aims to achieve sustainable and  
38 profitable agriculture through the application of three basic principles: minimal soil  
39 disturbance (conservation tillage), permanent soil cover and crop rotations. In general,  
40 conservation agriculture includes any practice which reduces changes or eliminates soil  
41 tillage and avoids residues burning to maintain enough surface residues throughout the  
42 year. Soil is protected from rainfall erosion and water runoff; soil aggregates are stabilised,  
43 organic matter and the fertility level naturally increase, and less surface soil compaction  
44 occurs. Furthermore, the contamination of surface water and the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> to the  
45 atmosphere are reduced, and biodiversity increases (ECAF 1999). The efficiency of conser-  
46 vation agriculture to reduce soil erosion and to improve the organic content and water  
47 storage is universally recognized. This is particularly important in arid and semi-arid  
48 zones, in which soil organic matter content is very low and the climatic conditions leads  
49 to continuous losses. In these conditions, water is the limiting factor for crop develop-  
50 ment under rainfed agriculture and the management of crop residues is of prime impor-  
51 tance to obtain sustainable crop productions (Du Preez et al. 2001).

52 Basically, this is the general picture in Spain, where about 80% of its surface is  
53 devoted to extensive agriculture, mostly under dryland conditions. In general, soils  
54 are basic and calcareous in Central and Eastern Spain and acid in North and  
55 North/Y estern Spain, most of them with a low soil organic matter content, due,  
56 among other factors, to more than 2,000 years of continuous cultivation and to a  
57 low development of natural vegetation under adverse climatic conditions in dry and  
58 semi-arid areas, very frequent in Spain.

59 Besides limited water availability for agriculture and other uses, the worst envi-  
60 ronmental issue facing the Spanish agriculture is soil erosion. The average soil loss  
61 by water impact in Spain has been estimated in about 34 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, with low rates  
62 in North-Western areas and high rates in Eastern and Southern Spain, especially in  
63 Andalusia, where annual soil losses can reach 60–80 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the basis of the  
64 knowledge available, conservation agriculture appears to be the most important

sustainable alternative system to conventional agriculture based on intensive tillage to cope with negative agro-environmental problems like the loss of fertile soil in areas prone to erosion processes (Photos 1 and 2).

65  
66  
67  
68



**Photo 1** Conventional tillage using mouldboard ploughing in semi-arid conditions

69  
70



**Photo 2** Direct drilling in semi-arid conditions

71

72 Any practice of conservation agriculture must maintain on the soil enough  
73 surface residues throughout the year, an importance aspect when considering till-  
74 age. As pointed out by Gajri et al. (2002), initially, the concept of minimum tillage  
75 was aimed at reducing the number of tillage trips across the field. Later, the  
76 emphasis was put on leaving the soil surface covered with residues, rather than  
77 merely reducing the number of operations, and the term *conservation tillage* was  
78 introduced (Hill 1996). Sometimes, no distinction between conservation tillage,  
79 minimum tillage or reduced tillage is made (Bradford and Peterson 2000). Types of  
80 conservation tillage include no-tillage, ridge tillage, mulch tillage and zone tillage  
81 (see Hill 1996 for definitions). Designs for tillage experimentation have been  
82 reviewed by López and Arrúe (1995).

83 The most commonly definition used for conservation tillage is any tillage and  
84 planting system that maintains at least 30% of the soil surface covered by residues  
85 after planting to reduce soil erosion by water. Where soil erosion by wind is a pri-  
86 mary concern, the system must maintain a 1.1 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> flat small grain residue  
87 equivalent on the surface during the critical wind erosion period. Basically, is a  
88 year-round conservation system that usually involves a reduction in the number of  
89 passes over the field and/or in the intensity of tillage, avoiding ploughing (soil  
90 inversion) (Gajri et al. 2002), that would incorporate residues into the soil mass.

91 Permanent soil cover (cover crops) imply sowing of appropriate species, or  
92 growing spontaneous vegetation, in between rows of trees, or in the period of time  
93 in between successive annual crops, as a measure to prevent soil erosion and to  
94 control weeds. Cover crops are generally managed with herbicides with a minimal  
95 environmental impact (ECAAF 1999). Steep gradients of the terrain with a lack of  
96 soil cover (frequent in olive groves) under Mediterranean climate, which typically  
97 has long periods of drought followed by torrential storms, conduces to a serious  
98 loss of soil and fertility irrespective of the tillage system applied. Alternative cover  
99 olive-grove systems have recently been introduced for these situations (Castro et al.  
100 2008). The importance of crop rotations can be seen in Karlen et al. (1994),  
101 however not always is possible to use a wide range of crops in such as dryland  
102 conditions and a choose of winter cereals could be used (Alvaro et al. 2009).

## 103 **2 Conservation Agriculture in Spain: Main Research Topics**

104 According to Fernández-Quintanilla (1997), it was in the 1970s when the concepts of  
105 tillage reduction and the use of conservation agriculture practices for annual and  
106 perennial crops were first introduced in Spain mainly through knowledge gathered in  
107 the USA. The release on the market of new herbicides, as paraquat and glyphosate,  
108 for a full control of volunteers and weeds before sowing was definitely a key factor.

109 Since mid 1970s, in perennial crops, and the late 1970s in annual crops, a  
110 large number of conservation agriculture field studies have been carried out  
111 across Spain, implemented as a farmer's initiative in some cases. Most of  
112 these studies were based on the comparison of conventional primary tillage

(i.e., mouldboard ploughing with soil inversion) with two forms of conservation agriculture, (i) minimum or reduced tillage, in which the conventional primary tillage is replaced by a vertical or surface tillage with different ploughs (e.g., chisel or cultivator) and (ii) no-tillage or direct drilling. The main research topics considered by different Spanish research groups could be classified according four main knowledge areas: (1) socio-economic aspects: energy use and consumption, (2) soil quality and water saving, (3) environmental issues, and (4) crops and crops protection.

## 2.1 Socio-economic Aspects: Energy Use and Consumption

At the beginning, the main driving forces for conservation agriculture development in Spain were based on labour simplification and savings of fuel and costs for machinery required for tillage and other kind of inputs. Later, the advantageous agronomic and environmental aspects of conservation agriculture practices (soil water conservation, soil protection, and increase of soil organic carbon and soil biological activity) were recognised by farmers. Important cost savings have been reported for minimum tillage and zero tillage in Spain, compared with conventional tillage. Reduction in fuel consumption can range between 30% (minimum tillage) and 60% (no-tillage); time saving for tillage operations, derived from reduction of the number of labours, can reach up to 45% (no-tillage) (Herncnz et al. 1995; Sombrero et al. 2001b; Sánchez-Girón et al. 2004) (Table 1).

