Assessment of runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus* L.) germplasm for tolerance to
 low temperature during early seedling growth

3

4 A. Paula Rodiño^{1,*}, Margarita Lema¹, Marlene Pérez-Barbeito¹, Marta Santalla¹, &

5 Antonio M. De Ron¹

6 ¹ Plant Genetic Resources Department, Misión Biológica de Galicia - CSIC, P. O. Box

7 28, 36080 Pontevedra, Spain (*author for correspondence, e-mail:

8 aprodino@mbg.cesga.es)

1 *Key words*: Cold tolerance, characterization, diversity, genetic improvement

2

3 Summary

4 The runner bean requires moderately high temperatures for optimum germination and 5 growth. Low temperature at sowing delays both germination and plant emergence, and 6 can reduce establishment of beans planted early in the growing season. The objective of 7 this work was to identify potential runner bean germplasm with tolerance to low 8 temperature and to assess the role of this germplasm for production and breeding. Seeds 9 of 33 runner bean accessions were germinated in a climate-controlled chamber at 10 optimal (17 °C-day/15 °C-night) and at sub-optimal (14 °C-day/8 °C-night) temperature. 11 The low temperature tolerance was evaluated on the basis of germination, earliness, 12 ability to grow and vigor. Differences in agronomical characters were significant at low 13 temperatures for germination, earliness, ability to grow and early vigor except for 14 emergence score. The commercial cultivars Painted Lady Bi-color, Scarlet Emperor, the 15 Rwanda cultivar NI-15c, and the Spanish cultivars PHA-0013, PHA-0133, PHA-0311, 16 PHA-0664, and PHA-1025 exhibited the best performance under cold conditions.

1 Introduction

2 The scarlet runner or runner bean (Phaseolus coccineus L.) is a climbing perennial 3 vegetable often grown as an annual crop for dry seeds or immature pod production, and 4 also as an ornamental vine. This species is native to Mexico, Guatemala and Honduras, 5 but the domestication area(s) is still unknown (Debouck and Smartt, 1995; Delgado, 6 1988; Freytag and Debouck, 2002). The runner bean was introduced into Europe from 7 Central America. Seed exchange with Europe may have taken place when Europeans 8 first visited the Americas, taking the attractively colored seeds back home to sow in 9 their gardens (Zeven et al., 1993). Spain is purported to be the country of introduction 10 into Europe, evidenced by the French name 'Haricot d'Espagne' that makes reference to 11 the runner bean. The reason for its recent expansion as an ornamental plant in Europe 12 may be the gaudy inflorescences.

13 Although of minor importance in the United States, the crop is of importance in 14 some parts of South Africa and Europe (Mullins et al., 1999). The United Kingdom 15 appears to be the major grower reflected by the large number of registered cultivars as 16 either food sources or as ornamentals as compared with those of common bean 17 (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) (Plant Varieties and Seeds Gazette, 2002). The reason scarlet 18 runner beans are frequently grown in the United Kingdom is because they are better 19 adapted to the cool temperatures than the common bean, and they produce a reliable 20 crop of green beans for commercialization. In cold and wet summers, the common bean 21 crop can often fail. In the Netherlands, people consume young pods or dry seeds of 22 runner bean, but the crop is only grown in private gardens (Zeven et al., 1993). In South 23 Italy and Spain consumers prefer the white seeded runner bean types (Campion and 24 Servetti, 1991), commonly grown commercially on a small scale and many people

cultivate their own cultivars for niche markets. In the highlands of central Spain (Castilla y León) farmers often grow the extra-large white seeded cultivar 'Judión de la Granja', a cultivar with enough market value to compete with elite common bean cultivars. However, despite the potential of runner bean for breeding purposes, the germplasm of this species has not been adequately evaluated or used for the development of new breeding lines.

7 Researchers have successfully introgressed moderate levels of resistance to 8 Xanthomonas (Miklas et al., 1994), Fusarium root rot (Wallace and Wilkinson, 1965), 9 and white mold (Miklas et al., 1998, Lyons et al., 1987) from P. coccineus into P. 10 vulgaris. Wilkinson (1983) suggested that the runner bean could be a potential source of 11 high yield for common bean, but practical achievements in terms of the release of 12 commercial cultivars have been few (Singh, 1992). A complete evaluation of runner 13 bean cultivars could reveal the existence of potentially valuable traits, rare or non-14 existent in common bean germplasm, useful for improvement of the common bean. 15 Santalla et al. (2004) reported the evaluation of runner bean cultivars from Spain for 16 morphological, agronomical and seed quality traits in different environments. They 17 found some valuable germplasm that could be of use either in production or breeding, 18 including interspecific hybridization with common bean.

