Synthesis of Fluorinated Analogues of Myristic Acid as Potential Inhibitors

of Egyptian Armyworm (*Spodoptera littoralis*) Δ^{11} Desaturase

José-Luis Abad, Gemma Villorbina, Gemma Fabriàs, Francisco Camps*

Departamento de Química Orgánica Biológica, Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas y Ambientales de Barcelona, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 08034, Barcelona, Spain

Running title: FLUORINATED ANALOGUES OF MYRISTIC ACID

Key words: fluorinated fatty acids, desaturase inhibitors, insect sex pheromone biosynthesis

Mailing address: Prof. Francisco Camps Departamento de Química Orgánica Biológica Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas y Ambientales de Barcelona Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas 08034 Barcelona, Spain Phone Number: Int + 343 400 6116; Fax Number: Int + 343 204 5904 e-mail: <u>fcdqob@iiqab.csic.es</u>

FOOTNOTES

* To Whom correspondence should be addressed at Departamento de Química Orgánica
 Biológica, (IIQAB, CSIC), Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034-Barcelona, Spain.

Abreviations: DAST, diethylaminosulfur trifluoride; DEPT, distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; GC/MS, gas chomatography/mass spectrometry; IR, infrared spectroscopy; MTBE, *tert*-butyl methyl ether; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; NBS, *N*-bromosuccinimide; S. D. standard deviation; SIM, selected ion monitoring; THF, tetrahydrofuran; TLC, thin-layer chromatography; UV, Ultraviolet.

ABSTRACT

In order to study the activity of the different desaturases present in the pheromone biosynthetic pathway of the Egyptian armyworm, Spodoptera littoralis, we report the preparation, characterization and the desaturase inhibition of a series of mono- and gemdifluorinated analogues of myristic acid with halogen substitution at C8-C11 positions of the aliphatic chain via specifically positioned dithiane precursors. Thus, transformation of dithianes by treatment with NBS in presence of H₂O followed by reduction with LiAlH₄ afforded the appropriate alcohols which reacted with diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) to give rise to the corresponding monofluoroderivative intermediates. Alternatively, the introduction of the gem-difluoro functionality was carried out by reaction of the appropriate dithiane intermediate with 1,3-dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin in presence of HF/pyridine. The activity of these fluorinated fatty acids as substrates and inhibitors of the desaturases involved in the biosynthesis of the sex pheromonal blend of Spodoptera littoralis has been studied. In this case, 11-fluorotetradecanoic acid elicited a moderate inhibitory activity in front of Δ^{11} desaturase.

INTRODUCTION

Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids in living organisms occurs by the direct introduction of double bonds into a saturated precursor in a remarkable reaction that is catalyzed by specific desaturases. Furthermore, in insects, some moth pheromone glands contain additional desaturases that transform unsaturated fatty acids to conjugated dienoic acids. In order to gain insight into general aspects of desaturase enzyme catalysis mechanism, studies on the activity of the above mentioned enzymes are also desirable. In this context, we have recently demonstrated some important features on that desaturation mechanism of the fatty acid dienoic functionality of the Egyptian armyworm, Spodoptera littoralis, sex pheromone blend by studying the stereochemistry (1-3) and cryptoregiochemistry (4,5) of the desaturation process. However, our ongoing interest in the above desaturases has led us to undertake the search for specific enzyme inhibitors that could be useful in such studies. In this sense, replacement of hydrogen by fluorine in those positions of the aliphatic chain closer to the interaction with the enzyme active center of Δ^{11} and Δ^{9} desaturases might be effective. Previous work of our laboratory has shown that different mono-and difluoropalmitic acids can inhibit the β -oxidation step of the biosynthesis of (Z,E)-9,11tetradecadienyl acetate, the major component of S. littoralis sex pheromone blend (6-9). In insect sex pheromone we (10-12) and others (13,14) demonstrated that replacements of hydrogen atom(s) by fluorine mimic, potentiate or inhibit the action of the natural products.

Recently, Behrouzian et al. (15,16) have studied the desaturation of both enantiomers of 9-fluoroderivatives of stearic acids by stearoyl acyl carrier protein Δ^9 desaturase isolated from castor seed oil in which valuable new insights into the enantioselectivity of this enzyme in the dehydrogenation and oxidation reactions were obtained. In our

case, we were interested in studying the activity of those mono- and *gem*difluoroderivatives of myristic acids bearing the fluorine substitution at C8-C11 positions. For this it was required to develope a versatile synthetic route which could also eventually be adapted to the preparation of the corresponding enantiomeric monofluorinated derivatives.

In the present communication we report on this synthetic route and on the preliminary results of the activity of the above fluorinated analogs with the Δ^{11} desaturase of *S*. *littoralis*.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

General Methods. Commercial grade reagents and solvents were directly used as supplied with the following excepcions: diethyl ether and THF were distilled over Na/benzophenone under argon atmosphere. Reactions sensitive to moisture and oxygen were carried out under argon or nitrogen atmosphere. Unless otherwise stated, organic solutions obtained from workup of crude reaction mixtures were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, purification procedures were carried out by flash chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) and products were mostly obtained as oils and they were at least 98% pure (GC). Visualization of UV-inactive materials was accomplished by soaking the TLC plates in a ethanolic solution of anisaldehyd and sulfuric acid (v/v/v, 96:2:2) or in an ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid (5%).