However, the acceptance of conservation agriculture technologies in Spain is still low, especially in those areas where these technologies were not initially well introduced. As pointed out by Cantero-Martínez and Gabiña (2004) and Angás et al. (2004), this low degree of adoption is a consequence of inadequate extension and technology transfer systems and lack of access to specific inputs, machinery and

**Table 1** Net margin (Euros/ha) of a economical study conducted in the Ebro Valley in 2006, comparing different tillage system in three different areas (arid, semiarid and swdhumid) for tj ree different farm sizeu

Zone/farm ha	Intensive	Vertical	Minimum	No tillage	*No tillage
Arid/300	257.11	268.54	316.94	305.62	302.15
Arid/150	256.08	266.47	310.64	297.06	295.56
Arid/75	242.98	246.75	289.59	268.96	280.66
Semiarid/300	203.51	241.09	246.69	265.16	261.69
Semiarid/150	202.40	239.00	240.30	256.60	255.10
Semiarid/75	189.38	219.30	219.34	228.50	240.20
Semihumid/300	365.04	376.47	403.27	401.97	398.50
Semihumid/150	364.01	374.40	396.97	393.41	391.91
Semihumid/75	350.91	354.68	375.92	365.31	377.01

\*No tillage is used but planting machine is rented hqt sowing operations (Cantero-Martínez et al. 422; )



t2.1	<b>Table 2</b> Main driving forces and constraints for conservation agriculture in Spain
t2.2	<i>Driving forces</i>
t2.3	Better economy (labour simplification; less time requirements for tillage operations; less fuel
t2.4	consumption; less machinery required for tillage; less power machinery)
t2.5	Flexible sowing time. Double crop possibilities in some areas
t2.6	Better water economy and soil protection. Better soil quality
t2.7	Greater nutrient-use efficiency. Less use of fertilizers. Faster crop establishment and
t2.8	development. Same yield or slight yield increases (10–15%)
t2.9	<i>Potential constraints</i>
t2.10	Economic reasons. Farmer's reluctance and fear to acquire new and expensive specific
t2.11	machinery or to higher herbicide costs
t2.12	Occasional soil deterioration (compaction, poor aeration, waterlogging)
t2.13	Crop residue management difficulties. Occasional allelopathic problems
t2.14	Occasional higher incidence of weeds, pests and diseases (the reverse situation can be
t2.15	a driving force)
t2.16	Irregular incidence of rodents and slugs
t2.17	Poor crop development under particular conditions (lower soil temperatures under irrigated
t2.18	spring crops)
t2.19	Insufficient information and technical support
t2.20	Social relationships among farmers (criticisms discouraging hesitant farmers)

138 equipment. Table 2 shows the main driving forces and constraints for conservation  
 139 agriculture in Spain, information derived from different farmer surveys carried out  
 140 along this country.

141 In summary, important cost savings (fuel, fertilizers) have been reported for  
 142 conservation agriculture in Spain compared with conventional tillage. However, its  
 143 adoption is still low mainly due to inadequate extension and technology transfer  
 144 systems and lack of access to specific inputs, machinery and equipment. Crop resi-  
 145 due management difficulties and occasional higher incidence of weeds, pests and  
 146 diseases, besides social relationships among farmers (criticisms) may also difficult  
 147 the establishment of conservation agriculture in local scenarios.

## 148 2.2 Soil Quality and Water Storage

149 The efficiency of the conservation tillage to improve the water storage is universally  
 150 recognized. This is very important in arid and semi-arid zones, where management  
 151 of crop residues is of prime importance to obtain sustainable crop productions  
 152 (Du Preez et al. 2001; Lampurlanés and Cantero-Martínez 2006; Moreno et al.  
 153 1997; Pelegrín et al. 1990). Organic matter is highly related to the soil capacity for  
 154 water storage; most soils under Mediterranean semi-arid conditions are rather low  
 155 in organic matter. Increases in soil organic carbon under conservation tillage  
 156 (reduced tillage, minimum tillage, no-tillage) have been reported by different  
 157 authors in Spain (Álvaro-Fuentes et al. 2008a; Bescansa et al. 2006; Bravo et al.  
 158 2003; De Santiago et al. 2008; Hernánz et al. 2002; López-Bellido et al. 1997;  
 159 Nájera et al. 2003; Ordóñez Fernández et al. 2003, 2007; Murillo et al. 1998).

However, semi-arid Mediterranean conditions may suppose a limiting factor for the accumulation of organic carbon in the top soil layers. Thus, the simple determination of the total content of organic carbon can not be the best indicator of the improvement caused by conservation tillage. Under semi-arid conditions could be more interesting the knowledge of the stratification ratio of soil organic carbon, defined as the content at the surface layer (e.g., 0–5 cm) divided by the content at deeper layers (Franzluebbers 2002; Murillo et al. 2004; Moreno et al. 2006; López-Fando et al. 2007). As reported by Franzluebbers (2002), stratification ratio greater than 2 are not frequent in degraded soils. As pointed out by Franzluebbers (2004), soils with low inherent levels of organic matter could be the most functionally improved with conservation tillage, despite modest or no change in total standing stock of soil organic carbon within the rooting zone. Stratification of soil organic matter pools with depth under conservation tillage systems has consequences on soil functions beyond that of potentially sequestering more carbon in soil. The “more is better” argument referred to soil organic carbon is weaker when applied to agricultural productivity, where the benefits of greater soil organic matter contents on intensively managed arable soils are sometimes obscure (Sojka and Upchurch 1999). In the absence of a clear critical point and demonstrable ecological consequence, the setting of soil quality targets within a continuum requires human value judgments (Sparling et al. 2003).

Although under semi-arid climate there could not be a great enrichment of soil organic carbon at surface in conservation tillage, slight increases could have created particular conditions for the physico-chemical and biological soil dynamicu. It has been reported that despite the stratification ratio of the total soil organic carbon may only slightly increase under conservation tillage, other variables related to the biological dynamicu of the soil, such as microbial biomass carbon and some enzyme activities (and their stratification ratios) may increase to a greater extent (Madejón et al. 2007; Murillo et al. 2006). Nutrients, and general soil fertility, are also positively affected by the soil organic matter increase derived from the conservation tillage establishment (Bravo et al. 2006, 2007; de Santiago et al. 2008; Ordóñez Fernández et al. 2003; Nørsgl/Høpfq"cpf"Crø gpf tq"3; ; 7=Moreno et al. 2008, Martín-Rueda et al. 2007; Saavedra"et al. 2007).

Short- and long-term experiments under conservation and conventional tillage have shown that organic matter also influences soil physical properties and aggregation (Álvaro-Fuentes et al. 2007c, 2008b; Lampurlanés and Cantero-Martínez 2003; López et al. 1996; Moreno et al. 1997, 2000, 2001; Moret and Arrúe 2007a, b). In general, aggregate stability was greater under conservation tillage, especially under no-tillage than under conventional tillage and minimum till-age or reduced tillage. At long-term, higher soil bulk density and compaction under no-tillage than under conventional tillage (and reduced tillage) have been reported (Álvaro-Fuentes et al. 2008a; Moret and Arrúe 2007a, b). The hydraulic conductivity was significantly lower"under no-tillage than under the tilled treatments due to a lower number of water trans/ mitting pores per unit area. The effects of subsoil compaction on soil properties and the use of models to simulate the subsoil compaction process have been investigated by Coelho et al. (2000); Moreno et al. (2003); Perea et al. (2003). Soil density tended to be greater under reduced tillage in dry years,

although there were no differences in years with high rainfall (Moreno et al. 2001). However, in many cases those situations do not create a depression on the crop productivity.

In relation to water storage, conservation tillage is frequently highly efficient to reduce soil water losses, especially in years with rainfall lower than the average (Moreno et al. 2000). The use of cover crops in olive orchards at Andalusia have been carried out by Pelegrín et al. (2001) who specifically investigated cover crop systems for soil and water conservation and designed a seed driller for cover crop sowing under no-tillage management conditions.