Most advances in early maturity of beans have been attained by selection for early flowering or early pod maturity. Little attention has been placed on selection for rapid germination and seedling development although it may affect early growth and flowering. As observed by the authors and local farmers the runner bean generally requires moderate temperatures for good germination and growth and the optimum temperature ranges from 20°C to 30°C (Association of Official Seed Analysts, 1981).

1 Other crops such as common (P. vulgaris) and tepary bean (P. acutifolius) do not 2 emergence at 10°C as reported by Scully and Waines (1987). Temperature is a limiting 3 factor for bean production and low temperature at sowing delays both germination and 4 plant emergence, lengthening the crop cycle and increasing production costs. An 5 alternative to optimize the available growing period is to use cultivars that are more 6 tolerant to low temperature at the germination and emergence stages (Otubo et al., 1996; 7 Revilla et al., 2005). Little research has been done to obtain cultivars of this type. The 8 identification of potential germplasm with tolerance to sub-optimum temperatures 9 during early seedling growth may be of considerable value in the improvement of 10 runner bean cultivars.

11 The objectives of this research were: i) to identify potential runner bean 12 germplasm with tolerance to low or sub-optimal temperature during germination and 13 early seedling growth and ii) to assess the potential of this germplasm for production 14 and breeding.

1 Materials and Methods

2 Plant material. Thirty-three runner bean cultivars (Table 1) were evaluated in a climate-3 controlled chamber for tolerance to low temperature during early seedling growth. 4 Seventeen of them were cultivars collected in different areas in the Iberian Peninsula, 5 where traditional farming methods have encouraged the preservation of old cultivars. 6 This genetic material is maintained in the germplasm collection at the MBG-CSIC 7 (Misión Biológica de Galicia, Spanish Council for Scientific Research) and it was 8 previously evaluated in field trials by Santalla et al. (2004). Two cultivars, PHA-0166 9 and PHA-1023, were heterogeneous for seed color and they were divided into sets of 10 white and colored seed. Four cultivars and a wild population from Mexico and two 11 cultivars from Rwanda were included in the experiment. They originate in cool 12 highlands and could be a reference for this study. Two cultivars from Mexico, namely 13 PI313313, PI325608 and the wild population PI53527 did not grow normally and they 14 were not considered for further data collection and analysis. The two cultivars from 15 Rwanda were also divided according to seed color. Five commercial runner bean 16 cultivars from the United Kingdom were used as controls. The seeds used in the 17 experiment were obtained from plants grown in the same environment in 2004.

Experimental design and growing conditions. Each accession was sown in sterile medium in plastic containers. Each container held 10 plants (one plot) with distance between plants of 2.5 cm and between rows of 5 cm. The experimental design was arranged as randomized complete blocks with three replications. The experiment included a sub-optimal temperature (t1) resembling the average weather in April (14 h days at 14 °C and 60 % relative humidity and 10 h nights at 8 °C and 80 % relative humidity) and an optimal growing temperature (t2) resembling the weather in May (15 h day at 17 °C and 60% of relative humidity and 8 h night at 15 °C and 80% relative
humidity) in North-western Spain (42°N, 8°W). Irrigation was provided when needed.
Light was provided by seven VHO (very high output) fluorescent lamps with a
photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) of 228 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

5 Data collection. Morphological and qualitative data were recorded at different plant stages (IBPGR, 1983). The seed weight (g 100 seed⁻¹) and the color and pattern of the 6 7 seed coat of each accession were recorded before sowing. The following traits were 8 determined for each plant under sub-optimal temperature: emergence (days from sowing 9 to hypocotyl emergence), position of cotyledons after emergence (hypogeal, 10 intermediate or epigeal), days to first trifoliate leaf (days from sowing to the first 11 expanded trifoliate leaf), plant height (recorded in millimeters at 10 and 20 d after 12 emergence), dry weight of root and aerial parts (measured in grams after drying at 80 °C 13 during 48 h when the first trifoliate leaf is opened and the plant is at least 20 d old). Emergence score was determined for each plot as follows: 100 x \sum (number of plants 14 15 emerged at time i/time from planting)/time from planting to end of emergence (Smith 16 and Miller, 1964). The proportion of emergence (%) was also recorded for each plot. 17 Under optimal temperature we measure days to emergence and proportion of emergence 18 (%).

