

1 **SCREENING THE SPANISH BARLEY CORE COLLECTION FOR DISEASE**

2 **RESISTANCE**

3 **Silvar C¹, Casas AM¹, Kopahnke D², Habekuß A², Schweizer G³, Gracia MP¹, Lasa JM¹,**

4 **Ciudad FJ⁴, Molina-Cano JL⁵, Igartua E¹, Ordon F²**

5 ¹Department of Genetics and Plant Production, Aula Dei Experimental Station, CSIC, P.O. Box
6 13034, E-50080 Zaragoza, Spain

7 ² Institute for Resistance Research and Stress Tolerance, Julius Kühn-Institute, Erwin-Baur-Str. 27,
8 D-06484 Quedlinburg, Germany

9 ³Institute for Crop Science and Plant Breeding, Bavarian State Research Centre for Agriculture, D-
10 85354 Freising-Weihenstephan, Germany

11 ⁴ITA, Instituto de Tecnología Agraria, Junta de Castilla y León, P.O. Box 172, E-47071 Valladolid,
12 Spain

13 ⁵IRTA, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, Av. Rovira Roure 191, E-25198 Llérida,
14 Spain

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 The Spanish Barley Core Collection comprises 159 landrace-derived inbred lines and 16 cultivars
3 adapted to Southern European conditions. The collection was screened for resistance to powdery
4 mildew (*Blumeria graminis*), scald (*Rhynchosporium secalis*), leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*), net
5 blotch (*Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres*), Barley yellow dwarf virus (BYDV) and Barley mild mosaic
6 virus (BaMMV). Resistance to powdery mildew was outstanding, with 58 lines presenting mean
7 overall resistance, among them 7 landrace-derived lines resistant to all seven isolates tested. About
8 26 % of the Spanish lines were resistant to scald. Resistance to leaf rust and to net blotch was scarce,
9 though a few accessions showed resistance levels as good as the checks. Thirteen accessions (12
10 Spanish) were totally resistant to BaMMV, and ca. 20% of accessions showed moderate tolerance to
11 BYDV. Landrace-derived lines from the Mediterranean Coast and Southern regions of Spain were
12 the most resistant to powdery mildew and leaf rust, but the most susceptible to viruses. Potential
13 sources of resistance might be preserved in some accessions subjected to selective pressure in the
14 region of origin.

15

16

17 Keywords: barley – core collection – disease resistance – powdery mildew – scald – net blotch –
18 viruses

19

20

21

22

23

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Both, spring and winter barley are susceptible to several pathogens which are responsible for losses
3 not only in yield but also in the quality of the grain harvested. Nowadays, diseases cause
4 considerable economical losses in all continents (Hovmoller et al. 2000, Zhan et al. 2008). Barley
5 diseases are caused by viruses (*Barley yellow dwarf virus*, *Cereal yellow dwarf virus*, *Barley mild*
6 *mosaic virus*, *Barley yellow mosaic virus*, *Barley stripe mosaic virus*, etc), bacteria (bacterial blight,
7 bacterial stripe, etc.) and fungi (powdery mildew, scald, leaf rust, net blotch, etc.).

8 In most cases, the control of diseases involves the use of pesticides. However, environmental and
9 consumer concerns about the excessive use of such chemicals and the persistence of their residues
10 (Gullino and Kuijpers 1994), as well as the risk of insensitivity built up in plant pathogens (Bäumler
11 et al. 2003), recommend the use of resistant cultivars.

12 Many resistance genes and quantitative trait loci (QTL) against the main diseases affecting barley
13 are already known. Some of them have been successfully introgressed into high yielding cultivars
14 fulfilling commercial requirements (Friedt and Ordon 2007). However, very few resistances
15 combine all the characteristics necessary for a complete solution from a breeding point of view. The
16 use of genetic resistances in breeding is hindered by several causes, especially the rapid breakdown
17 under the pressure of new strains of the pathogens (Hovmoller et al. 2000), but also partial
18 effectiveness, or limited introduction in elite cultivars due to linkage drag. Therefore, breeders need
19 a continuous supply of new sources of disease resistance to keep up with the pace imposed by the
20 challenges of pathogens and the demands of producers.

21 Wild relatives and landraces represent valuable reservoirs of new interesting traits that were left
22 behind as a consequence of domestication and may be used for crop improvement. In barley, the
23 genetic variability present in old landraces was not fully employed at the beginning of modern
24 breeding (Fischbeck 2003). Breeding for disease resistance of barley is one of the most important

1 fields where this unexploited variability might still make a useful contribution (Jahoor and
2 Fischbeck 1987, Pickering et al. 1995, Jørgensen and Jensen 1997).

3 The Spanish Barley Core Collection (SBCC, Igartua et al. 1998) is constituted by a representative
4 sample of the landraces cultivated in Spain before the advent of modern breeding, as well as by a
5 small set of successful old cultivars. The landrace material are inbred lines derived from landraces
6 kept at the Spanish National Germplasm Bank, which holds more than 2000 accessions of
7 cultivated barley. The majority of this collection is constituted by native landraces, collected in
8 Spain predominantly in the first half of the 20th century. Such landraces possess an important
9 history of adaptation and selection under local conditions, which makes them a very attractive
10 resource to explore the natural variation for disease resistance, and other adaptive traits. Previous
11 studies comparing morphological and agronomic traits, and genetic diversity between the SBCC
12 and cultivars from other European countries, indicated that this collection holds distinct and
13 valuable phenotypic and genetic variability, therefore representing a potentially useful breeding
14 resource (Lasa et al. 2001, Yahiaoui et al. 2008). The main goal of the present work is to investigate
15 its potential as source of genetic resistances to a set of the most relevant barley diseases.

16

17 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

18 **Plant material.** The Spanish Barley Core Collection (Igartua et al. 1998) was used in this study.
19 The collection consists of 159 (148 six-rowed and 11 two-rowed) inbred lines derived from local
20 landraces, and 16 commercial cultivars (8 six-rowed and 8 two-rowed) with a long tradition of
21 cultivation in Spanish agriculture (the detailed composition of the collection can be consulted at
22 <http://www.eead.csic.es/EEAD/barley/>).

23 **Disease assessment.** All barley accessions were evaluated for resistance to powdery mildew
24 (*Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei*), leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*), net blotch (*Pyrenophora teres* f.sp.