Results obtained by López et al. (1996) suggested that reduced tillage could replace the conventional tillage without adverse effects on soil water content and storage. However, no-tillage was not a viable alternative for extremely arid zones of NE Spain. On the contrary, Lampurlanés et al. (2001, 2002, 2003), Lampurlanés and Cantero-Martínez (2004) and Cantero-Martínez et al. (2004) showed that no-tillage resulted potentially better for semi-arid regions of NE Spain because it maintains greater water content in the soil and promotes root growth in the surface soil layers and, in some cases, deep in the soil profile also, especially in years of low rainfall. In shallow soils because of the low soil water-holding capacity, no-tillage proved to be better under low amount and frequent events of rainfall in spring that matching with the filling grain period of the crop. Moret et al. (2006, 2007a) quantified the efficiency of long fallow and tillage for soil water storage in NE Spain showing that conservation tillage systems could replace conventional tillage for soil management during fallow without adverse effects on soil water conservation. Tillage effects on water storage during fallow in NE Spain have also been exhausted discussed by Lampurlanés et al. (2002).

Water storage is related, among other factors, to residues management and evolution. López et al. (2003, 2004, 2005b) have studied the evolution of barley residues during four long fallow periods under conservation tillage, reduced tillage and no-tillage, and under both continuous cropping and cereal-fallow rotation. The lack of residue-disturbing operations in no-tillage makes this practice the best strategy for fallow management. Under no-tillage, the soil surface still conserved between 10% and 15% of residue cover after long-fallowing and percentages of standing residues ranging from 20% to 40% of the total mass after the first 11–12 months.

In summary, increases in soil organic carbon under conservation tillage (reduced tillage, minimum tillage, no-tillage) have been reported by different authors in Spain, although under semi-arid Mediterranean conditions there could not be a great enrichment of soil organic carbon at surface. However, slight increases of organic matter have created particular conditions for the physico-chemical and biological soil dynamics increasing soil quality. In general, better soil physical properties and aggregation under conservation agriculture have been reported. However, greater compaction under no-tillage can result at long-term in particular scenarios, which could make advisable an occasional tillage labour.

Conservation tillage has been proved to be highly efficient to water storage, especially in years with rainfall lower than the average, and can replace conventional

205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224



tillage for soil management during fallow, due to the lack of residue-disturbing operations.

### 2.3 Environmental Factors. Soil Erosion and Biodiversity

Several studies have been focused on the development of simulation models and expert systems to predict the effects of tillage systems on water erosion under different climatic conditions and to design site-specific agricultural machinery (Simota et al. 2005; de la Rosa et al. 2005; Dexter et al. 2005; Horn et al. 2005; Díaz-Pereira et al. 2002; Gómez et al. 2002). These models provide a tool for recommendations for site-specific land use and management strategies. In order to obtain new knowledge for a better management of the olive crop, Gómez et al. (1999, 2004, 2005) have studied the effects of different tillage systems on soil physical properties, infiltration, water erosion and yield of olive orchards.

In areas where strong and dry winds are frequent (such as *Aragon*, NE Spain), fallow lands are susceptible to wind erosion due to insufficient crop residues on the surface (López et al. 2001). Results obtained by López (1998), López and Arrúe (1997, 2005) and López et al. (1998, 2000, 2003, 2005) indicated that reduced tillage, and especially no-tillage, could be considered as a viable alternative to conventional tillage for wind erosion control during the fallow period in these areas. Consequently, no significant dust emission and saltation transport was observed in conservation tillage plots (Sterk et al. 1999; Gomes et al. 2003). Reduced tillage provides higher soil protection than conventional tillage through a lower wind-erodible fraction (aggregates <0.84 mm in diameter) of soil surface (on average, 10% less) and a significantly higher percentage of soil cover with crop residues and clods (30% higher).

Adoption of conservation tillage can reduce soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to the atmosphere thus minimising soil organic carbon losses and mitigating the greenhouse effect (Arrúe 1997). Álvaro-Fuentes et al. (2004, 2007a, b, 2008a) have evaluated the influence of conventional tillage and conservation tillage (reduced tillage and no-tillage) on short- and long-term CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes at NE Spain. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions just after tillage were 40% higher under conventional tillage than under no-tillage as the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulated on soil pores was released to the atmosphere after the tillage event (Reicosky et al. 1997). At the same time, tillage has an effect during the whole growing season increasing microbial decomposition resulting in a 20% higher soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions under no-tillage than under no-tillage during the whole growing season. Reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes under reduced tillage respect conventional tillage have also been reported by Sánchez et al. (2002, 2003) in the Spanish plateau. The influence of N fertilization on N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions under rainfed Mediterranean conditions have recently been studied by Menéndez et al. (2008) and Morell et al. (2007).

The transport and persistence of herbicides in soils under conservation tillage (reduced tillage) has also been studied in Spain (Cox et al. 1996, 1999; Cuevas et al. 2001). Results from these studies showed that the mobility and persistence of herbicides (e.g., trifluralin and metmitron) were lower under conservation tillage than under conventional tillage.

291 In general, conservation tillage enhances biodiversity. The effect of tillage on  
292 nematode populations was early studied by López-Fando and Bello (1995). The effect  
293 of conservation tillage systems on earthworm activity as a biological indicator has  
294 been studied in NE Spain (Cantero-Martínez et al. 2004). The most important finding  
295 never described in the Iberian Peninsula was the higher earthworm population and  
296 activity measured under no-tillage compared to conventional tillage. Soil moisture  
297 conditions, as influenced by the climatic conditions of the year, was a determinant  
298 factor for the number of the earthworms during and between years. Tillage system  
299 influences greatly the earthworm population in the long term experiments and much  
300 higher populations were found during several years under no-tillage. Despite the  
301 number of earthworm adults and eggs were influenced by the water regime of the  
302 year, in drier years the level of adults was always higher under conservation tillage  
303 systems in the first 30 cm of the soil profile.

304 In summary, conservation tillage could be considered as a viable alternative to  
305 conventional tillage for wind and water erosion control during the fallow period.  
306 Furthermore, adoption of conservation tillage can reduce soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to the  
307 atmosphere thus minimising soil organic carbon losses (that can enhance soil  
308 erosion) and mitigating the greenhouse effect. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions just after tillage  
309 wgtg 40% higher under conventional tillage than under no-tillage. Conventional tillage  
310 also had an effect during the whole growing season increasing microbial decompo-  
311 sition, resulting in a 20% higher soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions than in conservation tillage.  
312 Mobility and persistence of herbicides were lower under conservation tillage than  
313 under conventional tillage. In general, conservation tillage enhances biodiversity,  
314 and for example, a higher earthworm population and activity were measured under  
315 no-tillage compared to conventional tillage0

## 316 **2.4 Crops and Crops Protection**

317 Conservation tillage is also especially important to achieve sustainable yields in  
318 semi-arid climate regions: J owever, the implementation of no tillage systems has  
319 occasionally caused yield losses, especially in humid and subhumid regions due to  
320 cooler and wetter soil conditions, inadequate physical properties, thermal and aera-  
321 tion regimes, root growth increased grassy weeds and residue problems during  
322 seeding (Kirkegaard et al. 1995; Gajri et al. 2002).