19 *Data analyses.* Data were analyzed with the GLM procedure of the SAS (2000) 20 statistical package. The least significant difference (LSD) method ($P \le 0.05$) was used 21 to analyze differences between cultivar means for the quantitative traits evaluated. 22 Standard errors and coefficients of variation were also calculated. Pearson correlation 23 coefficients were computed for all traits measured (Steel et al., 1997).

1 **Results and Discussion**

2 Significant differences among the runner bean cultivars were noted for all agronomic 3 characters evaluated, except for emergence score, indicating the existence of variation 4 in the studied germplasm. The variation found is in agreement with Santalla et al. 5 (2004) who reported differences for agronomic performance and seed quality among 6 runner bean cultivars from Spain and Portugal. Scully and Waines (1987) also found 7 significant differences among cultivars for germination under cold conditions in 8 common and tepary bean. Alvarez et al. (1998) concluded that the runner bean cultivars 9 maintained a high level of diversity after their introduction in the Iberian Peninsula. 10 This process probably implied great changes in the structure of the genetic variation in 11 the cultivars and a quick adaptation to the new conditions in different growing areas. 12 The runner bean is a cross-pollinated species with medium to high variation within 13 populations (Zeven et al. 1993). The outcrossing should explain the great amount of 14 variation that exists in the various characters evaluated.

15 Table 2 displays the correlation between traits that showed significant 16 differences among cultivars. Seed weight was also included in this analysis. Days to 17 emergence was significantly and positively correlated with days to first trifoliate leaf (0.75**) and negatively with plant height at 20 d (-0.46*), and did not have significant 18 19 correlation with seed weight. Rapid germination and emergence under stressful cold 20 conditions would result in an early development of the plants, as shown by a faster 21 expansion of the first trifoliate leaf and higher plants when compared with plants that 22 emerged later. It is important to have germplasm that is able to grow quickly at early 23 stages to grow runner bean under the stressful cold conditions of the early spring 24 resulting in a good crop canopy later on during the growing season. Plant height at 10

and 20 d was positively correlated with shoot dry weight (0.68** and 0.75**). Seed weight was positively correlated with the shoot dry weight (0.42**). It is the only indicator of the influence of seed size in the development of plants under cold conditions. Therefore, the use of large-seeded cultivars of the runner bean could be regarded as an agronomic strategy under cold conditions for early sowing.

6 Table 3 shows the mean values and range of variation of the agronomic traits 7 evaluated. The proportion of emergence at optimal temperature had an average value of 8 92.2% and a minimum value of 80.0% while at sub-optimal temperature it had an 9 average value of 78.6% and a minimum value of 50.0%, indicating that in general all 10 the cultivars were able to germinate under cold conditions. The proportion of emergence 11 was generally acceptable under the two temperatures but at sub-optimal temperature (t1) 12 it was lower than at optimal temperature (t2). Seven accessions (PHA-0011, PHA-0133, 13 PHA-0311, PHA-0469, PHA-0664, PHA-1025, and Scarlet Emperor) presented a 14 germination proportion >90% at sub-optimal temperature, similar to the score under 15 optimal temperature. These results indicate that the proportion of emergence of seeds 16 increases with temperature up to optimal conditions. The emergence was delayed at 17 sub-optimal temperature (29 days) compared to the days to emergence under optimal 18 temperature (7 days). In the field, the seeds were not able to germinate after 20 or more 19 days inside to in the soil due to damping-off disease, incited by seed- and soil-borne 20 fungi, such as Rhizoctonia, Aphanomyces, Pythium, Phytophthora, Botrytis, Fusarium, 21 Cylindrocladium, Diplodia, Phoma, and Alternaria. The emergence of all cultivars 22 under sub-optimal conditions (23-35 days) was greatly delayed compared with the 23 standard field values for the north of Spain and Portugal (8-12 d). Scully and Waines 24 (1987) found that germination of the common bean ranged from 16.3 to 23.8 d to

emergence at 12°C. One reason for the superior performance of the runner bean
 cultivars could be due to the large seeds that may allow rapid imbibition of water during
 germination.

