

FAT DEPOTS DISTRIBUTION IN ADULT CHURRA EWES

P. Frutos, A.R. Mantecón, J.S. González and P.R. Revesado

Estación Agrícola Experimental, C.S.I.C. Apdo 788. 24080 León. (Spain)

Summary

Most sheep production systems under arid and semi-arid conditions are dependent on the ability of the animals to retain and mobilize body fat. The aim of this paper is to study the effect of level of intake and body condition score on the proportions of the different fat depots in the Churra ewes. Thirty six mature Churra ewes were allocated to nine treatments, represented by 3 levels of intake (LI) of a low quality hay (high: "ad libitum", medium: "0.8 ad libitum" and low: "0.6 ad libitum") and 3 body condition scores (BCS) (good: >3, medium: between 2 and 3 and poor: <2). A joint taken from the lumbar region was dissected into muscle, bone, subcutaneous and intermuscular fat. There was a significant positive correlation between internal fat depots, as proportion of empty body weight (EBW), and BCS. The correlation coefficient between BCS and total internal fat (TIF) or mesenteric fat is not high ($r=0.605$ and $r=0.609$ respectively). A low correlation coefficient ($r=0.433$) was founded when BCS and omental fat was related. The results showed that internal fat depot variations are better explained by changes in live body weight (LBW) than BCS. Keywords: Sheep. Body condition score. Fat depots.

Introduction

To know the ability of animal to mobilize body energy during restriction periods and recovery when increase food offer is important when extensive sheep production systems are going to be developed (Guada, 1991), specially under difficult grazing conditions when supplementation is practically impossible.

Live body weight has been used as index of nutritive status of animal, but variations in the digestive tract content had done than different methods to achieve body composition had been developed.

Murray (1919) defined body condition as "the ratio of the amount of fat to the amount of non-fatty matter in the body of the living animal". Russel et al. (1969) showed a subjective technique, adapted from the one described by Jefferies (1961), in which each of six grades, from 0 to 5, is defined in terms of palpable characteristics in the lumbar region.

Body condition score technique was developed thinking in British genotypes which are based in meat production.

Differences in fat deposits distribution are showed when different genotypes are compared (Taylor et al., 1989) with a high importance of internal fat against subcutaneous one in most primitive and milking breeds (McClelland et al., 1972; Butter-hogg, 1982 and Webster, 1986), and is hence, the differences between breed must be taken into account before a method of BCS will be generalised.

The objective of this work is to know how is the fat deposits distribution in adult Churra ewes at different body condition scores and levels of hay intake.

Material and Methods

Thirty-six mature Churra ewes were allocated to nine treatments, according to a 3x3 factorial design represented by 3 levels of intake (LI) of a low quality hay (high: "ad libitum", medium: "0.8 ad libitum" and low: "0.6 ad libitum") and 3 BCS (good: >3, medium: between 2 and 3 and poor: <2).

All animals were individually penned throughout, food offered and refused was weighed daily and live body weight (LBW) was recorded twice weekly. After a period of five weeks on the experimental treatments all ewes were slaughtered and the LBW and the internal fat deposits (perirenal, omental and mesenteric) were weighed.

A joint taken from the lumbar region, which is palpated to assess BCS was dissected into muscle, bone, subcutaneous and intermuscular fat.

Statistical analysis according to Steel and Torrie procedures (1981) was carried out.

Results and discussion

Data on initial and final live body weight and hay intake are showed in table 1. Initial LBW was higher for the group of intake 0.6 "ad libitum", and this could explain the higher content in total internal fat as proportion of EBW (see table 2).

There was a significant positive correlation between internal fat deposits, as proportion of EBW, and BCS. In the other hand, animals with lower BCS has a lower value of perirenal fat and higher value of mesenteric fat expressed as proportion of total internal fat (TIF). Omental fat, as proportion of TIF was not affected by BCS variations.

In relation to check in BCS method in the Churra ewes, on table 4 is showed that a high correlation exist between BCS and subcutaneous loin fat ($r=0.745$) and this value is similar to relationship between BCS and perirenal fat ($r=0.746$). However, the correlation coefficient between BCS and TIF or mesenteric fat was no so high ($r=0.605$ and $r=0.609$ respectively). A low correlation coefficient was founded when BCS and omental fat was related and this value could be justified

as consequence of a low value of correlation coefficient between subcutaneous loin fat and subcutaneous+intermuscular loin fat against omental fat.

Table 1: Relation of live body weight (kg) and hay intake (kg hay/ kg LBW/ day)

	LEVEL OF INTAKE				sig	BODY CONDITION SCORE				se
	ad lib	0.8	0.6			>3	2-3	<2	sig	
LBW initial	41.936	44.663	45.716	*		48.700	45.108	38.563	***	0.613
LBW final	42.400	43.136	44.266	ns		48.190	43.900	37.745	***	0.680
EBW	31.479	34.329	36.050	**		39.134	34.943	27.882	***	0.513
BCS	2.27	2.36	2.37	ns		3.09	2.35	1.56	***	0.060
Int.(kg/kgLW)	0.0175	0.0129	0.0102	***		0.0133	0.0114	0.0163	***	0.001

ns: no significative; *: P<0.05; **: P<0.01; ***: P<0.001

Table 2.- Total internal fat (TIF) and its components.