All ¹H NMR spectra were acquired at 300 MHz, and ¹³C NMR spectra, at 75 MHz in CDCl₃ solutions, and chemical shifts are given in ppm downfield from Si(CH₃)₄ for ¹H, and CDCl₃ for ¹³C. In the same way, ¹⁹F NMR spectra were acquired at 282 MHz and are reported in ppm downfield from CFCl₃. Assignment of critical signals in the ¹³C NMR spectra was carried out on the basis of distortionless enhancement by polarization

transfer (DEPT). GC/MS was performed by electron impact at 20 eV using the equipment and conditions described below. All IR spectra were run on a Michelson Bomem MB-120 spectrometer. Elemental analysis were obtained in the Microanalysis Service of IIQAB-CSIC.

In Vitro Gland Culture Procedure. Inhibition of Δ^{11} desaturase activity by the fluorinated derivatives synthesized was also investigated using d₃tetradecanoic acid as substrate and determining the amounts of $d_3(E)$ -11-tetradecenoic acic formed in controls and experimental glands. These experiments were carried out using round-bottom-96 well plates. To each well, a 10 µL drop of incubation medium was added. The incubation medium consisted of the commercial Grace's insect medium (17) (135 μ L) and a DMSO solution (15 μ L) of a 1:1:1 mixture of d₃16:acid/d₃14:acid/fluoroacid (10 mg/mL each) for treated tissues or a dimethyl sulfoxide solution of d₃14:Acid (10 mg/mL) for controls. One-day-old virgin S. littoralis females were briefly anesthetized on ice and the pheromone glands were excised, carefully cleaned and immersed individually into a drop of the incubation medium. Plates were sealed with adherent plastic covers and incubations proceeded for 3 h at 25 °C. After this time, to obtain the methyl ester derivatives of the gland lipids for analysis, pheromone glands were collected and soaked in 0.5 M KOH for 30 min, and then the organic solution was neutralized with 1 N HCl and extracted with hexane containing methyl pentadecanoate (10 ng/gland) as internal standard for quantification. Five glands were used for each sample.

Instrumental Analysis of the Biological Extracts. The extracts were analyzed by gas chromatography-coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS), at 70 eV, on a Fisons gas chromatograph (8000 series) coupled to a Fisons MD-800 mass selective detector. The system was equipped with a non-polar Hewlett Packard HP-1 capillary column (30 m x

0.20 mm I.D) using the following program: from 120 °C to 180 °C at 5 °C/min and then 260 °C at 2 °C/min after an initial delay of 2 min. Analyses were carried out under selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode. Selected ions were 245 (trideuterated methyl tetradecanoate, M^{•+}), 242 (methyl tetradecanoate, M^{•+}), 243 (trideuterated methyl (*Z*)-11 and (*E*)-11 tetradecenoates, M^{•+}), 240 (methyl (*Z*)-11 and (*E*)-11 tetradecenoates, M^{•+}). To investigate the conversion of the fluorofatty acids into their unsaturated derivatives, the analyses of the corresponding methyl esters were carried out under the full scan method.

Preparation of products 4a-d, 5a-d and **6a-d** were carried out according to previously described procedures (4,18).

Synthesis of dithianes 7a-d. General Procedure. These products were obtained following the procedure reported by Seebach et al. (19). To a solution of the dithiane 6 (10 mmol) in 15 mL of dry THF and kept at -20 °C was added 12 mmol of an hexane BuLi solution (7.5 mL, 1.6M). The pale colored reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, cooled at -78 °C and kept for 10 min and then product 5 (8 mmol) was added dropwise and stirring was continued at -78 °C for 2 h. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and the solvent was then evaporated. The residue was suspended in 50 mL of H₂O, extracted with CH₂Cl₂, dried and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of 0-10% MTBE in hexane to give the pure dithiane 7 in 74-80% yields.

2-Propyl-2-(11,13-dioxatetradecyl)-1,3-dithiane (7a). (2.15 g, 74% yield); IR 2930, 2855, 1465, 1275, 1150, 1110, 1045, 920 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.62 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 3.52 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.81 (4H, SCH₂), 2.02-1.90 (2H, CH₂CH₂S), 1.90-1.80 (4H, SSCCH₂), 1.66-1.50 (2H, CH₂CH₂O), 1.50-1.40 (2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.42-1.23 (14H, CH₂), 0.94 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR δ 96.3

(OCH₂O), 67.8 (CH₂O), 55.0 (OCH₃), 53.3 (CSS), 40.4 (*CH*₂CSS), 38.2 (*CH*₂CSS), 29.8 (CH₂S), 29.7 (CH₂S), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 24.0 (CH₂), 17.4 (*CH*₂CH₃), 14.3 (CH₃); MS *m*/*z* 362 (M⁺, 15), 319 (20), 287 (15), 161 (100); Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₈O₂S₂: C, 62.93; H, 10.56; S, 17.68. Found: C, 62.84; H, 10.47; S, 17.56.