4 The earliness of the cultivars under cold conditions could be further assessed by 5 combining the effect on emergence and the expansion of the first trifoliate leaf. Best 6 performers were the cultivars PHA-0011 (26.3 d to emergence and 39.5 d to the 7 expansion of the first trifoliate leaf), PHA-0163 (25.2 d and 40.2 d), PHA-1018 (23.7 d 8 and 31.2 d), and PHA-1025 (22.6 d and 37.8 d). All lines/cultivars are large (>133 g 100 9 seed⁻¹) and white seeded. PHA-0011, PHA-1018 and PHA-1025 came from cool areas 10 of central Spain and PHA-0163 from the temperate northwest. The cultivar NI-15c, a medium seeded (111.3 g 100 seed⁻¹) colored type from Rwanda also exhibited good 11 12 performance (23.0 d and 42.0 d) while the cultivar from the highlands of Mexico, PI325592, with small (84.3 g 100 seed⁻¹) colored seeds had poor scores (35.4 d and 53.9 13 14 d). Two commercial cultivars, Painted Lady Bi-color (25.6 d and 42.6 d), with large colored seeds (142.0 g 100 seed⁻¹) and The Czar (26.9 d and 42.6 d), with medium 15 $(117.7 \text{ g} 100 \text{ seed}^{-1})$ white seeds also exhibited moderate earliness. 16

The plant height at 10 and 20 d after emergence indicated the ability to grow under cold conditions. The best performers were Rwandan cultivars, NI-15c (138.6 mm at 10 d and 333.5 mm at 20 d) and NI-16c (147.0 mm and 297.8 mm). Four cultivars originally from temperate areas of the North of Spain had good post-emergence stem elongation: PHA-0133 (154.9 mm and 265.6 mm), PHA-0311 (148.3 mm and 277.0 mm), PHA-0409 (137.3 mm and 246.8 mm) and PHA-0664 (116.6 mm and 258.7 mm). The commercial cultivars had, in general, great ability to grow in low temperature as

- displayed by Painted Lady Bi-color (141.7 mm and 297.2 mm), Scarlet Emperor (140.7
 mm and 266.5 mm), and Carter's Streamline (134.3 mm and 261.7 mm).

3 The shoot and root dry weight after plants has grown at least 20 d under low 4 temperatures are parameters for the estimation of vigor. The most vigorous were the 5 commercial cultivars Scarlet Emperor (0.51 g shoot dry weight and 0.65 g root dry 6 weight) and Painted Lady Bi-colored (0.58 g and 0.54 g) and the small white-seeded 7 (85.0 g 100 seed⁻¹) Mexican cultivar PI510637 (0.49 g and 0.64 g). Some Spanish 8 cultivars worth nothing for their good performance: PHA-1018 (0.54 g and 0.57 g), 9 originated in a cool area, with white large (138.7 g 100 seed⁻¹) seeds, and four cultivars 10 from temperate areas of the Northern Spain: PHA-0166c (0.47 g and 0.64 g), with medium (102.3 g 100 seed⁻¹) colored seeds, PHA-0311 (0.60 g and 0.44 g), PHA-0409 11 12 (0.47 g and 0.57 g), and PHA-0469 (0.47 g and 0.61 g), with white large (186.0 g 100 seed⁻¹) seeds. 13

14 Overall the results indicated that seven cultivars performed the best under the 15 experimental cold conditions in the growth chamber: the commercial cultivars Painted 16 Lady Bi-color and Scarlet Emperor, the Rwanda cultivar NI-15c and four white-seeded 17 cultivars from the Iberian Peninsula: PHA-0011, PHA-0133, PHA-0311, PHA-0664, 18 and PHA-1025. The relationship between superior commercial quality and high seed 19 yield in runner bean germplasm is noteworthy. Santalla et al. (2004) reported the high 20 culinary quality of PHA-0311 and PHA-1025, and the high yield of PHA-0311. These 21 cultivars merit special attention for commercial use and for genetic material for 22 breeding purposes. There may be a potential market for large white seeded runner bean 23 cultivars as a substitute for white kidney beans. The range of new cultivars for food 24 consumption could be increased and diversified.

