

1 **Nutritional status and genetic variation in the response to nutrient availability in**
2 ***Pinus pinaster*. A multisite field study in NW Spain**

3

4

5 Patricia Martins¹; Luis Sampedro¹; Xoaquín Moreira¹ and Rafael Zas^{2*}

6

7

8 1 Centro de Investigaciones Ambientales CINAM-Lourizán, Apdo. 127, E-36080

9 Pontevedra, Spain. E-mail pmartins@siam-cma.org; lsampe@uvigo.es; xmoreira@siam-

10 cma.org

11 2 Misión Biológica de Galicia, CSIC, Apdo. 28, E-36080 Pontevedra, Spain.

12

13

14 Running head: *Pinus pinaster* response to nutrient availability

15 Number of text pages: 31

16 Number of tables: 5

17 Number of figures: 4

18

19 * Corresponding author: rzas@cesga.es

20 Phone Number: +34 986 854800

21 Fax: +34 986 841362

22 **Abstract**

23 The low nutrient availability of the acidic and sandy soils of Galicia (NW Spain) is
24 probably the main environmental factor limiting forest primary productivity in the area.
25 These particular edaphic conditions could have imposed selective pressures on maritime
26 pine populations leading to specific local adaptations.

27 We first assessed the nutritional status of 22 young contemporary *P. pinaster* plantations in
28 NW Spain, and then analysed the response to fertilization in three family × fertilization
29 trials, and how this response varied across sites and genotypes.

30 Growth of *P. pinaster* in NW Spain appeared to be largely limited by nutrient availability,
31 where most of the plantations showed severe nutrient deficiencies, especially in P and Mg.
32 According to these deficiencies, a strong positive response to nutrient additions was
33 observed in the three trials, with height increments of up to 30% compared with the
34 unfertilized control. However, the response to fertilizers was very variable from site to site,
35 and in some cases did not agree with the foliar nutritional diagnosis. The response to
36 fertilization was also significantly affected by pine genotype, suggesting that the plastic
37 response to nutrient additions within each environment was under genetic control.
38 However, the family response to nutrient availability was not consistent across sites, and no
39 significant differences among families were observed for the RDPI plasticity index –a
40 single index that summarizes the phenotypic change in multiple environments– when
41 analysed across environments.

42 The strong environmental component modulating phenotypic responses to fertilization
43 could impose an important obstacle to evolve specific adaptations to the local edaphic
44 conditions, as well as to artificially select genotypes adapted to different environments and
45 silviculture regimes.

46 **Keywords:** Genetic variation, Phenotypic plasticity, Genotype × environment interaction,
47 Fertilization × genotype interaction, Nutrient deficiencies, Plasticity index.

48 **Introduction**

49 Within its natural range of distribution, maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.)
50 populations show high geographical structuration of intraespecific genetic variation
51 (Gonzalez Martinez *et al.*, 2004; Bucci *et al.*, 2007). The extremely variable ecological
52 conditions where this species grows and the isolation of populations have led to several
53 adaptations to local environmental conditions, such as specific parent materials, drought
54 regimes, or fire frequency (e.g. Alia *et al.*, 1997; Tapias *et al.*, 2004; Correia *et al.*, 2008).
55 Within population genetic variation is also high, favouring the ability to evolve in response
56 to local selective pressures (Gonzalez Martinez *et al.*, 2005). In addition, *P. pinaster* is
57 recognized to show a high phenotypic plasticity, being able to modulate the phenotype
58 expression according to the particular environmental conditions where it grows (Chambel
59 *et al.*, 2007).

60 Forest soils in Northwest Spain typically show low nutrient availability and large
61 spatial heterogeneity in chemical soil properties, both at small and large scales (Paz-
62 Gonzalez *et al.*, 2000; Gallardo and Covelo, 2005). They are acidic (as results from humid
63 climate, subtractive systems, and acid parent materials) and they have high organic matter
64 content as a consequence of the slow mineralization rates due to Aluminum binding (Calvo
65 and Díaz-Fierros, 1982). The high precipitation rates and intensities in the area and the
66 sandy textures of the soils facilitate infiltration and cation leaching, which, together with
67 the low pH, results in low nutrient availabilities. However, nutrient richness largely varies
68 across the diverse geological materials found in the region (Macías *et al.*, 1982), and
69 diverse ecological processes at the individual or ecosystem scale also generate small scale
70 spatial heterogeneity in chemical soil properties (Gallardo and Covelo, 2005).

71 Due to high mean annual temperatures and rainfall, and favourable light
72 availabilities, the net primary forest productivity in this region is relatively high. The poor
73 quality of the soils, however, is commonly displayed in important nutrient deficiencies in

74 forest stands that limit their potential growth (e.g. Merino *et al.*, 2003; Zas and Serrada,
75 2003). Phosphorus, potassium and magnesium are the main factors limiting tree growth in
76 this region. Soil nitrogen content is usually sufficient for forest production, and may even
77 be excessive, unbalancing the nutrition with other macronutrients (Zas and Serrada, 2003).
78 Reflecting these nutrient deficiencies, large responses of forest plantations to nutrient
79 additions have been widely reported in the area, and forest fertilization has been strongly
80 recommended (Solla-Gullón *et al.*, 2004; Solla-Gullón *et al.*, 2006; Zas *et al.*, 2006a).

81 Almost all work analyzing the nutritional status and fertilization needs of forest
82 plantations in NW Spain has been carried out on fast growing exotic species such as *Pinus*
83 *radiata* (Sánchez-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2002; Zas and Serrada, 2003), *Pseudotsuga menziesii*
84 (Zas, 2003; Solla-Gullón *et al.*, 2006) or *Eucalyptus globulus* (Merino *et al.*, 2003). The
85 nutritional disorders commonly observed in these studies have been attributed to the high
86 specific nutrient demand of these highly productive species. However, no information on
87 nutritional aspects is available for the maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.), a fast growing
88 native colonizer, widely spread in SW Europe.