Synthesis of ketones 8a-d from dithianes 7a-d. General procedure. To a solution of NBS (5.45 g, 30 mmol) in 47 mL of acetone and 2.5 mL of H₂O kept at -30 °C was added dropwise 1.81 g of dithiane 7 (5 mmol) dissolved in 50 mL of the same solvent mixture. Stirring was continued for 5 min and a 10% Na₂S₂O₃ water solution was added until the orange colour of the solution disappeared. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, the residue extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , dried and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of 0-10% MTBE in hexane to give the pure ketones in 87-92% yields.

15,17-Dioxa-4-octadecanone (8a). (1.25 g, 92% yield); IR 2930, 2855, 1715 (CO), 1465, 1410, 1370, 1150, 1110, 1045, 920 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.62 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 3.51 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.38 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H, CH₂CO), 1.67-1.46 (6H, *CH*₂CH₂CO and *CH*₂CH₂O), 1.40-1.18 (12H, CH₂), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 211.6 (CO), 96.3 (OCH₂O), 67.8 (CH₂O), 55.0 (OCH₃), 44.7 (CH₂), 42.8 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 23.8 (CH₂), 17.3 (CH₂), 13.7 (CH₃); MS *m*/*z* 257 (M⁺+CH₃, 1), 241 (2), 227 (5), 167 (10), 149 (15), 99 (20), 83 (35), 71 (100), 69 (40), 55 (45); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₂O₃: C, 70.54; H, 11.84. Found: C, 70.60; H, 11.68.

Preparation of alcohols 9a-d from ketones 8a-d. **General Procedure**. A solution of the corresponding ketone **8** in Et₂O, maintained under argon and at room temperature, was treated with 4 molar equiv of LiAlH₄, and the mixture was stirred until the reaction

was completed. Reagent excess was carefully quenched with water and after the usual work up, the residue obtained was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using hexane/MTBE 80:20 to give the corresponding pure alcohols **9** in 92-96% yields.

15,17-Dioxa-4-octadecanol (9a). This alcohol was isolated (1.05 g, 96% yield) starting from 1.09 g (4 mmol) of ketone **8a**. IR 3450 (OH), 2930, 2855, 1465, 1385, 1215, 1150, 1110, 1045, 920 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.61 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 3.59 (bs, 1H, OH), 3.51 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.59 (m, 2H, *CH*₂CH₂O), 1.52-1.22 (21H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 96.3 (OCH₂O), 71.7 (CHOH), 67.8 (CH₂O), 55.0 (OCH₃), 39.6 (CH₂), 37.5 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 18.8 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃); MS *m*/*z* 225 (M⁺+-H₂O-OCH₃, 1), 200 (10), 169 (5), 149 (12), 109 (15), 95 (35), 81 (25), 69 (30), 55 (60), 45 (100); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₄O₃: C, 70.02; H, 12.49. Found: C, 69.94; H, 12.35.

Preparation of monofluoride derivatives 10a-d. General procedure. To a stirred solution of the corresponding alcohol **9** in 2 ml of dry CH_2Cl_2 at -78 °C DAST was added dropwise (1.15 equiv.) with a syringe under nitrogen atmosphere. Stirring was continued for 2 h and then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and carefully poured over a cold sat NaHCO₃ solution. The mixture was extracted with hexane and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried, and concentrated to obtain a residue which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using a hexane/MTBE gradient (0-8%) to give the corresponding pure fluoroderivatives in 72-76% yields.

15,17-Dioxa-4-fluorooctadecane (10a). This product was isolated (207 mg, 75% yield) starting from 274 mg (1 mmol) of alcohol **9a**. IR 2930, 2855, 1465, 1385, 1150, 1110, 1045, 920 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.62 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 4.47 (dm, J_I = 49.5 Hz, 1H, CHF),

3.52 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.72-1.22 (22H, CH₂), 0.93 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 96.4 (OCH₂O), 94.3 (d, J = 166.5 Hz, CHF), 67.8 (CH₂O), 55.1 (OCH₃), 35.1 (d, J = 20.5 Hz, CH_2 CHF), 34.8 (d, J = 21 Hz, CH_2 CHF), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 25.1 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, CH₂), 18.4 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, CH_2 CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –180.8; MS m/z 275 (M⁺-1, 1), 256 (1), 224 (5), 211 (8), 165 (15), 151 (10), 137 (22), 123 (40), 111 (60), 109 (65), 95 (90), 82 (100), 69 (85), 55 (70); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₃FO₂: C, 69.52; H, 12.03; F, 6.87. Found: C, 69.60; H, 11.86; F, 6.69.