1 Runner bean is considered a warm weather crop. It is generally accepted that 2 runner bean was domesticated in warm areas from where it was moved to cooler regions. In some areas with cool and humid springs, such the European Atlantic coast, it 3 4 would be useful to have cold-tolerant types that could be planted early to promote early 5 pollination and harvest, avoiding summer drought and pests, and extend the growing 6 cycle, to produce higher yields. Scully and Waines (1987) suggested that differences in 7 the establishment of seedlings under cool conditions among common and tepary bean 8 genotypes could be extrapolated to the field. The adaptation of runner bean to early 9 planting requires a high percentage of emergence and vigorous seedling growth under 10 cool temperatures. European runner bean, particularly the Spanish germplasm, came 11 primarily from Mexico, Guatemala and Honduras and has been adapted to temperate 12 conditions during the last four centuries. Furthermore, runner bean cultivars originating 13 from the Atlantic European coast have some cold tolerance during early development 14 and the ability to withstand the cool and wet springs. Other authors (Revilla et al., 2000) 15 suggest that the ability to germinate and survive under cold conditions may be 16 necessary, but these characteristics, by themselves, do not ensure early vigor. The 17 germplasm studied represents a valuable source of genetic diversity that could 18 potentially be highly useful for future breeding programs for runner bean. Selection 19 within this germplasm could offer possibilities for the long-term generation of useful 20 material. Furthermore, the runner bean cultivars that showed great ability to emerge and 21 grow under cool conditions could be used as a source of cold tolerance in interspecific 22 hybridization with common bean.

1 Acknowledgements

Research was supported by the projects AGF2000-1613 and RF03-024-C6-2 from the Spanish Government. The authors are grateful to the CRF-INIA (Ministry of Education and Science, Alcalá de Henares, Spain), to the WRPIS (USDA, Pullman, US) and to the University of Gembloux (Gembloux, Belgium) for supplying some of the runner bean cultivars studied. We thank Molly Welsh for reviewing the manuscript, Pedro Revilla for reviewing the manuscript and for laboratory facilities and Salvador Rodríguez and Jaime Rey for technical assistance.

1 **References**

- Alvarez MT, Sáenz de Miera LE, Pérez de la Vega M (1998) Genetic variation in
 common and runner bean of the Northern Meseta in Spain. Genet. Resources Crop Evol.
 45:243–251.
- 5 Association of Official Seed Analysts (1981) Rules for testing seeds. J. Seed Technol.

6 6:1-126

- Campion B, Servetti E (1991) Breeding in the runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus* L.) for
 the development of dwarf lines. J. Genet. Breeding 45:173–180.
- 9 Debouck DG, Smartt J (1995) Beans, *Phaseolus* spp. (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae). p.
- 10 287–294. In: Smartt J, Simmonds NW (eds.) Evolution of Crop Plants. Second Edition.
- 11 Longman Scientific and Technical, London, United Kingdom.
- 12 Delgado A (1988) Variation, taxonomy, domestication and germplasm, potentialities in
- 13 Phaseolus coccineus. p. 441-463. In: Gepts P (ed.) Genetic Resources of Phaseolus
- 14 Beans, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- 15 Freytag GF, Debouck DG (2002) Taxonomy, distribution and ecology of the genus
- 16 Phaseolus (Leguminosae- Papilionoideae) in North America, Mexico and Central
- 17 America. Brit Press Ft. Worth.
- 18 IBPGR (1983) Phaseolus coccineus descriptors. AGPG: IBPGR/82/74, Intern. Board
- 19 Plant Genetic Resources Secretariat. Typescript. Rome, Italy.
- 20 Lyons ME, Dickson MH, Hunter JE (1987) Recurrent selection for resistance to white
- 21 mold in *Phaseolus* species. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 112:149–152.
- 22 Miklas PN, Zapata M, Beaver JS, Grafton KF (1994) Registration of four dry bean
- 23 germplasm resistant to common bacterial blight: ICB-3, ICB-6, ICB-8, and ICB-10.
- 24 Crop Sci. 39:594.