1 *teres*), *Barley mild mosaic virus* (BaMMV) and *Barley yellow dwarf virus* (BYDV) at the former
2 Institute of Epidemiology and Resistance Resources of the Federal Research Centre for Cultivated
3 Plants (BAZ) in Aschersleben (currently, the Institute for Resistance Research and Stress Tolerance
4 of the Julius Kühn-Institute in Quedlinburg, Germany). Tests for disease resistance against scald
5 (*Rhynchosporium secalis*) were carried out at the Institute for Crop Science and Plant Breeding in
6 Freising, Bavaria (Germany). Infection conditions and disease assessment for the different
7 pathogens are detailed below.

8 For powdery mildew resistance, plants in the first leaf stage were artificially inoculated in the
9 greenhouse with seven isolates of *B. graminis* (R19, R30, R78, R79, R9, R117 and R126). Ten
10 plants per line and isolate were used. Isolates were chosen according to their virulence spectra
11 observed on the Pallas isogenic lines differential set (Kolster et al. 1986). Disease severity was
12 recorded 8 days after inoculation on the primary leaf at the seedling stage on a scale of 0-4 for all 10
13 plants, following the procedure of Torp et al. (1978) and Jensen et al. (1992). Plants were classified
14 as resistant if the disease score was 2 or lower and susceptible if higher than 2. Cultivars ‘Alexis’
15 (resistant, *mlo*₉), ‘Barke’ (resistant, *mlo*₉), ‘Pasadena’ (susceptible) and ‘Pongo’ (susceptible) were
16 used as controls.

17 Experiments for scald were also carried out in the greenhouse. Four seedlings per accession were
18 infected with about 150 - 200,000 spores/ml of the *R. secalis* isolate Sachs 147-1. Disease scores
19 were recorded on the four plants according to a 0-4 scale (0-1=resistant, 1.1-2=moderately resistant,
20 2.1-3=moderately susceptible and 3.1-4=susceptible). Disease was first evaluated 2 weeks after
21 infection and then every 2-3 days until four scoring dates were obtained. Data shown are the mean
22 values for four dates and four examined plants on each date. Cultivars ‘Steffi’ (susceptible), and
23 ‘Camelot’ (moderately resistant) were included as controls.

24 Evaluation of resistance to net blotch was performed in the greenhouse on twelve plants per
25 accession. Tests were performed both on detached leaves (Hartleb and Meyer, 1988) and whole

1 plants. When plants reached the 4-5 leaf stage, they were inoculated with three different isolates
2 (codes 97:1 (Sweden), Am (Germany), NZ (New Zealand)). These isolates were chosen because
3 they had demonstrated high aggressiveness and diverse virulence patterns on a differential set of
4 cultivars. For the detached leaves test, three plants per accession were inoculated with each isolate
5 by spraying the second and third leaves with a freshly prepared spore suspension of 3,000
6 conidia/ml. The three remaining whole plants per accession were inoculated only with isolate Am,
7 also at the 4-5 leaf stage. Disease symptoms were rated at 7 days (detached leaves) or 14 days
8 (whole plants) after inoculation by calculating the leaf area showing lesions (% showing chlorosis
9 and necrosis) and lesion type according to a 1–10 scale (Tekauz 1985), in which scores of 1-3 were
10 considered resistant, 4 as moderately resistant, 5-6 moderately susceptible, and over 6 as susceptible.
11 Data presented are the mean for each isolate on the detached leaves assay. Cultivars ‘Compana’ and
12 ‘Femina’ were included as susceptible standards and ‘Zenit’ as resistant check.

13 Resistance to leaf rust was tested in field trials under natural infection. Disease severity was
14 assessed visually as the percentage of infected leaf area in the flag leaves. Plants with a percentage
15 of infection between 0 and 10% were considered as resistant, plants with 10 - 30% of infected leaf
16 area were recorded as intermediate, and plants with over 50% were rated as susceptible. Cultivar
17 ‘Vada’ was used as a resistant control and ‘L94’ as the standard for susceptibility. Resistance to leaf
18 rust was also tested in the greenhouse, on five plants per line, using isolate I-80, which is virulent to
19 all known major resistance genes present in European barley, except for *Rph7* (Ivandić et al. 1998).
20 Infection types were scored at 12 days after inoculation following a 0-4 scale (Levine and
21 Cherewick 1952). Infection types 0, 1 and 2 indicate host resistance and types 2-3, 3 and 4, host
22 susceptibility.

23 For the BaMMV test, 20 to 30 seedlings at the 3- to 5-leaf stage were mechanically inoculated twice
24 at an interval of 5 to 7 days with the isolate BaMMV-ASL, which was propagated on the
25 susceptible barley cultivar ‘Maris Otter’. Inoculated plants were cultivated in a growth chamber at

1 12 °C with a photoperiod of 16 h day (nearly 10 klx) and 8 h night. Four to five weeks after the first
2 inoculation, the number of plants with mosaic symptoms was recorded and expressed as Infection
3 Rate (IR, percentage of plants with symptoms). DAS-ELISA was done according to Clark and
4 Adams (1977), to confirm resistance only on those accessions with no symptoms or unclear
5 symptoms.

6 For testing the reaction to BYDV, all accessions were sown in the field for two variants (virus
7 inoculation, and healthy control). Fifteen seeds per accession and variant (or treatment) were used.
8 The plants were grown until the 1-2 leaf stage. Then, the plots were covered with a fleece and the
9 plants of the 'infected variant' were infested by viruliferous aphids (isolate BYDV-PAV ASL1) of
10 the species *Rhopalosiphum padi* for about 2 weeks. After removal of the cotton covers, the aphids
11 were killed by insecticide treatment. Spraying was repeated regularly to keep the plants without
12 aphids. Disease symptoms were evaluated at heading time following a scale from "no symptoms",
13 scored as 1, to "dead plant", scored as 9, and the degree of attack (DA) was calculated as

$$14 \quad DA = \frac{\sum_{b=1}^9 (n_b * (b-1)) * 100}{N * (B-1)}$$

15 n_b =number of plants per scoring class

16 b=scoring class

17 N=total number of tested plants

18 B=highest scoring class

19 At harvest, additional measurements of morphological characters (plant height, thousand kernel
20 weight, kernel weight per plant and ears per plant) were recorded in inoculated and non-inoculated
21 plants. The performance of each line was calculated as a relative percentage of infected plants to
22 non-infected ones of the same line [i.e. (infected variant/healthy control) ×100]. Spring barley cv.