323 Nevertheless, with correct management, the global experience with conservation  
324 tillage does not result in smaller harvests than conventional tillage (Warkentin  
325 2001; Gajri et al. 2002). In water-limiting environments, no till and other moisture  
326 conservation practices can increase crop yields (Gajri et al. 2002). This is corrobo-  
327 rated by global results related to crop response in Spain, one of the major research  
328 subjects of the Spanish groups.

329 Conservation Agriculture has been developed in Spain since late seventies and  
330 has been focused mainly in field crops under dryland conditions as winter cereals.  
331 Less attention has been paid to field crops under irrigation. Only some studies have

been conducted in orchards as olive, vineyards or almond under dryland condition or deficit irrigation. No studies have been done in horticultural crops.

In field crops, many studies at the dry conditions of SW Spain have shown that crop yields under conservation tillage (reduced tillage, no-tillage) were similar to or even greater than those in conventional tillage. A significant number of studies have dealt with the effects of these tillage systems on crop yield under different crop rotations and N fertiliser rates in rainfed conditions, with particular attention to no-tillage (Bravo et al. 2003; González et al. 2003; López-Bellido and López-Bellido 2001; López-Bellido et al. 1996, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003a, b, 2004a, b; Moreno et al. 1997; Murillo et al. 1998). Murillo et al. (1998, 2000) reported data about the nutritional status of the crop, and showed the differences in crop development, at the earlier stages, frequently slightly better under conventional tillage although the difference disappeared at more advanced stage of growth.

In semi-arid central Spain, Hernanz et al. (2002) and Sombrero et al. (1998, 2001a, 2004) compared different conservation tillage systems (minimum tillage, reduced tillage, no-tillage) to conventional tillage and showed that in general there not were differences in the crop yields. As a conclusion, the use of conservation tillage, especially no-tillage, was recommended as a viable management practice for cereal production in those areas. Total number of weeds was significantly lower in no-tillage than in other conservation tillage systems, although greater than in conventional tillage (Sombrero et al. 2001a, 2004). In NE Spain barren brome (*Bromus sterilis* L.) is the most difficult weed to control in cereal cropping systems under conservation tillage although, in general, tillage reduction is not detrimental for weed control in any crop (Catalán et al. 2003). Crop yield was also higher under conservation tillage in North Spain, except for subhumid areas. In Northern areas of Spain delay of planting under no-tillage has proven to be an effective method for brome control and effective reduction of some pest as Hessian fly (*Mayetiola destructor*) and diseases as *Helmintosporium* and *Oidium*.

Studies by Angás and Cantero-Martínez (2000), Gabrielle et al. (2002) and Cantero-Martínez et al. (2003, 2007) in NE Spain were aimed to establish the optimal nitrogen (N) fertilisation for different tillage systems. Two models (CERES and CROPSyst) were tested as support decision tools for agronomic recommendations. Conservation tillage improved the yield and water-use efficiency (WUE) of barley and proved to be a valuable system, especially under dry conditions, providing greater water storage in the recharge period October–January. Only in wet years, higher yields were obtained in no-tillage when some N fertilizer was applied (Angás et al. 2006). However, in dry years with scarce rainfall during autumn, N should not be applied in any tillage system. In the same area, Lampurlanés et al. (1997, 2001, 2002), Lampurlanés and Cantero (2003) and Cantero-Martínez et al. (2004) evaluated the use of conservation tillage in the long term. No-tillage resulted potentially better for semi-arid regions. In these soils, yield depends on favourable rainfall distribution throughout the growing season, including the grain filling period. Nonetheless, in particular areas (e.g. semi-arid Aragón, NE Spain) no-tillage reduced barley growth, yield and water-use efficiency when compared

377 with reduced tillage and conventional tillage. On average, winter cereal yield under  
378 no-tillage was about 9% lower than in conventional tillage; in these conditions,  
379 reduced tillage (chiselling) is recommended as a suitable alternative to conventional  
380 tillage (mouldboard ploughing) without detrimental effect on crop yield (López and  
381 Arrúe 1997; Moret et al. 2007a, b).

382 Under irrigated agriculture soil compaction and crop residue accumulation were  
383 sometime constraints under no-tillage, being then minimum tillage the best soil  
384 management option under this system (Santiveri et al. 2002; Berenguer et al. 2004).  
385 However, a recent study by Muñoz et al. (2007) showed a consistent improvement  
386 of soil quality by direct seeding under irrigation. Conservation tillage is specific to  
387 site and soil conditions (Lal 1989), which makes necessary experimentation for each  
388 local scenario. For that reason, more studies are needed in Spain on this respect.

389 Conservation agriculture in Spain has been focused mainly in field crops under  
390 rainfed conditions as winter cereals; crop yields were similar to or even greater than  
391 those in conventional tillage. At the earlier stages, frequently slightly better plant  
392 growth has been reported under conventional tillage, although the difference disap-  
393 peared at a more advanced stage of growth. Crop yield was also higher under conser-  
394 vation tillage in humid areas. When adequately managed tillage reduction is not  
395 detrimental for weed control in any crop. Different studies were aimed to establish  
396 the optimal nitrogen (N) fertilisation for different tillage systems. Only in wet  
397 years, higher yields were obtained in no-tillage when some N fertilizer was applied.  
398 However, in dry years with scarce rainfall during autumn, N should not be applied  
399 in any tillage system.

### 400 **3 Conclusion**

401 From this literature analysis we conclude that field experiments carried out all over  
402 the Spanish geography give wide experience and knowledge on Conservation  
403 Agriculture. The major findings show that there are important cost savings (fuel,  
404 fertilizers) compared with conventional agriculture. Conservation tillage has  
405 proved to be highly efficient to water storage, especially in years with rainfall lower  
406 than average. Under our Mediterranean conditions moderate increases of  
407 organic matter have been observed in the soil top layer. However, this moderate  
408 increase of organic matter creates particular conditions for the physico-chemical and  
409 biological soil dynamics increasing soil quality. In general, better soil physical prop-  
410 erties and aggregation under Conservation Agriculture have been reported.  
411 However, greater compaction under no-tillage can result at long-term in particular  
412 scenarios, which could make advisable an occasional tillage labour. Furthermore,  
413 adoption of conservation tillage can reduce soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to the atmosphere  
414 thus minimising soil organic carbon losses. Mobility and persistence of herbicides  
415 were lower under conservation tillage than under conventional tillage. In general,  
416 conservation tillage enhances biodiversity compared to conventional tillage. Crop  
417 yields were similar to or even greater than those in traditional tillage, although at

the earlier stages, frequently slightly better plant growth has been reported under conventional tillage, the difference disappearing at a more advanced stage of growth. All these advantages demonstrate that Conservation Agriculture in Spain can be a more sustainable alternative than the conventional agriculture. However, we have found from the literature analysis some constraints for its adoption, mainly due to inadequate extension and technology transfer systems and lack of access to specific inputs, machinery and equipment. Crop residue management difficulties and occasional higher incidence of weeds, pests and diseases, besides social relationships among farmers (criticisms) may also difficult the establishment of Conservation Agriculture in local scenarios.

Despite of all these findings we have detected from the literature analysis that would be desirable more integrated studies on the suitability of annual and perennial crops for Conservation Agriculture techniques under both rainfed and irrigated conditions, as well as on the adoption of crop rotations and cover crops adapted to those technologies.

**Acknowledgements** This work was carried out with the support of the projects GOCE-CT-2004-505582-KASSA (EU), AGL2004-07763-CO2-01-AGR, AGL2007-66320-CO2-01-AGR and AGL2008-00424 from the Spanish CICYT, and the Research Group AGR 151 (Junta de Andalucía).