| 1 | Miklas PN, Grafton KF, Kelly JD, Steadman JR, Silbernagel MJ (1998) Registration of |
|----|--|
| 2 | four white mold resistant dry bean germplasm lines: I9365-3, I9365-5, I9365-31, and |
| 3 | 92BG-7. Crop Sci. 38:1728. |
| 4 | Mullins CA, Allen Straw R, Stavely JR, Wyatt JE (1999) Evaluation of half runner bean |
| 5 | breeding lines. Annu. Rpt. Bean Improv. Coop. 42:113-114. |
| 6 | Otubo ST, Ramalho MAP, Abreu A de B, dos Santos JB (1996). Genetic control of low |
| 7 | temperature tolerance in germination of the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). |
| 8 | Euphytica 89:313-317. |
| 9 | Plant Varieties and Seeds Gazette (2002) Department for Environment, Food and Rural |
| 10 | Affairs and the Plant Variety Rights Office. Special Edition. Number 450. Cambridge, |
| 11 | United Kingdom. http://www.defra.gov.uk/planth/pvs/0205sped.pdf. |
| 12 | Revilla P, Butron A, Cartea M E, Malvar R A, Ordas A (2005) Breeding for cold |
| 13 | tolerance. pp.301-398. In: Ashraf M, Harris PJC (eds.). Abiotic stresses: Plant resistance |
| 14 | through breeding and molecular approaches. The Harworth Press, New York, USA. |
| 15 | Revilla P, Malvar RA, Cartea ME, Butron A, Ordas A (2000) Inheritance of cold |
| 16 | tolerance at emergence and during early season growth in maize. Crop Sci. 40(6): 579- |
| 17 | 1585 |
| 18 | Santalla M, Monteagudo AB, Gonzalez AM, De Ron AM (2004) Agronomical and |
| 19 | quality traits of runner bean germplasm and implications for breeding. Euphytica |
| 20 | 135:205-215. |
| 21 | SAS Institute (2000) The SAS System. SAS online Doc. HTLM Format. Version eight. |
| 22 | SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA. |
| 23 | Scully B, Waines JG (1987). Germination and emergence response of common and |

tepary beans to controlled temperature. Agron. J. 79:287-291

- Singh SP (1992) Common bean improvement in the tropics. Plant Breeding Rev.
 10:199–269.
- 3 Smith PG, Millet AH (1964) Germinating and sprouting responses of the tomato at low
- 4 temperatures. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 84:480-484.
- 5 Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA (1997) Principles and procedures of statistics. A
- 6 biometrical approach. McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York, NY 10020
- 7 Wallace DH, Wilkinson RE (1965) Breeding for *Fusarium* root rot resistance in beans.
- 8 Phytopathology 55:1227–1231.
- 9 Wilkinson RE (1983). Incorporation of *Phaseolus coccineus* germplasm may facilitate
- 10 production of high yielding *P. vulgaris* lines. Annu. Rpt. Bean Improv. Coop. 26:28–29.
- 11 Zeven AC, Mohamed HH, Waninge J, Veirunk H (1993) Phenotypic variation within a
- 12 Hungarian landrace of runner bean. Euphytica 68:155–166.
- 13

1 *Table 1.* Origin, type of germination, seed color and seed weight of the runner bean accessions

| Accession ^{(a} | Origin | Type of | Seed color | Seed weight (g 100 seed ⁻¹) | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| BIT 4 0.044 | ~ | germination | | | |
| PHA-0011 | Spain (Madrid) | hypogeal | white | 167.3 | |
| PHA-0127 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 78.3 | |
| PHA-0133 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 90.7 | |
| PHA-0163 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 150.0 | |
| PHA-0166w | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 96.7 | |
| PHA-0166c | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 102.3 | |
| PHA-0311 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 155.0 | |
| PHA-0322 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 151.3 | |
| PHA-0352 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 114.7 | |
| PHA-0409 | Spain (Asturias) | hypogeal | white | 116.3 | |
| PHA-0469 | Spain (Galicia) | hypogeal | white | 186.0 | |
| PHA-0605 | Spain (Castilla y León) | hypogeal | white | 84.0 | |
| PHA-0664 | Portugal (Tras-os-Montes e Alto Douro) | hypogeal | white | 154.0 | |
| PHA-1018 | Spain (Navarra) | hypogeal | white | 138.7 | |
| PHA-1023w | Spain (Castilla y León) | hypogeal | white | 180.7 | |
| PHA-1023c | Spain (Castilla y León) | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 135.3 | |
| PHA-1025 | Spain (Castilla y León) | hypogeal | white | 133.0 | |
| PHA-1031 | Spain (Castilla y León) | hypogeal | white | 285.3 | |
| PHA-1029 | Spain (Castilla y León) | hypogeal | white | 116.3 | |
| PI325592 | Mexico | hypogeal | violet,brown,speckled | 84.3 | |
| PI510637 | Mexico | hypogeal | white | 85.0 | |
| PI313313 | Mexico | epigeal | light brown | 38.0 | |
| PI325608 | Mexico | epigeal | violet,brown,speckled | 46.0 | |
| PI535276 | Mexico (wild population) | epigeal | brown | 9.0 | |
| NI-15w | Rwanda | hypogeal | white | 107.0 | |
| NI-15c | Rwanda | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 114.0 | |
| NI-16w | Rwanda | hypogeal | white | 116.0 | |
| NI-16c | Rwanda | intermediate | violet, speckled | 93.7 | |
| Sutton's Prizewinner | Commercial (Thomas Etty Esq) | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 104.7 | |
| The Czar | Commercial (Thomas Etty Esq) | hypogeal | white | 117.7 | |
| Painted Lady Bi-color | Commercial (Thomas Etty Esq) | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 142.0 | |
| Carter's Streamline | Commercial (Thomas Etty Esq) | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 105.7 | |
| Scarlet Emperor | Commercial (Thomas Etty Esq) | hypogeal | violet, speckled | 111.3 | |