1 'Coracle' and winter barley cv. 'Vixen', both with the resistance gene *Ryd2*, were used as tolerant
2 checks and cv. 'Femina' as susceptible control.

3 **Statistical analysis.** In order to establish possible correlations between the degree of resistance of
4 different landraces and its genetic basis, the landrace-based accessions were clustered into four
5 populations (I-IV), according to their genetic similarity (Yahiaoui et al. 2008). Two additional
6 groups were created to include the eight two-rowed (Group V) and the eight six-rowed cultivars
7 (Group VI). Comparisons between groups and mean disease scores were performed by analysis of
8 variance using the general linear model procedure (GLM) in SAS (SAS 1988).

9 Correlation coefficients were used to analyze the relationship between geographic distribution and
10 disease response. Additionally, landrace-derived inbreds were grouped into agro-climatic regions
11 according to Papadakis classification (Papadakis 1975). The relationship of climate with tolerance
12 to diseases was analyzed with proc ANOVA (SAS 1988).

13

14 **RESULTS**

15 High levels of resistance were found in the SBCC for powdery mildew and scald, whereas overall
16 resistance levels for leaf rust, BYDV, BaMMV and net blotch were moderate or low. A broad
17 spectrum of disease responses was observed for all pathogens, except for BYDV, with lines going
18 from totally resistant to completely susceptible.

19 Barley accessions differed in overall resistance to the seven isolates of powdery mildew (Table 1).
20 A majority of lines showed resistance to isolates R9, R117 and R126, whereas a great number of
21 them (79.6%) developed severe symptoms after infection with isolate R19. Severity of infection
22 with isolates R30, R78 and R79 was intermediate. When considering the mean of the disease score
23 for all the isolates, a remarkable proportion of lines (31.6%) had an average score of 2 or lower, i.e.,
24 were resistant. About 4.5% of the landraces showed resistance (disease score between 0 and 2)

1 against all seven isolates, whereas only one line was susceptible to all the strains. The behaviour of
2 cultivars was similar to that of landraces, but none of them was resistant to all seven strains (two out
3 of sixteen were resistant to six strains). The disease scores observed for susceptible and resistant
4 checks were as expected. According to the German descriptive variety list of the Federal Office of
5 Plant Varieties, cv. 'Pasadena' is not classified as highly susceptible to powdery mildew; therefore
6 it can show some resistance depending on the *B. graminis* isolates (Table 1, bottom). A cluster
7 analysis of the seven isolates, based on the resistance scores of the accessions, suggested the
8 presence of three different types of reactions: one to isolates R19, R78, and R79; another one to
9 isolates R9, R117, and R126; and the more distinct one, to isolate R30 (Fig. S1).

10 The overall resistance against net blotch in the Spanish landraces was quite low (Table 2). Most of
11 the accessions (between 90% and 98%) were classified as susceptible or moderately susceptible for
12 each of the different isolates. Only one accession was found resistant to all three isolates, and
13 another one was classified as moderately resistant to isolate 97:1 and resistant to isolates Am and
14 NZ. The cultivars displayed low resistance levels as well (Table 2). High correlation coefficients
15 (0.68 for 2nd leaves and 0.79 for 3rd leaves) were found between detached leaves and whole plants
16 for isolate Am, and thus only the more complete set of results for the detached leaves test is
17 presented. Check cultivars behaved as expected, 'Compana' and 'Femina' showed susceptibility to
18 all three isolates, whereas cv. 'Zenit' was moderately resistant to isolates Am and NZ, and
19 moderately susceptible to the most aggressive isolate, 97:1.

20 Regarding scald, landraces were distributed uniformly among the four disease resistance classes,
21 with percentages around 25% for all of them (Table 2). Cultivars from the SBCC were classified
22 mainly as either susceptible (37.5%) or moderately resistant (43.8%). Check cultivars 'Steffi' and
23 'Camelot', were properly classified as susceptible and moderately resistant, respectively.

24 Most of the landrace-derived inbred lines displayed intermediate levels of resistance to leaf rust
25 under natural infection conditions. Seven of these lines (4.5%) showed a resistance score lower or

1 equal to 'Vada', whereas eighteen (11.6%) showed an infection score equal to or higher than the
2 susceptible check 'L94' (Table 3). However, after inoculation under controlled conditions with the
3 isolate I-80, the majority of accessions apparently resistant turned out to be susceptible. Eighty two
4 percent of landrace-derived lines presented a disease score of 3 in this test (Table 3). Regarding
5 cultivars, the majority showed a result of 10% of infected leaf area in the field test, with 2 of them
6 presenting lower scores than the resistant check 'Vada'. In contrast, no cultivar showed resistance to
7 *P. hordei* isolate I-80. A low correlation was found between results from field and greenhouse
8 experiments, i.e., some accessions showing field resistance were susceptible to isolate I-80,
9 although most lines resistant to I-80 in the greenhouse also displayed field resistance.

10 High levels of susceptibility were found for BYDV and BaMMV (Table 4). For BYDV, most
11 landraces (81.5%) had a degree of attack similar or higher than the one recorded for the susceptible
12 check 'Femina'. Only about 20% showed a moderate tolerance (DA between 10 and 30%) and no
13 line displayed a tolerance level as high as the resistant check 'Coracle'. Variations in the value of
14 morphological characters were especially observed for kernel weight per plant (TKW) and plant
15 height, and more moderately for TKW and number of ears per plant (Table S1). Most cultivars were
16 quite susceptible to BYDV and only 2 of them showed a DA similar to the moderately tolerant cv.
17 'Vixen'. After inoculation with BaMMV in the growth chamber, the great majority of lines (69%)
18 displayed infection rates (IR) ranging from 90 to 100%, and only 33 lines out of 168 expressed IR
19 lower than 10%. The results for the test DAS-ELISA confirmed a good level of resistance in 18
20 landrace-derived lines and in one cultivar (Table 4), among them 13 with total resistance (IR=0%).