## References

- Álvaro-Fuentes J, López MV, Gracia R, Arrúe JL (2004) Effect of tillage on short-term CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a loam soil in semi-arid Aragón (NE Spain). *Options Méditerranéennes, Serie A* 60:51–54 418
- Álvaro-Fuentes J, Cantero-Martínez C, López MV, Arrúe JL (2007a) Soil carbon dioxide fluxes following tillage in semiarid Mediterranean agroecosystems. *Res Soil Till* 96:331–341 419
- Álvaro-Fuentes J, López MV, Arrúe JL, Cantero-Martínez C (2007b) Management effects on soil carbon dioxide fluxes under semiarid Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 72:194–200 420
- Álvaro-Fuentes J, Arrúe JL, Gracia R, López MV (2007c) Soil management effects on aggregate dynamics in semiarid Aragón (NE Spain). *Sci Total Environ* 378:179–182 421
- Álvaro-Fuentes J, López MV, Cantero-Martínez C, Arrúe JL (2008a) Tillage effects on soil organic carbon fractions in Mediterranean dryland agroecosystems. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 72:541–547 422
- Álvaro-Fuentes J, Arrúe JL, Gracia R, López MV (2008b) Tillage and cropping intensification effects on soil aggregation: temporal dynamics and controlling factors under semiarid conditions. *Geoderma* 145:390–396 423
- Álvaro-Fuentes J, Lampurlanes J, Cantero-Martínez C (2009) No-tillage rotations under Mediterranean rainfed conditions: I. Biomass, grain yield and water-use efficiency. *Agron J* 101:1227–1234 424
- Angás P, Cantero-Martínez C (2000) Trends of soil nitrate in semi-arid areas of Ebro Valley: tillage and N-fertilization effects. In: *Proceedings of III international crop science congress – VI congress European society agronomy, Hamburg, Germany*, p 46 425
- Angás P, Cantero-Martínez C, Karrou M, Benbelkacem A, Avci M (2004) Crop production technologies in Mediterranean region. In: Cantero C, Gabiña D (eds) *Mediterranean rainfed agriculture: strategies for sustainability*. *Options Méditerranéennes* 60:99–126 426
- Angás P, Lampurlanes J, Cantero-Martínez C (2006) Tillage and N fertilization effects on N dynamics and barley yield under semiarid Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Till Res* 87:59–71 427

- 463 Arrúe JL (1997) Impacto potencial del laboreo de conservación sobre el suelo como sumidero de  
464 carbono atmosférico. In: García-Torres L, González P (eds) Agricultura de conservación:  
465 fundamentos agronómicos medioambientales y económicos. Asociación Española Laboreo de  
466 Conservación/Suelos Vivos, Córdoba, Spain, pp 189–199
- 467 Berenguer P, Borrás G, Santiveri F, Cantero-Martínez C, Lloveras J (2004) Yield response of  
468 maize to nitrogen fertilisation in irrigated areas of the Ebro valley (Spain). In: Proceedings of  
469 VIII congress European society for agronomy, Copenhagen, Denmark, pp 365–366
- 470 Bescansa P, Imaz MJ, Virto MJ, Enrique A, Hoogmoed WB (2006) Soil water retention as affected  
471 by tillage and residue management in semiarid Spain. *Soil Till Res* 87:19–27
- 472 Bradford JM, Peterson GA (2000) Conservation tillage. In: Sumner ME (ed) Handbook of soil  
473 science. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp G247–G269
- 474 Bravo C, Giráldez JV, Ordóñez R, González P, Perea F (2003) Surface impact of conservation  
475 agriculture on pea yield and soil fertility in a heavy clay soil of southern Spain. In: Proceedings  
476 of II world congress on Conservation agriculture, Iguassu Falls, Parana-Brasil, pp 363–366
- 477 Bravo C, Torrent J, Giráldez JV, González P, Ordóñez R (2006) Long-term effect of tillage on  
478 phosphorus forms and sorption in a vertisol of southern Spain. *Eur J Agron* 25:264–269
- 479 Bravo C, Giráldez JV, Ordóñez R, González P, Torres F, Perea Torres F (2007) Long-term influ-  
480 ence of conservation tillage on chemical properties of surface horizon and legume crops yield  
481 in a vertisol of southern Spain. *Soil Sci* 172:141–148
- 482 Cantero-Martínez C, Angás P, Lampurlanés J (2003) Growth, yield and water productivity of  
483 barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) affected by tillage and N fertilization in Mediterranean semiarid,  
484 rainfed conditions of Spain. *Field Crop Res* 84:341–357
- 485 Cantero-Martínez C, Angás P, Ameziane T, Pisante M (2004) Land and water management tech-  
486 nologies in the Mediterranean region. In: Cantero C, Gabiña D (eds) Mediterranean rainfed  
487 agriculture: strategies for sustainability. *Options Méditerranéennes* 60:35–50
- 488 Cantero-Martínez C, Gabiña D (2004) Evaluation of agricultural practices to improve efficiency  
489 and environment conservation in Mediterranean arid and semi-arid production systems.  
490 MEDRATE project. In: Cantero C, Gabiña D (eds) Mediterranean rainfed agriculture: strate-  
491 gies for sustainability. *Options Méditerranéennes* 60:21–34
- 492 Cantero-Martínez C, Angás P, Lampurlanés J (2007) Long-term yield and water use efficiency  
493 under various tillage systems in Mediterranean rainfed conditions. *Ann Appl Biol* 150:293–305
- 494 Castro J, Fernández-Ondoño E, Rodríguez C, Lallena AM, Sierra M, Aguilar J (2008) Effects of  
495 different olive-grove management systems on the organic carbon and nitrogen content of the  
496 soil in Jaén (Spain). *Soil Till Res* 98:56–67
- 497 Catalán G, Hervella A, de Andrés EF, Tenorio JL (2003) Study of the main weeds in three tilling  
498 systems in cold semi-arid Spain. Proceedings of II world congress on conservation agriculture,  
499 Iguassu Falls, Parana-Brasil, pp 532–534
- 500 Coelho MB, Mateos L, Villalobos FJ (2000) Influence of a compacted loam subsoil layer on  
501 growth and yield of irrigated cotton in Southern Spain. *Soil Till Res* 57:129–142
- 502 Cox L, Calderón MJ, Celis R, Hermosín MC, Moreno F, Cornejo J (1996) Mobility of metamitron  
503 in soils under conventional and reduced tillage. *Fresen Environ Bull* 5:528–533
- 504 Cox L, Calderón MJ, Hermosín MC, Cornejo J (1999) Leaching of clopyralid and metamitron  
505 under conventional and reduced tillage systems. *J Environ Qual* 28:605–610
- 506 Cuevas MV, Calderón MJ, Fernández JE, Hermosín MC, Moreno F, Cornejo J (2001) Assessing her-  
507 bicide leaching from field measurements and laboratory experiments. *Acta Agrophys* 57:15–25
- 508 De la Rosa D, Díaz-Pereira E, Mayol F, Czyz EA, Dexter AR, Dumitru E, Enache R, Fleige H,  
509 Horn R, Rajkay K, Simota C (2005) SIDASS project Part 2. Soil erosion as a function of soil  
510 type and agricultural management in a Sevilla olive area, southern Spain. *Soil Till Res*  
511 82:19–28
- 512 De Santiago A, Quintero JM, Delgado A (2008) Long-term effects of tillage on the availability of  
513 iron, copper, manganese, and zinc in a Spanish Vertisol. *Soil Till Res* 98:200–207
- 514 Dexter AR, Czyz EA, Birkás M, Díaz-Pereira E, Dumitru E, Enache R, Fleige H, Horn R, Rajkay  
515 K, de la Rosa D, Simota C (2005) SIDASS project Part 3. The optimum and the range of water  
516 content for tillage – further developments. *Soil Till Res* 82:29–37