2 evaluated under cold conditions

3 ^a w=white, c=colored

| | Emergence (%) | | Time to emergence (days) | | First trifoliate leaf (days) | | Plant height 10 d (mm) | | Plant height 20 d (mm) | | Shoot dry weight (g) | | Root dry weight (g) | | Seed weight (g) |
|------------------------------|------------------|----|--------------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|----|------------------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Proportion emergence (%) | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Emergence (days) | -0.64 | ** | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First trifoliate leaf (days) | -0.29 | NS | 0.75 | ** | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plant height 10 d (mm) | 0.66 | ** | -0.33 | NS | -0.13 | NS | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Plant height 20 d (mm) | 0.68 | ** | -0.46 | * | -0.31 | NS | 0.92 | ** | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Shoot dry weight (g) | 0.46 | * | -0.26 | NS | -0.28 | NS | 0.68 | ** | 0.75 | ** | 1.00 | | | | |
| Root dry weight (g) | 0.14 | NS | 0.10 | NS | 0.09 | NS | 0.27 | NS | 0.20 | NS | 0.50 | ** | 1.00 | | |
| (g) Seed weight | 0.15 | NS | -0.24 | NS | -0.32 | NS | 0.18 | NS | 0.32 | NS | 0.42 | ** | 0.03 | NS | 1.00 |

Table 2. Correlations coefficients between agronomic traits in the runner bean cultivars evaluated under cold conditions.

2

1

3 NS, *, **Nonsignificant or significant at $P \le 0.05$ or 0.01, respectively

Table 3. Mean, minimum and maximum for emergence at two temperature conditions and agronomic traits of runner bean cultivars evaluated under

2 sub-optimal temperature.

| Accessions | Emergence | Days to | Days to first | Emergence | Plant height | Plant height | Shoot dry | Root dry |
|------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | (%) | emergence | | score | 10 d (mm) | 20 d (mm) | weight (g) | weight (g) |
| | $t1^a$ $t2^a$ | t1 t2 | Ζ | | | | | |
| PHA-0011 | 96.7 100.0 | 26.3 6.7 | 39.5 | 5.7 | 126.8 | 242.7 | 0.56 | 0.32 |
| PHA-0127 | 53.3 80.0 | 33.0 10.0 | 48.4 | 3.1 | 67.0 | 111.6 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| PHA-0133 | 100.0 93.3 | 25.0 6.7 | 43.7 | 5.8 | 154.9 | 265.6 | 0.37 | 0.40 |
| PHA-0163 | 86.7 100.0 | 25.2 6.7 | 40.2 | 4.9 | 106.2 | 223.9 | 0.41 | 0.43 |
| PHA-0166c | 86.7 80.0 | 28.5 7.0 | 48.8 | 5.6 | 117.3 | 202.0 | 0.47 | 0.64 |
| PHA-0166w | 56.7 80.0 | 28.6 6.7 | 50.9 | 3.7 | 79.3 | 137.3 | 0.33 | 0.32 |
| PHA-0311 | 93.3 93.3 | 24.9 6.7 | 47.9 | 5.4 | 148.3 | 277.0 | 0.60 | 0.44 |
| PHA-0322 | 73.3 100.0 | 27.9 6.7 | 46.8 | 4.6 | 88.2 | 168.3 | 0.48 | 0.43 |
| PHA-0352 | 83.3 100.0 | 25.7 6.7 | 41.6 | 4.9 | 90.0 | 164.3 | 0.27 | 0.22 |
| PHA-0409 | 73.3 93.3 | 29.9 6.7 | 48.3 | 4.6 | 137.3 | 246.8 | 0.47 | 0.57 |
| PHA-0469 | 90.0 100.0 | 27.0 6.7 | 43.9 | 5.5 | 110.6 | 238.2 | 0.47 | 0.61 |
| PHA-0605 | 63.3 86.7 | 28.7 6.7 | 48.8 | 4.2 | 79.3 | 152.8 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| PHA-0664 | 96.7 100.0 | 27.0 6.7 | 41.6 | 6.1 | 116.6 | 258.7 | 0.43 | 0.21 |
| PHA-1018 | 76.7 93.3 | 23.7 6.7 | 31.2 | 4.2 | 95.4 | 199.9 | 0.54 | 0.57 |
| PHA-1023c | 86.7 100.0 | 26.6 6.7 | 45.0 | 5.3 | 115.4 | 217.6 | 0.45 | 0.21 |
| PHA-1023w | 83.3 100.0 | 26.0 6.7 | 42.8 | 5.0 | 104.1 | 201.3 | 0.43 | 0.25 |
| PHA-1025 | 96.7 100.0 | 22.6 6.7 | 37.8 | 5.1 | 117.3 | 210.9 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| PHA-1031 | 70.0 93.3 | 28.6 8.3 | 45.3 | 4.7 | 111.9 | 243.3 | 0.53 | 0.45 |
| PHA-1029 | 83.3 100.0 | 27.0 6.7 | 44.7 | 5.3 | 103.6 | 194.1 | 0.45 | 0.41 |
| PI325592 | 50.0 83.5 | 35.4 7.0 | 53.9 | 4.1 | 81.3 | 125.2 | 0.35 | 0.44 |
| PI510637 | 70.0 100.0 | 32.5 7.0 | 48.0 | 5.1 | 99.8 | 160.6 | 0.49 | 0.64 |