89 Maritime pine populations from NW Spain are included within the Atlantic group,
90 which also includes the well known Landas French provenances and Leiría Portuguese
91 provenances, broadly used for forestry purposes. However, several studies using molecular
92 markers (Bucci *et al.*, 2007), or analyzing quantitative variation in provenance trials
93 (Molina, 1965; Alia *et al.*, 1997) have revealed the singularity of the Galician maritime
94 pine origin within the Iberian Peninsula context, and even within the Atlantic group. The
95 particular edaphic conditions in this area may have contributed to the differentiation of
96 these populations through local adaptations to low and heterogeneous nutrient availability.
97 In concordance with this hypothesis, it is axiomatic among local foresters that *P. pinaster*
98 is much less nutrient demanding and responds much less to fertilization than other fast
99 growing species (Bará, 1990).

100 The aims of the present study were i) to check if *P. pinaster* plantations in NW
101 Spain show nutritional disorders as has been demonstrated for other fast growing tree
102 species in the region, ii) to determine the response to fertilization with different nutrients of
103 *P. pinaster* and how this response varied across different test sites, i.e. how the response to
104 nutrient availability is environmentally modulated, and iii) to quantify the genetic control
105 of the phenotypic responses to nutrient availability in the north west maritime pine
106 population.

107

108 **Material and Methods**

109 **Experimental approach**

110 In order to answer to the first question, twenty-two *P. pinaster* reforestations, planted
111 throughout Galicia (NW Spain) in 1996 and representative of the maritime pine stands in
112 the region, were selected and sampled for nutritional diagnosis (Figure 1).

113 In addition, three family × fertilization trials were established in 2003 (sites B and C)
114 and in 2004 (site A) to analyze the response of *P. pinaster* to establishment fertilization and
115 to quantify the variation in the response to nutrient availability in the NW Coastal *P.*
116 *pinaster* population (Figure 1).

117 Climate throughout the area is temperate humid Atlantic, with high annual
118 precipitation and relatively short summer drought (Table 1). The trial at site A has a slight
119 Mediterranean and Continental influences, with lower annual and summer precipitation and
120 higher temperature fluctuation than sites B and C.

121

122 **Plant material**

123 All the *P. pinaster* reforestation stands were planted in 1996 with genetic material from the
124 Region of Provenance ‘1a Coastal Galicia’ (Alía *et al.*, 1996).

125 The plant material of the family \times fertilization trials consisted of open-pollinated
126 families from 28 maternal plus trees selected for superior growth, stem form and branch
127 characteristics in mature plantations or natural stands of *P. pinaster* in Galicia. All seeds
128 were collected in a clonal seed orchard (Sergude, 42.82°N, 8.45°W) in the same year. We
129 also included three unimproved commercial *P. pinaster* seed sources as controls, two from
130 Galicia and one from France (Landas origin). The experimental units were completed to 32
131 plants with one *P. radiata* extra seed source, not included in the analysis.

132

133 **Experimental layout**

134 Reforestation stands were sampled when they were around two years old. Within each site,
135 one rectangular sample plot of 50 forested plants was established for each hectare planted.
136 Sample plot size was variable depending on the planting density, with a minimum area of
137 300 m².

138 The experimental layout in the three family \times fertilization trials was a split-plot
139 design replicated in ten blocks, with nine fertilization treatments acting as the main factor
140 and the genetic entries as the split factor. In total, we planted 2880 seedlings in each trial,
141 corresponding to 10 blocks \times 9 fertilization treatments \times 32 genetic entries (28 families of
142 half-sibs + 3 control seed lots + 1 *P. radiata*). Spacing was 3 \times 2 m. Fertilization treatments
143 were an unfertilized control and eight treatments built upon combinations of four
144 commercial fertilizers (Table 2). Plants were fertilized by hand just after planting.

145

146 **Sampling and field assessments**

147 Total height and root-collar diameter were measured in all living plants in the sample plots
148 of the 22 reforestation stands at age 2. In the family \times fertilization trials, total height in cm
149 was measured in all living plants yearly during the first five years after planting.

150 For foliar nutritional diagnosis of all stands (reforestations and family × fertilization
151 trials), current season needle samples were collected from three branch-ends per tree in the
152 upper third of the crowns of the trees following Ballard et al. (1986). In the 22 reforestation
153 stands, two composite samples (from a minimum of 10 trees each) were collected in each
154 sampling plot at the end of the second growing season.

155 Foliar nutrient diagnosis in the three family × fertilization trials was carried out on the
156 unfertilized ‘control’ plants five years after planting. In these trials a more extensive
157 sampling was carried out, with the collection of five composite samples in each trial
158 following the same procedure as above. Samples were placed in plastic bags and
159 transported in ice-cooled containers to the lab. Needle samples were oven-dried at 65 °C to
160 constant weight, finely grounded and preserved for chemical analysis.

161 Composite soil samples were also taken in all stands for chemical analysis. In the
162 reforestation stands, five soil samples (0–20 cm depth, 8 cm diameter soil corers) were
163 taken from the four corners and centre of each sampling plot, mixed, and homogenized to
164 form one composite sample per plot. In the progeny × fertilization trials, three composite
165 soil samples (composed of five samples each as above) were taken per trial. Soil samples
166 were sieved through a 2 mm screen, oven-dried at 60 °C and stored until chemical analysis.