	LEVEL OF INTAKE				sig.	BODY CONDITION SCORE				se
	ad lib	0.8	0.6			>3	2-3	<2	sig.	
TIF (EBW)	0.0522	0.0571	0.0761	**		0.0806	0.0649	0.0387	***	0.0053
Perirenal										
EBW	0.0121	0.0129	0.0180	**		0.0198	0.0158	0.0069	***	0.0013
TIF	0.2260	0.2255	0.2349	ns		0.2536	0.2489	0.1799	*	0.0193
Omental										
EBW	0.0216	0.0258	0.0374	*		0.0384	0.0289	0.0171	**	0.0038
TIF	0.4149	0.4137	0.4829	ns		0.4546	0.4325	0.4253	ns	0.0317
Mesentéric										
EBW	0.0185	0.0134	0.0206	ns		0.0223	0.0202	0.0147	*	0.0017
TIF	0.3591	0.3608	0.2823	*		0.2918	0.3186	0.3947	*	0.0243

ns: no significative; *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01;***:P<0.001.

These results differ with data published by Teixeira (1989) and Russel (1969) which showed a higher correlation coefficient between omental and mesenteric fat against BCS. However, differences among genotypes, specially in milking ewes, must be taken into account and both authors have used meat production sheep genotypes and the Churra breed is a traditionally milk production genotype.

The correlation coefficient of internal fat depots with subcutaneous + intermuscular fat was higher than with subcutaneous fat only.

The subcutaneous loin fat explained a higher proportion of total loin fat ($r=0.966$).

Table 3.-Dissection of lumbar joint. Proportion of muscle, bone, subcutaneous and intermuscular fat

	LEVEL OF INTAKE				BODY CONDITION SCORE				LI-BCS
	ad lib.	0.8	0.6	sig	>3	2-3	>2	sig	sig
Loin (EBW)	0.0151	0.0146	0.0152	ns	0.0156	0.0151	0.0142	ns	ns
Musc.(loin)	0.4871	0.4797	0.5139	ns	0.4777	0.4933	0.5116	ns	ns
Bone.(loin)	0.2832	0.2727	0.2378	ns	0.2283	0.2429	0.3221	***	**
int. fat(loin)	0.0840	0.0920	0.0839	ns	0.0951	0.0901	0.0742	ns	ns
Gr.sub.(loin)	0.0986	0.1145	0.1240	ns	0.1668	0.1131	0.0583	***	**

ns: no significative; *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01; ***:P<0.001

Tabla 4.- Correlation coefficients between BCS, LBW and fat depots.

	BCS	LBW	PER	OM	MES	TIF	INT _L	SUB _L	INT+SUB	CAR
BCS	1.000	0.706	0.746	0.433	0.609	0.605	0.549	0.745	0.751	0.841
LBW	0.706	1.000	0.753	0.624	0.822	0.774	0.458	0.567	0.584	0.810
INT _L	0.549	0.458	0.532	0.393	0.570	0.515	1.000	0.608	0.791	0.556
SUB _L	0.745	0.567	0.662	0.222	0.507	0.432	0.608	1.000	0.966	0.747

PER=perirenal fat, OM=Omental fat, MES=Mesenteric fat, INT_L=Intermuscular fat of the loin, SUB_L=Subcutaneous fat of the loin, and CAR=Carcass fat.

Internal fat depots variations are better explained by changes in LBW than BCS and similar results are reported by Castrillo et al. (1991). This results force to think than BCS method must be checked before application to a large scale in different genotypes.

This work was supported for the CICYT (projet GAN 90-0906).

References

- Butter-Hogg, B.W. (1982). Fat partitioning in Clun and Southdown lambs. **Anim. Prod.**, 34, 377 (Abstr.)
- Castrillo, C. y Baucells, M. (1991). Estimación de la composición corporal del ganado ovino: métodos de difusión. In: Editor: F.F. Bermúdez. Nutrición de rumiantes en zonas áridas y de montaña. Madrid. pp: 137-
- Guada, J.A. (1991). "Status" nutritivo y estrategias de alimentación del ganado ovino en régimen extensivo. In: Editor: F.F. Bermúdez. Nutrición de rumiantes en zonas áridas y de montaña. Madrid. pp: 203-
- McClelland, T.H. and Russel, J.F. (1972). The distribution of body fat in Scottish blackface and Finnish Landrace lambs. **Anim. Prod.**, 15, 301-306.

- Russel, J.F.; Doney, J.M. and Gunn, R.G. (1969). Subjective assessment of body fat in live sheep. **J. Agric. Sci., Cambridge**, 72, 451-454.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1981). Principles and procedures of statistics. Ed: Mc Graw-Hill book company. Inc. New York.
- Taylor, St. C. S.; Murray, J.I. and Thonney, M.L. (1989). **Anim. Prod.**, 49, 385-409
- Teixeira, A.; Delfa, R. and Colomer, F. (1989). Relationship between fat depots and BCS or tail fatness in the Rasa Aragonesa breed. **Anim. Prod.**, 49, 275-280.
- Webster, A.J.F. (1986). Factors affecting the body composition of growing and adult animals. **Proc. Nutr. Soc.**, 45, 45-53