Table 3. Continued

1

| Accessions | Eme | rgence | Da | ys to | Days to first | Emergence | Plant height | Plant height | Shoot dry | Root dry |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | | (%) | emer | gence | trifoliate leaf | score | 10 d (mm) | 20 d (mm) | weight (g) | weight (g) |
| | t1 ^a | t2 ^a | t1 | t2 ^z | | | | | | |
| NI-15c | 80.0 | 100.0 | 23.0 | 6.7 | 42.0 | 4.3 | 138.6 | 333.5 | 0.58 | 0.41 |
| NI-15w | 66.7 | 100.0 | 25.6 | 6.7 | 43.4 | 3.9 | 113.7 | 244.7 | 0.51 | 0.37 |
| NI-16c | 70.0 | 100.0 | 29.6 | 6.7 | 44.1 | 4.8 | 147.0 | 297.8 | 0.60 | 0.44 |
| NI-16w | 70.0 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 6.7 | 46.4 | 4.3 | 68.6 | 142.8 | 0.32 | 0.34 |
| Sutton's Prizewinner | 86.7 | 100.0 | 29.6 | 8.3 | 51.1 | 6.0 | 114.9 | 232.7 | 0.43 | 0.35 |
| The Czar | 83.3 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 6.7 | 42.6 | 5.1 | 121.4 | 231.3 | 0.44 | 0.30 |
| Painted Lady Bi-color | 86.7 | 100.0 | 25.6 | 6.7 | 42.6 | 5.0 | 141.7 | 297.2 | 0.58 | 0.54 |
| Carter's Streamline | 76.7 | 80.0 | 27.4 | 8.3 | 46.6 | 4.9 | 134.3 | 261.7 | 0.45 | 0.26 |
| Scarlet Emperor | 100 | 93.3 | 26.6 | 6.7 | 48.6 | 4.6 | 140.7 | 266.5 | 0.51 | 0.65 |
| Mean | 78.6 | 92.2 | 28.8 | 7.4 | 44.9 | 4.9 | 112.4 | 218.3 | 0.45 | 0.40 |
| Minimum | 50.0 | 80.0 | 22.6 | 6.7 | 31.2 | 3.1 | 67.0 | 111.6 | 0.26 | 0.21 |
| Maximum | 100.0 | 100.0 | 35.4 | 10.0 | 53.9 | 6.1 | 154.9 | 333.5 | 0.60 | 0.65 |
| LSD ^b | 21.5 | 14.0 | 2.37 | 4.4 | 3.68 | 1.7 | 24.36 | 41.81 | 0.11 | 0.23 |

2 ^a t1,sub-optimal temperature, t2, optimal temperature

3 ^b Least significant difference at $P \le 0.05$