167

168 **Plant and Soil Analyses**

169 The foliar contents of total P, K, Ca and Mg were determined by inductively coupled
170 plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) using a Perking-Elmer Optima 4300DV
171 (Massachusetts, USA) after microwave assisted digestion of foliar samples. Total N
172 concentrations were determined by dry combustion using a LECO CN-2000 elemental
173 analyzer (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI). The foliar nutrient critical levels reported by
174 Bonneau (1995) for N, P, K, and Ca nutrition in *P. pinaster*, and by Will (1985) for Mg
175 nutrition in *P. radiata* were used for nutritional diagnosis of all stands.

176 For soil analyses, total N and total organic C were determined using a LECO CN-
177 2000 elemental analyzer (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI); total K, Ca and Mg were
178 determined by ICP-OES using a Perking-Elmer Optima 4300DV (Massachusetts, USA)
179 after microwave assisted wet digestion as above; and available P was evaluated by the
180 Bray-II method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) (UV-VIS Beckman). The particle-size distribution
181 in soil samples was determined following the pipette method (Gee and Bauder, 1996), and
182 the pH was measured in deionized H₂O (1 : 2.5 d.w. : vol).

183 Chemical analyses were performed in the central laboratory facilities (C.A.C.T.I.) at
184 Universidade de Vigo (<http://webs.uvigo.es/cactiweb/index.htm>).

185

186 **Adjusting spatial autocorrelation**

187 Growth traits assessed in field trials frequently show non-random spatial structures that
188 may affect the efficiency of standard statistical analyses (e.g. Fu *et al.*, 1999; Dutkowski *et*
189 *al.*, 2006). When spatial heterogeneity is present, near neighbours are more similar than
190 plants further away, i.e., data are autocorrelated, and the requirement of data independence
191 in standard parametric statistics is violated (Legendre, 1993). Although block designs
192 improve the statistical efficiency by controlling some of the spatial variation, there are
193 many situations where block designs are not able to account for all the spatial
194 heterogeneity. In such cases, spatial analysis techniques become essential to correctly
195 analyze spatial autocorrelated data (Dutkowski *et al.*, 2006; Zas, 2006).

196 We determined the spatial position of each tree using a total station (Pentax R-315)
197 and then we prospected the spatial structure of the dependent variable in each site by
198 constructing the empiric semivariogram for the residuals adjusted for main effects
199 (fertilization, family, and family × fertilization) with the SAS VARIOGRAM procedure
200 (SAS-Institute, 1999). Those variables that were spatially dependent were corrected using
201 the Iterative Spatial Analysis (ISA) method (Zas, 2006). Briefly, the spatial variation of the

202 dependent variable was modeled by the kriging method using a theoretical model fitted to
203 the observed semivariogram and the KRIG2D procedure of SAS. We then adjusted the
204 original variable for its spatial autocorrelation, subtracting the kriging estimate in each
205 position. The new corrected variable was then reanalysed and a new estimate of the main
206 effects was obtained, and used to generate new residuals. The process was repeated
207 iteratively, until convergence of the estimates of main effects (usually 5 steps are enough).
208 A detailed description of the method can be consulted in Zas (2006). The spatial
209 adjustments were carried out for each site (A, B, and C) and age (1 to 5) independently.

210

211 **Statistical analyses**

212 Spatially adjusted height data at each age in the three family \times fertilization trials were
213 analysed by means of a split-split model, in which the site (S) was assigned to the main
214 plot, the fertilization treatments (F) to the subplot, and the genotypes (open-pollinated
215 families, G) to the sub-subplot. The data were analysed with a mixed model using the SAS
216 MIXED procedure (Littell *et al.*, 2006).

217 To test for significant effects of including a specific nutrient in the fertilization
218 treatment, specific comparisons between those treatments that only differ in the inclusion
219 of that nutrient were analysed using the CONTRAST statement of the MIXED procedure
220 (Littell *et al.*, 2006). The effect of including N was tested contrasting treatments (F₁, F₃, F₅,
221 F₇) with treatments (F₂, F₄, F₆, F₈) (see Table 2 for treatment description). The effects of
222 adding PCa, K, and Mg were tested with the following specific contrasts: (F₁, F₂) versus
223 (F₃, F₆) for P, (F₁, F₂) versus (F₄, F₇) for K, and (F₁, F₂) versus (F₅, F₈) for Mg.

224

225 **Variation in the response to nutrient availability**

226 The Simplified Relative Distances Plasticity Index (RDPI_s) proposed by Valladares *et al.*
227 (2006) was used to estimate, within each site and age, the response of each *P. pinaster*

228 family to variation in nutrient availability. This index is particularly suited for quantifying
229 the phenotypic change of a given genetic entry along more than 3 environments that do not
230 follow a specific environmental gradient. The index was calculated based on phenotypic
231 distances between the least square means for each family-treatment combination derived
232 from the mixed models. For each age and site, the $RDPI_s$ index for genotype i was
233 calculated as:

$$234 \quad RDPI_s(i) = \frac{\sum_{j \neq k} |LSM_{ij} - LSM_{ik}| / (LSM_{ij} + LSM_{ik})}{n}$$

235 where LSM_{ij} and LSM_{ik} are the least squares for height growth of genotype i in treatments j
236 and k , respectively (being j and k always different fertilization treatments), and n is the total
237 number of distances, i.e. the number of possible pairs of different treatments. In our case,
238 with 9 fertilization treatments, $n = 36$.

239 The $RDPI_s$ indices were calculated for each site independently, resulting in three
240 estimations of the plastic responses for each family and age. For each age, a two way
241 ANOVA was applied to the $RDPI_s$ data to test for the environmental (site) and genetic
242 (family) control of the response to variation in nutrient availability.