Synthesis of *gem*-difluoro derivatives 11a-d from dithianes 7a-d. General procedure. These reactions were performed according to the procedure developped by Sondej and Katzenellenbogen (20). 1,3-Dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin was dissolved in 12 ml of dry CH_2Cl_2 and stirred under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled at -90 °C and 0.5 ml of pyridinium poly (hydrogen fluoride) was added via a plastic syringe, followed by the dropwise addition of the corresponding dithiane (1 mmol). Reaction was stirred overnight at -78 °C, then poured over 10 ml of hexane, quenched with 10 ml of a sat. NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with hexane (2x10 ml). The organic fractions were combined, dried and concentrated. Residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using a hexane/MTBE gradient (0-4%) to give the corresponding *gem*-difluoro derivatives in 55-65% yields).

15,17-Dioxa-4,4-difluorooctadecane (**11a**). This product was isolated (160 mg, 55% yield) starting from 362 mg (1 mmol) of dithiane **7a**. IR 2930, 2855, 1470, 1385, 1150, 1110, 1045, 920 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.62 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 3.52 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.92-1.67 (4H, *CH*₂CF₂), 1.66-1.39 (6H, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂ and *CH*₂CH₂O), 1.40-1.20 (12H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 125.4 (t, *J* = 240 Hz, CF₂), 96.4 (OCH₂O), 67.8 (CH₂O), 55.1 (OCH₃), 38.3 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂),

36.3 (t, J = 25.5 Hz, CH_2CF_2), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 22.3 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, $CH_2CH_2CF_2$), 15.8 (t, J = 5 Hz, CH_2CH_3), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –98.12 (quint, J = 16.5 Hz); MS m/z 244 (M⁺-F-OCH₃, 2), 230 (4), 209 (4), 202 (3), 163 (15), 149 (20), 135 (25), 123 (40), 109 (44), 95 (50), 82 (100), 75 (80), 69 (75), 55 (96); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₂F₂O₂: C, 65.27; H, 10.95; F, 12.91. Found: C, 65.19; H, 10.84; F, 12.71.

Methoxymethane deprotection to alcohols 12a-d and 13a-d. General Procedure Products were deprotected to the corresponding alcohols by treatment with a MeOH/HCl solution (0.5M) for 24 h at room temperature. Solvent was evaporated and the crude was treated with 2 ml of water, extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , dried, and concentrated to a residue which was purified by flash cromatography on silica gel using a hexane/AcOEt gradient (0-10%) to give the corresponding pure alcohol derivatives in 85-95% yields).

11-fluoro-1-tetradecanol (12a). This alcohol was isolated (40 mg, 87% yield) from 55 mg (0.2 mmol) of the protected alcohol. IR 3305 (OH), 2920, 2850, 1470, 1065 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.47 (dm, J_I = 49.5 Hz, 1H, CHF), 3.64 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂OH), 1.72-1.22 (22H, CH₂), 0.93 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 94.3 (d, J = 166.0 Hz, CHF), 63.1 (CH₂OH), 37.1 (d, J = 21.0 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 35.2 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 32.8 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 25.1 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CHF), 18.4 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –180.8; MS *m*/*z* 194 (M⁺-F-H₂O-1, 2), 166 (5), 151 (5), 139 (15), 123 (20), 109 (35), 96 (65), 82 (100), 81 (70), 68 (60), 55 (70).

11,11-Difluoro-1-tetradecanol (**13a**). This alcohol was isolated (42 mg, 86% yield) from 59 mg (0.2 mmol) of the protected alcohol. IR 3330 (OH), 2930, 2850, 1460, 1210, 1180 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 3.63 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂OH), 1.90-1.67 (4H, *CH*₂CF₂),

1.66-1.39 (6H, $CH_2CH_2CF_2$ and CH_2CH_2 -O), 1.40-1.20 (12H), 0.95 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 125.4 (t, J = 240 Hz, CF₂), 63.0 (CH₂OH), 38.3 (t, J = 25.5 Hz, CH_2CF_2), 36.3 (t, J = 25.5 Hz, CH_2CF_2), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 22.3 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, $CH_2CH_2CF_2$), 15.8 (t, J = 5 Hz, CH_2CH_3), 13.9 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ -98.11 (quint, J = 16.5 Hz); MS m/z 167 (M⁺-2F-CH₂CH₂OH, 3), 149 (10), 124 (12), 114 (20), 96 (25), 82 (65), 81 (35), 69 (50), 55 (100).

Preparation of carboxylic acids 1a-d and 2a-d. Alcohols were dissolved in a solution of 4 ml of acetone and 350 μ L of H₂SO₄ at -5 °C and then 350 mg of CrO₃ dissolved in 700 μ L of water was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -10 °C for 1 hour and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and 2 mL of HCl (1M) added, extracted with CH₂Cl₂, dried, and concentrated to a residue that was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using hexane/MTBE 85:15 to give the corresponding acids in 60-68% yields.