Díaz-Pereira E, Prange N, Fernández M, de la Rosa D, Moreno F. (2002) Predicting soil water erosion using the ImpelERO model and a mapped reference area in the Sevilla province (Spain). <i>Adv GeoEcol</i> 35:533–542. Catena-Verlag, Reiskirchen, Germany	517 518 519
Du Preez CC, Steyn JT, Kotze E (2001) Long-term effects of wheat residue management on some fertility indicators of a semi-arid plinthosol. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 63:25–33	520 521
ECAF (European Conservation Agricultural Federation) (1999) Conservation agriculture in Europe: environmental, economic and EU Policy perspectives. Brussels, p 23	522 523
Fernández-Quintanilla C (1997) Historia y evolución de los sistemas de laboreo. El laboreo de conservación. In: García Torres L, González Fernández P (eds) <i>Agricultura de Conservación. Fundamentos Agronómicos, Medioambientales y Económicos</i> . Asociación Española de Laboreo de Conservación, Córdoba, Spain, pp 3–12	524 525 526 527
Franzluebbers AJ (2002) Soil organic matter stratification ratio as an indicator of soil quality. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 66:95–106	528 529
Franzluebbers AJ (2004) Tillage and residue management effects on soil organic matter. In: Magdoff F, Weil RR (eds) <i>Soil organic matter in sustainable agriculture</i> . CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 227–268	530 531 532
Gabrielle B, Roche R, Angás P, Cantero-Martínez C, Cosentino L, Mantineo M, Langensiepen M, Hénault C, Laville P, Nicoullau D (2002) A priori parameterization of the CERES soil-crop models and test against several European data sets. <i>Agronomie</i> 22:119–132	533 534 535
Gajri PR, Arora VK, Prihar SS (2002) Tillage for sustainable cropping. International Book Distributing Company, Lucknow, India	536 537
Gomes L, Arrúe JL, López MV, Sterk G, Richard D, Gracia R, Sabre M, Gaudichet A, Frangi JP (2003) Wind erosion in a semi-arid agricultural area of Spain: the WELSONS project. <i>Catena</i> 52:235–256	538 539 540
Gómez JA, Giráldez JV, Pastor M, Fereres E (1999) Effects of tillage method on soil physical properties, infiltration and yield in an olive orchard. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 52:167–175	541 542
Gómez JA, Orgaz F, Villalobos FJ, Fereres E (2002) Analysis of the effects of soil management on runoff generation in olive orchards using a physically based model. <i>Soil Use Manag</i> 18:191–198	543 544 545
Gómez JA, Romero P, Giráldez JV, Fereres E (2004) Experimental assessment of runoff and soil erosion in an olive grove on a Vertic soil in southern Spain as affected by soil management. <i>Soil Use Manag</i> 20:426–431	546 547 548
Gómez JA, Giráldez JV, Fereres E (2005) Water erosion in olive orchards in Andalusia (Southern Spain): a review. <i>Geophys Res Abstr</i> 7:08406	549 550
González P, Giráldez JV, Ordóñez R, Perea F (2003) Yields in a 20-year soil management experiment in a vertisol of southern Spain. In: <i>Proceedings of II world congress for conservation agriculture</i> , Iguassu Falls, Parana-Brasil, pp 359–362	551 552 553
Hernanz JL, Sánchez-Girón V, Cerisola C (1995) Long term energy use and economic evaluation of three tillage systems for cereal and legume production in Central Spain. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 35:183–198	554 555
Hernanz JL, López R, Navarrete L, Sánchez-Girón V (2002) Long-term effects of tillage systems and rotations on soil structural stability and organic carbon stratification in semi-arid central Spain. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 66:129–141	556 557 558
Hill PR (1996) Conservation tillage: a checklist for U.S. farmers. Conservation Technology Information Center, West Lafayette, IN, p 35	559 560
Horn R, Fleige H, Richter FH, Czyz EA, Dexter A, Diaz-Pereira E, Dumitru E, Enarache R, Mayol F, Rajkaj K, de la Rosa D, Simota C (2005) SIDASS project Part 5. Prediction of mechanical strength of arable soils and its effects on physical properties at various map scales. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 82:47–56	561 562 563
Karlen DL, Varvel GE, Bullock DG, Cruse RM (1994) Crop rotations for the 21st century. <i>Adv Agron</i> 53:1–45	564 565
Kirkegaard JA, Munns R, James RA, Gardner PA, Angus JF (1995) Reduced growth and yield of wheat with conservation cropping. II. Soil biological factors limit growth under direct drilling. <i>Aust J Agric Res</i> 46:75–88	566 567 568
Lal R (1989) Conservation tillage for sustainable agriculture: tropics versus temperate environments. <i>Adv Agron</i> 42:85–197	569 570

571 Lampurlanés J, Angás P, Cantero-Martínez C (2001) Root growth, soil water content and yield of  
572 barley under different tillage systems on two soils in semi-arid conditions. *Field Crop Res*  
573 6:27–40

574 Lampurlanés J, Angás P, Cantero-Martínez C (2002) Tillage effect on water storage efficiency  
575 during fallow, and soil water content, root growth and yield of the following barley crop on  
576 two different soils in semi-arid conditions. *Soil Till Res* 65:207–220

577 Lampurlanés J, Cantero-Martínez C (2003) Soil bulk density and penetration resistance under  
578 different tillage and crop management systems and their relationship with barley root growth.  
579 *Agron J* 95:526–536

580 Lampurlanés J, Cantero-Martínez C (2006) Hydraulic conductivity, residue cover, and soil surface  
581 roughness under different tillage systems in semiarid conditions. *Soil Till Res* 85:13–26

582 López MV, Arrúe JL (1995) Efficiency of an incomplete block design based on geostatistics for  
583 tillage experiments. *Soil Sci. Soil Sci Soc Am J* 59:1104–1111

584 López MV, Arrúe JL, Sánchez-Girón V (1996) A comparison between seasonal changes in soil  
585 water storage and penetration resistance under conventional and conservation tillage systems  
586 in Aragón. *Soil Till Res* 37:251–271

587 López MV, Arrúe JL (1997) Growth, yield and water use efficiency of winter barley in response  
588 to conservation tillage in a semi-arid region of Spain. *Soil Till Res* 44:35–54

589 López MV (1998) Wind erosion in agricultural soils: an example of limited supply of particles  
590 available for erosion. *Catena* 33:17–28

591 López MV, Sabre M, Gracia R, Arrúe JL, Gomes L (1998) Tillage effects on soil surface condi-  
592 tions and dust emission by wind erosion in semi-arid Aragon (NE Spain). *Soil Till Res*  
593 45:91–105

594 López MV, Gracia R, Arrúe JL (2000) Effects of reduced tillage on soil surface properties  
595 affecting wind erosion in semi-arid fallow lands of Central Aragon. *Eur J Agron*  
596 12:191–199