21 The results of the evaluation for all these diseases were combined to find out accessions in which
22 tolerance for several diseases may concur (Table 5). For this purpose, we followed strict criteria to
23 define resistance. Only those accessions with scores similar to or better than resistant checks were
24 considered. No accession presented resistance to all diseases, and 67 turned out to be susceptible to
25 all six diseases tested. A majority was resistant to only one pathogen. Interestingly, 3 landrace-

1 derived lines were resistant to three pathogens (*B. graminis*, *P. hordei* and *R. secalis* or *P. teres*),
2 and 11 landrace-derived lines and 2 cultivars (one of them Spanish) showed resistance to both
3 powdery mildew and scald (Table 5).

4 Significant differences were found among the populations defined by Yahiaoui et al (2008) and
5 among the groups of checks in response to powdery mildew, scald, leaf rust and BaMMV (Table 6).

6 In general, lines clustered into population IV showed higher levels of resistance to powdery mildew,
7 leaf rust and scald, although they were highly susceptible to BaMMV. Two-row cultivars (group V)
8 were significantly more resistant to powdery mildew and leaf rust than population IV but they were
9 more susceptible to scald. Population III had a slightly better resistance to scald than others, at the
10 same level as group IV, and lines in this group were the most resistant to the *Barley Mild Mosaic*
11 *Virus*.

12 When considering the agro-climatic distribution of landrace-derived inbred lines according to
13 Papadakis index, some differences in disease resistance were also apparent. Accessions belonging
14 to areas with the Mediterranean Maritime (MM) climate showed a higher degree of resistance to
15 powdery mildew and BYDV than those in other groups, but they were the most susceptible to
16 BaMMV. Accessions coming from Temperate Mediterranean and Fresh Temperate Mediterranean
17 were the relatively least resistant to leaf rust and BYDV, respectively, but they showed high
18 resistance against BaMMV (Table 6). Regarding scald, the level of resistance was equally
19 distributed over all climates.

20 Correlation coefficients between altitude, latitude, rainfall and disease score were generally low and
21 only significant for powdery mildew, leaf rust, net blotch and BaMMV (Table 6, bottom). These
22 correlations were performed for the landrace-derived inbred lines only. Powdery mildew
23 susceptibility appeared to be positively correlated with altitude and latitude but negatively
24 correlated with rainfall. A positive correlation exists for leaf rust only with altitude. On the contrary,

1 accessions from higher altitudes and latitudes were more resistant to BaMMV. Those accessions
2 coming from the rainiest regions resulted more resistant to net blotch.

3

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 In the present work, evaluation for disease resistance of the 175 accessions in the SBCC has
6 revealed the presence of a large diversity of responses, and important levels of resistance to
7 powdery mildew and scald, moderate resistance to leaf rust, and low levels of resistance to net
8 blotch, BaMMV and BYDV. The web site <http://www.eead.csic.es/EEAD/barley/> presents detailed
9 information on each accession. The present study confirmed the value of barley landraces as
10 sources of disease resistance, as found previously in other landrace collections from East
11 Mediterranean, Near East, Nepal, Morocco, Tunisia, Ethiopia, China and the Czech Republic
12 (Jørgensen and Jensen 1997, Sun et al. 1999, Czembor and Johnston 1999, Dreiseitl and Steffenson
13 2000, Czembor 2002, Shtaya et al. 2006a).

14 The reactions to isolates of *B. graminis* could be ascribed to three different virulence spectra, with
15 isolate R30 presenting a quite different profile compared to the other isolates. R19 (the most
16 virulent), R126 (the least virulent), and R30 are representative of these three classes. The
17 differential genotypic responses to these groups could be indicative of the presence of race-specific
18 resistances. On the other hand, some accessions displayed resistance against all isolates. This
19 broad-spectrum resistance could involve either a resistance of quantitative nature, or the
20 accumulation of several race-specific genes.

21 Landraces in the SBCC exhibited intermediate levels of resistance to *P. hordei* when they were
22 tested in field trials. However, most of them showed high infection types (3 score on a 0-4 scale)
23 when infected with the pathotype I-80 at the seedling stage in the greenhouse. This pattern is
24 consistent with the widespread presence of partial resistance, defined as a reduced rate of epidemic

1 development despite susceptible infection types (Parlevliet 1975). Other surveys in landrace
2 germplasm of the Fertile Crescent (Shtaya et al. 2006a), Ethiopia (Woldeab et al. 2007), and Spain
3 (Shtaya et al. 2006b) found mostly good levels of partial resistance, with a few accessions showing
4 hypersensitive, possibly race-specific, reactions. Leaf rust resistance is more needed in winter
5 barley, where sources are limited (Walther et al. 2000, Mammadov et al. 2007). The resistance
6 levels found in this study, however, may not be sufficient to contribute to improve the already
7 remarkable partial resistance exhibited by current barley cultivars (Niks et al. 2000). A more
8 detailed study of the Spanish resistant accessions will be needed to find out the type and
9 effectiveness of the resistances found.

10 A remarkable number of lines resistant to *R. secalis* were detected in the SBCC. Similarly, high
11 levels of resistance to scald were found in Ethiopian and Syrian landraces (van Leur et al. 1989;
12 Yitbarek et al. 1998). Recent works in the UK suggested that winter barley cultivars have much
13 better partial resistance to scald than spring barleys, because they have been more exposed to the
14 pathogen (Zhan et al. 2008). This could also be the case for Spanish landraces, a majority of which
15 are winter and facultative types, although high levels of scald resistance were also found in some
16 spring barleys distributed over all 5 climatic zones. This resistance, especially when compared to
17 the results of the checks used, seems extremely interesting for direct use in plant breeding programs.

18 A modest level of resistance to viruses (BYDV and BaMMV) has been found in the SBCC with
19 most lines showing a degree of attack higher than 40% and an infection rate of 100%. It is likely
20 that the tolerance to BYDV observed in some lines is not originated by the *Ryd2* gene, whose effect
21 on resistance is very clear, as in the check cultivar 'Coracle'. The genes responsible for this
22 moderate resistance might be combined with *Ryd2* in a strategy proposed by Sip et al. (2004), to
23 obtain a more durable resistance, effective against the different viruses and strains of the BYDV-
24 complex. The resistances found in the SBCC could be of this kind, but further work with the most
25 resistant SBCC lines would be needed to confirm that their resistance level is useful for plant

1 breeding, and that the genes that they may contribute are different from the genes underlying other
2 resistances of similar nature found in modern cultivars (Ovesná et al. 2000).