11-Fluorotetradecanoic acid (1a). This acid was isolated (17 mg, 68% yield) from 23 mg (0.1 mmol) of the starting alcohol **12a**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.47 (dm, J_I = 49.5 Hz, 1H, CHF), 2.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.76-1.22 (22H, CH₂), 0.93 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 178.4 (CO), 94.3 (d, J = 166.0 Hz, CHF), 37.2 (d, J = 20.5 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 35.1 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 33.9 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 25.1 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 24.6 (CH₂), 18.4 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ -180.8; MS *m*/*z* (-OMe ester) 238 (M·⁺-F-CH₃O -2, 1), 206 (3), 189 (2), 164 (5), 150 (5), 123 (10), 109 (10), 95 (15), 87 (20), 82 (65), 74 (100), 69 (20), 55 (40); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇FO₂: C, 68.25; H, 11.05; F, 7.71, Found: C, 68.18; H, 11.01; F, 7.69.

10-Fluorotetradecanoic acid (**1b**) This acid was isolated (15 mg, 60% yield) from 23 mg (0.1 mmol) of the starting alcohol **12b**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935

cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.46 (dm, J_I = 49.0 Hz, 1H, CHF), 2.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂-CO), 1.72-1.52 (4H, *CH*₂CHF), 1.52-1.20 (18H, CH₂), 0.91 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 179.8 (CO), 94.6 (d, J = 166.5 Hz, CHF), 35.1 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 34.8 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 33.9 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 27.3 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CHF), 25.1 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CHF), 24.6 (CH₂), 22.6 (*CH*₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –180.5; MS *m*/z (-OMe ester) 240 (M⁺-F⁻-CH₃O⁻, 1), 208 (5), 190 (2), 166 (15), 124 (10), 111 (15), 97 (30), 87 (35), 83 (35), 74 (100), 69 (50), 55 (75); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇FO₂: C, 68.25; H, 11.05; F, 7.71, Found: C, 68.28; H, 11.04; F, 7.60.

9-Fluorotetradecanoic acid (1c). This acid was isolated (15 mg, 60% yield) from 24 mg (0.1 mmol) of the starting alcohol **12c**. IR 2935, 2855, 1700 (CO), 1470, 1295, 940 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.46 (dm, J_1 = 49.0 Hz, 1H,CHF), 3.64 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.72-1.22 (22H, CH₂), 0.89 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 180.0 (CO), 94.6 (d, J = 166.5 Hz, CHF), 35.1 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 32.7 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 25.1 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CHF), 24.8 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CHF), 22.5 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –180.5; MS *m/z* (-OMe ester) 240 (M⁺+F-CH₃O, 1), 208 (2), 190 (2), 166 (15), 124 (10), 111 (15), 97 (30), 87 (35), 83 (35), 74 (100), 69 (50), 55 (40); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇FO₂: C, 68.25; H, 11.05; F, 7.71, Found: C, 68.24; H, 11.00; F, 7.63.

8-Fluorotetradecanoic acid (1d). This acid was isolated (16 mg, 65% yield) from 24 mg (0.1 mmol) of the starting alcohol **12d**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.46 (dm, J_1 = 49.0 Hz, 1H, CHF), 3.64 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.74-1.20 (22H, CH₂), 0.89 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 180.1 (CO), 94.6 (d, J = 166.5 Hz, CHF), 35.2 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 35.1 (d, J = 21 Hz, *CH*₂CHF), 34.0 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 25.1 (d, J = 4.5 Hz,

*CH*₂CH₂CHF), 25.0 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CHF), 22.6 (*CH*₂CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –180.5; MS *m*/*z* (-OMe ester) 238 (M⁺-F-CH₃O-2, 1), 206 (3), 189 (2), 164 (5), 150 (5), 123 (10), 109 (10), 95 (15), 87 (20), 82 (65), 74 (100), 55 (40); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇FO₂: C, 68.25; H, 11.05; F, 7.71, Found: C, 68.13; H, 11.02; F, 7.55.

11,11-Difluorotetradecanoic acid (2a). This acid was isolated (10 mg, 63% yield) from 15 mg (0.06 mmol) of the starting alcohol **13a**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 3.63 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.90-1.67 (4H, CH₂CF₂), 1.66-1.39 (6H, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂ and *CH*₂CH₂CO), 1.40- 1.20 (12H, CH₂), 0.95 (t, *J* = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 179.8 (CO), 125.4 (t, *J* = 240 Hz, CF₂), 38.3 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂), 36.3 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂), 22.3 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂), 15.8 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –98.11 (quint, *J* = 16.5 Hz); MS *m*/*z* (-OMe ester) 208 (M⁺-2F-CH₃-2, 5), 190 (2), 166 (15), 137 (10), 124 (20), 111 (20), 97 (30), 87 (35), 82 (35), 74 (100), 69 (50), 55 (70); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₆F₂O₂: C, 63.61; H, 9.91; F, 14.37, Found: C, 63.62; H, 9.79; F, 14.17.