243

244 **Results**

245 **Soil nutrient content and nutritional status of *P. pinaster* stands in NW Spain**

246 The *P. pinaster* reforestation stands were established on typical Galician forest soils,
247 characterized by a sandy texture, acidic pH, high contents of organic matter, and low levels
248 of nutrients, especially of available phosphorus (Table 3). Total nitrogen contents were
249 high in almost all sites, showing a more reduced variation than the other macronutrients.
250 On the contrary, available P, and exchangeable Ca and Mg were extremely variable, with
251 coefficients of variation over 100%. The discrepancy between the mean and the median for
252 these three nutrients indicates skewed distributions, with most of the sites having low

253 nutrient concentrations, and only a few having extreme high values. For instance, in the
254 case of P, despite a mean value of 20.6 mg kg⁻¹, 16 out of the 22 stands had P values below
255 10 mg kg⁻¹. These results reflect the diversity of previous land uses on the studied sites.
256 Most of the sites were typical forest sites or marginal agricultural lands where agriculture
257 was abandoned many years ago, but some sites were used for crop production until very
258 recently, with organic and inorganic fertilization and intensive tillage favouring higher
259 nutrient contents. Soil characteristics in the three family × fertilization trials were within
260 the range of the reforestation plots (Table 3).

261 None of the 22 reforestation stands and the 3 family × fertilization trials showed
262 foliar concentrations above the respective critical levels for all macronutrients, indicating
263 that all plots suffer some degree of nutrient deficiencies (Figure 2). The most frequent
264 deficiencies were in P and Mg, with 16 and 18 out of the 22 stands showing severe
265 deficiencies, respectively. K and Ca foliar concentrations were below the critical level in
266 11 and 7 cases, respectively. On the other hand, all reforestation stands showed satisfactory
267 foliar nitrogen levels except three sites. These high foliar nitrogen concentrations were
268 reflected in a large imbalance between nitrogen and phosphorus nutrition with 20 out of the
269 22 stands with N:P ratios above the critical threshold of 12.5.

270 The three family × fertilization trials showed similar patterns of foliar nutrient
271 concentrations, with satisfactory levels of nitrogen but marginal or critical levels of the
272 other four macronutrients (Figure 2).

273 Phosphorus was the only nutrient for which foliar concentrations were significantly
274 correlated with soil nutrient levels ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.05$, $N = 22$). In addition, pine growth in
275 the reforestation stands was significantly correlated with foliar concentrations of P ($r =$
276 0.42 , $p < 0.05$) and Ca ($r = 0.47$, $p < 0.05$).

277

278 **Fertilization response in family × fertilization trials**

279 Fertilization treatments significantly increased pine height growth in the three family ×
280 fertilization trials (Table 4), but this positive effect decreased with time (Figure 3). The
281 fertilization × site interaction was strong and significant in all the years (Table 4),
282 indicating that the fertilization treatments had different effects on height growth depending
283 on the trial. Site B showed the strongest response to the fertilization treatments, with an
284 average response ranging from 30% one year after the application of treatments to 15%
285 five years later (Figure 3A). The average response to the fertilization treatments in the
286 other two sites was much lower and became not significant with time (Figure 3A).

287 The specific responses to the fertilization treatments in site B were similar between
288 treatments, and the response to the best treatment (Figure 3B) was not very different to the
289 average response to all the fertilization treatments (Figure 3A). Accordingly, specific
290 contrasts revealed no significant effects of single nutrient additions in this site (Figure 4).
291 In the other two sites, the effect of some specific nutrients on pine growth was especially
292 strong. The addition of PCa, K, or Mg significantly increased height growth in site A, as
293 did K in site C, whereas the inclusion of N reduced growth in both sites, and adding P
294 significantly reduced growth in site C. These variable effects of adding specific nutrients
295 resulted in large differences in these two test sites between the maximum response to the
296 best treatment (Figure 3B) and the average response to all the fertilization treatments
297 (Figure 3A). The maximum response was obtained for the F2 treatment (PCa+K+Mg) in
298 sites A and B, and for F3 (N+K+Mg) in site C.

299

300 **Genetic variation in the response to fertilization**

301 Differences among families were significant for height growth over the five years after
302 planting with no clear trend over time (Table 4). Families also differed significantly in their
303 response to the fertilization treatments, as revealed by the significant family × fertilization

304 interaction (Table 4). Furthermore, the family \times site interaction and the family \times
305 fertilization \times site were significant too, indicating that both the family growth and the
306 family response to fertilizers varied between sites.

307 The significant family \times fertilization interaction suggests a genetic variation in the
308 response to nutrient availability within the studied population. To test for the genetic
309 control of this response we analysed the variation of the RDPI_s plasticity index estimated
310 for each genotype and test site by means of ANOVA. With our experimental layout we
311 were unable to detect genetic differences in the response to nutrient availability, but we
312 found a strong environmental effect (Table 5).

313 According to this weak genetic control of the response to fertilization, the family \times
314 fertilization interaction for height growth was relatively small when compared to the family
315 \times site and the family \times site \times fertilization interactions. Considering the family factor and all
316 the interactions involving this factor as random effects in the general mixed model, the
317 estimate of the family \times fertilization variance component was around 80 times less than the
318 other two interaction components, and 30 times less than the family variance component
319 (data not shown).