3 Resistance to net blotch in the landrace-derived inbreds was not outstanding, and there were very
4 few lines which were as resistant as the most resistant cultivars tested. For eleven of the cultivars
5 included in the SBCC or used as checks, there were net blotch field evaluations registered at the
6 GRIN database of the USDA (http://www.ars-grin.gov/npgs/acc/acc_queries.html). The scores for
7 these cultivars varied between moderately resistant to susceptible (the majority). As the landrace
8 materials barely surpassed these cultivars in resistance, it seems unlikely that there are good sources
9 of net blotch resistance in the SBCC.

10 In a recent work, Yahiaoui et al. (2008) reported high genetic divergence in the SBCC compared to
11 reference European cultivars, and a remarkable internal diversity in the collection. The SBCC
12 accessions clustered in four populations (coded I-IV) based on genetic similarity. The geographic
13 distribution of these populations followed climatic patterns. Analysis of variance for disease scores
14 taking into account these groups as sources of variation showed some significant differences in
15 mean disease scores. Group V, composed of two-row cultivars, displayed the highest resistance to
16 both powdery mildew and leaf rust, but they were the most susceptible to scald and BaMMV.
17 Similar results were observed in field tests carried out in Spain, where cultivars in group V were the
18 least susceptible to powdery mildew (Yahiaoui 2006). Accessions in this group are old cultivars of
19 diverse European origins, with a long tradition of successful cultivation in Spain, and their higher
20 resistance to some diseases may be due to the incorporation, through breeding, of some sources of
21 resistance.

22 Populations III and IV, the most abundant by far in the SBCC, presented contrasting results to three
23 diseases, powdery mildew, leaf rust, and BaMMV. These differences in disease resistance between
24 populations III and IV may be related to their preferential distribution over distinct ecogeographical
25 areas (Yahiaoui et al. 2008), where they may have been subjected to distinct selective pressures for

1 prevalent diseases. Population III comprises landrace-derived inbreds originating mainly in the
2 central part of the Iberian Peninsula, featuring higher altitudes and latitudes, and cooler climates
3 than the area corresponding to population IV, which comprises mainly accessions from the lower
4 and warmer lands of the Mediterranean Coast and the Southern part of Spain. The geographical
5 distribution of populations I-IV is presented in detail in Yahiaoui et al. (2008). Therefore, the
6 relationships observed between the degree of tolerance to some diseases, ecogeographic factors
7 (altitude, latitude, climate type), and population may be the consequence of a process of adaptation
8 and genetic differentiation of barley populations. This process would have been stimulated by
9 adaptation to environmental conditions, where prevalent diseases may have played some role, and
10 by the inbreeding system of barley. This relationship of disease tolerance with ecogeographic
11 factors was also found in Ethiopia (Yitbarek et al. 1998, Woldeab et al. 2007), which is not
12 surprising as this country features even larger ecological diversity than Spain. There has been
13 enough time for a process like this to occur in Spain, where cultivation of barley dates back to 5000
14 years BC (Buxó et al. 1997).

15 Additionally, the distribution of the resistant accessions over the Iberian Peninsula showed some
16 interesting patterns. For most isolates of powdery mildew (R9, R19, R78, R79, R117, R126), the
17 majority of resistant accessions come from the South or the lower lands of the Eastern
18 Mediterranean coast, which is coherent with the significant correlation coefficients of powdery
19 mildew resistance with latitude and altitude. The most resistant accessions overall come from the
20 South and Eastern Mediterranean coast, which coincide with the SM and MM climates. For the
21 most distinct powdery mildew isolate, R30, there was a high frequency of resistant accessions in the
22 Northern half of the country, something that was occasional for the other six isolates (data not
23 shown). Regarding scald, there seems to be resistant accessions scattered all over the country. Only
24 two clusters of remarkable concentration of resistance seem to be in Toledo-Madrid and in the
25 contiguous provinces of Sevilla, Málaga, and Córdoba (data not shown). Two out of the three

1 landrace-derived inbreds that were resistant to net blotch originated in the Canary Islands. Although
2 a small number of resistant accessions against BaMMV was found, it is remarkable that a
3 significant correlation exists between ecogeographical parameters and resistance. Landrace-derived
4 lines coming from higher and cooler places, therefore with better conditions for the virus, presented
5 higher resistance, which may be a result of a history of co-evolution with the pathogen.

6 The combination of the distinct genetic diversity found in general in the SBCC (Yahiaoui et al.
7 2008) with the existence of remarkable levels of resistance with adaptive value, points to a high
8 probability of finding novel sources of disease resistance in the SBCC. The novelty of these sources
9 of resistance must be investigated further and, for that purpose, specific mapping populations have
10 already been developed in collaboration by several of the authoring groups, and are currently under
11 study. The joint occurrence of outstanding resistance to several diseases in some landrace-derived
12 lines will facilitate the transference of resistance genes in breeding programs, as a single cross may
13 serve several purposes.

14

15 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

16 This research was funded by projects RTA01-088-C3, granted by the INIA (Instituto Nacional de
17 Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentación), of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology,
18 projects AGL2004-05311/AGR, AGL2007-63625, all of them co-funded by the European Regional
19 Development Fund and the Bavarian Ministry of Agriculture and Forest /Bayerisches Staatsministerium für
20 Landwirtschaft und Forsten.

21

22 **REFERENCES**

23 Bäumler, S. F., G. Felsenstein, and G. Schwarz, 2003: CAPS and DHPLC analysis of a Single
24 Nucleotide Polymorphism in the Cytochrome b gene conferring resistance to Strobilurins in field
25 isolates of *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei*. J. Phytopathol. **151**, 149–152.
26