10,10-Difluorotetradecanoic acid (2b). This acid was isolated (11 mg, 60% yield) from 18 mg (0.07 mmol) of the starting alcohol **13b**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 3.63 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.90-1.68 (4H, CH₂CF₂), 1.66-1.51 (2H, *CH*₂CH₂CO), 1.50- 1.20 (16H, CH₂), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 180.0 (CO), 125.5 (t, *J* = 240 Hz, CF₂), 36.2 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂), 36.0 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂), 24.4 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂), 22.5 (CH₂), 22.3 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂), 13.8 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ -98.09 (quint, *J* = 16.5 Hz); MS *m*/*z* (-OMe ester) 238 (M·⁺-2F-2, 2), 207 (1), 189 (2), 164 (10), 149 (10), 136 (10), 124 (10), 109 (15), 96 (75), 81 (30),

74 (100), 69 (20), 55 (50);Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₆F₂O₂: C, 63.61; H, 9.91; F, 14.37, Found: C, 63.52; H, 9.78; F, 14.18.

9,9-Difluorotetradecanoic acid (2c). This acid was isolated (18 mg, 68% yield) from 25 mg (0.1 mmol) of the starting alcohol **13c**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 3.64 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.90-1.68 (4H, CH_2 CF₂), 1.66-1.51 (4H, CH_2 CH₂CO and CH_2 CH₂CF₂), 1.52-1.40 (2H, CH_2 CH₂CF₂), 1.50-1.20 (12H, CH₂), 0.90 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 180.0 (CO), 125.4 (t, J = 240 Hz, CF₂), 36.3 (t, J = 25.5 Hz, CH_2 CF₂), 36.2 (t, J = 25.5 Hz, CH_2 CF₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₂), 22.2 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, CH_2 CH₂CF₂), 22.0 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, CH_2 CH₂CF₂), 13.9 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –98.17 (quint, J = 16.5 Hz); MS m/z (-OMe ester) 238 (M⁺⁺-2F-2, 2), 207 (5), 196 (5), 164 (15), 150 (10), 135 (10), 110 (45), 95 (25), 87 (20), 81 (30), 74 (100), 69 (25), 55 (45);Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₆F₂O₂: C, 63.61; H, 9.91; F, 14.37, Found: C, 63.59; H, 9.83; F, 14.18.

8,8-Difluorotetradecanoic acid (2d). This acid was isolated (10 mg, 63% yield) from 15 mg (0.06 mmol) of the starting alcohol **13d**. IR 2930, 2850, 1700 (CO), 1465, 1295, 935 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 3.64 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.90-1.68 (4H, *CH*₂CF₂), 1.68-1.51 (2H, *CH*₂CH₂CO), 1.50- 1.20 (16H, CH₂), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ¹³C NMR δ 180.0 (CO), 125.4 (t, *J* = 240 Hz, CF₂), 36.3 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂), 36.2 (t, *J* = 25.5 Hz, *CH*₂CF₂), 33.9 (CH₂), 31.6 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 24.4 (CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂), 22.2 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂), 22.1 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, *CH*₂CH₂CF₂), 14.0 (CH₃) ¹⁹F NMR δ –98.13 (quint, *J* = 16.5 Hz); MS *m*/*z* (-OMe ester) 238 (M⁺-2F-2, 2), 226 (5), 207 (5), 182 (10), 164 (15), 150 (10), 136 (10), 128 (15), 109 (15), 95 (25), 87 (15), 81 (25), 74 (100), 69 (25), 55 (35); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₆F₂O₂: C, 63.61; H, 9.91; F, 14.37, Found: C, 63.57; H, 9.75; F, 14.21.

RESULTS AND DISCUSION

Preparation and characterization of mono- and *gem***-difluoro myristic acids.** The synthesis of myristic acids selectively substituted as mono- and *gem*-difluoro compounds at positions C8, C9, C10 and C11 was carried out as described in Scheme 1.

(Insert Scheme 1)

In this chemical pathway, properly functionalized dithianes **6** were used as myristic acid chain precursors. Thus, coupling of dithianes **6** with methoxymethane protected bromoderivatives **5** in presence of *n*-butyllitium afforded the corresponding dithianes **7** with the complete aliphatic chain. Recently, we used this kind of versatile intermediates for the preparation of mono- and double deuterated tridecanoic acids (18). In the same way, reaction of dithianes **7** with NBS in presence of water afforded ketones **8** and its reduction with LiAlH₄ afforded the corresponding alcohols **9** which eventually could be resolved to each one of the corresponding enantiomers (18). Because, our main goal was the synthesis of the different monofluoroderivatives as racemic mixtures, no procedures for their stereoselective formation were contemplated at this stage. Thus, introduction of a fluorine atom was achieved by displacement of the alcohol funtionality of **9** with DAST (21) using CH₂Cl₂ as solvent. Although, the yields of fluorination were acceptable olefinic side products were also detected as reported elsewhere (21,22). In the worst case, purification was achieved by flash chromatography on silica gel.