320

321 **Discussion**

322 The results of the present paper indicate that growth of *P. pinaster* in North West Spain,
323 although benefiting from favourable temperature and rainfall patterns, is limited by nutrient
324 availability. Soil nutrient concentrations were relatively low in many of the study sites.
325 Foliar nutrient concentrations were below the critical levels in most of the cases, and below
326 the values commonly observed in other *P. pinaster* stands in France and Australia that are
327 known to be nutrient limited (Warren *et al.*, 2005; Trichet *et al.*, 2008). As in other forest
328 tree species in the area, the most common deficiencies were in P and Mg, and to a lesser

329 extent in K and Ca, while N was usually well supplied. Sánchez-Rodríguez et al. (2002)
330 and Zas & Serrada (2003) reported that most of the *P. radiata* plantations studied in NW
331 Spain were deficient in P, Mg and, in some cases, in Ca, while they were satisfactory in N.
332 Similarly, high and satisfactory N foliar concentrations and low and frequently critical P,
333 K, Ca and Mg concentrations were reported for *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Zas, 2003; Solla-
334 Gullón et al., 2006) and *Eucalyptus globulus* (Merino et al., 2003) in the area. In addition,
335 P was the only nutrient for which foliar concentrations significantly correlated with soil
336 contents, as commonly occurs for nutrients with limited availability (e.g. Gallardo and
337 Covelo, 2005). In agreement with this nutritional diagnosis, pine growth was significantly
338 correlated with foliar P and Ca concentrations. Similarly, early growth and/or site index has
339 been shown to be significantly correlated with foliar and soil P in *P. radiata* (Sánchez-
340 Rodríguez et al., 2002; Zas and Serrada, 2003) and with foliar P and Ca in *Pseudotsuga*
341 *menziesii* (Zas, 2003) in NW Spain.

342 According to the deficiencies detected through foliar nutrient analysis, a significant
343 positive response to nutrient additions was observed in the three family × fertilization trials,
344 although these responses varied from site to site. *P. pinaster* response to fertilization has
345 been reported before (e.g. Warren et al., 2005; Trichet et al., 2008) but little information
346 was available for NW Spain, where it is generally accepted that this species responds little
347 to nutrient additions (Bará, 1990). In sandy acidic soils in France (Saur, 1990; Trichet et
348 al., 2008) and Australia (Warren et al., 2005), large growth increments, comparable to
349 those found here, have been reported in response to nutrient additions, especially of P, and
350 superphosphate fertilization is now routinely used in those areas.

351 Response to fertilization has been also documented in NW Spain for other fast
352 growing species such *P. radiata* (Solla-Gullón et al., 2004; Zas et al., 2006a). In a similar
353 family × fertilization trial series as the one studied here, *P. radiata* showed large responses
354 to P and Mg additions, with height increments larger than those observed here for *P.*

355 *pinaster* (up to 170% over the unfertilized control one year after fertilization, Zas et al.
356 2006a). In addition, the response of *P. radiata* to different nutrient combinations was very
357 similar across sites (Zas et al., 2006a), whereas here the response of *P. pinaster* was very
358 variable, despite similar foliar nutritional diagnosis in the three trials. Thus, the response to
359 fertilization agreed with the soil nutrient levels and foliar nutrient diagnosis in some cases
360 but not in others. In site B all nutrients except N were below the critical levels. This was
361 the site where the overall response to fertilizers was higher and no significant differences
362 were detected for specific nutrient additions. In site A, the significant response to PCa, K,
363 and Mg, and the negative effect of N agreed with the foliar nutrient diagnosis for these
364 nutrients. Similarly, N additions have been shown to have a negative effect in *P. radiata*
365 fertilization trials (Zas et al., 2006a), where a large imbalance between N and P and
366 between N and K has been reported (Zas and Serrada, 2003). The negative effect of N
367 fertilization was also observed in site C, where N foliar concentrations were again above
368 the critical value. However, in this site, the negative effect of P fertilization was
369 unexpected given the low foliar P concentrations and the high foliar N:P ratio in this site.
370 The negative effect of P in this site can be explained by the incidence of a *Hylobius abietis*
371 (Curculionidae, Coleoptera) attack during the first two years after planting that disturbed
372 the normal response to fertilization. The pine weevil preferentially attacked the plants
373 fertilized with PCa, causing large height reductions that outweighed the growth gains
374 generated by fertilization (Zas et al., 2006b).

375 The response to fertilization not only varied among sites, but also among genetic
376 entries. The significant family \times fertilization interaction suggests that the ability to respond
377 to fertilization varied among families, i.e., the phenotypic response or family plasticity to
378 nutrient availability was under genetic control. Theoretically, phenotypic plasticity should
379 be measured at the genotypic level, so the effects of genetic variability can be distinguished
380 from variation in phenotypic expression (Pigliucci, 1996). Because families are not single

381 genotypes, but a mixture of related genotypes with the same mother tree, the value of a
382 given family in a given environment can incorporate some uncontrolled genotypic effects
383 as well as some genotype \times environment interaction. These uncontrolled effects may
384 disturb the estimation of actual phenotypic plasticity, so the variation in the family
385 response to nutrient addition is not, strictly speaking, a direct measure of variation in
386 phenotypic plasticity. Ideally, to estimate the genetic control of phenotypic plasticity,
387 individual trees belonging to different families should have been clonally replicated in the
388 different fertilization treatments. Then the family structure of the sample could be used to
389 estimate the genetic control of plasticity. Although it is well known that there is abundant
390 genetic variation for plastic responses (Pigliucci, 2005), there is very little information
391 based on proper empirical approaches with clonally replicated genotypes allowing the
392 quantification of this variation and the estimation of heritability of plastic responses in
393 strict terms, especially for forest trees (Chambel *et al.*, 2005). With our experimental
394 design, however, we can have several estimates of the sensibility of each family to nutrient
395 availability variation, allowing us to statistically quantify the genetic control of the family
396 responsiveness to fertilization. We found little or no genetic variation for the plastic
397 responses at the family level to nutrient additions across environments. The response to
398 fertilization seemed to be strongly influenced by other environmental factors that
399 differentially modulate the plastic response of each family in each environment. This could
400 be easily due to non linear reaction norms and different nutritional soil conditions among
401 the test sites. Adding the same amount of nutrients to soils differing in nutrient availability
402 and fertilization efficiency, may have led to variations in the family response to fertilizers
403 (Namkoong *et al.*, 1992). In accordance with this, although soil and foliar diagnosis of the
404 three test sites were quite similar, response to fertilization greatly varied among sites, and
405 this variation altered the family response to the fertilization treatments, as revealed by the
406 significant family \times fertilization \times site interaction term. In addition, the genetic response to