- 1 Buxó R., N. Alonso, D. Canal, C. Echave, and I. González, 1997: Archaeobotanical remains of
2 hulled and naked cereal in the Iberian Peninsula: implication for the role of plant foods and
3 ecological context. *Veget. Hist. Archaeobot.* **6**, 15–23.
4
- 5 Clark, M. F., and A. N. Adams, 1977: Characteristics of the microplate method of enzyme-linked
6 immunosorbent assay for the detection of plant viruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* **34**, 475-483.
7
- 8 Czembor, H. J., 2002: Resistance to powdery mildew in selections from Moroccan barley landraces.
9 *Euphytica* **125**, 397-409.
10
- 11 Czembor H.J., and M. R. Johnston, 1999: Resistance to powdery mildew in selections from
12 Tunisian barley landraces. *Plant Breeding* **118**, 503-509.
13
- 14 Dreiseitl, A., and B. J. Steffenson, 2000: Postulation of leaf-rust resistance genes in Czech and
15 Slovak barley cultivars and breeding lines. *Plant Breeding* **119**, 211-214.
16
- 17 Fischbeck G., 2003: Diversification through breeding. In: R. von Bothmer, T. van Hintum, H.
18 Knüppfer and K. Sato (eds), *Diversity in Barley*, 29-52. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam.
19
- 20 Friedt W., and F. Ordon, 2007: Molecular markers for gene pyramiding and disease resistance
21 breeding in barley. In: R. V. Varshney and R. Tuberosa (eds), *Genomics-Assisted Crop*
22 *Improvement: Vol. 2: Genomics Application in Crops*, 81-101. Springer, Netherlands.
23
- 24 Gullino, M. L., and L. A. M. Kuijpers, 1994: Social and political implications of managing plant
25 diseases with restricted fungicides in Europe. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **32**, 559-579.
26
- 27 Hartleb, H. and U. Meyer, 1988: Vergleichende Untersuchungen an abgetrennten Blättern zur
28 Bewertung der Resistenz von Gerste gegen den Erreger der Netzfleckenkrankheit *Drechslera teres*
29 (Sacc.) Shoem. *Arch. Phytopathol. Pflanzenschutz* **24**, 81-83.
30
- 31 Hovmoller, M. S., V. Caffier, M. Jalli, O. Andersen, G. Besenhofer, J. H. Czembor, A. Dreiseitl, F.
32 Felsenstein, A. Fleck, F. Heinrics, R. Jonsson, E. Limpert, P. Mercer, S. Plesnik, I. Rashal, H.
33 Skinnies, S. Slater, and O. Vronska, 2000: The European barley powdery mildew virulence survey
34 and disease nursery 1993-1999. *Agronomie* **20**, 729-743.
35
- 36 Igartua E, M. P. Gracia, J. M. Lasa, B. Medina, J. L. Molina-Cano, J. L. Montoya, and I. Romagosa,
37 1998: The Spanish barley core collection. *Genet. Resour. Crop Ev.* **45**, 475–481.
38
- 39 Ivandic, V., U. Walther, and A. Graner, 1998: Molecular mapping of a new gene in wild barley
40 conferring complete resistance to leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei* Otth). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **97**, 1235-
41 1239.
42
- 43 Jahoor, A., and G. Fischbeck, 1987: Sources of Resistance to Powdery Mildew in Barley Lines
44 derived from *Hordeum spontaneum* collected in Israel. *Plant Breeding* **99**, 274-281.
45
- 46 Jensen, H. P., E. Christensen, and J. H. Jørgensen, 1992: Powdery mildew resistance genes in 127
47 Northwest European spring barley varieties. *Plant Breeding* **108**, 210-228
48
- 49 Jørgensen, J. H., and H. P. Jensen, 1997: Powdery mildew resistance in barley landrace material. I.
50 Screening for resistance. *Euphytica* **97**, 227-233.

1
2 Kolster, P., Munk, L., O. Stolen, and J. Lohde, 1986: Near isogenic barley lines with genes for
3 resistance to powdery mildew. *Crop Sci.* **26**, 903-907.
4
5 Lasa, J.M., E. Igartua, F. J. Ciudad, P. Codesal, E. V. Garcia, M. P. Gracia, B. Medina, I. Romagosa,
6 J. L. Molina-Cano, and J. L. Montoya, 2001: Morphological and agronomical diversity patterns in
7 the Spanish barley core collection. *Hereditas* **135**, 217-25.
8
9 Levine, M. N., and W. J. Cherewick, 1952: Studies on dwarf leaf rust of barley. US Dept. Agric.
10 Technol. Bull. **1056**, 1-17.
11
12 Mammadov, J. A., W. S. Brooks, C. A. Griffey and M. A. Saghai Maroof, 2007: Validating
13 molecular markers for barley leaf rust resistance genes *Rph5* and *Rph7*. *Plant Breeding* **126**, 458-
14 463.
15
16 Niks, R. E., U. Walther, H. Jaiser, F. Martínez, D. Rubiales, O. Andersen, K. Flath, P. Gymer, F.
17 Heinrichs, R. Jonsson, L. Kuntze, M. Rasmussen, and E. Richter, 2000: Resistance against barley
18 leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*) in west-European spring barley germplasm. *Agronomie* **20**, 769-782.
19
20 Ovesná, J. V., L. Kučera, J. Chrpová, I. Nováková, A. Jahoor, and V. Šíp, 2000: Genetic analysis of
21 resistance in barley to barley yellow dwarf virus. *Plant Breeding* **119**, 481-486.
22
23 Papadakis, J., 1975: *Climates of the world and their agricultural potentialities*. Edición Argentina,
24 Buenos Aires.
25
26 Parlevliet, J.E., 1975: Partial resistance of barley to leaf rust, *Puccinia hordei* I. Effect of cultivar
27 and development stage on latent period. *Euphytica* **24**, 21-27.
28
29 Pickering, R. A., A. M. Hill, M. Michel, and G. M. Timmerman-Vaughan, 1995: The transfer of a
30 powdery mildew resistance gene from *Hordeum bulbosum* L. to barley (*H. vulgare* L.) chromosome
31 2 (2I). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **91**, 1288-1292.
32
33 SAS Institute. 1988. *SAS/STAT User's Guide*, Release 6.03. Edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute
34 Inc., 1028p
35
36 Shtaya M. J. Y., J. C. Sillero, and D. Rubiales, 2006a: Search for partial resistance against *Puccinia*
37 *hordei* in barley landraces from Fertile Crescent. *Plant Breeding* **125**, 343-346.
38
39 Shtaya M. J. Y., J. C. Sillero, and D. Rubiales, 2006b: Screening for Resistance to Leaf Rust
40 (*Puccinia hordei*) in a Collection of Spanish Barleys. *Breeding Sci.* **56**, 173-177.
41
42 Sip, V., J. Chrpova, J. Vacke and J. Ovesna, 2004: Possibility of exploiting the *Yd2* resistance to
43 BYDV in spring barley breeding. *Plant Breeding* **123**, 24-29.
44
45 Sun, L., W. Lu, J. Zhang, and W. Zhang, 1999: Investigation of barley germplasm in China. *Genet.*
46 *Resour. Crop Ev.* **46**, 361-369.
47
48 Tekauz, A., 1985: A numerical scale to classify reactions of barley to *Pyrenophora teres*. *Can. J.*
49 *Plant Pathol.* **7**, 181-183.
50