On the other hand, ketones are reported to be good substrates for the preparation of the *gem*-difluoro derivatives. But it was not possible to obtain the corresponding *gem*-difluoro derivatives when ketone **8** was treated with DAST or the mixture DAST/HF/pyridine using CH_2Cl_2 as solvent. Furthermore, we did not get any difluorinated product when reaction was carried out with CF_2Br_2 in presence of zinc (23). However, *gem*-difluoro compounds were prepared straightforward by reaction of

the previously obtained dithianes **7** with 1,3-dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin in presence of HF/pyridine according to the procedure reported by Sondej and Katzenellenbogen (20). Methoxymethane deprotection of mono and *gem*-difluoro derivatives **10** and **11** to afford the alcohol intermediates **12** and **13**, respectively, was accomplished by acid treatment with HCl/MeOH (0.5M). Final Jones oxidation gave rise to the corresponding fluorinated fatty acids **1** and **2** with good yields. Characterization of the mono and difluorinated products were carried out by ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR.

Biochemical experiments

None of the compounds synthesized was converted by the Δ^{11} desaturase of the *S*. *littoralis* sex pheromone gland, as concluded from the careful examination of the GC/MS chromatograms corresponding to the methanolyzed lipidic extracts of the treated glands, which were identical to those of control tissues, to which no fluorofatty acid was administered. These results are in agreement with previously reported data using thiafatty acids (24). In that case, amongst 8-, 9-, 10-, 11-, 12- and 13-thiatetradecanoic acids, only the 13-thiaderivative was transformed into both (*Z*)- and (*E*)-11-thiatetradecenoic acids and (*E*)-11-thiatetradecenoic acid was further converted into (*Z*,*E*)-13-thia-9,11-tetradecadienoic acid. These overall results suggest that the substrate binding to moth Δ^{11} desaturases is very sensitive to heteroatom substitution, at least, at positions C8, C9, C10, C11 and C12, since replacement of methylene by the CH₂ bioisosteric sulfur or that of hydrogen by fluorine renders fatty acid analogs that are not desaturated at C11. In contrast, transformation of both thiafatty acids and fluorofatty acids by the yeast Δ^9 desaturase has been reported by Behrouzian et al. (and references cited therein) (25).

As summarized in figure 1, only the 11-monofluoroderivative **1a** produced a moderate inhibition (50% at 1:1 substrate/inhibitor ratio). Since the assays were performed with racemic **1a**, it is reasonable to expect a higher inhibitory potency of the pure active enantiomer. This aspect is now under investigation in our laboratory.

(Insert figure 1)

The present work represents another example of the potential use of fluorinated compounds in biochemical studies of enzyme inhibition. The results obtained here confirm that there is not general rule that makes possible an easy prediction and that there is a dependence on the type and source of the enzyme studied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

Financial supports of this work by CICYT and FEDER (grant AGL-2001-0585), Comissionat per a Universitats i Recerca from the Generalitat de Catalunya (grant 2001SGR-00342) and SEDQ are gratefully acknowledged. J.-L.A. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology for a "Ramon y Cajal" contract.

REFERENCES

- Navarro, I., Font, I., Fabriàs, G. and Camps, F. (1997) Stereospecificity of the (*E*)- and (*Z*)-11-Myristoyl CoA Desaturases in the Biosynthesis of *Spodoptera littoralis* Sex Pheromone, *J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119*, 11335-11336.
- Rodríguez, S., Clapés, P., Camps, F. and Fabriàs, G. (2002) Stereospecificity of an Enzymatic Monoene 1,4-Dehydrogenation Reaction: Conversion of (*Z*)-11-Tetradecenoic Acid into (*E*,*E*)-10,12-Tetradecadienoic Acid, *J. Org. Chem.* 67, 2228-2233.

- Abad, J.-L., Fabriàs, G. and Camps, F. (2001) Stereospecificity of the (Z)-9 Desaturase that Converts (Z)-11-Tetradecenoic Acid into (Z,E)-9,11-Tetradecadienoic Acid in the Biosynthesis of *Spodoptera littoralis* Sex Pheromone, *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 31*, 799-803.
- 4. Pinilla, A., Camps, F. and Fabriàs, G. (1999) Cryptoregiochemistry of the Δ^{11} -Myristoyl-CoA Desaturase Involved in the Biosynthesis of *Spodoptera littoralis* Sex Pheromone, *Biochemistry 38*, 15272-15277.
- Abad, J.-L., Fabriàs, G. and Camps, F. (2000) Is Hydrogen Tunnelling Involved in AcylCoA Desaturase Reactions?: The Case of a Δ⁹ Desaturase that Transforms (*E*)-11-Tetradecenoic Acid into (*Z*,*E*)-9,11-Tetradecadienoic Acid., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng.* 39, 3279-3281.
- Delgado, A., Puig, M., Camps, F., Hospital, S. and Guerrero, A. (1991) Synthesis of Potential Inhibitors of the Biosynthesis of the Sex Pheromone of *Spodoptera littoralis*. Part I: Monofluorinated Fatty Acids, *Chem. Physics Lipids* 59, 127-135.
- Camps, F., Hospital, S., Rosell, G., Delgado, A. and Guerrero, A. (1992) Synthesis of Biosynthetic Inhibitors of the Sex Pheromone of *Spodoptera littoralis*. Part II: Acetylenic and Cyclopropane Fatty Acids., *Chem. Physics Lipids* 61, 157-167.
- Rosell, G., Hospital, S., Camps, F. and Guerrero, A. (1992) Inhibition of a Chain Shortening Step in the Biosynthesis of the Egyptian Armyworm *Spodoptera littoralis.*, *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* 22, 679-685.
- Bosch, M.P., Pérez, R., Lahuerta, G., Hernanz, D., Camps, F. and Guerrero, A.
 (1996) Difluoropalmitic Acids as Potential Inhibitors of the Biosynthesis of the

Sex Pheromone of the Egyptian Armyworm *Spodoptera littoralis* - IV, *Bioorg*. *Med. Chem 4*, 467-472.