407 the fertilization treatments could also vary among sites because of the influence of other
408 unknown biotic and/or abiotic environmental factors that varied among the test sites. In
409 instance, different symbiotic fungal communities among sites may differentially alter the
410 family responses to nutrient additions in different sites (Mari *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, the
411 ability to get infected by specific mycorrhizal species may differ between pine families, as
412 observed in other conifer species (Korkama *et al.*, 2006). Besides, interactions with other
413 environmental factors are known to alter the plastic response to a specific environmental
414 factor such is the case, for example, with the plastic responses to shade depending on the
415 water availability (Climent *et al.*, 2006; Sánchez-Gómez *et al.*, 2007).

416 Our results suggest that, although there was genetic variation for the response to
417 nutrient availability, this variation was strongly environmentally modulated, hampering
418 both the evolution of specific responses as adaptations to local environmental conditions,
419 and the possibility of artificially selecting, within breeding programs, genotypes suited to
420 different soil conditions and/or silviculture regimes. Further research, comparing the
421 plasticity of *P. pinaster* populations coming from different soil conditions, would help to
422 understand the hypothesized local adaptations to low and heterogeneous nutrient
423 availability of the NW maritime pine population (Bradshaw, 2006). The large population
424 divergence in the phenotypic response of *P. pinaster* to water availability, with the Atlantic
425 provenance of Leira (Portugal) being the most plastic origin (Chambel *et al.*, 2007), is an
426 attractive start point for this investigation.

427 In summary, nutritional disorders of *P. pinaster* in NW Spain were frequent and
428 similar to those found in other fast growing species, but the qualitative response to
429 fertilization was not as clear as that found for other species, specifically in radiata pine (Zas
430 *et al.*, 2006a). Judging from the results presented here, P and Mg fertilization should be
431 recommended to increase early growth and attain crown closure earlier, but the lack of a
432 consistent response among sites, and the possible undesired effects of fertilization, such as

433 the increase in pest incidences (Zas *et al.*, 2006b) or the increase in susceptibility to storm
434 damage (Cucchi and Bert, 2003; Trichet *et al.*, 2008), suggest that the convenience of
435 fertilization cannot be generalized and it should be specifically determined in each case.
436 The results also indicated that families differed in the response to the fertilization
437 treatments but this family response was environmentally dependent. The strong
438 environmental component modulating the phenotypic responses to nutrient availability in
439 nutrient-lacking soils could impose an important obstacle for the selection towards local
440 adaptations to low and heterogeneous nutrient availability. Analogously, it hinders the
441 artificial selection of genotypes adapted to produce high productivity phenotypes under
442 different soils and/or fertilization regimes.

443

444 **Acknowledgments.** This research was funded by the INIA projects RTA05-173 and
445 RTA07-100. Suggestions by Dr. Bernardo Ordas, Gloria Bustingorri, and two anonymous
446 referees helped to improve the manuscript. We also thank David Brown and Benito Santos
447 for revising the language.

448

449 **References**

- 450 Alía, R., Martín, S., De Miguel, J., Galera, R., Agúndez, D., Gordo, J., Catalán, G., Gil, L.,
451 1996. Las regiones de procedencia de *Pinus pinaster* Ait. OA de Parques Nacionales.
452 DGCONA, Madrid.
- 453 Alía, R., Moro, J., Denis, J.B., 1997. Performance of *Pinus pinaster* provenances in Spain:
454 interpretation of the genotype by environment interaction. Canadian Journal of Forest
455 Research 27, 1548-1559.
- 456 Ballard, T.M., Carter, R.E., 1986. Evaluating forest stand nutrient status. In. Ministry of
457 Forests, British Columbia. Land Management Report, Ministry of Forests, Victoria,
458 British Columbia, p. 60.

459 Bará, T.S., 1990. Fertilización Forestal. Consellería de Agricultura, Gandería e Montes.
460 Xunta de Galicia, Santiago.

461 Bonneau, M., 1995. Fertilisation des forêts dans les pays tempérés: théorie, bases du
462 diagnostic, conseils pratiques, réalisations expérimentales. ENGREF, Nancy, France.

463 Bradshaw, A.D., 2006. Unravelling phenotypic plasticity - why should we bother? *New*
464 *Phytologist* 170, 644-648.

465 Bray, B.M., Kurtz, L.T., 1945. Determination of total, organic and available forms of
466 phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science* 59, 39-45.

467 Bucci, G., Gonzalez Martinez, S.C., Le Provost, G., Plomion, C., Ribeiro, M.M.,
468 Sebastiani, F., Alia, R., Vendramin, G.G., 2007. Range-wide phylogeography and gene
469 zones in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. revealed by chloroplast microsatellite markers. *Molecular*
470 *Ecology* 16, 2137-2153.