- 1 Torp, J., H. P. Jensen, and J. H. Jorgensen, 1978: Powdery mildew resistance genes in 106
2 northwest European spring barley varieties. Kgl Vet-og Landbohysk Arsskr 1978, 75-102
3
- 4 van Leur, J., S. Ceccarelli, and S. Grando, 1989: Diversity for disease resistance in barley landraces
5 from Syria and Jordan. Plant Breeding **103**, 324-335.
6
- 7 Walther, U., H. Rapke, G. Proeseler, and G. Szigat, 2000: *Hordeum bulbosum*– a new source of
8 disease resistance – transfer of resistance to leaf rust and mosaic viruses from *H. bulbosum* into
9 winter barley. Plant Breeding **119**, 215-218.
10
- 11 Woldeab G., C. F., H. Singh, J. Yuen, and J. Crossa, 2007: Variation in partial resistance to barley
12 leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*) and agronomic characters of Ethiopian landrace lines. Euphytica **158**,
13 139-151.
14
- 15 Yahiaoui, S., 2006: La colección nuclear española de cebada: diversidad genética y potencial
16 agronómico. Universitat de Lleida, PhD thesis.
17
- 18 Yahiaoui, S., E. Igartua, M. Moralejo, L. Ramsay, J. L. Molina-Cano, F. J. Ciudad, J. M. Lasa, M. P.
19 Gracia, and A. M. Casas, 2008: Patterns of genetic and eco-geographical diversity in Spanish
20 barleys. Theor. Appl. Genet. **116**, 271-282.
21
- 22 Yitbarek, S., L. Berhane, A. Fikadu, J. A. G. Van Leur, S. Grando, and S. Ceccarelli, 1998:
23 Variation in Ethiopian barley landrace populations for resistance to barley leaf scald and netblotch.
24 Plant Breeding **117**, 419-423.
25
- 26 Zhan, J., B. D. L. Fitt, H. O. Pinnschmidt, S. J. P. Oxley, and A. C. Newton, 2008: Resistance,
27 epidemiology and sustainable management of *Rhynchosporium secalis* populations on barley. Plant
28 Pathol. **57**, 1-14.
29
30
31

1 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

2 Figure S1. Dendrogram of the seven isolates of powdery mildew, based on a cluster analysis of the
3 Euclidean distances between the accessions, calculated on the standardized disease scores over
4 isolates, using the Ward method.

5

6 Table S1. Plant height and yield components of BYDV infected plants, relative to non-inoculated
7 plants, of accessions in the SBCC. Numbers in columns indicate the number of landraces (Lr) or
8 cultivars (Cv) in each percentage class, for each trait. The bottom of the table indicates the
9 percentages obtained for cultivars used as checks.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Table 1. Disease assessment against seven *B. graminis* isolates in accessions from the SBCC. Numbers in
 2 columns below the isolates indicate the number of lines (Lr=landrace-derived inbred lines, Cv=cultivar)
 3 with different disease scores. The bottom of the table indicates the disease scores of checks for each
 4 isolate.

Disease Score	<i>B. graminis</i> isolates															
	R19 ^a		R30 ^b		R78 ^c		R79 ^d		R9 ^e		R117 ^f		R126 ^g		Mean*	
	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv
0	3	1	1	2	3	0	4	0	7	2	6	6	4	1	0	0
1	2	0	22	3	12	0	5	1	52	7	54	3	75	12	8	1
2	8	0	36	6	41	5	30	4	77	5	74	7	74	3	41	8
3	42	7	63	4	82	9	104	11	19	2	21	0	2	0	106	7
4	99	8	32	1	16	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alexis	0.5		0		0.5		0		0.5		0		0		0.2	
Pongo	3.5		3.5		3		-		0		0		0		-	
Pasadena	3.5		2		2		3		-		0		0.5		-	
Barke	1.5		0		0		1		0		0		0		0.4	

5 *Mean of disease score for the seven isolates

6 ^a Virulent/avirulent on *Mla7, a10, a12, a13, ra, k, nn, p, at, g, La, h/ a1, a3, a6, a9, a22, a23, o5*

7 ^b Virulent/avirulent on *Mla1 a12, a22, ra, nn, p, at, La, h/ a3, a6, a7, a9, a10, a13, a23, k, g, o5*

8 ^c Virulent/avirulent on *Mla6, a7, a9, a10, a12, a13, ra, k, nn, p, g, La, h/ a1, a3, a22, a23, at, o5*

9 ^d Virulent/avirulent on *Mla6, a7, a9, a10, a12, a13, ra, k, nn, p, at g,, La, h/ a1, a3, a22, a23, o5*

10 ^e Virulent/avirulent on *Mla10, a23, ra, k, nn, p, at, g, La, h/ a1, a3, a6, a7, a9, a12, a13, a22, o5*

11 ^f Virulent/avirulent on *Mla3, a6, a12, a22, ra, nn, p, at, La, h/ a1, a7, a9, a10, a13, a23, k, g, o5*

12 ^g Virulent/avirulent on *Mla3, a6, a7, a22, ra, nn, p, g, La, h/ a1, a9, a10, a12, a13, a23, k, at, o5*

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 Table 2. Disease assessment of SBCC accessions against three *P. teres* isolates (detached leaves test), and
 2 one isolate of *R. secalis*. Numbers in columns below the isolates indicate the number of lines
 3 (Lr=landrace-derived inbred lines, Cv=cultivar) with different disease scores (S=susceptible;
 4 MS=moderately susceptible; MR=moderately resistant; and R=resistant). The bottom of the table indicates
 5 the disease scores of checks for each isolate.