- Feixas, J., Camps, F. and Guerrero, A. (1992) Synthesis of (Z)-10,11-Difluoro-13-hexadecen-11-ynyl Acetate. New Difluoro Analogue of the Sex Pheromone of the Processionary Moth., *Biorg. Med. Chem. Letters* 2, 467-470.
- Camps, F., Gasol, V., Guerrero, A., Hernández, R. and Montoya, R. (1990)
 Inhibition of the Processionary Moth Sex Pheromone by Some Haloacetate
 Analogues, *Pestic. Sci 29*, 123-134.
- Camps, F., Coll, J., Fabriàs, G., Guerrero, A. and Riba, M. (1984) Fluorinated Analogues of Insect Sex Pheromones, *Experientia* 40, 933-934.
- Bentsson, M., Rausher, S., Arn, H., Shun, W.C. and Prestwich, G.D. (1990)
 Fluorine-substituted Pheromone Components Affect the Behaviour of the Grape Berry Moth, *Experientia* 46, 1211-1213.
- Prestwich, G.D. and Streing, L. (1988) Haloacetate Analogues of Pheromones:
 Effects on Catabolism and Electrophysiology in *Plutella xylostella*, *J. Chem. Ecol.* 14, 1003-1021.
- 15. Behrouzian, B., Saville, C.K., Dawson, B., Buist, P.H. and Shanklin, J. (2002) Exploring the Hydroxylation-Dehydrogenation Connection: Novel Catalitic Activity of Castor Stearoyl-ACP Δ^9 Desaturase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124*, 3277-3283.
- Behrouzian, B., Dawson, B., Buist, P.H. and Shanklin, J. (2001) Oxidation of Chiral 9-Fluorinated Substrates by Castor Stearoyl-ACP Δ⁹ Desaturase Yields Novel Products, *J. Chem.Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 765-766.
- Grace, T.D.C. (1967) Establishment of a Line of Cells from the Silkworm Bombyx mori, Nature 216, 613.

- Abad, J.-L., Fabriàs, G. and Camps, F. (2000) Synthesis of Dideuterated and Enantiomers of Monodeuterated Tridecanoic Acids at C-9 and C-10 Positions, J. Org. Chem. 65, 8582-8588.
- Seebach, D. and Corey, E.J. (1975) Generation and Synthetic Applications of 2-Lithio-1,3-Dithianes, *J. Org. Chem.* 40, 231-237.
- Sondej, S.C. and Katzenellenbogen, J.A. (1986) gem-Difluoro Compounds: A Convenient Preparation from Ketones and Aldehydes by Halogen Fluoride Treatment of 1,3-Dithiolanes, J. Org. Chem. 51, 3508-3513.
- Middleton, W.J. (1975) New Fluorinating Reagents. Dialkylaminosulfur Fluorides, J. Org. Chem. 40, 574-578.
- Arsequell, G. (1990) Estudi de la Biosintesi de Feromones Sexuals de Lepidopters. Sintesi de Silaferomones i Silaàcids Grassos, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidad Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 134-135.
- Hu, C.-M., Qing, F.-L. and Shen, C.-S. (1993) Transformation of Carbonyl Compounds into *gem*-Difluoro Compounds with Dibromodifluoromethane/Zinc Reagent, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 335-338.
- Pinilla, A., Mas, E., Camps, F. and Fabriàs, G. (2000) The Use of Thiafatty Acids to Investigate the Biosynthetic Pathway of *Spodoptera littoralis* Sex Pheromone, *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* 31, 401-405.
- 25. Behrouzian, B. and Buist, P.-H. (2002) Fatty Acid Desaturation: Variations on an Oxidative Theme, *Current Opin. Chem. Biol.* 6, 577-582.

Figure 1



Figure 1. Effect of the fluorotetradecanoic acids **1a-d** and **2a-d** on the Δ^{11} desaturase of *S. littoralis*. Inhibition was determined on pheromone glands *in vitro* as described in the experimental section. The fatty acid methyl esters were analyzed by GC/MS and the ratios between the ions 243 (methyl d₃(*E*)-11-tetradecenoate, product) and 245 (methyl tetradecanoate, substrate) were calculated from their corresponding areas. Since the M⁺ of methyl tetradecanoate is more abundant than that of methyl tetradecenoate, the values in figure 1 do not represent actual mass ratios between both compounds. Data correspond to the mean ± S.D. of five replicates). C, control.

Scheme 1