471 Calvo, A.R.M., Díaz-Fierros, F., 1982. Mineralización del carbono y del nitrógeno en
472 suelos forestales de Galicia. *Anales de Edafología y Agrobiología* 41, 1-10.

473 Climent, J., Aranda, I., Alonso, J., Pardos, J.A., Gil, L., 2006. Developmental constraints
474 limit the response of Canary Island pine seedlings to combined shade and drought.
475 *Forest Ecology and Management* 231, 164-168.

476 Correia, I., Almeida, M.H., Aguiar, A., Alía, R., David, T.S., Pereira, J.S., 2008. Variations
477 in growth, survival and carbon isotope composition ($\delta^{13}C$) among *Pinus pinaster*
478 populations of different geographic origins. *Tree Physiology* 28, 1545-1552.

479 Cucchi, V., Bert, D., 2003. Wind-firmness in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. stands in Southwest
480 France: influence of stand density, fertilisation and breeding in two experimental
481 stands damaged during the 1999 storm. *Annals of Forest Science* 60, 209-226.

482 Chambel, M.R., Climent, J., Alía, R., 2007. Divergence among species and populations of
483 Mediterranean pines in biomass allocation of seedlings grown under two watering
484 regimes. *Annals of Forest Science* 64, 87-97.

485 Chambel, M.R., Climent, J., Alía, R., Valladares, F., 2005. Phenotypic plasticity: a useful
486 framework for understanding adaptation in forest species. *Investigación Agraria.*
487 *Sistemas y Recursos Forestales* 14, 334-344.

488 DGCN, 2002. Tercer Inventario Forestal Nacional 1997-2006: Galicia. Ministerio de
489 Medio Ambiente, Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza, Madrid, Spain.

490 Dutkowski, G.W., Costa-e-Silva, J., Gilmour, A.R., Wallendorf, H., Aguiar, A., 2006.
491 Spatial analysis enhances modelling of a wide variety of traits in forest genetic trials.
492 *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36, 1851-1870.

493 Fu, Y.B., Yanchuk, A.D., Namkoong, G., 1999. Spatial patterns of tree height variations in
494 a series of Douglas-fir progeny trials: implications for genetic testing. *Canadian*
495 *Journal of Forest Research* 29, 714-723.

496 Gallardo, A., Covelo, F., 2005. Spatial pattern and scale of leaf N and P concentration in a
497 *Quercus robur* population. *Plant and Soil* 273, 269-277.

498 Gee, G.W., Bauder, J.W., 1996. Particle size analysis. In: Klute, A. (Ed.), *Methods of Soil*
499 *Analyses. Part I.* American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 383-441.

500 Gonzalez Martinez, S.C., Gil, L., Alía, R., 2005. Genetic diversity estimates of *Pinus*
501 *pinaster* in the Iberian Peninsula: a comparison of allozymes and quantitative traits.
502 *Investigación Agraria. Sistemas y Recursos Forestales* 14, 3-12.

503 Gonzalez Martinez, S.C., Mariette, S., Ribeiro, M.M., Burban, C., Raffin, A., Chambel,
504 M.R., Ribeiro, C.A.M., Aguiar, A., Plomion, C., Alia, R., Gil, L., Vendramin, G.G.,
505 Kremer, A., Reviron, M.P., 2004. Genetic resources in maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*
506 Aiton): molecular and quantitative measures of genetic variation and differentiation
507 among maternal lineages. *Forest Ecology and Management* 197, 103-115.

508 Korkama T, Pakkanen A, Pennanen T., 2006. Ectomycorrhizal community structure varies
509 among Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) clones. *New Phytologist* 171: 815-824.

510 Legendre, P., 1993. Spatial autocorrelation: a trouble or new paradigm? *Ecology* 74, 1659-
511 1673.

512 Littell, R.C., Milliken, G.A., Stroup, W.W., Wolfinger, R.D., Schabenberger, O., 2006.
513 SAS System for mixed models, second edition. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.

514 Macías, F., Calvo, R.M., Garcia, C., Garcia-Rodeja, E., Silva, B., 1982. El material
515 original: su formacion e influencia en las propiedades de los suelos de Galicia. *Anales*
516 *de Edafología y Agrobiología* 42, 1746-1768.

517 Mari, S., Jonsson, A., Finlay, R., Ericsson, T., Kähr, M. & Eriksson, G. 2003. Genetic
518 variation in nitrogen uptake and growth in mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal *Picea*
519 *abies* (L.) Karst. seedlings. *Forest Science* 49: 258-267.

520 Merino, A., Rodríguez-López, A., Brañas, J., Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R., 2003. Nutrition and
521 growth in newly established plantations of *Eucalyptus globulus* in northwestern Spain.
522 *Annals of Forest Science* 60, 509-517.

523 Molina, F., 1965. Comportamiento racial de *Pinus pinaster* en el noroeste de España.
524 *Anales IFIE* 2, 221-238.

525 Namkoong, G., Jonsson, A., Eriksson, G., 1992. Genetic variation in nutrient response
526 functions. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 85, 165-172.

527 Paz-Gonzalez, A., Vieira, S.R., Castro, M.T.T., 2000. The effect of cultivation on the
528 spatial variability of selected properties of an umbric horizon. *Geoderma* 97, 273-292.

529 Pigliucci, M., 1996. How organisms respond to environmental changes: from phenotypes
530 to molecules (and *vice versa*). *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 11: 168-173.

531 Pigliucci, M., 2005. Evolution of phenotypic plasticity: where are we going now? *Trends*
532 *in Ecology and Evolution* 20, 481-485.

533 Sánchez-Gómez, D., Zavala, M.A., Valladares, F., 2007. Functional traits and plasticity
534 linked to seedlings' performance under shade and drought in Mediterranean woody
535 species. *Annals of Forest Science* 65, 311.