597 López MV, Gracia R, Arrúe JL (2001) An evaluation of wind erosion hazard in fallow lands of  
598 semi-arid Aragón (NE Spain). *J Soil Water Conserv* 56:212–219

599 López MV, Moret D, Gracia R, Arrúe JL (2003) Tillage effects on barley residue cover during  
600 fallow in semi-arid Aragón. *Soil Till Res* 72:53–64

601 López MV, Arrúe JL, Álvaro-Fuentes J, Moret D (2004) Cereal residue management through  
602 conservation tillage in semi-arid Aragon (NE Spain). In: *Proceedings of the 8th congress*  
603 *European society for agronomy, Copenhagen, Denmark*, pp 625–626

604 López MV, Arrúe JL (2005) Soil tillage and wind erosion in fallow lands of Central Aragón  
605 (Spain): an overview. In: *Faz-Cano A, Ortíz R, Mermut AR (eds) Sustainable use and manage-*  
606 *ment of soils – arid and semiarid regions. Adv GeoEcol* 36:93–102. *Catena-Verlag,*  
607 *Reiskirchen, Germany*

608 López MV, Arrúe JL, Álvaro-Fuentes J, Moret D (2005) Dynamics of surface barley residues dur-  
609 ing fallow as affected by tillage and decomposition in semiarid Aragón (NE Spain). *Eur J*  
610 *Agron* 23:26–36

611 López-Bellido L, Fuentes M, Castillo JE, López-Garrido FJ, Fernández EJ (1996) Long-term till-  
612 age, crop rotation, and nitrogen fertilizer effects on wheat yield under rainfed Mediterranean  
613 conditions. *Agron J* 88:783–791

614 López-Bellido L, López-Garrido FJ, Fuentes M, Castillo JE, Fernández EJ (1997) Influence of  
615 tillage, crop rotation and nitrogen fertilization on soil organic matter and nitrogen under rain-  
616 fed Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Till Res* 43:277–293

617 López-Bellido L, Fuentes M, Castillo JE, López-Garrido FJ (1998) Effects of tillage, crop rotation  
618 and nitrogen fertilization on wheat-grain quality grown under rainfed Mediterranean condi-  
619 tions. *Field Crop Res* 57:265–276

620 López-Bellido L, López-Bellido RJ, Castillo JE, López-Bellido FJ (2000) Effects of tillage, crop  
621 rotation, and nitrogen fertilization on wheat under rainfed Mediterranean conditions. *Agron J*  
622 92:1054–1063

623 López-Bellido RJ, López-Bellido L (2001) Efficiency of nitrogen in wheat under Mediterranean  
624 conditions: effect of tillage, crop rotation and N fertilization. *Field Crop Res* 71:31–46

López-Bellido L, López-Bellido RJ, Castillo JE, López-Bellido FJ (2001) Effects of long-term tillage, crop rotation and nitrogen fertilization on bread-making quality of hard red spring wheat. <i>Field Crop Res</i> 72:197–210	625 626 627
López-Bellido RJ, López-Bellido L, Castillo JE, López-Bellido FJ (2002) Sunflower response to tillage and soil residual nitrogen in a wheat-sunflower rotation under rainfed Mediterranean conditions. <i>Aust J Agric Res</i> 53:1027–1033	628 629 630
López-Bellido RJ, López-Bellido L, Castillo JE, López-Bellido FJ (2003a) Nitrogen uptake by sunflower as affected by tillage and soil residual nitrogen in a wheat-sunflower rotation under rainfed Mediterranean conditions. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 72:43–51	631 632 633
López-Bellido RJ, López-Bellido L, López-Bellido FJ, Castillo JE (2003b) Faba Bean ( <i>Vicia faba</i> L.) response to tillage and soil residual nitrogen in a continuous rotation with wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) under rainfed Mediterranean conditions. <i>Agron J</i> 95:1253–1261	634 635 636
López-Bellido RJ, López-Bellido L, Castillo JE, López-Bellido FJ (2004a) Chickpea response to tillage and soil residual nitrogen in a continuous rotation with wheat. II. Soil nitrate, N uptake and influence on wheat yield. <i>Field Crop Res</i> 88:201–210	637 638 639
López-Bellido L, López-Bellido RJ, Castillo JE, López-Bellido FJ (2004b) Chickpea response to tillage and soil residual nitrogen in a continuous rotation with wheat. I. Biomass and seed yield. <i>Field Crop Res</i> 88:191–200	640 641 642
López-Fando C, Almendros G (1995) Interactive effects of tillage and crop rotations on yield and chemical properties of soils in semi-arid central Spain. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 36:45–57	643 644
López-Fando C, Bello A (1995) Variability in soil nematode populations due to tillage and crop rotation in semi-arid Mediterranean agrosystems. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 36:59–72	645 646
López-Fando C, Pardo MT (2001) The impact of tillage systems and crop rotation on carbon sequestration in a Calcic Luvisol of Central Spain. In: <i>Proceedings of I world congress on conservation agriculture</i> . Madrid, Spain, pp 135–139	647 648 649
López-Fando C, Dorado J, Pardo MT (2007) Effects of zone-tillage in rotation with no-tillage on soil properties and crop yields in a semi-arid soil from central Spain. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 95:266–276	650 651 652
Madejón E, Moreno F, Murillo JM, Pelegrín F (2007) Soil biochemical response to long-term conservation tillage under semi-arid Mediterranean conditions. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 94:346–352	653 654
Martín-Rueda I, Muñoz-Guerra LM, Yunta F, Esteban E, Tenorio JL, Lucena JJ (2007) Tillage and crop rotation effects on barley yield and soil nutrients on a calciortidic Haploxeralf. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 92:1–9	655 656 657
Menéndez S, López-Bellido RJ, Benítez-Vega J, González-Murua C, López-Bellido L, Estavillo JM (2008) Long-term of tillage, crop rotation and N fertilization to wheat on gaseous emissions under rainfed Mediterranean conditions. <i>Eur J Agron</i> 28:559–569	658 659 660
Morell FJ, Álvaro-Fuentes J, Capell A, Cantero-Martínez C (2007) Short-term soil CO <sub>2</sub> efflux following tillage after ten years of mineral N fertilization in a Mediterranean semiarid agroecosystems. In: <i>International symposium on Organic matter dynamics in agro-ecosystems</i> . University of Poitiers-INRA, Poitiers, France, pp 169–170	661 662 663 664
Moreno F, Pelegrín F, Fernández JE, Murillo JM (1997) Soil physical properties, water depletion and crop development under conventional and conservation tillage in southern Spain. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 41:25–42	665 666 667
Moreno F, Pelegrín F, Fernández JE, Murillo JM, Girón IF (2000) Effects of conventional and conservation tillage on soil physical properties, water depletion and crop development in southern Spain. In: <i>Proceedings of 15th conference Int. Soil Till. Res. Org., Fort Worth, Texas, USA</i> , pp. 2–7.	668 669 670 671
Moreno F, Murillo JM, Girón IF, Fernández JE, Pelegrín F (2001) Conservation and conventional tillage in years with lower and higher precipitation than the average (south-west Spain). In: <i>García-Torres L, Benites J, Martínez-Vilela A (eds) Proceedings of the I world congress for conservation agronomy</i> , Madrid, pp 591–595	672 673 674 675
Moreno F, Murillo JM, Pelegrín F, Girón IF (2006) Long-term impact of conservation tillage on stratification ratio of soil organic carbon and loss of total and active CaCO <sub>3</sub> . <i>Soil Till Res</i> 85:86–93	676 677 678