6

Disease score	<i>P. teres</i> isolates ¹								<i>R. secalis</i>	
	97:1		Am		NZ		Mean ²		Sachs 147-1	
	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv
R	1	0	2	1	12	1	0	1	40	1
MR	2	1	2	0	4	0	3	0	37	7
MS	42	4	42	2	30	5	38	5	44	2
S	110	11	109	13	109	10	114	10	34	6
Compana	7 (S)		7 (S)		8 (S)		-		-	
Femina	7 (S)		8 (S)		8 (S)		-		-	
Zenit	7 (S)		4 (MR)		3 (R)		-		-	
Steffi					-				4 (S)	
Camelot					-				1.9 (MR)	

7 ¹Data from the detached leaves assay

8 ²Mean of disease score for the three isolates

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 Table 3. Disease assessment against *P. hordei* in accessions from the SBCC. Data are from a field
 2 trial under natural infection (expressed as percentage of infected leaf area in the flag leaf), and from
 3 a greenhouse test with isolate I-80, based on a 0-4 scale (Lr=landrace-derived inbred lines,
 4 Cv=cultivar). The bottom of the table indicates the disease scores of checks.

Percentage	<i>P. hordei</i>				
	Field test		Score	Isolate I-80	
	Lr	Cv		Lr	Cv
0	1	2	0	0	0
1	6	0			
3	24	0	1	5	0
5	28	0			
10	35	7	2	24	0
15	18	3			
20	25	3	3	130	16
30	14	1			
40	1	0	4	0	0
50	3	0			
L94	30			-	
Vada	1			-	

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

1 Table 4. Disease assessment against BYDV and BaMMV in accessions from the SBCC
 2 (Lr=landrace-derived inbred lines, Cv=cultivar). Percentage score for BYDV represents the variable
 3 Degree of Attack (DA), whereas data for BaMMV are based on percentage of infection rates in a
 4 growth chamber test, and further determination of resistant accessions with DAS-ELISA (for
 5 further explanation, see text). The bottom of the table indicates the disease scores of checks.

Percentage	BYDV		BaMMV			
	Field test		Growth chamber		Test Elisa	
	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv	Lr	Cv
0-10	0	0	32	1	18	1
10-20	0	0	1	0	4	0
20-30	28	1	3	0	0	0
30-40	36	5	2	0	1	0
40-50	27	6	0	0	1	0
50-60	22	1	3	0	1	0
60-70	18	1	4	0	0	0
70-80	10	0	1	0	3	0
80-90	4	1	3	2	3	0
90-100	3	0	103	13	29	2
Femina	30			-		
Vixen	10			-		
Coracle	4			-		
Maris				99		
Otter	-					

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

1

2 Table 5. Classification of accessions from the SBCC, according to their reactions against the six
3 diseases tested (Lr=landrace-derived inbred lines, Cv=cultivar). Footnotes indicate the criteria used
4 to classify the accessions ('+' indicates resistance).

Powdery Mildew ¹	Scald ²	Net Blotch ³	Leaf Rust ⁴	BYDV ⁵	BaMMV ⁶	N° of lines	
						Lr	Cv
+	+	-	+	-	-	2	0
+	-	+	+	-	-	1	0
+	-	-	+	-	-	0	1
+	+	-	-	-	-	11	2
+	-	-	-	-	+	2	0
-	+	-	+	-	-	1	0
-	+	-	-	-	+	1	0
+	-	-	-	-	-	33	6
-	+	-	-	-	-	25	0
-	-	-	+	-	-	3	1
-	-	-	-	-	+	9	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	67	5
58	42	1	9	0	13	171	

5 ¹Mean of seven isolates. Disease score 0-2

6 ²Disease score 0-1

7 ³Mean of three isolates. Disease score ≤ 3

8 ⁴Percentage of infected leaf area ≤ 1

9 ⁵Degree of attack $\leq 10\%$

10 ⁶Infection Rate =0 in the DAS-ELISA test

11

12

13

1 Table 6. Means of disease score for the SBCC lines grouped according to Yahiaoui et al. (2008), or
 2 based on the climates in the collection site (Papadakis, 1975). Populations I-IV are landrace-derived
 3 accessions while groups V-VI are cultivars. Only landrace-derived inbred lines are classified
 4 according to climatic regions (CM=continental Mediterranean, TM=temperate Mediterranean,
 5 FTM= Fresh temperate Mediterranean, SM=Subtropical Mediterranean, MM= Mediterranean
 6 maritime). The bottom of the table indicates correlation coefficients between latitude, altitude,
 7 rainfall and disease score.

Populations or groups	Powdery Mildew¹	Scald²	Net blotch³	Leaf rust⁴	BYDV⁵	BaMMV⁶
I	2.25 a	2.08 a	6.51 a	15.77 b	46.00 a	57.64 bc
II	1.91 ab	1.70 abc	5.96 a	26.43 a	35.43 a	98.60 a
III	2.31 a	1.16 c	6.47 a	13.27 bc	46.69 a	54.74 c
IV	1.95 b	1.33 c	6.39 a	9.77 d	46.59 a	84.50 a
V	1.65 b	2.06 ab	6.15 a	8.12 cd	38.75 a	100.00 a
VI	2.05 ab	1.29 bc	6.00 a	17.50 ab	51.25 a	83.20 ab
Papadakis index						
CM	2.24 a	1.53 a	6.73 a	12.33 ab	44.69 bc	65.89 b
TM	2.17 a	1.31 a	6.32 ab	16.73 a	50.09 ab	55.43 b
FTM	2.15 a	1.42 a	6.56 ab	12.67 ab	54.41 a	57.75 b
SM	2.13 a	1.19 a	6.28 ab	8.24 b	42.79 bc	98.09 a
MM	1.68 b	1.54 a	6.10 b	10.53 b	37.86 c	95.51 a
Rainfall	-0.16*	0.13	-0.17*	-0.03	-0.02	0.08
Latitude	0.23*	0.15	0.11	0.08	-0.15	-0.34*
Altitude	0.16*	-0.06	0.14	0.22*	-0.12	-0.27*

8
 9 Means with different letter within the same column indicate significant differences at $P=0.05$

10 ¹ Disease score (0 resistant – 4 susceptible), mean for seven isolates

11 ² Disease score (0 resistant – 4 susceptible), mean for four dates on four plants

12 ³ Disease score (1 resistant – 10 susceptible), mean for three isolates on detached leaves assay

13 ⁴ Percentage of leaf surface infected in field test

14 ⁵ Degree of attack

15 ⁶ Incidence (percentage of plants with symptoms)

16 * Correlation coefficients are significant at $P=0.05$

17

18