536 Sánchez-Rodríguez, F., Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R., Español, E., López, C.A., Merino, A.,
537 2002. Influence of edaphic factors and tree nutritive status on the productivity of *Pinus*
538 *radiata* D. Don plantations in northwest Spain. *Forest Ecology and Management* 171,
539 181-189.

540 SAS-Institute, 1999. SAS/STAT User's guide, Version 8. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

541 Saur, E., 1990. Influence of copper and phosphorus fertilization on growth and mineral
542 nutrition of maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*) grown in a sandy soil rich in organic
543 matter. *Annales des Sciences Forestieres* 47, 67-74.

544 Solla-Gullón, F., Santalla, M., Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R.J., Merino, A., 2006. Nutritional
545 status and growth of a young *Pseudotsuga menziesii* plantation in a temperate region
546 after application of wood-bark ash. *Forest Ecology and Management* 237, 312-321.

547 Solla-Gullón, F., Taboada, M.P., Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R., Merino, A., 2004. Respuesta
548 inicial del aporte de cenizas de biomasa arbórea en el estado nutricional de una
549 plantación joven de *Pinus radiata* D. Don. *Investigación Agraria. Serie de Recursos*
550 *forestales* 13, 281-294.

551 Tapias, R., Climent, J., Pardos, J.A., Gil, L., 2004. Life history of Mediterranean pines.
552 *Plant Ecology* 171, 53-68.

553 Trichet, P., Loustau, D., Lambrot, C., Linder, S., 2008. Manipulating nutrient and water
554 availability in a maritime pine plantation: effects on growth, production, and biomass
555 allocation at canopy closure. *Annals of Forest Science* 65, 814.

556 Valladares, F., Sánchez-Gómez, D., Zavala, M.A., 2006. Quantitative estimation of
557 phenotypic plasticity: bridging the gap between the evolutionary concept and its
558 ecological applications. *Journal of Ecology* 94, 1103-1116.

559 Warren, C.R., McGrath, J.F., Adams, M.A., 2005. Differential effects of N, P and K on
560 photosynthesis and partitioning of N in *Pinus pinaster* needles. *Annals of Forest*
561 *Science* 62, 1-8.

562 Will, G.M., 1985. Nutrient deficiencies and fertiliser use in New Zealand exotic forests.
563 FRI Bulletin no 97, Forest Research Institute, Rotorua, New Zealand.

564 Zas, R., 2003. Foliar nutrient status and tree growth response of young *Pseudotsuga*
565 *menziesii* Mirb. (Franco) to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization in Galicia
566 (Northwest Spain). *Investigación Agraria. Sistemas y Recursos Forestales* 12, 75-85.

567 Zas, R., 2006. Iterative kriging for removing spatial autocorrelation in analysis of forest
568 genetic trials. *Tree Genetics & Genomics* 2, 177-186.

569 Zas, R., Pichel, F., Martíns, P., Fernández-López, J., 2006a. Fertilization x genotype
570 interaction in *Pinus radiata* open pollinated seedlings planted in three locations in
571 Galicia (NW Spain). *New Forest* 32, 253-263.

572 Zas, R., Sampedro, L., Prada, E., Lombardero, M.J., Fernández-López, J., 2006b.
573 Fertilization increases *Hylobius abietis* L. damage in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. seedlings.
574 *Forest Ecology and Management* 222, 137-144.

575 Zas, R., Serrada, R., 2003. Foliar nutrient status and nutritional relationships of young
576 *Pinus radiata* D. Don plantations in north-west Spain. *Forest Ecology and*
577 *Management* 174, 167-176.

578

579

580

581

582

583 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

584

585 Figure 1. Location of the 22 reforestation plots (black dots) and the three family ×
586 fertilization trials (grey squares, A, B, and C). The shaded area represents the current

587 distribution of *P. pinaster* in Galicia derived from the Third National Forestry Inventory
588 (DGCN, 2002).

589

590 Figure 2. Foliar nutrient concentration in the 22 *P. pinaster* reforestation stands (dots) and
591 in the 3 family × fertilization trials (triangles A, B and C)). Each data point represents the
592 mean of 2-3 composite foliar samples of 5-10 trees each. Dot and triangle colours indicate
593 the nutrient diagnosis according to the critical values reported by Bonneau (1995): white =
594 satisfactory levels, grey = marginal levels, and black = critical levels.

595

596 Figure 3. Effect of fertilization on height growth in three *P. pinaster* family × fertilization
597 trials during the first five years after establishment and fertilization application. Overall
598 mean relative response (panel **A**) of eight different fertilization treatments (see Table 2)
599 relative to the unfertilized control, and maximum relative response to the best fertilization
600 treatment observed at each site (panel **B**).

601

602 Figure 4. Estimates of the effect of single nutrients (N, PCa, K, and Mg) on *Pinus pinaster*
603 height in three family × fertilization trials five years after establishment and treatment
604 applications. Each bar represents the estimate of the effect of including every specific
605 nutrient in the fertilization treatment obtained from specific contrasts in the mixed model.
606 The linear functions for these contrasts were as follows (see Table 2 for treatment
607 description): (F₁, F₃, F₅, F₇) vs. (F₂, F₄, F₆, F₈) for estimating the effect of N addition, (F₁,
608 F₂) vs. (F₃, F₆) for P, (F₁, F₂) vs. (F₄, F₇) for K, and (F₁, F₂) vs. (F₅, F₇) for Mg. Significant
609 effects are denoted by * (p<0.05), ** (p<0.01), or *** (p<0.001).

610