679 Moret D, Arrúe JL (2007a) Dynamics of soil hydraulic properties during fallow as affected by  
680 tillage. *Soil Till Res* 96:103–113

681 Moret D, Arrúe JL (2007b) Characterizing soil water-conducting macro- and mesoporosity as  
682 influenced by tillage using tension infiltrometry. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 71:500–506

683 Moret D, Arrúe JL, López MV, Gracia R (2006) Influence of fallowing practices on soil water and  
684 precipitation storage efficiency in semiarid Aragón (NE Spain). *Agric Water Manag*  
685 82:161–167

686 Moret D, Braud I, Arrúe JL (2007a) Water balance simulation of a dryland soil during fallow  
687 under conventional and conservation tillage in semi-arid Aragón, Northern Spain. *Soil Till Res*  
688 92:251–263

689 Moret D, Arrúe JL, López MV, Gracia R (2007b) Winter barley performance under different crop-  
690 ping and tillage systems in semiarid Aragón (NE Spain). *Eur J Agron* 26:54–63

691 Muñoz A, López-Piñeiro A, Ramírez M (2007) Soil quality attributes of conservation manage-  
692 ment regimes in a semi-arid region of south western Spain. *Soil Till Res* 95:255–265

693 Murillo JM, Moreno F, Pelegrín F, Fernández JE (1998) Responses of sunflower to conventional  
694 and conservation tillage under rainfed conditions in southern Spain. *Soil Till Res*  
695 49:233–241

696 Murillo JM, Moreno F, Girón IF, Oblitas MI (2004) Conservation tillage: long term effect on soil  
697 and crops under rainfed conditions in south-west Spain (Western Andalusia). *Span J Agric Res*  
698 2:35–43

699 Murillo JM, Moreno F, Madejón E, Girón I, Pelegrín F (2006) Improving soil surface properties:  
700 a driving force for conservation tillage under semi-arid conditions. *Span J Agric Res*  
701 4:97–104

702 Ordóñez Fernández R, González Fernández P, Giraldez Cervera JV, Perea Torres F (2003) Effects of  
703 conservation agriculture on the fertility level of heavy clay soils under dry farming. In: *Proceedings*  
704 *of II world congress for conservation agriculture, Iguassu Falls, Parana, Brasil*, pp 405–407

705 Ordóñez FR, González FP, Giraldez Cervera JV, Perea Torres F (2007) Soil properties and crop  
706 yields after 21 years of direct drilling trials in southern Spain. *Soil Till Res* 94:47–54

707 Pelegrín F, Moreno F, Martín-Aranda J, Camps M (1990) The influence of tillage methods on soil  
708 physical properties and water balance for a typical crop rotation in SW Spain. *Soil Till Res*  
709 16:345–358

710 Pelegrín F, Moreno F, Madueño A, Franco A, Girón IF, Fernández JE (2001) The use of green  
711 covers to conserve soil and water in a water harvesting systems within an olive orchard. In:  
712 García-Torres L, Benites J, Martínez-Vilela A (eds) *Proceedings of I world congress for con-*  
713 *servation agriculture, Madrid*, pp 401–407

714 Perea Torres F, Giraldez Cervera JV, González Fernández P, Gil J, Ordóñez Fernández R (2003)  
715 Influence of soil moisture on the compaction of clay soils under conservation agriculture in  
716 Mediterranean environments. In: *Proceedings of II world congress for conservation agronomy,*  
717 *Iguassu Falls, Parana, Brasil*, pp 498–501

718 Reicosky DC, Dugas WA, Torbert HA (1997) Tillage-induced soil carbon dioxide loss from dif-  
719 ferent cropping systems. *Soil Till Res* 41:105–118

720 Saavedra C, Velasco J, Pajuelo P, Perea F, Delgado A (2007) Effects of tillage on phosphorus  
721 release potential in a Spanish vertisol. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 71:56–63

722 Sánchez-Girón V, Serrano A, Hernanz JL, Navarrete L (2004) Economics assessment of three  
723 long-term tillage systems for rainfed cereal and legume production in semi-arid central Spain.  
724 *Soil Till Res* 78:35–44

725 Sánchez ML, Ozores MI, Colle R, López MJ, De Torre B, García MA, Pérez I (2002) Soil CO<sub>2</sub>  
726 fluxes in cereal land use of the Spanish plateau: influence of conventional and reduced tillage  
727 practices. *Chemosphere* 47:837–844

728 Sánchez ML, Ozores MI, López MJ, Colle R, De Torre B, García MA, Pérez I (2003) Soil CO<sub>2</sub>  
729 fluxes beneath barley on the central Spanish plateau. *Agric For Meteorol* 118:85–95

730 Santiveri P, Berengué P, Lloveras J, Cantero-Martínez C (2002) Effect of tillage and residual  
731 nitrogen on yield in irrigated wheat. In: *Proceedings of VII European society for agronomy*  
732 *congress, Córdoba, Spain*, pp 537–538

Simota C, Horn R, Fleige H, Dexter A, Czyz EA, Díaz-Pereira E, Mayol F, Rajkai K, de la Rosa D (2005) SIDASS project Part 1: a spatial distributed simulation model predicting the dynamics of agro-physical soil state for selection of management practices to prevent soil erosion. <i>Soil Till Res</i> 82:15–18	733 734 735 736
Sojka RE, Upchurch DR (1999) Reservations regarding the soil quality concept. <i>Soil Sci Soc Am J</i> 63:1039–1054	737 738
Sombrero A, De Benito A, Escribano C (1998) Tillage systems and crop rotations effect on growth and yield barley in semi-arid areas. In: <i>Proceedings of V European society for agronomy congress, Nitra, Eslovequia</i> , pp 59–60	739 740 741
Sombrero A, De Benito A, Nieto M (2001a) Effects of tillage systems and crop rotations on the weed population and cereal yield in a semi-arid area. In: <i>Proceedings of VII European society for agronomy congress, Córdoba, Spain</i> , pp 541–542	742 743 744
Sombrero A, Benito A, Escribano C, Tenorio JL, Catalán G, Pérez de Ciriza JJ, Irañeta J (2001b) Profitability of cereal crop under three tillage systems in semi-arid zone of North Spain. In: <i>Proceedings of I world congress for conservation agriculture, Madrid, Spain</i> , pp 795–799	745 746 747
Sombrero A, De Benito A, Nieto M (2004) Rotation effects on barren brome ( <i>Bromus sterilis</i> L.) control in conservation tillage. In: <i>Proceedings of VIII European society for agronomy congress, Copenhagen, Denmark</i> , pp 669–670	748 749 750
Sparling G, Parfitt RL, Hewitt AE, Schipper LA (2003) Three approaches to define desired soil organic matter contents. <i>J Environ Qual</i> 32:760–766	751 752
Sterk G, López MV, Arrúe JL (1999) Saltation transport on a silt loam soil in northeast Spain. <i>Land Degrad Dev</i> 10:545–554	753 754
Warkentin BP (2001) The tillage effect in sustaining soil functions. <i>J Plant Nutr Soil Sci</i> 164:345–350	755 756